

Mutational burden and immune recognition of gliomas

Diego Prost, Franck Bielle, Keith L Ligon, Mehdi Touat

▶ To cite this version:

Diego Prost, Franck Bielle, Keith L
 Ligon, Mehdi Touat. Mutational burden and immune recognition of gliomas. Current Opinion in Oncology, 2021, 33 (6), pp.626-634.
 $\,10.1097/{\rm CCO.0000000000000787}$. hal-03474667

HAL Id: hal-03474667 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03474667

Submitted on 10 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Mutational burden and immune recognition of gliomas
3	
4	
5	Diego Prost ¹ , Franck Bielle ² , Keith L. Ligon ^{3,4,5,6} , Mehdi Touat ^{1,7}
6	
7	
8	Sorbonne Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMR S 1127, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle
9	épinière, ICM, AP-HP, Hôpitaux Universitaires La Pitié Salpêtrière - Charles Foix,
10	Service de Neurologie 2-Mazarin, F-75013, Paris, France
11	² Sorbonne Universite, Inserm, CNRS, UMR S 1127, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle
12	epiniere, ICM, AP-HP, Hopitaux Universitaires La Pitie Salpetriere - Charles Foix,
13	Service de Neuropathologie Laboratoire Escourolle, F-75013, Paris, France
14	³ Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA.
15	⁴ Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, MA, USA.
16	³ Department of Oncologic Pathology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston MA USA
1/	^o Department of Pathology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston MA USA
18	Department of Neurology, Brignam and women's Hospital, Boston, USA
19	
20	2800 Words
21	
22	90 relerences
23	
24 25	Correspondence
25	Mobdi Touet MD. Sorvice de Neurologie 2 Mezerin. Hâniteux Universitaires La Ditié
20	

- 27 Salpêtrière Charles Foix, 47-83 boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France; phone :
- 28 +33.1.42.16.03.81; email: mehdi.touat@aphp.fr

29 Abstract

30 **Purpose of review**

Recent evidence suggests high tumor mutational burden (TMB-H) as a predictor of response to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) in cancer. However, results in TMB-H gliomas have been inconsistent. In this article, we discuss the main pathways leading to TMB-H in glioma and how these might affect immunotherapy response.

35 Recent findings

36 Recent characterization of TMB-H gliomas showed that "post-treatment hypermutation" 37 related to mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency is the most common mechanism leading to 38 TMB-H in gliomas. Unexpectedly, preliminary evidence suggested no benefit with ICB 39 as compared to chemotherapy in this population. In contrast, ICB response was reported in a subset of TMB-H gliomas associated with constitutional MMR or polymerase epsilon 40 (POLE) defects (e.g., constitutional biallelic MMRd deficiency). In other cancers, several 41 trials suggest increased ICB efficacy is critically associated with increased lymphocyte 42 43 infiltration at baseline which is missing in most gliomas. Further characterization of the immune microenvironment of gliomas is needed to identify biomarkers to select the 44 patients who will benefit from ICB. 45

46 Summary

Intrinsic molecular and immunological differences between gliomas and other cancers
might explain the lack of efficacy of ICB in TMB-H gliomas. Novel combinations and
biomarkers are awaited to increase immunotherapy response in these cancers.

- 50
- 51

52 Key words

53 Biomarkers; immune checkpoints; immunotherapy; chemotherapy; immune 54 microenvironment.

- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58

59 Introduction

Gliomas are the most common primary tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) [1]. 60 61 They can affect patients of any age. They are frequently aggressive and responsible for high morbidity and mortality. The treatment of gliomas varies depending on accessibility 62 for surgical resection, tumor grade and molecular profile. It typically includes radiation 63 therapy and chemotherapy with alkylating agents such as temozolomide (TMZ) [2-4]. 64 Despite these treatments, relapse is almost inevitable, especially in high-grade gliomas 65 (HGG). Recurrent HGGs are among the most challenging cancers to treat, commonly 66 67 harboring resistance to conventional, targeted therapies and immunotherapies [5].

The development of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) has recently transformed 68 69 the care of various cancer types. Intensive efforts have focused on identifying predictive biomarkers for clinical response to ICBs. Among several markers under investigation, a 70 number of studies showed a positive correlation between ICB response rates and the 71 72 presence of a high tumor mutational burden (TMB-H), defined as the number of coding 73 mutations per megabase (Mb) across the genome [6–11]. These data as well as promising results from the Keynote-158 study led to the tumor-agnostic approval of the 74 75 anti-PD1 pembrolizumab for TMB-H tumors by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 76 in 2020 [12]. However, the correlation between TMB and ICB clinical benefit was mainly 77 driven by data from a limited number of cancers such as melanoma, lung carcinomas and known mismatch repair deficient (MMR-d) cancers, and it remains unclear whether 78 79 TMB-H and MMR-d are universally predictive in rare cancers not represented in these studies [13,14]. Gliomas are one of such cancers, as these tumors typically harbor a 80 81 strong immunosuppressive TME [15] and conflicting data has been reported regarding 82 their benefit from ICB, even in the presence of TMB[16-28].

83 In this review, we discuss recent data on hypermutated gliomas, their mechanism 84 of mutagenesis and the potential role of TMB-H as a prognostic and predictive biomarker 85 for response to chemotherapy and immunotherapy.

86

TMB-H in gliomas: mechanisms and potential role in predicting prognosis and response to conventional therapies.

Cancer somatic mutations are caused by mutational processes of exogenous and endogenous origin which happen during development of each tumor cell and its progeny [29–32]. Each mutational process can involve components of DNA damage or modification, abnormal DNA replication or repair and generates a "mutational signature" (e.g., specific mutational pattern), which can include base substitutions, small insertions and deletions (indels), chromosome rearrangements and copy number abnormalities. Mutational signatures can be extracted from tumor sequencing data (e.g., exome or

genome sequencing) to infer the mutational processes responsible for mutations in
individual samples. Mutations are sometimes associated with the production of foreign
antigens (neoantigens) which are recognized by the immune system and can elicit T cell
immunoreactivity.

The frequency of mutations and underlying mechanisms causing them varies 100 101 greatly across cancers [13,33]. A small subset of cancers (<20% of cancers) show a markedly elevated mutation burden which is referred to as TMB-H (or hypermutation, 102 103 often used for some cancers like gliomas). The mutation burden defining this varies 104 across assays used but is generally higher than 10 mutations per Mb of genome 105 sequenced. Exceptionally (<1% of cancers), an "ultra-hypermutated" (i.e. TMB higher 106 than 100 mutations per Mb) is observed [13]. TMB-H is prevalent in melanoma [34] and 107 lung cancer [35], where the increase in TMB is mainly related to environmental mutagens exposure (tobacco smoke, UV light), and associated with ICB response [36]. In gliomas, 108 109 TMB-H is less common and observed in two distinct contexts associated with unique 110 biology: de novo (i.e., hypermutation present in the newly-diagnosed tumor) and post-111 treatment (i.e. hypermutation only found at recurrence after treatment).

112

113 **De novo hypermutation**

114 De novo hypermutation is found in less than 2% of all newly-diagnosed gliomas [14]. De 115 novo hypermutation in gliomas has been reported in tumors with inherited or somatic 116 defects of the DNA polymerases ε (POLE) and δ (POLD1) or the MMR system, which 117 lead to the loss of polymerase proofreading or DNA replication error repair, respectively 118 (Figure 1). Given its rarity and lack of dedicated prospective trials focusing on these 119 patients, the management of gliomas in patients with de novo TMB-H glioma is not well 120 codified [37].

121 DNA replication fidelity is primarily governed by the DNA polymerases POLE and POLD1 catalytic and proofreading domains. Germline pathogenic mutations in the 122 exonuclease domains of polymerases POLE and POLD1 predispose to adenomatous 123 polyps, colorectal cancer (CRC), endometrial cancer, and more rarely to other 124 malignancies including glioblastoma [26,38], all of which are typically harboring 125 126 hypermutation or ultra-hypermutation [13]. Somatic POLE defects have also been reported in glioblastoma [39]. Very little is known about the phenotype of POLE/POLD1-127 deficient gliomas. Recent studies have suggested an association between POLE/POLD1 128 defects, increased inflammatory infiltrates, and longer survival in gliomas, but these data 129 need further confirmation in larger datasets [40]. 130

The MMR system - consisting mainly of MSH2, MSH6, MLH1 and PMS2 proteins
 - is responsible for recognizing base-base mismatches and indels occurring during DNA

replication and recruiting proteins which excise the newly-synthetized strand before DNA 133 is resynthesized by DNA polymerases [33]. Germline - and less commonly somatic -134 MMR defects have both been reported in de novo hypermutated gliomas. Constitutional 135 (Biallelic) mismatch repair deficiency (CMMR-d) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder 136 caused by germline biallelic MMR mutations, most commonly affecting PMS2, and 137 characterized by early-onset cancers. Gliomas are one of the tumors commonly seen in 138 CMMR-d patients [26,41–45]. They develop at younger age (<10 years). The histology 139 140 is most commonly glioblastomas which are wild-type for other common defining driver 141 events such as H3F3A, IDH1/2, or infant-type receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) 142 aberrations. The prognosis of CMMR-d patients is poor, especially once patients develop 143 brain tumors. In a subset of patients with CMMR-d glioma, secondary hits in the 144 polymerase POLE/POLD1 are acquired, leading to a rapid burst in the mutational burden (ultra-hypermutation) (Figure 1) [13,46]. 145

Lynch syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder caused by germline 146 147 heterozygous inactivating mutations of one of the MMR genes. Patients with Lynch 148 syndrome can develop cancers after a second hit occurring in the remaining wild-type MMR allele leading to MMR loss of function, which typically occurs after the first decade 149 of life. Patients with Lynch syndrome most commonly develop colorectal, urinary, or 150 151 gynecological cancers but can also suffer from high-grade glioma [47,48]. Most gliomas arising in patients with Lynch syndrome are IDH1/2-wild-type glioblastomas and seem to 152 153 have a poor prognosis, although IDH1/2-mutant astrocytomas with MMR-d have also 154 been reported [47,49].

155

156 **Post-treatment hypermutation**

157 Post-treatment hypermutation is the most common cause of TMB-H in gliomas, ranging 158 from 5-60% of gliomas depending on tumor subclass, genetics, and treatment history [50,51]. Post-treatment hypermutation is predominantly seen in gliomas which are known 159 to be the most responsive to chemotherapy [14,50,52–56]. TMZ is an alkylating agent 160 widely used to treat gliomas. Its mechanism of action is based on the production of an 161 intermediate metabolite reaching high concentration in the brain and producing methyl 162 groups in tumor cells DNA, particularly on N7 and O6 guanines residues [57]. The 163 enzyme O6-methylquanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) removes the O6 quanine 164 methyl groups (O6-meG) which are the most cytotoxic lesions [58]. The enzyme is a 165 "suicide" protein and each MGMT protein can only repair one O6-meG residue on DNA, 166 which means the levels of MGMT protein in cells is critical to DNA repair. MGMT 167 168 promoter methylation, which silences its expression, leads to an MGMT-deficient state

(MGMT-d), and is associated with increased sensitivity to TMZ and improved prognosisin patients with glioma [59,60].

In the absence of O6-meG removal (e.g. in MGMT-d tumors), replication of O6-171 meG-containing DNA results in the insertion of a thymine opposite the O6-meG, creating 172 a O6-meG:T mismatch that is recognized by the MMR machinery. Failed attempts to 173 174 repair O6-meG:T mismatch by MMR generate DNA strand breaks, ultimately leading to cell cycle arrest and cell death. This means that TMZ cytotoxicity is critically dependent 175 176 on a functional MMR pathway. Consequently, MMR-d cells (e.g. cells lacking MMR 177 capacity due to mutations in genes encoding MMR proteins) display resistance to 178 alkylating agents such as TMZ [14].

MMR-d cells have increased burden of mutations of several types. Single base 179 180 substitutions are the most common and are the mutation type reported in "TMB" most commonly calculated from sequencing. In addition, MMR deficiency also results in 181 182 mutations (e.g. indels) at tandemly repeated DNA motifs (microsatellites) which lead to 183 microsatellite instability (MSI), a well-known and widely used marker to diagnose MMR 184 deficiency in common MMR-d cancers such as colorectal cancer [61]. The degree to 185 which the MSI/indel repair and MMR are linked in TMB-H cancers is not well understood and in the most common MMR-d cancers they co-occur in almost all cases. 186

187 In gliomas, TMZ-induced DNA damage and hypermutation is now known to be characterized by the acquisition of MMR-d mutations or other alterations but shows a 188 lack of MSI or significantly increased indels. They also harbor a unique MMR-d 189 190 mutational signature (signature 11) specific to TMZ in a setting of cells which cannot 191 repair TMZ-related mismatches due to MMR deficiency. Interestingly, MMR-d gliomas 192 with TMB-H also lack significant inflammatory CD8⁺ infiltrates compared to the most 193 common MMR-d cancers [14,62-64], even when the latter are located in the CNS 194 [65,66].

195

196 Origins of MMR-d cells in post-treatment gliomas and clinical implications

197 The highest rates of TMB-H have been reported in the most chemosensitive subtypes where MGMT promoter methylation is more common (e.g., IDH1/2-mutant astrocytomas 198 and oligodendrogliomas). While recent studies help to define post-treatment TMB-H 199 200 gliomas as an MMR-d cancer, a question that remains unaddressed is whether pre-201 existing MMR-d cells are selected for after treatment response or whether the initial MMR mutations are induced by TMZ in cells and selected for during treatment, or a 202 combination of both processes. Although it is difficult to ascertain the origins of post-203 204 treatment MMR deficiency in individual samples, indirect data suggests that in a subset 205 of samples TMZ induces mutations which cause MMR-d in cells. This is for instance

showed by the fact that the MMR-d inducing hotspot mutation most frequently found in post-treatment hypermutated gliomas (~15%) is an MSH6 T1219I mutation with dominant negative effect found exceptionally in patients with Lynch syndrome [67–71]. This variant results from a C > T transition similar to TMZ-associated lesions and is inducible in in vitro models chronically exposed to TMZ [14].

211 Conflicting data has been reported regarding the prognosis of post-treatment hypermutation. While the GLASS study found no prognostic significance [56,72], two 212 213 retrospective studies suggested that post-treatment hypermutated might have a worse 214 prognosis from recurrence when compared to non-hypermutated recurrences. However, 215 since secondary MMR defects occur in tumors most sensitive, and potentially with the 216 greatest beneficial responses to TMZ, the deleterious effect of secondary MMR 217 deficiency (poor prognosis after relapse) is unlikely to outweigh its positive effects. These results therefore do not argue for the use of TMZ vs nitrosourea-based protocols (e.g. 218 PCV) in lower grade gliomas, especially given that randomized trial data demonstrated 219 220 survival benefit with TMZ in both IDH1/2-wild-type and -mutant tumors [73,74].

221 Regarding treatment response, while data regarding radiation therapy or 222 chemoradiation is currently insufficient [75], consistent evidence shows that MMR-d or TMB-H in gliomas are both predictive biomarkers for resistance to single-agent TMZ. 223 224 Interestingly, experimental data from models and indirect evidence from clinical samples 225 suggest that at least a subset of MMR-d tumors might retain sensitivity to nitrosoureas 226 such as CCNU [14,57,76,77]. This observation might explain at least in part the 227 superiority of the TMZ/CCNU combination with radiation compared to standard 228 chemoradiation with TMZ recently reported in a randomized trial of newly-diagnosed 229 MGMT-d glioblastomas [78]. Further research is needed to address whether the 230 TMZ/CCNU combination or PCV might reduce the risk of post-treatment hypermutation 231 and to characterize the unique resistance mechanisms associated with this combination. Another area of ongoing investigation is whether PARP inhibition might restore TMZ 232 sensitivity in MMR-d glioma cells and therefore prevent the development of post-233 234 treatment hypermutation [79].

235

236 Treatment of hypermutated gliomas with ICB

The concept that TMB-H tumors are capable of presenting immunogenic neoantigens is well established [6]. Neoantigens are recognized and processed by dendritic cells which recruit lymphocytes against tumor neoantigens. In this context, ICB treatment enables lymphocyte proliferation (anti-CTLA-4) and prevents lymphocyte inactivation (anti-PD-1/PDL-1). Melanoma [80], NSCLC [81] and MMR-d tumors with MSI [82] are the classic examples of TMB-H benefiting from ICB, but clinical data in hypermutated glioma as well

243 as other cancer types has been so far inconsistent. Research for biomarkers predicting 244 ICB response in the context of hypermutation is an area of intensive investigation [11,83,84]. A recent pan-cancer study was able to categorize TMB-H tumors in two 245 groups with distinct pattern of ICB response [85]. The first group, enriched with ICB 246 247 responders, consisted of tumors in which increased TMB was associated with a great 248 number of CD8⁺ lymphocytes infiltrates. In contrast, the second group showed no 249 correlation between increased TMB and CD8⁺ infiltration. Of note, the latter group 250 represents the majority of cancers including TMB-H gliomas [16]. These important results 251 clearly indicate TMB is not a universal predictive marker and suggests that additional 252 biomarkers are required to appropriately select the patients most likely to benefit from 253 immunotherapy.

254

255 *Current data in de novo and post-treatment hypermutated gliomas*

ICBs as a treatment has not shown improvement compared to standard of care in newly-256 257 diagnosed and recurrent glioblastomas, although the majority of patients included in these trials were TMB-low gliomas [86]. Unfortunately, similar results have been 258 259 observed even in TMB-H gliomas now. Indeed, while reports suggested that a subset of hypermutated gliomas might benefit from ICB [17], recent retrospective analyses of 260 261 hypermutated or MMR-d gliomas - mostly post-treatment - treated with anti-PD1 suggested that the use of ICB does not translate into clinical benefit (Table 1) [14,24]. 262 263 Nevertheless, given their retrospective nature, further confirmation of these results in 264 prospective studies is warranted (NCT03718767, NCT02658279, NCT04145115).

265 In contrast, in de novo TMB-H gliomas ICB has shown encouraging results 266 reported in series studies [17,20] and in several case reports [17,19,21,22,26–28]. These 267 findings were confirmed in a recent non-peer reviewed pre-print [20]. In this study, the 268 authors analyzed the responses to ICBs of pediatric CMMR-d patients, including patients with glioma. Interestingly, in a subset of tumors with additional POLE/POLD1 defects, 269 significant recruitment of inflammatory CD8⁺ cells and ICB benefit was observed. Even 270 271 though CMMR-d gliomas response to ICBs was lower than in other tumor types developed in the same patients, it remained in appearance superior to the one of TMB-272 273 low gliomas [20].

274

275 **Potential explanations for the low response rates in gliomas**

A number of unique characteristics of hypermutated gliomas with other MMR-deficient tumors could at least in part explain the lack of response to ICB. First, the lack of clonal MSI and predominantly subclonal mutational burden of gliomas with post-treatment hypermutation could be associated with the absence of effective immune responses

against tumor neoantigens [10,14,83,87]. Interestingly, patients with CMMR-d are more 280 likely to harbor POLE/POLD1 defects which lead to ultra-hypermutation and 281 282 accumulation of indels which are much more immunogenic neoantigens [88] (Table 1). This might explain the presence of CD8⁺ cells expressing PDL-1 and increased rate of 283 benefit from ICB in this setting. Furthermore, the absence of significant T lymphocyte 284 infiltrates and ICB response even in some gliomas with de novo MMR-d gliomas (Lynch 285 syndrome) suggest that beyond the nature of tumor neoantigens, specificities in the 286 287 immunosuppressive microenvironment of gliomas - especially in the population of 288 immunosuppressive microglial and macrophage cells which are the dominant immune 289 TME cell types in glial tumors [15] - contribute significantly to gliomas ICB resistance. A 290 proposed mechanism of resistance in non-responders derived from the study of preclinical models is the expansion of Treg (FOX3P⁺) and macrophages in the tumor 291 microenvironment [89]. In this study, increased CD8⁺ infiltrates and INF-y signaling was 292 293 observed in the responders, suggesting that additional biomarkers might enable further 294 subgrouping hypermutated gliomas and identifying ICB responders. The benefit of 295 neoadjuvant PD1 inhibitors may support this as surgery is known to increase the levels 296 of macrophages within the tumors.

297

298 Conclusions

Recent studies have improved our understanding of the mechanisms responsible for 299 TMB-H in gliomas and its potential role as prognostic and predictive biomarker. Efforts 300 301 are ongoing to determine the optimal strategy for use of radiation therapy and 302 chemotherapy in the context of TMB-H. Areas of investigation include the development 303 of non-invasive biomarkers to monitor hypermutation and the investigation of novel 304 therapeutic strategies that will prevent MMR-d acquisition in the most chemosensitive 305 such as oligodendrogliomas (e.g. by using CCNU or PARP inhibition in combination with 306 TMZ) to determine whether preventing TMB-H development might improve patients outcome. As regard immunotherapy strategies, response and clinical benefit is driven by 307 a sum of complex factors which cannot be explained only with the number of mutations 308 309 in tumor exomes. Neoantigen quality seems determinant to responses as well as the presence of effectors immune cells in the TME. Approaches aimed at increasing both 310 tumor infiltration by cytotoxic lymphocytes are therefore likely both necessary in order to 311 improve the response to immunotherapy in gliomas. Among several current strategies 312 under investigation, IL-12 gene therapy combined with ICB showed safety and biological 313 efficacy (production of IFN-y) in HGG patients including one patient with post-treatment 314 315 hypermutation [22,90].

316

Key points TMB-H in gliomas is observed in two distinct contexts associated with unique biology: de novo and post-treatment. De novo TMB-H is observed in tumors with inherited or somatic defects of the DNA

321 polymerases POLE/POLD1 or the MMR system.

TMZ together with MMR-d is responsible for TMB-H and resistance in post-treatment gliomas.

- 324 TMB-H MMR-d gliomas harbor unique characteristics (e.g., low lymphocyte infiltration)
- 325 compared to other cancers where MMR-d is common.
- 326 ICBs response in TMB-H is uncommon except in rare contexts such as CMMR-d.
- 327

328 Acknowledgements

- 329 None
- 330

331 Financial support and sponsorship

- 332 None.
- 333

334 Conflict of interest

FB reports a next-of-kin employed by Bristol Myers Squibb and travel fees funded by Bristol Myers Squibb. KLL reports consulting fees and institutional research support from Bristol Myers Squibb and is a founder and consultant for Travera Inc. MT reports consulting or advisory role from Agios Pharmaceutical, Integragen, and Taiho Oncology,

outside the submitted work; research funding from Sanofi, outside de submitted work.

340

341 **References**

Ostrom Q, Gittleman H, Liao P, et al. Neuro-Oncology CBTRUS Statistical Report:
 Primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United.
 2018;19(January):1–88.

Wen PY, Weller M, Lee EQ, et al. Glioblastoma in adults: a Society for Neuro-Oncology
 (SNO) and European Society of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) consensus review on current
 management and future directions. Neuro Oncol. 2020;22(April):1073–113.

348 3. Weller M, van der Bent M, Preusser M et al. EANO guidelines on the diagnosis and
349 treatment of diffuse gliomas of adulthood. Nat Rev Clin Oncol [Internet]. 2021;18(July 2020):170–
350 86. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-00447-z

Weller, M; van den Bent, M; Tonn J et al. European Association for Neuro-Oncology
 (EANO) guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of adult astrocytic and oligodendroglial gliomas.
 Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(6):e315–29.

Touat M, Idbaih A, Sanson M, et al. Glioblastoma targeted therapy : updated approaches
 from recent biological insights. Ann Oncol. 2017;7(June):1457–72.

- Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, et al. Development of tumor mutation burden as an
 immunotherapy biomarker: Utility for the oncology clinic. Ann Oncol [Internet]. 2019;30(1):44–56.
 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy495
- 359 7. Cristescu R, Mogg R, Ayers M, et al. Pan-tumor genomic biomarkers for PD-1 checkpoint
 blockade-based immunotherapy. Science (80-). 2018;362(6411).
- Yarchoan, M; Hopkins, A; Jaffee E. Tumor Mutational Burden and Response Rate to PD 1 Inhibition. N Engl J Med. 2019;377(25):2500–1.
- 363 9. Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, et al. Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape
 364 determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. Science (80-).
 365 2016;348(6230):124–8.
- Mcgranahan N, Furness AJS, Rosenthal R, et al. Clonal neoantigens elicit T cell
 immunoreactivity and sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. Science (80-).
 2016;351(6280):1463–9.
- 369 11. Keenan TE, Burke KP, Allen EM Van, et al. Genomic correlates of response to immune
 370 checkpoint blockade. Nat Med. 2019;25(3):389–402.
- Marabelle A, Fakih M, Lopez J, et al. Association of tumour mutational burden with
 outcomes in patients with advanced solid tumours treated with pembrolizumab: prospective
 biomarker analysis of the multicohort, open-label, phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. Lancet Oncol.
 2020;21(10):1353–65.
- ** Retrospective analysis of patients from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study for use of
 pembrolizumab in solid tumors. Radiological responses were more often observed in
 patients with TMB-H tumors (29% vs 6% in patients with TMB-low tumors). Provided
 rationale for FDA approval of pembrolizumab in TMB-H tumors.
- 13. Campbell, B; Light, N; Abrizio D et al. Comprehensive Analysis of Hypermutation in
 Human Cancer. Cell [Internet]. 2017;171(5):1042–56. Available from:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.048.
- ** Retrospective pan-cancer analysis of TMB and mutational signatures across a variety
 of human pediatric and adult cancers. Analysis of the mechanisms responsible for TMB H.
- Touat M, Li YY, Boynton AN, et al. Mechanisms and therapeutic implications of
 hypermutation in gliomas. Nature. 2020;580(7804):517–23.

** Retrospective analysis of TMB and mutational signatures in a large cohort of gliomas
 (n=10,294 tumors). Analysis of the mechanisms responsible for TMB-H and description of
 unique phenotype in TMB-H MMR-d gliomas compared to other MMR-d cancers. Lack of
 response to anti-PD1 treatment in a retrospective analysis of 11 patients.

- 15. Thorsson V et al. The Immune Landscape of Cancer. Immunity. 2018;48(4):812–30.
- 392 16. Gromeier M, Brown MC, Zhang G, et al. Very low mutation burden is a feature of inflamed
 393 recurrent glioblastomas responsive to cancer immunotherapy. Nat Commun [Internet].
 394 2021;12(1):1–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20469-6
- 395 17. Bouffet E, Larouche V, Campbell BB, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition for hypermutant
 396 glioblastoma multiforme resulting from germline biallelic mismatch repair deficiency. J Clin Oncol.
 397 2016;34(19):2206–11.

398 ** Case report of 2 CMMR-d patients with hypermutated, MMR-d and POLE-deficient
 399 glioblastomas. First report of benefit with anti-PD1 treatment in TMB-H gliomas.

400 18. Lukas, R; Rodon, J; Becker K et al. Clinical activity and safety of atezolizumab in patients
401 with recurrent glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2018;Nov(140(2)):317–28.

402 * Phase I trial data with atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1) in recurrent glioblastoma. Clinical benefit 403 observed in a TMB-H tumor with POLE-deficiency.

404 19. Sathornsumetee, S; Nunta-aree, S; Cheunsuchon, P et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor
405 in recurrent hypermutated glioblastoma with POLE mutation. Neuro-Oncology Adv.
406 2021;vdab093.

407 * Case report of a patient with TMB-H (POLE deficient) high-grade glioma patient with 408 response to ICB.

409 20. Morgenstern, D; Sudhaman, S; Das A et al. Mutation and Microsatellite Burden Predict
410 Response to PD-1 Inhibition in Children with Germline DNA Replication Repair Deficiency.
411 ResearchSquare. (416):1–40.

412 21. Rittberg R, Harlos C, Rothenmund H, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibition as primary 413 adjuvant therapy for an IDH1-mutant anaplastic astrocytoma in a patient with CMMRD: A case 414 report—usage of immune checkpoint inhibition in CMMRD. Curr Oncol. 2021;28(1):757–66.

415 22. McCord, M; Lukas, R; Amidei C. Disappearance of MMR-deficient subclones after
416 Controlled IL-12 and PD-1 inhibition in a glioma patient. Neuro-Oncology Adv [Internet].
417 2021;vdab045:1–31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/noajnl/vdab045

Anghileri E, Di Ianni N, Paterra R, et al. High tumor mutational burden and T-cell activation
are associated with long-term response to anti-PD1 therapy in Lynch syndrome recurrent
glioblastoma patient. Cancer Immunol Immunother [Internet]. 2021;70(3):831–42. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-020-02769-4

422 * Case report of a patient with de novo TMB-H (POLE-deficient) high-grade glioma patient 423 with response to ICB.

424 24. Lombardi G, Barresi V, Indraccolo S, et al. Pembrolizumab activity in recurrent high-grade
425 gliomas with partial or complete loss of mismatch repair protein expression: A monocentric,
426 observational and prospective pilot study. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(8):1–14.

427 25. Guo X, Wang S, Wang Y MW. Anti-PD-1 plus anti-VEGF therapy in multiple intracranial
428 metastases of a hypermutated, IDH wild-type glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol.
429 2021;Apr(12;23(4)):699–701.

430 26. Johanns TM, Miller CA, Dorward IG, et al. Immunogenomics of Hypermutated
431 Glioblastoma: a Patient with Germline POLE Deficiency Treated with Checkpoint Blockade
432 Immunotherapy. Cancer Discov. 2017;6(11):1230–6.

433 * Case report of a patient with de novo TMB-H (POLE-deficient) high-grade glioma patient 434 with response to ICB.

435 27. AlHarbi M, Ali Mobark N, AlMubarak L, et al. Durable Response to Nivolumab in a
436 Pediatric Patient with Refractory Glioblastoma and Constitutional Biallelic Mismatch Repair
437 Deficiency. Oncologist. 2018;23(12):1401–6.

438 * Case report of a patient with de novo TMB-H high-grade glioma patient with response to 439 ICB.

440 28. Larouche V, Atkinson J, Albrecht S, et al. Sustained complete response of recurrent
441 glioblastoma to combined checkpoint inhibition in a young patient with constitutional mismatch
442 repair deficiency. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2018;65(12):4–5.

443 * Case report of a patient with de novo TMB-H (POLE deficient) high-grade glioma patient
 444 with response to combined nivolumab and ipilimumab after progression on nivolumab.

445 29. Alexandrov LB, Nik-zainal S, Wedge DC, et al. Signatures of mutational processes in 446 human cancer. Nature. 2014;500(7463):415–21.

44730.Stratton MR, Campbell PJ, Futreal PA. The cancer genome. Nature [Internet].4482009;458(7239):719–24.Availablefrom:449http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2821689&tool=pmcentrez&rendertyp450e=abstract

451 31. Alexandrov LB, Kim J, Haradhvala NJ, et al. The repertoire of mutational signatures in 452 human cancer. Nature. 2020;578(7793):94–101.

453 **** Analysis of mutational signatures in human cancers.**

454 32. Vogelstein, B; Papadopoulos, N; Velculescu V et al. Cancer Genome Landscapes.
455 Science (80-). 1986;233(4770):1246.

456 33. Jiricny J. The multifaceted mismatch-repair system. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 457 2006;7(5):335–46.

458 34. Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun L, et al. Genomic and Transcriptomic Features of Response
459 to Anti-PD-1 Therapy in Metastatic Melanoma. Cell [Internet]. 2016;165(1):35–44. Available from:
460 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.065

35. Rizvi H, Sanchez-Vega F, La K, et al. Molecular determinants of response to antiprogrammed cell death (PD)-1 and anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) blockade in patients
with non-small-cell lung cancer profiled with targeted next-generation sequencing. J Clin Oncol.
2018;36(7):633–41.

465 36. Miao D, Margolis CA, Vokes NI, et al. Genomic correlates of response to immune
466 checkpoint blockade in microsatellite-stable solid tumors. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2018;50(9):1271–
467 81. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0200-2

468 37. Alnahhas, I; Rayi, A; Ong, S; Giglio, P; Puduvalli V. Management of gliomas in patients
469 with Lynch syndrome. Neuro Oncol. 2021;Jan 30(23(1)):167–8.

470 38. Vande Perre, P; Siegfried, A; Corsini C et al. Germline mutation p.N363K in POLE is
471 associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer and giant cell glioblastoma. Fam Cancer.
472 2019;Apr(18(2)):173–8.

473 39. Erson-Omay, E; Çaglayan, A; Schultz N et al. Somatic POLE mutations cause an
474 ultramutated giant cell high-grade glioma subtype with better prognosis. Neuro Oncol.
475 2015;17(10):1356–64.

476 40. Pollo, B; Patané, M; Calatozzolo, C; Paterra R. GIANT CELL GLIOBLASTOMAS:
477 ANALYSIS OF MISMATCH-REPAIR (MMR) PROTEINS EXPRESSION, POLIMERASE ε
478 (POLE) MUTATIONS AND THEIR ROLE IN TUMOR IMMUNORESPONSE. Neuro Oncol.
479 2018;Nov(suppl_6):vi165.

480 41. Bakry, D; Aronson, M; Durno C et al. Genetic and clinical determinants of constitutional
481 mismatch repair deficiency syndrome: report from the constitutional mismatch repair deficiency
482 consortium. Eur J Cancer. 2014;Mar(50(5)):987–96.

483 42. Aronson, M; Colas, C; Shuen A et al. Diagnostic criteria for constitutional mismatch repair
484 deficiency (CMMRD): recommendations from the international consensus working group. J Med
485 Genet . 2021;Feb 23(jmedgenet-2020-107627).

*Comprehensive analysis of an international consortium with CMMRd 23 pediatric patients andlongitudinal follow-up.

488 43. Guerrini-Rousseau L, Varlet P, Colas C, et al. Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency–
489 associated brain tumors: report from the European C4CMMRD consortium. Neuro-Oncology Adv.
490 2019;1(1):1–13.

491 44. Lavoine, N; Colas, C; Muleris M et al. Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency
492 syndrome: clinical description in a French cohort. J Med Genet. 2014;Nov(52(11)):770–8.

493 45. Vasen, H; Ghorbanoghli, Z; Bourdeaut F et al. Guidelines for surveillance of individuals
494 with constitutional mismatch repair-deficiency proposed by the European Consortium "Care for
495 CMMR-D" (C4CMMR-D). J Med Genet. 2014;May(51(5)):283–93.

496 46. Shlien A, Campbell BB, De Borja R, et al. Combined hereditary and somatic mutations of
497 replication error repair genes result in rapid onset of ultra-hypermutated cancers. Nat Genet.
498 2015;47(3):257–62.

47. Dodgshun AJ, Fukuoka K, Edwards M, et al. Germline-driven replication repair-deficient
high-grade gliomas exhibit unique hypomethylation patterns. Acta Neuropathol [Internet].
2020;140(5):765–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-020-02209-8

502 48. Therkildsen, C; Ladelund, S; Rambech E et al. Glioblastomas, astrocytomas and 503 oligodendrogliomas linked to Lynch syndrome. Eur J Neurol. 2015;Apr(22(4)):717–24.

Suwala AK, Stichel D, Schrimpf D, et al. Primary mismatch repair deficient IDH-mutant
astrocytoma (PMMRDIA) is a distinct type with a poor prognosis. Acta Neuropathol [Internet].
2021;141(1):85–100. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-020-02243-6

507 50. Hunter, C; Smith, R; Cahill P. A Hypermutation Phenotype and Somatic MSH6 Mutations
508 in Recurrent Human Malignant Gliomas after Alkylator Chemotherapy. Cancer Res.
509 2006;66(8):3987–91.

510 ** First description of post-treatment TMB-H in gliomas treated with TMZ. Suggested 511 mechanistic relationship with MMR-d.

512 51. Yu, Y; Villanueva-Meyer, J; Grimmer, MR; Hilz S. Temozolomide-induced hypermutation 513 is associated with distant recurrence and reduced survival after high-grade transformation of low-514 grade IDH-mutant gliomas. Neuro Oncol. 2021;Apr(5):noab081.

** Retrospective analysis of post-treatment TMB-H in low-grade gliomas and its potential
 prognostic role.

517 52. Cahill DP, Levine KK, Betensky RA, et al. Loss of the mismatch repair protein MSH6 in 518 human glioblastomas is associated with tumor progression during temozolomide treatment. Clin 519 Cancer Res. 2007;13(7):2038–45.

- 520 53. Yip, S; Miao, J; Cahill, D; lafrate J. MSH6 mutations arise in glioblastomas during 521 temozolomide therapy and mediate temozolomide resistance. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;July 522 15(15(14)):4622–9.
- 523 54. Johnson, B; Mazor, T; Chibo, H; Barnes M. Mutational Analysis Reveals the Origin and 524 Therapy-driven Evolution of Recurrent Glioma. Science2. 2014;January 10(343(6167)):189–93.

** Longitudinal genomic analysis of low-grade gliomas showing common development of TMB-H after treatment with TMZ in this population.

527 55. van Thuijl, H; Mazor, T; Johnson, B; Fouse S. Evolution of DNA repair defects during
528 malignant progression of low-grade gliomas after temozolomide treatment. Acta Neuropathol.
529 2015;April(129(4)):597–607.

** Longitudinal genomic analysis of low-grade gliomas showing common development of
 TMB-H after treatment with TMZ in this population. Established relationship between the
 development of TMB-H, MGMT-d and MMR-d.

- 533 56. Caccese M, lus T, Simonelli M, et al. Mismatch-repair protein expression in high-grade 534 gliomas: A large retrospective multicenter study. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(18):1–12.
- 535 57. Dunn GP, Cloughesy TF, Maus M V., et al. Emerging immunotherapies for malignant 536 glioma: From immunogenomics to cell therapy. Neuro Oncol. 2020;22(10):1425–38.
- 53758.Fu D, Calvo J;, Samson L. SERIES: Genomic instability in cancer Balancing repair and538tolerance of DNA damage caused by alkylating agents. Nat Rev Cancer [Internet].5392013;12(2):104–20.Available540http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3586545&tool=pmcentrez&rendertyp541e=abstract
- 542 59. Esteller, M; Garcia-Foncillas, J; Andion E. INACTIVATION OF THE DNA-REPAIR GENE
 543 MGMT AND THE CLINICAL RESPONSE OF GLIOMAS TO ALKYLATING AGENTS. N Engl J
 544 Med. 2000;Nov 9(343(19)):1350–4.
- 545 60. Hegi ME, Diserens A-C, Gorlia T, et al. MGMT Gene Silencing and Benefit from 546 Temozolomide in Glioblastoma . N Engl J Med. 2005;352(10):997–1003.

547 ** Randomized trial data showing prognostic and predictive role of MGMT promoter 548 methylation in newly-diagnosed glioblastoma.

- 61. Ratovomanana, T; Cohen, R; Svrcek M. Performance of Next Generation Sequencing for
 the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Colorectal Cancer with Deficient DNA Mismatch
 Repair. Gastroenterology. 2021;May 13(S0016-5085(21)):02977–2.
- 552 62. Indraccolo S, Lombardi G, Fassan M, et al. Genetic, epigenetic, and immunologic profiling 553 of MMR-deficient relapsed glioblastoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25(6):1828–37.
- 63. Hodges TR, Ott M, Xiu J, et al. Mutational burden, immune checkpoint expression, and
 mismatch repair in glioma: Implications for immune checkpoint immunotherapy. Neuro Oncol.
 2017;19(8):1047–57.
- 557 64. Kloor, M; von Knebel Doeberitz M. The Immune Biology of Microsatellite-Unstable 558 Cancer. Trends Cancer. 2016;Mar(2(3)):121–33.
- 559 65. Sun J, Wang C, Zhang Y, et al. Genomic signatures reveal DNA damage response
 560 deficiency in colorectal cancer brain metastases. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2019;10(1):18–20.
 561 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10987-3
- 562 66. Dunn IF, Du Z, Touat M, et al. Mismatch Repair Deficiency in High-Grade Meningioma:
 563 A Rare but Recurrent Event Associated With Dramatic Immune Activation and Clinical Response
 564 to PD-1 Blockade. JCO Precis Oncol. 2018;(2):1–12.
- 565 67. Berends MJW, Wu Y, Sijmons RH, et al. Molecular and clinical characteristics of MSH6
 566 variants: An analysis of 25 index carriers of a germline variant. Am J Hum Genet. 2002;70(1):26–
 567 37.
- 568 68. Yang G, Scherer SJ, Shell SS, et al. Dominant effects of an Msh6 missense mutation on
 569 DNA repair and cancer susceptibility. Cancer Cell. 2004;6(2):139–50.

69. Geng H, Sakato M, DeRocco V, et al. Biochemical analysis of the human mismatch repair
proteins hMutSα MSH2 G674A-MSH6 and MSH2-MSH6 T1219D. J Biol Chem.
2012;287(13):9777–91.

573 70. Huang K, Mashl RJ, Wu Y et al. Pathogenic germline variants in 10,389 adult cancer. 574 Cell. 2019;173(2):355–70.

575 71. Fiala EM, Jayakumaran G, Mauguen A, et al. Prospective pan-cancer germline testing 576 using MSK-IMPACT informs clinical translation in 751 patients with pediatric solid tumors. Nat 577 Cancer [Internet]. 2021;2(3):357–65. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s43018-021-578 00172-1

579 72. The Glioma Longitudinal Analysis (GLASS) Consortium; Barthel, F; Johnson K.
580 LONGITUDINAL MOLECULAR TRAJECTORIES OF DIFFUSE GLIOMA IN ADULTS. Nature.
581 2019;December(576(7785)):112–20.

582 ** Longitudinal genomic analysis of gliomas from the GLASS consortium.

van den Bent MJ, Tesileanu CMS, Wick W, et al. Adjuvant and concurrent temozolomide
for 1p/19q non-co-deleted anaplastic glioma (CATNON; EORTC study 26053-22054): second
interim analysis of a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(6):813–23.

586 74. Stupp, R; Mason, WP; van den Bent M et al. Radiotherapy plus Concomitant and 587 Adjuvant Temozolomide for Glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2005;Mar 10(352(10)):987–96.

588 75. Martin LM, Marples B, Coffey M, Lawler M, Lynch TH, Hollywood D ML. DNA mismatch
589 repair and the DNA damage response to ionizing radiation: making sense of apparently conflicting
590 data. Cancer Treat Rev . 2010;Nov(36(7)):518–27.

591 76. Wedge SR, Porteous JK, May BL, et al. Potentiation of temozolomide and BCNU 592 cytotoxicity by O6-benzylguanine: A comparative study in vitro. Br J Cancer. 1996;73(4):482–90.

593 77. Wedge SR, Porteous JK, Newlands ES. 3-Aminobenzamide and/or O6-benzylguanine
594 evaluated as an adjuvant to temozolomide or BCNU treatment in cell lines of variable mismatch
595 repair status and O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase activity. Br J Cancer. 1996;74(7):1030–
596 6.

597 78. Herrlinger, U; Tzaridis, T; Mack F. Lomustine-temozolomide combination therapy versus
598 standard temozolomide therapy in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma with methylated
599 MGMT promoter (CeTeG/NOA-09): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Front Oncol.
600 2020;10(18).

** Randomized trial showing the superiority of the TMZ/CCNU combination with radiation compared to standard chemoradiation with TMZ recently reported in a randomized trial of newly-diagnosed MGMT-d glioblastomas.

Figuchi F, Nagashima H, Ning J. Restoration of temozolomide sensitivity by PARP
inhibitors in mismatch repair deficient glioblastoma is independent of base excision repair. Clin
Cancer Res. 2020;26(7):1690–9.

** Preclinical study showing restoration of TMZ sensitivity by adding PARP inhibitors in MMR-d glioblastoma models.

80. Snyder A, Makarov V, Merghoub T, et al. Genetic Basis for Clinical Response to CTLA-4
Blockade in Melanoma. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(23):2189–99.

611 81. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, et al. Pembrolizumab for the Treatment of Non–Small-Cell 612 Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(21):2018–28. 613 82. Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, et al. Mismatch-repair deficiency predicts response of solid 614 tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science (80-). 2017;357(6349):409–13.

615 ** Pan-cancer data showing benefit with PD1 blockade in MMR-d cancers.

- 616 83. Samstein, RM; Lee, CH; Shoushtari A. Tumor mutational load predicts survival after 617 immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. Nat Genet. 2017;176(3):139–48.
- 618 84. Cho , JJ; Stewart, JM; Drashansky T. Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 619 blockade in metastatic melanoma. Science (80-). 2015;Octorber 9(350(6257)):207–11.
- 620 85. McGrail DJ, Pilié PG, Rashid NU, et al. High tumor mutation burden fails to predict
 621 immune checkpoint blockade response across all cancer types. Ann Oncol [Internet].
 622 2021;32(5):661–72. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.02.006

** Retrospective analysis of >10,000 cancer patients suggesting that correlation between TMB-H and ICB response is not observed in tumors in which TMB-H is not associated with increased CD8+ infiltration.

- 86. Reardon DA, Brandes AA, Omuro A et al. Effect of Nivolumab vs Bevacizumab in patients
 with recurrent glioblastoma: the checkmate 143 phase 3 randomized clinical trial.
 2020;6(7):1003–1010. JAMA Oncol. 2020;6(7):1003–10.
- 629 87. Gejman RS, Chang AY, Jones HF, et al. Rejection of immunogenic tumor clones is limited 630 by clonal fraction. Elife. 2018;7:1–22.
- 88. Hodel KP., De Borja R, Henninger EE., et al. Explosive mutation accumulation triggered
 by heterozygous human Pol ε proofreading-deficiency is driven by suppression of mismatch
 repair. Elife. 2018;7:1–25.
- 634 89. Aslan K, Turco V, Blobner J, et al. Heterogeneity of response to immune checkpoint
 635 blockade in hypermutated experimental gliomas. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1–14.

** Preclinical study of ICB in TMB-H glioma models. Analysis of potential mechanisms responsible for ICB resistance observed in a subset of TMB-H models.

- 638 90. Chiocca EA, Yu JS, Lukas R V, et al. Regulatable interleukin-12 gene therapy in patients 639 with recurrent high-grade glioma: Results of a phase 1 trial. Sci Transl Med. 2020;11(505).
- 640

641

642 Figures and tables legends

643 Figure 1. Characteristics associated with de novo (top) and post-treatment (bottom) TMB-H in gliomas. Frequency and distribution across ages represent 644 adults/adolescents/infants. De novo TMB-H tumors are rare and related to young 645 patients. In these tumors, the driver MMR/POLE mutations can be inherited or somatic. 646 647 TMB-H is found in the newly-diagnosed tumor. The combination of increased TMB. increased indels burden (often observed in POLE-deficient tumors), and increased tumor 648 649 infiltration by T cells make these tumors more likely to benefit from ICBs, although the 650 relative contribution of each individual factor is unknown. Post-treatment TMB-H tumors 651 are strongly related to the use of TMZ in chemotherapy sensitive tumors (eg MGMT-d). TMB-H is only found in the recurrent (post-chemotherapy) tumor. Increased tumor 652 653 infiltration and ICB response in this context are both rare.

- 654
- 655

Table 1. Case reports and series of TMB-H gliomas and CNS tumors treated with ICB and other immunotherapy approaches.

- [§] Defined by prolonged disease control or radiological response as assessed by authors.
- A subset of patients in the Morgenstern et al. study [20] showed tumor control after initialflare.
- [†] Post-nivolumab progression data from patient reported in the Bouffet et al. 2016 study
 [17].
- ⁺⁺ POLE deficiency assessed based on mutational signature analysis. PFS of 9.9 months
 and OS of 21.6 months reported for the overall dataset.
- * PFS and OS not available for POLE-deficient vs POLE-proficient cases. PFS of 9.9
 months and OS of 21.6 months reported for the overall dataset.
- 667 Abbreviations: IDH1/2-mut, IDH1/2-mutant; MMR-d, MMR-deficient; POLE-d, POLE-
- deficient; TMZ, temozolomide; RT, radiation therapy; PFS, progression free survival; OS,
- overall survival; na, not available

Table 1.Case reports and series of TMB-H gliomas and CNS tumors treated with ICB and other in

§ Defined by prolonged disease control or radiological response as assessed by authors. A subset (

+ Post-nivolumab progression data from patient reported in the Bouffet et al. 2016 study [17].

++ POLE deficiency assessed based on mutational signature analysis.

* PFS and OS not available for POLE-deficient vs POLE-proficient cases. PFS of 9.9 months and OS (Abbreviations: IDH1/2-mut, IDH1/2-mutant; MMR-d, MMR-deficient; POLE-d, POLE-deficient; TM

Study	IDH1/2-mut	MMR-d	Stage	Prior TMZ	
POLE-deficient					
[17] Bouffet et al. 2016 (n=2)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	Recurrence	1 (50%)	
[26] Johanns et al. 2017 (n=1)	0 (0%)	NA	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[28] Larouche et al. 2018 (n=1) †	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[27] Anghileri et al. 2021 (n=1) ††	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[19] Sathornsumetee et al. 2021 (n=1)	0 (0%)	NA	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[20] Morgenstern et al. 2021 (n=19)	na	19 (100%)	na	na	
POLE-proficient					
[14] Touat et al. 2020 (n=11)	3 (27.2%)	11 (100%)	Recurrence	11 (100%)	
[22] McCord et al. 2021 (n=1)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[20] Morgenstern et al. 2021 (n=8)	na	8 (100%)	na	na	
POLE status na					
[24] Lombardi et al. 2020 (n=13)	4 (30.7%)	13 (100%)	na	13 (100%)	
[21] Rittberg et al. 2021 (n=1)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	Primary	0 (0%)	
[27] Alharbi et al. 2018 (n=1)	na	1 (100%)	Recurrence	1 (100%)	
[20] Morgenstern et al. 2021 (n=4)	na	4 (100%)	na	na	

nmunotherapy approaches.

of patients in the Tabori et al. study [20] showed tumor control after init

of 21.6 months reported for the overall dataset.

Z, temozolomide; RT, radiation therapy; PFS, progression free survival; O

Prior RT	Clinical benefit §	PFS months	OS months
2 (100%)	2 (100%)	9; 11	NA
1 (100%)	1 (100%)	2	NA
1 (100%)	1 (100%)	30 months, ongoing	30 months, ongoing
1 (100%)	1 (100%)	13	80,4
1 (100%)	1 (100%)	15	20
na	13 (68.4%)	na *	na *
11 (100%)	0 (0%)	1,38	8,07
1 (100%)	0 (0%)	2	na
na	1 (12.5%)	na *	na *
13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2,2	5,4
0 (0%)	1 (100%)	20	NA
1 (100%)	1 (100%)	10	na
na	3 (75%)	na *	na *

ial flare.

S, overall survival; na, not available

Notes			
Both patients had combined MMR-d and POLE-d (CMMR-d); one of patients is included in Larouche et al. [86]			
Patient with germline POLE deficiency			
Response to combined nivolumab and ipilimumab after progression on nivolumab			
De novo TMB-H patient with high lymphocyte infiltration and high burden of clonal variants in both primary and recurrent tumor samples			
Clinical benefit with combined bevacizumab and pembrolizumab			
Higher TMB, indel burden, T-cell infiltration and PDL1 expression in tumors with combined MMR-d and POLE-d as compared to MMR-d only tumors			
De novo (5) and post-treatment (6) TMB-H samples			
Loss of MMR-d clones after local IL-12 and anti-PD-1 combination therapy			
Significantly less response seen compared to POLE-deficient cases			
Neither TMB nor CD8+ T-cell infiltration associated with pembrolizumab activity			
CMMR-d patient			
CMMR-d patient			
Tumor sequencing data not available. 2 Lynch and 2 CMMR-d patients			



Hypermutation associated with a "cold" tumor microenvironment > uncommon response to ICB