

The chemosensory transcriptome of a diving beetle

Nicolas Montagné, Muriel Jager, Thomas Chertemps, Emma Persyn, Yan Jaszczyszyn, Camille Meslin, Emmanuelle Jacquin-Joly, Michaël Manuel

▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Montagné, Muriel Jager, Thomas Chertemps, Emma Persyn, Yan Jaszczyszyn, et al.. The chemosensory transcriptome of a diving beetle. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2021, 9, pp.773915. 10.3389/fevo.2021.773915 . hal-03510084

HAL Id: hal-03510084 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03510084v1

Submitted on 4 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





The Chemosensory Transcriptome of a Diving Beetle

Nicolas Montagné^{1*†}, Muriel Jager^{2†}, Thomas Chertemps¹, Emma Persyn², Yan Jaszczyszyn³, Camille Meslin¹, Emmanuelle Jacquin-Joly¹ and Michaël Manuel^{2*}

¹ Sorbonne Université, INRAE, CNRS, IRD, UPEC, Université de Paris, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences of Paris (iEES-Paris), Paris, France, ² Sorbonne Université, MNHN, CNRS, EPHE, Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB UMR 7205), Paris, France, ³ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Rui Tang, Institute of Zoology, Guangdong Academy of Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Hao Guo, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China Zhongzhen Wu, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, China

*Correspondence:

Nicolas Montagné nicolas.montagne@ sorbonne-universite.fr Michaël Manuel michael.manuel@upmc.fr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Chemical Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

Received: 10 September 2021 Accepted: 17 November 2021 Published: 03 December 2021

Citation:

Montagné N, Jager M, Chertemps T, Persyn E, Jaszczyszyn Y, Meslin C, Jacquin-Joly E and Manuel M (2021) The Chemosensory Transcriptome of a Diving Beetle. Front. Ecol. Evol. 9:773915. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.773915 Insects astoundingly dominate Earth's land ecosystems and have a huge impact on human life. Almost every aspect of their life relies upon their highly efficient and adaptable chemosensory system. In the air, most chemical signals that are detected at long range are hydrophobic molecules, which insects detect using proteins encoded by multigenic families that emerged following land colonization by insect ancestors, namely the odorant-binding proteins (OBPs) and the odorant receptors (ORs). However, landto-freshwater transitions occurred in many lineages within the insect tree of life. Whether chemosensory gene repertoires of aquatic insects remained essentially unchanged or underwent more or less drastic modifications to cope with physico-chemical constraints associated with life underwater remains virtually unknown. To address this issue, we sequenced and analyzed the transcriptome of chemosensory organs of the diving beetle Rhantus suturalis (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae). A reference transcriptome was assembled de novo using reads from five RNA-seg libraries (male and female antennae, male and female palps, and wing muscle). It contained 47,570 non-redundant unigenes encoding proteins of more than 50 amino acids. Within this reference transcriptome, we annotated sequences coding 53 OBPs, 48 ORs, 73 gustatory receptors (GRs), and 53 ionotropic receptors (IRs). Phylogenetic analyses notably revealed a large OBP gene expansion (35 paralogs in R. suturalis) as well as a more modest OR gene expansion (9 paralogs in R. suturalis) that may be specific to diving beetles. Interestingly, these duplicated genes tend to be expressed in palps rather than in antennae, suggesting a possible adaptation with respect to the land-to-water transition. This work provides a strong basis for further evolutionary and functional studies that will elucidate how insect chemosensory systems adapted to life underwater.

Keywords: ecological transitions, freshwater insects, Dytiscidae, chemical ecology, chemosensory receptors, odorant-binding proteins

INTRODUCTION

Chemical senses are at the crossroad between an animal and its environment, and thus play a key role in species adaptation (Yohe and Brand, 2018). Over hundreds of millions of years, insects have remained tremendously diverse and ecologically successful in every kind of continental ecosystem on Earth. This owes a great deal to the characteristics and evolvability of their chemosensory system,

1

finely tuned to detect chemical cues in an aerial environment. Although the vast majority of insect species are terrestrial, there are also many insects that live in freshwater habitats, where they are abundant and diversified worldwide (Dijkstra et al., 2014). All are members of lineages derived from terrestrial ancestors, which have secondarily adapted to life in water. This raises the question of how the fundamentally aerial chemosensory equipment of insects has been remodeled in these lineages, to cope with the drastically different physico-chemical constraints associated with an aquatic environment. Indeed, the compounds most readily displaced over long distances in water are predominantly hydrophilic whereas those displaced in the air are mainly hydrophobic (Mollo et al., 2014). Until recently, it was thought that aquatic animals were only able to detect hydrophilic molecules. However, hydrophobic compounds are also prevalent in aquatic ecosystems, and it has recently been shown that marine shrimps and freshwater fish can perceive hydrophobic molecules, either by contact or at short-range (Giordano et al., 2017).

In aerial insects, olfactory sensory neurons-involved in longrange chemodetection-are mainly localized on antennae but can also be found on other body parts, including maxillary and labial palps, depending on the taxa (Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011). Gustatory sensory neurons-involved in short-range or contact chemodetection-are found on palps and legs, as well as antennae, wings and ovipositors (Montell, 2009). Detection of odorants and tastants by these peripheral neurons is mediated by different families of chemoreceptor proteins localized in their dendritic membrane. Among these, an insect-specific family of chemoreceptors called odorant receptors (ORs) arose after waterto-land transition (ca. 410 My ago), in a common ancestor of Ectognatha (Brand et al., 2018). ORs are expressed in olfactory neurons and bind airborne hydrophobic molecules (e.g., terpenoids, benzenoids, fatty acid derivatives, ...). They are transmembrane proteins associated in heteromers with a unique co-receptor named Orco, forming a non-selective cation channel that opens upon ligand binding (Wicher and Miazzi, 2021). In addition to ORs, two major chemoreceptor families have been characterized through genomic and functional studies in aerial insects. The gustatory receptors (GRs) belong to the same superfamily as ORs, though they are not specific to insects (Eyun et al., 2017; Robertson, 2019). They are expressed in gustatory sensory neurons and some have been shown to bind CO₂, sugars or bitter molecules (Isono and Morita, 2010; Robertson, 2019; Xu, 2020). The third family of chemosensory receptors in insects are the ionotropic receptors (IRs), also found in other protostomes (Croset et al., 2010). To date, the function of IRs has been studied mainly in Drosophila, where they are expressed in olfactory, gustatory and other sensory neurons and form complexes with several co-receptors (Silbering et al., 2011; Sanchez-Alcaniz et al., 2018). Antennal IRs are primarily responsible for the detection of volatile hydrophilic molecules such as acids and amines, but some are also involved in temperature or humidity sensing (van Giesen and Garrity, 2017; Rimal and Lee, 2018). Outside of Drosophila, involvement of antennal IRs in odorant detection has also been demonstrated in Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera

(Shan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019, 2021). Whatever the family considered, the size of chemoreceptor repertoires varies widely among insects, from ten to several hundreds of genes per species. This variability reflects their rapid evolution following a birthand-death process, characterized by numerous gene duplications and losses (Robertson, 2019).

In addition to receptors, other chemosensory gene families are involved in the detection of chemical cues in insects. This is notably the case of odorant-binding proteins (OBPs), secreted in high concentration in the lymph of olfactory sensilla, where they solubilize hydrophobic molecules to facilitate their transport to the chemoreceptors. These proteins thus contribute to the sensitivity of the olfactory system in aerial insects (Brito et al., 2016; Rihani et al., 2021). In addition to OBPs, which are insect-specific, other soluble proteins such as chemosensory proteins (CSPs) and Niemann-Pick C2 (NPC2) proteins are suspected to play a role in chemical senses (Pelosi et al., 2014). The lymph of olfactory sensilla also contains large amounts of odorant-degrading enzymes, belonging to various enzymatic families, important for rapid signal termination following receptor activation (Leal, 2013; Chertemps and Maïbèche, 2021).

The evolution of chemosensory genes has likely played a major role in adaptation of insects to freshwater habitats. However, we know virtually nothing about chemosensory genes in aquatic insects besides pioneering works in mosquito larvae (Xia et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010; Ruel et al., 2018). In this study, we aimed to gain insights into evolutionary changes that affected chemosensory gene repertoires after land-to-water transition, through transcriptome analysis of chemosensory organs of a diving beetle (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae). Among the many Coleoptera lineages in which such transitions occurred (Short, 2018), Dytiscidae exhibit the highest degree of adaptation to an aquatic life. Adult diving beetles are capable of flying from one water body to another, but they spend most of their life in water and notably feed and reproduce only underwater. They are predaceous animals, like most other members of the Coleoptera suborder Adephaga, and chemical senses may play a prominent role in prey detection (Culler et al., 2014). Morphological studies have indicated that porous sensilla at the surface of antennae and palps of Dytiscidae differ from those of their closest terrestrial cousins, the Carabidae (Baker, 2001). In diving beetles, there is experimental evidence suggesting that the antennae play a role in chemoreception both underwater and in the air, whereas the palps detect chemical stimuli in the liquid medium only (Hodgson, 1953). Furthermore, active movements of the maxillary palps occur at rest in response to exposure to food odors, during subsequent swimming when attempting to locate preys, and upon feeding (M. Manuel, personal observations). However, very little is known concerning the physiology of these chemosensory structures in diving beetles.

Here we have sequenced, assembled and analyzed the transcriptomes of antennae and palps from adult males and females of the diving beetle species *Rhantus suturalis* Macleay, 1825. This species is of moderate size (10.5–12.5 mm), is common and widespread from western Europe and North Africa through Asia to northern Australia, and lives in a wide variety

of freshwater lentic habitats, with a preference for more or less temporary ponds in open environments. Species of the genus Rhantus are prominent predators of mosquito larvae (Culler et al., 2014). Furthermore, it was recently demonstrated that R. suturalis males are attracted underwater by a sex pheromone of unknown composition emitted by females (Herbst et al., 2011). The R. suturalis transcriptome was used to annotate genes belonging to major families of soluble proteins and transmembrane receptors responsible for semiochemical detection in insects, and to estimate their expression levels in antennae and palps. In parallel to this candidate gene approach, we also searched genes specifically expressed in these chemosensory tissues relative to wing muscle, and genes specifically expressed in one sex vs. the other. By doing so, our main goal was to identify features of the diving beetle chemosensory gene toolkit that may reflect specificities associated with an aquatic life, notably expansions or contractions of gene repertoires and unusual expression patterns in antennae and palps when compared to terrestrial insects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Preparation and RNA Extraction

Adult males and females of R. suturalis were collected in several ponds in the Fontainebleau forest (Bois-le-Roi, ca. 48°28'N 2°39'E, France) and kept alive in the laboratory in small water tanks. Ablations of antennae and palps were performed under a Leica MZ16 stereomicroscope, with the specimen placed upside down and immobilized in a custom device. Appendages were removed by grasping with forceps at the most basal article (antennomere or palpomere I). Samples and numbers of individuals used for each sample (in brackets) were as follows: female antennae (50), male antennae (45), female palps (maxillary and labial palps mixed in the same sample, 79), male palps (69) and wing muscle (one male individual), leading to a total of five samples. Each specimen was killed in liquid nitrogen immediately after appendage ablations. Each appendage was rinsed in RNAse free water, then immediately transferred into a 2 ml tube on ice containing 500 µl TRIzolTM Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and a mixture of micro-ceramic beads. Tubes were frozen during at least one night at -20° C.

For RNA extraction, 300 μ l of TRIzolTM Reagent was added to the tubes prior to grinding, lysis and homogenization (3 × 30 s, then 5 min on ice, then 3 × 30 s all cycles at 5,000 rpm) in a Minilys homogenizer (Bertin technologies SAS, Montigny Le Bretonneux, France). The liquid phase was then separated from the beads and total RNA was extracted using the phenol/chloroform method as described in the TRIzolTM Reagent user guide. Total RNA was treated with TURBO DNase (Thermo Fischer Scientific) according to manufacturer's instructions, then purified and concentrated using the RNeasy[®] MinEluteTM Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA quality and quantity were measured using a ND-1,000 NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All RNA samples were also analyzed on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) to determine their RNA Integrity Numbers (RIN). All measured RIN were > 7.

RNA Sequencing and *de novo* Transcriptome Assembly

cDNA library construction and sequencing were carried out at the high-throughput sequencing facility of the Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Libraries have been prepared using the Illumina TruSeq mRNA Stranded kit, with minor modifications allowing to obtain long cDNA inserts. Sequencing (150-bp paired-end reads) was performed using a NextSeq500 instrument. Data processing and analysis (summarized in Supplementary Figure 1) was performed on the Galaxy server hosted by the BioInformatics Platform for Agro-ecosystems Arthropods (Rennes, France). Quality check was done with FastQC 0.69 and reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic 0.32 (Bolger et al., 2014). Parameters were as follow: Sliding window = 4 bases; average quality = 20; minlen = 30 bases; headcrop = 10 bases; trailing min. quality = 20. Clean reads from the five samples were assembled using Trinity 2.4 (Grabherr et al., 2011), with the following parameters: min contig length = 200, min count for k-mers to be assembled = 1. Coding sequences were extracted from the reference transcriptome using Transdecoder 3.0 (Haas et al., 2013) with a minimum protein length of 50 amino acids. Redundant sequences were then clustered using CD-HIT-EST 1.3 (Fu et al., 2012) with a similarity threshold of 0.9 and a word size of 8.

Transcriptome Analysis

Transcriptome quality was estimated using BUSCO 3.0 (Simao et al., 2015) with the insecta_odb9 dataset. For transcript annotation, coding sequences were first translated with Transeq 5.0 (Rice et al., 2000). Then, an alignment search was performed using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al., 2015) on the NCBI non-redundant (nr) protein sequence database (access March 2020) with the "more sensitive" mode, a BLOSUM62 scoring matrix and a maximum *e*-value of 1e-05. In parallel with the alignment search strategy, a protein domain analysis was performed with hmmscan 3.2 (Finn et al., 2011) using the Pfam-A hidden Markov model database (access May 2020; El-Gebali et al., 2019) and default parameters.

To measure expression levels, reads generated for each of the five libraries were aligned on the reference transcriptome with HISAT 2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2015). Transcript abundance was then measured in each sample as FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped) with the Cufflinks 2.2.1 suite (Trapnell et al., 2012), with default parameters. Heatmaps were built using log_2 (FPKM + 1) values. Transcripts specifically expressed in chemosensory organs (antennae or palps) vs. wing muscle and transcripts specifically expressed in chemosensory organs of one sex vs. the other were identified using the Cuffdiff tool, with a False Discovery Rate of 0.05. Amino acid sequences translated from these transcripts were used as queries to search the UniProt database (access May 2020) using BLASTp (Johnson et al., 2008), with a *e*-value cutoff of 1e-03.

For the comparison of chemosensory gene expression bias in antennae and palps of *R. suturalis* and *Tribolium castaneum*, data used for *T. castaneum* were RPKM values provided in previously published transcriptomic analyses (Dippel et al., 2014, 2016). For each family (OBP, OR, GR, IR), orthologous relationships between *R. suturalis* and *T. castaneum* genes were determined using neighbor-joining phylogenies built with Seaview 4.7 (Gouy et al., 2010). Genes with FPKM or RPKM values < 1 in both tissues and genes with no orthologous relationship identified were discarded.

Annotation of Chemosensory Genes

For each gene family to be annotated, datasets containing amino acid sequences manually annotated in other coleopteran species were first created. The OR dataset consisted in sequences previously annotated (Mitchell et al., 2020) in the genomes of Calosoma scrutator (Carabidae), Nicrophorus vespilloides (Silphidae), Agrilus planipennis (Buprestidae), Anoplophora glabripennis (Cerambycidae), and Dendroctonus ponderosae (Curculionidae). The GR, IR, OBP, and CSP datasets contained sequences from A. glabripennis (McKenna et al., 2016), A. planipennis, and D. ponderosae (Andersson et al., 2019). The NPC2 dataset contained sequences from T. castaneum (Pelosi et al., 2014). These amino acid sequences were used as queries to search the R. suturalis reference transcriptome using tBLASTn 2.5 (Cock et al., 2015) with an e-value cutoff set at 1e-10. In parallel, results of the hmmscan analysis were mined for the following domains: pfam03392, OS-D Insect pheromone-binding family (CSPs); pfam01395, PBP/GOBP family (OBPs); pfam02949, 7tm_6 Odorant receptor (ORs); pfam08395, 7tm_7 Chemosensory receptor (GRs); pfam00060, Ligand-gated ion channel (IRs). To verify annotations and eliminate false positive hits, amino acid translations of the unigenes were searched against the NCBI nr database using BLASTp (Johnson et al., 2008). In some cases, redundant unigenes encoding the same protein but not clustered by CD-HIT-EST were manually clustered to rebuild a longer sequence. The presence of signal peptides within sequences of soluble protein precursors was predicted with SignalP 4.1 (Nielsen, 2017) and the presence of transmembrane domains within sequences of candidate chemoreceptors was predicted with TMHMM 2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001).

Phylogenetic Analyses

To rebuild the phylogenies of the CSP, OBP, OR, GR, and IR families, amino acid sequences from *R. suturalis* were aligned with the coleopteran sequences described above. Datasets were purged of predicted pseudogenes and isoforms resulting from alternative splicing. CSP, OBP, and IR alignments were performed with MAFFT 7 (Katoh et al., 2019) and OR and GR alignments were performed with Muscle (Edgar, 2004) as implemented in Seaview 4.7 (Gouy et al., 2010), then manually curated. The best model of amino acid substitution was determined by SMS (Lefort et al., 2017) and trees were calculated with the maximum-likelihood method using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010), with a mix of SPR and NNI algorithms. Node support was assessed

with the SH-like approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) as implemented in PhyML (Anisimova and Gascuel, 2006).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Relative gene expression levels were estimated by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) for 12 selected genes (3 genes for each of the OBP, OR, IR, and GR families) showing contrasted expression profiles in RNAseq results, in order to validate gene expression data derived from RNAseq. qPCR estimates were performed on RNA preparations independent from those used for RNAseq, and with maxillary and labial palps treated as two distinct samples. Twenty-five R. suturalis adults (11 males and 14 females) were collected in a pond in Rue (Somme, France) in October 2020 and kept alive in the lab for a few weeks before sample preparation. Dissections and RNA extractions were performed as described above, except that maxillary and labial palps were separated and that male and female tissues were mixed. First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using 500 ng of total RNA, oligo-dT primer and SuperScriptTM II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fischer Scientific). The pooled cDNA used to build standard curves for calculating PCR efficiencies was synthesized from a mix of 200 ng of RNA from antennae, 100 ng of RNA from each palp and 100 ng of RNA from wing muscle. Specific primer pairs were designed with OligoAnalyzerTM (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) to amplify fragments between 150 and 230 bp (primer sequences are given in Supplementary Table 3). These genes were selected based on the RNAseq data in order to have-for each family-one gene expressed in both antennae and palps, one antenna-biased gene and one palp-biased gene. The gene encoding the ribosomal protein RsutRPL13 was used as the reference gene.

qPCR assays were performed in technical duplicates on the pooled cDNA dilutions (undiluted, 1/5, 1/25, 1/50, 1/100, 1/200, 1/400), and in technical triplicates on the four cDNA samples (antennae, maxillary palps, labial palps, wing muscle). The qPCR mix contained 2 µl of cDNA (or water for the negative controls), 8 µl of SsoAdvancedTM universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and 500 nM of both gene-specific primers, in a final volume of 10 µl. PCR reactions were run in 96-well plates, in a CFX96 TouchTM Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) with the following thermal cycling conditions: 98°C for 4 min; 40 amplification cycles at 98°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min. After amplification, melt curve analyses were performed by gradual heating from 65 to 95°C at 0.5°C.s⁻¹. Only one peak was detected for each sample. The slopes of the standard curves were calculated and the amplification efficiency was estimated as $E = (10^{-1/slope})$. Mean normalized expression of the target genes were calculated with Q-Gene (Simon, 2003).

RESULTS

The *Rhantus suturalis* Reference Transcriptome

Illumina sequencing of the five libraries generated a total of 526 million pairs of raw reads. After trimming, we finally obtained 72,793,754 pairs of clean reads for female antennae, 104,087,147



pairs for female maxillary and labial palps, 85,494,452 pairs for male antennae, 94,355,486 pairs for male palps and 86,825,522 pairs for the male wing muscle sample. These clean reads were pooled and assembled into ~300,000 contigs, which led to a reference transcriptome of 47,570 unigenes encoding proteins of more than 50 amino acids (**Supplementary Figure 1**). The BUSCO analysis revealed a good completeness of this reference transcriptome (only 4.1% of missing genes) as well as a very low level of redundancy (2.7% of duplicated sequences). We found corresponding hits in the NCBI nr protein sequence database for 26,403 of the 47,750 unigenes, and identified conserved protein domains (from the Pfam database) for 27,553 unigenes.

Soluble Proteins Potentially Involved in Chemical Sensing

We annotated 53 transcripts encoding candidate OBPs (including 17 full-length coding sequences) in the reference transcriptome (Supplementary Table 1). Among these 53 RsutOBPs, we identified two members of the Plus-C clade (RsutOBP1-2), an OBP sub-family characterized by a number of cysteine residues above six (the number usually observed in OBPs), eight members of the Antennal Binding Protein II clade (RsutOBP45-52) and a single member of the Minus-C clade (RsutOBP53), characterized by the presence of only four cysteine residues instead of six (Figure 1). The other RsutOBPs clustered in clades generally referred to as "classical OBPs" (Dippel et al., 2014; Andersson et al., 2019). Among them, we identified a remarkable lineagespecific expansion, with RsutOBP5-39 (i.e., 35 out of the 53 OBPs) belonging to a single clade, which contained only two OBPs from *D. ponderosae* and one OBP from both *A. planipennis* and A. glabripennis.

Although the lack of biological replicates did not allow to unambiguously demonstrate differential gene expressions between tissues, we used FPKM values to estimate transcript abundance in each tissue. Moreover, quantitative real-time PCR on selected genes exhibiting contrasted expression patterns further confirmed the results obtained by RNAseq (Supplementary Figure 2). Reliable expression in chemosensory organs (i.e., antennae and palps) was observed for 48 of the 53 RsutOBP unigenes annotated, with no visible sexual dimorphism (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1). Among these, we found 17 RsutOBPs exhibiting similar FPKM values in antennae and palps. This was notably the case for members of the Plus-C (RsutOBP1 and 2) and Minus-C (RsutOBP53) clades. Eight RsutOBPs appeared more expressed in antennae than palps (ratio of FPKM values above 4), all but one (RsutOBP45-52) belonging to the ABPII clade. Finally, 23 RsutOBPs seemed more expressed in palps than in antennae and most of these OBPs belong to the large RsutOBP expansion (RsutOBP5-39).

In addition to OBPs, we annotated four *R. suturalis* transcripts encoding CSPs and three transcripts encoding NPC2 proteins (**Supplementary Table 1**). RsutCSPs belonged to conserved lineages in the coleopteran CSP phylogeny (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Among the four candidate CSPs, two were highly expressed in chemosensory organs and therefore likely to be involved in chemical sensing: RsutCSP3 was expressed in



FIGURE 2 | Heatmap showing expression levels of *R. suturalis* transcripts encoding OBPs. Color coding is based on log₂(FPKM + 1) values; raw FPKM values are available in **Supplementary Table 1**. Transcripts were classified in three categories, from top to bottom: equally expressed in antennae and palps; more expressed in antennae; more expressed in palps. A transcript was classified as more expressed in a specific tissue when the ratio of FPKM values was at least four-fold. Transcripts with FPKM values lower than 1 in all tissues are not shown.

antennae of both sexes whereas RsutCSP4 exhibited extremely high expression levels in both antennae and palps, as well as faint expression in muscle. None of the NPC2 proteins identified was specific to chemosensory organs, with RsutNCP2_2 and 3 being moderately expressed in all tissues investigated (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Candidate Chemosensory Receptors

Altogether, we annotated transcripts encoding 48 ORs (30 fulllength), 73 GRs (47 full-length) and 53 IRs (24 full-length) in the reference transcriptome (Supplementary Table 1). The R. suturalis ORs were distributed among six of the eight clades of the coleopteran OR phylogeny (Figure 3). Within each clade, RsutORs clustered with ORs annotated in the ground beetle C. scrutator (Carabidae), also belonging to the suborder Adephaga. We found no RsutOR belonging to clades 5 and 6, but RsutOR9 and four C. scrutator ORs formed a clade that could be specific to Adephaga, with no Polyphaga representative. As ORs are the only chemosensory genes that have been annotated in C. scrutator, the OR tree is the only one in which duplications more recent than the divergence of the dytiscid lineage with respect to that of the terrestrial carabids can be identified. In addition to a few instances of such duplications that involve only two or three R. suturalis genes (e.g., RsutOR1-3 and RsutOR6 and 7, within clade 1), a significant OR expansion was apparent within clade 3, which gave rise to 9 R. suturalis paralogs (RsutOR26-34). As expected, the OR family member with the highest expression level was the obligate co-receptor RsutOrco, expressed at high levels in both antennae and palps (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 1). Most RsutORs (31 out of 48) were found reliably expressed only in male and female antennae, some with high expression levels. However, eight RsutORs appeared expressed in palps and not in antennae. All but one (RsutOR1) belong to the RsutOR expansion within clade 3 (RsutOR26-34). Interestingly, the two palpal RsutOR genes studied by qRT-PCR were found expressed in maxillary palps but not labial palps (Supplementary Figure 2).

In the GR phylogeny, RsutGR1-3 belong to the candidate CO2 receptor clade, RsutGR4 and 5 to the candidate sugar receptor clade, and RsutGR10 to the candidate fructose receptor clade (Figure 5). The vast majority of GRs identified in R. suturalis (RsutGR15-70) belong to a single clade of the GR phylogeny without reported putative function. This clade contained only a few representatives from Polyphaga species and several occurrences of massive expansions of R. suturalis GRs. The vast majority of RsutGRs were either found expressed only in palps or more expressed in palps than in antennae (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 1). They generally exhibited low FPKM values, with the exception of the candidate CO₂ receptors RsutGR1-3 and a few others (RsutGR9, 36, 37, 72, and 73). The GR gene with the highest FPKM value-RsutGR9-was found specifically expressed in labial palps by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Figure 2).

Based on the IR phylogeny (**Figure 6**), we identified unigenes encoding each of the eight following "antennal" IRs, whose putative functions have been assigned based on previous work on the fruit fly (van Giesen and Garrity, 2017; Rimal and Lee, 2018): the four IR co-receptors IR25a, 8a, 93a, and 76b, the two humidity-sensing IR40a and 68a, the temperature-sensing IR21a and the olfactory IR41a. We also identified six RsutIRs within the IR75 clade (RsutIR75a-f), supposedly involved in the detection of water-soluble semiochemicals carrying a carboxylic acid or an amine function (Silbering et al., 2011; Prieto-Godino et al., 2017). The other 39 IRs found in the R. suturalis transcriptome belong to the divergent IR clade, involved in taste in Drosophila (Koh et al., 2014; Sanchez-Alcaniz et al., 2018). Several independent RsutIR expansions were found within this clade, including two large groups of 12 and 13 R. suturalis paralogs, respectively. RsutIR25a (candidate universal IR coreceptor) was the most highly expressed coreceptor, in both antennae and palps (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 1). RsutIR76b (candidate gustatory IR coreceptor) exhibited a high expression in palps, whereas RsutIR8a (candidate IR olfactory coreceptor) and RsutIR93a were highly expressed in antennae, like the four conserved antennal IRs (IR21a, 40a, 41a, 68a). Two RsutIRs belonging to the IR75 clade were also highly expressed in antennae, and three others exhibited similar FPKM values for both antennae and palps. Among these, RsutIR75f exhibited an exceptionally high expression level in both tissues (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 2). The 39 divergent RsutIRs were globally expressed at low levels in palps, although a few of them were also expressed in antennae, sometimes specifically (e.g., RsutIR130). As observed for the soluble proteins, none of the candidate chemosensory receptors annotated here exhibited a sexually dimorphic expression.

Representatives of Other Protein Families Expressed in Chemosensory Organs

Complementary to the detailed analysis of gene families described above, we searched for unigenes from other families that would be specifically expressed in the chemosensory organs relative to the wing muscle. By doing so, we found more than 700 unigenes with hits in UniProt or Pfam databases (Supplementary Table 2). We notably identified more than 40 sequences encoding enzymes that may play a role in metabolism of semiochemicals, such as cytochrome P450 enzymes, carboxylesterases, lipases, short-chain dehydrogenases and UDP-glucuronosyl transferases (Chertemps and Maïbèche, 2021). Some of these unigenes exhibited high FPKM values (Figure 7). We also found six unigenes encoding CD36 proteins, a family to which Sensory Neuron Membrane Proteins (SNMP) belong (Zhao et al., 2020), and three unigenes encoding candidate pickpocket channels (amiloride-sensitive sodium channels), some of which are involved in olfaction and taste in Drosophila and mosquitoes (Chen et al., 2010; Matthews et al., 2019; Ng et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). We also searched for unigenes that would be expressed in chemosensory organs of a single sex (either male or female) and found only a dozen of such unigenes, i.e., five expressed in antennae and 10 in palps. None of these corresponded to gene families with a known direct or indirect role in chemical senses (Supplementary Table 2). This confirmed our initial observation that no chemosensory gene seemed differentially



FIGURE 3 | Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of coleopteran ORs. The tree was built from an alignment of amino acid sequences of *R. suturalis* ORs (RsutOR, available in **Supplementary Table 1**) with sequences annotated from the genomes of five coleopteran species belonging to distinct superfamilies. The OR clades 1–7 were defined as in Mitchell et al. (2020). Black dots indicate deep nodes highly supported by the likelihood-ratio test (aLRT > 0.9), with the corresponding value. The tree was rooted using the Orco clade as an outgroup. The scale bar indicates the expected number of amino acid substitutions per site.



expressed between male and female chemosensory appendages in *R. suturalis*.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have identified the first chemosensory genes of an aquatic member of the megadiverse order Coleoptera, the diving beetle *Rhantus suturalis*. Members of six chemosensory gene families (OBPs, CSPs, NPC2 proteins, and receptors of the OR, GR, and IR families) were annotated, incorporated into phylogenetic analyses, and their relative expression levels were estimated, based on RNA-seq data from antennae and palps of adult males and females as well as wing muscle (i.e., in total, 5 samples). The gene repertoires recovered from these transcriptomic data are necessarily partial in the absence of a reference genome for *R. suturalis*, and because only the main cephalic sensory organs at a single life stage were processed. This



limitation has to be kept in mind, especially for comparisons of gene family sizes with respect to other Coleoptera or insect species for which genes have been annotated based on complete genomes. However, given our relatively deep sequencing strategy, and results from the BUSCO analysis (only 4.1% of genes missing), we can surmise that the contents obtained here



FIGURE 6 | Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of coleopteran IRs. The tree was built from an alignment of amino acid sequences of *R. suturalis* IRs (RsutIR, available in **Supplementary Table 1**) with sequences annotated from the genomes of three coleopteran species belonging to distinct superfamilies. Putative functions were assigned to the different IR clades based on previous works on *Drosophila*. Black dots indicate deep nodes highly supported by the likelihood-ratio test (aLRT > 0.9), with the corresponding value. The tree was rooted using the IR25a/IR8a clade as an outgroup. The scale bar indicates the expected number of amino acid substitutions per site.

unigene	BlastP UniProt best hit	Species	UniProt ID	E-value	ant.	ant.	palps	palps	musc
ytochrome P45	50								
contig_108714	Uncharacterized protein	Cryptotermes secundus	A0A2J7R4Q4	9.67e-25				_	
contig_108707	Cytochrome P450 CYP6BQ22	Dastarcus nelophoroides	M91L17	1.80e-28					
contig_160300	Uncharacterized protein	Photinus pyralis	A0A1Y1K8X2	2.18e-21					
ontig_159068	Cytochrome P450 12H1		D6VVP99	4.516-32	-				
ontig_48897	Putative cytochrome P450 6a14	Cryptotermes secundus	AUA2J7R4QU	3.09e-114					
ontig_160295	Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP6BQ35	Tribolium costonoum	AUAUK1YWE6	2.086-134	-				
ontig_114244		Destinue purolia	D2A 103	0.0					
contig_72629	Uncharacterized protein	Clossing brovingInig	AUA 11 1K2A7	2.42e-100					
contig_156394	Dutative autochrome D450 Ge14	Glossina brevipaipis	AUA 1A9VV296	5.75e-105					
contig_107000	Putative cytochrome P450 6a14	Zostormonojo nevodencio	AUA2J7R4P0	1.100-100					
contig_243670	Cutachrome D450 6D012	Tribolium costonoum	AUAU07Rh29	5.098-45					
contig_162369	Cytochrome P450 6BQ13	The stimus surgelis	DevvB59	5.686-151		_			
contig_64438	Uncharacterized protein	Photinus pyralis	AUA1Y1KCR3	7.02e-141					
arboxylesteras	e family Carbovulia actor budralasa	Hormonia ovuridio	E20025	0.820.26					
contig_356304		Harmonia axyndis	E2QC35	9.030-20					
contig_317173			B6D/H0	2.958-77					
ontig_317168		Harmonia axyndis	E2QC35	4.758-26					
contig_263675			AUAUK11 W40	4.658-61					
contig_263672	Carboxylic ester hydrolase	Leptinotarsa decemiineata	AUAUA/ENL4	1.51e-12					
contig_333714	Carboxylic ester hydrolase	Leptinotarsa decemiineata	AUAUA7EQM9	2.28e-114					
contig_333705	Carboxylic ester hydrolase	Dendroctonus ponderosae	U4UFS6	2.76e-39					
ipase		0	501/501						
contig_129485	Ves G 1 allergen precursor, putative	Pediculus humanus	E0VE21	5.32e-22	-				
contig_284122	PNLIPRP2_0 protein	Fopius arisanus	A0A0C9RCJ3	1.76e-37				_	
contig_329878	Uncharacterized protein	Apis mellifera	A0A088AM94	9.99e-30					
short chain dehy	/drogenase								
contig_346543	Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family	Zootermopsis nevadensis	A0A067QVP7	3.33e-23					
contig_346530	farnesol dehydrogenase-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WN42	3.02e-18					
contig_275110	Uncharacterized protein	Apis mellifera	H9K5X4	7.48e-24					
contig_60810	Uncharacterized protein	Graphocephala atropunctata	A0A1B6M980	7.65e-15					
contig_275114	Uncharacterized protein	Musca domestica	A0A1I8MBG2	3.00e-16					
contig_275113	Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family	Blattella germanica	A0A2P8XJR8	5.23e-31					
contig_216873	Uncharacterized protein	Tribolium castaneum	D7ELE5	4.37e-77					
contig_111444	Zgc:56585	Astyanax mexicanus	W5JZW2	9.34e-46					
contig_319907	Uncharacterized protein	Glossina pallidipes	A0A1A9Z224	5.35e-16					
contig_335408	Dehydrogenase	Oryctes borbonicus	A0A0T6B086	1.19e-66					
contig_102214	15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase	Blattella germanica	A0A140KPR8	3.49e-62					
contig_111449	15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase	Lingula unguis	A0A1S3IUI5	4.49e-15					
contig_281499	farnesol dehydrogenase-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WZ38	1.04e-74					
contig_281511	Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family	Zootermopsis nevadensis	A0A067QVP7	2.76e-29					
contig_62298	Uncharacterized protein	Tribolium castaneum	D7ELE5	3.48e-74					
contig_33141	Uncharacterized protein	Photinus pyralis	A0A1Y1LK58	1.20e-102					
contig_235599	Uncharacterized protein	Photinus pyralis	A0A1Y1N8N2	3.94e-85					
JDP-glucuronos	yl and UDP-glucosyl transferase								
contig_114450	UDP-glucuronosyltransferase	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4XHV4	2.35e-106					
contig_47820	UDP-glucuronosyltransferase	Photinus pyralis	A0A1Y1N2G3	2.21e-99					
contig_81795	UDP-glucuronosyltransferase	Pediculus humanus	E0VMM1	2.05e-75					
CD36 family									
contig_93159	scavenger receptor class B member 1-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WGT2	7.27e-38					
contig_93152	scavenger receptor class B member 1-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WGT2	1.22e-78					
contig_21684	sensory neuron membrane protein 1-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WII7	4.60e-35					
ontig_200289	Sensory neuron membrane protein 2	Holotrichia parallela	A0A2P1ERQ2	9.63e-130					
contig_161176	Sensory neuron membrane protein SNMP-1	Tenebrio molitor	A0A0C5DMP8	1.21e-168					
ontig_284635	scavenger receptor class B member 1-like	Agrilus planipennis	A0A1W4WTV9	0.0					
miloride-sensit	ive sodium channel								
contig_162809	Sodium channel protein Nach	Zootermopsis nevadensis	A0A067QUS0	1.70e-46					
contig 349482	Pickpocket protein 11-like Protein	Tribolium castaneum	D7EHU3	7.98e-69					
0_	Carliner abarred gratain Nach like Destain	Tribolium castaneum	404139W/EM9	2 70e-121					
ontig 37680	Sodium channel protein Nach-like Protein	Thoulun castaneum	AUAIJSVILIVIS	2.700-121					

FIGURE 7 | List of *R. suturalis* unigenes specifically expressed in antennae and palps and potentially involved in chemical senses. Protein families were defined following Pfam protein domains found by hmmscan (see detail in section "Materials and Methods"). Color coding in the heatmap is based on log₂(FPKM + 1) values; raw FPKM values are available in **Supplementary Table 2**.

44

4.4

2.9

2.9

2.1

1.2

1.5

1.5

4.2

1.4

4.5

2.3

2.2

2.0 3.4

2.7

3.5

1.0

1.8

2.5

54

2.8

1.6

5.6

1.6

4.0

3.0

3.0

4.7

2.4

0.1

1.3

-0.7

3.0

3.1 2.0

2.8

2.9

1.4

4.0

5.0

2.3

Odorant-bine	ding pr	oteins			Odorant re	ceptors	3
RsutOBP1	-0.6	TcasOBP5E	-4.1		RsutOrco	1.3	TcasOrd
RsutOBP2	-0.7				RsutOR1	-6.3	TcasOR
RsutOBP3	-2.4	TcasOBP5A	-0.9		RsutOR2	11	TcasOR
RsutOBP6	-0.4	TcasOBP44	-5.5		RsutOR3	6.8	
RoutOPP7	1 1		-0.0		ReutORA	3.5	
RSULOBP7	1.1		-0.2		RSulOR4	3.5	
RsutOBP8	-3.5	TcasOBP4C	2.5		RSUIORS	4.3	
RsutOBP9	-8.2	TcasOBP4D	6.5		RsutOR6	4.1	
RsutOBP10	-1.6	TcasOBP4E	5.4		RsutOR7	3.0	
RsutOBP12	-2.6	TcasOBP4F	-6.1		RsutOR10	4.0	TcasOF
RsutOBP13	-1.7	TcasOBP4J	-2.0		RsutOR11	4.1	TcasOF
RsutOBP14	-4.2				RsutOR12	3.7	
RsutOBP15	-11				RsutOR13	2.0	
ReutOBP16	-7.1				RsutOR14	5.6	TrasOF
RSULOBE 10	-7.1				RoutOR14	5.0	TeesOF
RSUIOBP17	-8.7				RSULOR 15	5.1	TCasOF
RsutOBP18	-3.5				RSutOR16	6.0	IcasOF
RsutOBP19	-3.7				RsutOR17	3.5	TcasOF
RsutOBP20	-3.8				RsutOR18	5.3	TcasOF
RsutOBP21	-4.0				RsutOR20	4.1	TcasOF
RsutOBP22	0.7				RsutOR22	1.4	TcasOF
RsutOBP23	-3.5				RsutOR23	-0.4	TcasOF
ReutOPD24	-1.2				ReutOR25	2.2	TracOF
RSULOBP24	-4.5				RSulOR23	2.5	TeasOr
RsutOBP25	-1.0				RSUIOR26	-2.8	TCasOF
RsutOBP26	-4.6				RsutOR27	4.6	
RsutOBP27	-1.0				RsutOR28	-4.6	
RsutOBP28	-1.0				RsutOR30	-4.4	
RsutOBP30	-0.6				RsutOR31	-3.8	
RsutOBP31	-3.6				RsutOR32	-3.4	
RsutOBP32	-18				RsutOR33	-5.9	
ReutOBP33	-1.0				RsutOR34	-2.9	
RoutOBD24	-1.5				PoutOP38	4.5	TeacOF
RSULOBP34	-0.5				RSulOR30	4.5	TrasOF
RsutOBP35	-2.5				RSUIOR39	5.9	TCasOF
RsutOBP36	2.6						IcasOF
RsutOBP37	-0.7						TcasOF
RsutOBP38	-0.8						TcasOF
RsutOBP45	3.4	TcasOBP5B	2.5				TcasOF
RsutOBP46	8.8	TcasOBP5C	3.5				TcasOF
RsutOBP47	8.3	TcasOBP5D	5.0				TcasOF
RsutOBP48	6.5				RsutOR40	3.6	TcasOF
RsutOBP49	5.2				RsutOR41	3.1	TcasOF
RoutOBD50	0.2	TeesODDOA	0.0		ReutOR42	1.5	reason
RSUIOBP50	-9.2	TCasOBP9A	0.0		RoutOR42	1.5	T 05
KSUTOBP51	3.5	I CasOBP9B	6.7		RSU(OR43	1.1	TCasOF
RsutOBP52	11.7	TcasOBP5F	7.3				IcasOF
		TcasOBP5G	7.0				TcasOF
		TcasOBP5H	7.5				TcasOF
RsutOBP53	-1.8	TcasOBP4G	-0.6		RsutOR46	4.0	TcasOF
		TcasOBP4H	-0.6		RsutOR47	3.1	
		TcasOBP4I	-1.9				
			8.0				
		TeasOBP7A	0.0				
		ICasOBP/E	-4.3				
		TcasOBP7F	-6.0		Ionotropic	recepto	ors
		TcasOBP7G	-4.5		RsutIR25a	0.1	TcasIR
		TcasOBP7H	-3.6		RsutIR8a	4.4	TcasIR
		TcasOBP7J	5.3		RsutIR76b	-1.5	TcasIR
		TcasOBP10A	-7.5		RsutIR93a	1.8	TraslR
		TcasOBP10B	-7.0		ReutID212	3.9	TeaclP
		Topo OBD100	10		Routine 18	0.0	TrasiR
		TeasOBP10C	-4.8		KsutiR68a	2.4	i casIR(
		I CasOBP10D	-6.6		RsutIR40a	3.6	TcasIR
					RsutIR41a	2.7	TcasIR
							TcasIR
loa	, (fold a	change)			RsutIR75b	2.5	TcasIR
log	2 (fold o	change)			RsutIR75b RsutIR75d	2.5 1.9	TcasIR7 TcasIR7
log ₂ buthparts -5	2 (fold o	change) 0	+5	antennae	RsutIR75b RsutIR75d RsutIR75e	2.5 1.9 0.2	TcasIR7 TcasIR7 TcasIR7
log <u>;</u> puthparts -5	2 (fold o	change) 0	+5	antennae	RsutIR75b RsutIR75d RsutIR75e RsutIR75f	2.5 1.9 0.2	TcasIR TcasIR TcasIR

SurgeredRsutGR1-3.8TcasGR1-0.5RsutGR2-5.3TcasGR21.9RsutGR3-5.5TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR4-2.6TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR5-0.3TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR11-1.4TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR15-1.7TcasGR21.1RsutGR16-1.8-1.4RsutGR17-2.3-1.4RsutGR18-1.1-1.4RsutGR20-0.3-1.4RsutGR21-1.3-1.4RsutGR22-3.7-1.4RsutGR23-1.1-1.4RsutGR24-1.0-1.4RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR129-0.1RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR1310.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR25-0.5TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR31-0.5TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR32-1.4TcasGR136-0.1RsutGR33-5.5TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR35-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR35-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR35-5.4TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR36-5.2TcasGR137-0.1 <th>0</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	0			
InstiterImageImageRsutGR2-53TcasGR219RsutGR3-55TcasGR20.0RsutGR4-2.6TcasGR5-0.3RsutGR5-0.3TcasGR20.1RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR20-0.3RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR200.3RsutGR11-1.4TcasGR214.0RsutGR151.1TcasGR211.1RsutGR16-1.8	Gustatory r		TcasGR1	-0.5
InstrictIssRsufGR3-5.5TcasGR21.03RsutGR4-2.6TcasGR5-0.3RsutGR5-0.3TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR2-0.3RsutGR151.1TcasGR231.1RsutGR16-1.8TcasGR231.1RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR18-1.1RsutGR19-2.1RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.3RsutGR22-3.7RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR129-0.1RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR1310.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR133-0.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR32-0.5TcasGR136-0.1RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-1.0TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR35-5.2TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR36-5.2TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR37-6.7TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-1.0TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR35-5.2TcasGR137-0.1R	RsutGR2	-5.3	TcasGR2	1.9
RsufGR4-2.6TcasGR5-0.3RsutGR5-0.3TcasGR70.1RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR20-0.3RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR231.1RsutGR151.7TcasGR231.1RsutGR16-1.8RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR18-1.1RsutGR19-2.1RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.3RsutGR22-3.7RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR1310.3RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR132-0.1RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR133-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR1340.1TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR1340.1TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR34-5.2TcasGR1370.6RsutGR35-5.2TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR36-5.2TcasGR1370.6RsutGR37-6.7TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR39-5.1TcasGR1370.6RsutGR34-1.0TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR35-5.2TcasGR1370.6RsutGR36-5.2TcasGR138	RsutGR3	-5.5	TcasGR3	0.5
RsufGR5-0.3TcasGR20.1RsufGR10-1.4TcasGR20-0.3RsufGR10-1.4TcasGR231.1RsufGR151.7TcasGR250.0RsufGR16-1.4RsufGR17-2.3RsufGR18-1.1RsufGR19-2.1RsufGR20-0.3RsufGR20-0.3RsufGR20-0.3RsufGR20-0.3RsufGR20-1.1RsufGR20-1.6TcasGR129-0.1RsufGR20-1.6TcasGR1300.3RsufGR20-1.6TcasGR1310.3RsufGR20-1.1TcasGR1310.1RsufGR21-0.5TcasGR1310.1RsufGR23-1.1TcasGR133-0.3RsufGR34-1.1TcasGR1350.1TcasGR135-0.1TcasGR1360.1TcasGR135-0.1TcasGR1370.1RsufGR330.5TcasGR1370.1RsufGR34-0.1TcasGR1370.1RsufGR35-0.2TcasGR1370.1RsufGR36-2.2TcasGR1370.1RsufGR37-6.7TcasGR1370.1RsufGR34-1.1TcasGR1370.1RsufGR35-2.3TcasGR1350.1RsufGR36-2.4TcasGR1350.1RsufGR37-6.7TcasGR1350.1 </td <td>RsutGR4</td> <td>-26</td> <td>TcasGR5</td> <td>-0.3</td>	RsutGR4	-26	TcasGR5	-0.3
RsutGR10-1.4TcasGR20-0.3RsutGR151.7TcasGR231.1RsutGR16-1.8-0.0RsutGR16-1.8-0.0RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR19-2.1RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.1RsutGR22-1.1RsutGR23-1.1TcasGR133-0.3RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR26-1.1TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR32-0.1TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR136-0.1RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR1370.6TcasGR133-0.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR1370.1RsutGR33-0.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR34-1.1TcasGR1370.1RsutGR35-2.2TcasGR1370.1RsutGR34-1.1TcasGR1270.7RsutGR35-1.1TcasGR1270.7RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR1350.1RsutGR45-	RsutGR5	-0.3	TcasGR7	0.1
TrassGR214.0 TcasGR234.0 TcasGR234.0 TcasGR234.0 TcasGR234.0 TcasGR234.0 TcasGR1250.0RsutGR16-1.8 RsutGR21-2.3 RsutGR20-0.3 RsutGR22-1.1-RsutGR20-0.3 RsutGR22-3.7 RsutGR22-3.7 TcasGR129-0.1 RsutGR22-1.0RsutGR22-2.5 RsutGR23-1.1-0.1 TcasGR1310.3 RsutGR26-1.6 TcasGR132-0.3 RsutGR26-1.1 TcasGR132-0.3 RsutGR26-1.1 TcasGR132-0.1 RsutGR26-1.1 TcasGR132-0.1 RsutGR32-0.1 TcasGR133-0.3 RsutGR33-0.3 TcasGR1340.1 TcasGR135-0.2 TcasGR136-0.1 RsutGR33-0.1 TcasGR136-0.1 TcasGR137-0.1 RsutGR33-0.1 TcasGR136-0.1 TcasGR137-0.1 RsutGR33-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR136-0.1 TcasGR136-0.1 TcasGR137-0.1 RsutGR33-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR139-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 TcasGR138-0.1 Tcas	RsutGR10	-1.4	TcasGR20	-0.3
TassGR231.1RsutGR151.7TassGR1250.0RsutGR16-1.8RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR18-1.1RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-1.3RsutGR20-3.7RsutGR20-1.0RsutGR20-1.0RsutGR20-1.6RsutGR20-1.6RsutGR20-1.6RsutGR20-1.6RsutGR20-1.6RsutGR21-1.6RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR33-0.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR34RsutGR35RsutGR45RsutGR45RsutGR45RsutGR45RsutGR45RsutGR45			TcasGR21	4.0
RsutGR151.7TcasGR1250.0RsutGR16-1.8RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR18-1.1RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.3RsutGR22-3.7RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR129-0.1RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR1310.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR135-0.2RsutGR31-0.5TcasGR136-0.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR1370.6TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR34-1.1TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR35-2.2TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1250.0RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>TcasGR23</td><td>1.1</td></t<>			TcasGR23	1.1
RsutGR16-1.8RsutGR17-2.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.1RsutGR22-1.1RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-1.6RsutGR26-1.6RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR29-1.1RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR30-0.1RsutGR31-0.8RsutGR31-0.8RsutGR321.0RsutGR330.5RsutGR330.5RsutGR34-1.1RsutGR35-1.2RsutGR35-1.2RsutGR36-1.2RsutGR37-1.2RsutGR38-1.3RsutGR39-1.1RsutGR39-1.1RsutGR34-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutGR45-1.2RsutG	RsutGR15	1.7	TcasGR125	0.0
RsutGR17.2.3RsutGR18.1.1RsutGR20.0.3RsutGR21.1.3RsutGR22.2.1RsutGR23.1.1RsutGR24.1.0RsutGR25.2.5RsutGR26.1.6RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR31.0.8RsutGR31.0.8RsutGR32.1.1TcasGR135.0.2TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR135.0.1RsutGR35.5.2RsutGR36.5.2RsutGR37.6.1RsutGR38.2.3RsutGR39.3.1RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR135.0.1RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR135.0.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR55.2.8 <t< td=""><td>RsutGR16</td><td>-1.8</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	RsutGR16	-1.8		
RsutGR18.1.1RsutGR20.0.3RsutGR21.1.3RsutGR22.1.3RsutGR23.1.4RsutGR24.10RsutGR25.2.5TcasGR129.0.1RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR131.0.3RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR132.0.3RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR133.0.3RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR134.0.1TcasGR135.0.2TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR137.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR32.0.2RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR39.3.1RsutGR40.1.1TcasGR120.0.2RsutGR41.1.8TcasGR123.0.2RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR135.0.1RsutGR45.1.1TcasGR155.0.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR55.2.8RsutGR55.2.8 </td <td>RsutGR17</td> <td>-2.3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	RsutGR17	-2.3		
RsutGR19.2.1RsutGR20.0.3RsutGR21.1.3RsutGR22.3.7RsutGR23.1.1RsutGR25.2.5RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR132.0.1RsutGR26.1.6RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR28.1.1RsutGR31.0.8RsutGR31.0.8RsutGR32.1.1TcasGR135.0.1TcasGR136.1.1TcasGR137.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR32.1.0RsutGR33.5TcasGR136.0.1RsutGR33.5RsutGR34.1.1RsutGR35.5.2RsutGR34.1.2RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR55.2.8RsutGR55.2.8RsutGR55.2.8 <t< td=""><td>RsutGR18</td><td>-1.1</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	RsutGR18	-1.1		
RsutGR20-0.3RsutGR21-1.1RsutGR22-3.7RsutGR23-1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25-2.5FsutGR26-1.6SutGR27-0.5RsutGR26-1.6RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR27-0.5RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR28-1.1RsutGR31-0.8RsutGR31-0.8RsutGR321.0TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR13-0.1RsutGR33-0.5RsutGR34-0.1RsutGR35-0.1RsutGR34-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR44-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR45-1.1RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2RsutGR55-1.2Rsut	RsutGR19	-2.1		
RsutGR21.1.3RsutGR22.3.7RsutGR23.1.1RsutGR24.1.0RsutGR25.2.5RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR12.0.3RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR132.0.3RsutGR24.1.1TcasGR132.0.3RsutGR25.1.1TcasGR134.0.1TcasGR135.0.2TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR137.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR139.0.1TcasGR130.0.1TcasGR135.0.1TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR137.0.1RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR34.0.1RsutGR35.0.1RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR125.0.1RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR125.0.1RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR135.0.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2RsutGR55.1.2 <td>RsutGR20</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	RsutGR20	-0.3		
RsutGR22.3.7RsutGR23.1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25.2.5RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR129.0.1RsutGR26.1.6RsutGR27.0.5RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR133.0.3RsutGR28.1.1CasGR134.0.1RsutGR28.1.1CasGR135.0.2TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR137.0.6TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR33.0.5RsutGR34.0.1RsutGR35.0.1RsutGR34.0.1RsutGR34.0.1RsutGR34.0.1RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR125.0.1RsutGR44.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.1RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.2RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4RsutGR45.1.4 <td>RsutGR21</td> <td>-1.3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	RsutGR21	-1.3		
RsutGR23.1.1RsutGR24-1.0RsutGR25.2.5TcasGR129.0.1RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR131.0.3RsutGR27.0.6TcasGR132.0.3RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR134.0.1RsutGR31.0.8TcasGR134.0.1RsutGR31.0.8TcasGR134.0.1TcasGR131.0.8TcasGR134.0.1RsutGR31.0.8TcasGR135.0.2RsutGR33.1TcasGR138.0.1TcasGR133.0.5TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR120.0.1RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR120.0.1RsutGR35.2.3TcasGR121.0.1RsutGR43.1.4TcasGR123.0.2RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR135.0.2RsutGR45.1.1TcasGR155.0.1RsutGR45.1.1TcasGR156.0.1RsutGR45.1.2TcasGR156.0.1RsutGR55.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR55.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR56.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR55.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR55.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR55.1.6TcasGR15.0.1RsutGR56.1.6TcasGR15.0.1 <td< td=""><td>RsutGR22</td><td>-3.7</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	RsutGR22	-3.7		
RsutGR24.1.0RsutGR25.2.5TcasGR129.0.1RsutGR26.1.6TcasGR131.0.3RsutGR27.0.5TcasGR132.0.3RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR133.0.3RsutGR28.1.1TcasGR134.0.1RsutGR31.0.8TcasGR134.0.1TcasGR135.0.2TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR136.0.1TcasGR137.0.6TcasGR138.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR139.0.1RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR137.0.3RsutGR33.0.5TcasGR138.0.3RsutGR34.1.1TcasGR120.0.1RsutGR35.2.3TcasGR121.0.5RsutGR36.2.3TcasGR121.0.5RsutGR37.6.7TcasGR123.0.2RsutGR38.2.3TcasGR125.0.1RsutGR40.1.1TcasGR135.0.2RsutGR44.1.1TcasGR155.0.1RsutGR45.1.4TcasGR155.0.1RsutGR45.1.4TcasGR155.0.1RsutGR55.2.8TcasGR166.0.1RsutGR55.2.8.1.4.1.4RsutGR55.2.8.1.4RsutGR55.2.8.1.4RsutGR55.2.8.1.4RsutGR55.2.8.1.4RsutGR56.0.1.1.4RsutGR55.2.8.1.4RsutGR56.0.1.1.4RsutGR56.1	RsutGR23	-1.1		
RsutGR25-2.5TcasGR129-0.1RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR131-0.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR134-0.1RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR135-0.1TcasGR13-0.8TcasGR136-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR137-0.1TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR136-0.1RsutGR311.0TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR138-0.3RsutGR33-0.1TcasGR137-0.1RsutGR34-0.1TcasGR120-0.1RsutGR35-0.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR36-0.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR37-0.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.4TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.4TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.4TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR55-1.4TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR55-1.4TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR55-1.4TcasGR166-1.2RsutGR56-1.4TcasGR155-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.4-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.4RsutGR56-0.2-1.4RsutGR56-0.2-1.4RsutGR56-0.2-1.4RsutGR56 <td>RsutGR24</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td></td> <td></td>	RsutGR24	-1.0		
RsutGR26-1.6TcasGR1310.3RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR1340.1RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR13-0.1TcasGR138-0.1TcasGR130.1TcasGR138-0.1TcasGR130.1TcasGR138-0.1TcasGR1330.5TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR138-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR140-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR139-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR34-5.2TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR35-5.2TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR36-5.2TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR37-6.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR40-1.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR41-1.8TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR56-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR166-0.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2RsutGR55-0.4-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2RsutGR56-0.4RsutGR56-0.4RsutGR56-0.4RsutGR56-0.4 <td< td=""><td>RsutGR25</td><td>-2.5</td><td>TcasGR129</td><td>-0.1</td></td<>	RsutGR25	-2.5	TcasGR129	-0.1
RsutGR27-0.5TcasGR132-0.3RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR134-0.3RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR136-0.1TcasGR136-0.1TcasGR137-0.6TcasGR137-0.1TcasGR139-0.1TcasGR138-0.1TcasGR139-0.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR139-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR131-0.3RsutGR33-5.2TcasGR117-1.3RsutGR33-5.2TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR34-5.2TcasGR120-0.2RsutGR34-5.2TcasGR123-0.2RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR125-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR135-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR155-0.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR166-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2-1.2RsutGR56-0.4-1.2 <td>RsutGR26</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>TcasGR131</td> <td>0.3</td>	RsutGR26	-1.6	TcasGR131	0.3
RsutGR28-1.1TcasGR133-0.3RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR1340.1TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR1360.1TcasGR1370.6TcasGR1370.6TcasGR1380.1TcasGR1390.1TcasGR1390.1TcasGR1390.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR1390.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR1400.0RsutGR330.5TcasGR117-1.3RsutGR34-5.2TcasGR1200.0RsutGR35-6.7TcasGR1200.0RsutGR36-6.7TcasGR1210.0RsutGR37-6.7TcasGR1250.0RsutGR40-1.1TcasGR1250.0RsutGR40-1.1TcasGR1250.0RsutGR41-1.8TcasGR1550.1RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR1550.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1550.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR164-1.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1550.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR165-1.2RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR1700.1RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR55-2.8-1.2-1.2RsutGR56-1.6-1.2-1.2 <td>RsutGR27</td> <td>-0.5</td> <td>TcasGR132</td> <td>-0.3</td>	RsutGR27	-0.5	TcasGR132	-0.3
RsutGR31-0.8TcasGR1340.1TcasGR135-0.2TcasGR1360.1TcasGR1360.1TcasGR1370.6TcasGR1380.1TcasGR1390.1TcasGR1380.1TcasGR1390.1RsutGR321.0TcasGR131-0.1RsutGR330.5TcasGR131-0.3RsutGR330.5TcasGR131-0.3RsutGR33-6.7TcasGR121-0.1RsutGR34-6.7TcasGR1220.0RsutGR35-2.3TcasGR1250.0RsutGR40-1.1TcasGR1250.0RsutGR41-1.8TcasGR1550.1RsutGR42-1.0TcasGR1550.1RsutGR44-1.1TcasGR1550.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1550.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1560.1RsutGR45-1.1TcasGR1560.1RsutGR50-1.7TcasGR1560.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR1560.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR1700.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR1700.1RsutGR55-2.8TcasGR1700.1RsutGR56-0.4-1.4-1.4RsutGR57-1.6-1.4RsutGR56-1.6-1.4RsutGR57-1.6-1.4RsutGR56-1.6-1.4RsutGR56-1.6-1.4RsutGR56-1.6-1.4RsutGR56-1.6-1.4RsutGR56 <td>RsutGR28</td> <td>-1.1</td> <td>TcasGR133</td> <td>-0.3</td>	RsutGR28	-1.1	TcasGR133	-0.3
TcasGR135-0.2 TcasGR1360.01 TcasGR1370.6 TcasGR1380.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1390.01 TcasGR1200.02 TcasGR1210.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1220.01 TcasGR1230.02 TcasGR1230.02 TcasGR1230.01 TcasGR1250.01 TcasGR	RsutGR31	-0.8	TcasGR134	0.1
TcasGR1360.1 TcasGR1370.6 TcasGR1380.01 TcasGR1320.01 TcasGR1320.01 TcasGR1330.01 TcasGR1330.01 TcasGR1330.01 TcasGR1350.01 TcasGR1			TcasGR135	-0.2
TcasGR137 0.6 TcasGR138 -0.1 TcasGR139 -0.1 TcasGR130 0.1 TcasGR130 0.1 TcasGR133 0.5 RsutGR33 0.5 RsutGR33 0.5 RsutGR33 0.5 RsutGR33 -52 RsutGR34 -6.7 RsutGR35 -6.7 RsutGR36 -2.3 RsutGR37 -6.7 RsutGR38 -2.3 RsutGR35 -2.1 RsutGR34 -1.1 RsutGR41 -1.8 RsutGR42 -1.0 RsutGR43 -1.4 RsutGR44 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 RsutGR55 -1.2 RsutGR55 -1.2 RsutGR55 -1.3 RsutGR55			TcasGR136	0.1
TcasGR138 -0.1 TcasGR139 -0.1 RsutGR32 1.0 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR140 -0.0 RsutGR37 -6.7 TcasGR140 -0.0 RsutGR37 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR30 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.0 TcasGR125 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR125 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR55 -1.1 TcasGR161 -0.1 RsutGR55 -1.2 TcasGR165 -0.1 RsutGR55 -1.1			TcasGR137	0.6
TcasGR139 -0.1 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR32 1.0 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR33 0.0 TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR33 0.0 TcasGR117 -1.3 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR118 -0.3 RsutGR33 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR33 -3.1 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR33 -3.1 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR34 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.2 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR45 -1.9 TcasGR163 -0.2 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR163 -0.2 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR163			TcasGR138	-0.1
TcasGR140 -0.1 RsutGR32 1.0 TcasGR117 -1.3 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR118 -0.3 RsutGR33 -6.7 TcasGR119 0.0 RsutGR33 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR33 -3 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR33 -1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR125 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.2 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 -0.1 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR162 -0.1 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR162 -0.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 -1.3 -1.2 <			TcasGR139	-0.1
RsutGR32 1.0 TcasGR117 -1.3 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR118 -0.3 RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR118 -0.3 RsutGR33 -6.7 TcasGR119 0.0 RsutGR33 -2.3 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR155 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR56 -1.6 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR65 -0.4 -1.4			TcasGR140	-0.1
RsutGR33 0.5 TcasGR118 -0.3 RsutGR36 -5.2 TcasGR119 0.0 RsutGR37 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR135 0.0 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55	RsutGR32	1.0	TcasGR117	-1.3
RsutGR36 -5.2 TcasGR119 0.0 RsutGR37 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR155 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR44 -1.0 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.1 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55	RsutGR33	0.5	TcasGR118	-0.3
RsutGR37 -6.7 TcasGR120 -0.2 RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR156 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR162 0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -0.1 RsutGR55 -0.2 RsutGR55 -0.1 RsutGR60 -0.4 -0.1 <	RsutGR36	-5.2	TcasGR119	0.0
RsutGR38 -2.3 TcasGR121 -0.5 RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR125 0.1 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR165 0.1 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.1 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR56 -1.6 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR66 -0.2	RsutGR37	-6.7	TcasGR120	-0.2
RsutGR39 -3.1 TcasGR122 0.0 RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.1 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.1 RsutGR55 -1.1 RsutGR66 -0.4 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR65 -0.1 RsutGR65 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.1 RsutGR66 -0.2 RsutGR66 <td>RsutGR38</td> <td>-2.3</td> <td>TcasGR121</td> <td>-0.5</td>	RsutGR38	-2.3	TcasGR121	-0.5
RsutGR40 -1.1 TcasGR123 -0.2 RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR45 -1.0 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR55 -1.5 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -1.8 -1.2 RsutGR55 RsutGR56 -1.6 -1.2 -1.2 RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR61 -0.1 -1.2 -1.2 RsutGR62 -2.8 -1.2 -1.2 RsutGR63 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 </td <td>RsutGR39</td> <td>-3.1</td> <td>TcasGR122</td> <td>0.0</td>	RsutGR39	-3.1	TcasGR122	0.0
RsutGR41 -1.8 TcasGR125 0.0 RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -1.5 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -1.8 -1.3 -1.2 RsutGR55 -1.8 -1.3 -1.2 RsutGR55 -1.8 -1.3 -1.2 RsutGR56 -1.6 -1.2 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 -1.3 -1.2 RsutGR56 -0.1 -1.2 -1.2 RsutGR61 -0.1 -1.2 -1.3	RsutGR40	-1.1	TcasGR123	-0.2
RsutGR42 -1.0 TcasGR127 -0.7 RsutGR43 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR48 -1.9 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR55 -1.3 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 -0.3 RsutGR55 -3.8 - RsutGR55 -3.8 - RsutGR55 -1.3 - RsutGR55 -3.8 - RsutGR56 -1.6 - RsutGR61 -0.1 - RsutGR62 -2.8 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 <td>RsutGR41</td> <td>-1.8</td> <td>TcasGR125</td> <td>0.0</td>	RsutGR41	-1.8	TcasGR125	0.0
RsutGR44 -1.4 TcasGR135 -0.2 RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR48 -1.9 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -1.3 RsutGR55 -1.3 RsutGR55 -3.8 RsutGR55 -1.6 RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR58 -0.4 -0.1 RsutGR61 RsutGR61 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 RsutGR62 -2.8 -0.1 -0.1 RsutGR63 -0.2 -0.1 -0.1 RsutGR64 -1.0 -0.1 -0.1 <td>RsutGR42</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>TcasGR127</td> <td>-0.7</td>	RsutGR42	-1.0	TcasGR127	-0.7
RsutGR44 -1.1 TcasGR153 1.3 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR45 -1.1 TcasGR154 1.4 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR160 -0.3 RsutGR55 -2.8	RsutGR43	-1.4	TcasGR135	-0.2
RsutGR45 -1.1 TCasGR154 1.4 RsutGR46 -1.0 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR155 0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR163 -0.3 RsutGR55 -2.8	RSULGR44	-1.1	TcasGR153	1.3
RsutGR40 -1.0 TCasGR155 0.1 RsutGR47 -1.6 TcasGR156 -0.1 RsutGR48 -1.9 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR164 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR56 -1.6 - - RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR58 -3.8 - - RsutGR59 -0.7 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR62 -2.8 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR64 -1.0 - - RsutGR67 0.1 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - <	RSULGR40	-1.1	ToosCD155	0.4
RsutGR48 -1.9 TcasGR160 -0.1 RsutGR48 -1.9 TcasGR160 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR55 -2.8 - RsutGR55 -1.6 - RsutGR55 -1.6 - RsutGR55 -1.6 - RsutGR55 -1.6 - RsutGR56 -1.6 - RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR50 -0.7 - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR62 -2.8 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR64 -1.0 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - <t< td=""><td>ReutCD47</td><td>-1.0</td><td>TopsOP159</td><td>0.1</td></t<>	ReutCD47	-1.0	TopsOP159	0.1
RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR162 -0.3 RsutGR50 1.7 TcasGR163 0.2 RsutGR52 -1.5 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR166 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR170 -0.3 RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR56 -1.6 - - RsutGR55 -3.8 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR64 -1.0 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.1 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - -	ReutCD49	-1.0	ToseCD162	-0.1
RsutGR50 -1.7 TcasGR103 0.2 RsutGR52 -1.3 TcasGR106 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR170 -0.3 RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR55 -1.6 - - RsutGR56 -1.6 - - RsutGR57 -1.1 - - RsutGR58 -3.8 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR64 -0.0 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR71 0.1 - - RsutGR71 0.1 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - Ra	ReutCD50	1.7	TopeCP162	-0.5
RsutGR52 -1.3 TcasGR100 -1.2 RsutGR53 1.9 TcasGR170 -0.3 RsutGR54 -1.3 - - RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR55 -1.6 - - RsutGR55 -1.1 - - RsutGR56 -1.1 - - RsutGR55 -0.7 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR71 0.1 - - RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5 - -	ReutCP52	-1.5	TcasGR 103	-1.2
RsutGR54 -1.3 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR56 -1.6 - - RsutGR55 -2.8 - - RsutGR56 -1.1 - - RsutGR57 -1.1 - - RsutGR58 -3.8 - - RsutGR60 -0.4 - - RsutGR61 -0.1 - - RsutGR62 -2.8 - - RsutGR63 -0.2 - - RsutGR67 0.6 - - RsutGR71 0.1 - - RsutGR71 0.1 - -	ReutCP52	1.0	TrasCP170	-0.3
RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR55 -2.8 RsutGR56 -1.6 RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCP54	-1.3	i casGR 170	-0.5
RsutGR56 -1.6 RsutGR56 -1.6 RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCR55	-2.8		
RsutGR57 -1.1 RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR56	-1.6		
RsutGR58 -3.8 RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutGR57	-1.1		
RsutGR59 -0.7 RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR62 -2.8 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCR52	-3.8		
RsutGR60 -0.4 RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR62 -2.8 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCP50	-0.7		
RsutGR61 -0.1 RsutGR62 -2.8 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutGRAD	-0.4		
RsutGR62 -2.8 RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCP61	-0.4		
RsutGR63 -0.2 RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	ReutCPA	-2.9		
RsutGR64 -1.0 RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR63	-0.2		
RsutGR67 0.6 RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR64	-1.0		
RsutGR71 0.1 RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR67	0.6		
RsutGR72 -6.7 TcasGR86 0.2 TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR71	0.0		
TcasGR87 0.5	RsutGR72	-6.7	TcasGR86	02
		5.,	TcasGR87	0.5

FIGURE 8 | Heatmaps comparing chemosensory gene expression bias in antennae vs. maxillary and labial palps (or entire mouthparts) in R. suturalis and T. castaneum. Fold changes were calculated based on FPKM values (R. suturalis) or RPKM values (T. castaneum, Dippel et al., 2014, 2016), and color coding shows log₂(fold change). For each family, genes are separated by groups of orthology.

for the different gene families should not be considerably far from exhaustiveness.

Our R. suturalis transcriptome yielded chemosensory gene repertoires whose sizes are generally within the range of what has been previously obtained for terrestrial Coleoptera species, but in the lower, middle or upper range depending of the gene families. R. suturalis has more OBPs (53 retrieved in this study) than the Curculionidae D. ponderosae and the Buprestidae A. planipennis but this number is of the same order of magnitude as in genomes of the Tenebrionidae T. castaneum, the Chrysomelidae Leptinotarsa decemlineata and the Cerambycidae A. glabripennis (Andersson et al., 2019). Among other roles, OBPs are thought to mediate transport of hydrophobic odorants through the sensillar lymph to the olfactory neuron dendrites (Brito et al., 2016; Rihani et al., 2021). Numerous functional studies carried out on Coleoptera (mainly in Scarabaeidae and Chrysomelidae) have identified OBPs capable of binding hydrophobic molecules, whether they are aggregation pheromones or plant volatiles (see Mitchell and Andersson, 2021 for a review). Interestingly, this holds true for the Dytiscidae Cybister japonicus, where a classical OBP and a Minus-C OBP have shown a good affinity for a monoterpene (citral) and for a phenypropanoid (coniferyl aldehayde), respectively (Song et al., 2016). The fact that R. suturalis has a rich complement of OBPs suggests that detection of hydrophobic odorants is important in the chemical ecology of this aquatic beetle. Moreover, the OBP phylogeny revealed an impressive gene expansion in the lineage leading to the Dytiscidae, with a clade of classical OBPs exclusively containing 35 R. suturalis paralogs (Figure 1). In its breadth, this expansion is unparalleled in any other beetle species investigated. This may reflect peculiar functional requirements on OBPs in aquatic Adephaga, perhaps due to the low concentration of hydrophobic molecules in water. However, no OBP has been described yet in terrestrial Adephaga and it remains to be determined whether this large OBP expansion is linked with the transition to an aquatic lifestyle or not.

Contrary to OBPs, the *R. suturalis* transcriptome contained a low number of NPC2 proteins and CSPs, which are other soluble proteins potentially involved in chemical senses in insects. Whereas the low number of NPC2 proteins is on a par with previous observations in Coleoptera (Pelosi et al., 2014), the number of four CSPs identified in *R. suturalis* is far below what has been found in beetle genomes (11–20; Andersson et al., 2019). Together with the fact that their expression was generally not restricted to antennae and palps, this suggests that these two protein families may not play a major role in chemoreception in *R. suturalis* and aquatic Adephaga. Potential roles of CSPs in detoxification, immunity or secretion of defensive compounds have already been proposed in *T. castaneum* (Contreras et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013).

For chemoreceptors, the number of 48 ORs identified in *R. suturalis* stands in the lower range for Coleoptera (32–258; Mitchell et al., 2020). Like OBPs, ORs are supposed to be functionally involved in the detection of hydrophobic odorants. It is interesting to observe that this diving beetle species does not present an impoverished complement of ORs with respect to the terrestrial Adephaga beetle *C. scrutator* (51 ORs). Of

the Coleoptera species whose OR genes have been annotated from complete genomes, only the Hydroscaphidae *Hydroscapha redfordi* has less ORs than *R. suturalis* (32). Hydroscaphidae are aquatic members of suborder Myxophaga, feeding on algae. The OR phylogeny revealed a possible Dytiscidae-specific OR gene expansion within clade 3, harboring 9 *R. suturalis* paralogs. Lineage-specific expansions have previously been found in this OR clade of unknown function for species with different lifestyles and feeding habits, namely the carnivorous burying beetle *N. vespilloides* and the phytophagous long-horned beetle *A. glabripennis*. Nevertheless, *R. suturalis* together with its terrestrial Adephaga cousin *C. scrutator* underwent much less massive gene expansions in the whole OR phylogeny than observed in Polyphaga species for which data are available (Mitchell et al., 2020).

We identified 73 GRs from the R. suturalis transcriptome, mostly expressed in palps. This number is higher than the GR gene repertoire of specialized phytophagous Polyphaga but much lower than in polyphagous species of Polyphaga (e.g., T. castaneum: 219; A. glabripennis: 190; Andersson et al., 2019). Our phylogenetic analysis showed that GR expansions identified in R. suturalis did not occur in the same lineages as those observed in A. glabripennis and D. ponderosae. However, the current lack of GR functional characterization in Coleoptera hampers any discussion on the potential role of these expansions. As insect GRs are mostly known for their ability to bind watersoluble non-volatile semiochemicals, it is tempting to speculate that a larger GR complement would increase underwater chemodetection capabilities but this has yet to be demonstrated. Moreover, the lack of GR gene identification in any other Adephaga makes it impossible to determine which of these GR expansions observed in R. suturalis are possibly specific to water beetles. The same is true for lineage-specific expansions involving R. suturalis divergent IR genes mostly expressed in palps.

In the absence of biological replicates, we could not carry out a differential expression analysis able to capture subtle differences of expression between samples, notably between males and females. Anyway, no R. suturalis chemosensory gene showed any hint of sex-biased expression, a quite puzzling result in light of the recent experimental demonstration that in this species, males are attracted underwater by a sex pheromone (of unknown composition) emitted by females (Herbst et al., 2011). With the exception of *D. melanogaster*, all pheromone receptors identified so far in insects belong to the OR family (see Fleischer and Krieger, 2021 for a comprehensive review). Even though receptors to aggregation pheromone components (such as those identified in Coleoptera) can be expressed at similar levels in both sexes, sex pheromone receptors generally present a strong sex-biased expression, as commonly observed in male moths (Bastin-Heline et al., 2019). It is of course conceivable that in diving beetles, receptors allowing males to detect the female pheromone belong to a receptor family other than those examined in this study. Other possible explanations could be that a pheromone receptor is indeed present among the candidate receptors that we characterized but is not differentially expressed between the sexes, or that expression of the pheromone receptor is seasonal and was not different between sexes at the period of the year in which we sampled the specimens used in this study (between April and October 2017).

One of the most interesting features of the R. suturalis chemosensory gene repertoires in the face of the terrestrialaquatic ecological transition is that possible dytiscid-specific gene expansions were systematically associated with expression in palps. The comparison of chemosensory gene expression levels in antennae vs. palps (or entire mouthparts) in R. suturalis and T. castaneum (the only terrestrial beetle for which comparable data are available; Dippel et al., 2014, 2016) reveals that preferential expression sites clearly differ for several orthologous genes or gene groups, with a striking recurrent tendency across chemosensory gene families: expression tends to be shifted to the palps in R. suturalis (Figure 8). Amongst ORs, R. suturalis has several palp-specific ORs whose T. castaneum orthologs are antennae-specific: RsutOR1 (member of clade 1) and all but one members of the R. suturalis-specific expansion in clade 3 (RsutOR26-34). Concerning GRs, most T. castaneum genes are expressed similarly in antennae and mouthparts and some are antennae-biased, whereas the vast majority of RsutGRs seem to be more expressed in palps, notably the highly expressed RsutGR36, 37 and 72, as well as the candidate CO₂ receptors RsutGR1-3. Contrary to the other two chemoreceptor families, expression of IRs is highly similar in T. castaneum and R. suturalis, yet this comparison does not include divergent IRs, which have not been analyzed in T. castaneum (Dippel et al., 2016). Finally, several T. castaneum orthologs of the large R. suturalis OBP expansion (RsutOBP5-39) are also expressed at a higher level in palps, but RsutOBP50 (belonging to the ABP II clade) is highly expressed in palps whereas its *T. castaneum* orthologs are all antenna-specific.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our transcriptome analysis of chemosensory organs in a diving beetle has revealed chemosensory gene repertoires that are rather similar to those of terrestrial Coleoptera. This seems at odds with prevailing views on the impact of physical constraints on chemical communication underwater. However, we did identify several occurrences of genes highly diversified in R. suturalis, notably in the OBP and OR families, which are associated to the detection of hydrophobic odorants in insects. Moreover, these diversified genes were generally highly expressed in palps. A shift of expression of OBPs and ORs from the antennae to the palps may have significant implication with respect to the ecological transition from terrestrial to aquatic life. Indeed, hydrophobic molecules are much less diffusive in water than in the air, leading to the idea that the same proteins that mediate longrange chemodetection (i.e., olfaction in the classic sense) in

REFERENCES

Andersson, M. N., Keeling, C. I., and Mitchell, R. F. (2019). Genomic content of chemosensory genes correlates with host range in woodboring beetles (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*, Agrilus planipennis, and aerial animals may be involved in short-range or contact chemodetection (gustation, or taste, in the classic sense) in aquatic animals (Mollo et al., 2014). Further expression analyses in Adephaga with various lifestyles associated with functional studies of their chemosensory genes will be necessary to verify whether part of the molecular toolkit functioning in the antennae of terrestrial beetles has indeed diversified and has been reallocated to the palps in aquatic beetles, to allow short-range perception of hydrophobic molecules.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: NCBI (accession: PRJNA762278).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NM, MJ, TC, and MM conceived the study. MJ and MM performed insect collection and sample preparation. YJ prepared RNA-seq libraries and performed sequencing. NM, TC, EP, CM, and EJ-J performed transcriptome assembly, data analysis, and phylogenetic reconstructions. NM and MM drafted the manuscript, with input from all authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Alliance Sorbonne Université (EMERGENCE project Beetle-EvoChem) and the French National Research Agency (ANR-19-CE02-003-01 grant). This work has benefited from the expertise of the High-throughput Sequencing Platform of I2BC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Paula Graça and Latifa Belkessa (ISYEB, Sorbonne Université) for technical help and Thierry Jaffredo (IBPS, Sorbonne Université) for laboratory facilities.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2021. 773915/full#supplementary-material

Anoplophora glabripennis). BMC Genomics 20:690. doi: 10.1186/s12864-019-6054-x

Anisimova, M., and Gascuel, O. (2006). Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. Syst. Biol. 55, 539–552. doi: 10.1080/10635150600755453

- Baker, G. T. (2001). Distribution patterns and morphology of sensilla on the apical segment of the antennae and palpi of Hydradephaga (*Coleoptera: adephaga*). *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 55, 330–338. doi: 10.1002/jemt.1181
- Bastin-Heline, L., de Fouchier, A., Cao, S., Koutroumpa, F., Caballero-Vidal, G., Robakiewicz, S., et al. (2019). A novel lineage of candidate pheromone receptors for sex communication in moths. *ELife* 8:e49826. doi: 10.7554/eLife.4 9826
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10. 1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Brand, P., Robertson, H. M., Lin, W., Pothula, R., Klingeman, W. E., Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., et al. (2018). The origin of the odorant receptor gene family in insects. *ELife* 7:e38340. doi: 10.7554/eLife.38340
- Brito, N. F., Moreira, M. F., and Melo, A. C. (2016). A look inside odorantbinding proteins in insect chemoreception. J. Insect Physiol. 95, 51–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2016.09.008
- Buchfink, B., Xie, C., and Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12, 59–60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth. 3176
- Chen, Z., Wang, Q., and Wang, Z. (2010). The amiloride-sensitive epithelial Na⁺ channel PPK28 is essential for drosophila gustatory water reception. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 6247–6252. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0627-10.2010
- Chertemps, T., and Maïbèche, M. (2021). "Odor degrading enzymes and signal termination," in *Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 2nd Edn, eds G. J. Blomqvist and R. G. Vogt (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 619–644. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819628-1.00019-5
- Cock, P. J., Chilton, J. M., Gruning, B., Johnson, J. E., and Soranzo, N. (2015). NCBI BLAST+ integrated into Galaxy. *Gigascience* 4:39. doi: 10.1186/s13742-015-0080-7
- Contreras, E., Rausell, C., and Real, M. D. (2013). Proteome response of *Tribolium* castaneum larvae to Bacillus thuringiensis toxin producing strains. *PLoS One* 8:e55330. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055330
- Croset, V., Rytz, R., Cummins, S. F., Budd, A., Brawand, D., Kaessmann, H., et al. (2010). Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. *PLoS Genet*. 6:e1001064. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001064
- Culler, L. E., Ohba, S., and Crumrine, P. (2014). "Predator-Prey Interactions of Dytiscids," in *Ecology, Systematics, and the Natural History of Predaceous Diving Beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae)*, ed. D. Yee (Dordrecht: Springer), 363–386. doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-9109-0_8
- Dijkstra, K. D., Monaghan, M. T., and Pauls, S. U. (2014). Freshwater biodiversity and aquatic insect diversification. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 59, 143–163. doi: 10. 1146/annurev-ento-011613-161958
- Dippel, S., Kollmann, M., Oberhofer, G., Montino, A., Knoll, C., Krala, M., et al. (2016). Morphological and transcriptomic analysis of a beetle chemosensory system reveals a gnathal olfactory center. *BMC Biol.* 14:90. doi: 10.1186/s12915-016-0304-z
- Dippel, S., Oberhofer, G., Kahnt, J., Gerischer, L., Opitz, L., Schachtner, J., et al. (2014). Tissue-specific transcriptomics, chromosomal localization, and phylogeny of chemosensory and odorant binding proteins from the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* reveal subgroup specificities for olfaction or more general functions. *BMC Genomics* 15:1141. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1141
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics* 5:113. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-5-113
- El-Gebali, S., Mistry, J., Bateman, A., Eddy, S. R., Luciani, A., Potter, S. C., et al. (2019). The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D427–D432. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky995
- Eyun, S. I., Soh, H. Y., Posavi, M., Munro, J. B., Hughes, D. S. T., Murali, S. C., et al. (2017). Evolutionary history of chemosensory-related gene families across the arthropoda. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 1838–1862. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx147
- Finn, R. D., Clements, J., and Eddy, S. R. (2011). HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39(Web Server issue), W29– W37. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr367
- Fleischer, J., and Krieger, J. (2021). "Molecular mechanisms of pheromone detection," in *Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* -, 2nd Edn, eds G. J. Blomqvist and R. G. Vogt (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 355–413. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819628-1.00012-2

- Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., and Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 3150–3152. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565
- Giordano, G., Carbone, M., Ciavatta, M. L., Silvano, E., Gavagnin, M., Garson, M. J., et al. (2017). Volatile secondary metabolites as aposematic olfactory signals and defensive weapons in aquatic environments. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci.* U.S.A. 114, 3451–3456. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1614655114
- Gouy, M., Guindon, S., and Gascuel, O. (2010). SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 27, 221–224. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp259
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644–652. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1883
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59, 307–321. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syq010
- Haas, B. J., Papanicolaou, A., Yassour, M., Grabherr, M., Blood, P. D., Bowden, J., et al. (2013). De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 1494–1512. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.084
- Hansson, B. S., and Stensmyr, M. C. (2011). Evolution of insect olfaction. *Neuron* 72, 698–711. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.003
- Herbst, C., Baier, B., Tolasch, T., and Steidle, J. L. M. (2011). Demonstration of sex pheromones in the predaceous diving beetle *Rhantus suturalis* (MacLeay 1825) (Dytiscidae). *Chemoecology* 21, 19–23. doi: 10.1007/s00049-010-0061-3
- Hodgson, E. S. (1953). A study of chemoreception in aqueous and gas phases. *Biol. Bull.* 105, 115–127. doi: 10.2307/1538560
- Isono, K., and Morita, H. (2010). Molecular and cellular designs of insect taste receptor system. Front. Cell Neurosci. 4:20. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2010.00020
- Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., and Madden, T. L. (2008). NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36(Web Server issue), W5–W9. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn201
- Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K. D. (2019). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* 20, 1160–1166. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12, 357–360. doi: 10.1038/nmeth. 3317
- Koh, T. W., He, Z., Gorur-Shandilya, S., Menuz, K., Larter, N. K., Stewart, S., et al. (2014). The Drosophila IR20a clade of ionotropic receptors are candidate taste and pheromone receptors. *Neuron* 83, 850–865. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07. 012
- Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol. Biol. 305, 567–580. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315
- Leal, W. S. (2013). Odorant reception in insects: roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 58, 373–391. doi: 10. 1146/annurev-ento-120811-153635
- Lefort, V., Longueville, J. E., and Gascuel, O. (2017). SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2422–2424. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx149
- Li, J., Lehmann, S., Weissbecker, B., Ojeda Naharros, I., Schutz, S., Joop, G., et al. (2013). Odoriferous defensive stink gland transcriptome to identify novel genes necessary for quinone synthesis in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003596. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003596
- Liu, C., Pitts, R. J., Bohbot, J. D., Jones, P. L., Wang, G., and Zwiebel, L. J. (2010). Distinct olfactory signaling mechanisms in the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLoS Biol.* 8:467. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000467
- Liu, T., Wang, Y., Tian, Y., Zhang, J., Zhao, J., and Guo, A. (2020). The receptor channel formed by ppk25, ppk29 and ppk23 can sense the *Drosophila* female pheromone 7,11-heptacosadiene. *Genes Brain Behav.* 19:e12529. doi: 10.1111/ gbb.12529
- Matthews, B. J., Younger, M. A., and Vosshall, L. B. (2019). The ion channel ppk301 controls freshwater egg-laying in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *ELife* 8:e43963. doi: 10.7554/eLife.43963.001
- McKenna, D. D., Scully, E. D., Pauchet, Y., Hoover, K., Kirsch, R., Geib, S. M., et al. (2016). Genome of the Asian longhorned beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*), a globally significant invasive species, reveals key functional and evolutionary

innovations at the beetle-plant interface. *Genome Biol.* 17:227. doi: 10.1186/ s13059-016-1088-8

- Mitchell, R. F., and Andersson, M. N. (2021). "Olfactory genomics of the Coleoptera," in *Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology-*, 2nd Edn, eds G. J. Blomqvist and R. G. Vogt (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 547–589. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819628-1.00017-1
- Mitchell, R. F., Schneider, T. M., Schwartz, A. M., Andersson, M. N., and McKenna, D. D. (2020). The diversity and evolution of odorant receptors in beetles (*Coleoptera*). *Insect Mol. Biol.* 29, 77–91. doi: 10.1111/imb.12611
- Mollo, E., Fontana, A., Roussis, V., Polese, G., Amodeo, P., and Ghiselin, M. T. (2014). Sensing marine biomolecules: smell, taste, and the evolutionary transition from aquatic to terrestrial life. *Front. Chem.* 2:92. doi: 10.3389/fchem. 2014.00092
- Montell, C. (2009). A taste of the Drosophila gustatory receptors. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 19, 345–353. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2009.07.001
- Ng, R., Salem, S. S., Wu, S. T., Wu, M., Lin, H. H., Shepherd, A. K., et al. (2019). Amplification of *Drosophila* olfactory responses by a DEG/ENaC channel. *Neuron* 104, 947–959. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.041
- Nielsen, H. (2017). Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1611, 59-73. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7015-5_6
- Pelosi, P., Iovinella, I., Felicioli, A., and Dani, F. R. (2014). Soluble proteins of chemical communication: an overview across arthropods. *Front. Physiol.* 5:320. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00320
- Prieto-Godino, L. L., Rytz, R., Cruchet, S., Bargeton, B., Abuin, L., Silbering, A. F., et al. (2017). Evolution of Acid-Sensing Olfactory Circuits in Drosophilids. *Neuron* 93, 661–666. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.024
- Rice, P., Longden, I., and Bleasby, A. (2000). EMBOSS: the european molecular biology open software suite. *Trends Genet.* 16, 276–277. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02024-2
- Rihani, K., Ferveur, J. F., and Briand, L. (2021). The 40-year mystery of insect odorant-binding proteins. *Biomolecules* 11:509. doi: 10.3390/biom1104 0509
- Rimal, S., and Lee, Y. (2018). The multidimensional ionotropic receptors of Drosophila melanogaster. Insect Mol. Biol. 27, 1–7. doi: 10.1111/imb.12347
- Robertson, H. M. (2019). Molecular evolution of the major arthropod chemoreceptor gene families. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 64, 227–242. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-ento-020117-043322
- Ruel, D. M., Yakir, E., and Bohbot, J. D. (2018). Supersensitive odorant receptor underscores pleiotropic roles of indoles in mosquito ecology. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* 12:533. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00533
- Sanchez-Alcaniz, J. A., Silbering, A. F., Croset, V., Zappia, G., Sivasubramaniam, A. K., Abuin, L., et al. (2018). An expression atlas of variant ionotropic glutamate receptors identifies a molecular basis of carbonation sensing. *Nat. Commun.* 9:4252. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06453-1
- Shan, S., Wang, S. N., Song, X., Khashaveh, A., Lu, Z. Y., Dhiloo, K. H., et al. (2019). Antennal ionotropic receptors IR64a1 and IR64a2 of the parasitoid wasp *Microplitis mediator* (*Hymenoptera: Braconidate*) collaboratively perceive habitat and host cues. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 114:103204. doi: 10.1016/j. ibmb.2019.103204
- Short, A. E. Z. (2018). Systematics of aquatic beetles (*Coleoptera*): current state and future directions. *Syst. Entomol.* 43, 1–18. doi: 10.1111/syen.12270
- Silbering, A. F., Rytz, R., Grosjean, Y., Abuin, L., Ramdya, P., Jefferis, G. S., et al. (2011). Complementary function and integrated wiring of the evolutionarily distinct *Drosophila* olfactory subsystems. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 13357–13375. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2360-11.2011
- Simao, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., and Zdobnov, E. M. (2015). BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness

with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3210–3212. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btv351

- Simon, P. (2003). Q-Gene: processing quantitative real-time RT-PCR data. Bioinformatics 19, 1439–1440. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg157
- Song, L. M., Jiang, X., Wang, X. M., Li, J. D., Zhu, F., Tu, X. B., et al. (2016). Male tarsi specific odorant-binding proteins in the diving beetle *Cybister japonicus* Sharp. Sci. Rep. 6:31848. doi: 10.1038/srep31848
- Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D. R., et al. (2012). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–578. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012. 016
- van Giesen, L., and Garrity, P. A. (2017). More than meets the IR: the expanding roles of variant Ionotropic Glutamate Receptors in sensing odor, taste, temperature and moisture. *F1000Res* 6:1753. doi: 10.12688/f1000research. 12013.1
- Wicher, D., and Miazzi, F. (2021). "Insect odorant receptors: Function and regulation," in *Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 2nd Edn, eds G. J. Blomqvist and R. G. Vogt (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 415–433. doi: 10. 1016/B978-0-12-819628-1.00013-4
- Xia, Y., Wang, G., Buscariollo, D., Pitts, R. J., Wenger, H., and Zwiebel, L. J. (2008). The molecular and cellular basis of olfactory-driven behavior in *Anopheles gambiae* larvae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 6433–6438. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0801007105
- Xu, W. (2020). How do moth and butterfly taste? Molecular basis of gustatory receptors in *Lepidoptera*. *Insect Sci.* 27, 1148–1157. doi: 10.1111/1744-7917. 12718
- Yohe, L. R., and Brand, P. (2018). Evolutionary ecology of chemosensation and its role in sensory drive. *Curr. Zool.* 64, 525–533. doi: 10.1093/cz/zoy048
- Zhang, J., Bisch-Knaden, S., Fandino, R. A., Yan, S., Obiero, G. F., Grosse-Wilde, E., et al. (2019). The olfactory coreceptor IR8a governs larval feces-mediated competition avoidance in a hawkmoth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A.* 116, 21828– 21833. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1913485116
- Zhang, X. X., Yang, B., Sun, D. D., Guo, M. B., Zhang, J., and Wang, G. R. (2021). Ionotropic receptor 8a is involved in the attraction of *Helicoverpa armigera* to acetic acid. *Insect Sci.* doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12962 [Epub ahead of print].
- Zhao, Y. J., Li, G. C., Zhu, J. Y., and Liu, N. Y. (2020). Genome-based analysis reveals a novel SNMP group of the Coleoptera and chemosensory receptors in *Rhaphuma horsfieldi. Genomics* 112, 2713–2728. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.03. 005

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Montagné, Jager, Chertemps, Persyn, Jaszczyszyn, Meslin, Jacquin-Joly and Manuel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.