

Diversity within the Dickeya zeae complex, identification of Dickeya zeae and Dickeya oryzae members, proposal of the novel species Dickeya parazeae sp. nov.

Nicole Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, Frédérique van Gijsegem

► To cite this version:

Nicole Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, Frédérique van Gijsegem. Diversity within the Dickeya zeae complex, identification of Dickeya zeae and Dickeya oryzae members, proposal of the novel species Dickeya parazeae sp. nov.. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 2021, 71 (11), pp.005059. 10.1099/ijsem.0.005059. hal-03432699

HAL Id: hal-03432699 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03432699v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Diversity within the Dickeya zeae complex, identification of Dickeya zeae and
2	Dickeya oryzae members, proposal of the novel species Dickeya parazeae sp.
3	nov.
4	
5	Nicole Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat ^{1*} and Frédérique van Gijsegem ^{2*}
6	
7	Author affiliations :
8	¹ Univ Lyon, CNRS, INSA Lyon, UCBL, UMR 5240 Microbiologie Adaptation et Pathogénie, F-
9	69622 Villeurbanne, France
10	² Sorbonne Université, INRAE, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences-Paris (iEES-
11	Paris), F-75252 Paris cedex, France
12	*Corresponding authors: Frédérique van Gijsegem, Nicole Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat
13	
14	Email address of authors:
15	vangijse@agroparistech.fr, nicole.cotte-pattat@insa-lyon.fr
16	
17	Keywords: phytopathogen, soft-rot Enterobacterales, Pectobacteriaceae, Dickeya zeae,
18	Dickeya oryzae, Dickeya parazeae
19	
20	Subject category: Taxonomic description, new taxa - Proteobacteria
21	
22	Word count: 4562
23	
24	Depositories:
25	The type strain <i>D. parazeae</i> S31 ^{T} (CFBP 8716 ^{T} , LMG 32070 ^{T}) Whole Genome Shotgun
26	project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession
27	JAGJWU000000000. Whole Genome Shotgun project of <i>D. oryzae</i> strains S20, FVG03 and
28	FVG08 has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accessions
29	JAGJWV00000000, JAGJWX00000000, and JAGJWW00000000, respectively.
30	

31 ABSTRACT

32 The genus Dickeya comprises plant pathogens that provoke diseases on a large range of 33 economically important crops and ornamentals. Strains previously assigned to the species 34 Dickeya zeae are major pathogens attacking vital crops such as maize or rice. They are also 35 frequently isolated from surface waters. The newly described species Dickeya oryzae is 36 closely related to D. zeae members, so that the limit between the two species can be 37 difficult to define. In order to clearly distinguish the two species, globally described by the 38 term "D. zeae complex", we sequenced the genome of four new water isolates and 39 compared them to 14 genomes available in databases. Calculation of average nucleotide 40 identity (ANI) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) values confirmed the phylogenomic 41 classification into the two species D. zeae and D. oryzae. It also allowed us to propose a new 42 species, Dickeya parazeae sp. nov., to characterize a clade distinct from those containing the *D. zeae* type strain NCPPB2538^T. The strain S31^T (CFBP 8716^T, LMG 32070^T) isolated from 43 44 water in France is proposed as the type strain of the new species. Phenotypic analysis of 45 eight publically available strains revealed traits common to the five tested D. oryzae 46 members but apparently not shared by the D. oryzae type strain. Genomic analyses 47 indicated that a simple distinction between the species D. zeae, D. parazeae and D. oryzae 48 can be obtained on the basis of the recA sequence. D. oryzae can be distinguished from the 49 two other species by the growth on L-tartaric acid. Based on the *recA* marker, several strains 50 previously identified as D. zeae were re-assigned to the species D. parazeae or D. oryzae. 51 This study also highlighted the broad host range diversity of these three species.

52

53 **INTRODUCTION**

54 The genus Dickeya comprises enterobacteral plant pathogens that provoke diseases on a 55 large range of economically important crops and ornamentals but also environmental strains 56 isolated from water [1, 2]. This genus was defined in 2005 by the reclassification of former 57 Erwinia chrysanthemi into six species: Dickeya chrysanthemi, Dickeya dadantii, Dickeya 58 diffenbachiae, Dickeya dianthicola, Dickeya zeae and Dickeya paradisiaca [3]. Subsequently, 59 D. dieffenbachiae was reclassified as a subspecies of D. dadantii [4]. More recently, six new 60 Dickeya species have been described: D. solani isolated from potato and ornamentals [5], D. fangzhongdai isolated from water, pear tree and several monocots [6, 7], D. poaceiphila 61 62 isolated from sugarcane [8], and three species isolated from water D. aquatica [9], D. 63 lacustris [10] and D. undicola [11]. In the genus Dickeya, the intra-specific diversity greatly

varies depending on the species. Indeed, members of some species are highly homogenous 64 65 like D. aquatica, D. dianthicola and D. undicola that group bacteria sharing at least 99% average nucleotide identity (ANI) values between them. In contrast, strains classified in the 66 67 species D. fangzhongdai, D. dadantii or D. chrysanthemi are more diverse with some isolates 68 being close to the limits of species definition now generally recognized as 95-96% for ANI 69 and 70% for digitally derived DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) [12, 13, 14]. This is particularly 70 true for the species D. zeae that comprises different isolates clearly forming two distinct 71 clades in phylogenetic analyses [14, 15, 16]. To address this observation the new species 72 Dickeya oryzae, which is closely related to D. zeae, was recently described for a rice isolate 73 and five strains previously classified as D. zeae were re-identified as D. oryzae [17]. For 74 clarity, we used the term "D. zeae complex" to describe all the strains previously classified as 75 D. zeae.

Members of the D. zeae complex are major pathogens responsible for the maize stalk rot 76 77 and rice foot rot diseases [18]. They have the ability to infect monocot but also dicot plants 78 like potato [19, 20, 2] and they are commonly found in waterways [21, 22]. Fourteen 79 genomes of strains belonging to the D. zeae complex were present in public databases in 80 June 2020. However most of these strains are not publically available for phenotypic 81 analyses. To be able to perform phenotypic comparisons, we increased the panel of strains 82 clustering with *D. zeae* in preliminary phylogenetic tests, taking advantage of recent surveys 83 on the diversity of Dickeya isolates in surface waters. To potentially maximize strain 84 diversity, we chose to sequence new genomes including four strains isolated in two types of 85 aquatic environments in the South East of France: running water from the river Durance 86 catchment and calm water from small lakes of the French region of La Dombes.

87

88 ISOLATION OF NEW STRAINS AND GENOME SEQUENCING

Strain FVG03 was isolated in October 2017 from an irrigation channel (Montfavet), which is one of the six branches of the Crillon Canal connected to the river Durance. FVG08 was isolated in August 2017 from another channel, Canal de l'Ile (Les Taillades), also connected to the river Durance. For their isolation, 500 ml of water were filtered using 0.22 µm filters. The bacteria retained on the filters were suspended in water and serially diluted onto crystal violet pectate (CVP) medium, a semi-selective medium containing pectin that is widely used for the isolation of pectinolytic bacteria of the genera *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* [23].

96 Colonies forming pits on CVP plates were grown overnight in liquid medium (LB without 97 NaCl) and qPCR amplifications were performed out of bacterial cell lysates with primers 98 pelD1118d-F (VRC BTA CAA ACC SAC TCT G) and pelD1200d1-R (TGC GTT GYT RTT GAT GCT 99 G), derived from the sequence of the gene *pelD* that is specific of the genus *Dickeya*. The 100 *Dickeya* candidates were further purified on CVP plates and then on LB⁻ plates (LB medium 101 without added NaCl).

102 The two strains S20 and S31 were isolated in September 2017 from lake Boufflers (28 ha) 103 situated in a conservation site that is protected from direct agricultural inputs (Foundation 104 Pierre Vérots, Saint-Jean de Thurigneux). For their isolation, a pectate enrichment broth [24] 105 was inoculated with 0.1 ml of a 30-fold concentrated sample to favour growth of bacteria 106 able to use pectin as the sole carbon source. After incubating for 48 h at 30°C, pectinolytic 107 bacteria were isolated by plating serial dilutions onto CVP plates. Colonies forming pits on 108 CVP were further purified twice by streaking isolation on LB plates. PCR amplifications using 109 the primers *pelADE* [25] were performed on isolated bacterial colonies to identify strains of 110 the genus *Dickeya*.

111 The accurate Dickeya species of isolates from both surveys was then determined by PCR 112 amplification of the housekeeping gene gapA using the gapA-7-F and gapA-938-R primer set 113 and sequencing of the gapA amplicon [26]. Two strains from each survey clustering with 114 strains of the *D. zeae* complex were chosen for whole genome sequencing. The total DNA of 115 strains FVG03 and FVG08 was extracted using the Wizard genomic DNA purification kit 116 (Promega) and that of strains S20 and S31 was extracted using the NucleoSpinR bacterial 117 DNA purification kit (Macherey-Nagel). Genome sequencing was performed by Illumina 118 technology either at the next generation sequencing core facilities of the Institute for 119 Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC Gif-sur-Yvette France) or at the Illumina platform 120 Biofidal (Vaux-en-Velin, France). The reads were assembled using CLC Genomics Workbench 121 (version 9.5.2, Qiagen Bioinformatics). CDS prediction and automatic annotation were 122 performed using the RAST server [27] with the Glimmer 3 prediction tool [28]. The genomic 123 characteristics of the four strains are summarized in Table S1.

124

125 **GENOME COMPARISON**

126 The four new genomes were compared to the 14 genomes of the *D. zeae* complex available 127 in databases, including the *D. zeae* type strain NCPPB2538 isolated from maize in USA, the *D.*

oryzae type strain ZYY5^T isolated from rice roots in China, four strains isolated from rice
either in Italy (DZ2Q) or in China (EC1, EC2, ZJU1202), two strains isolated from banana in
China (MS1, MS2), two strains isolated from potato in Australia (NCPPB 3531, NCPPB 3532),
a strain isolated in USA from Philodendron (Ech586), a strain isolated from Canna lily in
China (CE1),and two strains isolated from rivers in UK (CSL RW192, MK19) (Table 1).

133 A phylogenomic tree was constructed from concatenated sequences of 2079 homologous 134 core proteins retrieved from the 18 analysed genomes and genomes of type strains of the 135 other Dickeya species (Fig. 1). This tree confirmed that the 18 analysed genomes clearly split 136 in two major clades: five genomes (NCPPB 2538, NCPPB 3532, MK19, MS1, MS2, and CE1) cluster with *D. zeae* NCPP2538^T while ten genomes (NCPPB 3531, DZ2Q, CSL RW192, ZJU 137 138 1202, EC1, EC2, FVG03, FVG08 and S20) are separated into a second clade including the D. 139 oryzae type strain ZYY5^T. The present work including new genomic data clearly confirms the 140 separation of the D. zeae complex in two species D. zeae and D. oryzae. Furthermore, the 141 two strains Ech586 and S31 form a separate branch close to the *D. zeae* clade, supported by 142 a 100% bootstrap value (Fig. 1).







146 available genomes was used to calculate ANI (Average Nucleotide Identity) and pairwise 147 dDDH (digital DNA–DNA hybridization) values (Table 2, Fig. S1). Considering a threshold for 148 belonging to the same species of 96% for ANI values, the species D. oryzae clearly form a 149 separate clade with ANI values of 94.1-95.1% with other strains (Table 2, Fig. S1). The ten 150 members of the species *D. oryzae* showed ANI values of 96.0-99.2% with the *D. oryzae* type 151 strain. However, these genome comparisons showed that a few strains are somewhat 152 divergent from the core strains (Table 2, Fig. S1). The strains can be separated in different 153 branches also highlighted in the genomic tree (Fig. 1). Among the species D.oryzae, one 154 branch comprises the five strains isolated from rice either in China or in Italy. Four of them, ZYY5^T, DZ2Q, ZJU 1202 and EC1, appear to be very closely related as they share ANI and 155 156 dDDH values of 99.1-100% and 92.2-99.8%, respectively (Fig. S1). The rice strain EC2 is more 157 distant, showing ANI and dDDH values of 97.2-97.3% and 76.0-76.2%, respectively, with the 158 other strains isolated from rice. Another D. oryzae branch regroups strains isolated from 159 water and potato that share ANI and dDDH values of 98.2-98.7 and 84.5-88.6%, respectively, 160 between them. The strain FVG08 isolated from water is at the limit of belonging to the 161 species D. oryzae with ANI values of 96.0-96.6% with other D. oryzae strains but dDDH 162 values below 70% with most *D. oryzae* strains (67.1-71.2%).

163 Among the eight other strains of the *D. zeae* complex, the two strains Ech586 and S31 show 164 ANI values of 96-96.1% with the D. zeae type strain and 95.7-96% with the other D. zeae 165 strains (Table 2, Fig. S1). These two strains are closely related as they share ANI and dDDH 166 values of 98.5 and 88.1%, respectively. These strains are at the limit of the 96% threshold 167 with the type strain recommended for species delineation but they are below the 168 recommended cut-off for species delineation (70%) with dDDH values of 65.6-67.3% with 169 other *D. zeae* members including the type strain. These values support the proposal of a new 170 species within the D. zeae complex. This new classification of strains Ech586 and S31 is 171 clearly confirmed by the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) and the analysis of virulence gene content 172 (see below, Table 3). We thus propose the description of a novel species, namely D. 173 *parazeae* sp. nov. with strain S31^T (CFBP 8716^T, LMG 32070^T) as the type strain.

Strains NCPPB 2538^T, MK19, NCPPB 3532, MS1 and MS2 remain classified as *D. zeae*members. These five strains are homogeneous as they share ANI and dDDH values of 98.098.4% and 81.7-86%, respectively. Strain CE1 shows ANI values of 96.3% with the *D. zeae*type strain NCPPB2538^T and 96.1-96.2% with other *D. zeae* strains. Since this strain is not

available in any public collection, we cannot analyze its phenotypic features. In absence ofadditional data, we propose to leave it in the species *D. zeae*.

180

181 DIVERSITY IN GENE CONTENT

182 Comparative genomic analyses were conducted to identify distinctive genes between the 183 species D. zeae, D. parazeae and D. oryzae. We performed genome-to-genome comparisons 184 by bi-directional protein-protein BLAST sequence comparison of translated open reading frames (ORFs) with a 10^{-5} e-value threshold. Orthologous sequences were then clustered 185 186 into homologous families using the SiLix software package [29]. Proteins were classified as 187 homologous to another in a given family if the amino acid identity was above 70% with at 188 least 80% overlap. The core genome of *D. oryzae* encodes 2909 protein families,. Only nine 189 protein families are present in all D. oryzae members and absent in D. zeae or D. parazeae 190 strains (Table S2). One of them is predicted to be involved in D-allose metabolism as it 191 encodes a step of the D-allose pathway described in *Escherichia coli* [30]. Twenty seven gene 192 families are absent in all D. oryzae strains and present in all other members of the D. zeae 193 complex (Table S2). Notably, among them is a cluster of five genes that encodes a 194 transcriptional regulator, a transporter, and three enzymes annotated as involved in the 195 metabolism of L-tartarate. This metabolic pathway was described in different bacteria, such 196 as Salmonella typhimurium and E. coli [31]. The pathway encoded by D. zeae and D. 197 *parazeae* strains may have a similar function but it showed differences at the protein level. 198 The genes encoding the two subunits of tartrate dehydratase are homologous to the *E. coli* 199 genes ttdA and ttdB with 60% and 69% identity, respectively. However the regulator, the 200 transporter and the second enzyme of the pathway (oxaloacetate decarboxylase) may have 201 activities equivalent to the *E. coli* products but they belong to different protein families (Fig. 202 S2).

The core genome of *D. zeae* encodes 3426 protein families. Twenty five protein families are present in all *D. zeae* members and absent in other strains of the *D. zeae* complex (Table S2). These gene families include the gene *rhiE* encoding a rhamnogalacturonase, *pehN* encoding an exo-polygalacturonase and two genes encoding putative transcriptional regulators. The two *D. parazeae* genomes share 4029 protein families of which 208 are not present in other members of the *D. zeae* cluster (Table S2). These numbers could be however over-estimated due to the low amount of genomes analysed for this species.

210 We also compared the repertoire of virulence genes among strains of the *D. zeae* complex. 211 As reported for other Dickeya species, the maceration symptom is mainly linked to a set of 212 pectate lyase genes present in all three species (pelADE, pelBCZ, pelI, pelL, pelN, pelX, and 213 pelW), along with genes encoding accessory pectinases (pemA/B, pnIG/H, rhiE/F, paeY, faeD, 214 pehK, and pehN) and other plant cell wall degrading enzymes (celZ, xynA, plcA, and 215 prtABCG). However, some differences in the pectinase repertoire were observed between 216 isolates (Table 3). As stated before, the genes encoding the rhamnogalacturonate lyase RhiE 217 and the exo-polygalacturonase PehN are present in D. zeae members but neither in D. 218 parazeae nor in D. oryzae. It could be noticed that all members of the D. zeae complex 219 possess another gene encoding a potential rhamnogalacturonate lyase RhiF that is 50% 220 identical to RhiE. The pectin lyase gene *pnlG* is present in *D. zeae* but absent in *D. parazeae* 221 and strain EC1. In D. oryzae, pnIG is present only in the five strains rice strains and in a water 222 isolate. Since the gene pnlH is absent in all D. zeae and D. oryzae members, several strains of 223 these two species do not encode any pectin lyase. The two adjacent genes pelL and celZ are 224 present in all genomes except in *D. zeae* MS1. The genome of all strains contains T1SS, T2SS, 225 T3SS and T6SS gene clusters. At least one type 4 protein secretion system (T4SS) is encoded 226 by all strains isolated from water but it is diversely distributed in the other strains (Table 3).

227 Some differences in their virulence equipment disclose particularities of rice strains in 228 comparison to other *D. oryzae* members, with some exceptions for strain EC2. The toxin 229 zeamine was shown to be involved in rice strain virulence [32]. The gene cluster involved in 230 the biosynthesis of zeamine is not largely distributed in the *D. zeae* complex as it is absent in 231 all D. zeae and D. parazeae strains and restricted to four D. oryzae rice strains, including the type strain ZYY5^T. However, this cluster is absent in strain EC2 isolated from diseased rice, 232 233 suggesting that the toxin zeamine is not always essential for causing rice disease. Most D. 234 oryzae rice strains do not possess the avirulence related gene avrL that is present in all other 235 strains of the D. zeae complex including the rice strain EC2 (Table 3). Three rice strains 236 possess the genes cyt encoding entomotoxins, a property shared only by another D. oryzae 237 strain, NCPPB3531. While most D. oryzae rice strains possess zms and cyt but not avrL, the 238 D. oryzae water strains and the D. zeae or D. parazeae members possess the gene avrL but 239 not the cluster *zms* and rarely the genes *cyt*.

240

241 **PHENOTYPIC ANALYSIS**

242 We performed a phenotypic characterization of the nine available strains (Table 1), including 243 two D. zeae, two D. parazeae and five D. oryzae strains. We first tested properties directly 244 linked with the bacterial virulence, such as the secretion of plant cell wall degrading 245 enzymes, motility and maceration capacity. When production of extracellular enzymes was 246 tested on specific media [33], the nine strains showed a high secretion of pectinase, 247 protease and cellulase activities (Table 4). They showed swimming and swarming motilities [34], except the *D. zeae* type strain NCPPB 2538^T that was not able to swim (Table 4). The 248 249 nine strains had the capacity to macerate potato tubers and chicory leaves although with 250 variable efficiencies (Table 4). Thus, no significant difference between the three species was 251 observed for these characteristics.

252 An exhaustive biochemical characterization was performed using Biolog plates PM1 and 253 PM2A for two strains of D. oryzae, D. zeae, and D. parazeae (Table S3). Out of 190 carbon 254 sources, 45 appeared to be metabolized by the six strains and 17 compounds gave variable 255 results depending on the strains. Most of the differences were observed for compounds 256 giving weak responses, without any correlation with the species appurtenance. Only one 257 difference could be clearly correlated with species, namely assimilation of L-tartaric acid. 258 Since furthermore, genomic data revealed the conservation of a cluster of genes potentially 259 involved in L-tartarate utilization in all *D. zeae* and *D. parazeae* sequenced strains (Table S2), 260 we tested the growth of the nine available strains on minimal medium M63 containing L-261 tartaric acid as the sole carbon source. The *D. zeae* and *D. parazeae* strains were able to 262 grow in this medium while the *D. oryzae* strains could not (Table 5).

263 Tartaric acid exists in three forms: L-tartaric, D-tartaric and m-tartaric acid. L-tartaric acid is 264 the natural form that is abundant in many fruits. Further analysis shows that D. 265 chrysanthemi, D. dadantii, D. dianthicola, D. solani and D. paradisiaca are not able to use L-266 tartaric acid as the sole carbon source. In contrast, D. aquatica, D. fangzhongdai, D. lacustris 267 and D. undicola are able to grow with L-tartarate [7 and data not shown]. These four species 268 contain the same cluster ttd as D. zeae and D. parazeae (Fig. S2) confirming the correlation 269 between phenotypic and genomic data, i. e., the presence of the cluster ttd in the genomes 270 of *Dickeya* strains able to grow with L-tartarate as the sole carbon source.

The growth of the nine strains was also tested on minimal medium containing diverse compounds as the sole carbon source (Table 5). All strains gave similar results for Darabinose, m-inositol, melibiose, D-xylose, malate and L-rhamnose. The ability to grow with

274 D- glucosaminic acid, gluconic acid, glucuronic acid, glutamic acid and glutamine was variably 275 distributed among strains of the D. zeae complex. In a previous analysis [17], the D. oryzae type strain ZYY5^T was found to be unable to assimilate mannitol, gluconic acid and N-acetyl 276 277 glucosamine, this is not the case for the five D. oryzae strains tested in this study (Table 5 278 and Table S3). This discrepancy could be due to methodologic differences or it could indicate 279 that the type strain has an atypical phenotype in comparison with other *D. oryzae* strains. 280 Indeed, contrarily to other D. oryzae, the cluster mtlADR involved in mannitol catabolism is absent in the genome of strain ZYY5^T. In contrast, the genes *nagABE*, *nagK* and *nagZ* 281 282 encoding N-acetyl glucosamine catabolism, as well as *gntR*, *gntK* and *gntT* encoding gluconic 283 acid catabolism, are present in the genome of strain ZYY5^T.

284 As noticed before (Table S2), the gene *asl*, present in all *D. oryzae* members, is predicted to 285 be involved in D-allose metabolism. However, none of the six strains tested in Biolog plates 286 was able to assimilate this sugar. D-allose is a rare aldohexose found in tissues of some 287 plants; it is the C3 epimer of glucose. Further analysis of the *asl* gene cluster suggests that it 288 is incomplete for D-allose catabolism since, in comparison to E. coli, it lacks the gene alsi 289 encoding an isomerase essential for D-allose assimilation (Fig. S3). The absence of this gene 290 in the Dickeya genomes explains the incapacity of these bacteria to utilize D-allose. While it 291 is incomplete for allose catabolism, the D. oryzae cluster could be sufficient for the 292 assimilation of a related sugar, D-psicose, by a not yet characterized pathway that may join 293 the allose pathway (Fig. S3). D-psicose (or D-allulose) is a rare ketose, sparsely present in 294 plants; it is the C3 epimer of D-fructose. Both D-allose and D-psicose are able to induce some 295 plant defence genes and to confer resistance to plant diseases [34]. Interestingly, the gene 296 cluster including asl is also found in the genome of D. fangzhongdai and D. poaceiphila, the 297 two Dickeya species able to utilize D-psicose [7, 8]. Only one D. oryzae strain was able to 298 weakly assimilate D-psicose when tested in Biolog plates, suggesting that this pathway in not 299 very efficient or expressed at a low level in D. oryzae. Thus, this phenotypic analysis indicates 300 that the growth on L-tartaric acid is the best phenotypic marker found to discriminate 301 between the species *D. oryzae* and the two species *D. zeae* and *D. parazeae*.

302

303 IDENTIFICATION OF MEMBERS OF THE SPECIES D. ZEAE, D. PARAZEAE AND D. ORYZAE

Examination of the phylogenetic trees obtained for individual genes showed that *recA* is a good marker to differentiate the three species, *D. zeae*, *D. parazeae* and *D. oryzae* (Fig S4).

306 The recA sequence was already shown to be a good reflect of the Dickeya classification [15]. 307 In comparison, the gene gapA often used for strain classification in the genus Dickeya [26] 308 appears to be less efficient to differentiate the three species of the *D. zeae* complex (Fig S4). 309 To identify new members of these species, we took advantage of previous phylogenetic 310 analyses performed on large sets of strains using the recA sequence [15, 16]. We extracted 311 from databases the recA sequences of 68 strains belonging to the D. zeae complex and 312 compared them with the recA sequences of the six D. zeae, two D. parazeae and ten D. 313 oryzae genomes (Fig. S5). The resulting tree clearly shows the division of these strains in the 314 three clades corresponding to D. zeae, D. parazeae and D. oryzae. This clear differentiation 315 allows the classification of the 68 strains in the three species. Remarkably, since the recA 316 sequence of 75% of these strains clustered with the *D. oryzae* type strain (Fig. S5), they have 317 to be reclassified in the novel species, D. oryzae. In addition, the recA phylogeny allows 318 identification of five new members of the species *D. parazeae* (Fig. S5).

319 The origin of the 18 strains analysed in this study (Table 1) shows that the hosts of strains of 320 the *D. zeae* complex are predominantly monocots but that they may also infect dicots like 321 potato. This is confirmed on a larger set of 115 strains (Fig. 2). Among 31 strains isolated 322 from maize, 18 belong to the species D. oryzae, nine to D. zeae and four to D. parazeae. 323 Water strains also mostly belong to the species *D. oryzae* (9) and only few to the species *D.* 324 zeae (1) or D. parazeae (1). All strains isolated from rice in different countries (China, Japan, 325 or Italy) belong to the species D. oryzae (Fig. 2). In the recA tree, rice strains, except EC2, 326 form a very homogeneous leaf also including a millet strain (Fig. S5).

Surprisingly, most of the 51 *D. oryzae* isolates also come from maize (35%, 18 strains out of 51); they are commonly found in water (17%, 9 strains), rice (14%, 7 strains) and potato (14%, 7 strains), and less frequently found in pineapple (3), banana (2) and some other crops or ornamental plants (Fig. 2). Most of the 25 *D. zeae* isolates originate from maize (36%, 9 strains out of 25) and they are also found in banana (5) potato (3), water (1) and diverse ornamental plants (Fig. 2). Similarly, the seven *D.parazeae* strains have different origins, mostly maize (4/7), but also *Philodendron, Aechmea* and water (Fig. S5).

334

335 **IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIES IN THE D. ZEAE COMPLEX**

In conclusion, phylogenetic analyses show that the genome sequences of 18 members of the
 D. zeae complex form three distinct clades corresponding to the species *D. zeae*, *D. oryzae*

and the new species *D. parazeae*. Genetic and phenotypic analyses indicated that a simple
distinction between the three species can be obtained on the basis of the *recA* sequence.
The absence of growth on L-tartaric acid can differentiate *D. oryzae* from the two other
species.

- 342
- 343

344 DESCRIPTION OF *DICKEYA PARAZEAE* SP. NOV.

345 *Dickeya parazeae* (pa.ra.ze'ae, L. pref. *para-* next to; N.L. gen. n. *zeae* of the plant genus *Zea;*346 N.L. gen. n. *parazeae* close to (*Dickeya*) *zeae*].

347 Dickeya parazeae is a motile facultatively anaerobic pectinolytic bacterium that grows on LB medium (5 g.l⁻¹ tryptone, 3 g.l⁻¹ yeast extract, 5 g.l⁻¹ NaCl and 15 g.l⁻¹ agar). After 48 h at 348 349 30°C, bacteria form colonies of 1-2 mm in diameter with whitish translucent appearance, 350 shiny surface and regular margin. On crystal violet pectate medium, individual colonies 351 produce large and deep pits. They also show cellulolytic and proteolytic activities. Cells are 352 gram-negative and rod shaped approx. 0.5 mm in width and 2 mm in length. The parazeae 353 type strain is able to utilize D-arabinose, L-arabinose, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, D-fructose, D-354 fructose-6-phosphate, D-galactose, D-galactaric acid, D-galacturonic acid, D-glucosamine, D-355 glucose, D-glucose-1-phosphate, D-glucose-6-phosphate, D-glucaric acid, D-mannose, D-356 mannitol, D-melibiose, D-raffinose, D-ribose, sucrose, D-xylose, pectin, glycerol, m-inositol, 357 arbutin, salicin, acetic acid, citric acid, formic acid, fumaric acid, L-malic acid, L-lactic acid, 358 pyruvic acid, succinic acid and L-tartaric acid. This species include strain Ech586 and S31¹ 359 identified on the basis of their genome sequence, and five strains identified on the basis of 360 their recA sequence, NCPPB 2540, NCPPB 3731 (CFBP 1536), MAFF311098, PD1619 and 361 SUPP27. Characterized members of this species were isolated mostly from Zea mays, but 362 also from Philodendron, Aechmea, and surface water.

363 The type strain of *Dickeya parazeae* is $S31^{T}$ (=CFBP8716^T, LMG 32070^T); its G+C content is

- 364 56.4 mol% based on the draft genome sequence.
- 365 Genome EMBL/GenBank accession: JAGJWU000000000
- 366 EMBL/GenBank accession (16S rRNA gene): MW947273
- 367
- 368
- 369

370 AUTHORS' STATEMENTS

371 **Funding information**

372 This research was supported by the projects COMBICONTROL (ANR-17-CE32-0004-04)

and SPREE (ANR-17-CE32-0004-04) financed by the French National Agency for Research, by

- the Pierre Vérots Foundation (<u>http://www.fondation-pierre-verots.com/</u>), and by funding of
- 375 CNRS, University Lyon 1, and INSA Lyon to UMR 5240.
- 376

377 Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Jacques Pédron for construction of the phylogenomic tree and SiLix analysis, the staff of the Pierre Verots Foundation for their kind support, the members of the COMBICONTROL project and of the team MTSB (MAP) for sharing of unpublished information, Dr Vittorio Venturi for sending us the *D. oryzae* strain DZ2Q, Véronique Utzinger for technical assistance, Cécile Jacot des Combes and Jérôme Briolay of the platform DTAMB (FR 3728), and Perrine Portier and Claudine Vereecke for strain registration in the collections CFBP and BCCM-LMG, respectively.

385

386 **Conflicts of interest**

387 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

388

389 **ABBREVIATIONS**

ANI, average nucleotide identity; CDS, coding DNA sequence; CFBP, Collection Française de
 Bactéries Phytopathogènes; CVP, crystal violet pectate; dDDH, digital DNA-DNA
 hybridization; MLSA, multilocus sequence analysis; LMG, Laboratory of Microbiology Ghent
 University; MCP, methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein; ORF, open reading frame; RAST,
 rapid annotations using subsystems technology; TxSS: Type x protein Secretion System.

395

REFERENCES

Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Condemine G, Gueguen E, Shevchik VE. *Dickeya* plant
 pathogens. *eLS* 2020; March. DOI: <u>10.1002/9780470015902.a0028932</u>.

3992.Toth IK, Barny MA, Brurberg MB, Condemine G, Czajkowski R, et al. Pectobacterium and400Dickeya: Environment to disease development. In Plant diseases caused by Dickeya and

401 *Pectobacterium species.* Van Gijsegem F, van der Wolf JM, Toth IK (eds.) Springer editions. 2021; pp.
402 39-84.

3. Samson R, Legendre JB, Christen R, Fischer-Le Saux M, Achouak W et al. Transfer of *Pectobacterium chrysanthemi* (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and *Brenneria paradisiaca* to the genus *Dickeya* gen. nov. as *Dickeya chrysanthemi* comb. nov. and *Dickeya paradisiaca* comb. nov. and delineation of four novel species, *Dickeya dadantii* sp. nov., *Dickeya dianthicola* sp. nov., *Dickeya dieffenbachiae* sp. nov. and *Dickeya zeae* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2005; 55:1415–1427.

409 4. Brady CL, Cleenwerck I, Denman S, Venter SN, Rodriguez-Palenzuela P et al. Proposal to
410 reclassify Brenneria quercina (Hildebrand & Schroth 1967) Hauben et al. 1999 into a novel genus,
411 Lonsdalea gen. nov., as Lonsdalea quercina comb. nov., descriptions of Lonsdalea quercina subsp.
412 quercina comb. nov., Lonsdalea quercina subsp. Iberica subsp. nov., and Lonsdalea quercina subsp.
413 britannica subsp. nov., emendation of the description of the genus Brenneria, reclassification of
414 Dickeya dieffenbachiae as Dickeya dadantii subsp. dieffenbachiae comb. nov., and emendation of the
415 description of Dickeya dadantii. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2012; 62:1592-1602.

van der Wolf JM, Nijhuis EH, Kowalewska MJ, Saddler GS, Parkinson N *et al.* Dickeya solani
sp. nov., a pectinolytic plant-pathogenic bacterium isolated from potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Int J *Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014; 64:768–774.

419 6. Tian Y, Zhao Y, Yuan X, Yi J, Fan J *et al. Dickeya fangzhongdai* sp. nov., a plant-pathogenic
420 bacterium isolated from pear trees (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016; 66:2831–2835.

421 7. Alič Š, Van Gijsegem F, Pédron J, Ravnikar M, Dreo T. Diversity within the novel *Dickeya*422 *fangzhongdai* sp., isolated from infected orchids, water and pears. *Plant Pathol* 2018; 67:1612–1620.

423 8. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Brochier-Armanet C, Flandrois JP, Sylvie Reverchon S. Dickeya
424 poaceiphila sp. nov., a plant-pathogenic bacterium isolated from sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum*).
425 Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2020; 70: 4508-4514. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.004306.

426 9. Parkinson N, DeVos P, Pirhonen M, Elphinstone J. Dickeya aquatica sp. nov., isolated from
427 waterways. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2014; 64: 2264–2266.

Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Jacot-des-Combes C, Briolay J. Dickeya lacustris sp. nov., a
water-living pectinolytic bacterium isolated from lakes in France. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2019;
69:721-726.

431 11. Oulghazi S, Pédron J, Cigna J, Lau YY, Moumni M *et al. Dickeya undicola* sp. nov., a novel
432 species for pectinolytic isolates from surface waters in Europe and Asia. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2019;
433 69:2440-2444.

434 12. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species
435 definition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106:19126–19131.

436 13. **Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM**. Genomic insights that advance the species definition for 437 prokaryotes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005; 102:2567-2572.

438 14. Pédron J, Van Gijsegem F. Diversity in the bacterial *Dickeya* genus grouping plant pathogens
439 and waterways isolates. *OBM Genetics* 2019; 3(4):22. doi:10.21926.

Parkinson N, Stead D, Bew J, Heeney J, Tsror (Lahkim) L, Elphinstone J. Dickeya species
relatedness and clade structure determined by comparison of *recA* sequences. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2009; 59:2388–2393.

443 16. Suharjo R, Sawada H, Takikawa Y. Phylogenetic study of Japanese *Dickeya* spp. and
444 development of new rapid identification methods using PCR–RFLP. *J Gen Plant* Pathol 2014; 80:237445 254.

446 17. Wang X, He SW, Guo HB, Han JG, Thin KK, *et al. Dickeya oryzae* sp. nov., isolated from the 447 roots of rice. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020; 70: 4171-4178. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.004265.

Hu M, Li J, Chen R, Li W, Feng L *et al. Dickeya zeae* strains isolated from rice, banana and
clivia rot plants show great virulence differentials. *BMC Microbiol* 2018; 18(1):136.
doi:10.1186/s12866-018-1300-y.

451 19. Ma B, Hibbing ME, Kim HS, Reedy RM, Yedidia I, et al. Host range and molecular
452 phylogenies of the soft rot enterobacterial genera *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*. *Phytopathology* 2007;
453 97:1150-1163.

454 20. Charkowski AO The changing face of bacterial soft-rot diseases. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 2018;
455 56:269-88.

Laurila J, Hannukkala A, Nykyri J, Pasanen M, Hélias V *et al.* Symptoms and yield reduction
caused by *Dickeya* spp. strains isolated from potato and river water in Finland. *Eur J Plant Pathol*2010; 126, 249–262. https://doi-org.inee.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1007/s10658-009-9537-9

459 22. Potrykus M, Golanowska M, Sledz W, Zoledowska S, Motyka A *et al*. Biodiversity of *Dickeya*460 spp. isolated from potato plants and water sources in temperate climate. *Plant Dis* 2016; 100:408–
461 417. doi:10.1094/PDIS-04-15-0439-RE.

462 23. Helias V, Hamon P, Huchet E, Wolf JVD, Andrivon D. Two new effective semiselective crystal
463 violet pectate media for isolation of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*. *Plant Pathol* 2012;61:339–345.

464 24. Meneley JC. Isolation of soft-rot *Erwinia* spp. from agricultural soils using an enrichment
465 technique. *Phytopathology* 1976; 66:367–370.

466 25. **Nassar A, Darrasse A, Lemattre M, Kotoujansky A, Dervin C,** *et al.* **Characterization of 467** *Erwinia chrysanthemi* **by pectinolytic isozyme polymorphism and restriction fragment length**

- 468 polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified fragments of *pel* genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996;
 469 62:2228-2235.
- 470 26. **Cigna J, Dewaegeneire P, Beury A, Gobert V., Faure D.** A *gapA* PCR sequencing assay for 471 identifying the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* potato pathogens. *Plant Dis* 2017;101:1278–1282.
- 472 27. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T *et al*. The RAST server: rapid annotations
 473 using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* 2008; 9: 75.
- 474 28. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. Improved microbial gene identification
 475 with GLIMMER. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1999; 27, 4636–4641.
- 476 29. Miele V, Penel S, Duret L. Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks with SiLiX.
 477 *BMC Bioinformatics*, 2011; 12:116.

478 30. Kim C, Song S, Park C. The D-allose operon of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J Bacteriol* 1997;
479 179:7631–7637. doi:10.1128/jb.179.24.7631-7637.

- 480 31. Hurlbert RE, Jakoby WB. Tartaric acid metabolism. I. Subunits of L(+)-tartaric acid dehydrase.
 481 *J Biol Chem* 1965; 240:2772–2777.
- 32. Zhou J, Cheng Y, Lv M, Liao L, Chen Y *et al.* The complete genome sequence of *Dickeya zeae*EC1 reveals substantial divergence from other *Dickeya* strains and species. *BMC Genomics* 2015;
 16(1):571. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1545-x.
- 485 33. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Jacot-des-Combes C, Briolay J. Genomic characterization of a
 486 pectinolytic isolate of *Serratia oryzae* isolated from lake water. *J Genomics* 2019; 7:64-72. doi:
 487 10.7150/jgen.38365.
- 488 34. Kano A, Hosotani K, Gomi K, Yamasaki-Koduko Y, Shirakawa C *et al.* D-Psicose induces
 489 upregulation of defense-related genes and resistance in rice against bacterial blight. *J Plant Physiol*490 2011; 168:1852–1857. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2011.04.003.
- 491 35. Pritchard L, Glover RH, Humphris S, Elphinstone JG, Toth IK. Genomics and taxonomy in
 492 diagnostic for food security: soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens *Anal Methods* 2016; 8: 12–
 493 24; DOI : 10.1039/c5ay02550h.
- 494

495 **FIGURES AND TABLES**

496

497 Fig. 1. Phylogenomic analysis of *D. oryzae*, *D. zeae* and *D. parazeae* strains using 2079
498 homologous core proteins

The phylogenetic tree, constructed from concatenated amino acid sequences of 2079 homologous proteins (660657 sites), was computed using the BioNJ distance method. One hundred bootstrap replicates were performed to assess the statistical support of each node, all values are 100% except when indicated.











Table 1 General features of the different *Dickeya* strains/genomes analyzed in this study.

Ctuaina*	Species	Genome	#	# of	Isolated	Geographic	Year of
Strains		length	scaffolds	CDS	from	origin	isolation
NCPPB2538 ^T	D. zeae	4.56	7	4380	Zea mays	USA	1970
CFBP 1596	D. zeae	-	-		Zea may	France	1974
MK19	D. zeae	4.67	4	4494	river water	UK	
NCPPB3532	D. zeae	4.56	1	4390	Solanum tuberosum	Australia	
MS1	D. zeae	4.75	58	4589	Musa paradisiaca	China	2009
MS2	D. zeae	4.74	complete	4529	Musa paradisiaca	China	2014
CE1	D. zeae	4.71	complete		Canna indica	China	2017
S31	D. parazeae	4.71	54	4098	lake water	France	2017
Ech586	D. parazeae	4.82	complete	4515	Philodendron	USA	
CSL_RW192	D. oryzae	4.70	4	4587	river water	UK	
DZ2Q	D. oryzae	4.65	26	4456	Oryza sativa	Italy	
NCPPB3531	D. oryzae	4.63	2	4354	Solanum tuberosum	Australia	
EC1	D. oryzae	4.53	complete	4260	Oryza sativa	China	1997
ZJU1202	D. oryzae	4.59	188	4417	Oryza sativa	China	2002
EC2	D. oryzae	4.58	complete	4371	Oryza sativa	China	2016
$ZYY5^{T}$	D. oryzae	4.59	27	4356	Oryza sativa	China	2011
FVG03	D. oryzae	4.75	81	4111	river water	France	2017
FVG08	D. oryzae	4.53	94	4026	river water	France	2017
S20	D. oryzae	4.67	70	4110	lake water	France	2017

513 * Strains available for phenotypic studies are shown in bold letters.

516 **Table 2.** Genomic relatedness between *D. zeae*, *D. parazeae* and *D. oryzae* strains

517 ANI values calculated Pyani module were using the python 518 (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani) with the BLAST algorithm (ANIb) [35]. dDDH values 519 were calculated using a dedicated pipeline (http://ggdc.dsmz.de/) from formula 2 (sum of all 520 identities found in high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) divided by overall HSP length and 521 normalized to genome length to take into account incomplete draft genomes).

522 The type strains (T) were first compared to all other members of each species, *D. oryzae*, *D.*

- 523 zeae and D. parazeae. Then, all members of each species except the type strain, were
- 524 compared two by two.
- 525 Additional data are given in Fig. S1.
- 526

		ANI %	
	D. oryzae	D. zeae	D. parazeae
D. oryzae ^T	96.0-99.2	94.2-94.8	94.1
D. oryzae	96.0-100	94.0-95.1	93.9-94.5
D. zeae ^T	94.4-95.0	96.3-98.1	96.0-96.1
D. zeae	94.0-95.0	96.1-98.4	95.7-96.0
D. parazeae ^T	94.0-94.5	95.8-96.0	98.5
D. parazeae	93.9-94.5	95.7-96.1	98.5
		dDDH %	
	D. oryzae	D. zeae	D. parazeae
D. oryzae T	73.7-99.8	56.2-59.2	55.9-56.0
D. oryzae	67.1-99.8	55.2-61.2	54.9-57.5
D. zeae ^T	56.8-60.4	68.6-86.0	67.1-67.3
D. zeae	55.2-61.2	67.4-84.9	65.6-67.1
D. parazeae ^T	55.1-58.1	65.6-67.3	88.1
D. parazeae	54.9-57.8	65.0-67.1	88.1

527 528

529

	Origin	pehN	rhiE	rhiF	pnlG*	pelL	celZ	avrL*	zms	cyt	T4SS
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		VirB
<i>D. zeae</i> NCPPB 2538 ^т	Maize	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
D. zeae MS1	Banana	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
D. zeae MS2	Banana	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
D. zeae MK19	Water	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
<i>D. zeae</i> NCPPB 3532	Potato	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
D. zeae CE1	Canna	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>D. parazeae</i> Ech586	Philodendron	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
<i>D. parazeae</i> S31 [™]	Water	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
D. oryzae $ZYY5^{T}$	Rice	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
D. oryzae DZ2Q	Rice	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
D. oryzae EC1	Rice	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
D. oryzae EC2	Rice	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-

Table 3. Differences in virulence gene repartition among D. zeae, D. parazeae and D. oryzae isolates

D. oryzae ZJU1202	Rice	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
<i>D. oryzae</i> NCPPB 3531	Potato	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
D. oryzae CSL_RW192	Water	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	2
D. oryzae S20	Water	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
D. oryzae FVG03	Water	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
D. oryzae FVG08	Water	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+

* pnlH, avrM, and pemB are absent in all D. zeae, D. parazeae and D. oryzae strains

Table 4. Main phenotypes of *D. zeae*, *D. parazeae* and *D. oryzae* strains.

The model strain Dickeya. dadantii 3937 was used for comparison. Protease (Prt), pectinase (Pel) and cellulase (Cel) activities were detected on specific media [33]. Methods to measure the swimming and swarming motilities as well as the maceration capacity on potato tubers and chicory leaves were previously described [33].

	Extrac	ellular activ	ities	Motility Maceratio				
	Pectate lyase	Cellulase	Protease	Swimming	Swarming	Chicory leaves	Potato tubers	
Dickeya strains	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	g	
D. dadantii 3937	15 <u>+</u> 2	8 <u>+</u> 1	12 <u>+</u> 1	19 <u>+</u> 1	54 <u>+</u> 5	51 <u>+</u> 16	1.68 <u>+</u> 0.82	
D. oryzae DZ2Q	13 <u>+</u> 3	12 <u>+</u> 1	14 <u>+</u> 1	6 <u>+</u> 1	24 <u>+</u> 2	17 <u>+</u> 10	1.77 <u>+</u> 0.67	
D. oryzae NCPPB3531	13 <u>+</u> 2	12 <u>+</u> 1	13 <u>+</u> 1	28 <u>+</u> 2	32 <u>+</u> 5	37 <u>+9</u>	2.31 <u>+</u> 0.89	
D. oryzae S20	15 <u>+</u> 1	14 <u>+</u> 1	13 <u>+</u> 1	22 <u>+</u> 1	11 <u>+</u> 1	43 <u>+</u> 16	2.30 <u>+</u> 1.41	
D. oryzae FVG3	14 <u>+</u> 0,5	16 <u>+</u> 1	13 <u>+</u> 1	23 <u>+</u> 1	40 <u>+</u> 5	84 <u>+</u> 9	2.75 <u>+</u> 0.91	
D. oryzae FVG8	12 <u>+</u> 2	10 <u>+</u> 1	11 <u>+</u> 2	17 <u>+</u> 1	7 <u>+</u> 2	69 <u>+</u> 14	2.21 <u>+</u> 1.33	
<i>D. zeae</i> NCPPB 2538 ^T	16 <u>+</u> 0.5	16 <u>+</u> 2	13 <u>+</u> 2	2 <u>+</u> 0.5	7 <u>+</u> 1	15 <u>+</u> 8	1.39 <u>+</u> 0.39	
D. zeae NCPPB 3532	16 <u>+</u> 0.5	16 <u>+</u> 1	14 <u>+</u> 1	32 <u>+</u> 1	61 <u>+</u> 8	39 <u>+</u> 15	2.87 <u>+</u> 0.73	
D. parazeae $S31^{T}$	13 <u>+</u> 2	11 <u>+</u> 1	11 <u>+</u> 1	29 <u>+</u> 1	50 <u>+</u> 9	68 <u>+</u> 10	1.99 <u>+</u> 0.48	
D. parazeae CFBP 1536	12 <u>+</u> 2	13 <u>+</u> 1	14 <u>+</u> 1	25 <u>+</u> 1	35 <u>+5</u>	47 <u>+</u> 8	2.19 <u>+</u> 0.37	

Table 5. Growth of *D. zeae*, *D. parazeae* and *D. oryzae* strains with different carbon sources

Solidified M63 minimal medium supplemented with a carbon source at 2 g l⁻¹ (Ltart, L-tartarate; Gma, D-glucosaminic acid; Dara, D-arabinose; Mtl, mannitol; Mel, melibiose; Xyl, D-xylose; Ino, myo-inositol; Glu, glutamic acid; Gln glutamine; O, gluconic acid; U glucuronic acid, Rha, L-rhamnose) was used to test bacterial growth after 24 to 72 hours at 30°C.

	Ltart	U	Dara	Mtl	Mel	Xyl	Ino	Rha	0	GmA	Glu	Gln
Dickeya strains												
D. dadantii 3937	_	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	+	_	_	_
D. oryzae DZ2Q		w	w	+	+	w	+	_	w	w	w	w
D. oryzae NCPPB 3531	_	w	w	+	+	w	w	_	+	w	+	+
D. oryzae S20	_	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	w	_	w	w
D. oryzae FVG3	_	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	w	+	_	_
D. oryzae FVG8	_	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	+	_	_	_
<i>D. zeae</i> NCPPB 2538 ^T	w	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	+	+	+	+
D. zeae NCPPB 3532	w	_	w	+	+	w	+	_	+	+	+	+
D. parazeae $S31^{T}$	w	w	w	+	+	w	+	_	+	_	+	+
D. parazeae CFBP 1536	w	w	w	+	+	w	+		+	+	+	+