

# **Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum sp. nov., isolated from waterways**

Hajar Ben Moussa, Jacques Pédron, Claire Bertrand, Amandine Hecquet,

Marie-Anne Barny

# **To cite this version:**

Hajar Ben Moussa, Jacques Pédron, Claire Bertrand, Amandine Hecquet, Marie-Anne Barny. Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum sp. nov., isolated from waterways. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 2021, 71 (10), pp.005042. 10.1099/ijsem.0.005042. hal-03376138

# **HAL Id: hal-03376138 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03376138v1>**

Submitted on 13 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 
- *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* sp. nov., isolated from waterways
- Hajar Ben Moussa, Jacques Pédron, Claire Bertrand, Amandine Hecquet and Marie-Anne
- Barny
- Sorbonne Université, INRAE, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences-Paris, 4 place
- 7 Jussieu, F-75 252 Paris, France.
- for correspondance : marie-anne.barny@sorbonne-universite.fr
- The 7 genomes described in this manuscript have been deposited in the GenBank database
- under the bioproject number PRJNA662694
- 

### ABSTRACT

 Through this study, we established the taxonomic status of seven strains belonging to the 14 Pectobacterium genus (A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup>, A398-S21-F17, A535-S3-A17, A411-S4-F17, A113- S21-F16, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17) collected from four different river streams and an artificial lake in south-east France between 2016 and 2017. Ecological surveys in rivers and lakes pointed out different repartition of strains belonging to this clade compared the closest species, *P. aquaticum.* The main phenotypic difference observed between these strains and the *P. aquaticum* type strain was a strongly impaired growth with rhamnose as sole carbon source. This correlates with three different forms of pseudogenisation of the L-rhamnose/proton symporter gene *rha*T in the genomes of strains belonging to this clade. Phylogenetic analysis using *gapA* gene sequences and MLSA analysis of the core genome showed that these strains formed a distinct clade within the genus *Pectobacterium* closely related to *Pectobacterium aquaticum*. *In silico* DNA-DNA hybridization and average nucleotide identification values showed a clear discontinuity between the new clade and *P. aquaticum*. However, the calculated values are potentially consistent with either splitting or merging of this new clade with *P. aquaticum*. In support of the split, ANI coverages were higher within this new clade than between this new clade and *P. aquaticum*. The split is also consistent with the range of observed ANI or dDDH values that currently separate several accepted species within the *Pectobacterium* genus. On the basis of these data, the strains A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup>, A398-S21-F17, A535-S3-A17, A411-S4-F17, A113-S21-F16, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17 represent a novel species of the genus *Pectobacterium*, for which the name *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* sp. 33 nov. is proposed. The type strain is A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup> (=CFBP 8805<sup>T</sup> =LMG 32181<sup>T</sup>).

#### INTRODUCTION

The *Pectobacterium* genus belongs to the *Pectobacteriaceae* family of the *Enterobacterales*

 order [1]. This genus groups bacteria are well known for their ability to secrete a large cocktail of plant cell wall degrading enzymes (PCWDE) inducing soft rot symptoms in a large variety

of plants around the world [2] and resulting in reduced yields and significant crop production

losses. The *Pectobacterium* genus currently includes 17 described species: *Pectobacterium* 

*aquaticum* [3], *Pectobacterium actinidiae* [4], *Pectobacterium aroidearum* [5], *Pectobacterium* 

*atrosepticum* [6], *Pectobacterium betavasculorum* [6], *Pectobacterium brasiliense* [4],

*Pectobacterium carotovorum* [4], *Pectobacterium cacticidum* [7], *Pectobacterium fontis* [8],

*Pectobacterium odoriferum* [4], *Pectobacterium parmentieri* [9], *Pectobacterium polaris* [10],

*Pectobacterium polonicum* [11], *Pectobacterium punjabense* [12], *Pectobacterium versatile*

[4], *Pectobacterium wasabiae* [6]*, Pectobacterium parvum* [13], and two proposed species not

yet validated by ad hoc committees: *"Pectobacterium zantedeschiae"* [14] and *"Pectobacterium* 

*peruviense"* [15].

 Several of the above mentioned species are closely related and were previously grouped within the same species. Notably, the *P. carotovorum* species was previously highly heterogenous and regrouped within the same clade two species *P. aquaticum* and *P. polaris* that were embedded within accepted or proposed subspecies previously named *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum, Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *odoriferum*, '*Pectobacterium carotovorum*  subsp. *brasiliense'* and '*Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *actinidiae'*. To avoid incongruity between the taxonomic status of the species and subspecies within this large clade all the subspecies were recently elevated at the species level [4]*.* This analysis also allowed to distinguish the new species, *P. versatile,* closely related to *P. carotovorum* [4]. Moreover, the *P. polaris* clade was also recently splitted in two closely related species, *P. polaris* and *P. parvum* [13].

 To date, most *Pectobacterium* species have been described following sampling and isolation from diseased host plants during outbreaks or sustained epidemics and their descriptions outside the agricultural context are rare [16]. Nevertheless, previous studies have indicated that *Pectobacterium* species could be isolated from a variety of non-host environments, such as water, soil or air [17] [18] [19] [16]. Recently, several species isolated from fresh water have been described. *P. aquaticum* strains were isolated from river streams in France [3], *P. polonicum* strains were isolated from ground water in Poland [11] and the *P. fontis* strain was isolated from waterfall in Malaysia [8]. Here, we described a new *Pectobacterium* species  *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* sp. nov that was recovered at various time in 2016 and 2017 from river and artificial lakes water in France.

## ISOLATION AND ECOLOGY

72 In this study, we established the taxonomic position of seven strains  $(A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup>, A398-V1)$  S21-F17, A535-S3-A17, A411-S4-F17, A113-S21-F16, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17) that were collected in 2016 and 2017 from two different freshwater surveys performed along a river stream in south-east France and from the CEREEP Ecotron artificial lakes located in the South of Paris. Water samples (150 or 500 ml) collected from the surface water were filtered through 0.2  $\mu$ m pore filters (Sartorius cellulose acetate filter). The bacteria retained on the filter were resuspended in 1ml of sterile distilled water and 100 µl were spread over the CVP (Crystal Violet Pectate) plates [20]. The colonies forming pits on CVP medium were isolated and characterized.

 As described below, these 7 strains formed a clade distinct from the closely related species *P. aquaticum*. No strain isolated from host plant were described for *P. aquaticum* or the new clade in the recent taxonomy update of 265 strains of *Pectobacterium* spp. hosted at the CIRM-CFBP collection that gathers strains isolated since 1944 from all over the world [21] and potential host plants for each clade are currently unknow. *P. aquaticum* has nevertheless the capacity to macerate potato slices indicating its potential to infect plant or to degrade plant debris [3]. We therefore checked if strains of the new clade were able to macerate potato slices. The strains 88 were grown overnight in LB medium devoid of NaCl (Hereafter LB:  $10.9 \text{ L}^{-1}$  tryptone,  $5g \text{L}^{-1}$ 89 veast extract, 15 g. L<sup>-1</sup> agar) under agitation at 27.6°C. 100 μl of the bacterial cultures were 90 spread on a 10% TSA plate  $(14g.L^{-1}$  agar,  $3g.L^{-1}$  trypic soy broth) and placed at 27.6°C for 24 hours. The bacteria were then scraped off the plates and resuspended in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), adjusted to an OD600nm of 1, and 10 μl were placed on the surface of the potato slices. 93 As negative control, a potato slice was inoculated with 10 µl of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). This test showed that strains of the new clade were also able to macerate potato tuber slices (Figure S1) indicating that strains of this new clade also potentially infect plant or degrade plant debris. Although both *P. aquaticum* and the new clade were isolated from water, their potential virulence on plant suggests that water may not be their primary habitat. This is reinforced by the fact that *Pectobacterium* spp. remains rare in water and are only isolated from water thanks to a very efficient selective medium [22]. Interestingly, our ecological survey of river and artificial lake water highlighted a differential repartition of *P. aquaticum* and strains the new  clade in surface water. Extensive two years survey of the river Durance watershed that covers 102 14280 km<sup>2</sup>, allowed isolation of 219 *P. aquaticum* strains while only 13 isolates belonging to the new clade were identified. Conversely, during a 2 years survey at the CEREEP Ecotron artificial lakes, 7 strains of the new clades were isolated while only 1 strain of *P. aquaticum* was found. The differential presence of *P. aquaticum* and the new clade in different places suggested different ecological niche for the new clade and *P. aquaticum.* While the exact nature of these ecological niches remains to be determined, one could hypothesize that strains of *P. aquaticum* and strains of the new clade are likely associated with different plants. The differential presence of both clades in water prompted us to evaluate whether this new clade could represent a species distinct from *P. aquaticum*.

#### 16S rRNA AND *gap*A GENE PHYLOGENIES

 The 16S rRNA gene phylogeny including the 16S rRNA genes from the 7 studied strains, 6 *P. aquaticum* strains, 16 strains of other *Pectobacterium* spp. type strains and the outgroup 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Dickeya solani* was performed. The 16S rRNA gene nucleotide sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE software [23] and filtered using the GBLOCKS tool [24]. The alignments were used to build a phylogenetic tree using the PhyML algorithm [25] based on Tamura-Nei model [26] with the SeaView software [27], with 200 bootstrap replications. The generated 16S rRNA gene phylogeny separated the 7 studied strains from the species *P. aquaticum* but the bootstrap at the separation node was inferior to 50% (Fig S2). Furthermore, '*P. zantedeschiae*' and *P. fontis* grouped with the 7 studied strains (Fig S2).

 The poor discriminative resolution of 16S rRNA phylogeny within the *Enterobacterales* order has been previously noted by Adeolu *et al*. [1]. We therefore decided to use the housekeeping gene *gap*A (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) as an alternative to the 16S rRNA gene phylogeny. The *gap*A gene is present in each genome in a single copy and was described as appropriate for quickly characterizing the different *Pectobacterium* species [28]. The *gap*A genes were aligned using the MUSCLE [23] software and were filtered using the GBLOCKS tool [24]. The alignments were used for building a phylogenetic tree with PhyML algorithm based on Tamura-Nei model [26] with the SeaView software [27], with 200 bootstrap replications. The *gap*A gene phylogeny showed that the seven studied strains grouped together and formed a new clade, close to the clade formed by the *P. aquaticum* species but clearly separated from it and from other *Pectobacterium* spp. (Fig. 1).

#### GENOME FEATURES

 To further characterize this clade, genomes of the 7 studied strains were sequenced. For genome 135 sequencing, the genomic DNA was first prepared by growing the strains overnight at 28<sup>o</sup>C on solid LB medium. A single colony was then picked up and grown overnight in 2ml of liquid LB medium at 28°C with 120 rpm shaking. Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (5 min at 12,000 rpm) and DNA was extracted with the Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Promega) according to the supplier's specifications. The DNA was suspended in 100 μl of sterile distilled water and the quantity and quality of the DNA was assessed by nanodrop measurement, spectrophotometric analysis and gel analysis. Nextera DNA libraries were then prepared from 50 ng of high quality genomic DNA. These libraries were sequenced at the next generation sequencing core facilities of the Institute of Integrative Biology of the Cell (Avenue de la Terrasse, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Paired end 2 x 75 pb sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq500 instrument, with a High Output 150 cycle kit. The readings were assembled using the CLC Genomics Workbench (version 9.5.2, Qiagen Bioinformatics). Coding sequences were predicted and annotated using the PATRIC RASTtk genome annotation service [29]. Genome assembly statistics are indicated in the Table 1.

 A multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) was performed using the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 265 homologous genes of the core genome (Table S1) of the 7 studied strains as well as those of 6 strains of the *P. aquaticum* species and those 62 *Pectobacterium* genomes representative of the whole *Pectobacterium* genus. Multigenic homologous families were excluded to avoid confusion between orthologs and paralogs. The clustering of homologous nucleotide sequences was performed with SiLix [30] software with a 80% identity threshold. Homologous sequences of each gene were aligned using MUSCLE [23] software then concatenated. The alignments were filtered using the GBLOCKS tool [24] resulting in a data set of 297,907 sites (of which 67,801 are informative). All the scripts used are available online (https://zenodo.org/record/2639652) as described in [4]. The tree was computed with the SeaView software [27] using the BioNJ method [31]. Bootstrap percentages were calculated based on 200 replicates. This analysis confirmed that these seven strains constitute a well- separated clade (Fig. 2 and Fig. S3 for extended tree) supporting the phylogenetic analysis previously performed with the *gap*A housekeeping gene.

 To further define the genetic proximity of this new clade to the species *P. aquaticum* and the other species of the *Pectobacterium* genus, the average nucleotide identity (ANI) values were  calculated using the python3 script pyani [32] (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani) with the BLAST algorithm (ANIb) (Table 2, for pairwise ANI with all *Pectobacterium* spp. see Table S2). There is a clear discontinuity of ANI values between the new clade and *P. aquaticum* (Table 2). However, ANI values between this new clade and the species *P. aquaticum* remains in the borderline to separate species (Table 2 and Table 3). These observed ANI values are nevertheless in the same range as the one that currently separates *P. versatile* and *P. carotovorum* [4 and Table 3 and Table S2] and are slightly lower than the one that separates *P. parvum* from *P. polaris* [13 and Table 3 and Table S2]. Furthermore, the range of coverage between *P. aquaticum* and the new clade (84.5 - 79.0 %) is lower than the range of coverage within *P. aquaticum* (91.9 - 85.7 %) or within the new clade (99.3 - 88.6 %) further supporting the split rather than the merge of *P. aquaticum* and the new clade (Table 3). We also calculated digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) values. The digital DNA-DNA

 hybridization was proposed to approach wet-lab DDH as close as possible [33]. The lowest dDDH values between the seven studied genomes were 89.5% and dDDH values dropped to 65.9% when comparing these 7 genomes to those of closest species *P. aquaticum* (Table 2). Again, the dDDH values between *P. aquaticum* and the new clade are borderlines to separate species (Table 2). Nevertheless, one could observe that these dDDH values are lower than the one observed between the closely related species *P. parvum* and *P. polaris* [13 ; Table 3 and Table S2] further supporting the discrimination between this new clade and *P. aquaticum*.

#### PHYSIOLOGY AND CHEMOTAXONOMY

 In order to determine the distinctive metabolic traits between *P. aquaticum* and the new clade, biochemical tests were performed with Biolog GENIII plates using the inoculation fluid IF-A following supplier's recommendations. The microplates were incubated at 28°C and optical density at 595nm was read after 24h incubation with a i-Mark Bio-Rad microplate reader. The 190 tested strains were the type strain of *P. aquaticum*  $A212-S19-A16<sup>T</sup>$  and 5 strains of the new 191 clade  $(A477-S1-J17^T, A113-S21-F16, A411-S4-F17, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17)$ . These biochemical tests revealed a few differences (Table 4 and Table S3 for complete results). First, strains FL63-S17 and FL60-S17, both isolated from an artificial lake, were the only tested strains that could not grow in the presence of D-aspartic acid. In addition, *P. aquaticum* type strain grew poorly in the presence of lithium chloride while strains of the new clade grew well in the presence of lithium chloride. Strains of the new clade were also unable or weakly able to use L-rhamnose as the only carbon source while the type strain of *P. aquaticum* can efficiently  metabolize L-rhamnose. Further investigation confirmed a strongly impaired growth in M63 199 medium with rhamnose as sole carbon source (rhamnose  $0.02\%$ , KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 13,6g.L<sup>-1</sup>, (NH<sub>4</sub>) 200 2SO<sub>4</sub> 2g.L<sup>-1</sup>, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 10mM 200µ1.L<sup>-1</sup>, NaCl 10g.L<sup>-1</sup>; pH adjusted to 7 with KOH 10N) at 28°C, 170 rpm (Figure 4A). This phenotypic difference is stronger than the phenotypic difference described between *P. punjabense* and *P. parmentieri* or between *P. polaris* and *P. carotovorum* [10, 12]. This impaired growth with rhamnose as sole carbon source correlates with 3 different forms of pseudogenization of the L-rhamnose/proton symporter gene *rha*T in the genomes of strains belonging to this new clade while this gene was found intact in all the sequenced genomes of *P. aquaticum* (Figure 4B). In the genome of strain NAK:467 recently available in NCBI (accession GCA\_016949085.1), the L-rhamnose/proton symporter gene *rha*T was also truncated (Figure 4B). Accordingly, ANIm and MLSA analysis (Figure S4 and Table S4) 209 indicated that the strain NAK:467 belongs to the new clade. Interestingly, in plant, rhamnose is primarily found in the pectic matrix of the plant cell wall and rhamnose accumulation in the cell wall of grasses in significantly smaller than the amount of rhamnose in the cell wall of dicots [34]. As degradation of the plant cell wall is the main pathogenicity factor within the *Pectobacterium* genus, the pseudogenization of the L-rhamnose/proton symporter gene *rha*T for strains of the new clade suggests that strains of the new clade may preferentially infect grasses while *P. aquaticum* retains the ability to infect dicots. This hypothesis remains to be confirmed with identification of plants infected by strains of *P. aquaticum* and strains of the new clade. Given the differential amount of rhamnose in different plants [34] this pseudogenization may be interpretated as a sign of evolutionary divergence between the new clade and *P. aquaticum*.

# DESCRIPTION OF *PECTOBACTERIUM QUASIAQUATICUM* SP. NOV.

 *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* (qua.si.a.qua'ti.cum. L. adv. *quasi* almost, nearly; L. neut. adj. *aquaticum* aquatic, and a specific epithet in the genus *Pectobacterium*; N.L. neut. adj. *quasiaquaticum* referring to the fact that the species is most closely related to *Pectobacterium aquaticum*). Gram-negative, motile bacterium, grows optimally at 28 °C in LB medium depleted from NaCl (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 15 g agar per litre of medium). Forms pits within 48 h when grown at 28°C on CVP medium [35]. Using GENIII Biolog plates, the *P. quasiaquaticum* strains were negative for 3-O-Methyl-D-Glucose, 4% NaCl, 8% NaCl, Acetoacetic Acid, Aztreonam, D-Arabitol, D-Cellobiose, D-Fucose, D-Glucuronic Acid, D-  Lactic Acid Methyl Ester, D-Malic acid, D-Maltose, D-Serine, D-Sorbitol, D-Trehalose, Turanose, Dextrin, Gelatin, Glucuronamide, Gly-Pro, Inosine, L-Alanine, L-Arginine, L- Fucose, L-Histidine, L-Lactic acid, L-Pyroglutamic Acid, Minocycline, N-Acetyl-Neuraminic Acid, N-Acetyl-D-Galactosamine, N-Acetyl-β-D-Mannosamine, Nalidixic Acid, Potassium Tellurite, Propionic Acid, Quinic Acid, Sodium Bromate, Stachyose, Tween 40, α-Hydroxy- Butyric Acid, α-Keto-Butyric Acid, α-Keto-Glutaric Acid, β-Hydroxy-Butyric Acid, p- Hydroxy-Phenylacetic Acid, γ-Amino-n-Butyric Acid, weakly or variably reacting for D- Aspartic Acid, Sodium Formate, L-Glutamic Acid, L-Rhamnose, pH 5 and were positive for 1% NaCl, 1% Sodium Lactate, Acetic acid, Bromo-Succinic acid, Citric acid, D-Fructose, D- Fructose-6-Phosphate, D-Galactose, D-Galacturonic acid, D-Gluconic acid, D-Glucose 6- Phosphate, D-Mannitol, D-Mannose, D-Melibiose, D-Raffinose, D-Saccharic acid, D-Salicin, Fusidic acid, β-Gentiobiose, Glycerol, Guanidine Hydrochloride, L-Aspartic acid, L- Galactonic Acide-γ-Lactone, L-Malic acid, L-Serine, Lincomycin, Methyl Pyruvate, Mucic acid, myo-Inositol, N-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine, Niaproof, Pectin, pH 6, Rifamycin SV, Butyric Acid, Sucrose, Tetrazolium Blue, Tetrazolium Violet, Troleandomycin, Vancomycin, D-Glucose, α-D-Lactose and β-Methyl-D-Glucoside.

246 The type strain is *P. quasiaquaticum* A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup> (=CFBP 8805<sup>T</sup> =LMG 32181<sup>T</sup>), which was isolated in 2017 from the Durance river at Sisteron, France. The GC content of the type strain DNA is 51.68%. A398-S21-F17, A535-S3-A17, A411-S4-F17, A113-S21-F16, FL63- S17 , FL60-S17 and NAK:467 are additional strains of the species. The draft genomes of 7 *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* strains sequenced in the course of this study have been deposited in the GenBank database under the bioproject number PRJNA662694 and the GenBank accession number of each genome is listed in Table 1.

#### **AUTHOR STATEMENTS**

#### **Funding informations**

 This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-17-CE32-0004 and the CNRS Ec2Co program (EC2COBiohefect/Ecodyn/Dril/MicrobienCARTOBACTER). The sampling campaigns realized in the CEREEP-Ecotron Ile-De-France benefited from the support received by the infrastructure PLANAQUA under the program "Investissements d'Avenir" launched by the French government and implemented by ANR with the references ANR-10-

EQPX-13-01 Planaqua and ANR-11-INBS-0001 AnaEE France.

## **Acknowledgements**

 We thank Odile Berge and Frederique Van Gijsegem for their help during river samplings and Ariane Toussaint and Antoine Pourbaix for hosting us during the field samplings. We would also like to thank the "Plateforme expérimentale nationale d'écologie aquatique" (PLANAQUA) of the CEREEP-Ecotron Ile-De-France for the access to the artificial lakes and associated facilities and the expertise of the High-Throughput Sequencing Platform of I2BC, Gif sur Yvette, France for genomes sequencing. The authors wish also to thank the reviewers for their useful comments.

## **Ethical statement**

- not applicable
- 

## **Conflicts of interest**

- The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
- 
- REFERENCES

 [1] **Adeolu M, Alnajar S, Naushad S, S. Gupta R.** Genome-based phylogeny and taxonomy of the 'Enterobacteriales': proposal for *Enterobacterales* ord. nov. divided into the families *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Erwiniaceae* fam. nov., *Pectobacteriaceae* fam. nov., *Yersiniaceae* fam. nov., *Hafniaceae* fam. nov., *Morganellaceae* fam. nov., and *Budviciaceae* fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016;66:5575–99. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001485.

 [2] **Ma B, Hibbing ME, Kim H-S, Reedy RM, Yedidia I, Breuer J, et al.** Host Range and Molecular Phylogenies of the Soft Rot Enterobacterial Genera *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*. *Phytopathol* 2007;97:1150–63. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-97-9-1150.

 [3] **Pédron J, Bertrand C, Taghouti G, Portier P, Barny M-A.** *Pectobacterium aquaticum* sp. nov., isolated from waterways. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;69:745–51. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003229.

 [4] **Portier P, Pédron J, Taghouti G, Fischer-Le Saux M, Caullireau E, Bertrand C, et al.** Elevation of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *odoriferum* to species level as *Pectobacterium odoriferum* sp. nov., proposal of *Pectobacterium brasiliense* sp. nov. and *Pectobacterium actinidiae* sp. nov., emended description of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* and description of *Pectobacterium versatile* sp. nov., isolated from streams and symptoms on diverse plants. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003611.

 [5] **Nabhan S, De Boer SH, Maiss E, Wydra K.** *Pectobacterium aroidearum* sp. nov., a soft rot pathogen with preference for monocotyledonous plants. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2013;63:2520–5. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.046011-0.

 [6] **Gardan L, Gouy C, Richard C, Samson R.** Elevation of three subspecies of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* to species level: *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* sp. nov., *Pectobacterium betavasculorum* sp. nov. and *Pectobacterium wasabiae* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbio*l 2003;53:381–91. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02423-0.

[7] **Alcorn SM, Orum TV, Steigerwalt AG, Foster JLM, Fogleman JC, Brenner DJ.**

- Taxonomy and Pathogenicity of *Erwinia cacticida* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1991;41:197– 212. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-41-2-197.
- [8] **Oulghazi S, Cigna J, Lau YY, Moumni M, Chan KG, Faure D.** Transfer of the waterfall source isolate *Pectobacterium carotovorum* M022 to *Pectobacterium fontis* sp. nov., a deep-branching species within the genus Pectobacterium. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;69:470–5. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003180.
- [9] **Khayi S, Cigna J, Chong TM, Quêtu-Laurent A, Chan KG, Hélias V, Faure D.** Transfer of the potato plant isolates of *Pectobacterium wasabiae* to *Pectobacterium parmentieri* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016;66:5379–83. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001524.
- [10] **Dees MW, Lysøe E, Rossmann S, Perminow J, Brurberg MB.** *Pectobacterium*
- *polaris* sp. nov., isolated from potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*  2017;67:5222–9. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002448.
- [11] **Waleron M, Misztak A, Waleron M, Jonca J, Furmaniak M, Waleron K.**  *Pectobacterium polonicum* sp. nov. isolated from vegetable fields. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;69:1751–9. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003387.
- [12] **Sarfraz S, Riaz K, Oulghazi S, Cigna J, Sahi ST, Khan SH, et al.** *Pectobacterium punjabense* sp. nov., isolated from blackleg symptoms of potato plants in Pakistan. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2018;68:3551–6. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003029.
- [13] **Pasanen M, Waleron M, Schott T, Cleenwerck I, Misztak A, Waleron K, et al.** *Pectobacterium parvum* sp. nov., having a *Salmonella* SPI-1-like Type III secretion system and
- low virulence. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020;70:2440–8.
- [14] **Waleron M, Misztak A, Waleron M, Franczuk M, Jońca J, Wielgomas B, et al.** *Pectobacterium zantedeschiae* sp. nov. a new species of a soft rot pathogen isolated from Calla lily (*Zantedeschia* spp.). *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2019;42:275–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2018.08.004.
- [15] **Waleron M, Misztak A, Waleron M, Franczuk M, Wielgomas B, Waleron K.**
- Transfer of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* strains isolated from potatoes
- grown at high altitudes to *Pectobacterium peruviense* sp. nov. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2018;41:85– 93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2017.11.005.
- [16] **Perombelon MCM, Kelman A.** Ecology of the Soft Rot *Erwinias*. Annu Rev *Phytopathol* 1980;18:361–87. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.18.090180.002045.
- [17] *Quinn CE, Sells IA, Graham DC.* Soft Rot *Erwinia* Bacteria in the Atmospheric Bacterial Aerosol. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1980;49:175–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365- 2672.1980.tb01055.x.
- [18] Burr TJ. Occurrence of Soft-rot Erwinia spp. in Soil and Plant Material. Phytopathology 1977;77:1382. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-67-1382.
- [19] **Jorge PE, Harrison MD.** The association of *Erwinia carotovora* with surface water in Northeastern Colorado. I. The presence and population of the bacterium in relation to location, season and water temperature. *Am Potato J* 1986;63:517–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03044052.
- [20] **Faye P, Bertrand C, Pédron J, Barny M-A.** Draft genomes of "*Pectobacterium peruviense*" strains isolated from fresh water in France. *Stand Genomic Sci* 2018;13:27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-018-0332-0.
- [21] **Portier P, Pédron J, Taghouti G, Dutrieux C, Barny M-A.** Updated Taxonomy of Pectobacterium Genus in the CIRM-CFBP Bacterial Collection: When Newly Described Species Reveal "Old" Endemic Population. *Microorganisms* 2020;8:1441. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8091441.
- [22] **Pédron J, Guyon L, Lecomte A, Blottière L, Chandeysson C, Rochelle-Newall E, et al.** Comparison of Environmental and Culture-Derived Bacterial Communities through 16S
- Metabarcoding: A Powerful Tool to Assess Media Selectivity and Detect Rare Taxa.
- *Microorganisms* 2020;8:1129. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081129.
- [23] **Edgar RC.** MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:1792–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- [24] **Castresana J.** Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000;17:540–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334.
- [25] **Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O.** New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol* 2010;59:307–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010.
- [26] **Tamura K, Nei M.** Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1993;10:512–26. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023.
- [27] **Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O.** SeaView Version 4: A Multiplatform Graphical User Interface for Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Tree Building. *Mol Biol Evol* 2010;27:221–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp259.
- [28] **Cigna J, Dewaegeneire P, Beury A, Gobert V, Faure D.** A *gap*A PCR-sequencing Assay for Identifying the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* Potato Pathogens. *Plant Dis* 2017;101:1278–82. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-16-1810-RE.
- [29] **Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, et al.** RASTtk: A modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:8365. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365.
- [30] **Miele V, Penel S, Duret L.** Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks with SiLiX. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2011;12:116. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-116.
- [31] **Gascuel O.** BIONJ: an improved version of the NJ algorithm based on a simple model of sequence data. *Mol Biol Evol* 1997;14:685–95. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025808.
- [32] **Pritchard L, Glover RH, Humphris S, Elphinstone JG, Toth IK.** Genomics and taxonomy in diagnostics for food security: soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens. *Anal Methods* 2016;8:12–24. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AY02550H.
- [33] **Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M.** Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2013;14:60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60.
- [34] **Jiang N, Dillon FM, Silva A, Gomez-Cano L, Grotewold E.** Rhamnose in plants from biosynthesis to diverse functions. *Plant Sci* 2021;302:110687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110687.
- [35] **Hélias V, Hamon P, Huchet E, Wolf JVD, Andrivon D.** Two new effective semiselective crystal violet pectate media for isolation of Pectobacterium and Dickeya: Isolating pectolytic bacteria on CVP. *Plant Pathol* 2012;61:339–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02508.x.
- ABBREVIATIONS
- CVP: Crystal Violet Pectate
- ANI: Average Nucleotide Identity
- dDDH: digital DNA-DNA hybridization
- *gap*A: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase A
- MLSA: Multi Locus Sequence Analysis

### FIGURES AND TABLES

 **Figure 1:** *gap*A phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and type strains of other *Pectobacterium* species.

 Phylogenetic tree reconstructed from the *gap*A nucleotide sequences. Accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. Only bootstrap support values above 70% are 409 indicated. Bar, 0.05 changes per nucleotide position. *Dickeya solani* IPO2222<sup>T</sup> was used as outgroup.

 **Figure 2**: MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and strains of other *Pectobacterium* species.

 MLSA Phylogenetic tree reconstructed from concatenated nucleotide sequences of 265 homologous gene sequences of 75 *Pectobacterium* genomes. The bootstrap support values are indicated if less than 100%. The number of analysed genomes per species is indicated in bracket 417 after name of the species. *Dickeya solani* IPO2222<sup>T</sup> was used as outgroup. Bar, 0.02 changes per nucleotide position. For single strain branches, accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. Extended version of this phylogenetic tree is provided Fig. S3 with accession numbers of each genome.

 **Figure 3:** Impaired growth of *P. quasiaquaticum* strains in rhamnose M63 medium correlates with pseudogenisation of the L-rhamnose/proton symporter RhaT.

A: Growth of *P. aquaticum* A212-S19-A16 (4 replicates) and *P. quasiaquaticum* strains A477-

425 S1-J17<sup>T</sup>, A411-S4-F17, A113-S21-F16, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17 in M63-rhamnose medium.

B: Alignment of the L-rhamnose/proton symporter RhaT 1: genomes of *P. aquaticum* strains

A212-S19-A16, A105-S21-F16, A35-S23\_M15, A101-S19-F16, A104-S21-F16 and A127-

S21-F16 code for a 344 amino acids long RhaT protein. 2: genomes of strain A398-S21-F17

code for a truncated version of 150 amino acids. 3: genomes of strains A113-S21-F16, A411-

S4-F17, A535-S3-A17 code for a smaller truncated version of 144 amino acids. 4: genomes of

strains FL60-S17, A477-S1-J17, FL63-S17 and NAK:467 code for a further truncated version

where a tryptophan is replaced by a stop codon leading to two short open reading frames of 91

and 52 amino acids.

- **Table 1:** Descriptive table of the seven sequenced genomes *of P. quasiaquaticum*.
- 
- **Table 2:** ANI (lower diagonal) and dDDH (top diagonal) values between *P. quasiaquaticum*  438 strains sp. nov. and *P. aquaticum* strains and *P. brasiliense* 1692<sup>T</sup> strain.
- ANI values ≥96% are indicated in red, ANI values between 96%-95% are indicated in light
- 440 purple and ANI values  $\leq$ 95% are indicated in blue, dDDH values  $\geq$ 70% are indicated in pale
- red and dDDH values below 70% are indicated in blue.
- 
- **Table 3:** Range of ANIb (Identity/Coverage) and dDDH (excluding near identities -100% and 99.9%) within and between related species.
- 
- **Table 4:** Biolog GENII Main phenotypic differences between *P. quasiaquaticum* and *P. aquaticum*
- 
- SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL:
- **Figure S1**: Symptoms observed following inoculation of potato slices.
- Photo were taken after 48h of incubation at 26°C. The inoculated strains are indicated below each photo.
- 

 **Figure S2**: Phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene of 29 *Pectobacterium* strains. Accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. Only bootstrap support values above 50% are indicated. Bar, 0.1 changes per nucleotide position. *Dickeya solani* IPO2222T was used as outgroup. The 16S ribosomal rRNA sequences of the 7 analyzed strains have been deposited to NCBI and the accession numbers are the following: MW115912 (strain FL63- S17), MW115908 (strain A477-S1-J17T), MW115910 (strain A535-S3-A17), MW115911 (strain FL60-S17), MW115909 (strain A411-S4-F17), MW115906 (strain A113-S21-F16), MW115907 (strain A398-S21-F17).

 **Figure S3:** Extended MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and type strains of other *Pectobacterium* species. Accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. The bootstrap support values are indicated if less than 100%. Bar, 0.020 changes per nucleotide position.

 **Figure S4:** MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and *P. aquaticum* strains including the reclassified strain NAK:467. The MLSA phylogenetic tree was reconstructed from concatenated nucleotide sequences of 2899 homologous gene sequences of the 15 *Pectobacterium* genomes. The clustering of homologous nucleotide sequences was performed with SiLix [30] software with an 80% identity threshold. Homologous sequences of each gene were aligned using MUSCLE [23] software then concatenated. The alignments were filtered using the GBLOCK tool [24] resulting in a data set of 2,881,708 sites (of which 142,278 are informative). The tree was computed with the SeaView software [27] using the BioNJ method [31]. Bootstrap percentages were calculated based on 200 replicates. *P. brasiliense* 1692T was used as an outgroup. Bar, 0.005 changes per nucleotide position. The position of 478 the NAK:467 genome is highlighted in yellow.

480 **Table S1**: genes ID of the 265 genes of strain  $A477-S1-J17^T$  used in the MLSA phylogenetic tree Figure 2.

 **Table S2:** ANIb, ANIb coverage and dDDH values between *P. quasiaquaticum* strains sp. nov. and other *Pectobacterium* spp. ANI values ≥96% are indicated in red, ANI values between 96%-95% are indicated in light purple and ANI values ≤95% are indicated in blue. dDDH 486 values  $\geq$ 70% are indicated in pale red and dDDH values below 70% are indicated in blue.

488 Table S3: Phenotypic characterization of *P. quasiaquaticum* A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup>, A113-S21-F16, A411-S4-F17, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17 and *P. aquaticum* A212-S19-A16<sup>T</sup> using GENIII Biolog plates.

 **Table S4:** ANIm, values between genomes of *P. quasiaquaticum* strains sp. nov., *P. aquaticum* and NAK:467. ANI values ≥96% are indicated in red, ANI values between 96%-95% are indicated in light blue and ANI values ≤95% are indicated in blue. Pq: *P. quasiaquaticum*; Pa: *P. aquaticum*; Pb: *P. brasiliense*. NAK:467 is highlighted in yellow.

 **File S1.fasta**: 16S rRNA gene sequences of 29 *Pectobacterium* strains and *Dickeya solani*  IPO222<sup>T</sup> used to build the 16S rRNA gene phylogeny provided Figure S2.

- **File S2.fasta**: *gap*A gene sequences of 29 *Pectobacterium* strains and *Dickeya solani* IPO222T
- used to build the phylogenetic tree provided Figure 1.
- 



**FIGURE 1** 



 $0.1\,$ 





<b>Strain name</b>	<b>Isolation</b> date	<b>Isolation</b> site	<b>Genome accessions</b>	Genome coverage	<b>Number</b> of Contigs	Genome Size (pb)	$G+C$ $(mol\%)$	Protein coding genes
A113-S21-F16	02-2016	S21	JACYTG010000000	165	85	4,421,657	51.42	4345
A398-S21-F17	02-2017	S21	JACYTH010000000	204	81	4,248,246	51.73	4152
A411-S4-F17	02-2017	S <sub>4</sub>	JACYTI010000000	208	96	4,301,740	51.4	4210
$A477-S1-J17$ <sup>T</sup>	06-2017	S <sub>1</sub>	JACYTJ010000000	186	87	4,398,604	51.68	4293
A535-S3-A17	08-2017	S <sub>3</sub>	JACYTK010000000	233	95	4,334,305	51.41	4259
FL60-S17	09-2017	Sf	JACYTM010000000	156	141	4,286,319	51.51	4215
FL63-S17	09-2017	Sf	JACYTL010000000	205	92	4,267,445	51.53	4148

Table 1 : Descriptive table of the seven genomes *of P. quasiaquaticum*

Isolation sites: S21, River Grand-Anguillon at Nove; S4, River Durance at Sisteron; S1, Irrigation canal at Logis Neuf; S3, River Durance at Manosque; Sf, CEREEP Ecotron artificial lakes.

#### TABLE 2



P. quasiaquaticum A411 P. quasiaquaticum A535 P. quasiaquaticum A398 P. quasiaquaticum A113 P. quasiaquaticum A477 P. quasiaquaticum FL60-P. quasiaquaticum FL63-P. aquaticum A127-S21-I P. aquaticum A35-S23-N P. aquaticum A104-S21-P. aquaticum A101-S19-P. aquaticum A105-S21-P. aquaticum A212-S19-

P. brasiliense  $1692<sup>T</sup>$ 

Table 3 : Range of ANIb (Identity/Coverage) and dDDH (excluding near identities -100% and 99.9%) within and between related species.



The number of analyzed genomes is indicated in brackets. Details of pairwise comparisons are presented Fig. S2.\*\*the genome of strain *P. parvum* Y1 used in MLSA (Fig.2) was exclude from this table as sequence is short and may lack substantial part of the genome [13].



Table 3: Biolog GENIII Main phenotypic differences between *P. quasiaquaticum* and *P. aquaticum* 

+, Positive; w, weakly positive; -, negative

#### Supplementals for

# *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* sp. nov., isolated from waterways

Hajar Ben Moussa, Jacques Pédron, Claire Bertrand, Amandine Hecquet and Marie-Anne Barny

Sorbonne Université, INRAE, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences-Paris, 4 place

7 Jussieu, F-75 252 Paris, France.

for correspondance : marie-anne.barny@sorbonne-universite.fr

#### Include Supplementals are in the following order

- Figure S1 and legend: Symptoms observed following inoculation of potato slices.
- **Figure S2 and legend**: Phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene of 29 *Pectobacterium* strains.
- **Figure S3 and legend:** Extended MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and type strains of other *Pectobacterium* species
- **Figure S4 and legend:** MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and *P. aquaticum* strains including the reclassified strain NAK:467.
- **Table S1**: genes ID of the 265 genes of strain A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup> used in the MLSA phylogenetic tree Figure 2.
- **Table S3**: Phenotypic characterization of *P. quasiaquaticum* A477-S1-[17T, A113-S21-F16, A411-S4-F17, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17 and *P. aquaticum* A212-S19-A16<sup>T</sup> using GENIII Biolog plates.
- **Table S4**: ANIm, values between genomes of *P. quasiaquaticum* strains sp. nov., *P. aquaticum* and NAK:467. ANI values  $\geq 96\%$  are indicated in red, ANI values between 96%-95% are indicated in light blue and ANI values  $\leq$ 95% are indicted in blue.

**Table S2** is not included in this supplemental and is a separate exel file







A477-S1-J17<sup>T</sup> FL63-S17



Buffer



**Figure S1**: Symptoms observed following inoculation of potato slices.

Photo were taken after 48h of incubation at 26°C. The inoculated strains are indicated below each photo.



**Figure S2**: Phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene of 29 *Pectobacterium* strains. Accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. Only bootstrap support values above 50% are indicated. Bar, 0.1 changes per nucleotide position. *Dickeya solani* IPO2222T was used as outgroup. The 16S ribosomal rRNA sequences of the 7 analysed strains have been deposited to NCBI and the accession numbers are the following: MW115912 (strain FL63-S17), MW115908 (strain A477-S1-J17T), MW115910 (strain A535-S3-A17), MW115911 (strain FL60-S17), MW115909 (strain A411-S4-F17), MW115906 (strain A113-S21-F16), MW115907 (strain A398-S21-F17).



**Figure S3:** Extended MLSA Phylogenetic tree reconstructed from concatenated nucleotide sequences of 265 homologous gene sequences of 75 *Pectobacterium* genomes. Accession numbers are indicated in brackets after the strain name. The bootstrap support values are indicated if less than 100%. Bar, 0.020 changes per nucleotide position.



**Figure S4:** MLSA phylogenetic tree of *P. quasiaquaticum* sp. nov. strains and *P. aquaticum* strains including the reclassified strain NAK:467. The MLSA phylogenetic tree was reconstructed from concatenated nucleotide sequences of 2899 homologous gene sequences of the 15 *Pectobacterium* genomes. The clustering of homologous nucleotide sequences was performed with SiLix [30] software with an 80% identity threshold. Homologous sequences of each gene were aligned using MUSCLE [23] software then concatenated. The alignments were filtered using the GBLOCK tool [24] resulting in a data set of 2,881,708 sites (of which 142,278 are informative). The tree was computed with the SeaView software [27] using the BioNJ method [31]. Bootstrap percentages were calculated based on 200 replicates. *P. brasiliense* 1692T was used as an outgroup. Bar, 0.05 changes per nucleotide position.

# Table S1: List of homologous genes used for the MLSA phylogeny Fig 2 and Figure S2 Protein ID and annotation were from the genome of the *Pectobacterium quasiaquaticum* **A477-S1-J17 type strain (accession JACYTJ010000000)**













**Table S3 :** Phenotypic characterization of *P. quasiaquaticum* (A477-S1-J17T, A113-S21-F16, A411-S4-F17, FL63-S17 and FL60-S17 and *P. aquaticum* A212- S19-A16T using GENIII Biolog plates.







**Table S4:** ANIm, values between genomes of *P. quasiaquaticum* strains sp. nov., *P. aquaticum* and NAK:467. ANI values ≥96% are indicated in red, ANI values between 96%-95% are indicated in light blue and ANI values ≤95% are indicated in blue. Pq: *P. quasiaquaticum*; Pa: *P. aquaticum*; Pb: *P. brasiliense .* NAK:467 is highlighted in yellow

