



# Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: the times they are a-changin'

Claudia Campani, Jean-Charles Nault

## ► To cite this version:

Claudia Campani, Jean-Charles Nault. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: the times they are a-changin'. *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*, 2021, 10 (6), pp.893-895. 10.21037/hbsn-21-412 . hal-03521542

**HAL Id: hal-03521542**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03521542>**

Submitted on 11 Jan 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: the times they are a-changin'

Claudia Campani<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jean-Charles Nault<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Sorbonne Université, Inserm, Université de Paris, Team "Functional Genomics of Solid Tumors", Paris, France; <sup>2</sup>Equipe labellisée Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer, Labex OncoImmunology, Paris, France; <sup>3</sup>Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; <sup>4</sup>Service d'Hépatologie, Hôpital Avicenne, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris-Seine-Saint-Denis, Assistance-Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Bobigny, France; <sup>5</sup>Unité de Formation et de Recherche Santé Médecine et Biologie Humaine, Université Paris 13, Communauté d'Universités et Etablissements Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

Correspondence to: Jean-Charles Nault, MD, PhD. Service d'Hépatologie, Hôpital Avicenne, 125 Rue de Stalingrad, Bobigny 93000, France. Email: naultjc@gmail.com.

Comment on: Bruix J, Chan SL, Galle PR, *et al.* Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: an EASL position paper. J Hepatol 2021;75:960-74.

Submitted Oct 02, 2021. Accepted for publication Oct 25, 2021.

doi: 10.21037/hbsn-21-412

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-21-412>

For a decade, sorafenib remained the only drug approved for the treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) due to the failure of several phase III trials in which alternative angiogenesis inhibitors were tested (1). In recent years, the field of systemic treatments of HCC has evolved quickly and a recent position paper of the European Association for the Study of the Liver has well described the current advances in the systemic treatment of advanced HCC as well as the unmet needs in the field (1). First, lenvatinib was approved as an alternative to sorafenib in the first-line setting, and, thus, regorafenib, cabozantinib and ramucirumab as second-line treatments for patients who progressed on sorafenib (1). Recently, in the IMbrave150 trial, the combination of atezolizumab and bevacizumab outperformed sorafenib in terms of overall survival (OS) (19.2 *vs.* 13.4 months), progression-free survival (PFS) (6.9 *vs.* 4.3 months), objective rate response (ORR) (30% *vs.* 11%), and patient-reported outcome, and was therefore approved worldwide as the first-line standard of care for patients with unresectable HCC (1,2). In addition, in a recent phase III trial, the combination of sintilimab (anti-PD1 antibody) plus a bevacizumab-biosimilar agent obtained similar results in China (1,3). Immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) monotherapy has shown limited efficacy, with ORR values of 15–20% and no improvement in OS. These results may be explained by the fact that only 25% of HCCs express markers of an inflammatory response and

that among these, only HCCs characterized by markers of an adaptive T-cell response are supposed to be susceptible to ICI (4). The rationale of the combination is the synergistic effect of ICIs with drugs acting on vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (5). VEGF inhibitors restore normal vascularization that promote immune cell infiltration and reduce hypoxia-induced PD-L1 overexpression and the infiltration by immunosuppressive myeloid-derived suppressor and regulatory T-cells (5). Thus, the effect of ICI on activation of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T and natural killer cells and on M1 macrophage polarization could be amplified by VEGF pathway inhibition (5). Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKIs) act on multiple targets and are supposed to induce the release of cancer antigens, thus the combination of ICI and TKI could further enhance the immune response and is currently under evaluation in several clinical trials (NTC03713593, NCT03755791, NTC03418922). In the phase Ib study testing the combination of lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab, an ORR of 36–46% was reported (6).

Despite the striking efficacy of the new systemic treatments recently approved for patients with advanced HCC, Bruix *et al.* highlighted several unmet needs in the field (1). First, patient's comorbidities and treatment-related adverse event profile should be considered to select the optimal candidate to the combination of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab. Atezolizumab + bevacizumab regimen should be avoided in patients with a poorly controlled pre-

existing autoimmune disorder or when the reactivation of the disease may be life-threatening such as those targeting central nervous system or the heart (1,7).

In patients with liver transplantation, the use of ICI should be avoided because the response of such patients to the treatment can be poor due to the immunosuppressive drugs and, at the same time, the boost to the immune system can foster organ rejection (1). Sorafenib still remains the therapy of choice in liver transplanted patients for whom an advantage for second-line regorafenib has recently been suggested (8). Moreover, one of the concern on the use of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in cirrhotic patients is related to the risk of bleeding (1). One-quarter of the patients enrolled in the combination arm of IMbrave150 trial experienced bleeding (7% gastrointestinal bleeding and 2.4% acute variceal bleeding) but it must be emphasized that the enrolled patients had optimal portal hypertension control (2,9). Patients were required to have an upper endoscopy screening for oesophageal and gastric varices within the last 6 months and those with untreated or incompletely treated varices or with high risk of bleeding were excluded (1,2). Portal hypertension, and therefore the risk of bleeding, can also worsen after the start of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab (9). Theoretically, the underlying liver disease and consequently portal hypertension, can progress under the profibrogenic stimulation linked to the increase in proinflammatory cytokines and inflammatory cell recruitment induced by both anti-VEGF and immunotherapy (9). Moreover, both of these drugs have the potential to lead to sinusoidal damage that could worsen portal hypertension (9). Further research is needed to evaluate the atezolizumab-bevacizumab combination in patients with more severe portal hypertension and to establish if a personalized portal hypertension management is needed in these patients before starting the treatment. Moreover, patients with curative anticoagulation were excluded from the IMbrave150 trial and are currently excluded for treatment by atezolizumab/bevacizumab. However, more data in real life clinical practice are warranted in cirrhotic patients as bevacizumab has been used safely in other type of cancer in patients with curative anticoagulation (10).

Another question that remains unresolved is which is the best treatment option for Child-Pugh score (CPS) B patients. CPS B patients have not been enrolled in clinical trial except for nivolumab that has a good safety profile (1). In real life data, sorafenib seems tolerated in Child-Pugh B7 patients even if the impact in OS remains uncertain (11).

A recent meta-analysis of data from three phase III trials evaluating immunotherapies targeting PD1/PD-L1 pathway, showed that aetiology of the underlying liver disease was linked to treatment response (12). More specifically, patients with HCC developed on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease have a shorter OS under immunotherapy (12). However, despite these results, aetiology should not be considered in the choice of treatment and further validation in prospective studies is needed (1).

The approval of atezolizumab plus bevacizumab as the new standard of care in first line setting has significant implications also on the treatment sequencing of HCC (1). All the current approved therapies have been tested in first-line or after progression on sorafenib (1). Moreover, data demonstrating the superiority of one of the current second-line treatments (regorafenib, cabozantinib, and ramucirumab) over the others are not available (and will probably not be available) (1). For these reasons, a specific therapeutic sequence is not definable and the choice of the second-line agent should be based on patient characteristics and comorbidities, safety profile and potential adverse events, health-related quality of life, and schedule of administration.

More information on the therapeutic sequence will be obtained as soon as biomarkers will be able to identify the most appropriate treatment in first and second lines. Several studies have evaluated PD-L1 expression, tumor mutational burden, aneuploidy, gene signatures, activation of the WNT and FGF pathways but none have been prospectively validated for HCC except for alpha-fetoprotein levels (>400 ng/mL) that select patients who will benefit from ramucirumab (13). Moreover, controversial data have suggested that presence of mutations of *CTNNB1* was predictive of response to immunotherapy in a mice model and in a retrospective monocentric study in HCC patients (14,15). However, prospective validation is required in order to assess the predictive value of this biomarker.

Finally, the use of tumor liver biopsy will be helpful to assess tumor molecular features and could allow the identification of the mechanism of primary and secondary resistance to systemic therapies. Therefore, the integration of tumor biopsy in future clinical trials for patients with unresectable HCC could overcome the current lack of biomarkers useful in clinical practice.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-21-412>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Bruix J, Chan SL, Galle PR, et al. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: An EASL position paper. *J Hepatol* 2021;75:960-74.
2. Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1894-905.
3. Ren Z, Xu J, Bai Y, et al. Sintilimab plus a bevacizumab biosimilar (IBI305) versus sorafenib in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (ORIENT-32): a randomised, open-label, phase 2-3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22:977-90.
4. Sia D, Jiao Y, Martinez-Quetglas I, et al. Identification of an Immune-specific Class of Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Based on Molecular Features. *Gastroenterology* 2017;153:812-26.
5. Fukumura D, Kloepper J, Amoozgar Z, et al. Enhancing cancer immunotherapy using antiangiogenics: opportunities and challenges. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2018;15:325-40.
6. Finn RS, Ikeda M, Zhu AX, et al. Phase Ib Study of Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab in Patients With Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2020;38:2960-70.
7. Pinter M, Scheiner B, Peck-Radosavljevic M. Immunotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a focus on special subgroups. *Gut* 2021;70:204-14.
8. Iavarone M, Invernizzi F, Ivanics T, et al. Regorafenib Efficacy After Sorafenib in Patients With Recurrent Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Liver Transplantation: A Retrospective Study. *Liver Transpl* 2021. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1002/lt.26264.
9. Allaire M, Rudler M, Thabut D. Portal hypertension and hepatocellular carcinoma: Des liaisons dangereuses.... *Liver Int* 2021;41:1734-43.
10. Leighl NB, Bennouna J, Yi J, et al. Bleeding events in bevacizumab-treated cancer patients who received full-dose anticoagulation and remained on study. *Br J Cancer* 2011;104:413-8.
11. Marrero JA, Kudo M, Venook AP, et al. Observational registry of sorafenib use in clinical practice across Child-Pugh subgroups: The GIDEON study. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:1140-7.
12. Pfister D, Núñez NG, Pinyol R, et al. NASH limits anti-tumour surveillance in immunotherapy-treated HCC. *Nature* 2021;592:450-6.
13. Nault JC, Villanueva A. Biomarkers for Hepatobiliary Cancers. *Hepatology* 2021;73 Suppl 1:115-27.
14. Pinyol R, Sia D, Llovet JM. Immune Exclusion-Wnt/CTNNB1 Class Predicts Resistance to Immunotherapies in HCC. *Clin Cancer Res* 2019;25:2021-3.
15. Ruiz de Galarreta M, Bresnahan E, Molina-Sánchez P, et al.  $\beta$ -Catenin Activation Promotes Immune Escape and Resistance to Anti-PD-1 Therapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Discov* 2019;9:1124-41.

**Cite this article as:** Campani C, Nault JC. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: the times they are a-changin'. *HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr* 2021;10(6):893-895. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-21-412