



**HAL**  
open science

## Optogenetics for light control of biological systems

Valentina Emiliani, Emilia Entcheva, Rainer Hedrich, Peter Hegemann, Kai Konrad, Christian Lüscher, Mathias Mahn, Zhuo-Hua Pan, Ruth Sims, Johannes Vierock, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Valentina Emiliani, Emilia Entcheva, Rainer Hedrich, Peter Hegemann, Kai Konrad, et al.. Optogenetics for light control of biological systems. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 2022, 2, pp.55. 10.1038/s43586-022-00136-4 . hal-03772021

**HAL Id: hal-03772021**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03772021v1>**

Submitted on 7 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Optogenetics for light control of biological systems

Valentina Emiliani<sup>1</sup>, Emilia Entcheva<sup>2</sup>, Rainer Hedrich<sup>3</sup>, Peter Hegemann<sup>4†</sup>, Kai R. Konrad<sup>3</sup>,  
Christian Lüscher<sup>5</sup>, Mathias Mahn<sup>6</sup>, Zhuo-Hua Pan<sup>7</sup>, Ruth Sims<sup>1</sup> Johannes Vierock<sup>4</sup>, Ofer Yizhar<sup>8</sup>

Authors are listed in alphabetical order

<sup>1</sup> Wavefront Engineering Microscopy Group, Photonics Department, Institut de la Vision, Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA

<sup>3</sup> Julius-von-Sachs Institute for Biosciences, Molecular Plant Physiology and Biophysics, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institute for Biology, Experimental Biophysics, Humboldt-Universitaet zu Berlin, Berlin Germany

<sup>5</sup> Department of Basic Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; Clinic of Neurology, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland.

<sup>6</sup> Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>7</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, USA

<sup>8</sup> Department of Brain Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

†Email: [Hegemann@rz.hu-berlin.de](mailto:Hegemann@rz.hu-berlin.de)

## Abstract:

Optogenetic techniques have been developed to allow control over the activity of selected cells within a highly heterogeneous tissue, using a combination of genetic engineering and light. Optogenetics employs natural and engineered photoreceptors, mostly of microbial origin, to be genetically introduced into the cells of interest. As a result, cells that are naturally light-insensitive can be made photosensitive and addressable by illumination and precisely controllable in time and space. The selectivity of expression and subcellular targeting in the host is enabled by applying control elements such as promoters, enhancers, and specific targeting sequences to the employed photoreceptor-encoding DNA. This powerful approach allows precise characterization and manipulation of cellular

34 functions and has motivated the development of advanced optical methods for patterned  
35 photostimulation. Optogenetics has revolutionized neuroscience during the past 15 years and is  
36 primed to have a similar impact in other fields, including cardiology, cell biology and plant sciences.  
37 In this Primer we describe the principles of optogenetics, review the most commonly used optogenetic  
38 tools, illumination approaches and scientific applications and discuss the possibilities and limitations  
39 associated with optogenetic manipulations across a wide variety of optical techniques, cells, circuits  
40 and organisms.

41  
42

## 43 **[H1] Introduction**

44

45 Light-dependent processes are abundant in nature, occurring in diverse organisms from bacteria and  
46 algae to plants and animals and are used for energy capture and storage, to regulate developmental  
47 processes and to mediate orientation<sup>1-3</sup>. While the photoreceptors involved in light-sensing have been  
48 studied for decades, the use of such proteins for actuation of naturally light-insensitive cells began  
49 only in 2002 with the expression of the *Drosophila* rhodopsin and its associated signaling proteins in  
50 neurons<sup>4</sup>. The discovery of channelrhodopsin, identified in the same year in the green alga  
51 *Chlamydomonas*, in conjunction with the almost universal cellular availability of the chromophore  
52 all-trans retinal (Vitamin A) in most cells and organisms, accelerated the progress of this new  
53 technology. Almost in parallel with the initial application of Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in isolated  
54 neurons in 2005<sup>5</sup> and brain slices in 2006<sup>6</sup>, ChRs were rapidly adapted for use in living model  
55 organisms, including chicken embryos<sup>7</sup> and *C. elegans* in 2005 ref<sup>8</sup>, *Drosophila* in 2006 ref<sup>9</sup>, freely  
56 moving mice in 2007 ref<sup>10</sup>, zebrafish in 2008 ref<sup>11</sup> and even non-human primates in 2009 ref<sup>12</sup>. The  
57 first experiments that pointed toward potential therapeutic applications were performed in 2006,  
58 pioneered by the expression of ChR in inner retinal cells to restore vision in blind mice<sup>13</sup>. Optogenetics  
59 is based on sensory photoreceptors sequences from microalgae, fungi or bacteria. But, only the  
60 combination of photoreceptor-encoding DNA with control elements like promoters and targeting  
61 sequences, typically derived from genes expressed selectively in target, allows the protein allows  
62 specificity not only in the choice of target cell population but also in the subcellular compartments to  
63 be manipulated. The DNA-constructs are incorporated into target cell populations, tissues or living

64 organisms using vectors such as plasmids, viral vectors or bacteria using established transformation  
65 technologies (Fig. 1).

66 The robust function and revolutionary utility of ChR2 in neuroscience resulted in the  
67 description and application of many photoreceptor subtypes, engineered or retrieved from genomic  
68 or cDNA databases, progress in protein expression and targeting, **microelectrode [G]** and **optrode [G]**  
69 technology, and finally the combination of **optogenetic actuators [G]** with optical fluorescent reporter  
70 systems and high-resolution subcellular imaging, accelerating the interdisciplinary growth of  
71 optogenetic technology with unprecedented pace. The need to control neuronal activity with increased  
72 spatial resolution has in turn motivated the development of advanced optical methods for patterned  
73 photostimulation. Digital mirror devices (DMD) or liquid crystal spatial light modulators (LC-SLM)  
74 coupled to single or two-photon excitation have enabled single and multi-target excitation in vitro and  
75 in vivo with single-spike precision and cellular resolution in head-restrained and freely-moving  
76 animals<sup>14</sup>. Optogenetics has developed as a basic science methodology for dissecting biological  
77 functions; while it has initially been adopted by neuroscientists to study brain function and  
78 dysfunction, it has expanded into new research fields such as cardiology, microbiology, immunology,  
79 parasitology and plant science. These developments are culminating in highly-anticipated clinical  
80 applications, as envisioned in the early days of optogenetics, including multiple clinical trials  
81 currently in progress for selected human disorders. A crude timeline of key breakthroughs in  
82 optogenetic technology is displayed in Figure 2.

83 With the growth of optogenetic technology came an abundance of tools with diverse functional  
84 properties. This Primer is focused predominantly on rhodopsin-based optogenetic tools, which are the  
85 most widely used within the growing optogenetic toolbox. While the differences between tools can  
86 be subtle, their spectral sensitivity, kinetic properties and ion selectivity can have a major influence  
87 on the outcome of an optogenetic experiment. Understanding these features and careful design are  
88 therefore crucial for the success and interpretability of optogenetic experiments. As the technology  
89 matures and gains popularity across multiple fields of biology, this Primer aims to provide  
90 experimentalists with the most relevant knowledge needed to design, perform, and interpret  
91 optogenetic experiments.

92

## 93 **[H1] Experimentation**

94 Optogenetic experiments are based on the combination of several fundamental components: a  
95 genetically-encoded actuator that, after reconstitution with an organic molecule serving as  
96 chromophore, responds to light and can be used to influence the function of the tool-expressing cell  
97 or tissue in a light dependent manner; a light source providing light at the appropriate wavelength and  
98 intensity; and a light-delivery system, which allows for illumination of targeted cells for temporally-  
99 precise activation of the optogenetic actuator. Together, these components allow the experimenter to  
100 modulate the biological system and interrogate its function.

101

## 102 **[H2] Selecting the correct actuator**

103 When designing an optogenetic experiment, the first considerations should be the cellular parameter  
104 to be modulated and the available optogenetic actuators for such an endeavor. An enormous number  
105 of light-switchable tools have been developed for controlling ion fluxes and membrane voltage, G-  
106 protein signaling, regulation of second messengers such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , cAMP, cGMP, IP3, receptor tyrosine  
107 kinases (TRKs), organelle repositioning, transcription and translation (Fig. 2). Most actuators rely on  
108 photoreceptors or light sensing modules of natural origin, although photoswitchable synthetic organic  
109 compounds have also been employed<sup>15</sup>. The use of photoswitchable synthetic organic compounds is  
110 also known as chemooptogenetics or photopharmacology, and the interested reader might consult  
111 related reviews<sup>15,16</sup>. Many light-modulated actuators have been described that do not rely on opsin  
112 proteins. While this Primer is focused on the opsin-based toolbox, the reader might find more  
113 information about non-opsin-based optogenetic tools in several excellent recent reviews<sup>17,18</sup>.

114

## 115 **[H3] Light-activated ion channels**

116 Until recently the most widely applied optogenetic photoreceptor was Channelrhodopsin-2 from the  
117 alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (known as CrChR2 or simply C2) and its variant ChR2-H134R<sup>8,19</sup>.  
118 Presently, almost 900 ChR sequences have been identified, including many with properties superior  
119 to those of the original prototypes (Figure 2)<sup>20</sup>. ChRs may be subdivided into cation or anion  
120 conducting channels, termed CCRs and ACRs respectively. CCRs typically conduct multiple types of  
121 cations with high preference for protons.  $\text{Na}^+$  selectivity varies widely among different CCRs<sup>21</sup> and  
122 divalent cations are only poorly conducted under most physiological host conditions. Whereas there  
123 are no  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -selective CCRs available to date, continuous metagenomic screening recently revealed a

124 new class of potassium selective channels (KCRs)<sup>22</sup>. ACRs are selective for a number of anions,  
125 similar to most human anion channels<sup>23</sup>.

126 In host cells, Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>-conducting CCRs can be used as depolarizing actuators, whereas the  
127 action of ACRs depends on the chloride reversal potential in the targeted cells or subcellular  
128 compartment (Box 1). ACRs may clamp the voltage to near the resting potential and inhibit action  
129 potential firing by shunting inhibition (Box 1). However, in cardiac cells, immature neurons and  
130 presynaptic terminals, chloride gradients are less pronounced and ACRs may depolarize the cell  
131 membrane<sup>24,25</sup>. In plants, the chloride gradient is always directed outward, and ACR activation will  
132 generally lead to membrane depolarization. Thus far, KCRs have been applied under highly controlled  
133 in vitro conditions, but — once established for in vivo experiments — hold major promise for  
134 optogenetic inhibition in all variants of cells and host model systems.

135 Our current molecular understanding of ChRs mostly relies on *CrChR2*, which has been  
136 extensively studied and modified with respect to kinetics, ion selectivity, inactivation and absorption  
137 wavelength<sup>26,27</sup> revealing principles that have been successfully transferred to other CCRs  
138 (Supplementary Figure 1). Recently discovered channelrhodopsins such as ChRmine and KCRs  
139 belonging to a new family of cation conducting ChRs hold great promise, but understanding of their  
140 molecular mechanism is only beginning to emerge<sup>28,29</sup>. The maximal color sensitivity of known ChRs  
141 so far spans from 445 nm for *TsChR* to 610 nm for the ChrimsonSA mutant and Ruby-ACR<sup>30-32</sup>  
142 (Figure 2). Such distinct color sensitivity may allow the combination of different ChRs within the  
143 same experiment for activation and inhibition of the same or different cells. However, all rhodopsins  
144 absorb blue or UVA light to a certain extent due to transition to higher excited state levels. This has  
145 to be taken into consideration when combining multiple rhodopsins in a single or multiple cell  
146 populations (Supplementary Figure 2). For **bidirectional voltage modulation [G]** for example, the  
147 more potent actuator should be selected to absorb at the shorter wavelength (Figure 2) thereby  
148 allowing for lower light powers used in the blue range, which will in turn minimize the undesired  
149 activation of the red-shifted actuator. Another consideration is the reversal potential of the conducted  
150 ion. In nature, as well as in neuronal experiments, ACRs operate closer to the reversal potential than  
151 Na<sup>+</sup> or H<sup>+</sup> conducting depolarizing CCRs. While it is possible to co-express two opsins using two  
152 separate viral vectors, this approach inevitably leads to incomplete co-expression in all cells. To  
153 overcome this draw back, several constructs have been engineered which allow tandem expression of  
154 two opsins from the same vector. The most prominent examples are eNPAC which coexpresses

155 eNpHR3.0 and ChR2(H134R) initially linked by a 2A selfcleaving peptide<sup>33</sup>, and BiPOLES<sup>34</sup>, which  
156 combines the red-shifted CCR Chrimson with the blue-shifted GtACR2 in a single targeting-  
157 optimized fusion construct<sup>35</sup>. Due to the stoichiometric membrane expression, equal photocurrents near  
158 the cellular resting potential and comparable light sensitivities of both channel modules, BiPOLES  
159 outperforms previous bicistronic combinations of ChR2 with different ion pumps<sup>59,60</sup> and guarantees  
160 subcellular colocalization and selective red-light excitation for multicolor applications. A  
161 combination of optogenetics and chemogenetics has been exemplified by direct fusion of slow cycling  
162 step function rhodopsins (SFOs) with a luciferase that produces light upon peripheral injection of its  
163 small molecule substrate. These luminopsins allow direct light stimulation by optical fibers, while at  
164 the same time providing chemogenetic access in awake and anesthetized animals in vivo<sup>61,62</sup>.

165

### 166 [H3] Light-driven pumps

167 The first application of optogenetics for neuronal silencing was achieved with the chloride  
168 pump halorhodopsin<sup>36</sup>. However, since the discovery of ACRs, the interest in optogenetic silencing  
169 of animal cells by light-driven pumps has decreased in animal cells because pumps require higher  
170 expression levels and higher light intensities for sufficient ion turnover (Supplementary Figure 3). In  
171 contrast, in plants — which naturally hyperpolarize their membranes and drive secondary transporters  
172 via H<sup>+</sup> pumps — light-driven H<sup>+</sup> pumps are valuable tools. The advantage of light-driven pumps is  
173 their high ion specificity and robust electric response that depends less on the ionic composition of  
174 the surrounding buffers and the membrane voltage. Light-driven chloride pumps such as NpHR<sup>37</sup> or  
175 Jaws<sup>38</sup> allow reliable — although often weak — neuronal inhibition in synaptic terminals, where the  
176 action of ACRs is difficult to predict due to variable and elevated intracellular chloride concentrations  
177<sup>39</sup>. Pumps may be successfully used in small compartments such as neuronal vesicles, lysosomes<sup>40</sup>,  
178 mitochondria or thylakoids, where the action of ion channels is poorly defined due to the lack of free  
179 ions<sup>41</sup>. In the plasma membrane the use of light-driven ion pumps requires caution because both proton  
180 and chloride pumps can drive non-physiological ion concentrations in neurons and trigger off-target  
181 effects, including a transient increase of the chloride reversal potential, leading to excitatory actions  
182 of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA and alkalization of presynaptic terminals, leading to  
183 increased spontaneous neurotransmission<sup>39,42</sup>.

184

### 185 [H3] Optogenetic control of biochemical signaling pathways

186 Animal rhodopsins are G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and animal vision is the most studied  
187 G-protein signaling pathway. A pioneering study demonstrated that bovine rhodopsin expression may  
188 be used to activate G-protein signaling in *Xenopus* oocytes but without describing the signaling  
189 mechanism<sup>43</sup>. However, the off-response of rod-rhodopsins remained uncontrollable in the absence  
190 of rhodopsin kinase and Arrestin, and responses severely declined upon repetitive stimulation. The  
191 responses of  $G_{i/o}$  activating cone rhodopsins<sup>44-46</sup> or Gs-specific box jellyfish opsins<sup>47</sup> declined faster,  
192 but were still not tightly controllable. The solution was approached by revitalizing melanopsin OPN4,  
193 which can be switched on and off with blue and yellow light, albeit incompletely due to substantial  
194 overlapping spectra of the dark-state and signaling-state<sup>48-50</sup> and only the UV-sensitive Lamprey  
195 Parapinopsin (PPO) with its green-absorbing signaling state offered efficient on and off switching  
196 with a dual color light source<sup>51-54</sup>.

197 GPCR signaling depends on many properties of the receptors, including substrate binding  
198 kinetics, G-protein specificity and timing of activation and receptor inactivation, which in total cannot  
199 be fully mimicked by rhodopsins. One way to more selectively mimic the activity of a specific GPCR  
200 is to engineer hybrids between structurally related opsins and GPCRs (optoGPCRs)<sup>55,56</sup>. OptoGPCRs  
201 open new and possibly more specific routes for the analysis of intracellular signaling pathways  
202 compared to unmodified rhodopsins whereas the dynamics of G-protein coupling and pathway  
203 recruitment still has to be approached by testing various expression levels and light regimes. However,  
204 these optoGPCRs cannot be simply transferred to another cell type because G-protein promiscuity  
205 might activate unwanted pathways<sup>48,57</sup>. With OptoGPCRs the application of G-protein activation has  
206 enormously broadened the optogenetic actuator toolbox. These tools will be well-suited for  
207 temporally-defined modulation of non-excitabile cells, potentially including glial cells in the brain and  
208 other non-neuronal cell types<sup>58</sup>.

209 Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are another large family of cell surface receptors that sense  
210 growth factors and hormones to regulate a variety of cellular behaviors by target phosphorylation.  
211 Engineered light sensitive epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR1) and the fibroblast growth factor  
212 receptor 1 (FGFR1) have shown robust light activation of both RTK-receptors and cellular signaling  
213 in human cancer and endothelial cells and faithful mimicking of complex mitogenic and morphogenic  
214 cell behavior<sup>59</sup>. Cobalamin-binding domain (CBD)<sup>59</sup> and tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) have  
215 been fused to RTKs to yield light-sensitive receptors<sup>60,61</sup>. Fusions with TrkB have high specificity for  
216 the target proteins, although their application range is narrow and the constructs need to be optimized



217 for every new application. Moreover, one drawback is that cobalamin-based light sensors or  
218 phytochrome-based light sensors generally require addition or cellular synthesis of the cofactor  
219 molecules, making their potential for in vivo applications more complex than the application of the  
220 retinal-based photoreceptors.

221

222

### 223 [H3] Second messengers

224 Photoactivated cyclases (PACs) have been employed for direct control of the second messengers  
225 cAMP and cGMP. The soluble bPAC from *Beggiatoa spp.* is a tandem of BLUF-type light sensors  
226 (blue-light sensors using FAD (Flavin adenine dinucleotide) (Figure 2) with C-terminal adenylyl  
227 cyclases. These optogenetic actuators show millisecond-range on-kinetics upon photostimulation and  
228 a second-range off-kinetics in the dark (bPAC  $\tau_{\text{off}} = 12$  s)<sup>62</sup>. Coexpression of bPAC with the small  
229 prokaryotic potassium-channel SthK (PAC-K silencer) in two-component optogenetic approaches has  
230 been exploited for long lasting neuronal hyperpolarization in cardiomyocytes as well as in fly, mouse  
231 and zebrafish neurons providing high operational light sensitivity but low time resolution <sup>62-65</sup>.  
232 However, color modification is only possible within a small range around 470 nm and occasional  
233 residual dark activity has been observed <sup>66</sup>. New spectral windows were opened by introducing  
234 Rhodopsin Guanylyl Cyclases (RGCs), which are cyclases with N-terminally linked rhodopsins.  
235 These rhodopsin-cyclases (RhCs) are characterized by low dark-activity, effective light absorption ( $\epsilon$   
236  $> 32000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and the promise of flexible color tuning <sup>67-69</sup>. RhCs show millisecond-range off-  
237 kinetics, are naturally GTP selective and are convertible into ATP cyclases by genetic engineering.  
238 Some members of the fungal Chytridiomycota may use heterodimeric RhGCs, with one blue or green  
239 sensitive rhodopsin catalyst, and a second near infrared sensitive modulator (NeoR,  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 660\text{--}700$   
240 nm). These NeoRs might allow to extend the usable spectral range into the superior infrared spectral  
241 window <sup>70</sup>.

### 242 [H3] Protein abundance

243 Control over the concentration of selected proteins within a cell has been a long-standing goal, and  
244 has stimulated the interest of protein engineers for decades. The most obvious point of intervention is  
245 the regulation of transcription. Previously explored concepts were based on the connection of DNA-  
246 binding proteins to a photoreceptor such as Phytochrome, FKF1 or VIVID (LOV-proteins), or CRY.  
247 Upon illumination, these photoreceptors bind to their signaling partner proteins PIF3,

248 GIGANTEA/Tulips or CIB respectively, with bound components of the transcription machinery as  
249 VP16 or VP64. In light, the transcription component is attracted to the promoter region of interest by  
250 the photoreceptor and signal-protein interaction leading to the assembly of the transcription complex  
251 and initiation of transcription. But, the used GAL4-DNA binding domains have to be incorporated  
252 into the model organism (reviewed in<sup>71,72</sup>). To address any promoter of interest, programmable DNA-  
253 binding proteins zinc finger-DNA binding proteins<sup>73</sup>, TALEs<sup>74</sup> and deactivated Cas9 have been  
254 functionalized as the second generation of transcription regulators<sup>75,76</sup>. The main caveat for Cas9  
255 application is the prolonged occupancy of Cas9 at its DNA binding site, especially in situations where  
256 the DNA is not cleaved, which disturbs gene expression prior to the intended start of the experiment<sup>77</sup>.  
257 Inserting a LOV-domain into an anti-CRISPR protein like AcrIIA4 or AcrIIC3 (CASANOVAs)  
258 overcomes this problem and makes Cas9 binding better controllable. This approach works reliably in  
259 HEK cells, but has not been rigorously tested for non-embryonic cells such as neurons<sup>104</sup>.

260

## 261 [H2] Targeting strategies

262 Optogenetics was first applied in neuroscience, driven by the complexity of neural circuits and the  
263 demand for improved selectivity in perturbational approaches for studying neural circuits. Genetic  
264 techniques, viral vector technology and optical methods have grown rapidly around the developing  
265 optogenetic toolbox. As a result, the tools and enabling technologies for optogenetic experimentation  
266 in neuroscience, as well as the fundamental understanding of the caveats and constraints of their  
267 application, are more advanced in neuroscience than in other fields. In the following section, we  
268 review some of the major targeting approaches for expression of optogenetic tools in neural circuits.  
269 One of the major benefits of the optogenetic paradigm is its selectivity to defined cells and circuits.  
270 In neuroscience applications, genetic targeting of optogenetic tools has advanced considerably, and  
271 has profited greatly from developments in viral vector technologies. Since optogenetic tools are  
272 genetically-encoded and mostly single-component actuators (requiring the introduction of only one  
273 gene to the target cell population), multiple delivery methods can be used to introduce them into the  
274 cells of interest. Targeting strategies are either based on promoter specificity directly, or through a  
275 combination of a conditional transgene expression cassette that can be switched on or off using a  
276 recombinase.

277

## 278 [H3] Transgenic expression of optogenetic tools

279 Transgenic expression is the simplest approach to implement since it requires only the maintenance  
280 of an opsin-expressing animal strain (Figure 3a)<sup>78</sup> or the crossing of two strains of animals. The latter  
281 involves a driver line — engineered to express a recombinase or transcription regulator like Cre and  
282 Flpo (in rodents) or a Gal4 driver (in zebrafish) in a particular cell population — and an animal strain  
283 expressing a conditional opsin gene under the control of the relevant driver (Figure 3b). The F1  
284 progeny of such a cross will express the opsin gene in all cells in which the driver protein is expressed,  
285 and will therefore be amenable to optogenetic manipulation simply by illuminating the targeted brain  
286 region. The approach is simple to implement, but one should consider potential caveats, including the  
287 presence of axons from neurons in other brain regions, which might be activated along with the cell  
288 bodies in the illuminated region. In mice, expression of ChR2 or eNpHR3.0 from the ROSA26 locus  
289<sup>79</sup> can be quite weak and not universally sufficient to drive activity in every neuron subtype.  
290 Expression of opsin genes from the TIGER locus<sup>80</sup> showed stronger opsin expression and might  
291 therefore be useful for some target neuron populations. However, this approach requires generation  
292 and/or breeding of a dedicated animal strain for every targeted neuron population and thus lacks the  
293 versatility and cost-efficiency of viral vector-based approaches. Another potential confound is  
294 unintentional targeting in some driver lines (see for instance<sup>81</sup>), making the verification of driver lines  
295 advisable<sup>82</sup>.

### 296 [H3] **Viral vector targeting**

297 Lentiviral or adeno-associated viral vectors (AAVs) can be engineered to encode optogenetic  
298 actuators and delivered either directly to the brain parenchyma or through systemic injection to target  
299 either specific brain regions or brain-wide populations, respectively. Targeting of genetically-  
300 identified neuronal populations is achieved either by using the tissue tropism of the virus serotype and  
301 a cell type-specific promoter or enhancer (Figure. 3c), or by injecting the viral vector into a transgenic  
302 recombinase-expressing animal strain (Figure 3d). Promoter-based viral vector targeting is attractive  
303 since it does not require the maintenance of a specific animal strain for every target neuron population,  
304 and can also be applied in non-genetic models. However, the limited viral payload size — particularly  
305 of AAVs — prohibits the use of most native promoters. The list of minimal promoter or enhancer  
306 sequences that have been validated to specifically express in defined neuron populations is quite  
307 restricted. However, this field is rapidly expanding<sup>83,84</sup> and is further diversified by synthetic  
308 approaches<sup>85</sup>.

### 309 [H3] **Circuit-based viral vector targeting**

310 The most commonly used retrograde viral tracer is AAVretro<sup>86</sup>, which can be taken up by presynaptic  
311 terminals and travel in retrograde to express at the soma of long-range projecting neurons (Figure 3e).  
312 The herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV1) and canine adenovirus 2 (CAV2) both have retrograde targeting  
313 abilities, but these are less readily available and have been shown to impair the health of targeted  
314 neurons, particularly over longer expression times of weeks to months<sup>87</sup>.

### 315 [H3] Systemic delivery of AAV-PHP capsids

316 Targeting sparse brain-wide populations is beneficial for some experimental configurations. For  
317 example, structural imaging of dendritic spines in cortex or excitation of a randomly-selected sparse  
318 ensemble in a given brain region. For this purpose, AAV-PHP vectors have been engineered to cross  
319 the blood-brain barrier with high efficiency (Figure 3f). The AAV-PHP serotypes allow targeting of  
320 diverse central and peripheral nervous system neurons<sup>88,89</sup>. The same capsids can be used with Cre-  
321 dependent AAV expression plasmids to allow sparse brain-wide expression in a genetically-defined  
322 neuronal subtype. However, the efficiency of AAV-PHP serotypes in crossing of the blood brain  
323 barrier can vary in different mouse strains<sup>90</sup>.

### 324 [H3] Electroporation

325 Concentrated DNA can also be injected into the cerebral ventricles followed by in utero  
326 electroporation<sup>91-93</sup>, enabling the study of neural cell fate determination and migration or cortical  
327 layer specific expression.

328

### 329 [H2] Compartment-specific functions

330 The effective current resulting from a light-gated channel conductance can vary dramatically due to  
331 local ion concentration gradient differences. For neuroscience applications, this is particularly crucial  
332 for use of ACRs. At the somatic and dendritic compartments, this is an advantage, as they can be used  
333 for shunting inhibition. In contrast, ACRs can exert excitatory effects in axons and presynaptic  
334 terminals, in which the intracellular chloride concentration is higher (Box 1). Ion pumping rhodopsins  
335 on the other hand translocate the ion over the membrane in a predetermined direction, which can be  
336 an advantage due to the increased control of ion flux. However, the pumping-induced  
337 hyperpolarization and elevation in ion concentration can also have side effects, like the alkalization  
338 of presynaptic boutons<sup>94</sup> or an artificial increase in intracellular chloride<sup>42</sup>. Similarly, the effects of  
339 G-protein coupled animal rhodopsins on neuronal activity strongly depend on the given second

340 messenger cascade in the local compartment. For instance, in the soma and dendrite,  $G_{i/o}$  signaling  
341 can activate G protein-coupled inward rectifying potassium channels whereas in the presynaptic  
342 compartment the  $G_{i/o}$  pathway mainly acts through inhibition of voltage gated calcium channels and  
343 cAMP signaling <sup>54</sup>.

344

## 345 [H2] Optimizing expression and targeting

346 Beyond single channel conductance, one of the main factors determining maximal photocurrent is the  
347 number of functional opsin molecules in the membrane, which in turn depends on expression level,  
348 protein-folding efficacy, retinal binding affinity, membrane trafficking and protein turnover rate. The  
349 expression level of a transgene can be controlled via promoter strength and transgene copy number.  
350 The opsin-folding efficacy and protein stability was shown to depend on the availability of the  
351 chromophore retinal <sup>95</sup>. While retinal availability does not seem to be a limiting factor in mammalian  
352 tissues, it needs to be routinely supplemented in the food of invertebrate model systems and some  
353 cultured cell lines. In plants, the absence of retinal can be compensated for by its synthesis via  
354 expression of a bacterial  $\beta$ -dioxygenase that facilitates rhodopsin expression. A common issue with  
355 unmodified opsin expression cassettes is aggregation of the synthesized protein in the endoplasmic  
356 reticulum (ER). To overcome this limitation, trafficking motifs involved in transport of membrane  
357 proteins along the secretory pathway to the cell surface were utilized to improve plasma membrane  
358 targeting (Supplementary Figure 4). The most widely-used trafficking motifs utilized were first  
359 described for the potassium channel  $K_{ir2.1}$  — these motifs enhance ER export as well as Golgi-to-  
360 plasma membrane trafficking <sup>96</sup>, resulting in higher plasma membrane localization and increased  
361 photocurrents in animal <sup>97</sup> as well as plant cells <sup>98</sup>.

362 Further optimization of functional expression can be achieved by adjusting the linkers between the  
363 opsin and the often co-expressed fluorophore, mutating potential ubiquitination sites, and screening  
364 random mutations in the opsin coding sequence <sup>99</sup>. Beyond improved photocurrents, targeting an opsin  
365 to a selected subcellular compartment can be used to investigate the function of the chosen  
366 compartment, such as the mitochondria, synaptic vesicles, lysosomes or ER (Supplementary Figure  
367 4), or to use the differential effects of ion channels discussed above. Somatic restriction has been  
368 successful in increasing the specificity of single-cell stimulation by reducing inadvertent modulation  
369 of nearby neurites <sup>100-105</sup>, as well as in reducing ACR-mediated axonal excitation <sup>24</sup>. Somatic

370 restriction has the added effect of accelerating the effective photocurrent off-kinetics, due to the  
371 elimination of photocurrents arising from distal neurites in the illuminated tissue volume, as these are  
372 low-pass filtered while traveling along the neurite to the somatic compartment.

373 While targeting microbial rhodopsins to presynaptic vesicles is feasible<sup>40</sup>, enrichment of rhodopsin  
374 abundance in the axonal plasma membrane has not been achieved. Cytosolic proteins can be enriched  
375 in the axon by mRNA shuttling motifs. However, local rhodopsin translation in the axon has not been  
376 successfully applied, potentially due to a lack of transmembrane protein synthesis in the vertebrate  
377 axon<sup>106</sup>.

378

## 379 **[H2] Light delivery techniques**

380 Although the vast majority of advanced light targeting approaches have been developed with the  
381 specific applications of neuronal and cardiac optogenetics in mind, these methods are generalizable  
382 and are beginning to be applied to other systems<sup>107</sup>. Optogenetics is readily applicable to light-  
383 accessible preparations such as cultured cells, tissue slices, transparent organisms such as zebrafish  
384 larvae or to the cortical surface of the mammalian brain, allowing for extensive flexibility in light  
385 delivery. For whole circuit or brain region optogenetics, light needs to reach the target with sufficient  
386 irradiance to induce opsin activation. Ideally, light should be guided into the target structure with  
387 minimum damage to the tissue. In behaving animals, stimulation should also be conducted with  
388 minimal disruption to the measured behavior, limiting implantable weight and tether stiffness. Whole  
389 circuit/region optogenetic stimulation is typically carried out using a multimode optical fiber, guiding  
390 the light from the source to the target (Figure 4a-b). Optical fibers targeting a deep brain region can  
391 be permanently implanted by attaching a fiberoptic implant to the skull using dental cement. The  
392 dimensions of the fiber and its optical properties strongly influence the spatial profile of light reaching  
393 the brain. Most commonly, flat-cleaved optical fibers are used. However, the high radiant flux density  
394 necessary at the fiber tip to achieve a sufficient irradiance within the targeted volume, can lead to  
395 heat-induced changes in neuronal activity and behaviour<sup>108,109</sup>. It is therefore advisable to consider  
396 tissue heating when planning the experiment and to use opsin-free light stimulated controls. One  
397 approach to minimizing the irradiance required in optogenetic experiments is to maximize the  
398 operational light sensitivity of the opsin used (Supplementary Figure 3). Another factor is wavelength,  
399 as absorption is higher for shorter wavelengths and therefore the peak temperature increase is lower

400 for longer wavelengths at the same radiant flux density. Increased optical fiber diameter also reduces  
401 the peak light power density. However, wider fibers also cause more tissue damage and have a higher  
402 chance of illuminating blood vessels, which strongly absorb visible light and thus increase potential  
403 heating-related artifacts. This tradeoff can be at least partially mitigated by the use of tapered optical  
404 fibers (Figure 4b), which can be used to flexibly illuminate a large brain volume<sup>110</sup>.

405 In these conventional optogenetic experiments, visible light is mostly delivered non-specifically to  
406 large tissue regions and genetic targeting strategies are used to express the optogenetic actuator in  
407 specific cell types. This approach has enabled tissue function to be mapped with unprecedented  
408 anatomical and cell-type specificity. However, widefield illumination synchronously activates or  
409 silences entire populations of all opsin-expressing cells, which does not replicate the physiological  
410 case: adjacent cells belonging to genetically defined classes have been observed to exhibit divergent  
411 activity patterns. To investigate complex population activity patterns, whole-region optogenetics is  
412 insufficient. DMD coupled to single-photon excitation have enabled single and multi-target excitation  
413 in head-restrained and freely-moving animals and found in situ applications in control of excitation  
414 waves underlying cardiac arrhythmias<sup>111,112,113,114</sup>. However, the use of visible light has limited these  
415 approaches to superficial brain layers or low scattering samples. Recent developments in opsin  
416 engineering, optical microscopy and multiphoton laser source development have given rise to circuit  
417 optogenetics<sup>115</sup>, which allows modulation of neuronal activity deep in scattering tissue with single-  
418 spike precision and single-cell resolution (Figure 4c-e). Specifically, combining variants with  
419 enhanced kinetics<sup>30,116-118</sup>, higher conductance<sup>116,118,119</sup> or shifted absorption peaks<sup>30,119,120</sup> with  
420 optimized targeting and expression strategies<sup>101-104</sup>, enable neuronal control with single-cell, single-  
421 spike precision at millisecond temporal resolution and the generation of action potential (Ap) trains  
422 with high (50–100 Hz) spiking rates<sup>121,122</sup>. In parallel, advanced optical techniques, based on two-  
423 photon (2P) excitation (Box 2) have been developed to precisely guide light through tissue. The small  
424 single-channel conductance of commonly used optogenetic actuators such as ChR2 (40–90 fS)<sup>123</sup>, and  
425 the limited number of channels or pumps recruited within a conventional 2P focal volume, mean that  
426 it is generally necessary to use spiral scanning or parallel light shaping using computer generated  
427 holography or the generalized phase contrast method (Supplementary Figure 5) combined with  
428 temporal focusing (Supplementary Figure 6)<sup>14</sup> to increase the portion of excited membrane<sup>124,125</sup> and  
429 to sufficiently depolarize a neuron to firing threshold or effectively silence it. Holographic light  
430 multiplexing with spiral scanning<sup>126</sup> or ad hoc spatiotemporal shaping approaches (Supplementary

431 Figure 7) have been used to generate patterned illumination at multiple axially distinct planes  
432 <sup>116,127,128</sup>. Multiplexing divides the available laser power between targets and thus requires powerful  
433 lasers. Due to the higher peak photon density, amplified low-repetition rate (200 kHz – 10 MHz) fiber  
434 lasers enable higher rates of 2P absorption compared to titanium:sapphire oscillators (at the same  
435 average power) and can therefore be used to reduce the necessary power to generate physiological  
436 signals <sup>129</sup>. Additionally, these sources deliver tens of Watts of power, facilitating the simultaneous  
437 photostimulation of hundreds of cells throughout mm<sup>3</sup> volumes. The combination of these  
438 technologies has recently led to the first demonstrations of multi-target neural circuit manipulation  
439 <sup>104,118,130</sup>.

440 The ability to control neuronal activity with single-cell precision and millisecond temporal  
441 resolution allows to functionally probe neuronal networks beyond the resolution of synchronous  
442 modulation of entire networks or genetically defined network components. For instance, using  
443 temporally precise single-cell excitation in visual cortex and olfactory bulb, the minimal number of  
444 co-activated cortical neurons necessary for visual perception <sup>131</sup> and the dependence of olfactory  
445 perceptual detection on both the number of activated neurons and their relative spiking latency was  
446 characterized <sup>132</sup>. The requirement of high numerical aperture objectives has limited 2P-optogenetics  
447 to circuits in superficial ( $\leq 500 \mu\text{m}$ ) cortical areas of mouse brain, transparent zebrafish larvae <sup>133</sup> or  
448 in-vitro applications. Micro-endoscopes are small optical probes that can be inserted into living  
449 tissues, and represent a promising solution to extend optical brain manipulation to deeper brain  
450 structures both in combination with holographic spiral scanning <sup>134</sup> or multi-temporally focused light  
451 shaping approaches <sup>135</sup>. Three-photon (3P) optogenetics, which relies on longer wavelengths and  
452 exhibits a cubic dependence of excitation efficiency on excitation power, could potentially be used to  
453 stimulate neuronal circuits in deeper brain regions (600  $\mu\text{m}$  – 1 mm) with single-cell resolution.  
454 However, to date, 3P photostimulation has only been demonstrated in vitro <sup>136</sup>.

455

456

## 457 **[H1] Results**

458

## 459 **[H2] Output analysis**

460 When designing optogenetic experiments, care should be taken to verify the impact of the optogenetic  
461 manipulation on the targeted cells. This can be achieved in a number of ways, including



462 electrophysiological recordings in vitro or in vivo, optical recordings with genetically-encoded  
463 sensors, immediate early gene labeling and noninvasive imaging modalities. Below we outline the  
464 major techniques used in such experiments, and the considerations that should be taken into account  
465 when designing and performing such experiments.

466

### 467 **[H3] Electrophysiological recordings**

468 To interpret the results of optogenetic manipulations, it is often necessary to determine the extent of  
469 optogenetic tool expression and its physiological effects on the targeted neurons. In the case of light  
470 gated ion channels or pumps, recording the electrophysiological changes induced by the optogenetic  
471 manipulation is the most direct way to characterize light-mediated effects (Figure 5a-c). As these  
472 effects can vary greatly between cell types, brain regions and even viral serotypes<sup>137</sup>, it is crucial to  
473 validate the optogenetic effector in every new experimental system before proceeding to behavioral  
474 or other functional readouts. To describe effects on the level of spike rates and timing, whole-cell  
475 recordings are often not necessary. Instead, extracellular recordings are often used (Figure 5d-f), given  
476 their higher throughput and minimal crosstalk with light delivery (see<sup>138</sup> for discussion of light-  
477 induced electrical artifacts). However, higher frequency spiking activity does not necessarily indicate  
478 increased synaptic transmission from the stimulated neurons<sup>137</sup>. Synaptic depression and depletion of  
479 neurotransmitter release can lead to erroneous interpretation and should be taken into account when  
480 performing optogenetic excitation experiments, particularly with neuromodulatory and neuropeptide-  
481 releasing neuronal populations.

482

483

### 484 **[H3] Optical recordings**

485 Fluorescent reporters are another common method for monitoring the effects of optogenetic  
486 manipulations. These techniques enable recording from the same cells over several recording sessions  
487 and the concurrent recording of high numbers of cells. However, given that optogenetics itself relies  
488 on light delivery, fluorescent reporters can be efficiently integrated only if a spectral or light power  
489 separation can be achieved to minimize the crosstalk between the recording and manipulation  
490 modalities (Supplementary Figure 2). Additionally, when combining red-shifted Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicators with

491 optogenetic actuators, extra care must be taken, as these can show blue-light-activated photoswitching  
492 behavior that can resemble  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  activity in their amplitude and kinetics <sup>139</sup>.

493 While genetically encoded calcium sensors continue to be the state of the art in terms of optical  
494 activity readout, voltage indicators are gradually reaching a level of maturity that could allow for  
495 wider adoption by the field <sup>140</sup>. Novel fluorescent sensors for neurotransmitters, neuromodulators and  
496 other small molecules are continuously developed<sup>141-143</sup>. Another approach to read out gross neuronal  
497 firing rate changes is to characterize the expression of **immediate early genes [G]**, for instance via  
498 immunohistochemistry on the protein level <sup>144</sup> or on the mRNA level using quantitative PCR, in-situ  
499 hybridization or single cell RNA sequencing <sup>145</sup>. Immediate early gene expression can be used to  
500 determine the relationship between the modulation of specific neuronal populations and global brain  
501 activity <sup>146</sup>. However, the temporal precision of this approach is limited to the average neuronal  
502 activity over minutes to hours and, unless combined with targeted recombination approaches <sup>147</sup>, only  
503 a single manipulation can be characterized per animal.

504 Although channelrhodopsin variants with peak single-photon (1P) excitation wavelengths spanning  
505 the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum have been engineered <sup>26</sup>, performing crosstalk-free,  
506 multi-colour 2P experiments is not trivial. Ideally, spectrally orthogonal channelrhodopsins and  
507 activity reporters would be chosen, but, unfortunately, the 2P action spectra of commonly used opsins  
508 are extremely broad (Supplementary Figure 8) <sup>26</sup>. As previously introduced, opsins with red-shifted  
509 action spectra exhibit persistent activation in the blue range, which coincide with wavelengths used  
510 for 2P imaging of commonly used activity reporters (920 – 980 nm). One approach to reduce crosstalk  
511 is to use opsins with fast kinetics. Although this approach does not eliminate sub-threshold network  
512 perturbation, the (relatively) fast repolarization of neurons expressing channelrhodopsins with fast  
513 off-kinetics means they are unlikely to fire action potentials due to excitation by the imaging laser  
514 during scanning. Successful employment of this method requires careful titration of imaging  
515 parameters, including imaging power, frame rate and field of view. This is an interim approach until  
516 high efficiency blue-shifted opsins, red-shifted activity indicators and amplified lasers in the  
517 appropriate spectral range become more widely available.

518

519 **[H3] Alternative recording modalities**

520 Electrophysiological and optical recording modalities both suffer from potential interactions with the  
521 light required to excite optogenetic actuators. The **hemodynamic response [G]** is an alternative  
522 physiological response to neural activity which can be exploited to report the impact of optogenetic  
523 modulation. For superficial brain areas such as the cortex, the hemodynamic response can be  
524 measured via intrinsic imaging<sup>148,149</sup>, while functional magnetic resonance imaging<sup>150</sup> can be utilized  
525 to record brain-wide hemodynamics. Although the noninvasive nature and the ability to measure the  
526 hemodynamic response throughout the entire brain are major advantages, the main drawbacks are that  
527 the temporal resolution of this approach is fundamentally limited by the specificity and kinetics of the  
528 hemodynamic response itself and the limited spatial resolution of neurovascular coupling<sup>151</sup>. Heating  
529 should also be taken into account here as it can directly impact the hemodynamic response<sup>152</sup>.  
530 Functional ultrasound imaging is a rapidly developing technology which could be used to perform  
531 brain-wide detection of neural activity triggered by localized optogenetic stimulation. Although this  
532 method still relies on changes in neurovascular blood volume changes, it can be performed at a  
533 fraction of the cost of functional MRI recordings and is rapidly advancing to allow better spatio-  
534 temporal resolution and portability<sup>153</sup>.

535

## 536 **[H2] Linking neural to behavioral readouts**

537 The exquisite spatial and temporal control of genetically defined cells with optogenetics are attractive  
538 features for experiments aiming at establishing links with causality between neural activity and  
539 behavior. The growing understanding of neuronal coding has also led to a nuanced understanding of  
540 the limits of interpretability of such experiments. However, when appropriately designed and  
541 controlled, optogenetic experiments can provide important information on how neural circuits drive  
542 behavioral processes.

543 Choosing the locus of intervention may be instructed by previous literature, lesion experiments  
544 and behavioral pharmacology. For example, we know that silencing the motor cortex with compounds  
545 like muscimol or baclofen causes motor impairment while optogenetic stimulation elicits muscle  
546 contraction<sup>154</sup>. While gain of function experiments may be a starting point, cell type-specific  
547 optogenetic inhibition of genetically-defined neurons in the motor cortex would provide a more  
548 complex picture, better dissociating physiological motor response from an artificial perturbation<sup>155</sup>.  
549 Another way to determine the brain region and cell types of interest is the use of activity markers such  
550 as the immediate early genes *c-Fos* or *Arc*. Finally, technological advances in wide-field optical

551 monitoring of intracellular calcium may allow to visualize the activity of large cortical areas<sup>151,156</sup> and  
552 selectively silence defined cortical regions transcranially<sup>157</sup>. Alternatively, high density electrical  
553 recordings<sup>158,159</sup> can elucidate the activity of many neurons in deeper structures. This allows the  
554 experimenter to identify circuits with activity patterns that may be relevant to the behavior to be  
555 studied.

556 Observational experiments should be implemented to characterize the functional properties of  
557 the cell population to be modulated (Figure 6). This may be achieved using electrophysiology in vivo  
558 — for example, by tetrode recordings of photo-tagged neurons<sup>160</sup> or genetically encoded calcium  
559 sensor imaging<sup>161</sup>. The choice of the optogenetic intervention should ideally be instructed by these  
560 observational investigations and match the dynamic range of the activity observed. Additional  
561 selectivity can be achieved by aiming at axon terminals rather than cell bodies. Effectors aiming at  
562 hyperpolarizing terminals or creating shunting inhibition may not always be efficient or at times even  
563 perturb para-membranous ion concentrations such that the effect is difficult to predict<sup>39</sup>. With the  
564 advent of  $G_{i/o}$ -coupled effectors<sup>53,54</sup>, presynaptic inhibition is more straightforward, but it remains  
565 good practice to validate the efficacy of inhibition, as well as its spatial selectivity, particularly with  
566 the highly light-sensitive effectors. It is particularly important to take into account the firing frequency  
567 of the cells under investigation as presynaptic inhibition is potentially less efficacious at higher firing  
568 rates.

569 There are two distinct approaches for optogenetic manipulations, one with an acute effect, the  
570 other with long-lasting effects. Acute manipulations require behavioral observations in real time.  
571 Ideally, a small set of optogenetic trials should be randomly interleaved with control trials. This allows  
572 us to assess not only the acute effects on optogenetic trials, but also to determine if there are longer-  
573 lasting changes to the subsequent control trials. Such laser on-off protocols can be used to control for  
574 adaptive behavioral changes throughout a given session. However, often the particular structure of  
575 the behavioral paradigm does not allow for hundreds of trials. The timing of the optogenetic  
576 stimulation or inhibition should therefore occur in a behaviorally defined window, and be only as long  
577 as is strictly necessary.

578 Long-term observation is appropriate when optogenetic interventions exploit synaptic  
579 plasticity mechanisms. For example, synaptic potentiation typically is achieved by high stimulation  
580 frequency, while depression requires sustained low frequency stimulation. Optogenetic synaptic

581 plasticity protocols are particularly suited to study learned and adaptive behavior. The goal of long-  
582 term observation experiments is to induce synaptic plasticity at identified synapses and observe the  
583 effect on behavior at a later time point when optogenetic stimulation is no longer active. For example,  
584 low-frequency optogenetic stimulation can restore baseline transmission in cortico-accumbal  
585 synapses that have been potentiated by cocaine exposure <sup>162</sup>. Similarly, daily optogenetic stimulation  
586 of orbitofrontal to dorsal striatum axons for 10 minutes triggered long-term changes in synaptic  
587 strength and inhibited compulsion <sup>163</sup>.

588

## 589 **[H1] Applications**

590 The vast majority of applications of optogenetics have involved neuroscience and brain research.  
591 Many of the general principles and approaches of optogenetics can be extended to other organs,  
592 particularly to those with excitable cells — like skeletal muscle, heart, retina and gut — as well as to  
593 microorganisms and plants. These newer applications often present unique challenges and  
594 opportunities. Below, we illustrate some of these aspects with three select examples from visual,  
595 cardiac and plant applications.

## 596 **[H2] Vision restoration**

597 Retinal degenerative diseases, such as retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and age-related macular degeneration (AMD),  
598 result in the loss of rod and cone photoreceptor cells, leading to partial or complete blindness <sup>164,165</sup>. Rendering  
599 inner retinal neurons responsive to light is one of the most obvious medical applications for optogenetics  
600 (Figure 7A). The first proof-of-concept study involved the ubiquitous expression of ChR2 in retinal  
601 ganglion cells in retinal degenerated mice<sup>166</sup>. The approach since then has been reported by numerous  
602 studies using different optogenetic tools, retinal cell targeting strategies and animal models  
603 (Supplementary Table 1).

604 Multiple clinical trials using ChRs for treating RP-related blindness have been initiated since 2015, with  
605 encouraging results (Supplementary Table 2). Recently, the first published case study reported the  
606 partial restoration of vision (in the form of perceiving, locating and counting objects) in a blind patient  
607 with RP <sup>167</sup>. Positive preliminary results have also been reported in other clinical trials (Supplementary  
608 Table 2). However, further efforts will be required to improve the outcome of optogenetic vision

609 restoration, including the development of effective optogenetic tools and treatment strategies, and the  
610 improvement of gene delivery efficiency.

### 611 [H3] Optogenetic tools

612 ChRs have been the more commonly used optogenetic tools for vision restoration in animal models  
613 and the ones used so far in clinical trials. Two main issues should be considered when choosing an  
614 optogenetic tool for vision restoration. The first is the tool's expression efficiency and long-term  
615 safety in mammalian neurons; problems with the expression of an optogenetic tool are difficult to  
616 correct and usually result in cell toxicity in the long-term. The second issue is the low operational  
617 light-sensitivity of ChR-expressing retinal neurons in general caused by the small unitary conductance  
618 and substantial inactivation. The requirement of high light-intensity to activate the ChR-expressing  
619 retinal neurons constrains this application and also raises concerns regarding tissue photochemical  
620 damage, especially for short-wavelength sensitive ChRs. One solution to mitigate the potential  
621 photochemical damage is to use red-shifted ChRs, such as Chrimson, since the threshold of light  
622 intensity causing tissue photochemical damage is shifted to higher light-intensities for longer  
623 wavelengths<sup>168-170</sup>. Another solution is to improve the light sensitivity of a ChR expressing cell by  
624 slowing its closing kinetics or off-rate with molecular engineering<sup>171</sup> (Supplementary Figures 1 and 2)  
625 combined with genome mining for more potent ChRs<sup>30</sup>. This strategy has been recently used to further  
626 optimize the more effective ChR variant CoChR. Functional vision is restored with improved CoChR  
627 mutants under ambient light conditions in a blind mouse model<sup>172</sup>. A third solution is to use GPCRs,  
628 including animal opsins (for example, rhodopsin and cone opsins)<sup>173-175</sup> or engineering of optoGPCR  
629 chimeras<sup>176</sup>, taking advantage of their high light sensitivity due to intracellular signal amplification.  
630 Further studies will need to evaluate the most effective optogenetic tools or develop better ones for  
631 this application.

### 632 [H3] Gene delivery

633 AAV vectors are the current choice for transgene delivery in the retina both in animal studies and in clinical  
634 trials<sup>177</sup>. Intravitreal injection is a preferred route of viral vector administration due to its safe  
635 operation and ability to achieve widespread delivery to the retina. However, in non-human primates  
636 and in humans, virus transduction was mainly conferred to a narrow region surrounding the fovea or  
637 parafoveal region<sup>178,179</sup>, due to the barrier of a thick limiting membrane in the retinal surface of

638 primates <sup>22</sup>, which is one of the major factors limiting the outcome of AAV-mediated optogenetic  
639 therapy. Further development of more efficient gene delivery vehicles or techniques is required.

### 640 [H3] Retinal cell targeting

641 Most animal studies and clinical trials have employed ubiquitous promoters to express depolarizing ChRs in  
642 retinal ganglion cells. However, unlike the normal visual processing features in the retina including  
643 the segregation of ON and OFF signal pathways and the presence of antagonistic center-surround  
644 receptive fields (Figure 7Aa), this treatment strategy converts all retinal ganglion cells to ON cells  
645 (Figure. 7Ab). Although useful vision could still be generated as demonstrated in animal studies and  
646 reported from clinical trials, it is commonly believed that restoration of vision to mimic the intrinsic  
647 visual processing features in the retina would result in a better outcome. To this end, one strategy is  
648 to target an optogenetic tool to distal retinal neurons. Targeting a depolarizing ChR to ON bipolar  
649 cells using the mGluR6 promoter has been the most commonly employed strategy (Figure 7Ac). Due  
650 to the unique rod pathway in the mammalian retina, this could lead to ON and OFF responses at the  
651 level of retinal ganglion cells <sup>180-183</sup>, and possibly center-surround receptive fields. Targeting surviving cone  
652 photoreceptors with a hyperpolarizing optogenetic tool, such as eNpHR, has also been reported <sup>184</sup>. As a  
653 limitation for this strategy, the distal retinal neurons are more susceptible to severe retinal  
654 deterioration or remodeling than retinal ganglion cells after the death of photoreceptors<sup>185</sup>. Multiple  
655 treatment strategies will need to be developed for treating patients with different retinal degenerative  
656 conditions.

### 657 **[H2] Cardiac research**

658 The key benefits for clinical translation are sought in more versatile optogenetic pacing or suppression  
659 of wave propagation during arrhythmias, compared to currently used cardiac devices like pacemakers  
660 and cardioverter/defibrillators (Figure 7B) <sup>186-193</sup>. Strategies for rhythm control enabled by  
661 optogenetic actuators aim to lower the energy needed to power cardiac devices and extend battery life  
662 by delivering longer lower-energy light pulses — electrical pulse duration is limited due to  
663 electrochemical toxicity via Faraday effects. Optogenetic actuators also eliminate discomfort and pain  
664 during classic cardioversion/defibrillation for better quality of life by using cell-specific genetic  
665 targeting to engage the fast conduction system <sup>190,194</sup> or to specifically target myocytes and avoid  
666 unintended contractions of thoracic skeletal muscle, diaphragm and vocal cords like pain-inducing  
667 electrical defibrillation <sup>195</sup>. Computational modeling of the action of optogenetic tools in the heart

668 helps to explore strategies for control of arrhythmias, both with excitatory/depolarizing opsins and  
669 with inhibitory/hyperpolarizing opsins <sup>113,189,190,196,197</sup>. Longer-term in vivo clinical applications face  
670 the challenges of genetic modification of the hard-to-access cardiac muscle, potential immune  
671 responses and realizing embedded miniaturized light control devices that are reliable and safe <sup>193</sup>.  
672 Light penetration in the haemoglobin-rich heart muscle requires operation in the near-infrared and  
673 opsins excitable within that range, along with stabilization techniques to counter mechanical  
674 contractions. The atria are thinner (human atria are < 5mm) and present an easier target, along with  
675 more accessible autonomic nerves, such as the vagus nerve <sup>198</sup>.

676 AAV9 is the most efficient AAV serotype for targeting the ventricular myocytes in vivo when  
677 using an ubiquitous or a specific promoter, such as Myh6 <sup>199</sup>. The heart atria can be targeted  
678 optogenetically using the NPPA promoter and local viral gene delivery <sup>192</sup>. Cre-Lox transgenic mouse  
679 models with suitable promoters have been used to transform the fast conduction system cells  
680 (Cx40)<sup>194</sup>, sympathetic neurons (tyrosine hydroxylase, TH) <sup>200</sup>, and parasympathetic neurons (choline  
681 acetyltransferase, ChAT) <sup>198,201</sup> (Figure 7Ba). To translate the approaches from rodents to larger  
682 animals, more work is needed in finding minimally-invasive ways of transgene delivery to the heart  
683 and in minimizing immune responses. Previous clinical trials on gene therapy for cardiac disorders  
684 found that a large portion of the patients had antibodies against the viral vectors used, thus reducing  
685 the efficacy of the therapy<sup>202</sup>. Most of the published studies have used ChR2-H134R as excitatory  
686 opsin. In general, more efficient and fast inhibitory opsins are desirable for arrhythmia control  
687 applications. There may also be a niche for **step-function-like depolarizing [G]** opsins that have fast  
688 recovery from inactivation as clamping tools in arrhythmia management. Bidirectional closed-loop  
689 control could make an all-optical approach, named **optical clamp [G]** at the whole organ level a reality.  
690 However, this will require spectral compatibility to accommodate not only for an excitatory and an  
691 inhibitory opsin, but also for the optical readout of a voltage indicator.

692 Overall, clinical applications of optogenetics in the heart face many challenges compared to  
693 the more accessible, immune-privileged applications to the eye that have seen translational advances.  
694 Considering the potential impact for control of arrhythmias, efforts should continue to improve the  
695 genetic targeting by more specific promoters, safer viral vectors, longer-wavelength opsins for better  
696 penetration and miniaturized distributed light sources. Basic science experiments with optogenetic  
697 tools provide invaluable insights for improvement of current cardiac devices and may yield new



698 strategies for arrhythmia control <sup>112,114,193,203,204</sup>. These new strategies take advantage of the ability to  
699 produce complex space-time control patterns by light (unlike discrete signals from electrode arrays)  
700 to steer waves of excitation towards non-arrhythmic behavior at very low energy. Optogenetics-  
701 empowered high-throughput systems can more immediately improve cardiotoxicity testing and drug  
702 development. All-optical cardiac electrophysiology, which combines optogenetic actuators and  
703 optical/optogenetic sensors <sup>205-207</sup>, offers immediate adoption and translation (Figure 7Bc).  
704 Cardiotoxicity testing is crucial in the development of any new pharmaceutical, and high-throughput  
705 optogenetic methods with patient-derived cells represent impactful technology for personalized  
706 medicine <sup>208,209</sup>. Optogenetic techniques using hyperpolarizing opsins like ArchT have been used to  
707 dynamically alter the action potential characteristics of induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived  
708 cardiomyocytes (iPSC-CMs) towards a more mature phenotype to better predict drug responses <sup>210</sup>.  
709 The maturity of tissue-engineered constructs of such patient derived iPSC-CMs can be improved  
710 through chronic optogenetic pacing <sup>209</sup> towards new regenerative solutions for the heart  
711 (Supplementary Figure 9).

712

## 713 [H2] Plants

714 A large set of photoreceptors that control phototropism, diurnal rhythms and photomorphogenesis  
715 play fundamental roles in plant growth and development. Blue-light absorbing phototropins and  
716 cryptochromes or red/far-red light absorbing phytochromes are found in almost all plant tissues  
717 (Figure 7Ca). Therefore, when using optogenetics tools in plants, the **light regime [G]** used needs to  
718 be considered. The light required for plant growth will activate optogenetic tools when light of the  
719 entire visible spectrum is used; this can be avoided by combining a blue light-regulated transcriptional  
720 repressor with a red light-triggered switch <sup>211</sup>, allowing plants to grow at ambient white light. The use  
721 of flavoprotein-based optogenetic tools in plants has been described in detail recently <sup>212,213</sup>. Based on  
722 the light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain, a synthetic light-gated K<sup>+</sup> channel with considerable dark  
723 activity, called BLINK1, was recently expressed in Arabidopsis guard cells for control of stomatal  
724 behavior <sup>214</sup>. The mechanism of BLINK1 light activation that clamps the membrane potential to E<sub>K</sub>  
725 and facilitates stomatal opening and closing in the same way, remains to be clarified. A rather simple  
726 but valuable technique to avoid non-specific activation of rhodopsin-based optogenetic tools is to  
727 grow plants exclusively in red light <sup>98,215</sup>. Both chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b absorb red light (Figure

728 7Ca), and tobacco plants exclusively grown in red-light are hardly distinguishable from those grown  
729 in white light<sup>98</sup>. Green light is the least absorbed wavelength by endogenous plant photoreceptors,  
730 therefore, green light allows for optogenetic manipulation with only minimal crosstalk<sup>98</sup> (Figure  
731 7Ca), especially with GtACR1.

732 Rhodopsin-based plant optogenetic approaches are still in their infancy compared to their  
733 long-standing use in animals. The combination of ubiquitous rhodopsin expression with global or  
734 local light-emitting diodes (LED) or laser light applications have been used in plants<sup>98,215-217</sup>.  
735 However, cell type-specific expression with global green light exposure certainly bears great  
736 potential, when combined with red light growth conditions. Use of the LeLAT52 pollen-specific  
737 promoter<sup>218</sup> allows plants to be grown in white light under greenhouse conditions for optogenetics-  
738 inspired research on pollen tubes<sup>98</sup>. For local rhodopsin stimulation at the single-cell level, fiber-  
739 optics or laser light pulses have been successfully applied<sup>98,217</sup>. The Fluorescence Recovery After  
740 Photobleaching (FRAP) module of conventional laser scanning microscopes allows local optogenetic  
741 stimulation of plant cells when using rhodopsins, like GtACR1, with activation kinetics in the lower  
742 ms range<sup>98</sup>.

743 To perform plant optogenetics with rhodopsins, retinal can be added externally<sup>215</sup>  
744 (Supplementary Figure 10 a-c), or plants can be empowered to produce retinal by expressing a  $\beta$ -  
745 dioxygenase from a marine bacterium targeted to the chloroplasts to synthesize retinal from  
746 carotenoids efficiently<sup>98</sup> (Supplementary Figure 10 d-f). In contrast to animal cells, the plant cell  
747 extracellular medium is low in ions and mostly moderately acidic, which may result in different  
748 electrical responses in plant and animal cells using the same rhodopsin (Supplementary Figure 11).  
749 Activation of ACRs in the soma of neurons leads to membrane hyperpolarization<sup>219</sup>, while  
750 depolarization occurs in plant cells<sup>98</sup> due to the outward-directed anion gradient. When expressed in  
751 leaves or pollen tubes, activation of GtACR1 by green light (530 nm) resulted in membrane  
752 depolarization by about 60–100 mV within milliseconds<sup>98</sup>. Local GtACR1 activation on one side of  
753 the dome of apically growing pollen tubes has been used to demonstrate the involvement of an anion  
754 efflux in polar growth<sup>98</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 10 e, f), supporting earlier studies on the role of anion  
755 transport in polar growth<sup>220,221</sup>. In guard cells, native anion channel activity can be mimicked when  
756 GtACR1 is triggered by a series of light pulses (Figure 7Cc-f), demonstrating that anion channel  
757 driven depolarization is sufficient to close stomata<sup>217</sup>. Although plants do not have neuronal-like  
758 networks, voltage changes in the form of depolarization waves are transmitted between leaves or even

759 between different organs<sup>222-224</sup>. The role of these long-range electrical signals can now be investigated  
760 with the help of GtACR1. Through GtACR1 induced anion efflux, depolarizations of any shape and  
761 intensity can be optogenetically generated to mimic the voltage changes observed in plants such as  
762 variation potentials, system potentials or action potentials<sup>225-227</sup>.

763 A wide-range of processes in plants are induced by changes in cytoplasmic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{H}^+$  levels  
764 <sup>245,246</sup>. For both ions, there is a strong inward gradient, in contrast to animal cells, where there are  
765 minimal differences in intracellular and extracellular pH (Supplementary Figure 11). The slow cycling  
766 ChR2 variant XXL with high proton conductance<sup>228</sup> is excellent to impose light-induced pH changes,  
767 and has already been used to feed the P-type plasma membrane  $\text{H}^+$  pump with substrate and study its  
768 voltage dependence<sup>215</sup>(Supplementary Figure 10 b, c). The resting potential of plants is negative with  
769 respect to  $E_K$  (-120 to -180 mV) due to the voltage dependent activity of P-type plasma membrane  $\text{H}^+$   
770 pumps. The latter hyperpolarize the membrane and acidify the cell wall space<sup>229</sup>. This voltage  
771 deflection is used by the plant to open hyperpolarization active Shaker type  $\text{K}^+$  channels<sup>230</sup> and  
772 electrophoretically move  $\text{K}^+$  ions into the cell<sup>231</sup>. The combined driving proton-motive-force (PMF)  
773 of the electrical gradient and that of the  $\text{H}^+$  is used by solute transporters using protons as co-substrate.  
774 The plant optogenetics toolbox therefore needs to be complemented by light-driven  $\text{H}^+$  pumps such  
775 as Arch3. Great potential for the study of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signaling is the ChR2 variant XXM with increased  
776  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  conductivity and medium open state lifetime<sup>232</sup>. Combined with electrophysiology and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$   
777 imaging, the molecular mechanisms for long-distance  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signaling could be resolved.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$   
778 signatures can represent either single events or rhythmically recurring signals. Whether and how  
779 different  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signatures control specific processes in plants is still largely unexplored. In the future,  
780  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -permeable ChRs could be used to elicit defined  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signatures.

781

782 **[H1] Reproducibility and data deposition**

783 **[H2] Reproducibility of optogenetic tools**

784 Reproducibility in optogenetics experiments depends on the consistency of the tools used, the  
785 organism/cell type, genetic transformation procedures and light delivery. Adherence to minimum  
786 reporting standards for all relevant parameters of an experiment can help to increase reproducibility.

787 Optogenetic actuators are used in a diverse range of organisms, tissues and cell types. Because  
788 of differences in codon usage between the original host and the organisms in which the tools are  
789 applied, it is common to codon-optimize the coding sequence to facilitate translation in these  
790 heterologous systems. New codon-optimized sequences should be tested for expression, membrane  
791 targeting and function before applying these novel constructs in optogenetic experiments. The  
792 sequences of codon-optimized constructs should be appropriately reported in publications to allow  
793 reproduction of findings in other laboratories. However, even with codon-optimization or adding  
794 traffic motifs, the intracellular aggregation of many optogenetic actuators can still pose a problem for  
795 their applicability, particularly for translational applications. A thorough evaluation in targeted  
796 organism/cell type is needed because the intracellular aggregation not only reduces expression  
797 efficacy but also affects cell health or causes cell death.

798 Viral vectors are a popular gene-delivery system for optogenetic tools. The quality of viral  
799 vectors, purity and viral titer can profoundly affect the transduction efficiency and experiment  
800 outcome. The quality of viral vectors produced by different laboratories, centralized viral vector cores  
801 and companies can vary widely. Variation can even occur from batch to batch produced at the same  
802 facility. Therefore, even when produced by centralized viral vector cores, service centers and major  
803 labs, viral vector preparations can vary in quality and efficiency. To minimize the variation,  
804 standardized purification and titration methods should be used. Each batch needs to be verified before  
805 scaling up experiments in order to obtain reproducible results.

## 806 **[H2] Reproducibility of opsin expression**

807 Evaluation of the viral titer is needed to optimize viral vector spread and expression level, and to  
808 minimize overexpression-mediated off-target effects. Many opsin viral vectors were designed to co-  
809 express a fluorophore. Standard histological methods can be used to visualize the strength and spatial  
810 extent of viral vector expression. Characterizing viral expression for every experimental animal can  
811 increase interpretability by correlating the variability in behavioral effect to the variability in  
812 expression area and, for instance, optical fiber placement. Even when an experiment is planned based  
813 on published work, the experimental design should be validated in each new experiment due to the  
814 potential variability of viral vector batches, optical hardware and mouse strain. When presenting  
815 results obtained using viral vectors, the source of the viral vector, its purification and titration  
816 methods, and the duration of expression should be reported.

817           Viral vector expression can impact cell health or change the electrophysiological properties of  
818 the targeted neurons. It is therefore necessary to include a control group injected with a titer-matched  
819 virus that expresses a control transgene. Researchers often use a virus encoding the same fluorophore  
820 that is co-expressed with the opsin. This control group can be used to evaluate direct effects of the  
821 virus injection surgery and potential phototoxic or heating effects due to the light delivery paradigm.  
822 Strong opsin expression has been reported to affect cell physiology<sup>233</sup>. It is therefore advisable to  
823 include an opsin expressing group where no light is applied. Where the experiment allows for multiple  
824 repeats of the same manipulation, light and no light conditions can be tested in the same group, which  
825 presents a within-animal control.

826           Transgenic animals for optogenetics research should be genotyped continuously to confirm  
827 suitability for the experiments. For in vivo optogenetics with viral delivery, even when using the same  
828 tools in the same organism type, variations in responses may be due to variations in the immune  
829 response of the subjects (animals or humans) to the viral capsid, or the cargo (opsin and/or fluorescent  
830 reporter). To obtain reproducible data with viral delivery, testing for neutralizing antibodies can be  
831 implemented<sup>234</sup>. Appropriate control groups, immunohistochemistry and histology should be done  
832 routinely in animal experiments to demonstrate consistency of the optogenetic transformation.

## 833 **[H2] Reproducibility of light delivery**

834           Activation of optogenetic tools depends on the photon irradiance or photon exposure in case of short  
835 flashes and the spectral profile of the delivered light. The spectral profile should be reported by listing  
836 the light source, all filters and optical components used in the experiments. Insufficient irradiance  
837 may lead to failure to engage the optogenetic tools and therefore failure to reproduce the phenotypic  
838 changes; excessive irradiance may lead to adverse thermal effects and photoreceptor bleaching that  
839 also affect reproducibility. For single-photon excitation, the spatial pattern of the delivered light is  
840 variable and highly depends on the positioning of the light source and the tissue properties. While  
841 total power is trivial to report, the normalized values of irradiance are influenced by the uncertainties  
842 of area estimation and the non-uniform spatial profile of light delivery. At a minimum, effort should  
843 be made to measure and report irradiance at the tissue point-of-entry. Whenever possible, light-tissue  
844 interactions can be simulated<sup>235</sup> to yield relevant estimates of irradiance at points of interest.

845 Under optimal conditions, two photon optogenetics is capable of stimulating individual  
846 neurons within a circuit with single spike and single cell resolution. Irrespective of the light sculpting  
847 method used (spiral scanning or parallel illumination), one must keep in mind that the effective  
848 spatiotemporal resolution of optogenetic stimulation depends on several factors, including the  
849 functional expression level of the opsin, the targeting specificity, and the photon density required for  
850 sufficient actuation. Once a reliable and reproducible experimental preparation has been established,  
851 and the average incident powers required identified, the physiological resolution should be measured  
852 experimentally rather than drawing any conclusions about the confinement of actuation based on the  
853 optical resolution of the light targeting method.

## 854 **[H2] Data and metadata sharing**

855 The data type and format from optogenetics experiments can be extremely diverse. Outputs may  
856 include spectra, ion channel recordings, functional recordings of responses by different measurement  
857 technologies, images of altered responses and behavioral analysis, among others. For each sub-field  
858 where optogenetics is deployed, minimum standards of reporting and guidance of data sharing will  
859 help determine best practices. In general, specifics of the instruments used, the acquisition and the  
860 analysis software need to be included. Github, figshare and other general repositories for data and  
861 analysis tools can be used to increase reproducibility.

862

## 863 **[H1] Limitations and optimizations**

### 864 **[H2] Tissue heating and photodamage**

### 865 **[H3] Single-photon optogenetics**

866 Optogenetic experiments based on illumination with visible light excitation (450–630 nm) typically  
867 use optical fibers coupled to lasers or high-power LED for large ( $\sim\text{mm}^3$ ) field illumination, relatively  
868 long (0.5 – 60 s) exposure times and excitation powers on the order of milliwatts (0.5–20 mW). Under  
869 these conditions, the main cause for concern with respect to photodamage is heating due to light  
870 absorption. This has been investigated both theoretically, using Monte Carlo with finite-difference  
871 time-domain simulations<sup>108</sup> or the finite element method<sup>236</sup>, and experimentally using  
872 thermocouples<sup>108,236</sup>, infrared cameras<sup>237</sup> or electrophysiological recordings<sup>238</sup>. Depending on the  
873 precise stimulation protocol used, these experimental and theoretical studies report a wavelength and

874 power density dependent temperature increase between 0.3–6 K throughout the volume of illuminated  
875 tissue<sup>237, 108</sup>. Temperature variations on the order of only 2 K can affect ion channel kinetics and  
876 conductance<sup>239</sup>, synaptic transmission<sup>240</sup> and neuronal firing rate<sup>108</sup>, and lead to a bias in turning  
877 behavior across various brain regions<sup>238</sup>. Importantly, changes in temperature can induce  
878 physiological changes in the absence of detectable changes in behavior<sup>241</sup>. It is extremely important  
879 to carefully design optogenetic experiments to minimize photon exposure and absorption, for instance  
880 by using short illumination duty cycles<sup>237</sup> and opsins with long open state lifetimes and red-shifted  
881 absorption peaks<sup>30,104,242</sup>. Simulations<sup>108,237,243,244</sup> can be used to guide experimental design, but,  
882 since the effects of heating vary between cell types and brain regions, opsin-negative controls should  
883 always be performed.

884

### 885 **[H3] Multiphoton optogenetics**

886 Generating sufficient rates of multi-photon excitation requires the use of pulsed lasers with high peak  
887 energies, but since typical optogenetic stimulation protocols irradiate cells on millisecond timescales,  
888 the temperature rises induced by single-cell multi-photon photostimulation are of the order of  $10^{-1}$  K  
889<sup>244</sup>. Much larger temperature rises are induced during multi-target excitation due to the diffusion of  
890 heat from each target into the surrounding tissue. The resultant temperature increase occurs over  
891 hundreds of milliseconds and can approach or even exceed the 2 K threshold for thermal damage<sup>244</sup>.  
892 This effect can be mitigated by ensuring that the separation between adjacent targets is larger than the  
893 thermal diffusion length.

894 The risk of non-linear photodamage increases with peak fluence and could be a dominant  
895 source of photodamage in the case of spiral scanning which typically requires higher photon density  
896 than parallel illumination. Non-linear photodamage can be reduced by increasing the repetition rate  
897 of the pulsed laser source although this will increase photo induced temperature rises<sup>244</sup>. In all-optical  
898 experiments which combine 2P optogenetics with 2P imaging, the possibility of thermal or nonlinear  
899 damage induced by the imaging laser should also be considered<sup>243</sup>.

900

901

### 902 **[H2] Interpreting optogenetic experiments**

903 Light delivery schemes based on single-photon excitation are not generally capable of recapitulating  
904 physiological activity patterns. In most optogenetic gain and loss of function experiments, a set of  
905 cells is activated or silenced, and the effects of this manipulation are subsequently characterized by  
906 functional or behavioral readouts to probe causal dependencies. Light-delivery via an optic fiber can  
907 be precisely controlled in terms of output power and temporal pattern to influence neuronal functions  
908 like spike rate and spike pattern and may be restricted to specific short behavioral epochs. However,  
909 such optogenetic manipulations typically lead to highly synchronous activity patterns, and might drive  
910 the circuits to states that are outside of their physiological activity range, potentially confounding any  
911 causal inference regarding the natural functions of the circuit <sup>272</sup>. One major current effort aimed at  
912 overcoming these constraints is the development of tools for evoking naturalistic network activity  
913 patterns. Such manipulations would enable causal inference of the effects of an activity pattern on a  
914 given behavior.

915 Non-physiological activity patterns can occur at the single-cell level as well as at the broader  
916 circuit scale <sup>245,246</sup>. On the single-cell level, ion pump-mediated hyperpolarization for instance can  
917 lead to rebound excitation upon inhibition release <sup>247</sup> or to supra-physiological ion concentrations <sup>42,94</sup>.  
918 High-frequency light pulse trains or constant illumination of an excitatory pyramidal neuron  
919 expressing a CCR can, for instance, lead to depolarization block, effectively reducing rather than  
920 increasing its firing rate <sup>248</sup>. Whether such rebound excitation or depolarization block occurs and to  
921 what extent is hard to predict, as it depends on many experiment-specific parameters which can greatly  
922 vary between laboratories. While axonal stimulation can be used to effectively isolate the activity of  
923 an anatomically-defined projection pathway, optogenetic stimulation of axons can cause **antidromic**  
924 **activation [G]** of both neuronal cell bodies as well as collaterals to other brain regions, leading to  
925 reduced specificity which should be taken into account.

926 At the circuit level, particularly when a large portion of cells expresses ion translocation-based  
927 optogenetic tools such as ion-channels or ion-pumps, the simultaneous activation of these tools can  
928 lead to transient but significant changes in the ion composition of the local extracellular space, thereby  
929 indirectly affecting nearby non-opsin expressing neurons <sup>249</sup>. Electrophysiological characterization of  
930 the optogenetic manipulation can be performed to quantify the extent of such unintended effects,  
931 allowing the optimization of light power and illumination paradigms. Optogenetic tools that modulate  
932 biochemical activity within the cells or ones that act on slower timescales, or only induce subthreshold



933 depolarization, are less prone to the caveats imposed by highly synchronous neuronal activation<sup>250</sup>.  
934 Finally, optogenetic firing rate modulation experiments are mostly designed to acutely alter the firing  
935 rates of targeted cells, which can have different effects than chronic manipulations. Brain circuits  
936 regulate their overall activity to achieve a homeostatic equilibrium, such that when the firing rate of  
937 a circuit is transiently increased or decreased, it can acutely affect the independent functions of  
938 downstream circuits and lead to markedly different results compared with chronic manipulations<sup>250</sup>.  
939 Acute effects are normally more severe, and could lead to overestimation of the roles of targeted  
940 regions in a given behavior. While chronic manipulations such as lesions do not suffer from this  
941 limitation, plastic changes during lesion recovery can also lead to an underestimation of the necessity  
942 of a given input to a local circuit. In summary, a sound experimental strategy should balance the use  
943 of acute and powerful optogenetic approaches with chronic experiments, pharmacological  
944 manipulations or lesions, and use caution in claims of causality based purely on manipulations that  
945 might suffer from any of the above-mentioned artifacts.

946

## 947 **[H1] Outlook**

### 948 **[H2] Refinement of the optogenetic toolbox**

949 We anticipate a further optimization of existing tools in terms of kinetics, ion or substrate selectivity,  
950 and widening of the spectral range from UV to the near-infrared to enhance the use of optogenetics.  
951 Additional light-activated enzymes allowing for optogenetic control beyond cell excitability are still  
952 to be discovered. Efforts are currently directed at optogenetic control of translation, transcription,  
953 nucleotide modification and epigenetics, as well as protein degradation. We are also expecting better  
954 tools for the control of cellular mechanics, development and differentiation.

955

### 956 **[H2] Enhancement of basic research**

957 Optogenetics will further advance the investigation of neural circuits. This will not only establish  
958 links of causality between neural activity and behavior but eventually generate sufficient knowledge  
959 for a theory of the brain to emerge. Empirically observed neural activity in optogenetic experiments  
960 taking into account the activity of individual neurons may eventually allow deriving the neural code,  
961 which, when integrated into a solid theoretical framework, will bring the neurosciences at par with  
962 other fields of natural sciences.

963 Optogenetics may also drive basic knowledge in other fields of life science, from cardiac  
964 physiology to plant physiology. For plant optogenetics, which is still in its infancy, there is great  
965 potential through the recently introduced in planta retinal synthesis, which now allows access for  
966 many rhodopsin-based manipulations. Implementing optogenetic approaches in any system of  
967 excitable cells will allow for the investigation of so far intangible questions. This may apply for  
968 example to the control of muscle contraction in the heart as well as the insulin secretion in the  
969 pancreas.

970

## 971 **[H2] Open routes of translation**

972 Beyond advancing basic science, optogenetics also has translational potential, either by inspiring  
973 novel protocols of existing therapies or as a therapy in humans. Several possible optogenetically-  
974 inspired medical interventions and therapies are already outlined in this review. Optogenetics can be  
975 used in vitro to analyze cellular processes in single cells, cultured tissue or brain slices. Optogenetics  
976 can also simulate clinically-relevant scenarios in animal models of brain diseases, including  
977 optogenetics-informed electrical stimulation protocols or closed-feedback control schemes. Possible  
978 indications are epilepsy, Parkinson's disease or addiction. Some of these interventions may eventually  
979 be emulated in humans, for example with refined deep brain stimulation protocols or pharmacology  
980 (Supplementary Figure 12).

981 With the recent proof-of-principle of optogenetic vision restoration in humans with RP,  
982 longer-term gene therapy options remain open for optogenetics, though several challenges need to be  
983 addressed. Optimization of gene delivery vectors that are safe and produce long-lasting expression  
984 and optimization of light delivery to the desired organ is essential. Light delivery deep into the tissue,  
985 beyond the limited optical depth penetration minimizing the use of optical fibers is also needed. One  
986 non-conventional solution is to introduce in situ sources of biological light, such as luminopsins.  
987 Triggered by a chemical process like simple substrate delivery, these luminopsins do not require  
988 device implantation and can be tuned to control inhibitory or excitatory actuators. Further remote  
989 trigger methods involve energy-conversion schemes via mechanoluminescent nanoparticles. The  
990 energy could be provided by intermittent focused ultrasound, thus recharging light emitting materials  
991 that can deliver short opsin-engaging pulses. Mechanosensitive TRAAK K<sup>+</sup> channel for example  
992 could be specifically activated by ultrasound with submillisecond kinetics <sup>251</sup> providing a new,  
993 orthogonal dimension for external non-invasive manipulation of neural circuits.

994 Clinical applications of optogenetics to the heart face many challenges compared to the more  
995 accessible, immune-privileged applications to the eye that have seen translational advances.  
996 Considering the potential impact for control of arrhythmias, efforts should continue to improve the  
997 genetic targeting by more specific promoters, safer viral vectors, longer-wavelength opsins for better  
998 tissue penetration and miniaturized distributed light sources. Basic science experiments with  
999 optogenetic tools provide invaluable insights for improvement of current cardiac devices and may  
1000 yield new strategies for arrhythmia control <sup>112,193</sup>. In the meantime, optogenetics-empowered high-  
1001 throughput systems can more immediately improve cardiotoxicity testing and drug development.  
1002 Similarly, in vitro assays for drug development and personalized medicine may use humanized  
1003 optogenetic tools, patient-derived cells and engineered tissues coupled with highly parallel all-optical  
1004 electrophysiology techniques to yield a low-cost, faster and more efficient drug-development pipeline.

1005 Likewise, scalable optogenetic control of living plants, as discussed here, or microbes can be  
1006 leveraged to address problems related to energy, food, biotechnology and climate challenges. As these  
1007 do not involve deployment in the human body, they can be implemented on a shorter time scale, with  
1008 less technical and regulatory obstacles.

1009

## 1010 **Acknowledgements:**

1011 This work was supported by the following funding sources: V.E. is supported by the IHU  
1012 FOrESIGHT grant (Grant P-ALLOP3-IHU-000), the Axa research funding the European Research  
1013 Council (ERC-2019-AdG; No. 885090) and the Agence National pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE16-  
1014 0021). E.E is supported in part by grants NIH R01 HL144157, NIH R21EB026152, NSF 1705645,  
1015 NSF 1830941. R.H is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), Koselleck award,  
1016 HE1640/42-1. P.H. is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (SFB1078, SPP1926,  
1017 UniSysCat and Neurocure) and the ERC (Stardust 767092) P.H. is Hertie Professor for Neuroscience  
1018 and supported by the Hertie Foundation. C.L. is supported by the ERC (ERC-2020-AdG, F-addict)  
1019 and the Swiss National Science Foundations (No 310030\_189188 and CRSII5\_186266). M.M has  
1020 received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under  
1021 the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 844492. Z.H.P is supported by the Ligon Research  
1022 Center of Vision at Kresge Eye Institute, Dryer Foundation, Herrick Foundation, and Research to  
1023 Prevent Blindness to Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences at Wayne State

1024 University School of Medicine. O.Y is supported by the Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Charitable  
1025 Foundation Chair for Research in Neuroscience, the European Research Council (grant #819496), the  
1026 EU Horizon2020 program (H2020-ICT-2018-20 DEEPER 101016787) and by the Israel Science  
1027 Foundation (grant #3131/20).

1028 **Author contributions**

1029 Introduction (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., M.M., Z.-H.P., J.V. and O.Y.); Experimentation (P.H.,  
1030 V.E., M.M., J.V. and O.Y.); Results (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., Z.-H.P., R.S.,  
1031 J.V. and O.Y.); Applications (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., Z.-H.P., R.S. and  
1032 O.Y.); Reproducibility and data deposition (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., Z.-H.P.,  
1033 R.S., J.V. and O.Y.); Limitations and optimizations (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., Z.-H.P., J.V.  
1034 and O.Y.); Outlook (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., Z.-H.P. and O.Y.); Overview of the Primer  
1035 (P.H. and O.Y.). Authors are listed in alphabetical order.  
1036

1037 **Competing interests**

1038 Z.-H.P. is a co-inventor on patents related to optogenetic vision restoration and is also a co-founder  
1039 and scientific advisor of Ray Therapeutics. The other authors declare no competing interests.

1040 **Peer review information**

1041 *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* thanks John Flannery and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

1042 **Supplementary information**

1043 Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXXX-X>  
1044  
1045

1046 **Glossary terms:**

1047

1048 **Microelectrode:** an electrode with micrometer-sized tip used to record single neuron activity.

1049 **Optrode:** an electrode coupled to an optical fiber used to record and manipulate neural activity in  
1050 cells expressing an optogenetic actuator.

1051 **Optogenetic actuator:** a light sensitive protein that transiently modifies cellular properties during  
1052 illumination.

1053 **Bidirectional voltage modulation:** Changing the voltage in the depolarizing (excitatory) or  
1054 hyperpolarizing (inhibitory) directions.

1055 **Immediate early genes:** genes that are rapidly induced by elevated neural activity such as *c-Fos*.

1056 **Optical clamp:** Using light and real-time feedback to keep membrane electrical parameters, such as  
1057 voltage or action potential shape, at set desired value.

1058 **Antidromic activation:** Retrograde propagation of an action potential from the axon to the neuronal  
1059 soma.

1060

1061

1062

1063

## 1064 **Figure legends**

1065 **Figure 1. Principles of Optogenetics.** DNA encoding a sensory photoreceptor derived from a  
1066 microorganism, plant or animal (orange) is cloned under the regulation of control elements that allow  
1067 the targeting of specific host cells (blue), packed into a vector such as a viral vector or bacteria and  
1068 injected into the tissue, organ or organism of interest. The targeted cell (orange) now expresses the  
1069 light-sensitive protein and can be controlled with light in a variety of ways, depending on the specific  
1070 photoreceptor expressed.

1071

1072 **Figure 2. The optogenetic actuator toolbox. a)** Key advances in the development of optogenetic tools. Not all  
1073 available tools are highlighted here. Major developments are shown above the arrow, and first applications of  
1074 channelrhodopsins to model organisms including humans are shown below the arrow. **B)** Tools for optogenetic  
1075 manipulation of membrane voltage and local ion concentrations (top), second-messenger, G-protein-signaling and  
1076 kinase-signaling (middle) and the light-controlled interaction of photoreceptors with tethered partner proteins for  
1077 subcellular application (bottom). LOV-domain based dimerizers expose a “aged” signaling peptide after light-  
1078 triggered unfolding of the Ja-Helix<sup>252</sup>. Cryptochrome 2 and Phytochrome B interact with CiBN or PIF-domains after  
1079 blue or red light absorption respectively<sup>253,254</sup> **c)** Commonly-used optogenetic tools for excitation or inhibition of  
1080 neuronal activity including cation conducting ChRs *eT<sub>3</sub>ChR*<sup>255</sup>, *Cheriff*<sup>205</sup>, *CoChR*<sup>30</sup>, *CrChR<sub>2TC</sub>*<sup>256</sup>, *ChroME*<sup>104</sup> and  
1081 derivatives, *SSFO/Soul*<sup>120,257</sup>, *ChRmine*<sup>258</sup>, *bReaChES*<sup>259</sup> and *f-Chrimson*<sup>117</sup>, chloride and potassium conducting  
1082 ChRs (e.g. *GtACR1*, *GtACR2*<sup>219</sup> and *HcKCR1*<sup>22</sup>), inward directed proton pumps (e.g. *NsXeR*<sup>260</sup>) and outward  
1083 directed proton, sodium and chloride pumps (e.g. *Arch3.0*<sup>171</sup>, *eKR2*<sup>261</sup>, *eNpHR3.0*<sup>262</sup>), all plotted according to their  
1084 peak excitation wavelength and temporal kinetics. **D)** The soluble enzyme *bPAC*<sup>62</sup> and the rhodopsin-guanyl-cyclase  
1085 *CaRhGC*<sup>68</sup> produce cAMP and cGMP following illumination whereas the non-bleaching opsins *mOPN4*<sup>48</sup>,  
1086 *eOPN3*<sup>54</sup>, *PPO*<sup>53</sup> and *JellyOP*<sup>47</sup> activate different G-protein pathways. **e:** Genetically encoded sensors with diverse  
1087 excitation spectra (depicted on the x axis) can be used to monitor changes in Ca<sup>2+</sup> voltage, and pH, such as *GCaMP*  
1088 and *R-CaMP*<sup>156</sup> and *FRCaMP*<sup>263</sup> for Ca<sup>2+</sup>, *ASAP3*<sup>264</sup>, *Voltron*<sup>265</sup>, *VARNAM*<sup>266</sup>, *Quasar*<sup>205</sup> and *Archon*<sup>267</sup> for voltage,

1089 and pHluorin<sup>268</sup> for pH. In experiments combining sensors and actuators, both need to be chosen carefully to  
1090 minimize optical crosstalk.

1091

1092 **Figure 3. Cell-type specific targeting of optogenetic tools.** **A)** Transgenic mice constitutively expressing an opsin gene  
1093 from their genome allow simple experiments that only require the addition of a light-delivery apparatus. Promoter “A”  
1094 activity (indicated by A) will lead to transgene expression (indicated in green). **B)** A transgenic animal expressing a  
1095 recombinase such as Cre under the control of a cell-type specific promoter is crossed with a second line carrying a  
1096 conditional expression cassette encoding the desired opsin. Dual transgenic offspring will then show organism-wide  
1097 expression of the opsin in all cells that underwent promoter activation at any stage of development (indicated in green).  
1098 Cre expression (indicated by A) is unnecessary once the conditional expression cassette was activated. **C)** Where a short  
1099 minimal promoter sequence is available, targeted viral vector injections can be used to restrict expression spatially as well  
1100 as by the gene expression profile. A viral vector containing the specific minimal promoter sequence upstream of the opsin  
1101 gene will lead to expression in specific cells expressing the promoter (indicated by A), only in the region targeted with  
1102 the injection (indicated by the blue box). **D)** Approaches a and b can be combined to achieve both spatial and gene  
1103 expression specificity in cases where short specific promoters are not available, or where promoter activity is not specific  
1104 during development. **e:** Projection neurons can be addressed by injection of an axon terminal-transducing, retrograde  
1105 traveling viral vector encoding for the opsin or a recombinase into the target region. The recombinase encoding viral  
1106 vector is injected in a projection target (area B, indicated by the red box) and travels retrogradely. A second viral injection  
1107 of conditional expression cassette encoding the desired opsin into an upstream region (area A, indicated by the blue box)  
1108 will then lead to opsin expression only in neurons within area A that project to area B **f:** AAV capsids engineered for  
1109 improved blood-brain barrier penetration allow brain-wide (mostly sparse) expression of an opsin through intravenous  
1110 injection of the viral vector.

1111 **Figure 4. Optical approaches for optogenetic stimulation.** **a-c)** Single-photon wide-field illumination  
1112 (indicated in blue) of all genetically targeted opsin-expressing neurons using excitation through optical fibers.  
1113 **a)** Illumination using a flat cleaved optical fiber causes high peak light power density at the fiber-tissue  
1114 interface. **b)** A tapered fiber increases the optical fiber-tissue interface resulting in a reduced peak light power  
1115 density. **c)** Single-photon multi-target patterned illumination by spatially shaping the intensity of the excitation  
1116 beam by means of a digital micromirror device (DMD), placed in a plane conjugated to the sample plane. The  
1117 light distribution at the DMD plane and at the sample plane only differ by a spatial scaling factor corresponding  
1118 to the magnification of the optical system. The axial resolution is proportional to the square of the lateral spot  
1119 dimensions. **d-e)** Two-photon multi-target illumination by holographic light shaping: **d)** a spatial light  
1120 modulator (SLM) placed at a plane conjugated with the objective back aperture, generates a 3D distribution of  
1121 holographic spots which are scanned with a spiral trajectory to cover the cell surface. The axial extension of  
1122 the generated spot is optimized to illuminate the upper and lower cell membranes. **e)** An SLM is used to  
1123 generate multiple extended spots with a size large enough to cover the whole cell soma. Temporal focusing is

1124 used to maintain micrometer axial resolution independently of the lateral spot size. . **f)** A timeline indicating  
1125 critical optical developments which have enabled new optogenetic experiments throughout the past fifteen  
1126 years. Single- photon and two-photon milestones are colored in blue and red respectively. Holographic light  
1127 shaping for neuronal activation was developed simultaneously for single- and two- photon activation as  
1128 indicated by the red-blue gradient for the milestone in **f)**.

1129 **Figure 5: Expected results in optogenetic experiments.** **A)** Expression of optogenetic actuators such as ChR2 or NpHR  
1130 in neurons leads to the emergence of light-driven photocurrents, which can be recorded using the whole-cell patch clamp  
1131 technique (left). Cells expressing the chloride-conducting NpHR will show an outward current (top right, voltage clamp  
1132 recording with cell resting at -70 mV) while cells expressing the cation-conducting ChR2 will show an inward  
1133 photocurrent (bottom right, voltage clamp recording with cell resting at -70 mV). **B)** Whole-cell current-clamp recordings  
1134 in a neuron expressing the excitatory ChR2, showing action potentials evoked by brief light pulses (blue bars). **C)**  
1135 Hyperpolarization and silencing of spontaneously-occurring action potentials in a neuron expressing eNpHR3.0. **d)**  
1136 Extracellular recordings, coupled with local light delivery, used to reveal the activity of neurons in vivo, using the awake  
1137 behaving optrode configuration<sup>269</sup> **e)** Raster plot showing action potentials (represented as black dots) occurring rapidly  
1138 after a 5-ms blue light pulse delivered into the target brain region. **F)** Raster plot showing the activity of neurons expressing  
1139 the inhibitory anion-conducting GtACR2, showing increased inhibition of action potential firing with increasing light  
1140 intensity. Part F is reprinted from ref X, CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1141 **Figure 6. Establishing links of causality with optogenetics.** Experimental road map based on identifying the neural  
1142 correlate of behavioral sensitization to cocaine<sup>270</sup>. **A)** When injected, cocaine elicits a locomotor response quantified in a  
1143 cyclotron. The response is enhanced upon a second injection of the same dose. **B)** *c-Fos* is an immediate early gene  
1144 highlighting the neurons particularly active, which provided the entry point to identifying the mPFC to NAc projection as  
1145 the behavioral relevant circuit. **C)** Slice electrophysiology enables observation of the selective potentiation of glutamate  
1146 transmission onto D1R-MSNs<sup>271</sup>. **D)** A depotentiation protocol (LTD at 12Hz) validated in slices restores standard  
1147 transmission. **E)** In vivo validation involves opto-tagging, where spontaneously occurring spikes (grey, dashed trace) are  
1148 compared to optogenetically-evoked spikes (blue trace). Waveform and latency are important parameters. **F)** The LTD  
1149 protocol is eventually applied in vivo to reverse sensitization. Part B adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER.  
1150 Part D adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER.

1151 **Figure 7. Optogenetic application for vision restoration, cardiac research, plant modification.** **A)** Strategies for  
1152 optogenetic restoration of vision following photoreceptor degeneration. (Aa) Visual processing pathways in the normal  
1153 retina, illustrating the rod/cone, ON/OFF pathways and the antagonistic center-surround receptive fields of retinal  
1154 ganglion cells. ON cells, including rod bipolar cells and AII amacrine cells, are shown in gray tones, and OFF cells are  
1155 shown in black. The ON and OFF regions of the receptive field of retinal ganglion cells are indicated by + and -,  
1156 respectively. (Ab) Ubiquitous expression of a depolarizing optogenetic tool (green) in all retinal ganglion cells to convert  
1157 them to ON cells. (Ac) Targeting a depolarizing optogenetic tool in ON bipolar cells to produce ON and OFF response in  
1158 retinal ganglion cells and possibly the center-surround receptive fields. Abbreviations: AII, AII amacrine cells; BC,

1159 bipolar cells; RBC, rod bipolar cells. (Modified from <sup>272</sup>). **B**) Optogenetics in cardiac research. Ba) Cell-specific targeting  
1160 is used for the sympathetic (red) and parasympathetic (blue) nervous control of the heart using TH and CHAT promoters;  
1161 cardiomyocytes (CM) from the upper or lower chambers of the heart (atria, A, or ventricles, V) can be selectively light-  
1162 sensitized; specific targeting of the fast conduction system (CS), cardiac fibroblasts (FB), vascular cells (VC) or  
1163 macrophages (M) is also of interest. (Bb). Rhythm control can include optical pacemaking by short pulses (top trace),  
1164 heart rate modulation by low-level constant (middle trace) or pulsed light by activating the sympathetic nervous system  
1165 (increase) or the parasympathetic nervous system (decrease), and arrhythmias can be terminated to restore normal rhythm  
1166 through a single long pulse (bottom trace), series of pulses and/or spatially-patterned light. Bc) Cardiotoxicity testing, a  
1167 required component in drug development, is enabled by high-throughput optogenetic platforms, which can integrate  
1168 patient-derived iPSC-cardiomyocytes for personalized therapy.. **C**) Optogenetic approaches in plants. Ca) This scheme  
1169 displays carbon dioxide entering through the stomata with loss of water and oxygen. Cb-Cg: Expression of rhodopsins to  
1170 control plant cell behavior. Cb) Absorbance spectra of anion channelrhodopsins GtACR1 (black) in relation to endogenous  
1171 relevant plant photoreceptors. Cc) Optical fiber illumination of a leaf from an Arabidopsis plant mounted in a microscope  
1172 setup for Cd) simultaneous optical stimulation and electric recordings of guard cells embedded in the leaf epidermis. Ce)  
1173 A representative membrane voltage recording from wild-type tobacco (red) and tobacco with stable GtACR1 expressing  
1174 guard cell (black) in response to a 525 nm light pulse (10s) of 0.57 mW/mm<sup>2</sup> in the presence of background red-light (630  
1175 nm, 0.018 mW/mm<sup>2</sup>) to elicit stomatal opening. Cf and Cg). Closure of the stomatal aperture is only induced in GtACR1-  
1176 expressing cells in the presence of green light, indicated by the green bar in Cf and the green light spot in Cg. Part A  
1177 adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER. Part Cc, image courtesy of COPYRIGHT HOLDER. Parts Cd, Cf and Cg  
1178 adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER.

1179

1180

### 1181 **Box 1: Compartment-specific functions of microbial rhodopsins.**

1182 ACRs (such as GtACR2, iC<sup>++</sup> and iChloc) can be used to control the chloride conductance in a light dependent  
1183 manner. However, the effect on neuronal excitability depends on the chloride reversal potential, which can  
1184 differ between subcellular compartments, as well as the membrane potential. The left schematic shows a neuron  
1185 colored according to the typical chloride reversal potential. In the somatic compartment (top inset) shunting  
1186 inhibition occurs, if the reversal potential of a channel is close to the resting membrane potential of  
1187 the cell. In this case, its activation does not lead to a substantial current, but rather to a conductance  
1188 that will oppose any change of the membrane potential from the channel reversal potential, referred  
1189 to as shunting conductance. The input resistance of the neuron is reduced, causing a smaller amplitude  
1190 of subsequent excitatory potentials. If the channel reversal potential lies between the resting potential  
1191 and the action potential threshold, however, the effects of shunting inhibition are more complex. In  
1192 this case, ACR activation will lead to a depolarization, but excitatory potential amplitudes will still



1193 be reduced. Consequently, ACR activation will still lead to a reduced spike rate. Upon channel closing  
1194 the input resistance then increases while the membrane potential is still depolarized, leading to a  
1195 transiently increased excitability. Furthermore, if ACRs are activated over extended periods of  
1196 excitatory drive, Cl<sup>-</sup> can accumulate in the cell, and the depolarizing phase of shunting inhibition will  
1197 become more accentuated, leading to activity-dependent effects of shunting inhibition. At the  
1198 presynaptic terminal (bottom) ACR activation leads to depolarization, and potentially even action potential  
1199 initiation, especially at light onset when the pool of activatable voltage gated sodium channels is large. ACR  
1200 based optogenetic manipulations should thus take the unique features of compartment-specific physiology  
1201 into account.

1202

## 1203 **Box 2: Single-photon vs two-photon excitation, mechanism and focal volume**

1204 In single-photon (1P) excitation the absorption of a photon by a chromophore induces a molecular transition  
1205 from the ground state (S<sub>0</sub>) to the excited electronic state (S<sub>1</sub>), while in two-photon (2P) excitation, the same  
1206 transition can be induced by the quasi-simultaneous absorption of two photons. Since 2P cross-sections are  
1207 typically much smaller than those for 1P, significantly higher photon fluxes are generally required to generate  
1208 similar excitation rates, requiring more complex and expensive components such as ultrafast lasers. There are  
1209 two main implications of two photon absorption in microscopy. First, since the probability of excitation is a  
1210 quadratic function of the instantaneous photon density, targets at the focal plane are much more likely excited  
1211 than out of focus targets, whereas in 1P excitation all targets throughout the light path can be excited. Second,  
1212 the use of photons of lower energy and therefore of longer wavelengths (deep red and IR) can penetrate more  
1213 deeply (~700 μm) in scattering tissue.

1214

1215

## References

1216

- 1217 1 Famintzin, A. *Die Wirkung der Lichtes auf die Bewegung der Chlamidomonas pulvisculus Ehr., Euglena*  
1218 *viridis Ehr. und Oscillatoria insignis Tw.* (1866).
- 1219 2 Kuhne, W. F. *Zur Photochemie der Netzhaut.* (Carl Winter's Universitätsbuchhandlung, 1877).
- 1220 3 Darwin, C. *The Power of Movements in Plants.* (Appleton, 1881).
- 1221 4 Zemelman, B. V., Lee, G. A., Ng, M. & Miesenböck, G. Selective photostimulation of genetically chARGed  
1222 neurons. *Neuron* **33**, 15-22 (2002).
- 1223 5 Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G. & Deisseroth, K. Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted  
1224 optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience* **8**, 1263-1268 (2005).
- 1225 6 Ishizuka, T., Kakuda, M., Araki, R. & Yawo, H. Kinetic evaluation of photosensitivity in genetically  
1226 engineered neurons expressing green algae light-gated channels. *Neuroscience Research* **54**, 85-94 (2006).

1227 7 Li, X. *et al.* Fast noninvasive activation and inhibition of neural and network activity by vertebrate rhodopin  
1228 and green algae channelrhodopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
1229 *America* **102**, 17816-17821 (2005).

1230 8 Nagel, G. *et al.* Light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 in excitable cells of *Caenorhabditis elegans* triggers  
1231 rapid Behavioral responses. *Current Biology* **15**, 2279-2284 (2005).

1232 9 Schroll, C. *et al.* Light-induced activation of distinct modulatory neurons triggers appetitive or aversive  
1233 learning in *Drosophila* larvae. *Current Biology* **16**, 1741-1747 (2006).

1234 10 Adamantidis, A. R., Zhang, F., Aravanis, A. M., Deisseroth, K. & De Lecea, L. Neural substrates of awakening  
1235 probed with optogenetic control of hypocretin neurons. *Nature* **450**, 420-424 (2007).

1236 11 Douglass, A. D., Kraves, S., Deisseroth, K., Schier, A. F. & Engert, F. Escape behavior elicited by single,  
1237 channelrhodopsin-2-evoked spikes in zebrafish somatosensory neurons. *Current biology* **18**, 1133-1137 (2008).

1238 12 Han, X. *et al.* Millisecond-timescale optical control of neural dynamics in the nonhuman primate brain. *Neuron*  
1239 **62**, 191-198 (2009).

1240 13 Bi, A. D. *et al.* Ectopic expression of a microbial-type rhodopsin restores visual responses in mice with  
1241 photoreceptor degeneration. *Neuron* **50**, 23-33 (2006).

1242 14 Ronzitti, E. *et al.* Recent advances in patterned photostimulation for optogenetics. *Journal of Optics* **19**,  
1243 113001 (2017).

1244 15 Hull, K., Morstein, J. & Trauner, D. In vivo photopharmacology. *Chemical reviews* **118**, 10710-10747 (2018).

1245 16 Velema, W. A., Szymanski, W. & Feringa, B. L. Photopharmacology: beyond proof of principle. *Journal of the*  
1246 *American Chemical Society* **136**, 2178-2191 (2014).

1247 17 Kolar, K., Knobloch, C., Stork, H., Žnidarič, M. & Weber, W. OptoBase: a web platform for molecular  
1248 optogenetics. *ACS synthetic biology* **7**, 1825-1828 (2018).

1249 18 Manoilov, K. Y., Verkhusha, V. V. & Shcherbakova, D. M. A guide to the optogenetic regulation of  
1250 endogenous molecules. *Nature methods* **18**, 1027-1037 (2021).

1251 19 Nagel, G. *et al.* Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane channel. *Proceedings of*  
1252 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**, 13940-13945 (2003).

1253 20 Rozenberg, A. *et al.* Lateral Gene Transfer of Anion-Conducting Channelrhodopsins between Green Algae and  
1254 Giant Viruses. *Curr Biol* **30**, 4910-4920 e4915, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.056 (2020).

1255 21 Vierock, J., Grimm, C., Nitzan, N. & Hegemann, P. Molecular determinants of proton selectivity and gating in  
1256 the red-light activated channelrhodopsin Chrimson. *Sci Rep* **7**, 9928, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09600-8 (2017).

1257 22 Govorunova, E. G. *et al.* Kalium rhodopsins: Natural light-gated potassium channels. *bioRxiv* (2021).

1258 23 Govorunova, E. G. *et al.* The Expanding Family of Natural Anion Channelrhodopsins Reveals Large  
1259 Variations in Kinetics, Conductance, and Spectral Sensitivity. *Sci Rep* **7**, 43358, doi:10.1038/srep43358 (2017).

1260 24 Mahn, M. *et al.* High-efficiency optogenetic silencing with soma-targeted anion-conducting  
1261 channelrhodopsins. *Nature communications* **9**, 1-15 (2018).

1262 25 Ben-Ari, Y. Excitatory actions of gaba during development: the nature of the nurture. *Nature Reviews*  
1263 *Neuroscience* **3**, 728-739 (2002).

1264 26 Schneider, F., Grimm, C. & Hegemann, P. Biophysics of channelrhodopsin. *Annual review of biophysics* **44**,  
1265 167-186 (2015).

1266 27 Kuhne, J. *et al.* Unifying photocycle model for light adaptation and temporal evolution of cation conductance  
1267 in channelrhodopsin-2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 9380-9389, doi:10.1073/pnas.1818707116 (2019).

1268 28 Sineshchekov, O. A., Govorunova, E. G., Li, H. & Spudich, J. L. Bacteriorhodopsin-like channelrhodopsins:  
1269 Alternative mechanism for control of cation conductance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E9512-E9519,  
1270 doi:10.1073/pnas.1710702114 (2017).

1271 29 Kishi, K. E. *et al.* Structural basis for channel conduction in the pump-like channelrhodopsin ChRmine. *Cell*  
1272 **185**, 672-689. e623 (2022).

1273 30 Klapoetke, N. C. *et al.* Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nat Methods* **11**, 338-346,  
1274 doi:10.1038/nmeth.2836 (2014).

1275 31 Oda, K. *et al.* Crystal structure of the red light-activated channelrhodopsin Chrimson. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3949,  
1276 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06421-9 (2018).

1277 32 Govorunova, E. G. *et al.* RubyACRs, non-algal anion channelrhodopsins with highly red-shifted absorption.  
1278 *bioRxiv* (2020).

1279 33 Gradinaru, V. *et al.* Molecular and Cellular Approaches for Diversifying and Extending Optogenetics. *Cell*  
1280 **141**, 154-165, doi:Doi 10.1016/J.Cell.2010.02.037 (2010).

1281 34 Vierock, J. *et al.* BiPOLES is an optogenetic tool developed for bidirectional dual-color control of neurons.  
1282 *Nature Communications* **12**, 1-20 (2021).

1283  
1284 36 Zhang, F. *et al.* Multimodal fast optical interrogation of neural circuitry. *Nature* **446**, 633-U634 (2007).  
1285 37 Parker, D. Neuronal network analyses: premises, promises and uncertainties. *Philosophical Transactions of the*  
1286 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **365**, 2315-2328 (2010).  
1287 38 Chuong, A. S. *et al.* Noninvasive optical inhibition with a red-shifted microbial rhodopsin. *Nature*  
1288 *neuroscience* **17**, 1123-1129 (2014).  
1289 39 Wiegert, J. S., Mahn, M., Prigge, M., Printz, Y. & Yizhar, O. Silencing neurons: tools, applications, and  
1290 experimental constraints. *Neuron* **95**, 504-529 (2017).  
1291 40 Rost, B. R. *et al.* Optogenetic acidification of synaptic vesicles and lysosomes. *Nature neuroscience* **18**, 1845-  
1292 1852 (2015).  
1293 41 Junge, W. Protons, the thylakoid membrane, and the chloroplast ATP synthase. *Annals of the New York*  
1294 *Academy of Sciences* **574**, 268-286 (1989).  
1295 42 Raimondo, J. V., Kay, L., Ellender, T. J. & Akerman, C. J. Optogenetic silencing strategies differ in their  
1296 effects on inhibitory synaptic transmission. *Nature neuroscience* **15**, 1102-1104 (2012).  
1297 43 Khorana, H. G., Knox, B. E., Nasi, E., Swanson, R. & Thompson, D. a. Expression of a Bovine Rhodopsin  
1298 Gene in Xenopus Oocytes - Demonstration of Light-Dependent Ionic Currents. *Proceedings of the National*  
1299 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **85**, 7917-7921 (1988).  
1300 44 Karunarathne, W. A., Giri, L., Patel, A. K., Venkatesh, K. V. & Gautam, N. Optical control demonstrates  
1301 switch-like PIP3 dynamics underlying the initiation of immune cell migration. *Proceedings of the National*  
1302 *Academy of Sciences* **110**, E1575-E1583 (2013).  
1303 45 Karunarathne, W. A., Giri, L., Kalyanaraman, V. & Gautam, N. Optically triggering spatiotemporally confined  
1304 GPCR activity in a cell and programming neurite initiation and extension. *Proceedings of the National*  
1305 *Academy of Sciences* **110**, E1565-E1574 (2013).  
1306 46 Masseck, O. A. *et al.* Vertebrate cone opsins enable sustained and highly sensitive rapid control of Gi/o  
1307 signaling in anxiety circuitry. *Neuron* **81**, 1263-1273 (2014).  
1308 47 Bailes, H. J., Zhuang, L.-Y. & Lucas, R. J. Reproducible and sustained regulation of G $\alpha$ s signalling using a  
1309 metazoan opsin as an optogenetic tool. *PloS one* **7**, e30774 (2012).  
1310 48 Spoida, K. *et al.* Melanopsin variants as intrinsic optogenetic on and off switches for transient versus sustained  
1311 activation of G protein pathways. *Current Biology* **26**, 1206-1212 (2016).  
1312 49 Lin, B., Koizumi, A., Tanaka, N., Panda, S. & Masland, R. H. Restoration of visual function in retinal  
1313 degeneration mice by ectopic expression of melanopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**,  
1314 16009-16014 (2008).  
1315 50 Tsunematsu, T., Tanaka, K. F., Yamanaka, A. & Koizumi, A. Ectopic expression of melanopsin in  
1316 orexin/hypocretin neurons enables control of wakefulness of mice in vivo by blue light. *Neuroscience research*  
1317 **75**, 23-28 (2013).  
1318 51 Koyanagi, M. *et al.* in *Optogenetics* 141-151 (Springer, 2021).  
1319 52 Eickelbeck, D. *et al.* Lamprey Parapainopsin (“UVLamp”): a bistable UV-sensitive optogenetic switch for  
1320 ultrafast control of GPCR pathways. *ChemBioChem* **21**, 612 (2020).  
1321 53 Copits, B. A. *et al.* A photoswitchable GPCR-based opsin for presynaptic inhibition. *Neuron* **109**, 1791-1809.  
1322 e1711 (2021).  
1323 54 Mahn, M. *et al.* Efficient optogenetic silencing of neurotransmitter release with a mosquito rhodopsin. *Neuron*  
1324 **109**, 1621-1635. e1628 (2021).  
1325 55 Kim, J.-M. *et al.* Light-driven activation of  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor signaling by a chimeric rhodopsin  
1326 containing the  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor cytoplasmic loops. *Biochemistry* **44**, 2284-2292 (2005).  
1327 56 Airan, R. D., Thompson, K. R., Fenno, L. E., Bernstein, H. & Deisseroth, K. Temporally precise in vivo  
1328 control of intracellular signalling. *Nature* **458**, 1025-1029 (2009).  
1329 57 Osorno, T. *et al.* Light control of G protein signaling pathways by a novel photopigment. *Plos one* **13**,  
1330 e0205015 (2018).  
1331 58 Bradley, S. J. & Challiss, R. J. G protein-coupled receptor signalling in astrocytes in health and disease: a focus  
1332 on metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Biochemical pharmacology* **84**, 249-259 (2012).  
1333 59 Grusch, M. *et al.* Spatio-temporally precise activation of engineered receptor tyrosine kinases by light. *The*  
1334 *EMBO journal* **33**, 1713-1726 (2014).  
1335 60 Kainrath, S., Stadler, M., Reichhart, E., Distel, M. & Janovjak, H. Green-Light-Induced Inactivation of  
1336 Receptor Signaling Using Cobalamin-Binding Domains. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **56**, 4608-  
1337 4611 (2017).

1338 61 Chang, K.-Y. *et al.* Light-inducible receptor tyrosine kinases that regulate neurotrophin signalling. *Nature*  
1339 *communications* **5**, 1-10 (2014).

1340 62 Stierl, M. *et al.* Light modulation of cellular cAMP by a small bacterial photoactivated adenylyl cyclase,  
1341 bPAC, of the soil bacterium *Beggiatoa*. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 1181-1188, doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.185496 (2011).

1342 63 Bernal Sierra, Y. A. *et al.* Potassium channel-based optogenetic silencing. *Nat Commun* **9**, 4611,  
1343 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07038-8 (2018).

1344 64 De Marco, R. J., Groneberg, A. H., Yeh, C. M., Castillo Ramirez, L. A. & Ryu, S. Optogenetic elevation of  
1345 endogenous glucocorticoid level in larval zebrafish. *Front Neural Circuits* **7**, 82, doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00082  
1346 (2013).

1347 65 Jansen, V. *et al.* Controlling Fertilization and cAMP Signaling in Sperm Flagella by Optogenetics. *e-Life* **4**,  
1348 UNSP e05161 (2015).

1349 66 Zhang, S. X. *et al.* Hypothalamic dopamine neurons motivate mating through persistent cAMP signalling.  
1350 *Nature*, 1-5 (2021).

1351 67 Scheib, U. *et al.* The rhodopsin-guanylyl cyclase of the aquatic fungus *Blastocladiella emersonii* enables fast  
1352 optical control of cGMP signaling. *Sci Signal* **8**, rs8, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aab0611 (2015).

1353 68 Scheib, U. *et al.* Rhodopsin-cyclases for photocontrol of cGMP/cAMP and 2.3 A structure of the adenylyl  
1354 cyclase domain. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2046, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04428-w (2018).

1355 69 Gao, S. *et al.* Optogenetic manipulation of cGMP in cells and animals by the tightly light-regulated guanylyl-  
1356 cyclase opsin CyclOp. *Nature communications* **6**, 1-12 (2015).

1357 70 Broser, M. *et al.* NeoR, a near-infrared absorbing rhodopsin. *Nature communications* **11**, 1-10 (2020).

1358 71 Rost, B. R., Schneider-Warme, F., Schmitz, D. & Hegemann, P. Optogenetic tools for subcellular applications  
1359 in neuroscience. *Neuron* **96**, 572-603 (2017).

1360 72 Poleskaya, O. *et al.* Optogenetic regulation of transcription. *BMC neuroscience* **19**, 3-13 (2018).

1361 73 Polstein, L. R. & Gersbach, C. A. Light-inducible spatiotemporal control of gene activation by customizable  
1362 zinc finger transcription factors. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **134**, 16480-16483 (2012).

1363 74 Konermann, S. *et al.* Optical control of mammalian endogenous transcription and epigenetic states. *Nature*  
1364 **500**, 472+, doi:Doi 10.1038/Nature12466 (2013).

1365 75 Nihongaki, Y., Kawano, F., Nakajima, T. & Sato, M. Photoactivatable CRISPR-Cas9 for optogenetic genome  
1366 editing. *Nature biotechnology* **33**, 755-760 (2015).

1367 76 Nihongaki, Y. *et al.* CRISPR–Cas9-based photoactivatable transcription systems to induce neuronal  
1368 differentiation. *Nature methods* **14**, 963-966 (2017).

1369 77 Raper, A. T., Stephenson, A. A. & Suo, Z. Functional insights revealed by the kinetic mechanism of  
1370 CRISPR/Cas9. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **140**, 2971-2984 (2018).

1371 78 Arenkiel, B. R. *et al.* In vivo light-induced activation of neural circuitry in transgenic mice expressing  
1372 channelrhodopsin-2. *Neuron* **54**, 205-218 (2007).

1373 79 Madisen, L. *et al.* A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and  
1374 silencing. *Nature neuroscience* **15**, 793-802 (2012).

1375 80 Madisen, L. *et al.* Transgenic mice for intersectional targeting of neural sensors and effectors with high  
1376 specificity and performance. *Neuron* **85**, 942-958 (2015).

1377 81 Lammel, S. *et al.* Diversity of transgenic mouse models for selective targeting of midbrain dopamine neurons.  
1378 *Neuron* **85**, 429-438 (2015).

1379 82 Song, A. J. & Palmiter, R. D. Detecting and avoiding problems when using the Cre–lox system. *Trends in*  
1380 *Genetics* **34**, 333-340 (2018).

1381 83 Graybuck, L. T. *et al.* Prospective, brain-wide labeling of neuronal subclasses with enhancer-driven AAVs.  
1382 *BioRxiv*, 525014 (2019).

1383 84 Nair, R. R., Blankvoort, S., Lagartos, M. J. & Kentros, C. Enhancer-driven gene expression (EDGE) enables  
1384 the generation of viral vectors specific to neuronal subtypes. *Iscience* **23**, 100888 (2020).

1385 85 Jüttner, J. *et al.* Targeting neuronal and glial cell types with synthetic promoter AAVs in mice, non-human  
1386 primates and humans. *Nature Neuroscience* **22**, 1345-1356 (2019).

1387 86 Tervo, D. G. R. *et al.* A designer AAV variant permits efficient retrograde access to projection neurons.  
1388 *Neuron* **92**, 372-382 (2016).

1389 87 Zhang, S. *et al.* Long-range and local circuits for top-down modulation of visual cortex processing. *Science*  
1390 **345**, 660-665 (2014).

1391 88 Chan, K. Y. *et al.* Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral  
1392 nervous systems. *Nature neuroscience* **20**, 1172-1179 (2017).

1393 89 Challis, R. C. *et al.* Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. *Nature*  
1394 *protocols* **14**, 379-414 (2019).

1395 90 Mathiesen, S. N., Lock, J. L., Schoderboeck, L., Abraham, W. C. & Hughes, S. M. CNS Transduction Benefits  
1396 of AAV-PHP. eB over AAV9 Are Dependent on Administration Route and Mouse Strain. *Molecular Therapy-  
1397 Methods & Clinical Development* **19**, 447-458 (2020).

1398 91 LoTurco, J., Manent, J.-B. & Sidiqi, F. New and improved tools for in utero electroporation studies of  
1399 developing cerebral cortex. *Cerebral cortex* **19**, i120-i125 (2009).

1400 92 Dal Maschio, M. *et al.* High-performance and site-directed in utero electroporation by a triple-electrode probe.  
1401 *Nature communications* **3**, 1-11 (2012).

1402 93 Rosin, J. M. & Kurrasch, D. M. In utero electroporation induces cell death and alters embryonic microglia  
1403 morphology and expression signatures in the developing hypothalamus. *Journal of neuroinflammation* **15**, 1-15  
1404 (2018).

1405 94 Mahn, M., Prigge, M., Ron, S., Levy, R. & Yizhar, O. Biophysical constraints of optogenetic inhibition at  
1406 presynaptic terminals. *Nature neuroscience* **19**, 554-556 (2016).

1407 95 Ullrich, S., Gueta, R. & Nagel, G. Degradation of channelopsin-2 in the absence of retinal and degradation  
1408 resistance in certain mutants. *Biological chemistry* **394**, 271-280 (2013).

1409 96 Hofherr, A., Fakler, B. & Klöcker, N. Selective Golgi export of Kir2. 1 controls the stoichiometry of functional  
1410 Kir2. x channel heteromers. *Journal of cell science* **118**, 1935-1943 (2005).

1411 97 Mattis, J. *et al.* An analysis of new and existing opsins for scientific application. *European Biophysics Journal  
1412 with Biophysics Letters* **40**, 68-69 (2011).

1413 98 Zhou, Y. *et al.* Optogenetic control of plant growth by a microbial rhodopsin. *Nature Plants* **7**, 144-151 (2021).

1414 99 Adam, Y. *et al.* Voltage imaging and optogenetics reveal behaviour-dependent changes in hippocampal  
1415 dynamics. *Nature* **569**, 413-417 (2019).

1416 100 Wu, C., Ivanova, E., Zhang, Y. & Pan, Z.-H. rAAV-mediated subcellular targeting of optogenetic tools in  
1417 retinal ganglion cells in vivo. *PloS one* **8**, e66332 (2013).

1418 101 Baker, C. A., Elyada, Y. M., Parra, A. & Bolton, M. M. Cellular resolution circuit mapping with temporal-  
1419 focused excitation of soma-targeted channelrhodopsin. *Elife* **5**, e14193 (2016).

1420 102 Shemesh, O. A. *et al.* Temporally precise single-cell-resolution optogenetics. *Nature neuroscience* **20**, 1796-  
1421 1806 (2017).

1422 103 Forli, A. *et al.* Two-photon bidirectional control and imaging of neuronal excitability with high spatial  
1423 resolution in vivo. *Cell reports* **22**, 3087-3098 (2018).

1424 104 Mardinly, A. R. *et al.* Precise multimodal optical control of neural ensemble activity. *Nature neuroscience* **21**,  
1425 881-893 (2018).

1426 105 Forli, A., Pisoni, M., Printz, Y., Yizhar, O. & Fellin, T. Optogenetic strategies for high-efficiency all-optical  
1427 interrogation using blue-light-sensitive opsins. *Elife* **10**, e63359 (2021).

1428 106 Spaulding, E. L. & Burgess, R. W. Accumulating evidence for axonal translation in neuronal homeostasis.  
1429 *Frontiers in neuroscience* **11**, 312 (2017).

1430 107 Johnson, H. E. & Toettcher, J. E. Illuminating developmental biology with cellular optogenetics. *Current  
1431 opinion in biotechnology* **52**, 42-48 (2018).

1432 108 Stujenske, J. M., Spellman, T. & Gordon, J. A. Modeling the spatiotemporal dynamics of light and heat  
1433 propagation for in vivo optogenetics. *Cell reports* **12**, 525-534 (2015).

1434 109 Rungta, R. L., Osmanski, B.-F., Boido, D., Tanter, M. & Charpak, S. Light controls cerebral blood flow in  
1435 naive animals. *Nature communications* **8**, 1-9 (2017).

1436 110 Pisanello, M. *et al.* Tailoring light delivery for optogenetics by modal demultiplexing in tapered optical fibers.  
1437 *Scientific reports* **8**, 1-11 (2018).

1438 111 Arrenberg, A. B., Stainier, D. Y., Baier, H. & Huisken, J. Optogenetic control of cardiac function. *Science* **330**,  
1439 971-974 (2010).

1440 112 Burton, R. A. *et al.* Optical control of excitation waves in cardiac tissue. *Nature photonics* **9**, 813-816 (2015).

1441 113 Majumder, R. *et al.* Optogenetics enables real-time spatiotemporal control over spiral wave dynamics in an  
1442 excitable cardiac system. *Elife* **7**, e41076 (2018).

1443 114 Scardigli, M. *et al.* Real-time optical manipulation of cardiac conduction in intact hearts. *The Journal of  
1444 physiology* **596**, 3841-3858 (2018).

1445 115 Chen, I.-W., Papagiakoumou, E. & Emiliani, V. Towards circuit optogenetics. *Current opinion in neurobiology*  
1446 **50**, 179-189 (2018).

1447 116 Pégard, N. C. *et al.* Three-dimensional scanless holographic optogenetics with temporal focusing (3D-SHOT).  
1448 *Nature communications* **8**, 1-14 (2017).

1449 117 Mager, T. *et al.* High frequency neural spiking and auditory signaling by ultrafast red-shifted optogenetics. *Nature communications* **9**, 1-14 (2018).

1450

1451 118 Marshel, J. H. *et al.* Cortical layer-specific critical dynamics triggering perception. *Science* **365** (2019).

1452 119 Lin, J., Knutsen, P., Muller, A., Kleinfeld, D. & Tsien, R. ReaChR: a red-shifted variant of channelrhodopsin enables neuronal activation through the intact skull. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 1499-1508 (2013).

1453

1454 120 Yizhar, O. *et al.* Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature* **477**, 171-178 (2011).

1455

1456 121 Ronzitti, E. *et al.* Submillisecond optogenetic control of neuronal firing with two-photon holographic photoactivation of chronos. *Journal of Neuroscience* **37**, 10679-10689 (2017).

1457

1458 122 Chen, I.-W. *et al.* In vivo submillisecond two-photon optogenetics with temporally focused patterned light. *Journal of Neuroscience* **39**, 3484-3497 (2019).

1459

1460 123 Feldbauer, K. *et al.* Channelrhodopsin-2 is a leaky proton pump. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 12317-12322, doi:Doi 10.1073/Pnas.0905852106 (2009).

1461

1462 124 Papagiakoumou, E. *et al.* Scanless two-photon excitation of channelrhodopsin-2. *Nature methods* **7**, 848-854 (2010).

1463

1464 125 Andrasfalvy, B. K., Zemelman, B. V., Tang, J. & Vaziri, A. Two-photon single-cell optogenetic control of neuronal activity by sculpted light. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 11981-11986 (2010).

1465

1466

1467 126 Packer, A. M., Russell, L. E., Dalgleish, H. W. & Häusser, M. Simultaneous all-optical manipulation and recording of neural circuit activity with cellular resolution in vivo. *Nature methods* **12**, 140-146 (2015).

1468

1469 127 Hernandez, O. *et al.* Three-dimensional spatiotemporal focusing of holographic patterns. *Nature communications* **7**, 1-11 (2016).

1470

1471 128 Accanto, N. *et al.* Multiplexed temporally focused light shaping for high-resolution multi-cell targeting. *Optica* **5**, 1478-1491 (2018).

1472

1473 129 Chaigneau, E. *et al.* Two-photon holographic stimulation of ReaChR. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* **10**, 234 (2016).

1474

1475 130 Robinson, N. T. *et al.* Targeted activation of hippocampal place cells drives Memory-Guided spatial behavior. *Cell* **183**, 1586-1599. e1510 (2020).

1476

1477 131 Dalgleish, H. W. *et al.* How many neurons are sufficient for perception of cortical activity? *Elife* **9**, e58889 (2020).

1478

1479 132 Gill, J. V. *et al.* Precise holographic manipulation of olfactory circuits reveals coding features determining perceptual detection. *Neuron* **108**, 382-393. e385 (2020).

1480

1481 133 Dal Maschio, M., Donovan, J. C., Helmbrecht, T. O. & Baier, H. Linking neurons to network function and behavior by two-photon holographic optogenetics and volumetric imaging. *Neuron* **94**, 774-789. e775 (2017).

1482

1483 134 Jennings, J. H. *et al.* Interacting neural ensembles in orbitofrontal cortex for social and feeding behaviour. *Nature* **565**, 645-649 (2019).

1484

1485 135 Accanto, N. *et al.* Multiplexed temporally focused light shaping through a gradient index lens for precise in-depth optogenetic photostimulation. *Scientific reports* **9**, 1-10 (2019).

1486

1487 136 Rowlands, C. J. *et al.* Wide-field three-photon excitation in biological samples. *Light: Science & Applications* **6**, e16255-e16255 (2017).

1488

1489 137 Jackman, S. L., Beneduce, B. M., Drew, I. R. & Regehr, W. G. Achieving high-frequency optical control of synaptic transmission. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 7704-7714 (2014).

1490

1491 138 Cardin, J. A. *et al.* Targeted optogenetic stimulation and recording of neurons in vivo using cell-type-specific expression of Channelrhodopsin-2. *Nature protocols* **5**, 247 (2010).

1492

1493 139 Wu, J. *et al.* Improved orange and red Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicators and photophysical considerations for optogenetic applications. *ACS chemical neuroscience* **4**, 963-972 (2013).

1494

1495 140 Platasa, J. & Pieribone, V. A. Genetically encoded fluorescent voltage indicators: are we there yet? *Current opinion in neurobiology* **50**, 146-153 (2018).

1496

1497 141 Urakubo, H., Yagishita, S., Kasai, H., Kubota, Y. & Ishii, S. The critical balance between dopamine D2 receptor and RGS for the sensitive detection of a transient decay in dopamine signal. *PLoS computational biology* **17**, e1009364 (2021).

1498

1499

1500 142 Wang, H., Jing, M. & Li, Y. Lighting up the brain: genetically encoded fluorescent sensors for imaging neurotransmitters and neuromodulators. *Current opinion in neurobiology* **50**, 171-178 (2018).

1501

1502 143 Leopold, A. V., Shcherbakova, D. M. & Verkhusha, V. V. Fluorescent biosensors for neurotransmission and neuromodulation: engineering and applications. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* **13**, 474 (2019).

1503

1504 144 Gradinaru, V., Mogri, M., Thompson, K. R., Henderson, J. M. & Deisseroth, K. Optical deconstruction of  
1505 parkinsonian neural circuitry. *science* **324**, 354-359 (2009).

1506 145 Wu, Y. E., Pan, L., Zuo, Y., Li, X. & Hong, W. Detecting activated cell populations using single-cell RNA-  
1507 seq. *Neuron* **96**, 313-329. e316 (2017).

1508 146 Franceschini, A., Costantini, I., Pavone, F. S. & Silvestri, L. Dissecting Neuronal Activation on a Brain-Wide  
1509 Scale With Immediate Early Genes. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **14**, 1111 (2020).

1510 147 Guenther, C. J., Miyamichi, K., Yang, H. H., Heller, H. C. & Luo, L. Permanent genetic access to transiently  
1511 active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in active populations. *Neuron* **78**, 773-784 (2013).

1512 148 Grinvald, A., Lieke, E., Frostig, R. D., Gilbert, C. D. & Wiesel, T. N. Functional architecture of cortex revealed  
1513 by optical imaging of intrinsic signals. *Nature* **324**, 361-364 (1986).

1514 149 Juavinett, A. L., Nauhaus, I., Garrett, M. E., Zhuang, J. & Callaway, E. M. Automated identification of mouse  
1515 visual areas with intrinsic signal imaging. *Nature protocols* **12**, 32 (2017).

1516 150 Lee, J. H. *et al.* Global and local fMRI signals driven by neurons defined optogenetically by type and wiring.  
1517 *Nature* **465**, 788-792 (2010).

1518 151 Uludağ, K. & Blinder, P. Linking brain vascular physiology to hemodynamic response in ultra-high field MRI.  
1519 *Neuroimage* **168**, 279-295 (2018).

1520 152 Albers, F., Wachsmuth, L., Schache, D., Lambers, H. & Faber, C. Functional mri readouts from bold and  
1521 diffusion measurements differentially respond to optogenetic activation and tissue heating. *Frontiers in*  
1522 *neuroscience* **13**, 1104 (2019).

1523 153 Edelman, B. J. & Macé, E. Functional ultrasound brain imaging: bridging networks, neurons and behavior.  
1524 *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*, 100286 (2021).

1525 154 Brecht, M. *et al.* Organization of rat vibrissa motor cortex and adjacent areas according to cytoarchitectonics,  
1526 microstimulation, and intracellular stimulation of identified cells. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **479**, 360-  
1527 373 (2004).

1528 155 Heindorf, M., Arber, S. & Keller, G. B. Mouse motor cortex coordinates the behavioral response to unpredicted  
1529 sensory feedback. *Neuron* **99**, 1040-1054. e1045 (2018).

1530 156 Dana, H. *et al.* High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in neuronal populations and  
1531 microcompartments. *Nature methods* **16**, 649-657 (2019).

1532 157 Guo, Z. V. *et al.* Flow of cortical activity underlying a tactile decision in mice. *Neuron* **81**, 179-194 (2014).

1533 158 Jun, J. J. *et al.* Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density recording of neural activity. *Nature* **551**, 232-  
1534 236 (2017).

1535 159 Steinmetz, N. A. *et al.* Neuropixels 2.0: A miniaturized high-density probe for stable, long-term brain  
1536 recordings. *Science* **372** (2021).

1537 160 Kvitsiani, D. *et al.* Distinct behavioural and network correlates of two interneuron types in prefrontal cortex.  
1538 *Nature* **498**, 363-366 (2013).

1539 161 Ferreira-Pinto, M. J. *et al.* Functional diversity for body actions in the mesencephalic locomotor region. *Cell*  
1540 **184**, 4564-4578. e4518 (2021).

1541 162 Pascoli, V. *et al.* Contrasting forms of cocaine-evoked plasticity control components of relapse. *Nature* **509**,  
1542 459-464 (2014).

1543 163 Burguière, E., Monteiro, P., Feng, G. & Graybiel, A. M. Optogenetic stimulation of lateral orbitofronto-striatal  
1544 pathway suppresses compulsive behaviors. *Science* **340**, 1243-1246 (2013).

1545 164 Hartong, D. T., Berson, E. L. & Dryja, T. P. Retinitis pigmentosa. *The Lancet* **368**, 1795-1809 (2006).

1546 165 de Jong, E. K., Geerlings, M. J. & den Hollander, A. I. Age-related macular degeneration. *Genetics and*  
1547 *genomics of eye disease*, 155-180 (2020).

1548 166 Bi, A. *et al.* Ectopic expression of a microbial-type rhodopsin restores visual responses in mice with  
1549 photoreceptor degeneration. *Neuron* **50**, 23-33 (2006).

1550 167 Sahel, J.-A. *et al.* Partial recovery of visual function in a blind patient after optogenetic therapy. *Nature*  
1551 *Medicine*, 1-7 (2021).

1552 168 Tomita, H. *et al.* Restoration of the majority of the visual spectrum by using modified Volvox  
1553 channelrhodopsin-1. *Molecular Therapy* **22**, 1434-1440 (2014).

1554 169 Sengupta, A. *et al.* Red-shifted channelrhodopsin stimulation restores light responses in blind mice, macaque  
1555 retina, and human retina. *EMBO molecular medicine* **8**, 1248-1264 (2016).

1556 170 Gauvain, G. *et al.* Optogenetic therapy: high spatiotemporal resolution and pattern discrimination compatible  
1557 with vision restoration in non-human primates. *Communications biology* **4**, 1-15 (2021).

1558 171 Mattis, J. *et al.* Principles for applying optogenetic tools derived from direct comparative analysis of microbial  
1559 opsins. *Nature methods* **9**, 159-172 (2012).

1560 172 Ganjawala, T. H., Lu, Q., Fenner, M. D., Abrams, G. W. & Pan, Z.-H. Improved CoChR variants restore visual  
1561 acuity and contrast sensitivity in a mouse model of blindness under ambient light conditions. *Molecular*  
1562 *Therapy* **27**, 1195-1205 (2019).

1563 173 Cehajic-Kapetanovic, J. *et al.* Restoration of vision with ectopic expression of human rod opsin. *Current*  
1564 *Biology* **25**, 2111-2122 (2015).

1565 174 Gaub, B. M., Berry, M. H., Holt, A. E., Isacoff, E. Y. & Flannery, J. G. Optogenetic vision restoration using  
1566 rhodopsin for enhanced sensitivity. *Molecular Therapy* **23**, 1562-1571 (2015).

1567 175 Berry, M. H. *et al.* Restoration of high-sensitivity and adapting vision with a cone opsin. *Nature*  
1568 *communications* **10**, 1-12 (2019).

1569 176 van Wyk, M., Pielecka-Fortuna, J., Löwel, S. & Kleinlogel, S. Restoring the ON switch in blind retinas: opto-  
1570 mGluR6, a next-generation, cell-tailored optogenetic tool. *PLoS Biol* **13**, e1002143 (2015).

1571 177 Surace, E. M. & Auricchio, A. Versatility of AAV vectors for retinal gene transfer. *Vision research* **48**, 353-  
1572 359 (2008).

1573 178 Ivanova, E., Hwang, G.-S., Pan, Z.-H. & Troilo, D. Evaluation of AAV-mediated expression of Chop2-GFP in  
1574 the marmoset retina. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* **51**, 5288-5296 (2010).

1575 179 Yin, L. *et al.* Intravitreal injection of AAV2 transduces macaque inner retina. *Investigative ophthalmology &*  
1576 *visual science* **52**, 2775-2783 (2011).

1577 180 Lagali, P. S. *et al.* Light-activated channels targeted to ON bipolar cells restore visual function in retinal  
1578 degeneration. *Nature neuroscience* **11**, 667-675 (2008).

1579 181 Cronin, T. *et al.* Efficient transduction and optogenetic stimulation of retinal bipolar cells by a synthetic adeno-  
1580 associated virus capsid and promoter. *EMBO molecular medicine* **6**, 1175-1190 (2014).

1581 182 Macé, E. *et al.* Targeting channelrhodopsin-2 to ON-bipolar cells with vitreally administered AAV restores ON  
1582 and OFF visual responses in blind mice. *Molecular Therapy* **23**, 7-16 (2015).

1583 183 Lu, Q., Ganjawala, T. H., Krstevski, A., Abrams, G. W. & Pan, Z.-H. Comparison of AAV-Mediated  
1584 optogenetic vision restoration between retinal ganglion cell expression and ON bipolar cell targeting.  
1585 *Molecular Therapy-Methods & Clinical Development* **18**, 15-23 (2020).

1586 184 Busskamp, V. *et al.* Genetic reactivation of cone photoreceptors restores visual responses in retinitis  
1587 pigmentosa. *science* **329**, 413-417 (2010).

1588 185 Marc, R. E., Jones, B. W., Watt, C. B. & Strettoi, E. Neural remodeling in retinal degeneration. *Progress in*  
1589 *retinal and eye research* **22**, 607-655 (2003).

1590 186 Bruegmann, T., Beiert, T., Vogt, C. C., Schrickel, J. W. & Sasse, P. Optogenetic termination of atrial  
1591 fibrillation in mice. *Cardiovascular research* **114**, 713-723 (2018).

1592 187 Bruegmann, T. *et al.* Optogenetic control of heart muscle in vitro and in vivo. *Nature methods* **7**, 897-900  
1593 (2010).

1594 188 Nussinovitch, U. & Gepstein, L. Optogenetics for in vivo cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapies.  
1595 *Nature biotechnology* **33**, 750-754 (2015).

1596 189 Bruegmann, T. *et al.* Optogenetic defibrillation terminates ventricular arrhythmia in mouse hearts and human  
1597 simulations. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **126**, 3894-3904 (2016).

1598 190 Boyle, P. M., Williams, J. C., Ambrosi, C. M., Entcheva, E. & Trayanova, N. A. A comprehensive multiscale  
1599 framework for simulating optogenetics in the heart. *Nature communications* **4**, 1-9 (2013).

1600 191 Quiñonez Uribe, R. A., Luther, S., Diaz-Maue, L. & Richter, C. Energy-reduced arrhythmia termination using  
1601 global photostimulation in optogenetic murine hearts. *Frontiers in physiology* **9**, 1651 (2018).

1602 192 Nyns, E. C. *et al.* An automated hybrid bioelectronic system for autogenous restoration of sinus rhythm in  
1603 atrial fibrillation. *Science translational medicine* **11** (2019).

1604 193 Entcheva, E. & Kay, M. W. Cardiac optogenetics: a decade of enlightenment. *Nature Reviews Cardiology* **18**,  
1605 349-367 (2021).

1606 194 Zaglia, T. *et al.* Optogenetic determination of the myocardial requirements for extrasystoles by cell type-  
1607 specific targeting of ChannelRhodopsin-2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, E4495-  
1608 E4504 (2015).

1609 195 Ambrosi, C. M. & Entcheva, E. Optogenetics ‘promise: pacing and cardioversion by light? *Future cardiology*  
1610 **10**, 1-4 (2014).

1611 196 Williams, J. C. *et al.* Computational optogenetics: empirically-derived voltage-and light-sensitive  
1612 channelrhodopsin-2 model. *PLoS computational biology* **9**, e1003220 (2013).

1613 197 Karathanos, T. V., Boyle, P. M. & Trayanova, N. A. Optogenetics-enabled dynamic modulation of action  
1614 potential duration in atrial tissue: feasibility of a novel therapeutic approach. *Europace* **16**, iv69-iv76 (2014).



1615 198 Rajendran, P. S. *et al.* Identification of peripheral neural circuits that regulate heart rate using optogenetic and  
1616 viral vector strategies. *Nature communications* **10**, 1-13 (2019).

1617 199 Ambrosi, C. M., Sadananda, G., Han, J. L. & Entcheva, E. Adeno-associated virus mediated gene delivery:  
1618 implications for scalable in vitro and in vivo cardiac optogenetic models. *Frontiers in physiology* **10**, 168  
1619 (2019).

1620 200 Wengrowski, A. M. *et al.* Optogenetic release of norepinephrine from cardiac sympathetic neurons alters  
1621 mechanical and electrical function. *Cardiovascular research* **105**, 143-150 (2015).

1622 201 Moreno, A. *et al.* Sudden heart rate reduction upon optogenetic release of acetylcholine from cardiac  
1623 parasympathetic neurons in perfused hearts. *Frontiers in physiology* **10**, 16 (2019).

1624 202 Greenberg, B. *et al.* Prevalence of AAV1 neutralizing antibodies and consequences for a clinical trial of gene  
1625 transfer for advanced heart failure. *Gene therapy* **23**, 313-319 (2016).

1626 203 Crocini, C. *et al.* Optogenetics design of mechanistically-based stimulation patterns for cardiac defibrillation.  
1627 *Scientific reports* **6**, 1-7 (2016).

1628 204 Hussaini, S. *et al.* Drift and termination of spiral waves in optogenetically modified cardiac tissue at sub-  
1629 threshold illumination. *Elife* **10**, e59954 (2021).

1630 205 Hochbaum, D. R. *et al.* All-optical electrophysiology in mammalian neurons using engineered microbial  
1631 rhodopsins. *Nature Methods* **11**, 825-833, doi:Doi 10.1038/Nmeth.3000 (2014).

1632 206 Klimas, A. *et al.* OptoDyCE as an automated system for high-throughput all-optical dynamic cardiac  
1633 electrophysiology. *Nature communications* **7**, 1-12 (2016).

1634 207 Klimas, A., Ortiz, G., Boggess, S. C., Miller, E. W. & Entcheva, E. Multimodal on-axis platform for all-optical  
1635 electrophysiology with near-infrared probes in human stem-cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Progress in*  
1636 *biophysics and molecular biology* **154**, 62-70 (2020).

1637 208 Dempsey, G. T. *et al.* Cardiotoxicity screening with simultaneous optogenetic pacing, voltage imaging and  
1638 calcium imaging. *Journal of pharmacological and toxicological methods* **81**, 240-250 (2016).

1639 209 Dwenger, M. *et al.* Chronic optical pacing conditioning of h-iPSC engineered cardiac tissues. *Journal of tissue*  
1640 *engineering* **10**, 2041731419841748 (2019).

1641 210 Quach, B., Krogh-Madsen, T., Entcheva, E. & Christini, D. J. Light-activated dynamic clamp using iPSC-  
1642 derived cardiomyocytes. *Biophysical journal* **115**, 2206-2217 (2018).

1643 211 Ochoa-Fernandez, R. *et al.* Optogenetic control of gene expression in plants in the presence of ambient white  
1644 light. *Nature Methods* **17**, 717-725 (2020).

1645 212 Christie, J. M. & Zurbriggen, M. D. Optogenetics in plants. *New Phytologist* **229**, 3108-3115 (2021).

1646 213 Omelina, E. S. *et al.* Optogenetic and Chemical Induction Systems for Regulation of Transgene Expression in  
1647 Plants: Use in Basic and Applied Research. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **23**, 1737 (2022).

1648 214 Papanatsiou, M. *et al.* Optogenetic manipulation of stomatal kinetics improves carbon assimilation, water use,  
1649 and growth. *Science* **363**, 1456-1459 (2019).

1650 215 Reyer, A. *et al.* Channelrhodopsin-mediated optogenetics highlights a central role of depolarization-dependent  
1651 plant proton pumps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 20920-20925 (2020).

1652 216 Zhou, Y. *et al.* Extending the Anion Channelrhodopsin-Based Toolbox for Plant Optogenetics. *Membranes* **11**,  
1653 287 (2021).

1654 217 Huang, S. *et al.* Optogenetic control of the guard cell membrane potential and stomatal movement by the light-  
1655 gated anion channel Gt ACR1. *Science Advances* **7**, eabg4619 (2021).

1656 218 Twell, D., Yamaguchi, J. & McCORMICK, S. Pollen-specific gene expression in transgenic plants: coordinate  
1657 regulation of two different tomato gene promoters during microsporogenesis. *Development* **109**, 705-713  
1658 (1990).

1659 219 Govorunova, E. G., Sineshchekov, O. A., Janz, R., Liu, X. & Spudich, J. L. NEUROSCIENCE. Natural light-  
1660 gated anion channels: A family of microbial rhodopsins for advanced optogenetics. *Science* **349**, 647-650,  
1661 doi:10.1126/science.aaa7484 (2015).

1662 220 Gutermuth, T. *et al.* Pollen tube growth regulation by free anions depends on the interaction between the anion  
1663 channel SLAH3 and calcium-dependent protein kinases CPK2 and CPK20. *The Plant Cell* **25**, 4525-4543  
1664 (2013).

1665 221 Gutermuth, T. *et al.* Tip-localized Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable channels control pollen tube growth via kinase-dependent  
1666 R- and S-type anion channel regulation. *New Phytologist* **218**, 1089-1105 (2018).

1667 222 Gilroy, S. *et al.* ROS, calcium, and electric signals: key mediators of rapid systemic signaling in plants. *Plant*  
1668 *physiology* **171**, 1606-1615 (2016).

1669 223 Choi, W. G. *et al.* (Wiley Online Library, 2017).

1670 224 Fromm, J. & Lautner, S. Electrical signals and their physiological significance in plants. *Plant, cell &*  
1671 *environment* **30**, 249-257 (2007).

1672 225 Vodeneev, V., Katicheva, L. & Sukhov, V. Electrical signals in higher plants: mechanisms of generation and  
1673 propagation. *Biophysics* **61**, 505-512 (2016).

1674 226 Szechyńska-Hebda, M., Lewandowska, M. & Karpiński, S. Electrical signaling, photosynthesis and systemic  
1675 acquired acclimation. *Frontiers in physiology* **8**, 684 (2017).

1676 227 Hedrich, R. & Neher, E. Venus flytrap: how an excitable, carnivorous plant works. *Trends in Plant Science* **23**,  
1677 220-234 (2018).

1678 228 Dawydow, A. *et al.* Channelrhodopsin-2–XXL, a powerful optogenetic tool for low-light applications.  
1679 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 13972-13977 (2014).

1680 229 Inoue, S.-i. & Kinoshita, T. Blue light regulation of stomatal opening and the plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase.  
1681 *Plant Physiology* **174**, 531-538 (2017).

1682 230 Pilot, G. *et al.* Guard Cell Inward K<sup>+</sup> Channel Activity in Arabidopsis Involves Expression of the Twin  
1683 Channel Subunits KAT1 and KAT2. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 3215-3221 (2001).

1684 231 Catterall, W. A., Wisedchaisri, G. & Zheng, N. The chemical basis for electrical signaling. *Nature chemical*  
1685 *biology* **13**, 455-463 (2017).

1686 232 Duan, X., Nagel, G. & Gao, S. Mutated channelrhodopsins with increased sodium and calcium permeability.  
1687 *Applied Sciences* **9**, 664 (2019).

1688 233 Miyashita, T., Shao, Y. R., Chung, J., Pourzia, O. & Feldman, D. Long-term channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)  
1689 expression can induce abnormal axonal morphology and targeting in cerebral cortex. *Frontiers in neural*  
1690 *circuits* **7**, 8 (2013).

1691 234 Rapti, K. *et al.* Neutralizing antibodies against AAV serotypes 1, 2, 6, and 9 in sera of commonly used animal  
1692 models. *Molecular Therapy* **20**, 73-83 (2012).

1693 235 Al-Juboori, S. I. *et al.* Light scattering properties vary across different regions of the adult mouse brain. *PloS*  
1694 *one* **8**, e67626 (2013).

1695 236 Shin, Y. *et al.* Characterization of fiber-optic light delivery and light-induced temperature changes in a rodent  
1696 brain for precise optogenetic neuromodulation. *Biomedical optics express* **7**, 4450-4471 (2016).

1697 237 Arias-Gil, G., Ohl, F. W., Takagaki, K. & Lippert, M. T. Measurement, modeling, and prediction of  
1698 temperature rise due to optogenetic brain stimulation. *Neurophotonics* **3**, 045007 (2016).

1699 238 Owen, S. F., Liu, M. H. & Kreitzer, A. C. Thermal constraints on in vivo optogenetic manipulations. *Nature*  
1700 *neuroscience* **22**, 1061-1065 (2019).

1701 239 Yang, F. & Zheng, J. High temperature sensitivity is intrinsic to voltage-gated potassium channels. *Elife* **3**,  
1702 e03255 (2014).

1703 240 Sabatini, B. L. & Regehr, W. G. Timing of neurotransmission at fast synapses in the mammalian brain. *Nature*  
1704 **384**, 170-172 (1996).

1705 241 Moser, E. I. & Andersen, P. Conserved spatial learning in cooled rats in spite of slowing of dentate field  
1706 potentials. *Journal of Neuroscience* **14**, 4458-4466 (1994).

1707 242 Chen, R. *et al.* Deep brain optogenetics without intracranial surgery. *Nature biotechnology* **39**, 161-164 (2021).

1708 243 Podgorski, K. & Ranganathan, G. Brain heating induced by near-infrared lasers during multiphoton  
1709 microscopy. *Journal of neurophysiology* **116**, 1012-1023 (2016).

1710 244 Picot, A. *et al.* Temperature rise under two-photon optogenetic brain stimulation. *Cell reports* **24**, 1243-1253.  
1711 e1245 (2018).

1712 245 Kravitz, A. & Bonci, A. Optogenetics, physiology, and emotions. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience* **7**, 169  
1713 (2013).

1714 246 Häusser, M. Optogenetics: the age of light. *Nature methods* **11**, 1012-1014 (2014).

1715 247 Chuong, A., Miri, M. & Acker, L. Non-invasive optogenetic neural silencing. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 1123-1129  
1716 (2014).

1717 248 Herman, A. M., Huang, L., Murphey, D. K., Garcia, I. & Arenkiel, B. R. Cell type-specific and time-dependent  
1718 light exposure contribute to silencing in neurons expressing Channelrhodopsin-2. *Elife* **3**, e01481 (2014).

1719 249 Ferenczi, E. A. *et al.* Optogenetic approaches addressing extracellular modulation of neural excitability.  
1720 *Scientific reports* **6**, 1-20 (2016).

1721 250 Otchy, T. M. *et al.* Acute off-target effects of neural circuit manipulations. *Nature* **528**, 358-363 (2015).

1722 251 Sorum, B., Rietmeijer, R. A., Gopakumar, K., Adesnik, H. & Brohawn, S. G. Ultrasound activates  
1723 mechanosensitive TRAAK K<sup>+</sup> channels through the lipid membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
1724 *Sciences* **118** (2021).

- 1725 252 Strickland, D. *et al.* TULIPs: tunable, light-controlled interacting protein tags for cell biology. *Nature methods* **9**, 379-384 (2012).
- 1726
- 1727 253 Kennedy, M. J. *et al.* Rapid blue-light-mediated induction of protein interactions in living cells. *Nature methods* **7**, 973-975 (2010).
- 1728
- 1729 254 Levskaya, A., Weiner, O. D., Lim, W. A. & Voigt, C. A. Spatiotemporal control of cell signalling using a light-switchable protein interaction. *Nature* **461**, 997-1001 (2009).
- 1730
- 1731 255 Farhi, S. L. *et al.* Wide-area all-optical neurophysiology in acute brain slices. *Journal of Neuroscience* **39**, 4889-4908 (2019).
- 1732
- 1733 256 Berndt, A. *et al.* High-efficiency channelrhodopsins for fast neuronal stimulation at low light levels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**, 7595-7600, doi:10.1073/Pnas.1017210108 (2011).
- 1734
- 1735
- 1736 257 Gong, X. *et al.* An ultra-sensitive step-function opsin for minimally invasive optogenetic stimulation in mice and macaques. *Neuron* **107**, 38-51. e38 (2020).
- 1737
- 1738
- 1739 259 Rajasethupathy, P. *et al.* Projections from neocortex mediate top-down control of memory retrieval. *Nature* **526**, 653-659 (2015).
- 1740
- 1741 260 Shevchenko, V. *et al.* Inward H<sup>+</sup> pump xenorhodopsin: Mechanism and alternative optogenetic approach. *Science advances* **3**, e1603187 (2017).
- 1742
- 1743 261 Grimm, C., Silapetere, A., Vogt, A., Sierra, Y. A. B. & Hegemann, P. Electrical properties, substrate specificity and optogenetic potential of the engineered light-driven sodium pump eKR2. *Scientific reports* **8**, 1-12 (2018).
- 1744
- 1745 262 Gradinaru, V., Thompson, K. R. & Deisseroth, K. eNpHR: a Natronomonas halorhodopsin enhanced for optogenetic applications. *Brain cell biology* **36**, 129-139 (2008).
- 1746
- 1747 263 Subach, O. M. *et al.* FRCaMP, a Red Fluorescent Genetically Encoded Calcium Indicator Based on Calmodulin from Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Fungus. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **22**, 111 (2021).
- 1748
- 1749
- 1750 264 Villette, V. *et al.* Ultrafast two-photon imaging of a high-gain voltage indicator in awake behaving mice. *Cell* **179**, 1590-1608. e1523 (2019).
- 1751
- 1752 265 Abdelfattah, A. S. *et al.* Bright and photostable chemigenetic indicators for extended in vivo voltage imaging. *Science* **365**, 699-704, doi:10.1126/science.aav6416 (2019).
- 1753
- 1754 266 Kannan, M. *et al.* Fast, in vivo voltage imaging using a red fluorescent indicator. *Nature methods* **15**, 1108-1116 (2018).
- 1755
- 1756 267 Piatkevich, K. D. *et al.* A robotic multidimensional directed evolution approach applied to fluorescent voltage reporters. *Nature chemical biology* **14**, 352-360 (2018).
- 1757
- 1758 268 Miesenböck, G., De Angelis, D. A. & Rothman, J. E. Visualizing secretion and synaptic transmission with pH-sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* **394**, 192-195 (1998).
- 1759
- 1760 269 Anikeeva, P. *et al.* Optetrode: a multichannel readout for optogenetic control in freely moving mice. *Nature neuroscience* **15**, 163-170 (2012).
- 1761
- 1762 270 Creed, M., Pascoli, V. J. & Lüscher, C. Refining deep brain stimulation to emulate optogenetic treatment of synaptic pathology. *Science* **347**, 659-664 (2015).
- 1763
- 1764 271 Kuhn, J., Gruendler, T. O., Klosterkötter, J. & Bartsch, C. Stimulating the addictive brain. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **6**, 220 (2012).
- 1765
- 1766 272 Pan, Z.-H., Lu, Q., Bi, A., Dizhoor, A. M. & Abrams, G. W. Optogenetic approaches to restoring vision. *Annual review of vision science* **1**, 185-210 (2015).
- 1767
- 1768

1769

1770 **TOC Blurp**

1771 Optogenetic techniques involve the introduction of photoreceptors in selected cells to allow control over their activity

1772 using light. In this Primer, Emiliani et al. discuss the most commonly used optogenetic tools, illumination approaches

1773 and applications in medicine, cardiovascular science and plants, among many other uses.

1774

1775