



**HAL**  
open science

## **Mild COVID-19 infection does not alter the ovarian reserve in women treated with ART**

Kamila Kolanska, Alice Hours, Laurie Jonquière, Emmanuelle Mathieu d'Argent, Yohann Dabi, Charlotte Dupont, Cyril Touboul, Jean-Marie Antoine, Nathalie Chabbert-Bufferet, Emile Darai

### ► To cite this version:

Kamila Kolanska, Alice Hours, Laurie Jonquière, Emmanuelle Mathieu d'Argent, Yohann Dabi, et al.. Mild COVID-19 infection does not alter the ovarian reserve in women treated with ART. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 2021, 43 (6), pp.1117-1121. <10.1016/j.rbmo.2021.09.001>. <hal-03552177>

**HAL Id: hal-03552177**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03552177v1>**

Submitted on 2 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Mild COVID-19 infection does not alter the ovarian reserve in women treated with ART

Kamila Kolanska <sup>a,b</sup> †, Alice Hours <sup>a</sup> †, Laurie Jonquière <sup>a</sup>, Emmanuelle Mathieu d'Argent <sup>a</sup>, Yohann Dabi <sup>a</sup>, Charlotte Dupont <sup>b,c</sup>, Cyril Touboul <sup>a,b</sup>, Jean-Marie Antoine <sup>a</sup>, Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet <sup>a,b</sup>, Emile Daraï <sup>a,b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Service de gynécologie obstétrique et médecine de la reproduction, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, Sorbonne Université, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France
- <sup>b</sup> INSERM UMRS 938, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine, 27 rue Chaligny, 75571 PARIS cedex 12, France
- <sup>c</sup> Service de biologie de la reproduction-CECOS, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, Sorbonne Université, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France

† The authors consider that the first two authors should be regarded as joint First Authors

## Corresponding author:

Kamila Kolanska

Service de Gynécologie Obstétrique et Médecine de la Reproduction

Hôpital Tenon

4 rue de la Chine

75020 Paris, France

Tel: + +33(1) 56 01 68 69

Fax +33 (1) 56 01 70 94

Email: kamila.kolanska@aphp.fr

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Research Question**

Does mild COVID-19 infection impact the ovarian reserve of women undergoing an Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) protocol?

### **Design**

We conducted a prospective observational study between June and December 2020. We included women managed in our ART unit for fertility issues by in vitro fecundation / intracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF/ICSI), fertility preservation (FP), frozen embryo transfer (ET) or artificial insemination (AI) and with an AMH test performed within 12 months preceding ART treatment. All the women underwent a COVID rapid detection test (RDT) and we compared AMH concentrations between those who tested positive (RDT+) and those who tested negative (RDT-).

### **Results**

The study population consisted of 118 women, 11.9% (14/118) of whom were COVID RDT+. None of the tested women presented with a history of severe COVID-19 infection. The difference between the initial AMH concentration and AMH concentration tested during ART treatment was not significantly different between the COVID RDT+ group and COVID RDT- group [-1.33 ng/ml (-0.35 – -1.61) versus -0.59 ng/ml (-0.15 – -1.11), p=0.22].

### **Conclusion**

Our study suggests that a history of mild COVID-19 infection does not seem to alter the ovarian reserve as evaluated by AMH concentrations. While these results are reassuring, further studies are necessary to assess the impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes in women undergoing ART.

### **KEY WORDS:**

COVID; ovarian reserve; ART; AMH



## 1 INTRODUCTION

2 Since December 2019, the world has been facing a COVID-19 pandemic. Besides its  
3 impact on the mortality, COVID-19 infection raises questions about short- and long-  
4 term effects on general health. Clinical manifestations are highly heterogeneous and  
5 involve many different organs (Lai et al., 2020).

6 The SARS-CoV-2 virus penetrates human cells by directly binding with angiotensin-  
7 converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors present on the cell surface (Bornstein et al.,  
8 2020). ACE2 receptors are present in testis (Fan et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2020; Stanley  
9 et al., 2020) and in ovarian tissue (Jing et al., 2020; Reis et al., 2011; Stanley et al.,  
10 2020). In the ovary, ACE2 plays a role in the response to gonadotropins,  
11 steroidogenesis regulation, and in follicle development, angiogenesis and  
12 degeneration (Domińska, 2020; Jing et al., 2020). It has been suggested that SARS-  
13 CoV-2 could be responsible for testicular lesions (Fan et al., 2020). Analysis of  
14 testicular specimens from autopsies of men who died from COVID-19 showed  
15 modifications of the testicular structure – a thickening of the basal layer of  
16 seminiferous tubules, a decrease or absence of spermatozoa, decrease in the  
17 number of Leydig cells, lymphocyte infiltration, and germinal cell degeneration –  
18 compared with matched controls who died from other pathologies (Chen and Lou,  
19 2020; H. Li et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2020). Testicular pain has been  
20 reported in about 20% of men with COVID-19 infection (Pan et al., 2020).

21 SARS-CoV-2 RNA was not found in the follicular fluid of two women who tested  
22 positive for COVID-19 and who were undergoing controlled ovarian hyperstimulation  
23 for in vitro fertilization (IVF) (Barragan et al., 2020). However, the modification of  
24 ovarian reserve by COVID-19 infection has not been evaluated to date.

25 Thus, the objective of this prospective study was to evaluate the impact of mild  
26 COVID-19 infection on the ovarian reserve in women undergoing an Assisted  
27 Reproductive Technology (ART) protocol.

28

## 29 **MATERIEL AND METHODS**

### 30 **Study Population**

31 This single-centre prospective observational study was conducted in the ART unit of  
32 Tenon Hospital, Paris between June 2020 and December 2020.

33 Women aged 18-43 years managed for fertility issues by IVF / intracytoplasmic  
34 sperm injection (IVF/ICSI), fertility preservation (FP), frozen embryo transfer (ET), or  
35 artificial insemination (AI) with an initial AMH concentration tested within the 12  
36 months preceding ART treatment, were invited to participate in the study.

37

### 38 **Data collection**

39 Demographic characteristics including age, body mass index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>), tobacco  
40 smoking, presence of insufficient ovarian reserve (IOP), endometriosis, fallopian tube  
41 pathology and initial AMH concentration were retrieved from a prospective database.  
42 The type of ART protocol, the time between the initial (baseline) and second AMH  
43 test as well as the oestradiol concentration on the day of AMH test were also  
44 recorded.

45 As recommended by the French Agency of Biomedicine (*Agence de Biomédecine*),  
46 all the women completed a questionnaire about any COVID-19 infection symptoms

47 that may have occurred during the 2 weeks prior to ART treatment. COVID-19  
48 serology status was tested on the first day of ovarian stimulation monitoring with a  
49 COVID-19 rapid detection test (RDT) kit (UNCOV-40, Clinisciences, France)  
50 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ovarian reserve was evaluated by AMH  
51 tested on the day of ovarian stimulation monitoring and ovarian reserve modification  
52 was calculated by the difference between the baseline AMH concentration (tested  
53 within the preceding 12 months) and this new AMH concentration.

54 All women included in the study expressed non-opposition consent to participate in  
55 the study. The procedures used in the study were in accordance with the guidelines  
56 of the Helsinki Declaration on Human Experimentation and the Good Clinical Practice  
57 (CGP) and approved by the IRB (CEROG 2021-GYN-0508, 22/06/2021).

58

## 59 **Statistical analysis**

60 Quantitative variables are presented as means with standard deviation (SD) or  
61 medians with interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Qualitative variables are  
62 expressed as numbers with percentages (%). Differences in population  
63 characteristics between COVID+ and COVID- women were evaluated with Student's  
64 t-test / Mann-Whitney test or chi squared / Fisher exact test as appropriate. The  
65 difference in AMH concentrations between the COVID RDT+ and COVID RDT-  
66 women was evaluated with the Mann-Whitney test.

67 All tests were two-sided and  $p < 0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant.

68 Analyses were done with GraphPad Prism 7.

69

## 70 **RESULTS**

### 71 **Population characteristics**

72 Of the 960 women who underwent an ART protocol in our unit during the study  
73 period (June 2020 and December 2020), 118 accepted to participate in the study.  
74 The prevalence of COVID RDT+ in the tested population was 11.9% (14/118). None  
75 of the women included in the study presented clinical manifestations of COVID during  
76 the 2 weeks preceding the beginning of the ART protocol. Neither had any of the  
77 women presented the severe form of COVID-19 infection or required hospitalisation  
78 during the pandemic period.

79 The characteristics of the women with COVID RDT+ and COVID RDT- are presented  
80 in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, BMI, tobacco smoking,  
81 infertility aetiology, baseline AMH concentration, or ART protocol type. The time  
82 between the baseline and second AMH test was not significantly different between  
83 the two groups as was the oestrogen concentration on the day of AMH test.

84 The median concentration of AMH tested during ART treatment was not significantly  
85 different between the two groups: 1.51 ng/ml (0.82-2.38) in COVID RDT+ group  
86 versus 1.00 ng/ml (0.49-1.99) in COVID RDT- group ( $p=0.27$ ).

87 Similarly, the difference between the baseline and second AMH concentrations was  
88 not significantly different between the groups: -1.33 ng/ml (-0.35 – -1.61) in COVID  
89 RDT+ group versus -0.59 ng/ml (-0.15 – -1.11) in COVID RDT- group, ( $p=0.22$ ).

90

## 91 **DISCUSSION**

92 The results of this prospective study showed that, based on AMH concentrations,  
93 mild COVID-19 infection did not impact the ovarian reserve in our population of  
94 asymptomatic women who underwent an ART protocol in our unit. The baseline AMH  
95 concentration, the concentration tested during the ART treatment, as well as the  
96 difference between the two AMH concentrations, were not significantly different  
97 between the COVID RDT+ group and COVID RDT- group.

98 To date, the total number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 infection worldwide is  
99 about 71 500 000 (Santé Publique France, 2020) which represents 0.09% of the  
100 population overall. In France, there are 2 500 000 confirmed cases (Santé Publique  
101 France, 2020) which represents 3.7% of the French population overall and about 5%  
102 of the adult population. The prevalence of positive COVID RDT+ in our study  
103 population was high at 11.9%. This can be explained by the fact that serology testing  
104 is not offered systematically in France, and many asymptomatic cases remain  
105 undetected. Thus, the number of COVID-19 cases in the general population is  
106 certainly underestimated.

107 While none of included women reported having symptoms of COVID-19 in the 2  
108 weeks preceding their ART treatment, it is not known if they presented minor  
109 symptoms of COVID-19 infection earlier on. However, none of the women had  
110 presented the severe form of COVID-19 infection requiring hospitalisation during the  
111 pandemic period.

112 The extra-respiratory manifestations of COVID-19 are diverse and involve multiple  
113 organs (Lai et al., 2020). It has been suggested that COVID-19 infection could impact  
114 the female reproductive system, as the virus enters target cells by interacting with  
115 ACE2 receptors which are expressed in the ovaries (Jing et al., 2020; Singh et al.,

116 2020). Other viral infections, such as HIV or viral hepatitis, have been shown to  
117 potentially alter ovarian reserve (Kurmanova et al., 2016; Santulli et al., 2016; Seifer  
118 et al., 2007).

119 Li *et al.* demonstrated that sex hormone concentrations and AMH concentrations in  
120 women of reproductive age hospitalised for confirmed COVID-19 infection were  
121 comparable to the age-matched controls, even if 28% of the COVID-19 positive  
122 women in their study presented changes in their menstrual cycle and 25% changes in  
123 their menstrual volume (K. Li et al., 2020). Our study confirms these results. The  
124 median concentration of AMH in the COVID RDT+ women was comparable with that  
125 found in the COVID RDT- women ( $p=0.27$ ). Moreover, the difference between two  
126 AMH concentrations tested in the same women at different times was comparable  
127 between both groups ( $p=0.22$ ).

128 In contrast to the study by Li *et al.* (K. Li et al., 2020), which was performed in a  
129 population of hospitalised women, we evaluated mid- and long-term effects of  
130 COVID-19 infection on ovarian reserve: AMH concentrations were tested during ART  
131 treatment some time after a potential COVID-19 infection and in women without or  
132 with few symptoms.

133 We evaluated COVID-19 infection by SARS-CoV-2 serology using an  
134 immunochromatographic assay. This method is characterized by high specificity and  
135 sensitivity (98.02% and 98.81%, respectively, according to the manufacturer).  
136 However, it is not clear as yet how long antibodies persist after COVID-19 infection  
137 (Milani et al., 2020).

138 The strength of our study is that we tested AMH concentrations in the same women  
139 at different time points and could thus analyze any potential modification of the  
140 ovarian reserve after COVID-19 infection.

141 However, the study has some limitations. Firstly, it has been shown that the AMH  
142 concentration is modified during ART treatment (Peñarrubia et al., 2005) as this  
143 hormone is secreted by granulosa cells of small growing follicles (Moolhuijsen and  
144 Visser, 2020) thus reflecting rather the granulosa cell activity. Nevertheless, the  
145 baseline and the second AMH concentrations, as well as ART protocol types and  
146 oestrogen concentrations on the day of AMH testing were comparable between both  
147 study groups. Secondly, a relatively small number of women were included in the  
148 analysis and only 14 were COVID RDT+. In addition, the group with COVID-19 RDT+  
149 and the control group were heterogenous due to the methodology of inclusion  
150 consisting in a consecutive patients' inclusion. ART treatments in our unit were  
151 postponed for 3 months during the COVID epidemic following interruption of activity  
152 due to a decision by the French government. Thus, the time between the baseline  
153 AMH test and the beginning of the ART treatments were extended. However, we  
154 decided to include only women with AMH tested within the 12 preceding months  
155 because AMH concentration is age dependent and can decrease over time  
156 (Plociennik et al., 2018). The time between the two AMH tests was comparable  
157 between the study groups.

158

159 **CONCLUSION**

160 In conclusion, our study suggests that a history of mild COVID-19 infection does not  
161 seem to alter the ovarian reserve. Even if these results are reassuring, further studies  
162 especially with larger samples are required to confirm our findings.

163

164

## 165 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

166 We would like to thank Felicity Neilson for editing the English of our paper. We thank  
167 Antoinette Lecuyer and Emilie Gobit, nurses from Assisted Reproductive Techniques  
168 Unit of Tenon Hospital, for their eagerness to help in the study.

169

## 170 **FUNDING**

171 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,  
172 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

173

## 174 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

175 None

176

## 177 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

178 Barragan, M., Guillén, J.J., Martin-Palomino, N., Rodriguez, A., Vassena, R., 2020.  
179 Undetectable viral RNA in oocytes from SARS-CoV-2 positive women. Hum  
180 Reprod. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deaa284>

- 181 Bornstein, S.R., Dalan, R., Hopkins, D., Mingrone, G., Boehm, B.O., 2020. Endocrine  
182 and metabolic link to coronavirus infection. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 16, 297–298.  
183 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-0353-9>
- 184 Chen, F., Lou, D., 2020. Rising Concern on Damaged Testis of COVID-19 Patients.  
185 *Urology* 142, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2020.04.069>
- 186 Domińska, K., 2020. Involvement of ACE2/Ang-(1-7)/MAS1 Axis in the Regulation of  
187 Ovarian Function in Mammals. *Int J Mol Sci* 21.  
188 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134572>
- 189 Fan, C., Li, K., Ding, Y., Lu, W.L., Wang, J., 2020. ACE2 Expression in Kidney and  
190 Testis May Cause Kidney and Testis Damage After 2019-nCoV Infection  
191 (preprint). *Urology*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.12.20022418>
- 192 Fu, Jiewen, Zhou, B., Zhang, L., Balaji, K.S., Wei, C., Liu, X., Chen, H., Peng, J., Fu,  
193 Junjiang, 2020. Expressions and significances of the angiotensin-converting  
194 enzyme 2 gene, the receptor of SARS-CoV-2 for COVID-19. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*  
195 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-05478-4>
- 196 Jing, Y., Run-Qian, L., Hao-Ran, W., Hao-Ran, C., Ya-Bin, L., Yang, G., Fei, C.,  
197 2020. Potential influence of COVID-19/ACE2 on the female reproductive system.  
198 *Mol. Hum. Reprod*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaaa030>
- 199 Kurmanova, A.M., Kurmanova, G.M., Lokshin, V.N., 2016. Reproductive dysfunctions  
200 in viral hepatitis. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 32, 37–40.  
201 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2016.1232780>
- 202 Lai, C.-C., Ko, W.-C., Lee, P.-I., Jean, S.-S., Hsueh, P.-R., 2020. Extra-respiratory  
203 manifestations of COVID-19. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 56, 106024.  
204 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106024>
- 205 Li, H., Xiao, X., Zhang, J., Zafar, M.I., Wu, C., Long, Y., Lu, W., Pan, F., Meng, T.,  
206 Zhao, K., Zhou, L., Shen, S., Liu, L., Liu, Q., Xiong, C., 2020. Impaired  
207 spermatogenesis in COVID-19 patients. *EClinicalMedicine* 28, 100604.  
208 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100604>
- 209 Li, K., Chen, G., Hou, H., Liao, Q., Chen, J., Bai, H., Lee, S., Wang, C., Li, H., Cheng,  
210 L., Ai, J., 2020. Analysis of sex hormones and menstruation in COVID-19  
211 women of child-bearing age. *Reprod Biomed Online*.  
212 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2020.09.020>
- 213 Ma, X., Guan, C., Chen, R., Wang, Y., Feng, S., Wang, R., Qu, G., Zhao, S., Wang,  
214 F., Wang, X., Zhang, D., Liu, L., Liao, A., Yuan, S., 2021. Pathological and  
215 molecular examinations of postmortem testis biopsies reveal SARS-CoV-2  
216 infection in the testis and spermatogenesis damage in COVID-19 patients. *Cell*  
217 *Mol Immunol* 18, 487–489. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-00604-5>
- 218 Milani, G.P., Dioni, L., Favero, C., Cantone, L., Macchi, C., Delbue, S., Bonzini, M.,  
219 Montomoli, E., Bollati, V., UNICORN Consortium, 2020. Serological follow-up of  
220 SARS-CoV-2 asymptomatic subjects. *Sci Rep* 10, 20048.  
221 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77125-8>

- 222 Moolhuijsen, L.M.E., Visser, J.A., 2020. Anti-Müllerian Hormone and Ovarian  
223 Reserve: Update on Assessing Ovarian Function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 105,  
224 dgaa513. <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa513>
- 225 Pan, F., Xiao, X., Guo, J., Song, Y., Li, H., Patel, D.P., Spivak, A.M., Alukal, J.P.,  
226 Zhang, X., Xiong, C., Li, P.S., Hotaling, J.M., 2020. No evidence of severe acute  
227 respiratory syndrome–coronavirus 2 in semen of males recovering from  
228 coronavirus disease 2019. *Fertility and Sterility* 113, 1135–1139.  
229 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2020.04.024>
- 230 Peñarrubia, J., Fábregues, F., Manau, D., Creus, M., Casals, G., Casamitjana, R.,  
231 Carmona, F., Vanrell, J.A., Balasch, J., 2005. Basal and stimulation day 5 anti-  
232 Mullerian hormone serum concentrations as predictors of ovarian response and  
233 pregnancy in assisted reproductive technology cycles stimulated with  
234 gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist-gonadotropin treatment. *Hum Reprod*  
235 20, 915–922. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh718>
- 236 Plociennik, L., Nelson, S.M., Lukaszuk, A., Kunicki, M., Podfigurna, A., Meczekalski,  
237 B., Lukaszuk, K., 2018. Age-related decline in AMH is assay dependent limiting  
238 clinical interpretation of repeat AMH measures across the reproductive lifespan.  
239 *Gynecol Endocrinol* 34, 115–119.  
240 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2017.1358708>
- 241 Reis, F.M., Bouissou, D.R., Pereira, V.M., Camargos, A.F., dos Reis, A.M., Santos,  
242 R.A., 2011. Angiotensin-(1-7), its receptor Mas, and the angiotensin-converting  
243 enzyme type 2 are expressed in the human ovary. *Fertil. Steril.* 95, 176–181.  
244 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.06.060>
- 245 Santé Publique France, 2020.  
246 [https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/coronavirus-](https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/coronavirus-chiffres-cles-et-evolution-de-la-covid-19-en-france-et-dans-le-monde)  
247 [chiffres-cles-et-evolution-de-la-covid-19-en-france-et-dans-le-monde.](https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/coronavirus-chiffres-cles-et-evolution-de-la-covid-19-en-france-et-dans-le-monde)
- 248 Santulli, P., de Villardi, D., Gayet, V., Lafay Pillet, M.-C., Marcellin, L., Blanchet, V.,  
249 Gonnot, J., Dulioust, E., Launay, O., Chapron, C., 2016. Decreased ovarian  
250 reserve in HIV-infected women. *AIDS* 30, 1083–1088.  
251 <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000001025>
- 252 Seifer, D.B., Golub, E.T., Lambert-Messerlian, G., Springer, G., Holman, S., Moxley,  
253 M., Cejtin, H., Nathwani, N., Anastos, K., Minkoff, H., Greenblatt, R.M., 2007.  
254 Biologic markers of ovarian reserve and reproductive aging: application in a  
255 cohort study of HIV infection in women. *Fertil Steril* 88, 1645–1652.  
256 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.01.122>
- 257 Singh, B., Gornet, M., Sims, H., Kisanga, E., Knight, Z., Segars, J., 2020. Severe  
258 Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and its effect on  
259 gametogenesis and early pregnancy. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 84, e13351.  
260 <https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13351>
- 261 Stanley, K.E., Thomas, E., Leaver, M., Wells, D., 2020. Coronavirus disease-19 and  
262 fertility: viral host entry protein expression in male and female reproductive  
263 tissues. *Fertil Steril* 114, 33–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2020.05.001>

264 Yang, M., Chen, S., Huang, B., Zhong, J.-M., Su, H., Chen, Y.-J., Cao, Q., Ma, L.,  
265 He, J., Li, X.-F., Li, X., Zhou, J.-J., Fan, J., Luo, D.-J., Chang, X.-N., Arkun, K.,  
266 Zhou, M., Nie, X., 2020. Pathological Findings in the Testes of COVID-19  
267 Patients: Clinical Implications. *Eur Urol Focus* 6, 1124–1129.  
268 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2020.05.009>

269