



HAL
open science

Pretreatment in the Setting of Non–ST-Elevated Acute Coronary Syndrome-When It Is Time to Change

Michel Zeitouni, Jean-Philippe Collet

► **To cite this version:**

Michel Zeitouni, Jean-Philippe Collet. Pretreatment in the Setting of Non–ST-Elevated Acute Coronary Syndrome-When It Is Time to Change. *JAMA Network Open*, 2021, 4 (11), pp.e2134472. 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34472 . hal-03449324

HAL Id: hal-03449324

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03449324v1>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Invited Commentary | Cardiology

Pretreatment in the Setting of Non-ST-Elevated Acute Coronary Syndrome—When It Is Time to Change

Michel Zeitouni, MD; Jean-Philippe Collet, MD, PhD

Early inhibition of thromboxane A₂, adenosine diphosphate, and thrombin is the ultimate goal of antithrombotic treatments prescribed for patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) to prevent the extent of coronary plaque thrombosis and to avoid peri-interventional myocardial infarction (MI). Intravenous administration of aspirin and anticoagulation allows an immediate biological effect whereas the mandatory oral intake and metabolism of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors delay their onset of action. To overcome this limitation, a strategy of pre-treatment, defined as the administration of an oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitor with aspirin to all patients with suspected ACS irrespective of knowledge of the coronary anatomy and possible lesions of the individual patient, has been developed. Although widely adopted for ST-elevated MI undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention, this approach has been debated for more than 20 years in the setting of non-ST-elevated acute coronary syndrome (NSTEMI-ACS) and is now challenged by recent data. Indeed, a more aggressive platelet inhibition does not necessarily lead to less peri-interventional MI and has never been associated with any ischemic benefit, whereas more bleedings in patients with NSTEMI-ACS undergoing CABG surgery¹ or without underlying obstructive coronary artery disease has been established.²

The 2020 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines on management of NSTEMI-ACS do not recommend any more routine pre-treatment with oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors as the standard of care (Class IIIA) but that it may be considered in patients who are not planned to undergo an early invasive strategy and do not have high bleeding risk (Class IIb).³ The main concern of the pretreatment proponents is the potential occurrence of lethal arrhythmias or large MI during the unsafe waiting period from admission to angiography. However, these events are very uncommon and not prevented by pretreatment whatever is the time of the coronary angiogram within the first 48 hours of admission.^{4,5} This shift on pretreatment in the 2020 ESC guidelines on NSTEMI-ACS vs the 2017 ESC update on dual antiplatelet therapy in acute coronary syndrome⁶ was mainly based on 4 randomized trials published within a 18 years' time and a large registry of patients with ACS (ARIAM-Andalucía Registry).⁷

Each of these trials have limitations but they are consistent and in addition, supported by real life data sets.⁷ Of importance, the 2020 ESC guidelines on pre-treatment in NSTEMI-ACS are not contradictory with the recommendation to start therapy with ticagrelor at the time point of diagnosis in patients planned for noninvasive management (IB). The Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) study did not answer the question of pretreatment since all patients were pretreated, including those who were medically managed. However, the debate continues.⁸ The open-label Downstream vs Upstream Strategy for the Administration of P2Y₁₂ Receptor Blockers (DUBIUS) trial was obviously a missing part.⁹ Its primary aim was to compare pretreatment vs no pretreatment using ticagrelor, in patients with NSTEMI-ACS undergoing an early invasive management. Although this trial was underpowered and stopped prematurely, similar event rates were reported with or without pretreatment, for the patients having their angiogram within the first 24 hours or between 24 and 72 hours.

It is now time to change practice as elegantly demonstrated by the systematic review and meta-analysis of Dawson et al who reviewed 7 trials, published during the last 20 years, that evaluated pretreatment with oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors in patients with NSTEMI-ACS.¹⁰ Their key message is that the strategy of systematic pretreatment with a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in patients with NSTEMI-ACS does not

+ Related article

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

confer any ischemic benefit but may be associated with harm. Combining trials with ticagrelor, prasugrel, and clopidogrel, the Australian investigators found no reduction in major adverse cardiac events, but rather a 50% increase in the risk of major bleeding events at 30 days (OR 1.51, 95% CI 1.16-1.97). The association with harm was especially driven by the findings of the Pretreatment at the Time of Diagnosis in Patients with Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (ACCOAST) trial and the Intracoronary Stenting and Antithrombotic Regimen: Rapid Early Action for Coronary Treatment (ISAR-REACT 5) trial and was consistent irrespectively of the type of P2Y12 inhibitor and vascular access used. If interventionalists are concerned about the risk of peri-intervention MI, the use of intravenous P2Y12 inhibitor is an additional option demonstrated to be effective in naïve patients (class of recommendation IIb; level of evidence A).

The 20-year-long tale of whether pretreatment should be used in NSTEMI-ACS is important because it reflects on the too long process of implementation of evidence-based medicine in scientific guidelines and whether interventional cardiologists are able to update their practices. The meta-analysis by Dawson et al is an important step further: pretreatment is associated with harm and does not reduce ischemic events and it is time to change.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Published: November 19, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34472

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2021 Zeitouni M et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Jean-Philippe Collet, MD, PhD, Professor, ACTION Study Group, Institut de Cardiologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47-83 bld de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France (jean-philippe.collet@aphp.fr).

Author Affiliations: Sorbonne Université, ACTION Study Group, INSERM UMRS_1166, Institut de cardiologie (AP-HP), Paris, France.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Collet reported serving as chairman of the European Society of Cardiology 2020 NSTEMI-ACS guidelines and receiving grants from Medtronic and Boston Scientific and personal fees from AstraZeneca and BMS-Pfizer Alliance outside the submitted work.. No other disclosures were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Dudek D, Dziewierz A, Widimsky P, et al; ACCOAST Investigators. Impact of prasugrel pretreatment and timing of coronary artery bypass grafting on clinical outcomes of patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: from the A Comparison of Prasugrel at PCI or Time of Diagnosis of Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (ACCOAST) study. *Am Heart J*. 2015;170(5):1025-1032.e2. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2015.07.017
2. Montalescot G, Bolognese L, Dudek D, et al; ACCOAST Investigators. Pretreatment with prasugrel in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(11):999-1010. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1308075
3. Collet J-P, Thiele H, Barbato E, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(14):1289-1367. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa575
4. Head SJ, da Costa BR, Beumer B, et al. Adverse events while awaiting myocardial revascularization: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2017;52(2):206-217. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezx115
5. Silvain J, Rakowski T, Lattuca B, et al; ACCOAST Investigators. Interval from initiation of prasugrel to coronary angiography in patients with Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73(8):906-914. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.055
6. Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG); ESC National Cardiac Societies. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: The Task Force for Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Coronary Artery Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(3):213-260. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehx419
7. Almendro-Delia M, Gonzalez-Torres L, Garcia-Alcantara A, et al; ARIAM-Andalucía Group. Prognostic impact of clopidogrel pretreatment in patients with acute coronary syndrome managed invasively. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115(8):1019-1026. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.01.531

8. Crea F, Thiele H, Sibbing D, et al. Debate: prasugrel rather than ticagrelor is the preferred treatment for NSTEMI-ACS patients who proceed to PCI and pretreatment should not be performed in patients planned for an early invasive strategy. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(31):2973-2985. doi:[10.1093/eurheartj/ehab277](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab277)
9. Tarantini G, Mojoli M, Varbella F, et al; DUBIUS Investigators; Italian Society of Interventional Cardiology. Timing of oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitor administration in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76(21):2450-2459. doi:[10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.053)
10. Dawson LP, Chen D, Dagan M, et al. Assessment of pretreatment with oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors and cardiovascular and bleeding outcomes in patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(11):e2134322. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34322](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34322)