

# Do male panther chameleons use different aspects of color change to settle disputes?

Alexis y Dollion, Sandrine Meylan, Olivier Marquis, Mathieu Leroux-Coyau,

Anthony Herrel

# ▶ To cite this version:

Alexis y Dollion, Sandrine Meylan, Olivier Marquis, Mathieu Leroux-Coyau, Anthony Herrel. Do male panther chameleons use different aspects of color change to settle disputes?. The Science of Nature Naturwissenschaften, 2022, 109 (1), pp.13. 10.1007/s00114-022-01784-y . hal-03542356

# HAL Id: hal-03542356 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03542356

Submitted on 25 Jan2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 Do male panther chameleons use different aspects of color change to settle disputes?

- Alexis Y. Dollion<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Sandrine Meylan<sup>2</sup>, Olivier Marquis<sup>4</sup>, Mathieu Leroux-Coyau<sup>2</sup> and Anthony
   Herrel<sup>3</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup>Université de Paris, 75006, Paris, France
- 5 <sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Université, CNRS, IRD, INRA, Institut d'Ecologie et des Sciences de l'Environnement-
- 6 Paris, iEES-Paris, 75252, Paris, France
- <sup>3</sup>Département Adaptations du vivant, UMR 7179 C. N. R. S/M. N. H. N, 75005, Paris, France
- <sup>4</sup>Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Parc Zoologique de Paris, 75012 Paris, France
- 9 #Pages: 8
- 10 #Words: 4807
- **11** #Tables: 2
- 12 #Figures: 6
- 13 Corresponding author contacts:
- 14 Alexis Y. Dollion (ORCID : 0000-0003-1373-2588)
- 15 e-mail: dollion. alexis. 14340@gmail. com
- 16 phone: +33 (0)7 83 67 96 55

# 17 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marc Thery for letting us use his spectrophotometer to measure the irradiance 18 19 spectrum of the experimental arena. We acknowledge Dr. Sylvie Laidebeur, Dr. Laetitia Redon, Dr. 20 Alexis Lecu, Fabrice Bernard, Morgane Denis and Mickaël Leger for assistance with chameleon 21 husbandry and care. We thank Karim Daoues, Cédric Bordes, Denis Lebon and Loïc Laumalle-Waddy 22 from the "ferme tropicale" for their help in providing us with materials for husbandry. We thank 23 Hugues Clamouze and Thierry Decamps for helping us with the experimental arena. Finally, we thank 24 the "Ecole Doctorale Frontières de l'Innovation en Recherche et Education - Programme Bettencourt" 25 for financial support. And, we would like to acknowledge all the reviewers that have reviewed our 26 work and lead us to this actual manuscript

# 27 Abstract

In many animals, males engage in agonistic interactions. Color signals are commonly used to mitigate 28 29 these potentially harmful interactions. Both pigment-based color and structural color, notably ultraviolet coloration, are used in this context to convey information, including an animal's resource 30 holding potential (RHP) or social status. Despite extensive previous work on this topic, the ability to 31 32 change color in this context has received relatively little attention. Moreover, no studies to date have 33 considered both the visible and the ultraviolet component of this ability. Thus, whether changes in ultraviolet play a role in the settling of intraspecific disputes remains unknown. Here, we investigate 34 the role of color change during intrasexual agonistic interactions in male panther chameleons (Furcifer 35 pardalis). To do so, we combined behavioral experiments and color analysis. Our results show that the 36 37 outcome of male intrasexual agonistic interactions depends on particular aspects of color change in the 38 visible spectrum. Dominant males exhibit more brightness changes and Euclidian distance changes 39 within the HSV color space at the level of the bands and interbands, suggesting a prominent role of 40 these patterns in panther chameleon communication. Our results also align with previous studies in 41 another species of chameleon, thus supporting the key role of brightness changes in chameleon 42 communication, at least in a competitive context. Interestingly, although our species did exhibit UV 43 coloration neither this coloration nor its changes seem to be involved in intrasexual agonistic 44 interactions among males, possibly because those signals may be used for other purposes like 45 attracting mates, repelling predators, or deception.

## 46 Significance statement:

47 There is a growing body of evidence that animal color change is used for communication. The dynamics of color change have been suggested to play a prominent role in settling agonistic 48 49 interactions. To date, the ultraviolet component (<400nm) of color change remains largely neglected despite the fact that many animals, including chameleons, can perceive colors in the UV range. Using 50 image analysis techniques, we investigated male F. pardalis color change during agonistic 51 52 interactions, in both the visible and UV range. We demonstrate that male F. pardalis settle agonistic interactions through specific aspects of color change. Interestingly, while our species did show UV 53 coloration and UV color change, these appeared not to be involved in settling agonistic interactions. 54

55 Keywords: Chameleon, Intrasexual competition, Color signals, Animal communication

# 57 **Declarations**

58 Funding information: "Ecole Doctorale Frontières de l'Innovation en Recherche et Education -

- 59 Programme Bettencourt"; Université de Paris.
- 60 **Conflicts of interest:** We have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Ethics approval: Experiments were carried out in compliance with French legislation and animals were given regular health checks by Zoo veterinarians. Animals were alive and healthy after the experiments and showed no weight loss. In accordance with the directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and French legislation, our study did not require specific authorization because our observations did not cause any pain, suffering, distress, or lasting harm.

66 **Consent to participate:** Not applicable.

67 **Consent for publication:**Dr. Alexis Y. Dollion, Pr. Sandrine Meylan, Dr. Olivier Marquis, Mr. 68 Mathieu Leroux-Coyau and Dr. Anthony Herrel, authors of the following articles intitled "Do male 69 panther chameleons (*Furcifer pardalis*) use different aspects of color change in the visible and the 70 ultraviolet range to settle intrasexual agonistic interactions?", acknowledge and consent to the 71 submission of the article to *The science of Nature* journal and its later potential publication in *The* 72 *science of Nature*.

Availability of data and material: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current
 study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

75 **Code availability:** Not applicable.

# 76 Authors' contributions:

- 77 Study conception: A. Y. Dollion, S. Meylan and A. Herrel
- 78 Experimental design: A. Y. Dollion, S. Meylan, O. Marquis and A. Herrel
- 79 Acquisition of data: A. Y. Dollion and M. Leroux-coyau
- 80 Analysis and interpretation of data: A. Y. Dollion, S. Meylan and A. Herrel
- 81 Drafting of the manuscript: A. Y. Dollion, S. Meylan and A. Herrel
- 82 Critical revision: A. Y. Dollion, S. Meylan, O. Marquis and A. Herrel

# 83 INTRODUCTION

In many animal species, males engage in agonistic interactions varying from threat displays to 84 physical fighting. They do so to compete over sexual partners or over resources to attract potential 85 mates. Physical fighting is costly, in terms of energy (Briffa and Sneddon 2007), time, possible injury, 86 and may result in an increase in predation risk (Glass and Huntingford 1988; Kelly and Godin 2001; 87 Briffa and Elwood 2004). To avoid energy expenditure and potential lethal injuries linked to fighting, 88 89 many species rely on signals to assess the quality of the opponent and to mitigate the outcome of the agonistic interactions (Maynard-Smith and Harper 2003; Briffa 2014). These signals are thought to 90 91 convey information on fighting ability or overall male strength or quality, often referred to as resource holding potential (RHP) (Parker 1974; Andersson 1994). Individuals may consequently assess 92 asymmetries in RHP between themselves and opponents during intrasexual agonistic interactions 93 94 using these signals (Taylor and Elwood 2003) and thus settle the interaction without escalation.

95 It has been suggested that intrasexual agonistic interactions may be mediated by color traits, 96 sometimes referred to as badges of status (Rohrlich and Rubin 1975; Maynard-Smith and Harper 97 2003). These badges can be used to assess the RHP of the opponent if they reflect the social status or 98 dominance of the individual (Whiting et al. 2003; Senar 2006). For example, Anolis lizards have been 99 suggested to be able to assess an opponent's bite force by evaluating dewlap color, size, and display 100 rate (Vanhooydonck et al. 2005; Steffen and Guyer 2014). Signal honesty can be maintained by an 101 incorruptible, inherent relationship between signal and quality (i.e. relatively "cost-free") or costs associated with signal expression that rely on the quality of the signaller (Zahavi 1975; Searcy and 102 Nowicki 2005; Bradbury and Vehrencamp 2011; Weaver et al. 2017). This cost could be associated 103 104 with the production and maintenance of signals (i.e., handicap signals, Searcy and Nowicki 2005). 105 Producing color signals is indeed costly as it involves pigments like carotenoids or melanin which are also involved in the immune response (Griffith et al. 2006) and the oxidative barrier (Henschen et al. 106 107 2016). Moreover, the production of melanin-based color is linked to testosterone levels which have an 108 immunodepressive effect (Buchanan et al. 2003; Foo et al. 2017). Therefore, there appears to be a potential trade-off in the pigment allocation into badges of status versus immunocompetence and/or 109 oxidative barriers as predicted by the handicap theory (Zahavi 1975) and the immunocompetence 110 111 handicap theory (Folstad and Karter 1992). Nevertheless, the cost ensuring signal honesty can also be from a social nature, which is mostly the case for badges of status. Here, the signal honesty is mainly 112 guaranteed by conspecifics imposing a high cost on dishonest individuals through the punishment of 113 114 those individuals (i.e. significantly more aggressive behavior toward cheaters) (Rohwer 1982; 115 Tibbetts and Dale 2004; Tibbetts and Izzo 2010).

116 Although pigment-based colors have been extensively studied in this context, structural colors are 117 clearly also important, notably ultraviolet (UV) coloration. Over the past decade a growing body of 118 evidence has been accumulated on the involvement of UV coloration in intrasexual competition and

- the role of these UV color traits as badges of status (Lim and Li 2013; Xu and Fincke 2015; Martin et
- al. 2016; Sabol et al. 2017). Ahigher UV reflectance eappears to convey information on RHP (Stapley
- and Whiting 2006; Whiting et al. 2006; Tringali and Bowman 2012; Lim and Li 2013), a better
- immune response (Doucet and Montgomerie 2003; Griggio et al. 2010; Megía-Palma et al. 2016), or a
- 123 higher testosterone level (Roberts et al. 2009). The signal honesty of UV signals has been suggested
- to be ensured by the immunocompetence handicap mechanism (Folstad and Karter 1992; Roberts et al.
- 125 2009) but mostly through a social cost (Martin et al. 2016).

126 Interestingly, some animals are able to exhibit rapid color change (in a few minutes or even less than a 127 second) which they may use in the course of intraspecific disputes to communicate (Hutton et al. 128 2015). This is found in a wide range of taxa: for example, cephalopods (Adamo et al. 2000), lizards 129 (Korzan et al. 2006; Batabyal and Thaker 2017), and insects (Umbers et al. 2013). Nevertheless, most 130 of the studies on the use of color change during social interactions have investigated this ability as a 131 simple ON/OFF feature, without considering the dynamic aspect of color change (*i.e.* speed, temporal 132 variation, direction). Yet, the dynamics of color change likely offer as much communication 133 possibilities and complexity as vocalizations. For example, a previous study by Ligon and McGraw (2013) investigated the role of dynamic color change in intrasexual agonistic interactions in 134 135 chameleons. They demonstrated not only that chameleons exhibit submissive behavior through the 136 exhibition of darker colors (Ligon 2014) and that brighter colors act as badges of status (Ligon and McGraw 2016), but also that the speed by which the color change occurs matters (Ligon and McGraw 137 2013). They further suggested that the honesty of this signal is guaranteed by a social cost (Ligon and 138 139 McGraw 2016). Yet, the UV component of color change has been neglected despite the fact that numerous color changing animals are sensitive to UV (Briscoe and Chittka 2001; Bowmaker 2008), 140 including chameleons (Bowmaker et al. 2005). During intrasexual agonistic interactions, these 141 142 animals likely do not only show color changes in the visible spectrum, but also in the UV range. This raises the question of whether they rely on color change in the visible range only or on both the visible 143 144 and UV range to communicate and settle social interactions.

145 Chameleons (Squamata: Chameleonidae) are an excellent biological model to investigate the role of 146 dynamic color change in intrasexual agonistic interactions in both the visible and UV range. Selection for conspicuous signals is likely to have driven the evolution of color displays in this group (Stuart-147 148 Fox and Moussalli 2008). Moreover, they are highly territorial animals that often engage in physical 149 and sometimes even deadly fights (Tolley and Herrel 2013). Moreover, some species exhibit complex color changes (Nečas 1999; Tolley and Herrel 2013; Teyssier et al. 2015) that may include changes in 150 151 hue, brightness and saturation, offering a large color change repertoire. Finally, chameleons are 152 tetrachromatic lizards with UV sensitive photoreceptors ( $\lambda_{max} = 375-385$  nm; Bowmaker *et al.*, 2005).

153 In the present study, we investigate the role of color change, in the visible and the ultraviolet range, during intrasexual agonistic interactions in panther chameleons (Furcifer pardalis, Cuvier 1829). To 154 do so, we staged dyadic agonistic encounters among male F. pardalis under appropriate light 155 156 conditions (*i.e.* including UV) and examined the dynamic color change, during those interactions. We predict that, as in C. calyptratus (Ligon and McGraw 2013; Ligon 2014), male F. pardalis will rely 157 on brightness changes, to settle agonistic interactions. Moreover, we predict that ultraviolet signals 158 may also be used, as chameleons perceive UV. Finally, we also tested whether saturation and hue 159 160 changes are involved in settling agonistic interactions among males.

#### 161 Material and methods

#### 162 Animals and husbandry

163 Furcifer pardalis is a diurnal and arboreal lizard, endemic to Madagascar and found in a wide range of habitats along the northern and eastern coasts of Madagascar. This species exhibits a strong sexual 164 165 dimorphism and considerable intraspecific variation in male coloration: females and juveniles are tan 166 to brown with hints of pink or orange, while adult males are much larger and have various 167 combinations of bright red, green, blue, and yellow. This polychromatism among males depends on the region of origin and is either termed a "morph" or "locality". Local variation also appears to exist 168 169 within morphs (Ferguson 2004). For this study, nineteen adult (over six months old) captive-bred 170 male Furcifer pardalis of the 'Ambilobe' morph were used.

171 Animals were kept individually and were visually isolated from one another in mesh terraria (46 x 46 x 91 cm, ReptiBreeze, ZooMed) outfitted with branches and plastic plants to provide hiding spots. 172 173 Animals were kept in a dedicated room at the Paris Zoo (Parc Zoologique de Paris). The room 174 temperature was maintained at 26°C during the day and the temperature dropped to 22°C at night. 175 Fluorescent tubes providing 12% UVB (Reptile Lamp 12% T8, Arcadia) and a 40W heating bulb 176 (Repti Basking Spot, ZooMed) were suspended above each cage. The photoperiod was set at 12/12h. 177 The animals were fed thrice weekly and crickets were calcium dusted once a week. Water was provided to the animals during three daily misting periods (9 am, 12 pm and 4 pm) with an automated 178 179 misting system (Vivaria project) and 20cL drippers.

#### 180 *Experimental design*

We used a large arena (144 x 50 x 80 cm) with opaque Plexiglas sides and a front made of transparent Plexiglas of 50 cm high to allow behavioral observations and photo/video recordings. As chameleons are perch-dwelling lizards, we provided artificial branches to simulate an arboreal environment. The overall setup was illuminated with a combination of nine light sources placed 56 cm above the setup

as described previously (Dollion et al. 2020). The combination of those different light sources enabled us to cover most of the solar spectrum, including infrared with the halogen bulbs, UVs with the fluorescent tubes, and visible light with the other sources (Fig. S1). Hence, this combination provided a light environment in accordance with the chameleon visual system which includes UVs in the UVA range (Bowmaker et al. 2005). In the middle of the arena, a thin (~0. 7mm) transparent PVC plate (50 cm x 100 cm), hereafter called barrier, was placed to prevent direct attacks and injuries while allowing the animals to see one another (Fig. 1).

192 From April 2018 to May 2018 round-robin tournaments were performed to assess the dominance 193 status between our 19 male panther chameleons. Males were split into four groups of four to five 194 different males balanced in size and color pattern, meaning that individuals with similar color patterns 195 (*i.e.* blue bar and red bar) or size were dispatched in different groups. The round-robin was performed 196 within groups, resulting in three to four encounters per male, totalling 42 agonistic interactions. The 197 encounters occurred at room temperature (26°C) from 10 am to 6 pm, corresponding to their daily 198 activity period. The arena was sprayed and cleaned with clear water before each trial to prevent 199 potential effects of odors remaining from a previous trial. Animals were able to interact for 30 minutes 200 unless one of the animals crossed the barrier upon which the trial was halted to avoid physical injury 201 to the animals. The behavior was recorded with an HD camera, HDCR-CX740VE (SONY, Minato-ku, 202 Tokyo, Japan). It was not possible to record data blindly because our study involved focal animals, yet 203 we randomized the order and time of the encounters. Agonistic interaction outcomes were assessed through behavioral observations (Table 1). Animals were considered as "winners" if they succeeded or 204 205 tried to cross the barrier, if they chased (followed) their opponent from their side, or if they spent most 206 of the interaction trying to climb the barrier (Table 1). Animals were considered as "losers" if they fled from their opponent while trying to maintain a large distance between them. In some cases, individuals 207 exhibited "winner"-like behavior at the beginning of the interaction but then the direction 208 209 (winner/loser) of the interaction changed. In this case, we only considered the final behavior. We also observed some "ties" where both animals appeared to ignore each other or were facing and trying to 210 fight each other (hitting the barrier violently in turn) until the end of the interaction (Table 1). We 211 212 chose not to consider these "tie" situations for our statistical analysis as in nature one of the 213 individuals would likely have prevailed.

# 214 Color calibration and measurements

During the disputes, pictures of both protagonists were taken twice every two minutes with a full spectrum converted camera, Samsung NX-1000. One picture was taken in the visible range (VIS) and a second in the ultraviolet range (UV) immediately after the first. For pictures in the visible range, a filter blocking ultraviolet and infrared was manually placed in front of the camera (UV/IR cut/L Filter, Baader ©, Mammendorf, Germany) and pictures were taken with a 1/640-second exposure. Immediately after the picture was taken, the filter was changed for a filter blocking all wavelengths
except those ranging from 320 to 400 nm (Venus-U Planetary Filter, Optolong ©, Kunming City,
China) and a picture was taken with a one-second exposure.

For the color calibration in the visual range, a color checker (SpyderCHECKR ™) was photographed 223 while placed at thirteen different regions of the arena, once empty. Color calibration was performed 224 225 using Adobe Photoshop Lightroom 6, and the SpyderCheckr software (version 1. 2. 2) provided with 226 the SpyderCHECKR TM, following the instructions. As each of the two opponents might be in different 227 regions of the arena, images were cropped to isolate each opponent and then according to the position 228 of the individuals in the arena the corresponding color calibration was applied. Calibrated images were 229 then used for color measurements. As the UV filter imposes a narrow hue range resulting in a constant 230 pink coloration, color calibration was not required for the UV pictures.

231 Color measurements were performed by retrieving RGB values using the RGB measure tool in 232 ImageJ. We measured fifteen squares of sixteen pixels (NVIS = 8; NUV = 7; Fig. 2), describing the specific color patterns of male F. pardalis. In the visual spectrum, we quantified color at the bands 233 234 (N=3), interbands (N=3) and the lateral line (N=2); in the UV, areas were defined as absorbing UV 235 (N=5) (*i.e.* bands, evelid and head bony tubercles), or reflecting UV (N=1) (*i.e.* lips) and the lateral 236 line (N=1) (Fig. 2). The lateral line was considered independently because according to the individual 237 and time, it was either absorbing or reflecting UV. RGB values were then compiled in R (R Core 238 Team 2019) and converted into HSV values (H: Hue, S: Saturation, V: Brightness) using the 239 Colorscience package (Gamma and Davis 2018). HSV is an alternative representation of the RGB 240 color model that aligns with color-making attributes and color perception, in humans. As pictures were 241 taken every two minutes, each picture corresponds to a time step of two minutes with the first picture 242 of each interaction corresponding to t=0 min.

243 From our measurements, Euclidian distances between colors over two time steps (1 and 2) in the 3D 244 HSV color space were calculated (DEHSV) (Eqn 1). The next six derived variables which allow us to 245 describe the color changes occurring during social interactions were computed for each color value (i.e. hue, saturation, brightness, and DEHSV) at each of the fifteen squares selected on the body of the 246 247 chameleon: the variance, the maximum speed of change between two time steps, the maximum 248 absolute color change (Eqn 2), the overall absolute color change (Eqn 3), the maximum color variation (Eqn 4), and the overall color variation (Eqn 5). DEHSV values enable us to summarise in one 249 measurement the changes occurring in the three dimensions of the HSV color space. All variables 250 251 (Fig. 3; Dollion et al. 2020) were calculated independently for UV pictures and VIS pictures, and 252 averaged by body region (VIS: bands, interbands and lateral line; UV: absorbing, reflecting and lateral 253 line). Maximum UV brightness and maximum UV saturation were also retrieved.

In our formulae x represents a color value (H, S, V or DEHSV);  $t_{min}$  represents the beginning of the interaction;  $t_{max}$  represents the end of the interaction,  $t_{xmax}$  is the time at which x reaches its maximum value;  $t_{xmin}$  is the time at which x reaches its minimum value;  $x_{tmax}$  is the x value at the end of the interaction; and  $x_{tmin}$  is the x valueat the beginning of the interaction. In Eqn 1, H is the hue value, S is the saturation value and V is the brightness value.

259 
$$DEHSV_{x1-x2} = \sqrt{(S_1 \cos H_1 - S_2 \cos H_2)^2 + (S_1 \sin H_1 - S_2 \sin H_2)^2 + (V_1 - V_2)^2}$$
 (1)

$$\frac{X_{max} - X_{min}}{|t_{Xmax} - t_{Xmin}|}$$
(2)

261 
$$\frac{|X_{tmax} - X_{tmin}|}{t_{max} - t_{min}}$$
(3)

262 
$$\frac{\sum_{t=t_{Xmin}}^{t_{Xmax}} (|X_{t+1} - X_t|)}{|t_{Xmax} - t_{Xmin}|}$$
(4)

263 
$$\frac{\sum_{t=t_{min}}^{t_{max}} (|X_{t+1} - X_t|)}{t_{max} - t_{min}}$$
(5)

We were unable to model the color vision of the panther chameleon because the raw data required to calculate the cone-catch values for visual system modeling (Vorobyev and Osorio 1998; Siddiqi 2004; Troscianko and Stevens 2015) are no longer available for *Furcifer pardalis* (Bowmaker, personal communication).

#### 268 *Statistical analyses*

2

Before analyses, the distribution of each color change variable was transformed where needed using a
Box-Cox power function with "AID" package to meet the requirements of a normal distribution (Asar
et al. 2017).

272 We summarized color change information using principal component analysis (PCA) on the centred and scaled individual values of each color change variable with the "ade4" package (Dray and Dufour 273 274 2007). For the visible range (VIS) all variables were incorporated in the PCA, while for the UV range 275 (UV) only brightness and saturation were used, as hue was constrained by the filter and nearly 276 constant. The number of principal components (PCs) used for the subsequent analyses was chosen 277 using the broken stick method (Legendre and Legendre 1998), while representing at least 70% of the 278 variability and for which the contribution of each variable to PCs provided a relevant interpretation of 279 the color change.

- 280 To determine whether the color change exhibited by an individual could explain the outcome of an 281 intrasexual agonistic interaction, generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMM) ('glmer') using 282 'lme4' package (Bates et al. 2015) were performed. We tested for the effect of color change (*i.e.* PCs 283 and also maximum brightness and saturation for the UV range) either in the visible range or in the UV 284 range, on the agonistic interaction outcome, considering the male identity and the test order as random factors. These were tested for each body region separately because we found a significant effect of the 285 body region on most of the color change variables (P < 0.01) in both VIS and UV (See Table S1). 286 287 Male size (*i.e.* snout-vent-length) was not incorporated in any model as there was no significant effect of male size on the agonistic interaction outcome (GLMM:  $X^2=3.77$ ; P=0.052). 288
- We evaluated the relative importance (RI) of each predictor variables using model-averaging approaches (Burnham et al. 2011) within model sets for each body region from each spectral range, to build one model per region. From the model averaging results for each region we kept the variables with an RI value exceeding 50%.
- All statistical analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019). Additionally, model
   selection and model-averaging were undertaken using the R package MuMIn (Barton 2019).

#### 295 **RESULTS**

## 296 PCA results and interpretation

In the visible spectrum, the first three principal components accounted for 70.47 % of the total variance. The first principal component (PC1) of our PCA describes hue and saturation changes and the second principal component (PC2) depicted brightness and DEHSV changes (Table 2). The third principal component (PC3) describes brightness changes (Table 2). In the UV, the first two principal components accounted for 73.93 % of the total variability. The first principal component (PC1) represents UV color changes, whereas the second principal (PC2) describes UV brightness changes (Table 2).

#### 304 *Male color change and agonistic interaction outcome*

In the visual range, multimodel averaging uncovered PC2 (i.e. brightness & DEHSV changes) as being the best predictor for the band models (RI PC2=95%) and lateral line models (RI PC2=99%; Fig. 4D). Similarly, multimodel averaging on the interbands models uncovered PC1 (i.e. hue and saturation 310 changes) (RI=65%) and PC2 (RI=99%; Fig. 3D) as important predictors. GLMM on those selected 311 models show that males displaying more brightness and DESHV changes (PC2) at the bands (Fig. 4a; Fig. 5a) and the interbands (Fig. 4b; Fig. 5b) are significantly more likely to win. Although a tendency could also be observed for the lateral line, GLMM results are not significant for this body region (Fig. 4c). For the interbands, GLMM results did not show a significant effect of the
hue and saturation changes (PC1) on the probability of winning (Fig. 4b). In the UV range,
multimodel averaging did not uncover any of our UV variables to be good predictors of the winning
probability (i.e. RI< 50%; Fig. 4d; Fig. 6).</li>

# 316 **DISCUSSION**

317 This study highlighted that color change in the visible range plays a key role in agonistic interaction 318 outcomes in male F. pardalis. We showed that animals that exhibited more brightness and DEHSV 319 changes (PC2) were more likely to win a contest. These results suggest that brightness changes play a prominent role in agonistic interactions in F. pardalis. This is likely the case for chameleons in general 320 as our results align with previously published data for the veiled chameleon (Chamaeleo calyptratus, 321 322 Duméril 1851) (Ligon and McGraw 2013). Similar to our results, the dynamics of the brightness 323 changes matter in C. calyptratus (Ligon and McGraw 2013). In this species males that brighten faster 324 were more likely to win a fight. Furthermore, brightness changes affected the likelihood of winning, 325 but only at specific body regions, namely the bands and interbands. Hence, those two regions seem to 326 have significant involvement in communication during agonistic interactions. Contrary to bands and 327 interbands, the lateral line color change did not significantly explain the agonistic interaction outcome 328 in male F. pardalis. Therefore, the lateral line appears not be involved in intraspecific communication. 329 Yet, it likely plays a role in antipredator defence as a disruptive coloration pattern (Stevens and 330 Merilaita 2009). To better understand the importance of patterns in communication in chameleons, 331 further studies would benefit from quantifying those patterns using Quantitative Color Pattern 332 Analysis (QPCA) (van den Berg et al. 2020) and doing so across time to investigate pattern changes as 333 well.

334 Our results highlight the dynamic properties of color change, but also pinpoint the importance of the frequency of color change (i.e. maximum color variations and overall color variations; see Fig. 3). In 335 336 other words, the number of times an individual changed color. Consequently, what we might observe 337 here is that winners exhibit several brightness changes while losers exhibit a few or only one, namely 338 darkening. During our experiments, we noticed that losers were generally darker than the winners at the end of the interaction. We can hypothesize that, as in C. calyptratus (Ligon 2014), male F. 339 pardalis darken to communicate submission. Submissive behaviors are quite common (Lorenz 1966) 340 341 and should be favored by natural selection because they would avoid unnecessary expenditure of time and energy on both sides (Matsumura and Hayden 2006). Brightness changes in chameleons are also 342 343 involved in the context of camouflage in some species of *Bradypodion*, which decrease their brightness when exposed to highly visual predators (Stuart-Fox et al. 2008). Consequently, we might 344 predict chameleons to increase brightness to increase conspicuousness to communicate, or 345 alternatively to decrease it to prevent injuries from conspecifics and from being spotted by predators. 346

347 Unexpectedly, we found no relationship between UV coloration nor its dynamics and the outcome of 348 intrasexual agonistic interactions, despite its presence in male F. pardalis (Fig. 1). This suggests that 349 UV signals are likely not involved in chameleon communication during intrasexual competition, contrary to color changes in visible range. This might be explaining by the fact that our illumination 350 351 spectrum (Fig. S1) presents a gap (low number of photons) between 360 and 420 nm which corresponds to the peak sensitivity for the chameleon photoreceptors (375-385 nm; Bowmaker et al. 352 2005). Hence, our individuals may use UV signals, yet our illumination spectrum may not be bright 353 354 enough for them to detect those. The use of UV within this specific range would be beneficial for this 355 chameleon because the spectral range around 430nm also seems to correspond to a spectral sensitivity 356 gap in avian vision (Hart and Hunt, 2007; Hart and Vorobyev, 2005). Therefore, chameleons might 357 use UV signals within specific range as private signals, but we were not able to assess this with our experimental design. Even though UV color change might not be involved in agonistic integrations, 358 359 UV coloration and UV color change may still be involved in chameleon communication in other kinds 360 of social interactions than agonistic. Investigating agonistic intrasexual interactions among males to 361 study the function of rapid color change is of interest and has been studied in detail (Adamo and Hanlon 1996; O'Connor et al. 1999; Korzan et al. 2006; Umbers et al. 2013; Ligon and McGraw 2013; 362 Batabyal and Thaker 2017). However, the role of color change in others contexts like mate choice 363 364 remains poorly investigated. Yet, a recent study (Dollion et al. 2020) showed that color change is involved in chameleon mate choice and that females seem to rely on color change in both the visible 365 and UV range. Consequently, our understanding of chameleon communication, and more broadly the 366 communication function of rapid color change, will benefit from future studies investigating this 367 368 phenomenon across diverse social contexts.

Our study would have benefited from using visual modelling (Vorobyev and Osorio 1998; Siddiqi 369 2004; Troscianko and Stevens 2015) as this would have provided an animal vision perspective of the 370 371 observed changes in colour. Unfortunately, the raw data required for such analyses were not available 372 at the time of our study (Bowmaker, personal communication). Although the spectral sensitivities of other chameleons, like Chameleo dilepis, could have been used, the study of Bowmaker and 373 374 colleagues (2005) showed that the spectral sensitivity of chameleons may vary greatly between genera. 375 Future studies would obviously benefit from measuring spectral sensitivity in *Fucifer pardalis*. These 376 data could be then use to define color pattern based on the spectral sensitivity of F. pardalis using JNDs (Just Noticeable difference) approach (Pike 2012)similarly to Ligon and McGraw (2013), but 377 here taking into account UV range as well. Moreover, given the subspecies diversity and strong 378 379 dichromatism in Furcifer pardalis, it would be of interest to investigate whether the spectral 380 sensitivity differs between subspecies and/or between males and females.

381 The cost of different physiological pathways associated with different components of color change 382 may be different. For example, changes in brightness are the result of the dispersion of melanosomes 383 within dermal melanophores. The process of melanosome translocation is assumed to be achieved by 384 the microtubules and actin filaments of the cytoskeleton and the associated motors, kinesin and dynein (Ligon and McCartney 2016). However, these motors consume energy (Hackney 1996), suggesting 385 that brightness changes may be costly. Although the mechanisms through which melanophores and 386 387 xantho-erythrophores allow color change are very similar, the function of iridiphores is quite different. 388 Iridophores enable color change thanks to the modification of the space between photonic 389 nanostructures, here guanine platelets, within the iridophores (Teyssier et al. 2015; Ligon and 390 McCartney 2016). Iridiphores are thought to be the major actors of color change in the panther 391 chameleon, in which small changes in the space between guanine platelets lead to noticeable 392 differences in color (*i.e.* hue) (Teyssier et al., 2015). Changing the arrangement of guanine platelets 393 might thus be cheaper than manipulating brightness through melanosome translocation. If so, winners 394 might invest more energy in changing brightness, yet the real cost of color change remains unknown 395 to date.

396 To test these ideas, future work should focus on the physiological cost associated with each 397 component of color change. This would provide insight into the information content of this dynamic 398 signal which remains poorly investigated. Along the same vein, color change involves several types of 399 pigments including carotenoids in xantho-erythrophores, and melanins in melanophores; pigments 400 known to play key roles in immunoregulation and immunostimulation, lymphocyte proliferation, and 401 free radical scavenging (Moller et al. 2000; Galván and Solano 2009). Thus, the differences in color 402 change ability observed in this study may be related to high quantities of circulating pigments in dominant individuals. These individuals would then also be able to allocate these pigments to both 403 immune system functioning and antioxidant protection in addition to their use in color signals. It 404 405 would be interesting to explore this for UVs as well since UV coloration can also reflect individual quality, including immunocompetence (Martín and López 2009; Griggio et al. 2010)and testosterone 406 407 levels (Roberts et al. 2009).

To conclude, this study underlines the importance of brightness changes in the visual spectrum to
settle agonistic interactions in male *F. pardalis*. Unexpectedly, UV seems not to be involved in male
intrasexual interactions in this species.

## 411 References

Adamo SA, Brown WM, King AJ, et al (2000) Agonistic and reproductive behaviours of the cuttlefish
 Sepia officinalis in a semi-natural environment. Journal of Molluscan Studies 66:417–418

- Andersson M (1994) Coloration and Other Visual Signals. In: Sexual Selection. Princeton University
   Press, Princeton, New-Jersey
- Asar Ö, Ilk O, Dag O (2017) Estimating Box-Cox power transformation parameter via goodness-of-fit
   tests. Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation 46:91–105.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2014.957839
- Barton K (2019) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. Version 1. 43. 6URL https://CRAN. R-project.
   org/package=MuMIn
- Batabyal A, Thaker M (2017) Signalling with physiological colours: high contrast for courtship but
   speed for competition. Animal Behaviour 129:229–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. anbehav.
   2017.05.018
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using lme4.
   Journal of Statistical Software 97:1–48
- Bowmaker JK (2008) Evolution of vertebrate visual pigments. Vision Research 48:2022–2041.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2008.03 025
- Bowmaker JK, Loew ER, Ott M (2005) The cone photoreceptors and visual pigments of chameleons. J
   Comp Physiol A 191:925–932. https://doi. org/10. 1007/s00359-005-0014-4
- Bradbury JW, Vehrencamp SL (2011) Principles of Animal Communication, 2nd edn. Sinauer
   Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts
- Briffa M (2014) Agonistic Signals: Integrating Analysis of Functions and Mechanisms. In: J. Irschick
   D, Briffa M, Podos J (eds) Animal Signaling and Function. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ,
   Hoboken, NJ, USA, pp 141–173
- Briffa M, Elwood RW (2004) Use of energy reserves in fighting hermit crabs. Proceedings of the
  Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 271:373–379.
  https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2633
- Briffa M, Sneddon LU (2007) Physiological constraints on contest behaviour. Functional Ecology
   21:627–637. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01188.x
- Briscoe AD, Chittka L (2001) The Evolution of Color Vision in Insects. Annual Reviews of
   Entomology 46:471–510
- Buchanan KL, Evans MR, Goldsmith AR (2003) Testosterone, dominance signalling and
   immunosuppression in the house sparrow, Passer domesticus. Behavioral Ecology and
   Sociobiology 55:50–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-003-0682-4
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR, Huyvaert KP (2011) AIC model selection and multimodel inference in
   behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. Behav Ecol Sociobiol
   65:23–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6
- 448 Dollion AY, Herrel A, Marquis O, et al (2020) The colour of success: does female mate choice rely on 449 male colour change in the chameleon Furcifer pardalis? J Exp Biol 223:.
  450 https://doi.org/doi:10.1242/jeb.224550
- 451 Doucet SM, Montgomerie R (2003) Multiple sexual ornaments in satin bowerbirds: ultraviolet
   452 plumage and bowers signal different aspects of male quality. Behavioral Ecology 14:503–509.
   453 https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arg035

- 454 Dray S, Dufour A-B (2007) The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists.
   455 Journal of statistical software 22:1–20
- Ferguson G (2004) The Panther chameleon: color variation, natural history, conservation, and captive
   management. Krieger Pub. Co. , Malabar, Fla.
- Folstad I, Karter AJ (1992) Parasites, Bright Males, and the Immunocompetence Handicap. The
   American Naturalist 139:603–622
- Foo YZ, Nakagawa S, Rhodes G, Simmons LW (2017) The effects of sex hormones on immune
   function: a meta-analysis. Biological Reviews 92:551–571. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12243
- Galván I, Solano F (2009) The evolution of eu- and pheomelanic traits may respond to an economy of
   pigments related to environmental oxidative stress. Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research
   22:339–342. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2009.00559.x
- Gamma J, Davis G (2018) Colorscience : Color Science Methods and Data. Version v1. 0. 5URL
   https://CRAN. R-project. org/package=colorscience
- Glass CW, Huntingford FA (1988) Initiation and Resolution of Fights between Swimming Crabs
  (Liocarcinus depurator). Ethology 77:237–249. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1988.
  tb00207.x
- Griffith SC, Parker TH, Olson VA (2006) Melanin- versus carotenoid-based sexual signals: is the
  difference really so black and red? Animal Behaviour 71:749–763.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav. 2005.07.016
- 473 Griggio M, Zanollo V, Hoi H (2010) UV plumage color is an honest signal of quality in male
  474 budgerigars. Ecol Res 25:77–82. https://doi. org/10. 1007/s11284-009-0632-3
- Hackney DD (1996) The Kinetic Cycles of Myosin, Kinesin, and Dynein. Annual Review of
   Physiology 53:731–750
- 477 Henschen AE, Whittingham LA, Dunn PO (2016) Oxidative stress is related to both melanin- and
  478 carotenoid-based ornaments in the common yellowthroat. Funct Ecol 30:749–758.
  479 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12549
- Hutton P, Seymoure BM, McGraw KJ, et al (2015) Dynamic color communication. Curr Opin Behav
   Sci 6:41–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.08.007
- 482 Kelly CD, Godin J-GJ (2001) Predation risk reduces male-male sexual competition in the Trinidadian
   483 guppy (Poecilia reticulata). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 51:95–100.
   484 https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650100410
- Korzan WJ, Øverli Ø, Summers CH (2006) Future social rank: forecasting status in the green anole
   (Anolis carolinensis). acta ethol 9:48–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10211-006-0015-5
- 487 Legendre P, Legendre L (1998) Numerical ecology, 2nd english edn. Elvesier, Amsterdam,
  488 Netherlands
- Ligon RA (2014) Defeated chameleons darken dynamically during dyadic disputes to decrease danger
   from dominants. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 68:1007–1017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-014 1713-z

- 492 Ligon RA, McCartney KL (2016) Biochemical regulation of pigment motility in vertebrate
   493 chromatophores: a review of physiological color change mechanisms. Current Zoology
   494 62:237–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zow051
- Ligon RA, McGraw KJ (2016) Social costs enforce honesty of a dynamic signal of motivation. Proc R
   Soc B 283:20161873. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2016. 1873
- Ligon RA, McGraw KJ (2013) Chameleons communicate with complex colour changes during
   contests: different body regions convey different information. Biology Letters 9:20130892–
   20130892. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2013.0892
- Lim MLM, Li D (2013) UV-Green Iridescence Predicts Male Quality during Jumping Spider
   Contests. PLoS ONE 8:e59774. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059774
- 502 Lorenz K (1966) On aggression, 1st edn. Methuen & Co., London
- Martín J, López P (2009) Multiple color signals may reveal multiple messages in male Schreiber's
   green lizards, Lacerta schreiberi. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 63:1743–1755.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-009-0794-6
- Martin M, Meylan S, Haussy C, et al (2016) UV color determines the issue of conflicts but does not covary with individual quality in a lizard. BEHECO 27:262–270.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arv149
- Matsumura S, Hayden TJ (2006) When should signals of submission be given?–A game theory model.
   Journal of Theoretical Biology 240:425–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2005.10.002
- 511 Maynard-Smith J, Harper D (2003) Animal Signals. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK
- Megía-Palma R, Martínez J, Merino S (2016) A structural colour ornament correlates positively with
   parasite load and body condition in an insular lizard species. Sci Nat 103:52.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-016-1378-8
- Moller AP, Biard C, Blount JD, et al (2000) Carotenoid-dependent signals: indicators of foraging
   efficiency, immunocompetence or detoxification ability? Poultry and Avian Biology Reviews
   11:137–160
- 518 Nečas P (1999) Chameleons: Nature's Hidden Jewels. Krieger Pub Co
- Parker GA (1974) Assessment strategy and the evolution of fighting behaviour. Journal of Theoretical
   Biology 47:223–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193 (74)90111-8
- 521 Pike TW (2012) Preserving perceptual distances in chromaticity diagrams. Behavioral Ecology
   522 23:723–728. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ars018
- R Core Team (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Version 3.6.1. The R
   Foundation for Statistical Computing
- Roberts ML, Ras E, Peters A (2009) Testosterone increases UV reflectance of sexually selected crown
   plumage in male blue tits. Behavioral Ecology 20:535–541.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arp028
- Rohrlich ST, Rubin RW (1975) Biochemical characterization of crystals from the dermal iridophores
   of a chameleon Anolis carolinensis. The Journal of Cell Biology 66:635–645.
   https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.66.3.635

- Rohwer S (1982) The Evolution of Reliable and Unreliable Badges of Fighting Ability. Am Zool
   22:531–546. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/22.3.531
- Sabol AC, Hellmann JK, Gray SM, Hamilton IM (2017) The role of ultraviolet coloration in
  intrasexual interactions in a colonial fish. Animal Behaviour 131:99–106. https://doi. org/10.
  1016/j. anbehav.2017.06.027
- Searcy WA, Nowicki S (2005) The evolution of animal communication : reliability and deception in
   signaling systems. Princeton university press, Princeton, Oxford
- Senar JC (2006) Color Displays as Intrasexual Signals of Aggression and Dominance. In: Bird
   Coloration: Function and evolution. Harvard University Press, pp 87–136
- 540 Siddiqi A (2004) Interspecific and intraspecific views of color signals in the strawberry poison frog
   541 Dendrobates pumilio. Journal of Experimental Biology 207:2471–2485.
   542 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.01047
- Stapley J, Whiting MJ (2006) Ultraviolet signals fighting ability in a lizard. Biol Lett 2:169–172.
   https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2005.0419
- Steffen JE, Guyer CC (2014) Display behaviour and dewlap colour as predictors of contest success in
   brown anoles. Biol J Linn Soc 111:646–655. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12229
- 547 Stevens M, Merilaita S (2009) Defining disruptive coloration and distinguishing its functions.
   548 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 364:481–488.
   549 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0216
- Stuart-Fox D, Moussalli A (2008) Selection for Social Signalling Drives the Evolution of Chameleon
   Colour Change. PLoS Biol 6:e25. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060025
- Stuart-Fox D, Moussalli A, Whiting MJ (2008) Predator-specific camouflage in chameleons. Biol Lett
   4:326–329. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0173
- Taylor PW, Elwood RW (2003) The mismeasure of animal contests. Animal Behaviour 65:1195–
   1202. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2169
- Teyssier J, Saenko SV, van der Marel D, Milinkovitch MC (2015) Photonic crystals cause active
   colour change in chameleons. Nature Communications 6:.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7368
- Tibbetts EA, Dale J (2004) A socially enforced signal of quality in a paper wasp. Nature 432:218–222.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02949
- Tibbetts EA, Izzo A (2010) Social Punishment of Dishonest Signalers Caused by Mismatch between
   Signal and Behavior. Current Biology 20:1637–1640.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.07.042
- Tolley KA, Herrel A (2013) The Biology of Chameleons. Univ of California Press
- Tringali A, Bowman R (2012) Plumage reflectance signals dominance in Florida scrub-jay,
  Aphelocoma coerulescens, juveniles. Animal Behaviour 84:1517–1522.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.09.025
- Troscianko J, Stevens M (2015) Image calibration and analysis toolbox a free software suite for
   objectively measuring reflectance, colour and pattern. Methods Ecol Evol 6:1320–1331.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12439

- 571 Umbers KDL, Tatarnic NJ, Holwell GI, Herberstein ME (2013) Bright turquoise as an intraspecific
   572 signal in the chameleon grasshopper (Kosciuscola tristis). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67:439–447.
   573 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-1464-7
- van den Berg CP, Troscianko J, Endler JA, et al (2020) Quantitative Colour Pattern Analysis (QCPA):
   A comprehensive framework for the analysis of colour patterns in nature. Methods Ecol Evol
   11:316–332. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13328
- 577 Vanhooydonck B, Herrel AY, Van Damme R, Irschick DJ (2005) Does dewlap size predict male bite
  578 performance in Jamaican Anolis lizards? Funct Ecology 19:38–42.
  579 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2005.00940.x
- Vorobyev M, Osorio D (1998) Receptor noise as a determinant of colour thresholds. Proc R Soc Lond
   B 265:351–358. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0302
- Weaver RJ, Koch RE, Hill GE (2017) What maintains signal honesty in animal colour displays used in mate choice? Phil Trans R Soc B 372:20160343. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0343
- 584 Whiting MJ, Nagy KA, Bateman PW (2003) Evolution and maintenance of social status-signaling
   585 badges: experimental manipulations in lizards. In: Lizard Social Behavior. JHU Press, pp 47–
   586 82
- 587 Whiting MJ, Stuart-Fox DM, O'Connor D, et al (2006) Ultraviolet signals ultra-aggression in a lizard.
   588 Animal Behaviour 72:353–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.10.018
- Xu M, Fincke OM (2015) Ultraviolet wing signal affects territorial contest outcome in a sexually
   dimorphic damselfly. Animal Behaviour 101:67–74.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.12.018
- Zahavi A (1975) Mate selection—A selection for a handicap. Journal of Theoretical Biology 53:205–
   214. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193 (75)90111-3

Interaction	Number	Description	
outcomes	observed		
	24	- The individual goes to the barrier, tries to get as close as possible to its opponent	
		by any means (i.e. tries to climb the barrier). The opponent exhibits one of the	
		losing behaviors.	
		- The individual spends most of the interaction close to the barrier. The opponent	
Win		exhibits one of the losing behaviors.	
		- The individual tries to attack its opponent by hitting the barrier. The opponent	
		exhibits one of the losing behaviors.	
		- The individual succeeds in crossing the barrier by pushing it fiercely at the bottom	
		of the arena. The opponent exhibits one of the losing behaviors.	
		-Both individuals face each other and both hit the barrier fiercely trying to attack the	
Tie	18	opponent.	
		- Both individuals seem to act indifferently towards the opponent's behaviors.	
	24	- The individual stays far from the barrier for most of the interaction. The opponent	
Laga		exhibits one of the winning behaviors.	
Lose		- The individual turns back from its opponent and maintains a large distance from it.	
		The opponent exhibits one of the winning behaviors.	

# 595 Table 1: Ethogram used to determine the outcome of the agonistic interactions.

597 Table 2: Contributions of original variables to principal components (PC) retained for further analysis. Components accounted for at least 70% of the total variability. In the visible range (VIS) 3 598 599 PCs were kept and accounted for 70.46 % of the total variability. In the ultraviolet range (UV) 2 PCs 600 were kept and accounted for 73.94% of the total variability. Bold values represent high loadings. 601 Variables were computed from the images of the 42 interactions among male Furcifer pardalis. In 602 each image, the color was measured from the band, interband, and lateral lines in the range of 400-700nm and from UV absorbing region, UV reflecting region and lateral line in the UV range (320-603 604 400nm).

Spectral range	<b>VIS</b> (400-700 nm)			UV (320-400 nm)	
Principal component	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC1	PC2
(% of the variability explained)					
Variables	(29.77%)	(27.73	(12.97	(57.96	(15.97
	)	%)	%)	%)	%)
Brightness variance	0.47	6.06	10.36	4.95	27.99
Saturation variance	9.17	0.36	0.02	13.08	0.87
Hue variance	7.97	3.49	0.00		
DEHSV variance	0.09	8.68	6.39		
Maximum speed of brightness change between	0.91	5 68	15 21	9 27	8 83
two time steps	0.71	5.00	13.21	9.41	0.05
Maximum speed of saturation change between	0 70	0.02	2 20	9 71	5 1 5
two time steps	0.20	0.02	2.30	0./4	5.15
Maximum speed of hue change between two	6 07	4.05	0.55		
time steps	0.97	4.05	0.55		
Maximum speed of DEHSV change between	0 35	4 08	0.03		
two time steps	0.55	4.00	0.05		
Maximum Brightness variation	0.69	7.21	8.38	9.64	9.00
Maximum Saturation variation	8.48	0.05	0.30	12.59	0.11
Maximum Hue variation	8.07	3.01	0.01		
Maximum DEHSV variation	0.06	8.79	1.28		
Maximum absolute Brightness change	0.56	8.10	4.27	9.16	7.45
Maximum absolute Saturation change	8.36	0.15	0.32	9.64	0.01

Maximum absolute Hue change	8.11	2.30	0.53		
Maximum absolute DESHV change	0.25	3.72	13.66		
<b>Overall Brightness variation</b>	1.54	8.46	5.28	5.39	26.17
<b>Overall Saturation variation</b>	10.18	1.14	0.12	9.94	2.67
Overall Hue variations	9.24	1.95	0.39		
<b>Overall DESHV variation</b>	0.06	8.79	1.28		
Overall absolute Brightness change	0.76	6.22	1.97	7.59	0.62
<b>Overall absolute Saturation change</b>	4.11	2.79	6.42	0.01	11.15
Overall absolute Hue change	5.11	0.12	5.58		
Overall absolute DEHSV change	0.21	4.79	15.38		

# 608 Figures captions:

**Figure 1: Picture and schematic representation of the experimental setup. a**:. Picture of the setup from one of our cameras. **b**: Schematic drawing of the setup showing where the cameras where positioned. **c**: Schematic drawing of the light source positions from the top: **1** = 100 Watt. 2800 Kelvin. 1320 lumen halogen bulbs, **2** = 60 Watt. 2700 Kelvin incandescent bulbs, **3** = 60 Watt. 4000 Kelvin. 806 lumen LED bulbs, **4** = UVB fluorescent tube ReptiSun® 10. 0 High Output UVB Bulb**5** = Reptile systems New Dawn T5 LED and **6** = Arcadia T5 D3+ Desert 12% Reptile Fluorescent Lamp (Figure adapted from Dollion at *cl.* 2020)

615 (Figure adapted from Dollion *et al.* 2020).

**Fig. 2:Color patterns sampled during male-male** *F. pardalis* **agonistic interactions.** As interindividual variation exists. we focused on common patterns. Body regions were characterized as bands ( $\bigcirc$ ). interbands ( $\square$ ) and lateral line ( $\Delta$ ) in the visible spectrum (VIS; 400 to 700 nm). However. for the UV range (UV: 320 to 400 nm), body regions were different and characterized by their UV properties as Absorbing ( $\bigcirc$ ), reflecting ( $\square$ ) and lateral line ( $\Delta$ ) which either absorb or reflect through time and according to the individual. (Illustration by Julien NORWOOD) (Figure adapted from Dollion *et al.* 2020).

**Fig. 3:Schematic representation of the different computed color change variables.** Where X represents any color value (*e. g.* hue. saturation. brightness or DEHSV). **a**: Overall color variation in light blue and overall absolute color change in dark blue; **b**: Maximum color variation in light red and maximum absolute color change dark red.

627 Fig. 4:Relationships between winning probability and color change variables in the visible range 628 (VIS) selected by the model selection by AICc; and the relative importance of color variables to models. based on multimodel averaging. in both visible and UV range. Ribbons illustrate the 95% 629 confidence interval. A winning probability of 100 % corresponds to "winner", while zero corresponds 630 to "loser". **a**: Relationship between winning probability and color change at the bands. **b**: Relationship 631 632 between winning probability and color change at the interbands. c: Relationship between winning 633 probability and color change at the lateral line and **b**: RI values of color change variables (PCs) in the visible range predicting intrasexual agonistic interaction outcome. 634

Figure 5: Effect of the color change in the visible range in adult males *F. pardalis* on the outcomes of intrasexual agonistic interaction. Boxplots showing respectively: **a**: Color changes occurring at the bands, **b**: Color changes occurring at the interbands and **c**: Color changes occurring at the lateral line. PC1 = hue and saturation changes, PC2 = brightness and DEHSV changes, PC3 =brightness changes.

Fig. 6: Effect of the color change in the UV range in adult males *F. pardalis* on the outcomes of
intrasexual agonistic interaction. Boxplots showing respectively: a: Color changes occurring in
regions that absorb UVs, b: Color changes occurring in regions that reflect UVs and c: Color changes
occurring at the lateral line. PC1 = UV color changes. PC2 = UV brightness changes.











Visible (400-700nm)

UV (320-400nm)











d



Colour change Variables : Hue & saturation changes (VIS: PC1) Brightness & DESHV changes (VIS: PC2)

Brightness changes (VIS: PC3; UV: PC2)



а



















# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Do male panther chameleons (*Furcifer pardalis*) use different aspects of colour change in the visible and the ultraviolet range to settle intrasexual agonistic interactions?

running title: Male mate agonistic interaction and colour change

Alexis Y. Dollion<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>, Sandrine Meylan<sup>2</sup>, Olivier Marquis<sup>3</sup>, Marc Théry<sup>4</sup>, Mathieu Leroux-Coyau<sup>2</sup> and Anthony Herrel<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris 75006, France

<sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Université, CNRS, IRD, INRA, Institut d'Ecologie et des Sciences de l'Environnement de Paris, iEES-Paris, 75252, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup>Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Parc Zoologique de Paris, 75012 Paris, France

<sup>4</sup> **Current address :** Département Adaptations du vivant, UMR 7179 C.N.R.S/M.N.H.N, 75005, Paris, France

\*Address for correspondence: dollion.alexis.14340@gmail.com; +33 (0) 7 83 67 96 55

Spectral	Color variable	<b>Body region</b>		UV body region	
range		$F_{2.123}$	Р	$F_{2.122}$	Р
VISIBLE	PC1(Hue & Saturation changes)	10.90	<0.001***		
	PC2(Brightness & DEHSV changes)	12.34	<0.001***		
	PC3(Brightness changes)	14.04	<0.001***		
UV	PC1(UV color change changes)			0.12	0.89
	PC2(UV brightness changes)			1.59	0.208
	Maximum UV saturation			23.80	<0.001***
	Maximum UV brightness			45.29	<0.001***

**Table S1.** Results of linear mixed-effects models testing for the effect of mate Body region on the color changes variables in both visible and UV range

**Figure S1: Irradiance spectra of the arena used for the behavioural experiments**. For irradiance measurements, we used an AvaSpec-2048 spectrometer calibrated between 200 and 850 nm using an Ocean Optics cosine-corrected (CC3-UV) sensor and an optic fiber (FC-UV600-2-ME) calibrated in micromoles per square meter per second with an Avantes AvaLight-DHS deuterium-halogen light source and then converted into microwatt per square centimeter per nanometer. (D= distance from the light sources)

