

Optogenetics for light control of biological systems

Valentina Emiliani, Emilia Entcheva, Rainer Hedrich, Peter Hegemann, Kai Konrad, Christian Lüscher, Mathias Mahn, Zhuo-Hua Pan, Ruth Sims, Johannes Vierock, et al.

► To cite this version:

Valentina Emiliani, Emilia Entcheva, Rainer Hedrich, Peter Hegemann, Kai Konrad, et al.. Optogenetics for light control of biological systems. Nature Reviews Methods Primers, 2022, 2, pp.55. 10.1038/s43586-022-00136-4 . hal-03772021

HAL Id: hal-03772021 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03772021

Submitted on 7 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Optogenetics for light control of biological systems
2	
3	Valentina Emiliani ¹ , Emilia Entcheva ² , Rainer Hedrich ³ , Peter Hegemann ^{4†} , Kai R. Konrad ³ ,
4	Christian Lüscher ⁵ , Mathias Mahn ⁶ , Zhuo-Hua Pan ⁷ , Ruth Sims ¹ Johannes Vierock ⁴ , Ofer Yizhar ⁸
5	Authors are listed in alphabetical order
6 7	
8	¹ Wavefront Engineering Microscopy Group, Photonics Department, Institut de la Vision, Sorbonne Université,
9	INSERM, CNRS, Paris, France
10	² Department of Biomedical Engineering, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA
11	³ Julius-von-Sachs Institute for Biosciences, Molecular Plant Physiology and Biophysics, University of
12	Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany
13	⁴ Institute for Biology, Experimental Biophysics, Humboldt-Universitaet zu Berlin, Berlin Germany
14	⁵ Department of Basic Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; Clinic
15	of Neurology, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland.
16	⁶ Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland.
17	⁷ Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences, Wayne State University School of Medicine,
18	Detroit, USA
19	⁸ Department of Brain Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
20	
21	
22	Email: <u>Hegemann(a)rz.hu-berlin.de</u>
23	
24	Abstract:
25	
26	Optogenetic techniques have been developed to allow control over the activity of selected cells within
27	a highly heterogeneous tissue, using a combination of genetic engineering and light. Optogenetics
28	employs natural and engineered photoreceptors, mostly of microbial origin, to be genetically
29	introduced into the cells of interest. As a result, cells that are naturally light-insensitive can be made
30	photosensitive and addressable by illumination and precisely controllable in time and space. The
31	selectivity of expression and subcellular targeting in the host is enabled by applying control elements
32	such as promoters, enhancers, and specific targeting sequences to the employed photoreceptor-

33 encoding DNA. This powerful approach allows precise characterization and manipulation of cellular

functions and has motivated the development of advanced optical methods for patterned photostimulation. Optogenetics has revolutionized neuroscience during the past 15 years and is primed to have a similar impact in other fields, including cardiology, cell biology and plant sciences. In this Primer we describe the principles of optogenetics, review the most commonly used optogenetic tools, illumination approaches and scientific applications and discuss the possibilities and limitations associated with optogenetic manipulations across a wide variety of optical techniques, cells, circuits and organisms.

- 41
- 42

43 [H1] Introduction

44

45 Light-dependent processes are abundant in nature, occurring in diverse organisms from bacteria and 46 algae to plants and animals and are used for energy capture and storage, to regulate developmental processes and to mediate orientation¹⁻³. While the photoreceptors involved in light-sensing have been 47 48 studied for decades, the use of such proteins for actuation of naturally light-insensitive cells began 49 only in 2002 with the expression of the Drosophila rhodopsin and its associated signaling proteins in neurons⁴. The discovery of channelrhodopsin, identified in the same year in the green alga 50 51 Chlamydomonas, in conjunction with the almost universal cellular availability of the chromophore 52 all-trans retinal (Vitamin A) in most cells and organisms, accelerated the progress of this new 53 technology. Almost in parallel with the initial application of Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) in isolated neurons in 2005⁵ and brain slices in 2006⁶, ChRs were rapidly adapted for use in living model 54 organisms, including chicken embryos⁷ and C. *elegans* in 2005 ref⁸, Drosophila in 2006 ref⁹, freely 55 moving mice in 2007 ref¹⁰, zebrafish in 2008 ref¹¹ and even non-human primates in 2009 ref¹². The 56 57 first experiments that pointed toward potential therapeutic applications were performed in 2006, pioneered by the expression of ChR in inner retinal cells to restore vision in blind mice¹³. Optogenetics 58 59 is based on sensory photoreceptors sequences from microalgae, fungi or bacteria. But, only the 60 combination of photoreceptor-encoding DNA with control elements like promoters and targeting 61 sequences, typically derived from genes expressed selectively in target, allows the protein allows 62 specificity not only in the choice of target cell population but also in the subcellular compartments to 63 be manipulated. The DNA-constructs are incorporated into target cell populations, tissues or living

organisms using vectors such as plasmids, viral vectors or bacteria using established transformation
 technologies (Fig. 1).

66 The robust function and revolutionary utility of ChR2 in neuroscience resulted in the 67 description and application of many photoreceptor subtypes, engineered or retrieved from genomic 68 or cDNA databases, progress in protein expression and targeting, microelectrode [G] and optrode [G] 69 technology, and finally the combination of optogenetic actuators [G] with optical fluorescent reporter 70 systems and high-resolution subcellular imaging, accelerating the interdisciplinary growth of 71 optogenetic technology with unprecedented pace. The need to control neuronal activity with increased 72 spatial resolution has in turn motivated the development of advanced optical methods for patterned 73 photostimulation. Digital mirror devices (DMD) or liquid crystal spatial light modulators (LC-SLM) 74 coupled to single or two-photon excitation have enabled single and multi-target excitation in vitro and 75 in vivo with single-spike precision and cellular resolution in head-restrained and freely-moving 76 animals¹⁴. Optogenetics has developed as a basic science methodology for dissecting biological 77 functions; while it has initially been adopted by neuroscientists to study brain function and 78 dysfunction, it has expanded into new research fields such as cardiology, microbiology, immunology, 79 parasitology and plant science. These developments are culminating in highly-anticipated clinical 80 applications, as envisioned in the early days of optogenetics, including multiple clinical trials 81 currently in progress for selected human disorders. A crude timeline of key breakthroughs in 82 optogenetic technology is displayed in Figure 2.

83 With the growth of optogenetic technology came an abundance of tools with diverse functional 84 properties. This Primer is focused predominantly on rhodopsin-based optogenetic tools, which are the most widely used within the growing optogenetic toolbox. While the differences between tools can 85 86 be subtle, their spectral sensitivity, kinetic properties and ion selectivity can have a major influence 87 on the outcome of an optogenetic experiment. Understanding these features and careful design are 88 therefore crucial for the success and interpretability of optogenetic experiments. As the technology 89 matures and gains popularity across multiple fields of biology, this Primer aims to provide 90 experimentalists with the most relevant knowledge needed to design, perform, and interpret 91 optogenetic experiments.

92

93 [H1] Experimentation

94 Optogenetic experiments are based on the combination of several fundamental components: a 95 genetically-encoded actuator that, after reconstitution with an organic molecule serving as 96 chromophore, responds to light and can be used to influence the function of the tool-expressing cell 97 or tissue in a light dependent manner; a light source providing light at the appropriate wavelength and 98 intensity; and a light-delivery system, which allows for illumination of targeted cells for temporally-99 precise activation of the optogenetic actuator. Together, these components allow the experimenter to 100 modulate the biological system and interrogate its function.

101

102 **[H2] Selecting the correct actuator**

103 When designing an optogenetic experiment, the first considerations should be the cellular parameter 104 to be modulated and the available optogenetic actuators for such an endeavor. An enormous number 105 of light-switchable tools have been developed for controlling ion fluxes and membrane voltage, Gprotein signaling, regulation of second messengers such as Ca²⁺, cAMP, cGMP, IP3, receptor tyrosine 106 107 kinases (TRKs), organelle repositioning, transcription and translation (Fig. 2). Most actuators rely on 108 photoreceptors or light sensing modules of natural origin, although photoswitchable synthetic organic compounds have also been employed¹⁵. The use of photoswitchable synthetic organic compounds is 109 110 also known as chemooptogenetics or photopharmacology, and the interested reader might consult related reviews^{15,16}. Many light-modulated actuators have been described that do not rely on opsin 111 112 proteins. While this Primer is focused on the opsin-based toolbox, the reader might find more information about non-opsin-based optogenetic tools in several excellent recent reviews^{17,18}. 113

114

115 [H3] Light-activated ion channels

116 Until recently the most widely applied optogenetic photoreceptor was Channelrhodopsin-2 from the alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (known as CrChR2 or simply C2) and its variant ChR2-H134R^{8,19}. 117 Presently, almost 900 ChR sequences have been identified, including many with properties superior 118 to those of the original prototypes (Figure 2)²⁰. ChRs may be subdivided into cation or anion 119 conducting channels, termed CCRs and ACRs respectively. CCRs typically conduct multiple types of 120 cations with high preference for protons. Na⁺ selectivity varies widely among different CCRs²¹ and 121 122 divalent cations are only poorly conducted under most physiological host conditions. Whereas there 123 are no Ca²⁺-selective CCRs available to date, continuous metagenomic screening recently revealed a

124 new class of potassium selective channels (KCRs)²². ACRs are selective for a number of anions, 125 similar to most human anion channels²³.

126 In host cells, Na⁺ and H⁺-conducting CCRs can be used as depolarizing actuators, whereas the 127 action of ACRs depends on the chloride reversal potential in the targeted cells or subcellular 128 compartment (Box 1). ACRs may clamp the voltage to near the resting potential and inhibit action 129 potential firing by shunting inhibition (Box 1). However, in cardiac cells, immature neurons and 130 presynaptic terminals, chloride gradients are less pronounced and ACRs may depolarize the cell membrane^{24,25}. In plants, the chloride gradient is always directed outward, and ACR activation will 131 132 generally lead to membrane depolarization. Thus far, KCRs have been applied under highly controlled in vitro conditions, but --- once established for in vivo experiments --- hold major promise for 133 134 optogenetic inhibition in all variants of cells and host model systems.

135 Our current molecular understanding of ChRs mostly relies on CrChR2, which has been 136 extensively studied and modified with respect to kinetics, ion selectivity, inactivation and absorption wavelength ^{26,27} revealing principles that have been successfully transferred to other CCRs 137 138 (Supplementary Figure 1). Recently discovered channelrhodopsins such as ChRmine and KCRs 139 belonging to a new family of cation conducting ChRs hold great promise, but understanding of their molecular mechanism is only beginning to emerge^{28,29}. The maximal color sensitivity of known ChRs 140 so far spans from 445 nm for TsChR to 610 nm for the ChrimsonSA mutant and Ruby-ACR³⁰⁻³² 141 142 (Figure 2). Such distinct color sensitivity may allow the combination of different ChRs within the 143 same experiment for activation and inhibition of the same or different cells. However, all rhodopsins 144 absorb blue or UVA light to a certain extent due to transition to higher excited state levels. This has 145 to be taken into consideration when combining multiple rhodopsins in a single or multiple cell 146 populations (Supplementary Figure 2). For bidirectional voltage modulation [G] for example, the 147 more potent actuator should be selected to absorb at the shorter wavelength (Figure 2) thereby 148 allowing for lower light powers used in the blue range, which will in turn minimize the undesired 149 activation of the red-shifted actuator. Another consideration is the reversal potential of the conducted 150 ion. In nature, as well as in neuronal experiments, ACRs operate closer to the reversal potential than Na⁺ or H⁺ conducting depolarizing CCRs. While it is possible to co-express two opsins using two 151 152 separate viral vectors, this approach inevitably leads to incomplete co-expression in all cells. To 153 overcome this draw back, several constructs have been engineered which allow tandem expression of 154 two opsins from the same vector. The most prominent examples are eNPAC which coexpresses

eNpHR3.0 and ChR2(H134R) initially linked by a 2A selfcleaving peptide³³, and BiPOLES³⁴, which 155 156 combines the red-shifted CCR Chrimson with the blue-shifted GtACR2 in a single targetingoptimized fusion contruct³⁵. Due to the stoichiometric membrane expression, equal photocurrents near 157 the cellular resting potential and comparable light sensitivities of both channel modules, BiPOLES 158 outperforms previous bicistronic combinations of ChR2 with different ion pumps^{59,60} and guarantees 159 subcellular colocalization and selective red-light excitation for multicolor applications. A 160 161 combination of optogenetics and chemogenetics has been exemplified by direct fusion of slow cycling step function rhodopsins (SFOs) with a luciferase that produces light upon peripheral injection of its 162 163 small molecule substrate. These luminopsins allow direct light stimulation by optical fibers, while at the same time providing chemogenetic access in awake and anesthetized animals in vivo^{61,62}. 164

165

166 [H3] Light-driven pumps

167 The first application of optogenetics for neuronal silencing was achieved with the chloride pump halorhodopsin ³⁶. However, since the discovery of ACRs, the interest in optogenetic silencing 168 169 of animal cells by light-driven pumps has decreased in animal cells because pumps require higher 170 expression levels and higher light intensities for sufficient ion turnover (Supplementary Figure 3). In contrast, in plants — which naturally hyperpolarize their membranes and drive secondary transporters 171 via H⁺ pumps — light-driven H⁺ pumps are valuable tools. The advantage of light-driven pumps is 172 173 their high ion specificity and robust electric response that depends less on the ionic composition of the surrounding buffers and the membrane voltage. Light-driven chloride pumps such as NpHR³⁷ or 174 Jaws ³⁸ allow reliable — although often weak — neuronal inhibition in synaptic terminals, where the 175 176 action of ACRs is difficult to predict due to variable and elevated intracellular chloride concentrations 177 ³⁹. Pumps may be successfully used in small compartments such as neuronal vesicles, lysosomes⁴⁰, 178 mitochondria or thylakoids, where the action of ion channels is poorly defined due to the lack of free ions⁴¹. In the plasma membrane the use of light-driven ion pumps requires caution because both proton 179 180 and chloride pumps can drive non-physiological ion concentrations in neurons and trigger off-target 181 effects, including a transient increase of the chloride reversal potential, leading to excitatory actions 182 of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA and alkalization of presynaptic terminals, leading to increased spontaneous neurotransmission ^{39,42}. 183

184

185 [H3] Optogenetic control of biochemical signaling pathways

186 Animal rhodopsins are G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and animal vision is the most studied 187 G-protein signaling pathway. A pioneering study demonstrated that bovine rhodopsin expression may 188 be used to activate G-protein signaling in Xenopus oocytes but without describing the signaling mechanism⁴³. However, the off-response of rod-rhodopsins remained uncontrollable in the absence 189 190 of rhodopsin kinase and Arrestin, and responses severely declined upon repetitive stimulation. The responses of G_{i/o} activating cone rhodopsins ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ or Gs-specific box jellyfish opsins ⁴⁷ declined faster, 191 192 but were still not tightly controllable. The solution was approached by revitalizing melanopsin OPN4, which can be switched on and off with blue and yellow light, albeit incompletely due to substantial 193 overlapping spectra of the dark-state and signaling-state ⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ and only the UV-sensitive Lamprey 194 195 Parapinopsin (PPO) with its green-absorbing signaling state offered efficient on and off switching 196 with a dual color light source ⁵¹⁻⁵⁴.

197 GPCR signaling depends on many properties of the receptors, including substrate binding 198 kinetics, G-protein specificity and timing of activation and receptor inactivation, which in total cannot 199 be fully mimicked by rhodopsins. One way to more selectively mimic the activity of a specific GPCR 200 is to engineer hybrids between structurally related opsins and GPCRs (optoGPCRs) ^{55,56}. OptoGPCRs 201 open new and possibly more specific routes for the analysis of intracellular signaling pathways 202 compared to unmodified rhodopsins whereas the dynamics of G-protein coupling and pathway 203 recruitment still has to be approached by testing various expression levels and light regimes. However, 204 these optoGPCRs cannot be simply transferred to another cell type because G-protein promiscuity might activate unwanted pathways ^{48,57}. With OptoGPCRs the application of G-protein activation has 205 206 enormously broadened the optogenetic actuator toolbox. These tools will be well-suited for 207 temporally-defined modulation of non-excitable cells, potentially including glial cells in the brain and 208 other non-neuronal cell types ⁵⁸.

209 Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are another large family of cell surface receptors that sense 210 growth factors and hormones to regulate a variety of cellular behaviors by target phosphorylation. 211 Engineered light sensitive epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR1) and the fibroblast growth factor 212 receptor 1 (FGFR1) have shown robust light activation of both RTK-receptors and cellular signaling 213 in human cancer and endothelial cells and faithful mimicking of complex mitogenic and morphogenic cell behavior ⁵⁹. Cobalamin-binding domain (CBD) and tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) have 214 been fused to RTKs to yield light-sensitive receptors^{60,61}. Fusions with TrkB have high specificity for 215 216 the target proteins, although their application range is narrow and the constructs need to be optimized

7

for every new application. Moreover, one drawback is that cobalamin-based light sensors or phytochrome-based light sensors generally require addition or cellular synthesis of the cofactor molecules, making their potential for in vivo applications more complex than the application of the retinal-based photoreceptors.

- 221
- 222

223 [H3] Second messengers

224 Photoactivated cyclases (PACs) have been employed for direct control of the second messengers 225 cAMP and cGMP. The soluble bPAC from *Beggiatoa spp*. is a tandem of BLUF-type light sensors 226 (blue-light sensors using FAD (Flavin adenine dinucleotide) (Figure 2) with C-terminal adenylyl 227 cyclases. These optogenetic actuators show millisecond-range on-kinetics upon photostimulation and a second-range off-kinetics in the dark (bPAC $\tau_{off} = 12$ s)⁶². Coexpression of bPAC with the small 228 229 prokaryotic potassium-channel SthK (PAC-K silencer) in two-component optogenetic approaches has 230 been exploited for long lasting neuronal hyperpolarization in cardiomyocytes as well as in fly, mouse 231 and zebrafish neurons providing high operational light sensitivity but low time resolution 62-65. However, color modification is only possible within a small range around 470 nm and occasional 232 residual dark activity has been observed ⁶⁶. New spectral windows were opened by introducing 233 234 Rhodopsin Guanylyl Cyclases (RGCs), which are cyclases with N-terminally linked rhodopsins. 235 These rhodopsin-cyclases (RhCs) are characterized by low dark-activity, effective light absorption (ε $> 32000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and the promise of flexible color tuning ⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹. RhCs show millisecond-range off-236 237 kinetics, are naturally GTP selective and are convertible into ATP cyclases by genetic engineering. 238 Some members of the fungal Chytridiomycota may use heterodimeric RhGCs, with one blue or green 239 sensitive rhodopsin catalyst, and a second near infrared sensitive modulator (NeoR, $\lambda_{max} = 660-700$ 240 nm). These NeoRs might allow to extend the usable spectral range into the superior infrared spectral 241 window ⁷⁰.

242 [H3] Protein abundance

Control over the concentration of selected proteins within a cell has been a long-standing goal, and has stimulated the interest of protein engineers for decades. The most obvious point of intervention is the regulation of transcription. Previously explored concepts were based on the connection of DNAbinding proteins to a photoreceptor such as Phytochrome, FKF1 or VIVID (LOV-proteins), or CRY. Upon illumination, these photoreceptors bind to their signaling partner proteins PIF3, 248 GIGANTEA/Tulips or CIB respectively, with bound components of the transcription machinery as 249 VP16 or VP64. In light, the transcription component is attracted to the promoter region of interest by 250 the photoreceptor and signal-protein interaction leading to the assembly of the transcription complex 251 and initiation of transcription. But, the used GAL4-DNA binding domains have to be incorporated 252 into the model organism (reviewed in^{71,72}). To address any promoter of interest, programmable DNAbinding proteins zinc finger-DNA binding proteins⁷³, TALEs⁷⁴ and deactivated Cas9 have been 253 functionalized as the second generation of transcription regulators^{75,76}. The main caveat for Cas9 254 255 application is the prolonged occupancy of Cas9 at its DNA binding site, especially in situations where 256 the DNA is not cleaved, which disturbs gene expression prior to the intended start of the experiment⁷⁷. 257 Inserting a LOV-domain into an anti-CRISPR protein like AcrIIA4 or AcrIIC3 (CASANOVAs) 258 overcomes this problem and makes Cas9 binding better controllable. This approach works reliably in 259 HEK cells, but has not been rigorously tested for non-embryonic cells such as neurons ¹⁰⁴.

260

261 [H2] Targeting strategies

262 Optogenetics was first applied in neuroscience, driven by the complexity of neural circuits and the 263 demand for improved selectivity in perturbational approaches for studying neural circuits. Genetic 264 techniques, viral vector technology and optical methods have grown rapidly around the developing 265 optogenetic toolbox. As a result, the tools and enabling technologies for optogenetic experimentation 266 in neuroscience, as well as the fundamental understanding of the caveats and constraints of their 267 application, are more advanced in neuroscience than in other fields. In the following section, we 268 review some of the major targeting approaches for expression of optogenetic tools in neural circuits. 269 One of the major benefits of the optogenetic paradigm is its selectivity to defined cells and circuits. 270 In neuroscience applications, genetic targeting of optogenetic tools has advanced considerably, and 271 has profited greatly from developments in viral vector technologies. Since optogenetic tools are 272 genetically-encoded and mostly single-component actuators (requiring the introduction of only one 273 gene to the target cell population), multiple delivery methods can be used to introduce them into the 274 cells of interest. Targeting strategies are either based on promoter specificity directly, or through a 275 combination of a conditional transgene expression cassette that can be switched on or off using a 276 recombinase.

277

278 [H3] Transgenic expression of optogenetic tools

279 Transgenic expression is the simplest approach to implement since it requires only the maintenance of an opsin-expressing animal strain (Figure 3a)⁷⁸ or the crossing of two strains of animals. The latter 280 281 involves a driver line - engineered to express a recombinase or transcription regulator like Cre and Flpo (in rodents) or a Gal4 driver (in zebrafish) in a particular cell population — and an animal strain 282 283 expressing a conditional opsin gene under the control of the relevant driver (Figure 3b). The F1 284 progeny of such a cross will express the opsin gene in all cells in which the driver protein is expressed, 285 and will therefore be amenable to optogenetic manipulation simply by illuminating the targeted brain 286 region. The approach is simple to implement, but one should consider potential caveats, including the 287 presence of axons from neurons in other brain regions, which might be activated along with the cell 288 bodies in the illuminated region. In mice, expression of ChR2 or eNpHR3.0 from the ROSA26 locus ⁷⁹ can be quite weak and not universally sufficient to drive activity in every neuron subtype. 289 Expression of opsin genes from the TIGER locus⁸⁰ showed stronger opsin expression and might 290 291 therefore be useful for some target neuron populations. However, this approach requires generation 292 and/or breeding of a dedicated animal strain for every targeted neuron population and thus lacks the 293 versatility and cost-efficiency of viral vector-based approaches. Another potential confound is unintentional targeting in some driver lines (see for instance ⁸¹), making the verification of driver lines 294 advisable⁸². 295

296 [H3] Viral vector targeting

297 Lentiviral or adeno-associated viral vectors (AAVs) can be engineered to encode optogenetic 298 actuators and delivered either directly to the brain parenchyma or through systemic injection to target 299 either specific brain regions or brain-wide populations, respectively. Targeting of genetically-300 identified neuronal populations is achieved either by using the tissue tropism of the virus serotype and 301 a cell type-specific promoter or enhancer (Figure. 3c), or by injecting the viral vector into a transgenic 302 recombinase-expressing animal strain (Figure 3d). Promoter-based viral vector targeting is attractive 303 since it does not require the maintenance of a specific animal strain for every target neuron population, 304 and can also be applied in non-genetic models. However, the limited viral payload size — particularly 305 of AAVs — prohibits the use of most native promoters. The list of minimal promoter or enhancer 306 sequences that have been validated to specifically express in defined neuron populations is quite restricted. However, this field is rapidly expanding^{83,84} and is further diversified by synthetic 307 approaches⁸⁵. 308

309 [H3] Circuit-based viral vector targeting

- 310 The most commonly used retrograde viral tracer is AAVretro⁸⁶, which can be taken up by presynaptic
- 311 terminals and travel in retrograde to express at the soma of long-range projecting neurons (Figure 3e).
- 312 The herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV1) and canine adenovirus 2 (CAV2) both have retrograde targeting
- 313 abilities, but these are less readily available and have been shown to impair the health of targeted
- 314 neurons, particularly over longer expression times of weeks to months ⁸⁷.

315 [H3] Systemic delivery of AAV-PHP capsids

316 Targeting sparse brain-wide populations is beneficial for some experimental configurations. For 317 example, structural imaging of dendritic spines in cortex or excitation of a randomly-selected sparse 318 ensemble in a given brain region. For this purpose, AAV-PHP vectors have been engineered to cross 319 the blood-brain barrier with high efficiency (Figure 3f). The AAV-PHP serotypes allow targeting of 320 diverse central and peripheral nervous system neurons ^{88,89}. The same capsids can be used with Cre-321 dependent AAV expression plasmids to allow sparse brain-wide expression in a genetically-defined 322 neuronal subtype. However, the efficiency of AAV-PHP serotypes in crossing of the blood brain 323 barrier can vary in different mouse strains⁹⁰.

324 [H3] Electroporation

Concentrated DNA can also be injected into the cerebral ventricles followed by in utero electroporation ⁹¹⁻⁹³, enabling the study of neural cell fate determination and migration or cortical layer specific expression.

328

329 [H2] Compartment-specific functions

330 The effective current resulting from a light-gated channel conductance can vary dramatically due to 331 local ion concentration gradient differences. For neuroscience applications, this is particularly crucial 332 for use of ACRs. At the somatic and dendritic compartments, this is an advantage, as they can be used 333 for shunting inhibition. In contrast, ACRs can exert excitatory effects in axons and presynaptic 334 terminals, in which the intracellular chloride concentration is higher (Box 1). Ion pumping rhodopsins 335 on the other hand translocate the ion over the membrane in a predetermined direction, which can be 336 an advantage due to the increased control of ion flux. However, the pumping-induced 337 hyperpolarization and elevation in ion concentration can also have side effects, like the alkalinization 338 of presynaptic boutons ⁹⁴ or an artificial increase in intracellular chloride ⁴². Similarly, the effects of 339 G-protein coupled animal rhodopsins on neuronal activity strongly depend on the given second

messenger cascade in the local compartment. For instance, in the soma and dendrite, $G_{i/o}$ signaling can activate G protein-coupled inward rectifying potassium channels whereas in the presynaptic compartment the $G_{i/o}$ pathway mainly acts through inhibition of voltage gated calcium channels and cAMP signaling ⁵⁴.

344

345 [H2] Optimizing expression and targeting

346 Beyond single channel conductance, one of the main factors determining maximal photocurrent is the 347 number of functional opsin molecules in the membrane, which in turn depends on expression level, 348 protein-folding efficacy, retinal binding affinity, membrane trafficking and protein turnover rate. The 349 expression level of a transgene can be controlled via promoter strength and transgene copy number. 350 The opsin-folding efficacy and protein stability was shown to depend on the availability of the chromophore retinal ⁹⁵. While retinal availability does not seem to be a limiting factor in mammalian 351 tissues, it needs to be routinely supplemented in the food of invertebrate model systems and some 352 353 cultured cell lines. In plants, the absence of retinal can be compensated for by its synthesis via 354 expression of a bacterial β -dioxygenase that facilitates rhodopsin expression. A common issue with 355 unmodified opsin expression cassettes is aggregation of the synthetized protein in the endoplasmic 356 reticulum (ER). To overcome this limitation, trafficking motifs involved in transport of membrane 357 proteins along the secretory pathway to the cell surface were utilized to improve plasma membrane 358 targeting (Supplementary Figure 4). The most widely-used trafficking motifs utilized were first 359 described for the potassium channel Kir2.1 — these motifs enhance ER export as well as Golgi-toplasma membrane trafficking ⁹⁶, resulting in higher plasma membrane localization and increased 360 photocurrents in animal ⁹⁷ as well as plant cells ⁹⁸. 361

362 Further optimization of functional expression can be achieved by adjusting the linkers between the 363 opsin and the often co-expressed fluorophore, mutating potential ubiquitination sites, and screening random mutations in the opsin coding sequence ⁹⁹. Beyond improved photocurrents, targeting an opsin 364 365 to a selected subcellular compartment can be used to investigate the function of the chosen 366 compartment, such as the mitochondria, synaptic vesicles, lysosomes or ER (Supplementary Figure 367 4), or to use the differential effects of ion channels discussed above. Somatic restriction has been 368 successful in increasing the specificity of single-cell stimulation by reducing inadvertent modulation of nearby neurites ¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰⁵, as well as in reducing ACR-mediated axonal excitation ²⁴. Somatic 369

370 restriction has the added effect of accelerating the effective photocurrent off-kinetics, due to the 371 elimination of photocurrents arising from distal neurites in the illuminated tissue volume, as these are 372 low-pass filtered while traveling along the neurite to the somatic compartment.

While targeting microbial rhodopsins to presynaptic vesicles is feasible⁴⁰, enrichment of rhodopsin abundance in the axonal plasma membrane has not been achieved. Cytosolic proteins can be enriched in the axon by mRNA shuttling motifs. However, local rhodopsin translation in the axon has not been successfully applied, potentially due to a lack of transmembrane protein synthesis in the vertebrate axon ¹⁰⁶.

378

379 [H2] Light delivery techniques

380 Although the vast majority of advanced light targeting approaches have been developed with the 381 specific applications of neuronal and cardiac optogenetics in mind, these methods are generalizable and are beginning to be applied to other systems¹⁰⁷. Optogenetics is readily applicable to light-382 383 accessible preparations such as cultured cells, tissue slices, transparent organisms such as zebrafish 384 larvae or to the cortical surface of the mammalian brain, allowing for extensive flexibility in light 385 delivery. For whole circuit or brain region optogenetics, light needs to reach the target with sufficient 386 irradiance to induce opsin activation. Ideally, light should be guided into the target structure with 387 minimum damage to the tissue. In behaving animals, stimulation should also be conducted with 388 minimal disruption to the measured behavior, limiting implantable weight and tether stiffness. Whole 389 circuit/region optogenetic stimulation is typically carried out using a multimode optical fiber, guiding 390 the light from the source to the target (Figure 4a-b). Optical fibers targeting a deep brain region can 391 be permanently implanted by attaching a fiberoptic implant to the skull using dental cement. The 392 dimensions of the fiber and its optical properties strongly influence the spatial profile of light reaching 393 the brain. Most commonly, flat-cleaved optical fibers are used. However, the high radiant flux density 394 necessary at the fiber tip to achieve a sufficient irradiance within the targeted volume, can lead to heat-induced changes in neuronal activity and behaviour ^{108,109}. It is therefore advisable to consider 395 396 tissue heating when planning the experiment and to use opsin-free light stimulated controls. One 397 approach to minimizing the irradiance required in optogenetic experiments is to maximize the 398 operational light sensitivity of the opsin used (Supplementary Figure 3). Another factor is wavelength, 399 as absorption is higher for shorter wavelengths and therefore the peak temperature increase is lower for longer wavelengths at the same radiant flux density. Increased optical fiber diameter also reduces the peak light power density. However, wider fibers also cause more tissue damage and have a higher chance of illuminating blood vessels, which strongly absorb visible light and thus increase potential heating-related artifacts. This tradeoff can be at least partially mitigated by the use of tapered optical fibers (Figure 4b), which can be used to flexibly illuminate a large brain volume¹¹⁰.

405 In these conventional optogenetic experiments, visible light is mostly delivered non-specifically to 406 large tissue regions and genetic targeting strategies are used to express the optogenetic actuator in 407 specific cell types. This approach has enabled tissue function to be mapped with unprecedented 408 anatomical and cell-type specificity. However, widefield illumination synchronously activates or 409 silences entire populations of all opsin-expressing cells, which does not replicate the physiological 410 case: adjacent cells belonging to genetically defined classes have been observed to exhibit divergent 411 activity patterns. To investigate complex population activity patterns, whole-region optogenetics is 412 insufficient. DMD coupled to single-photon excitation have enabled single and multi-target excitation 413 in head-restrained and freely-moving animals and found in situ applications in control of excitation waves underlying cardiac arrythmias^{111,112113,114}. However, the use of visible light has limited these 414 415 approaches to superficial brain layers or low scattering samples. Recent developments in opsin 416 engineering, optical microscopy and multiphoton laser source development have given rise to circuit optogenetics¹¹⁵, which allows modulation of neuronal activity deep in scattering tissue with single-417 418 spike precision and single-cell resolution (Figure 4c-e). Specifically, combining variants with enhanced kinetics ³⁰ ¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸, higher conductance ^{116,118,119} or shifted absorption peaks ^{30,119,120} with 419 optimized targeting and expression strategies ¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰⁴, enable neuronal control with single-cell, single-420 421 spike precision at millisecond temporal resolution and the generation of action potential (Ap) trains with high (50-100 Hz) spiking rates ^{121,122}. In parallel, advanced optical techniques, based on two-422 423 photon (2P) excitation (Box 2) have been developed to precisely guide light through tissue. The small single-channel conductance of commonly used optogenetic actuators such as ChR2 (40-90 fS)¹²³, and 424 425 the limited number of channels or pumps recruited within a conventional 2P focal volume, mean that 426 it is generally necessary to use spiral scanning or parallel light shaping using computer generated 427 holography or the generalized phase contrast method (Supplementary Figure 5) combined with temporal focusing (Supplementary Figure 6)¹⁴ to increase the portion of excited membrane ^{124,125} and 428 429 to sufficiently depolarize a neuron to firing threshold or effectively silence it. Holographic light multiplexing with spiral scanning ¹²⁶ or ad hoc spatiotemporal shaping approaches (Supplementary 430

431 Figure 7) have been used to generate patterned illumination at multiple axially distinct planes ^{116,127,128}. Multiplexing divides the available laser power between targets and thus requires powerful 432 433 lasers. Due to the higher peak photon density, amplified low-repetition rate (200 kHz - 10 MHz) fiber 434 lasers enable higher rates of 2P absorption compared to titanium:sapphire oscillators (at the same 435 average power) and can therefore be used to reduce the necessary power to generate physiological signals ¹²⁹. Additionally, these sources deliver tens of Watts of power, facilitating the simultaneous 436 photostimulation of hundreds of cells throughout mm³ volumes. The combination of these 437 438 technologies has recently led to the first demonstrations of multi-target neural circuit manipulation 104,118,130 439

440 The ability to control neuronal activity with single-cell precision and millisecond temporal 441 resolution allows to functionally probe neuronal networks beyond the resolution of synchronous 442 modulation of entire networks or genetically defined network components. For instance, using 443 temporally precise single-cell excitation in visual cortex and olfactory bulb, the minimal number of co-activated cortical neurons necessary for visual perception ¹³¹ and the dependence of olfactory 444 445 perceptual detection on both the number of activated neurons and their relative spiking latency was characterized ¹³². The requirement of high numerical aperture objectives has limited 2P-optogenetics 446 to circuits in superficial ($\leq 500 \ \mu m$) cortical areas of mouse brain, transparent zebrafish larvae ¹³³ or 447 448 in-vitro applications. Micro-endoscopes are small optical probes that can be inserted into living 449 tissues, and represent a promising solution to extend optical brain manipulation to deeper brain structures both in combination with holographic spiral scanning ¹³⁴ or multi-temporally focused light 450 shaping approaches ¹³⁵. Three-photon (3P) optogenetics, which relies on longer wavelengths and 451 452 exhibits a cubic dependence of excitation efficiency on excitation power, could potentially be used to 453 stimulate neuronal circuits in deeper brain regions (600 μ m – 1 mm) with single-cell resolution. However, to date, 3P photostimulation has only been demonstrated in vitro ¹³⁶. 454

- 455
- 456

457 **[H1] Results**

458

459 [H2] Output analysis

When designing optogenetic experiments, care should be taken to verify the impact of the optogenetic manipulation on the targeted cells. This can be achieved in a number of ways, including 462 electrophysiological recordings in vitro or in vivo, optical recordings with genetically-encoded 463 sensors, immediate early gene labeling and noninvasive imaging modalities. Below we outline the 464 major techniques used in such experiments, and the considerations that should be taken into account 465 when designing and performing such experiments.

466

467 [H3] Electrophysiological recordings

468 To interpret the results of optogenetic manipulations, it is often necessary to determine the extent of 469 optogenetic tool expression and its physiological effects on the targeted neurons. In the case of light 470 gated ion channels or pumps, recording the electrophysiological changes induced by the optogenetic 471 manipulation is the most direct way to characterize light-mediated effects (Figure 5a-c). As these 472 effects can vary greatly between cell types, brain regions and even viral serotypes ¹³⁷, it is crucial to 473 validate the optogenetic effector in every new experimental system before proceeding to behavioral 474 or other functional readouts. To describe effects on the level of spike rates and timing, whole-cell 475 recordings are often not necessary. Instead, extracellular recordings are often used (Figure 5d-f), given their higher throughput and minimal crosstalk with light delivery (see¹³⁸ for discussion of light-476 477 induced electrical artifacts). However, higher frequency spiking activity does not necessarily indicate 478 increased synaptic transmission from the stimulated neurons¹³⁷. Synaptic depression and depletion of 479 neurotransmitter release can lead to erroneous interpretation and should be taken into account when 480 performing optogenetic excitation experiments, particularly with neuromodulatory and neuropeptide-481 releasing neuronal populations.

482

483

484 [H3] Optical recordings

Fluorescent reporters are another common method for monitoring the effects of optogenetic manipulations. These techniques enable recording from the same cells over several recording sessions and the concurrent recording of high numbers of cells. However, given that optogenetics itself relies on light delivery, fluorescent reporters can be efficiently integrated only if a spectral or light power separation can be achieved to minimize the crosstalk between the recording and manipulation modalities (Supplementary Figure 2). Additionally, when combining red-shifted Ca²⁺ indicators with 491 optogenetic actuators, extra care must be taken, as these can show blue-light-activated photoswitching 492 behavior that can resemble Ca^{2+} activity in their amplitude and kinetics ¹³⁹.

493 While genetically encoded calcium sensors continue to be the state of the art in terms of optical 494 activity readout, voltage indicators are gradually reaching a level of maturity that could allow for wider adoption by the field ¹⁴⁰. Novel fluorescent sensors for neurotransmitters, neuromodulators and 495 other small molecules are continuously developed¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³. Another approach to read out gross neuronal 496 497 firing rate changes is to characterize the expression of immediate early genes [G], for instance via 498 immunohistochemistry on the protein level ¹⁴⁴ or on the mRNA level using quantitative PCR, in-situ hybridization or single cell RNA sequencing ¹⁴⁵. Immediate early gene expression can be used to 499 500 determine the relationship between the modulation of specific neuronal populations and global brain 501 activity ¹⁴⁶. However, the temporal precision of this approach is limited to the average neuronal activity over minutes to hours and, unless combined with targeted recombination approaches ¹⁴⁷, only 502 503 a single manipulation can be characterized per animal.

504 Although channelrhodopsin variants with peak single-photon (1P) excitation wavelengths spanning the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum have been engineered ²⁶, performing crosstalk-free, 505 multi-colour 2P experiments is not trivial. Ideally, spectrally orthogonal channelrhodopsins and 506 507 activity reporters would be chosen, but, unfortunately, the 2P action spectra of commonly used opsins are extremely broad (Supplementary Figure 8) ²⁶. As previously introduced, opsins with red-shifted 508 509 action spectra exhibit persistent activation in the blue range, which coincide with wavelengths used 510 for 2P imaging of commonly used activity reporters (920 - 980 nm). One approach to reduce crosstalk 511 is to use opsins with fast kinetics. Although this approach does not eliminate sub-threshold network 512 perturbation, the (relatively) fast repolarization of neurons expressing channelrhodopsins with fast 513 off-kinetics means they are unlikely to fire action potentials due to excitation by the imaging laser 514 during scanning. Successful employment of this method requires careful titration of imaging 515 parameters, including imaging power, frame rate and field of view. This is an interim approach until 516 high efficiency blue-shifted opsins, red-shifted activity indicators and amplified lasers in the 517 appropriate spectral range become more widely available.

518

519 [H3] Alternative recording modalities

520 Electrophysiological and optical recording modalities both suffer from potential interactions with the 521 light required to excite optogenetic actuators. The hemodynamic response [G] is an alternative 522 physiological response to neural activity which can be exploited to report the impact of optogenetic 523 modulation. For superficial brain areas such as the cortex, the hemodynamic response can be measured via intrinsic imaging ^{148,149}, while functional magnetic resonance imaging¹⁵⁰ can be utilized 524 to record brain-wide hemodynamics. Although the noninvasive nature and the ability to measure the 525 526 hemodynamic response throughout the entire brain are major advantages, the main drawbacks are that 527 the temporal resolution of this approach is fundamentally limited by the specificity and kinetics of the hemodynamic response itself and the limited spatial resolution of neurovascular coupling ¹⁵¹. Heating 528 should also be taken into account here as it can directly impact the hemodynamic response ¹⁵². 529 530 Functional ultrasound imaging is a rapidly developing technology which could be used to perform 531 brain-wide detection of neural activity triggered by localized optogenetic stimulation. Although this 532 method still relies on changes in neurovascular blood volume changes, it can be performed at a 533 fraction of the cost of functional MRI recordings and is rapidly advancing to allow better spatio-534 temporal resolution and portability ¹⁵³.

535

536 [H2] Linking neural to behavioral readouts

537 The exquisite spatial and temporal control of genetically defined cells with optogenetics are attractive 538 features for experiments aiming at establishing links with causality between neural activity and 539 behavior. The growing understanding of neuronal coding has also led to a nuanced understanding of 540 the limits of interpretability of such experiments. However, when appropriately designed and 541 controlled, optogenetic experiments can provide important information on how neural circuits drive 542 behavioral processes.

543 Choosing the locus of intervention may be instructed by previous literature, lesion experiments 544 and behavioral pharmacology. For example, we know that silencing the motor cortex with compounds 545 like muscimol or baclofen causes motor impairment while optogenetic stimulation elicits muscle contraction¹⁵⁴. While gain of function experiments may be a starting point, cell type-specific 546 547 optogenetic inhibition of genetically-defined neurons in the motor cortex would provide a more 548 complex picture, better dissociating physiological motor response from an artificial perturbation¹⁵⁵. 549 Another way to determine the brain region and cell types of interest is the use of activity markers such 550 as the immediate early genes c-Fos or Arc. Finally, technological advances in wide-field optical

18

551 monitoring of intracellular calcium may allow to visualize the activity of large cortical areas^{151,156} and 552 selectively silence defined cortical regions transcranially¹⁵⁷. Alternatively, high density electrical 553 recordings^{158,159}can elucidate the activity of many neurons in deeper structures. This allows the 554 experimenter to identify circuits with activity patterns that may be relevant to the behavior to be 555 studied.

556 Observational experiments should be implemented to characterize the functional properties of 557 the cell population to be modulated (Figure 6). This may be achieved using electrophysiology in vivo — for example, by tetrode recordings of photo-tagged neurons ¹⁶⁰ or genetically encoded calcium 558 559 sensor imaging¹⁶¹. The choice of the optogenetic intervention should ideally be instructed by these 560 observational investigations and match the dynamic range of the activity observed. Additional 561 selectivity can be achieved by aiming at axon terminals rather than cell bodies. Effectors aiming at 562 hyperpolarizing terminals or creating shunting inhibition may not always be efficient or at times even perturb para-membranous ion concentrations such that the effect is difficult to predict³⁹. With the 563 advent of Gi/o-coupled effectors^{53,54}, presynaptic inhibition is more straightforward, but it remains 564 565 good practice to validate the efficacy of inhibition, as well as its spatial selectivity, particularly with 566 the highly light-sensitive effectors. It is particularly important to take into account the firing frequency 567 of the cells under investigation as presynaptic inhibition is potentially less efficacious at higher firing 568 rates.

569 There are two distinct approaches for optogenetic manipulations, one with an acute effect, the 570 other with long-lasting effects. Acute manipulations require behavioral observations in real time. 571 Ideally, a small set of optogenetic trials should be randomly interleaved with control trials. This allows 572 us to assess not only the acute effects on optogenetic trials, but also to determine if there are longer-573 lasting changes to the subsequent control trials. Such laser on-off protocols can be used to control for 574 adaptive behavioral changes throughout a given session. However, often the particular structure of 575 the behavioral paradigm does not allow for hundreds of trials. The timing of the optogenetic 576 stimulation or inhibition should therefore occur in a behaviorally defined window, and be only as long 577 as is strictly necessary.

578 Long-term observation is appropriate when optogenetic interventions exploit synaptic 579 plasticity mechanisms. For example, synaptic potentiation typically is achieved by high stimulation 580 frequency, while depression requires sustained low frequency stimulation. Optogenetic synaptic plasticity protocols are particularly suited to study learned and adaptive behavior. The goal of longterm observation experiments is to induce synaptic plasticity at identified synapses and observe the effect on behavior at a later time point when optogenetic stimulation is no longer active. For example, low-frequency optogenetic stimulation can restore baseline transmission in cortico-accumbal synapses that have been potentiated by cocaine exposure ¹⁶². Similarly, daily optogenetic stimulation of orbitofrontal to dorsal striatum axons for 10 minutes triggered long-term changes in synaptic strength and inhibited compulsion ¹⁶³.

588

589 [H1] Applications

590 The vast majority of applications of optogenetics have involved neuroscience and brain research. 591 Many of the general principles and approaches of optogenetics can be extended to other organs, 592 particularly to those with excitable cells — like skeletal muscle, heart, retina and gut — as well as to 593 microorganisms and plants. These newer applications often present unique challenges and 594 opportunities. Below, we illustrate some of these aspects with three select examples from visual, 595 cardiac and plant applications.

596 [H2] Vision restoration

Retinal degenerative diseases, such as retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and age-related macular degeneration (AMD), result in the loss of rod and cone photoreceptor cells, leading to partial or complete blindness ^{164,165}. Rendering inner retinal neurons responsive to light is one of the most obvious medical applications for optogenetics (Figure 7A). The first proof-of-concept study involved the ubiquitous expression of ChR2 in retinal ganglion cells in retinal degenerated mice¹⁶⁶. The approach since then has been reported by numerous studies using different optogenetic tools, retinal cell targeting strategies and animal models (Supplementary Table 1).

Multiple clinical trials using ChRs for treating RP-related blindness have been initiated since 2015, with encouraging results (Supplementary Table 2). Recently, the first published case study reported the partial restoration of vision (in the form of perceiving, locating and counting objects) in a blind patient with RP ¹⁶⁷. Positive preliminary results have also been reported in other clinical trials (Supplementary Table 2). However, further efforts will be required to improve the outcome of optogenetic vision restoration, including the development of effective optogenetic tools and treatment strategies, and theimprovement of gene delivery efficiency.

611 [H3] Optogenetic tools

612 ChRs have been the more commonly used optogenetic tools for vision restoration in animal models and the ones used so far in clinical trials. Two main issues should be considered when choosing an 613 614 optogenetic tool for vision restoration. The first is the tool's expression efficiency and long-term 615 safety in mammalian neurons; problems with the expression of an optogenetic tool are difficult to 616 correct and usually result in cell toxicity in the long-term. The second issue is the low operational 617 light-sensitivity of ChR-expressing retinal neurons in general caused by the small unitary conductance 618 and substantial inactivation. The requirement of high light-intensity to activate the ChR-expressing 619 retinal neurons constrains this application and also raises concerns regarding tissue photochemical 620 damage, especially for short-wavelength sensitive ChRs. One solution to mitigate the potential 621 photochemical damage is to use red-shifted ChRs, such as Chrimson, since the threshold of light 622 intensity causing tissue photochemical damage is shifted to higher light-intensities for longer wavelengths¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰. Another solution is to improve the light sensitivity of a ChR expressing cell by 623 slowing its closing kinetics or off-rate with molecular engineering ¹⁷¹ (Supplementary Figures 1 and 2) 624 combined with genome mining for more potent ChRs³⁰. This strategy has been recently used to further 625 optimize the more effective ChR variant CoChR. Functional vision is restored with improved CoChR 626 627 mutants under ambient light conditions in a blind mouse model ¹⁷². A third solution is to use GPCRs, including animal opsins (for example, rhodopsin and cone opsins)¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁵ or engineering of optoGPCR 628 629 chimeras ¹⁷⁶, taking advantage of their high light sensitivity due to intracellular signal amplification. 630 Further studies will need to evaluate the most effective optogenetic tools or develop better ones for 631 this application.

632 [H3] Gene delivery

AAV vectors are the current choice for transgene delivery in the retina both in animal studies and in clinical trials ¹⁷⁷. Intravitreal injection is a preferred route of viral vector administration due to its safe operation and ability to achieve widespread delivery to the retina. However, in non-human primates and in humans, virus transduction was mainly conferred to a narrow region surrounding the fovea or parafoveal region ^{178,179}, due to the barrier of a thick limiting membrane in the retinal surface of primates ²², which is one of the major factors limiting the outcome of AAV-mediated optogenetic
therapy. Further development of more efficient gene delivery vehicles or techniques is required.

640 [H3] Retinal cell targeting

641 Most animal studies and clinical trials have employed ubiquitous promoters to express depolarizing ChRs in 642 retinal ganglion cells. However, unlike the normal visual processing features in the retina including 643 the segregation of ON and OFF signal pathways and the presence of antagonistic center-surround 644 receptive fields (Figure 7Aa), this treatment strategy converts all retinal ganglion cells to ON cells 645 (Figure. 7Ab). Although useful vision could still be generated as demonstrated in animal studies and 646 reported from clinical trials, it is commonly believed that restoration of vision to mimic the intrinsic 647 visual processing features in the retina would result in a better outcome. To this end, one strategy is 648 to target an optogenetic tool to distal retinal neurons. Targeting a depolarizing ChR to ON bipolar 649 cells using the mGluR6 promoter has been the most commonly employed strategy (Figure 7Ac). Due 650 to the unique rod pathway in the mammalian retina, this could lead to ON and OFF responses at the level of retinal ganglion cells ¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸³, and possibly center-surround receptive fields. Targeting surviving cone 651 photoreceptors with a hyperpolarizing optogenetic tool, such as eNpHR, has also been reported ¹⁸⁴. As a 652 653 limitation for this strategy, the distal retinal neurons are more susceptible to severe retinal 654 deterioration or remodeling than retinal ganglion cells after the death of photoreceptors¹⁸⁵. Multiple 655 treatment strategies will need to be developed for treating patients with different retinal degenerative 656 conditions.

657 [H2] Cardiac research

658 The key benefits for clinical translation are sought in more versatile optogenetic pacing or suppression 659 of wave propagation during arrhythmias, compared to currently used cardiac devices like pacemakers 660 and cardioverter/defibrillators (Figure 7B) ¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁹³. Strategies for rhythm control enabled by 661 optogenetic actuators aim to lower the energy needed to power cardiac devices and extend battery life by delivering longer lower-energy light pulses — electrical pulse duration is limited due to 662 663 electrochemical toxicity via Faraday effects. Optogenetic actuators also eliminate discomfort and pain 664 during classic cardioversion/defibrillation for better quality of life by using cell-specific genetic targeting to engage the fast conduction system ^{190,194} or to specifically target myocytes and avoid 665 unintended contractions of thoracic skeletal muscle, diaphragm and vocal cords like pain-inducing 666 667 electrical defibrillation ¹⁹⁵. Computational modeling of the action of optogenetic tools in the heart

668 helps to explore strategies for control of arrhythmias, both with excitatory/depolarizing opsins and with inhibitory/hyperpolarizing opsins ^{113,189,190,196,197}. Longer-term in vivo clinical applications face 669 670 the challenges of genetic modification of the hard-to-access cardiac muscle, potential immune 671 responses and realizing embedded miniaturized light control devices that are reliable and safe ¹⁹³. 672 Light penetration in the haemoglobin-rich heart muscle requires operation in the near-infrared and 673 opsins excitable within that range, along with stabilization techniques to counter mechanical 674 contractions. The atria are thinner (human atria are < 5mm) and present an easier target, along with more accessible autonomic nerves, such as the vagus nerve ¹⁹⁸. 675

676 AAV9 is the most efficient AAV serotype for targeting the ventricular myocytes in vivo when using an ubiquitous or a specific promoter, such as Myh6¹⁹⁹. The heart atria can be targeted 677 optogenetically using the NPPA promoter and local viral gene delivery ¹⁹². Cre-Lox transgenic mouse 678 679 models with suitable promoters have been used to transform the fast conduction system cells (Cx40)¹⁹⁴, sympathetic neurons (tyrosine hydroxylase, TH)²⁰⁰, and parasympathetic neurons (choline 680 acetyltransferase, ChAT) ^{198,201} (Figure 7Ba). To translate the approaches from rodents to larger 681 682 animals, more work is needed in finding minimally-invasive ways of transgene delivery to the heart 683 and in minimizing immune responses. Previous clinical trials on gene therapy for cardiac disorders 684 found that a large portion of the patients had antibodies against the viral vectors used, thus reducing the efficacy of the therapy²⁰². Most of the published studies have used ChR2-H134R as excitatory 685 opsin. In general, more efficient and fast inhibitory opsins are desirable for arrhythmia control 686 687 applications. There may also be a niche for step-function-like depolarizing [G] opsins that have fast 688 recovery from inactivation as clamping tools in arrhythmia management. Bidirectional closed-loop 689 control could make an all-optical approach, named optical clamp [G] at the whole organ level a reality. 690 However, this will require spectral compatibility to accommodate not only for an excitatory and an 691 inhibitory opsin, but also for the optical readout of a voltage indicator.

Overall, clinical applications of optogenetics in the heart face many challenges compared to the more accessible, immune-privileged applications to the eye that have seen translational advances. Considering the potential impact for control of arrhythmias, efforts should continue to improve the genetic targeting by more specific promoters, safer viral vectors, longer-wavelength opsins for better penetration and miniaturized distributed light sources. Basic science experiments with optogenetic tools provide invaluable insights for improvement of current cardiac devices and may yield new

strategies for arrhythmia control ^{112,114,193,203,204}. These new strategies take advantage of the ability to 698 produce complex space-time control patterns by light (unlike discrete signals from electrode arrays) 699 700 to steer waves of excitation towards non-arrhythmic behavior at very low energy. Optogenetics-701 empowered high-throughput systems can more immediately improve cardiotoxicity testing and drug 702 development. All-optical cardiac electrophysiology, which combines optogenetic actuators and optical/optogenetic sensors ²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁷, offers immediate adoption and translation (Figure 7Bc). 703 704 Cardiotoxicity testing is crucial in the development of any new pharmaceutical, and high-throughput 705 optogenetic methods with patient-derived cells represent impactful technology for personalized medicine ^{208,209}. Optogenetic techniques using hyperpolarizing opsins like ArchT have been used to 706 707 dynamically alter the action potential characteristics of induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived cardiomyocytes (iPSC-CMs) towards a more mature phenotype to better predict drug responses ²¹⁰. 708 709 The maturity of tissue-engineered constructs of such patient derived iPSC-CMs can be improved through chronic optogenetic pacing ²⁰⁹ towards new regenerative solutions for the heart 710 711 (Supplementary Figure 9).

712

713 [H2] Plants

714 A large set of photoreceptors that control phototropism, diurnal rhythms and photomorphogenesis 715 play fundamental roles in plant growth and development. Blue-light absorbing phototropins and 716 cryptochromes or red/far-red light absorbing phytochromes are found in almost all plant tissues 717 (Figure 7Ca). Therefore, when using optogenetics tools in plants, the light regime [G] used needs to 718 be considered. The light required for plant growth will activate optogenetic tools when light of the 719 entire visible spectrum is used; this can be avoided by combining a blue light-regulated transcriptional repressor with a red light-triggered switch ²¹¹, allowing plants to grow at ambient white light. The use 720 721 of flavoprotein-based optogenetic tools in plants has been described in detail recently ^{212,213}. Based on 722 the light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain, a synthetic light-gated K⁺ channel with considerable dark 723 activity, called BLINK1, was recently expressed in Arabidopsis guard cells for control of stomatal 724 behavior $\frac{214}{2}$. The mechanism of BLINK1 light activation that clamps the membrane potential to E_K 725 and facilitates stomatal opening and closing in the same way, remains to be clarified. A rather simple 726 but valuable technique to avoid non-specific activation of rhodopsin-based optogenetic tools is to grow plants exclusively in red light ^{98,215}. Both chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b absorb red light (Figure 727

7Ca), and tobacco plants exclusively grown in red-light are hardly distinguishable from those grown
in white light ⁹⁸. Green light is the least absorbed wavelength by endogenous plant photoreceptors,
therefore, green light allows for optogenetic manipulation with only minimal crosstalk ⁹⁸ (Figure
7Ca), especially with GtACR1.

732 Rhodopsin-based plant optogenetic approaches are still in their infancy compared to their 733 long-standing use in animals. The combination of ubiquitous rhodopsin expression with global or local light-emitting diodes (LED) or laser light applications have been used in plants^{98,215-217}. 734 However, cell type-specific expression with global green light exposure certainly bears great 735 736 potential, when combined with red light growth conditions. Use of the LeLAT52 pollen-specific 737 promoter²¹⁸ allows plants to be grown in white light under greenhouse conditions for optogenetics-738 inspired research on pollen tubes ⁹⁸. For local rhodopsin stimulation at the single-cell level, fiberoptics or laser light pulses have been successfully applied^{98,217}. The Fluorescence Recovery After 739 740 Photobleaching (FRAP) module of conventional laser scanning microscopes allows local optogenetic 741 stimulation of plant cells when using rhodopsins, like GtACR1, with activation kinetics in the lower ms range⁹⁸. 742

To perform plant optogenetics with rhodopsins, retinal can be added externally ²¹⁵ 743 744 (Supplementary Figure 10 a-c), or plants can be empowered to produce retinal by expressing a ß-745 dioxygenase from a marine bacterium targeted to the chloroplasts to synthesize retinal from 746 carotenoids efficiently ⁹⁸ (Supplementary Figure 10 d-f). In contrast to animal cells, the plant cell 747 extracellular medium is low in ions and mostly moderately acidic, which may result in different 748 electrical responses in plant and animal cells using the same rhodopsin (Supplementary Figure 11). Activation of ACRs in the soma of neurons leads to membrane hyperpolarization ²¹⁹, while 749 depolarization occurs in plant cells ⁹⁸ due to the outward-directed anion gradient. When expressed in 750 751 leaves or pollen tubes, activation of GtACR1 by green light (530 nm) resulted in membrane depolarization by about 60-100 mV within milliseconds⁹⁸. Local GtACR1 activation on one side of 752 753 the dome of apically growing pollen tubes has been used to demonstrate the involvement of an anion 754 efflux in polar growth ⁹⁸ (Supplementary Fig. 10 e, f), supporting earlier studies on the role of anion 755 transport in polar growth ^{220,221}. In guard cells, native anion channel activity can be mimicked when 756 GtACR1 is triggered by a series of light pulses (Figure 7Cc-f), demonstrating that anion channel driven depolarization is sufficient to close stomata ²¹⁷. Although plants do not have neuronal-like 757 758 networks, voltage changes in the form of depolarization waves are transmitted between leaves or even

between different organs ²²²⁻²²⁴. The role of these long-range electrical signals can now be investigated with the help of GtACR1. Through GtACR1 induced anion efflux, depolarizations of any shape and intensity can be optogenetically generated to mimic the voltage changes observed in plants such as variation potentials, system potentials or action potentials²²⁵⁻²²⁷.

A wide-range of processes in plants are induced by changes in cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ and H⁺ levels 763 ^{245,246}. For both ions, there is a strong inward gradient, in contrast to animal cells, where there are 764 765 minimal differences in intracellular and extracellular pH (Supplementary Figure 11). The slow cycling ChR2 variant XXL with high proton conductance²²⁸ is excellent to impose light-induced pH changes, 766 and has already been used to feed the P-type plasma membrane H⁺ pump with substrate and study its 767 voltage dependence ²¹⁵(Supplementary Figure 10 b, c). The resting potential of plants is negative with 768 769 respect to E_K (-120 to -180 mV) due to the voltage dependent activity of P-type plasma membrane H⁺ pumps. The latter hyperpolarize the membrane and acidify the cell wall space ²²⁹. This voltage 770 deflection is used by the plant to open hyperpolarization active Shaker type K⁺ channels ²³⁰ and 771 electrophoretically move K^+ ions into the cell ²³¹. The combined driving proton-motive-force (PMF) 772 of the electrical gradient and that of the H⁺ is used by solute transporters using protons as co-substrate. 773 774 The plant optogenetics toolbox therefore needs to be complemented by light-driven H⁺ pumps such as Arch3. Great potential for the study of Ca²⁺ signaling is the ChR2 variant XXM with increased 775 Ca²⁺ conductivity and medium open state lifetime ²³². Combined with electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ 776 imaging, the molecular mechanisms for long-distance Ca^{2+} signaling could be resolved. Ca^{2+} 777 signatures can represent either single events or rhythmically recurring signals. Whether and how 778 different Ca²⁺ signatures control specific processes in plants is still largely unexplored. In the future, 779 Ca²⁺-permeable ChRs could be used to elicit defined Ca²⁺ signatures. 780

781

782 [H1] Reproducibility and data deposition

783 [H2] Reproducibility of optogenetic tools

Reproducibility in optogenetics experiments depends on the consistency of the tools used, the organism/cell type, genetic transformation procedures and light delivery. Adherence to minimum reporting standards for all relevant parameters of an experiment can help to increase reproducibility. 787 Optogenetic actuators are used in a diverse range of organisms, tissues and cell types. Because 788 of differences in codon usage between the original host and the organisms in which the tools are 789 applied, it is common to codon-optimize the coding sequence to facilitate translation in these 790 heterologous systems. New codon-optimized sequences should be tested for expression, membrane 791 targeting and function before applying these novel constructs in optogenetic experiments. The 792 sequences of codon-optimized constructs should be appropriately reported in publications to allow 793 reproduction of findings in other laboratories. However, even with codon-optimization or adding 794 traffic motifs, the intracellular aggregation of many optogenetic actuators can still pose a problem for 795 their applicability, particularly for translational applications. A thorough evaluation in targeted 796 organism/cell type is needed because the intracellular aggregation not only reduces expression 797 efficacy but also affects cell health or causes cell death.

798 Viral vectors are a popular gene-delivery system for optogenetic tools. The quality of viral 799 vectors, purity and viral titer can profoundly affect the transduction efficiency and experiment 800 outcome. The quality of viral vectors produced by different laboratories, centralized viral vector cores 801 and companies can vary widely. Variation can even occur from batch to batch produced at the same 802 facility. Therefore, even when produced by centralized viral vector cores, service centers and major 803 labs, viral vector preparations can vary in quality and efficiency. To minimize the variation, 804 standardized purification and titration methods should be used. Each batch needs to be verified before 805 scaling up experiments in order to obtain reproducible results.

806 [H2] Reproducibility of opsin expression

807 Evaluation of the viral titer is needed to optimize viral vector spread and expression level, and to 808 minimize overexpression-mediated off-target effects. Many opsin viral vectors were designed to co-809 express a fluorophore. Standard histological methods can be used to visualize the strength and spatial 810 extent of viral vector expression. Characterizing viral expression for every experimental animal can 811 increase interpretability by correlating the variability in behavioral effect to the variability in 812 expression area and, for instance, optical fiber placement. Even when an experiment is planned based 813 on published work, the experimental design should be validated in each new experiment due to the 814 potential variability of viral vector batches, optical hardware and mouse strain. When presenting 815 results obtained using viral vectors, the source of the viral vector, its purification and titration 816 methods, and the duration of expression should be reported.

817 Viral vector expression can impact cell health or change the electrophysiological properties of 818 the targeted neurons. It is therefore necessary to include a control group injected with a titer-matched 819 virus that expresses a control transgene. Researchers often use a virus encoding the same fluorophore 820 that is co-expressed with the opsin. This control group can be used to evaluate direct effects of the 821 virus injection surgery and potential phototoxic or heating effects due to the light delivery paradigm. 822 Strong opsin expression has been reported to affect cell physiology ²³³. It is therefore advisable to 823 include an opsin expressing group where no light is applied. Where the experiment allows for multiple 824 repeats of the same manipulation, light and no light conditions can be tested in the same group, which 825 presents a within-animal control.

Transgenic animals for optogenetics research should be genotyped continuously to confirm suitability for the experiments. For in vivo optogenetics with viral delivery, even when using the same tools in the same organism type, variations in responses may be due to variations in the immune response of the subjects (animals or humans) to the viral capsid, or the cargo (opsin and/or fluorescent reporter). To obtain reproducible data with viral delivery, testing for neutralizing antibodies can be implemented ²³⁴. Appropriate control groups, immunohistochemistry and histology should be done routinely in animal experiments to demonstrate consistency of the optogenetic transformation.

833 [H2] Reproducibility of light delivery

834 Activation of optogenetic tools depends on the photon irradiance or photon exposure in case of short 835 flashes and the spectral profile of the delivered light. The spectral profile should be reported by listing 836 the light source, all filters and optical components used in the experiments. Insufficient irradiance 837 may lead to failure to engage the optogenetic tools and therefore failure to reproduce the phenotypic 838 changes; excessive irradiance may lead to adverse thermal effects and photoreceptor bleaching that 839 also affect reproducibility. For single-photon excitation, the spatial pattern of the delivered light is 840 variable and highly depends on the positioning of the light source and the tissue properties. While 841 total power is trivial to report, the normalized values of irradiance are influenced by the uncertainties 842 of area estimation and the non-uniform spatial profile of light delivery. At a minimum, effort should 843 be made to measure and report irradiance at the tissue point-of-entry. Whenever possible, light-tissue 844 interactions can be simulated ²³⁵ to yield relevant estimates of irradiance at points of interest.

845 Under optimal conditions, two photon optogenetics is capable of stimulating individual 846 neurons within a circuit with single spike and single cell resolution. Irrespective of the light sculpting 847 method used (spiral scanning or parallel illumination), one must keep in mind that the effective 848 spatiotemporal resolution of optogenetic stimulation depends on several factors, including the 849 functional expression level of the opsin, the targeting specificity, and the photon density required for 850 sufficient actuation. Once a reliable and reproducible experimental preparation has been established, 851 and the average incident powers required identified, the physiological resolution should be measured 852 experimentally rather than drawing any conclusions about the confinement of actuation based on the 853 optical resolution of the light targeting method.

854 [H2] Data and metadata sharing

The data type and format from optogenetics experiments can be extremely diverse. Outputs may include spectra, ion channel recordings, functional recordings of responses by different measurement technologies, images of altered responses and behavioral analysis, among others. For each sub-field where optogenetics is deployed, minimum standards of reporting and guidance of data sharing will help determine best practices. In general, specifics of the instruments used, the acquisition and the analysis software need to be included. Github, figshare and other general repositories for data and analysis tools can be used to increase reproducibility.

862

863 **[H1] Limitations and optimizations**

864 [H2] Tissue heating and photodamage

865 [H3] Single-photon optogenetics

866 Optogenetic experiments based on illumination with visible light excitation (450–630 nm) typically 867 use optical fibers coupled to lasers or high-power LED for large (~mm³) field illumination, relatively 868 long (0.5-60 s) exposure times and excitation powers on the order of milliwatts (0.5-20 mW). Under 869 these conditions, the main cause for concern with respect to photodamage is heating due to light 870 absorption. This has been investigated both theoretically, using Monte Carlo with finite-difference 871 time-domain simulations¹⁰⁸ or the finite element method ²³⁶, and experimentally using thermocouples^{108,236}, infrared cameras ²³⁷ or electrophysiological recordings ²³⁸. Depending on the 872 873 precise stimulation protocol used, these experimental and theoretical studies report a wavelength and

874 power density dependent temperature increase between 0.3-6 K throughout the volume of illuminated tissue ²³⁷ ¹⁰⁸. Temperature variations on the order of only 2 K can affect ion channel kinetics and 875 conductance ²³⁹, synaptic transmission ²⁴⁰ and neuronal firing rate ¹⁰⁸, and lead to a bias in turning 876 across various brain regions²³⁸. Importantly, changes in temperature can induce 877 behavior physiological changes in the absence of detectable changes in behavior ²⁴¹. It is extremely important 878 879 to carefully design optogenetic experiments to minimize photon exposure and absorption, for instance by using short illumination duty cycles ²³⁷ and opsins with long open state lifetimes and red-shifted 880 absorption peaks ^{30,104,242}. Simulations ^{108,237,243,244} can be used to guide experimental design, but, 881 882 since the effects of heating vary between cell types and brain regions, opsin-negative controls should 883 always be performed.

884

885 [H3] Multiphoton optogenetics

886 Generating sufficient rates of multi-photon excitation requires the use of pulsed lasers with high peak 887 energies, but since typical optogenetic stimulation protocols irradiate cells on millisecond timescales, the temperature rises induced by single-cell multi-photon photostimulation are of the order of 10^{-1} K 888 ²⁴⁴. Much larger temperature rises are induced during multi-target excitation due to the diffusion of 889 890 heat from each target into the surrounding tissue. The resultant temperature increase occurs over 891 hundreds of milliseconds and can approach or even exceed the 2 K threshold for thermal damage ²⁴⁴. 892 This effect can be mitigated by ensuring that the separation between adjacent targets is larger than the 893 thermal diffusion length.

The risk of non-linear photodamage increases with peak fluence and could be a dominant source of photodamage in the case of spiral scanning which typically requires higher photon density than parallel illumination. Non-linear photodamage can be reduced by increasing the repetition rate of the pulsed laser source although this will increase photo induced temperature rises ²⁴⁴. In all-optical experiments which combine 2P optogenetics with 2P imaging, the possibility of thermal or nonlinear damage induced by the imaging laser should also be considered²⁴³.

- 900
- 901

902 [H2] Interpreting optogenetic experiments

903 Light delivery schemes based on single-photon excitation are not generally capable of recapitulating 904 physiological activity patterns. In most optogenetic gain and loss of function experiments, a set of 905 cells is activated or silenced, and the effects of this manipulation are subsequently characterized by 906 functional or behavioral readouts to probe causal dependencies. Light-delivery via an optic fiber can 907 be precisely controlled in terms of output power and temporal pattern to influence neuronal functions 908 like spike rate and spike pattern and may be restricted to specific short behavioral epochs. However, 909 such optogenetic manipulations typically lead to highly synchronous activity patterns, and might drive 910 the circuits to states that are outside of their physiological activity range, potentially confounding any causal inference regarding the natural functions of the circuit ²⁷². One major current effort aimed at 911 912 overcoming these constraints is the development of tools for evoking naturalistic network activity 913 patterns. Such manipulations would enable causal inference of the effects of an activity pattern on a 914 given behavior.

915 Non-physiological activity patterns can occur at the single-cell level as well as at the broader circuit scale ^{245,246}. On the single-cell level, ion pump-mediated hyperpolarization for instance can 916 lead to rebound excitation upon inhibition release ²⁴⁷ or to supra-physiological ion concentrations ^{42,94}. 917 918 High-frequency light pulse trains or constant illumination of an excitatory pyramidal neuron 919 expressing a CCR can, for instance, lead to depolarization block, effectively reducing rather than increasing its firing rate ²⁴⁸. Whether such rebound excitation or depolarization block occurs and to 920 921 what extent is hard to predict, as it depends on many experiment-specific parameters which can greatly 922 vary between laboratories. While axonal stimulation can be used to effectively isolate the activity of 923 an anatomically-defined projection pathway, optogenetic stimulation of axons can cause antidromic 924 activation [G] of both neuronal cell bodies as well as collaterals to other brain regions, leading to 925 reduced specificity which should be taken into account.

At the circuit level, particularly when a large portion of cells expresses ion translocation-based optogenetic tools such as ion-channels or ion-pumps, the simultaneous activation of these tools can lead to transient but significant changes in the ion composition of the local extracellular space, thereby indirectly affecting nearby non-opsin expressing neurons ²⁴⁹. Electrophysiological characterization of the optogenetic manipulation can be performed to quantify the extent of such unintended effects, allowing the optimization of light power and illumination paradigms. Optogenetic tools that modulate biochemical activity within the cells or ones that act on slower timescales, or only induce subthreshold

depolarization, are less prone to the caveats imposed by highly synchronous neuronal activation ²⁵⁰. 933 934 Finally, optogenetic firing rate modulation experiments are mostly designed to acutely alter the firing 935 rates of targeted cells, which can have different effects than chronic manipulations. Brain circuits 936 regulate their overall activity to achieve a homeostatic equilibrium, such that when the firing rate of 937 a circuit is transiently increased or decreased, it can acutely affect the independent functions of 938 downstream circuits and lead to markedly different results compared with chronic manipulations²⁵⁰. 939 Acute effects are normally more severe, and could lead to overestimation of the roles of targeted 940 regions in a given behavior. While chronic manipulations such as lesions do not suffer from this 941 limitation, plastic changes during lesion recovery can also lead to an underestimation of the necessity 942 of a given input to a local circuit. In summary, a sound experimental strategy should balance the use 943 of acute and powerful optogenetic approaches with chronic experiments, pharmacological 944 manipulations or lesions, and use caution in claims of causality based purely on manipulations that 945 might suffer from any of the above-mentioned artifacts.

946

947 **[H1] Outlook**

948 [H2] Refinement of the optogenetic toolbox

We anticipate a further optimization of existing tools in terms of kinetics, ion or substrate selectivity, and widening of the spectral range from UV to the near-infrared to enhance the use of optogenetics. Additional light-activated enzymes allowing for optogenetic control beyond cell excitability are still to be discovered. Efforts are currently directed at optogenetic control of translation, transcription, nucleotide modification and epigenetics, as well as protein degradation. We are also expecting better tools for the control of cellular mechanics, development and differentiation.

955

956 [H2] Enhancement of basic research

957 Optogenetics will further advance the investigation of neural circuits. This will not only establish 958 links of causality between neural activity and behavior but eventually generate sufficient knowledge 959 for a theory of the brain to emerge. Empirically observed neural activity in optogenetic experiments 960 taking into account the activity of individual neurons may eventually allow deriving the neural code, 961 which, when integrated into a solid theoretical framework, will bring the neurosciences at par with 962 other fields of natural sciences. Optogenetics may also drive basic knowledge in other fields of life science, from cardiac physiology to plant physiology. For plant optogenetics, which is still in its infancy, there is great potential through the recently introduced in planta retinal synthesis, which now allows access for many rhodopsin-based manipulations. Implementing optogenetic approaches in any system of excitable cells will allow for the investigation of so far intangible questions. This may apply for example to the control of muscle contraction in the heart as well as the insulin secretion in the pancreas.

970

971 [H2] Open routes of translation

972 Beyond advancing basic science, optogenetics also has translational potential, either by inspiring 973 novel protocols of existing therapies or as a therapy in humans. Several possible optogenetically-974 inspired medical interventions and therapies are already outlined in this review. Optogenetics can be 975 used in vitro to analyze cellular processes in single cells, cultured tissue or brain slices. Optogenetics 976 can also simulate clinically-relevant scenarios in animal models of brain diseases, including 977 optogenetics-informed electrical stimulation protocols or closed-feedback control schemes. Possible 978 indications are epilepsy, Parkinson's disease or addiction. Some of these interventions may eventually 979 be emulated in humans, for example with refined deep brain stimulation protocols or pharmacology 980 (Supplementary Figure 12).

981 With the recent proof-of-principle of optogenetic vision restoration in humans with RP, 982 longer-term gene therapy options remain open for optogenetics, though several challenges need to be 983 addressed. Optimization of gene delivery vectors that are safe and produce long-lasting expression 984 and optimization of light delivery to the desired organ is essential. Light delivery deep into the tissue, 985 beyond the limited optical depth penetration minimizing the use of optical fibers is also needed. One 986 non-conventional solution is to introduce in situ sources of biological light, such as luminopsins. 987 Triggered by a chemical process like simple substrate delivery, these luminopsins do not require 988 device implantation and can be tuned to control inhibitory or excitatory actuators. Further remote 989 trigger methods involve energy-conversion schemes via mechanoluminescent nanoparticles. The 990 energy could be provided by intermittent focused ultrasound, thus recharging light emitting materials 991 that can deliver short opsin-engaging pulses. Mechanosensitive TRAAK K+ channel for example could be specifically activated by ultrasound with submillisecond kinetics ²⁵¹ providing a new, 992 993 orthogonal dimension for external non-invasive manipulation of neural circuits.

994 Clinical applications of optogenetics to the heart face many challenges compared to the more 995 accessible, immune-privileged applications to the eye that have seen translational advances. 996 Considering the potential impact for control of arrhythmias, efforts should continue to improve the 997 genetic targeting by more specific promoters, safer viral vectors, longer-wavelength opsins for better 998 tissue penetration and miniaturized distributed light sources. Basic science experiments with 999 optogenetic tools provide invaluable insights for improvement of current cardiac devices and may yield new strategies for arrhythmia control ^{112,193}. In the meantime, optogenetics-empowered high-1000 throughput systems can more immediately improve cardiotoxicity testing and drug development. 1001 1002 Similarly, in vitro assays for drug development and personalized medicine may use humanized 1003 optogenetic tools, patient-derived cells and engineered tissues coupled with highly parallel all-optical 1004 electrophysiology techniques to yield a low-cost, faster and more efficient drug-development pipeline.

Likewise, scalable optogenetic control of living plants, as discussed here, or microbes can be leveraged to address problems related to energy, food, biotechnology and climate challenges. As these do not involve deployment in the human body, they can be implemented on a shorter time scale, with less technical and regulatory obstacles.

1009

1010 Acknowledgements:

1011 This work was supported by the following funding sources: V.E. is supported by the IHU 1012 FOReSIGHT grant (Grant P-ALLOP3-IHU-000), the Axa research funding the European Research 1013 Council (ERC-2019-AdG; No. 885090) and the Agence National pour la Recherche (ANR-17-CE16-1014 0021). E.E is supported in part by grants NIH R01 HL144157, NIH R21EB026152, NSF 1705645, 1015 NSF 1830941. R.H is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), Koselleck award, 1016 HE1640/42-1. P.H. is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (SFB1078, SPP1926, 1017 UniSysCat and Neurocure) and the ERC (Stardust 767092) P.H. is Hertie Professor for Neuroscience 1018 and supported by the Hertie Foundation. C.L. is supported by the ERC (ERC-2020-AdG, F-addict) 1019 and the Swiss National Science Foundations (No 310030 189188 and CRSII5 186266). M.M has 1020 received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under 1021 the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 844492. Z.H.P is supported by the Ligon Research 1022 Center of Vision at Kresge Eye Institute, Dryer Foundation, Herrick Foundation, and Research to 1023 Prevent Blindness to Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences at Wayne State

1024	University School of Medicine. O.Y is supported by the Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Charitable
1025	Foundation Chair for Research in Neuroscience, the European Research Council (grant #819496), the
1026	EU Horizon2020 program (H2020-ICT-2018-20 DEEPER 101016787) and by the Israel Science
1027	Foundation (grant #3131/20).
1028	Author contributions
1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036	Introduction (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., M.M., ZH.P., J.V. and O.Y.); Experimentation (P.H., V.E., M.M., J.V. and O.Y.); Results (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., ZH.P., R.S., J.V. and O.Y.); Applications (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., ZH.P., R.S. and O.Y.); Reproducibility and data deposition (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., K.R.K., C.L., M.M., ZH.P., R.S., J.V. and O.Y.); Limitations and optimizations (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., ZH.P., J.V. and O.Y.); Outlook (P.H., V.E., E.E., R.R.H., C.L., ZH.P. and O.Y.); Overview of the Primer (P.H. and O.Y.). Authors are listed in alphabetical order.
1037	Competing interests
1038	ZH.P. is a co-inventor on patents related to optogenetic vision restoration and is also a co-founder
1039	and scientific advisor of Ray Therapeutics. The other authors declare no competing interests.
1040	Peer review information
1041	Nature Reviews Methods Primers thanks John Flannery and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.
1042	Supplementary information
1043	Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXX-X
1044	
1045	
1046	Glossary terms:
1047	
1048	Microelectrode: an electrode with micrometer-sized tip used to record single neuron activity.
1049	Optrode: an electrode coupled to an optical fiber used to record and manipulate neural activity in
1050	cells expressing an optogenetic actuator.
1051	Optogenetic actuator: a light sensitive protein that transiently modifies cellular properties during
1052	illumination.
1053	Bidirectional voltage modulation: Changing the voltage in the depolarizing (excitatory) or
1054	hyperpolarizing (inhibitory) directions.
1055	Immediate early genes: genes that are rapidly induced by elevated neural activity such as <i>c-Fos</i> .

Optical clamp: Using light and real-time feedback to keep membrane electrical parameters, such as
 voltage or action potential shape, at set desired value.

1058 Antidromic activation: Retrograde propagation of an action potential from the axon to the neuronal1059 soma.

- 1060
- 1061
- 1062
- 1063

1064 **Figure legends**

Figure 1. Principles of Optogenetics. DNA encoding a sensory photoreceptor derived from a microorganism, plant or animal (orange) is cloned under the regulation of control elements that allow the targeting of specific host cells (blue), packed into a vector such as a viral vector or bacteria and injected into the tissue, organ or organism of interest. The targeted cell (orange) now expresses the light-sensitive protein and can be controlled with light in a variety of ways, depending on the specific photoreceptor expressed.

1071

1072 Figure 2. The optogenetic actuator toolbox. a) Key advances in the development of optogenetic tools. Not all 1073 available tools are highlighted here. Major developments are shown above the arrow, and first applications of 1074 channelrhodopsins to model organisms including humans are shown below the arrow. B) Tools for optogenetic 1075 manipulation of membrane voltage and local ion concentrations (top), second-messenger, G-protein-signaling and 1076 kinase-signaling (middle) and the light-controlled interaction of photoreceptors with tethered partner proteins for 1077 subcellular application (bottom). LOV-domain based dimerizers expose a "aged" signaling peptide after lighttriggered unfolding of the Ja-Helix²⁵². Cryptochrome 2 and Phytochrome B interact with CiBN or PIF-domains after 1078 blue or red light absorption respectively^{253,254} c) Commonly-used optogenetic tools for excitation or inhibition of 1079 neuronal activity including cation conducting ChRs eTsChR²⁵⁵, Cheriff²⁰⁵, CoChR³⁰, CrChR2_{TC}²⁵⁶, ChroME¹⁰⁴ and 1080 derivatives, SSFO/Soul^{120,257}, ChRmine²⁵⁸, bReaChES²⁵⁹ and f-Chrimson¹¹⁷, chloride and potassium conducting 1081 1082 ChRs (e.g. GtACR1, GtACR2²¹⁹ and HcKCR1²²), inward directed proton pumps (e.g. NsXeR²⁶⁰) and outward directed proton, sodium and chloride pumps (e.g. Arch3.0¹⁷¹, eKR2²⁶¹, eNpHR3.0²⁶²), all plotted according to their 1083 peak excitation wavelength and temporal kinetics. **D**) The soluble enzyme $bPAC^{62}$ and the rhodopsin-guanyl-cyclase 1084 1085 CaRhGC⁶⁸ produce cAMP and cGMP following illumination whereas the non-bleaching opsins mOPN4⁴⁸, eOPN3⁵⁴, PPO⁵³ and JellyOP⁴⁷ activate different G-protein pathways. e: Genetically encoded sensors with diverse 1086 1087 excitation spectra (depicted on the x axis) can be used to monitor changes in Ca2+ voltage, and pH, such as GCaMP 1088 and R-CaMP¹⁵⁶and FRCaMP²⁶³ for Ca²⁺, ASAP3²⁶⁴, Voltron²⁶⁵, VARNAM²⁶⁶, Quasar²⁰⁵ and Archon²⁶⁷ for voltage,

1089 and pHluorin²⁶⁸ for pH. In experiments combining sensors and actuators, both need to be chosen carefully to 1090 minimize optical crosstalk.

1091

1092 Figure 3. Cell-type specific targeting of optogenetic tools. A) Transgenic mice constitutively expressing an opsin gene 1093 from their genome allow simple experiments that only require the addition of a light-delivery apparatus. Promoter "A" 1094 activity (indicated by A) will lead to transgene expression (indicated in green). B) A transgenic animal expressing a 1095 recombinase such as Cre under the control of a cell-type specific promoter is crossed with a second line carrying a 1096 conditional expression cassette encoding the desired opsin. Dual transgenic offspring will then show organism-wide 1097 expression of the opsin in all cells that underwent promoter activation at any stage of development (indicated in green). 1098 Cre expression (indicated by A) is unnecessary once the conditional expression cassette was activated. C) Where a short 1099 minimal promoter sequence is available, targeted viral vector injections can be used to restrict expression spatially as well 1100 as by the gene expression profile. A viral vector containing the specific minimal promoter sequence upstream of the opsin 1101 gene will lead to expression in specific cells expressing the promoter (indicated by A), only in the region targeted with 1102 the injection (indicated by the blue box). D) Approaches a and b can be combined to achieve both spatial and gene 1103 expression specificity in cases where short specific promoters are not available, or where promoter activity is not specific 1104 during development. e: Projection neurons can be addressed by injection of an axon terminal-transducing, retrograde 1105 traveling viral vector encoding for the opsin or a recombinase into the target region. The recombinase encoding viral 1106 vector is injected in a projection target (area B, indicated by the red box) and travels retrogradely. A second viral injection 1107 of conditional expression cassette encoding the desired opsin into an upstream region (area A, indicated by the blue box) 1108 will then lead to opsin expression only in neurons within area A that project to area B f: AAV capsids engineered for 1109 improved blood-brain barrier penetration allow brain-wide (mostly sparse) expression of an opsin through intravenous 1110 injection of the viral vector.

1111 Figure 4. Optical approaches for optogenetic stimulation. a-c) Single-photon wide-field illumination 1112 (indicated in blue) of all genetically targeted opsin-expressing neurons using excitation through optical fibers. 1113 a) Illumination using a flat cleaved optical fiber causes high peak light power density at the fiber-tissue 1114 interface. b) A tapered fiber increases the optical fiber-tissue interface resulting in a reduced peak light power 1115 density. c) Single-photon multi-target patterned illumination by spatially shaping the intensity of the excitation 1116 beam by means of a digital micromirror device (DMD), placed in a plane conjugated to the sample plane. The 1117 light distribution at the DMD plane and at the sample plane only differ by a spatial scaling factor corresponding 1118 to the magnification of the optical system. The axial resolution is proportional to the square of the lateral spot 1119 dimensions. d-e) Two-photon multi-target illumination by holographic light shaping: d) a spatial light 1120 modulator (SLM) placed at a plane conjugated with the objective back aperture, generates a 3D distribution of 1121 holographic spots which are scanned with a spiral trajectory to cover the cell surface. The axial extension of 1122 the generated spot is optimized to illuminate the upper and lower cell membranes. e) An SLM is used to 1123 generate multiple extended spots with a size large enough to cover the whole cell soma. Temporal focusing is

used to maintain micrometer axial resolution independently of the lateral spot size. **f**) A timeline indicating critical optical developments which have enabled new optogenetic experiments throughout the past fifteen years. Single- photon and two-photon milestones are colored in blue and red respectively. Holographic light shaping for neuronal activation was developed simultaneously for single- and two- photon activation as indicated by the red-blue gradient for the milestone in **f**).

1129 Figure 5: Expected results in optogenetic experiments. A) Expression of optogenetic actuators such as ChR2 or NpHR 1130 in neurons leads to the emergence of light-driven photocurrents, which can be recorded using the whole-cell patch clamp 1131 technique (left). Cells expressing the chloride-conducting NpHR will show an outward current (top right, voltage clamp 1132 recording with cell resting at -70 mV) while cells expressing the cation-conducting ChR2 will show an inward 1133 photocurrent (bottom right, voltage clamp recording with cell resting at -70 mV). B) Whole-cell current-clamp recordings 1134 in a neuron expressing the excitatory ChR2, showing action potentials evoked by brief light pulses (blue bars). C) 1135 Hyperpolarization and silencing of spontaneously-occurring action potentials in a neuron expressing eNpHR3.0. d) 1136 Extracellular recordings, coupled with local light delivery, used to reveal the activity of neurons in vivo, using the awake 1137 behaving optrode configuration²⁶⁹ e) Raster plot showing action potentials (represented as black dots) occurring rapidly 1138 after a 5-ms blue light pulse delivered into the target brain region. F) Raster plot showing the activity of neurons expressing 1139 the inhibitory anion-conducting GtACR2, showing increased inhibition of action potential firing with increasing light 1140 intensity. Part F is reprinted from ref X, CC BY 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1141 Figure 6. Establishing links of causality with optogenetics. Experimental road map based on identifying the neural 1142 correlate of behavioral sensitization to cocaine²⁷⁰. A) When injected, cocaine elicits a locomotor response quantified in a 1143 cyclotron. The response is enhanced upon a second injection of the same dose. B) c-Fos is an immediate early gene 1144 highlighting the neurons particularly active, which provided the entry point to identifying the mPFC to NAc projection as 1145 the behavioral relevant circuit. C) Slice electrophysiology enables observation of the selective potentiation of glutamate 1146 transmission onto D1R-MSNs²⁷¹. D) A depotentiation protocol (LTD at 12Hz) validated in slices restores standard 1147 transmission. E) In vivo validation involves opto-tagging, where spontaneously occurring spikes (grey, dashed trace) are 1148 compared to optogenetically-evoked spikes (blue trace). Waveform and latency are important parameters. F) The LTD 1149 protocol is eventually applied in vivo to reverse sensitization. Part B adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER. 1150 Part D adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER.

1151 Figure 7. Optogenetic application for vision restoration, cardiac research, plant modification. A) Strategies for 1152 optogenetic restoration of vision following photoreceptor degeneration. (Aa) Visual processing pathways in the normal 1153 retina, illustrating the rod/cone, ON/OFF pathways and the antagonistic center-surround receptive fields of retinal 1154 ganglion cells. ON cells, including rod bipolar cells and AII amacrine cells, are shown in gray tones, and OFF cells are 1155 shown in black. The ON and OFF regions of the receptive field of retinal ganglion cells are indicated by + and -, 1156 respectively. (Ab) Ubiquitous expression of a depolarizing optogenetic tool (green) in all retinal ganglion cells to convert 1157 them to ON cells. (Ac) Targeting a depolarizing optogenetic tool in ON bipolar cells to produce ON and OFF response in 1158 retinal ganglion cells and possibly the center-surround receptive fields. Abbreviations: AII, AII amacrine cells; BC,

1159 bipolar cells; RBC, rod bipolar cells. (Modified from ²⁷²). B) Optogenetics in cardiac research. Ba) Cell-specific targeting 1160 is used for the sympathetic (red) and parasympathetic (blue) nervous control of the heart using TH and CHAT promoters: 1161 cardiomyocytes (CM) from the upper or lower chambers of the heart (atria, A, or ventricles, V) can be selectively light-1162 sensitized; specific targeting of the fast conduction system (CS), cardiac fibroblasts (FB), vascular cells (VC) or 1163 macrophages (M) is also of interest. (Bb). Rhythm control can include optical pacemaking by short pulses (top trace), 1164 heart rate modulation by low-level constant (middle trace) or pulsed light by activating the sympathetic nervous system 1165 (increase) or the parasympathetic nervous system (decrease), and arrhythmias can be terminated to restore normal rhythm 1166 through a single long pulse (bottom trace), series of pulses and/or spatially-patterned light. Bc) Cardiotoxicity testing, a 1167 required component in drug development, is enabled by high-throughput optogenetic platforms, which can integrate 1168 patient-derived iPSC-cardiomyocytes for personalized therapy.. C) Optogenetic approaches in plants. Ca) This scheme 1169 displays carbon dioxide entering through the stomata with loss of water and oxygen. Cb-Cg: Expression of rhodopsins to 1170 control plant cell behavior. Cb) Absorbance spectra of anion channelrhodopsins GtACR1 (black) in relation to endogenous 1171 relevant plant photoreceptors. Cc) Optical fiber illumination of a leaf from an Arabidopsis plant mounted in a microscope 1172 setup for Cd) simultaneous optical stimulation and electric recordings of guard cells embedded in the leaf epidermis. Ce) 1173 A representative membrane voltage recording from wild-type tobacco (red) and tobacco with stable GtACR1 expressing 1174 guard cell (black) in response to a 525 nm light pulse (10s) of 0.57 mW/mm² in the presence of background red-light (630 1175 nm, 0.018 mW/mm²) to elicit stomatal opening. Cf and Cg). Closure of the stomatal aperture is only induced in GtACR1-1176 expressing cells in the presence of green light, indicated by the green bar in Cf and the green light spot in Cg. Part A 1177 adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER. Part Cc, image courtesy of COPYRIGHT HOLDER. Parts Cd, Cf and Cg 1178 adapted with permission from ref X, PUBLISHER.

- 1179
- 1180

Box 1: Compartment-specific functions of microbial rhodopsins.

1182 ACRs (such as GtACR2, iC++ and iChloc) can be used to control the chloride conductance in a light dependent 1183 manner. However, the effect on neuronal excitability depends on the chloride reversal potential, which can 1184 differ between subcellular compartments, as well as the membrane potential. The left schematic shows a neuron 1185 colored according to the typical chloride reversal potential. In the somatic compartment (top inset) shunting 1186 inhibition occurs, if the reversal potential of a channel is close to the resting membrane potential of 1187 the cell. In this case, its activation does not lead to a substantial current, but rather to a conductance 1188 that will oppose any change of the membrane potential from the channel reversal potential, referred 1189 to as shunting conductance. The input resistance of the neuron is reduced, causing a smaller amplitude 1190 of subsequent excitatory potentials. If the channel reversal potential lies between the resting potential 1191 and the action potential threshold, however, the effects of shunting inhibition are more complex. In 1192 this case, ACR activation will lead to a depolarization, but excitatory potential amplitudes will still

1193 be reduced. Consequently, ACR activation will still lead to a reduced spike rate. Upon channel closing 1194 the input resistance then increases while the membrane potential is still depolarized, leading to a 1195 transiently increased excitability. Furthermore, if ACRs are activated over extended periods of 1196 excitatory drive, Cl⁻ can accumulate in the cell, and the depolarizing phase of shunting inhibition will 1197 become more accentuated, leading to activity-dependent effects of shunting inhibition. At the 1198 presynaptic terminal (bottom) ACR activation leads to depolarization, and potentially even action potential 1199 initiation, especially at light onset when the pool of activatable voltage gated sodium channels is large. ACR 1200 based optogenetic manipulations should thus take the unique features of compartment-specific physiology 1201 into account.

1202

1203 Box 2: Single-photon vs two-photon excitation, mechanism and focal volume

1204 In single-photon (1P) excitation the absorption of a photon by a chromophore induces a molecular transition 1205 from the ground state (S0) to the excited electronic state (S1), while in two-photon (2P) excitation, the same 1206 transition can be induced by the quasi-simultaneous absorption of two photons. Since 2P cross-sections are 1207 typically much smaller than those for 1P, significantly higher photon fluxes are generally required to generate 1208 similar excitation rates, requiring more complex and expensive components such as ultrafast lasers. There are 1209 two main implications of two photon absorption in microscopy. First, since the probability of excitation is a 1210 quadratic function of the instantaneous photon density, targets at the focal plane are much more likely excited 1211 than out of focus targets, whereas in 1P excitation all targets throughout the light path can be excited. Second, 1212 the use of photons of lower energy and therefore of longer wavelengths (deep red and IR) can penetrate more 1213 deeply (~700 µm) in scattering tissue.

1214

1215 1216

References

- 1217 1 Famintzin, A. Die Wirkung der Lichtes auf die Bewegung der Chlamidomonas pulvisculus Ehr., Euglena 1218 viridis Ehr. und Orcillatoria insignis Tw. (1866).
 - 210 Viriais Ehr. una Orciliaioria insignis Tw. (1800).
- 1219 2 Kuhne, W. F. Zur Photochemie der Netzhaut. (Carl Winter's Universitatsbuchhandlung, 1877).
- 1220 3 Darwin, C. *The Power of Movements in Plants*. (Appleton, 1881).
- 12214Zemelman, B. V., Lee, G. A., Ng, M. & Miesenböck, G. Selective photostimulation of genetically chARGed
neurons. *Neuron* 33, 15-22 (2002).
- 12235Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G. & Deisseroth, K. Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted1224optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience* 8, 1263-1268 (2005).
- 12256Ishizuka, T., Kakuda, M., Araki, R. & Yawo, H. Kinetic evaluation of photosensitivity in genetically1226engineered neurons expressing green algae light-gated channels. Neuroscience Research 54, 85-94 (2006).

- Li, X. et al. Fast noninvasive activation and inhibition of neural and network activity by vertebrate rhodopin and green algae channelrhodopsin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102, 17816-17821 (2005).
- Nagel, G. et al. Light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 in excitable cells of Caenorhabditis elegans triggers rapid Behavioral responses. Current Biology 15, 2279-2284 (2005).
- Schroll, C. et al. Light-induced activation of distinct modulatory neurons triggers appetitive or aversive learning in Drosophila larvae. Current Biology 16, 1741-1747 (2006).
- 1235 Adamantidis, A. R., Zhang, F., Aravanis, A. M., Deisseroth, K. & De Lecea, L. Neural substrates of awakening probed with optogenetic control of hypocretin neurons. Nature 450, 420-424 (2007).
- Douglass, A. D., Kraves, S., Deisseroth, K., Schier, A. F. & Engert, F. Escape behavior elicited by single, channelrhodopsin-2-evoked spikes in zebrafish somatosensory neurons. Current biology 18, 1133-1137 (2008).
- Han, X. et al. Millisecond-timescale optical control of neural dynamics in the nonhuman primate brain. Neuron , 191-198 (2009).
- Bi, A. D. et al. Ectopic expression of a microbial-type rhodopsin restores visual responses in mice with photoreceptor degeneration. Neuron 50, 23-33 (2006).
- Ronzitti, E. et al. Recent advances in patterned photostimulation for optogenetics. Journal of Optics 19, 113001 (2017).
- Hull, K., Morstein, J. & Trauner, D. In vivo photopharmacology. Chemical reviews 118, 10710-10747 (2018).
- Velema, W. A., Szymanski, W. & Feringa, B. L. Photopharmacology: beyond proof of principle. Journal of the American Chemical Society 136, 2178-2191 (2014).
- Kolar, K., Knobloch, C., Stork, H., Žnidarič, M. & Weber, W. OptoBase: a web platform for molecular optogenetics. ACS synthetic biology 7, 1825-1828 (2018).
- Manoilov, K. Y., Verkhusha, V. V. & Shcherbakova, D. M. A guide to the optogenetic regulation of endogenous molecules. Nature methods 18, 1027-1037 (2021).
- Nagel, G. et al. Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane channel. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100, 13940-13945 (2003).
- Rozenberg, A. et al. Lateral Gene Transfer of Anion-Conducting Channelrhodopsins between Green Algae and Giant Viruses. Curr Biol 30, 4910-4920 e4915, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.056 (2020).
- Vierock, J., Grimm, C., Nitzan, N. & Hegemann, P. Molecular determinants of proton selectivity and gating in 1257 the red-light activated channelrhodopsin Chrimson. Sci Rep 7, 9928, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09600-8 (2017).
 - Govorunova, E. G. et al. Kalium rhodopsins: Natural light-gated potassium channels. bioRxiv (2021).
- Govorunova, E. G. et al. The Expanding Family of Natural Anion Channelrhodopsins Reveals Large
- Variations in Kinetics, Conductance, and Spectral Sensitivity. Sci Rep 7, 43358, doi:10.1038/srep43358 (2017).
- Mahn, M. et al. High-efficiency optogenetic silencing with soma-targeted anion-conducting channelrhodopsins. Nature communications 9, 1-15 (2018).
- Ben-Ari, Y. Excitatory actions of gaba during development: the nature of the nurture. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 3, 728-739 (2002).
- Schneider, F., Grimm, C. & Hegemann, P. Biophysics of channelrhodopsin. Annual review of biophysics 44, 167-186 (2015).
- Kuhne, J. et al. Unifying photocycle model for light adaptation and temporal evolution of cation conductance in channelrhodopsin-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116, 9380-9389, doi:10.1073/pnas.1818707116 (2019).
- Sineshchekov, O. A., Govorunova, E. G., Li, H. & Spudich, J. L. Bacteriorhodopsin-like channelrhodopsins: Alternative mechanism for control of cation conductance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114, E9512-E9519, doi:10.1073/pnas.1710702114 (2017).
- Kishi, K. E. et al. Structural basis for channel conduction in the pump-like channelrhodopsin ChRmine. Cell 185, 672-689. e623 (2022).
- Klapoetke, N. C. et al. Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. Nat Methods 11, 338-346, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2836 (2014).
- Oda, K. et al. Crystal structure of the red light-activated channelrhodopsin Chrimson. Nat Commun 9, 3949, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06421-9 (2018).
- Govorunova, E. G. et al. RubyACRs, non-algal anion channelrhodopsins with highly red-shifted absorption. bioRxiv (2020).
- Gradinaru, V. et al. Molecular and Cellular Approaches for Diversifying and Extending Optogenetics. Cell 141, 154-165, doi:Doi 10.1016/J.Cell.2010.02.037 (2010).
- Vierock, J. et al. BiPOLES is an optogenetic tool developed for bidirectional dual-color control of neurons. Nature Communications 12, 1-20 (2021).

1284 36 Zhang, F. *et al.* Multimodal fast optical interrogation of neural circuitry. *Nature* **446**, 633-U634 (2007).

128537Parker, D. Neuronal network analyses: premises, promises and uncertainties. Philosophical Transactions of the
Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365, 2315-2328 (2010).

128738Chuong, A. S. *et al.* Noninvasive optical inhibition with a red-shifted microbial rhodopsin. Nature1288neuroscience 17, 1123-1129 (2014).

1283

- Wiegert, J. S., Mahn, M., Prigge, M., Printz, Y. & Yizhar, O. Silencing neurons: tools, applications, and experimental constraints. *Neuron* 95, 504-529 (2017).
- 129140Rost, B. R. et al. Optogenetic acidification of synaptic vesicles and lysosomes. Nature neuroscience 18, 1845-12921852 (2015).
- 129341Junge, W. Protons, the thylakoid membrane, and the chloroplast ATP synthase. Annals of the New York1294Academy of Sciences 574, 268-286 (1989).
- 129542Raimondo, J. V., Kay, L., Ellender, T. J. & Akerman, C. J. Optogenetic silencing strategies differ in their1296effects on inhibitory synaptic transmission. Nature neuroscience 15, 1102-1104 (2012).
- Khorana, H. G., Knox, B. E., Nasi, E., Swanson, R. & Thompson, D. a. Expression of a Bovine Rhodopsin
 Gene in Xenopus Oocytes Demonstration of Light-Dependent Ionic Currents. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 85, 7917-7921 (1988).
- 130044Karunarathne, W. A., Giri, L., Patel, A. K., Venkatesh, K. V. & Gautam, N. Optical control demonstrates1301switch-like PIP3 dynamics underlying the initiation of immune cell migration. Proceedings of the National1302Academy of Sciences 110, E1575-E1583 (2013).
- 130345Karunarathne, W. A., Giri, L., Kalyanaraman, V. & Gautam, N. Optically triggering spatiotemporally confined1304GPCR activity in a cell and programming neurite initiation and extension. Proceedings of the National1305Academy of Sciences 110, E1565-E1574 (2013).
- 130646Masseck, O. A. *et al.* Vertebrate cone opsins enable sustained and highly sensitive rapid control of Gi/o1307signaling in anxiety circuitry. *Neuron* 81, 1263-1273 (2014).
- 130847Bailes, H. J., Zhuang, L.-Y. & Lucas, R. J. Reproducible and sustained regulation of Gαs signalling using a
metazoan opsin as an optogenetic tool. *PloS one* 7, e30774 (2012).
- 131048Spoida, K. et al. Melanopsin variants as intrinsic optogenetic on and off switches for transient versus sustained1311activation of G protein pathways. Current Biology 26, 1206-1212 (2016).
- 1312
 1313
 1313
 1314
 Lin, B., Koizumi, A., Tanaka, N., Panda, S. & Masland, R. H. Restoration of visual function in retinal degeneration mice by ectopic expression of melanopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105, 16009-16014 (2008).
- 1315 50 Tsunematsu, T., Tanaka, K. F., Yamanaka, A. & Koizumi, A. Ectopic expression of melanopsin in orexin/hypocretin neurons enables control of wakefulness of mice in vivo by blue light. *Neuroscience research* 75, 23-28 (2013).
- 1318 51 Koyanagi, M. et al. in Optogenetics 141-151 (Springer, 2021).
- 131952Eickelbeck, D. et al. Lamprey Parapinopsin ("UVLamP"): a bistable UV-sensitive optogenetic switch for1320ultrafast control of GPCR pathways. ChemBioChem 21, 612 (2020).
- 132153Copits, B. A. et al. A photoswitchable GPCR-based opsin for presynaptic inhibition. Neuron 109, 1791-1809.1322e1711 (2021).
- 132354Mahn, M. et al. Efficient optogenetic silencing of neurotransmitter release with a mosquito rhodopsin. Neuron1324109, 1621-1635. e1628 (2021).
- 132555Kim, J.-M. *et al.* Light-driven activation of β2-adrenergic receptor signaling by a chimeric rhodopsin1326containing the β2-adrenergic receptor cytoplasmic loops. *Biochemistry* 44, 2284-2292 (2005).
- 132756Airan, R. D., Thompson, K. R., Fenno, L. E., Bernstein, H. & Deisseroth, K. Temporally precise in vivo1328control of intracellular signalling. Nature 458, 1025-1029 (2009).
- 132957Osorno, T. et al. Light control of G protein signaling pathways by a novel photopigment. Plos one 13,
e0205015 (2018).
- 133158Bradley, S. J. & Challiss, R. J. G protein-coupled receptor signalling in astrocytes in health and disease: a focus
on metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Biochemical pharmacology* 84, 249-259 (2012).
- 133359Grusch, M. *et al.* Spatio-temporally precise activation of engineered receptor tyrosine kinases by light. *The*1334*EMBO journal* 33, 1713-1726 (2014).
- 133560Kainrath, S., Stadler, M., Reichhart, E., Distel, M. & Janovjak, H. Green-Light-Induced Inactivation of1336Receptor Signaling Using Cobalamin-Binding Domains. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 56, 4608-13374611 (2017).

- 133861Chang, K.-Y. *et al.* Light-inducible receptor tyrosine kinases that regulate neurotrophin signalling. Nature1339communications 5, 1-10 (2014).
- 1340 62 Stierl, M. *et al.* Light modulation of cellular cAMP by a small bacterial photoactivated adenylyl cyclase, 1341 bPAC, of the soil bacterium Beggiatoa. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 1181-1188, doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.185496 (2011).
- 134263Bernal Sierra, Y. A. et al. Potassium channel-based optogenetic silencing. Nat Commun 9, 4611,
doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07038-8 (2018).
- 134464De Marco, R. J., Groneberg, A. H., Yeh, C. M., Castillo Ramirez, L. A. & Ryu, S. Optogenetic elevation of1345endogenous glucocorticoid level in larval zebrafish. Front Neural Circuits 7, 82, doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.000821346(2013).
- 134765Jansen, V. et al. Controlling Fertilization and cAMP Signaling in Sperm Flagella by Optogenetics. e-Life 4,1348UNSP e05161 (2015).
- 134966Zhang, S. X. *et al.* Hypothalamic dopamine neurons motivate mating through persistent cAMP signalling.1350Nature, 1-5 (2021).
- 135167Scheib, U. et al. The rhodopsin-guanylyl cyclase of the aquatic fungus Blastocladiella emersonii enables fast
optical control of cGMP signaling. Sci Signal 8, rs8, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aab0611 (2015).
- 135368Scheib, U. *et al.* Rhodopsin-cyclases for photocontrol of cGMP/cAMP and 2.3 A structure of the adenylyl1354cyclase domain. Nat Commun 9, 2046, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04428-w (2018).
- 135569Gao, S. et al. Optogenetic manipulation of cGMP in cells and animals by the tightly light-regulated guanylyl-
cyclase opsin CyclOp. Nature communications 6, 1-12 (2015).
- 1357 70 Broser, M. et al. NeoR, a near-infrared absorbing rhodopsin. *Nature communications* **11**, 1-10 (2020).
- 135871Rost, B. R., Schneider-Warme, F., Schmitz, D. & Hegemann, P. Optogenetic tools for subcellular applications1359in neuroscience. Neuron 96, 572-603 (2017).
- 1360 72 Polesskaya, O. *et al.* Optogenetic regulation of transcription. *BMC neuroscience* **19**, 3-13 (2018).
- 136173Polstein, L. R. & Gersbach, C. A. Light-inducible spatiotemporal control of gene activation by customizable1362zinc finger transcription factors. Journal of the American Chemical Society 134, 16480-16483 (2012).
- 136374Konermann, S. *et al.* Optical control of mammalian endogenous transcription and epigenetic states. *Nature*1364500, 472-+, doi:Doi 10.1038/Nature12466 (2013).
- 1365 75 Nihongaki, Y., Kawano, F., Nakajima, T. & Sato, M. Photoactivatable CRISPR-Cas9 for optogenetic genome editing. *Nature biotechnology* 33, 755-760 (2015).
- 136776Nihongaki, Y. et al. CRISPR–Cas9-based photoactivatable transcription systems to induce neuronal1368differentiation. Nature methods 14, 963-966 (2017).
- 136977Raper, A. T., Stephenson, A. A. & Suo, Z. Functional insights revealed by the kinetic mechanism of1370CRISPR/Cas9. Journal of the American Chemical Society 140, 2971-2984 (2018).
- 137178Arenkiel, B. R. *et al.* In vivo light-induced activation of neural circuitry in transgenic mice expressing
channelrhodopsin-2. *Neuron* 54, 205-218 (2007).
- 137379Madisen, L. *et al.* A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and
silencing. *Nature neuroscience* 15, 793-802 (2012).
- 137580Madisen, L. *et al.* Transgenic mice for intersectional targeting of neural sensors and effectors with high1376specificity and performance. Neuron 85, 942-958 (2015).
- 1377 81 Lammel, S. *et al.* Diversity of transgenic mouse models for selective targeting of midbrain dopamine neurons.
 Neuron 85, 429-438 (2015).
- 137982Song, A. J. & Palmiter, R. D. Detecting and avoiding problems when using the Cre-lox system. Trends in
Genetics 34, 333-340 (2018).
- 138183Graybuck, L. T. *et al.* Prospective, brain-wide labeling of neuronal subclasses with enhancer-driven AAVs.1382BioRxiv, 525014 (2019).
- 138384Nair, R. R., Blankvoort, S., Lagartos, M. J. & Kentros, C. Enhancer-driven gene expression (EDGE) enables1384the generation of viral vectors specific to neuronal subtypes. *Iscience* 23, 100888 (2020).
- 138585Jüttner, J. et al. Targeting neuronal and glial cell types with synthetic promoter AAVs in mice, non-human1386primates and humans. Nature Neuroscience 22, 1345-1356 (2019).
- 138786Tervo, D. G. R. *et al.* A designer AAV variant permits efficient retrograde access to projection neurons.1388Neuron 92, 372-382 (2016).
- 138987Zhang, S. et al. Long-range and local circuits for top-down modulation of visual cortex processing. Science1390345, 660-665 (2014).
- 139188Chan, K. Y. *et al.* Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral1392nervous systems. *Nature neuroscience* 20, 1172-1179 (2017).

- 139389Challis, R. C. *et al.* Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. *Nature*1394*protocols* 14, 379-414 (2019).
- 139590Mathiesen, S. N., Lock, J. L., Schoderboeck, L., Abraham, W. C. & Hughes, S. M. CNS Transduction Benefits1396of AAV-PHP. eB over AAV9 Are Dependent on Administration Route and Mouse Strain. Molecular Therapy-1397Methods & Clinical Development 19, 447-458 (2020).
- 1398 91 LoTurco, J., Manent, J.-B. & Sidiqi, F. New and improved tools for in utero electroporation studies of developing cerebral cortex. *Cerebral cortex* **19**, i120-i125 (2009).
- 140092Dal Maschio, M. *et al.* High-performance and site-directed in utero electroporation by a triple-electrode probe.1401Nature communications 3, 1-11 (2012).
- 140293Rosin, J. M. & Kurrasch, D. M. In utero electroporation induces cell death and alters embryonic microglia1403morphology and expression signatures in the developing hypothalamus. Journal of neuroinflammation 15, 1-151404(2018).
- 140594Mahn, M., Prigge, M., Ron, S., Levy, R. & Yizhar, O. Biophysical constraints of optogenetic inhibition at
presynaptic terminals. *Nature neuroscience* 19, 554-556 (2016).
- 140795Ullrich, S., Gueta, R. & Nagel, G. Degradation of channelopsin-2 in the absence of retinal and degradation1408resistance in certain mutants. *Biological chemistry* **394**, 271-280 (2013).
- 140996Hofherr, A., Fakler, B. & Klöcker, N. Selective Golgi export of Kir2. 1 controls the stoichiometry of functional1410Kir2. x channel heteromers. Journal of cell science 118, 1935-1943 (2005).
- 141197Mattis, J. et al. An analysis of new and existing opsins for scientific application. European Biophysics Journal1412with Biophysics Letters 40, 68-69 (2011).
- 1413 98 Zhou, Y. *et al.* Optogenetic control of plant growth by a microbial rhodopsin. *Nature Plants* 7, 144-151 (2021).
- 141499Adam, Y. et al. Voltage imaging and optogenetics reveal behaviour-dependent changes in hippocampal1415dynamics. Nature 569, 413-417 (2019).
- 1416100Wu, C., Ivanova, E., Zhang, Y. & Pan, Z.-H. rAAV-mediated subcellular targeting of optogenetic tools in
retinal ganglion cells in vivo. *PloS one* 8, e66332 (2013).
- 1418101Baker, C. A., Elyada, Y. M., Parra, A. & Bolton, M. M. Cellular resolution circuit mapping with temporal-
focused excitation of soma-targeted channelrhodopsin. *Elife* 5, e14193 (2016).
- 1420102Shemesh, O. A. et al. Temporally precise single-cell-resolution optogenetics. Nature neuroscience 20, 1796-14211806 (2017).
- 1422103Forli, A. *et al.* Two-photon bidirectional control and imaging of neuronal excitability with high spatial
resolution in vivo. *Cell reports* 22, 3087-3098 (2018).
- 1424104Mardinly, A. R. et al. Precise multimodal optical control of neural ensemble activity. Nature neuroscience 21,1425881-893 (2018).
- 1426105Forli, A., Pisoni, M., Printz, Y., Yizhar, O. & Fellin, T. Optogenetic strategies for high-efficiency all-optical
interrogation using blue-light-sensitive opsins. *Elife* 10, e63359 (2021).
- 1428106Spaulding, E. L. & Burgess, R. W. Accumulating evidence for axonal translation in neuronal homeostasis.1429Frontiers in neuroscience 11, 312 (2017).
- 1430107Johnson, H. E. & Toettcher, J. E. Illuminating developmental biology with cellular optogenetics. Current1431opinion in biotechnology 52, 42-48 (2018).
- 1432 108 Stujenske, J. M., Spellman, T. & Gordon, J. A. Modeling the spatiotemporal dynamics of light and heat propagation for in vivo optogenetics. *Cell reports* **12**, 525-534 (2015).
- 1434109Rungta, R. L., Osmanski, B.-F., Boido, D., Tanter, M. & Charpak, S. Light controls cerebral blood flow in
naive animals. *Nature communications* 8, 1-9 (2017).
- 1436110Pisanello, M. et al. Tailoring light delivery for optogenetics by modal demultiplexing in tapered optical fibers.1437Scientific reports 8, 1-11 (2018).
- 1438 111 Arrenberg, A. B., Stainier, D. Y., Baier, H. & Huisken, J. Optogenetic control of cardiac function. *Science* 330, 971-974 (2010).
- 1440 112 Burton, R. A. *et al.* Optical control of excitation waves in cardiac tissue. *Nature photonics* 9, 813-816 (2015).
- 1441113Majumder, R. *et al.* Optogenetics enables real-time spatiotemporal control over spiral wave dynamics in an
excitable cardiac system. *Elife* 7, e41076 (2018).
- 1443114Scardigli, M. et al. Real-time optical manipulation of cardiac conduction in intact hearts. The Journal of
physiology 596, 3841-3858 (2018).
- 1445115Chen, I.-W., Papagiakoumou, E. & Emiliani, V. Towards circuit optogenetics. Current opinion in neurobiology144650, 179-189 (2018).
- 1447116Pégard, N. C. et al. Three-dimensional scanless holographic optogenetics with temporal focusing (3D-SHOT).1448Nature communications 8, 1-14 (2017).

- 1449117Mager, T. et al. High frequency neural spiking and auditory signaling by ultrafast red-shifted optogenetics.1450Nature communications 9, 1-14 (2018).
- 1451 118 Marshel, J. H. *et al.* Cortical layer–specific critical dynamics triggering perception. *Science* **365** (2019).

1452119Lin, J., Knutsen, P., Muller, A., Kleinfeld, D. & Tsien, R. ReaChR: a red-shifted variant of channelrhodopsin1453enables neuronal activation through the intact skull. Nat Neurosci 16, 1499-1508 (2013).

- 1454
1455120Yizhar, O. et al. Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction.
Nature 477, 171-178 (2011).
- 1456121Ronzitti, E. *et al.* Submillisecond optogenetic control of neuronal firing with two-photon holographic1457photoactivation of chronos. Journal of Neuroscience 37, 10679-10689 (2017).
- 1458122Chen, I.-W. et al. In vivo submillisecond two-photon optogenetics with temporally focused patterned light.1459Journal of Neuroscience **39**, 3484-3497 (2019).
- 1460123Feldbauer, K. et al. Channelrhodopsin-2 is a leaky proton pump. Proceedings of the National Academy of1461Sciences of the United States of America 106, 12317-12322, doi:Doi 10.1073/Pnas.0905852106 (2009).
- 1462124Papagiakoumou, E. et al. Scanless two-photon excitation of channelrhodopsin-2. Nature methods 7, 848-8541463(2010).
- 1464125Andrasfalvy, B. K., Zemelman, B. V., Tang, J. & Vaziri, A. Two-photon single-cell optogenetic control of
neuronal activity by sculpted light. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107, 11981-11986
(2010).
- 1467126Packer, A. M., Russell, L. E., Dalgleish, H. W. & Häusser, M. Simultaneous all-optical manipulation and
recording of neural circuit activity with cellular resolution in vivo. *Nature methods* 12, 140-146 (2015).
- 1469127Hernandez, O. et al. Three-dimensional spatiotemporal focusing of holographic patterns. Nature
communications 7, 1-11 (2016).
- 1471128Accanto, N. *et al.* Multiplexed temporally focused light shaping for high-resolution multi-cell targeting. *Optica*14725, 1478-1491 (2018).
- 1473129Chaigneau, E. et al. Two-photon holographic stimulation of ReaChR. Frontiers in cellular neuroscience 10,1474234 (2016).
- 1475130Robinson, N. T. *et al.* Targeted activation of hippocampal place cells drives Memory-Guided spatial behavior.1476Cell 183, 1586-1599. e1510 (2020).
- 1477131Dalgleish, H. W. *et al.* How many neurons are sufficient for perception of cortical activity? *Elife* 9, e588891478(2020).
- 1479132Gill, J. V. *et al.* Precise holographic manipulation of olfactory circuits reveals coding features determining
perceptual detection. *Neuron* 108, 382-393. e385 (2020).
- 1481
1482133Dal Maschio, M., Donovan, J. C., Helmbrecht, T. O. & Baier, H. Linking neurons to network function and
behavior by two-photon holographic optogenetics and volumetric imaging. *Neuron* 94, 774-789. e775 (2017).
- 1483134Jennings, J. H. *et al.* Interacting neural ensembles in orbitofrontal cortex for social and feeding behaviour.1484Nature 565, 645-649 (2019).
- 1485135Accanto, N. et al. Multiplexed temporally focused light shaping through a gradient index lens for precise in-
depth optogenetic photostimulation. Scientific reports 9, 1-10 (2019).
- 1487136Rowlands, C. J. et al. Wide-field three-photon excitation in biological samples. Light: Science & Applications14886, e16255-e16255 (2017).
- 1489137Jackman, S. L., Beneduce, B. M., Drew, I. R. & Regehr, W. G. Achieving high-frequency optical control of
synaptic transmission. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 7704-7714 (2014).
- 1491138Cardin, J. A. *et al.* Targeted optogenetic stimulation and recording of neurons in vivo using cell-type-specific1492expression of Channelrhodopsin-2. Nature protocols 5, 247 (2010).
- 1493139Wu, J. et al. Improved orange and red Ca2+ indicators and photophysical considerations for optogenetic
applications. ACS chemical neuroscience 4, 963-972 (2013).
- 1495140Platisa, J. & Pieribone, V. A. Genetically encoded fluorescent voltage indicators: are we there yet? Current
opinion in neurobiology 50, 146-153 (2018).
- 1497 141 Urakubo, H., Yagishita, S., Kasai, H., Kubota, Y. & Ishii, S. The critical balance between dopamine D2
 1498 receptor and RGS for the sensitive detection of a transient decay in dopamine signal. *PLoS computational biology* 17, e1009364 (2021).
- 1500142Wang, H., Jing, M. & Li, Y. Lighting up the brain: genetically encoded fluorescent sensors for imaging1501neurotransmitters and neuromodulators. Current opinion in neurobiology 50, 171-178 (2018).
- 1502143Leopold, A. V., Shcherbakova, D. M. & Verkhusha, V. V. Fluorescent biosensors for neurotransmission and
neuromodulation: engineering and applications. Frontiers in cellular neuroscience 13, 474 (2019).

- 1504144Gradinaru, V., Mogri, M., Thompson, K. R., Henderson, J. M. & Deisseroth, K. Optical deconstruction of
parkinsonian neural circuitry. *science* **324**, 354-359 (2009).
- 1506145Wu, Y. E., Pan, L., Zuo, Y., Li, X. & Hong, W. Detecting activated cell populations using single-cell RNA-
seq. Neuron 96, 313-329. e316 (2017).
- 1508146Franceschini, A., Costantini, I., Pavone, F. S. & Silvestri, L. Dissecting Neuronal Activation on a Brain-Wide1509Scale With Immediate Early Genes. Frontiers in Neuroscience 14, 1111 (2020).
- 1510147Guenthner, C. J., Miyamichi, K., Yang, H. H., Heller, H. C. & Luo, L. Permanent genetic access to transiently
active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in active populations. *Neuron* **78**, 773-784 (2013).
- 1512148Grinvald, A., Lieke, E., Frostig, R. D., Gilbert, C. D. & Wiesel, T. N. Functional architecture of cortex revealed
by optical imaging of intrinsic signals. *Nature* **324**, 361-364 (1986).
- 1514 149 Juavinett, A. L., Nauhaus, I., Garrett, M. E., Zhuang, J. & Callaway, E. M. Automated identification of mouse visual areas with intrinsic signal imaging. *Nature protocols* **12**, 32 (2017).
- 1516150Lee, J. H. et al. Global and local fMRI signals driven by neurons defined optogenetically by type and wiring.1517Nature 465, 788-792 (2010).
- 1518 151 Uludağ, K. & Blinder, P. Linking brain vascular physiology to hemodynamic response in ultra-high field MRI.
 1519 Neuroimage 168, 279-295 (2018).
- 1520152Albers, F., Wachsmuth, L., Schache, D., Lambers, H. & Faber, C. Functional mri readouts from bold and
diffusion measurements differentially respond to optogenetic activation and tissue heating. Frontiers in
neuroscience 13, 1104 (2019).
- 1523153Edelman, B. J. & Macé, E. Functional ultrasound brain imaging: bridging networks, neurons and behavior.1524Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering, 100286 (2021).
- 1525154Brecht, M. et al. Organization of rat vibrissa motor cortex and adjacent areas according to cytoarchitectonics,
microstimulation, and intracellular stimulation of identified cells. Journal of Comparative Neurology 479, 360-
373 (2004).
- 1528 155 Heindorf, M., Arber, S. & Keller, G. B. Mouse motor cortex coordinates the behavioral response to unpredicted sensory feedback. *Neuron* **99**, 1040-1054. e1045 (2018).
- 1530 156 Dana, H. *et al.* High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in neuronal populations and microcompartments. *Nature methods* **16**, 649-657 (2019).
- 1532 157 Guo, Z. V. *et al.* Flow of cortical activity underlying a tactile decision in mice. *Neuron* **81**, 179-194 (2014).
- 1533158Jun, J. J. et al. Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density recording of neural activity. Nature 551, 232-
236 (2017).
- 1535 159 Steinmetz, N. A. *et al.* Neuropixels 2.0: A miniaturized high-density probe for stable, long-term brain recordings. *Science* **372** (2021).
- 1537160Kvitsiani, D. *et al.* Distinct behavioural and network correlates of two interneuron types in prefrontal cortex.1538Nature 498, 363-366 (2013).
- 1539161Ferreira-Pinto, M. J. et al. Functional diversity for body actions in the mesencephalic locomotor region. Cell1540184, 4564-4578. e4518 (2021).
- 1541162Pascoli, V. et al. Contrasting forms of cocaine-evoked plasticity control components of relapse. Nature 509,1542459-464 (2014).
- 1543 163 Burguière, E., Monteiro, P., Feng, G. & Graybiel, A. M. Optogenetic stimulation of lateral orbitofronto-striatal pathway suppresses compulsive behaviors. *Science* **340**, 1243-1246 (2013).
- 1545 164 Hartong, D. T., Berson, E. L. & Dryja, T. P. Retinitis pigmentosa. *The Lancet* **368**, 1795-1809 (2006).
- 1546165de Jong, E. K., Geerlings, M. J. & den Hollander, A. I. Age-related macular degeneration. Genetics and
genomics of eye disease, 155-180 (2020).
- 1548 166 Bi, A. *et al.* Ectopic expression of a microbial-type rhodopsin restores visual responses in mice with photoreceptor degeneration. *Neuron* **50**, 23-33 (2006).
- 1550167Sahel, J.-A. et al. Partial recovery of visual function in a blind patient after optogenetic therapy. Nature1551Medicine, 1-7 (2021).
- 1552168Tomita, H. *et al.* Restoration of the majority of the visual spectrum by using modified Volvox1553channelrhodopsin-1. *Molecular Therapy* 22, 1434-1440 (2014).
- 1554 169 Sengupta, A. *et al.* Red-shifted channelrhodopsin stimulation restores light responses in blind mice, macaque retina, and human retina. *EMBO molecular medicine* **8**, 1248-1264 (2016).
- 1556170Gauvain, G. *et al.* Optogenetic therapy: high spatiotemporal resolution and pattern discrimination compatible1557with vision restoration in non-human primates. Communications biology 4, 1-15 (2021).
- 1558171Mattis, J. et al. Principles for applying optogenetic tools derived from direct comparative analysis of microbial
opsins. Nature methods 9, 159-172 (2012).

- 1560172Ganjawala, T. H., Lu, Q., Fenner, M. D., Abrams, G. W. & Pan, Z.-H. Improved CoChR variants restore visual
acuity and contrast sensitivity in a mouse model of blindness under ambient light conditions. *Molecular*
Therapy 27, 1195-1205 (2019).
- 1563173Cehajic-Kapetanovic, J. et al. Restoration of vision with ectopic expression of human rod opsin. Current1564Biology 25, 2111-2122 (2015).
- 1565174Gaub, B. M., Berry, M. H., Holt, A. E., Isacoff, E. Y. & Flannery, J. G. Optogenetic vision restoration using
rhodopsin for enhanced sensitivity. *Molecular Therapy* 23, 1562-1571 (2015).
- 1567175Berry, M. H. *et al.* Restoration of high-sensitivity and adapting vision with a cone opsin. Nature1568communications 10, 1-12 (2019).
- 1569176van Wyk, M., Pielecka-Fortuna, J., Löwel, S. & Kleinlogel, S. Restoring the ON switch in blind retinas: opto-
mGluR6, a next-generation, cell-tailored optogenetic tool. *PLoS Biol* 13, e1002143 (2015).
- 1571177Surace, E. M. & Auricchio, A. Versatility of AAV vectors for retinal gene transfer. Vision research 48, 353-1572359 (2008).
- 1573178Ivanova, E., Hwang, G.-S., Pan, Z.-H. & Troilo, D. Evaluation of AAV-mediated expression of Chop2-GFP in
the marmoset retina. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* **51**, 5288-5296 (2010).
- 1575179Yin, L. et al. Intravitreal injection of AAV2 transduces macaque inner retina. Investigative ophthalmology &1576visual science 52, 2775-2783 (2011).
- 1577180Lagali, P. S. *et al.* Light-activated channels targeted to ON bipolar cells restore visual function in retinal
degeneration. *Nature neuroscience* 11, 667-675 (2008).
- 1579181Cronin, T. *et al.* Efficient transduction and optogenetic stimulation of retinal bipolar cells by a synthetic adeno-1580associated virus capsid and promoter. *EMBO molecular medicine* 6, 1175-1190 (2014).
- 1581182Macé, E. *et al.* Targeting channelrhodopsin-2 to ON-bipolar cells with vitreally administered AAV restores ON1582and OFF visual responses in blind mice. *Molecular Therapy* 23, 7-16 (2015).
- 1583
 183 Lu, Q., Ganjawala, T. H., Krstevski, A., Abrams, G. W. & Pan, Z.-H. Comparison of AAV-Mediated optogenetic vision restoration between retinal ganglion cell expression and ON bipolar cell targeting. *Molecular Therapy-Methods & Clinical Development* 18, 15-23 (2020).
- 1586 184 Busskamp, V. *et al.* Genetic reactivation of cone photoreceptors restores visual responses in retinitis pigmentosa. *science* **329**, 413-417 (2010).
- 1588185Marc, R. E., Jones, B. W., Watt, C. B. & Strettoi, E. Neural remodeling in retinal degeneration. Progress in
retinal and eye research 22, 607-655 (2003).
- 1590186Bruegmann, T., Beiert, T., Vogt, C. C., Schrickel, J. W. & Sasse, P. Optogenetic termination of atrial
fibrillation in mice. *Cardiovascular research* 114, 713-723 (2018).
- 1592187Bruegmann, T. et al. Optogenetic control of heart muscle in vitro and in vivo. Nature methods 7, 897-900
(2010).
- 1594 188 Nussinovitch, U. & Gepstein, L. Optogenetics for in vivo cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapies.
 1595 Nature biotechnology 33, 750-754 (2015).
- 1596189Bruegmann, T. et al. Optogenetic defibrillation terminates ventricular arrhythmia in mouse hearts and human
simulations. The Journal of clinical investigation 126, 3894-3904 (2016).
- 1598190Boyle, P. M., Williams, J. C., Ambrosi, C. M., Entcheva, E. & Trayanova, N. A. A comprehensive multiscale
framework for simulating optogenetics in the heart. *Nature communications* 4, 1-9 (2013).
- 1600191Quiñonez Uribe, R. A., Luther, S., Diaz-Maue, L. & Richter, C. Energy-reduced arrhythmia termination using
global photostimulation in optogenetic murine hearts. Frontiers in physiology 9, 1651 (2018).
- 1602192Nyns, E. C. et al. An automated hybrid bioelectronic system for autogenous restoration of sinus rhythm in
atrial fibrillation. Science translational medicine 11 (2019).
- 1604193Entcheva, E. & Kay, M. W. Cardiac optogenetics: a decade of enlightenment. Nature Reviews Cardiology 18,1605349-367 (2021).
- 1606194Zaglia, T. et al. Optogenetic determination of the myocardial requirements for extrasystoles by cell type-1607specific targeting of ChannelRhodopsin-2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112, E4495-1608E4504 (2015).
- 1609195Ambrosi, C. M. & Entcheva, E. Optogenetics 'promise: pacing and cardioversion by light? Future cardiology161010, 1-4 (2014).
- 1611 196 Williams, J. C. *et al.* Computational optogenetics: empirically-derived voltage-and light-sensitive channelrhodopsin-2 model. *PLoS computational biology* **9**, e1003220 (2013).
- 1613197Karathanos, T. V., Boyle, P. M. & Trayanova, N. A. Optogenetics-enabled dynamic modulation of action
potential duration in atrial tissue: feasibility of a novel therapeutic approach. *Europace* 16, iv69-iv76 (2014).

- 1615198Rajendran, P. S. *et al.* Identification of peripheral neural circuits that regulate heart rate using optogenetic and
viral vector strategies. *Nature communications* 10, 1-13 (2019).
- 1617199Ambrosi, C. M., Sadananda, G., Han, J. L. & Entcheva, E. Adeno-associated virus mediated gene delivery:
implications for scalable in vitro and in vivo cardiac optogenetic models. Frontiers in physiology 10, 168
(2019).
- 1620 200 Wengrowski, A. M. *et al.* Optogenetic release of norepinephrine from cardiac sympathetic neurons alters mechanical and electrical function. *Cardiovascular research* **105**, 143-150 (2015).
- 1622201Moreno, A. *et al.* Sudden heart rate reduction upon optogenetic release of acetylcholine from cardiac1623parasympathetic neurons in perfused hearts. *Frontiers in physiology* 10, 16 (2019).
- 1624
1625202Greenberg, B. *et al.* Prevalence of AAV1 neutralizing antibodies and consequences for a clinical trial of gene
transfer for advanced heart failure. *Gene therapy* 23, 313-319 (2016).
- 1626203Crocini, C. et al. Optogenetics design of mechanistically-based stimulation patterns for cardiac defibrillation.1627Scientific reports 6, 1-7 (2016).
- 1628 204 Hussaini, S. *et al.* Drift and termination of spiral waves in optogenetically modified cardiac tissue at subthreshold illumination. *Elife* **10**, e59954 (2021).
- 1630205Hochbaum, D. R. et al. All-optical electrophysiology in mammalian neurons using engineered microbial
rhodopsins. Nature Methods 11, 825-833, doi:Doi 10.1038/Nmeth.3000 (2014).
- 1632
1633206Klimas, A. *et al.* OptoDyCE as an automated system for high-throughput all-optical dynamic cardiac
electrophysiology. *Nature communications* 7, 1-12 (2016).
- 1634207Klimas, A., Ortiz, G., Boggess, S. C., Miller, E. W. & Entcheva, E. Multimodal on-axis platform for all-optical
electrophysiology with near-infrared probes in human stem-cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Progress in*
biophysics and molecular biology 154, 62-70 (2020).
- 1637 208 Dempsey, G. T. *et al.* Cardiotoxicity screening with simultaneous optogenetic pacing, voltage imaging and calcium imaging. *Journal of pharmacological and toxicological methods* **81**, 240-250 (2016).
- 1639209Dwenger, M. et al. Chronic optical pacing conditioning of h-iPSC engineered cardiac tissues. Journal of tissue
engineering 10, 2041731419841748 (2019).
- 1641
1642210Quach, B., Krogh-Madsen, T., Entcheva, E. & Christini, D. J. Light-activated dynamic clamp using iPSC-
derived cardiomyocytes. *Biophysical journal* 115, 2206-2217 (2018).
- 1643 211 Ochoa-Fernandez, R. *et al.* Optogenetic control of gene expression in plants in the presence of ambient white light. *Nature Methods* **17**, 717-725 (2020).
- 1645 212 Christie, J. M. & Zurbriggen, M. D. Optogenetics in plants. New Phytologist 229, 3108-3115 (2021).
- 1646213Omelina, E. S. *et al.* Optogenetic and Chemical Induction Systems for Regulation of Transgene Expression in1647Plants: Use in Basic and Applied Research. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23, 1737 (2022).
- 1648
1649214Papanatsiou, M. et al. Optogenetic manipulation of stomatal kinetics improves carbon assimilation, water use,
and growth. Science 363, 1456-1459 (2019).
- 1650215Reyer, A. et al. Channelrhodopsin-mediated optogenetics highlights a central role of depolarization-dependent
plant proton pumps. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 20920-20925 (2020).
- 1652216Zhou, Y. et al. Extending the Anion Channelrhodopsin-Based Toolbox for Plant Optogenetics. Membranes 11,1653287 (2021).
- 1654217Huang, S. *et al.* Optogenetic control of the guard cell membrane potential and stomatal movement by the light-
gated anion channel Gt ACR1. *Science Advances* 7, eabg4619 (2021).
- 1656
1657218Twell, D., Yamaguchi, J. & McCORMICK, S. Pollen-specific gene expression in transgenic plants: coordinate
regulation of two different tomato gene promoters during microsporogenesis. Development 109, 705-713
(1990).
- 1659219Govorunova, E. G., Sineshchekov, O. A., Janz, R., Liu, X. & Spudich, J. L. NEUROSCIENCE. Natural light-
gated anion channels: A family of microbial rhodopsins for advanced optogenetics. Science 349, 647-650,
doi:10.1126/science.aaa7484 (2015).
- 1662
1663220Gutermuth, T. *et al.* Pollen tube growth regulation by free anions depends on the interaction between the anion
channel SLAH3 and calcium-dependent protein kinases CPK2 and CPK20. *The Plant Cell* **25**, 4525-4543
(2013).
- 1665221Gutermuth, T. et al. Tip-localized Ca2+-permeable channels control pollen tube growth via kinase-dependent1666R-and S-type anion channel regulation. New Phytologist 218, 1089-1105 (2018).
- 1667222Gilroy, S. et al. ROS, calcium, and electric signals: key mediators of rapid systemic signaling in plants. Plant
physiology 171, 1606-1615 (2016).
- 1669 223 Choi, W. G. et al. (Wiley Online Library, 2017).

- 1670224Fromm, J. & Lautner, S. Electrical signals and their physiological significance in plants. *Plant, cell & environment* **30**, 249-257 (2007).
- 1672
1673225Vodeneev, V., Katicheva, L. & Sukhov, V. Electrical signals in higher plants: mechanisms of generation and
propagation. *Biophysics* 61, 505-512 (2016).
- 1674 226 Szechyńska-Hebda, M., Lewandowska, M. & Karpiński, S. Electrical signaling, photosynthesis and systemic acquired acclimation. *Frontiers in physiology* **8**, 684 (2017).
- 1676227Hedrich, R. & Neher, E. Venus flytrap: how an excitable, carnivorous plant works. Trends in Plant Science 23,1677220-234 (2018).
- 1678
1679228Dawydow, A. et al. Channelrhodopsin-2–XXL, a powerful optogenetic tool for low-light applications.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 13972-13977 (2014).
- 1680229Inoue, S.-i. & Kinoshita, T. Blue light regulation of stomatal opening and the plasma membrane H+-ATPase.1681Plant Physiology 174, 531-538 (2017).
- 1682230Pilot, G. et al. Guard Cell Inward K+ Channel Activity inArabidopsis Involves Expression of the Twin1683Channel Subunits KAT1 and KAT2. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276, 3215-3221 (2001).
- 1684
1685231Catterall, W. A., Wisedchaisri, G. & Zheng, N. The chemical basis for electrical signaling. Nature chemical
biology 13, 455-463 (2017).
- 1686232Duan, X., Nagel, G. & Gao, S. Mutated channelrhodopsins with increased sodium and calcium permeability.1687Applied Sciences 9, 664 (2019).
- Miyashita, T., Shao, Y. R., Chung, J., Pourzia, O. & Feldman, D. Long-term channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)
 expression can induce abnormal axonal morphology and targeting in cerebral cortex. *Frontiers in neural circuits* 7, 8 (2013).
- 1691234Rapti, K. *et al.* Neutralizing antibodies against AAV serotypes 1, 2, 6, and 9 in sera of commonly used animal
models. *Molecular Therapy* 20, 73-83 (2012).
- 1693
1694235Al-Juboori, S. I. et al. Light scattering properties vary across different regions of the adult mouse brain. PloS
one 8, e67626 (2013).
- 1695236Shin, Y. et al. Characterization of fiber-optic light delivery and light-induced temperature changes in a rodent1696brain for precise optogenetic neuromodulation. Biomedical optics express 7, 4450-4471 (2016).
- 1697237Arias-Gil, G., Ohl, F. W., Takagaki, K. & Lippert, M. T. Measurement, modeling, and prediction of
temperature rise due to optogenetic brain stimulation. *Neurophotonics* 3, 045007 (2016).
- 1699238Owen, S. F., Liu, M. H. & Kreitzer, A. C. Thermal constraints on in vivo optogenetic manipulations. Nature
neuroscience 22, 1061-1065 (2019).
- 1701239Yang, F. & Zheng, J. High temperature sensitivity is intrinsic to voltage-gated potassium channels. *Elife* 3, e03255 (2014).
- 1703 240 Sabatini, B. L. & Regehr, W. G. Timing of neurotransmission at fast synapses in the mammalian brain. *Nature* 384, 170-172 (1996).
- 1705 241 Moser, E. I. & Andersen, P. Conserved spatial learning in cooled rats in spite of slowing of dentate field potentials. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 4458-4466 (1994).
- 1707 242 Chen, R. et al. Deep brain optogenetics without intracranial surgery. Nature biotechnology **39**, 161-164 (2021).
- 1708
1709243Podgorski, K. & Ranganathan, G. Brain heating induced by near-infrared lasers during multiphoton
microscopy. *Journal of neurophysiology* **116**, 1012-1023 (2016).
- 1710244Picot, A. *et al.* Temperature rise under two-photon optogenetic brain stimulation. *Cell reports* 24, 1243-1253.1711e1245 (2018).
- 1712245Kravitz, A. & Bonci, A. Optogenetics, physiology, and emotions. Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience 7, 1691713(2013).
- 1714 246 Häusser, M. Optogenetics: the age of light. *Nature methods* **11**, 1012-1014 (2014).
- 1715247Chuong, A., Miri, M. & Acker, L. Non-invasive optogenetic neural silencing. Nat Neurosci 17, 1123-11291716(2014).
- 1717248Herman, A. M., Huang, L., Murphey, D. K., Garcia, I. & Arenkiel, B. R. Cell type-specific and time-dependent1718light exposure contribute to silencing in neurons expressing Channelrhodopsin-2. *Elife* 3, e01481 (2014).
- 1719249Ferenczi, E. A. et al. Optogenetic approaches addressing extracellular modulation of neural excitability.1720Scientific reports 6, 1-20 (2016).
- 1721 250 Otchy, T. M. et al. Acute off-target effects of neural circuit manipulations. *Nature* **528**, 358-363 (2015).
- Sorum, B., Rietmeijer, R. A., Gopakumar, K., Adesnik, H. & Brohawn, S. G. Ultrasound activates
 mechanosensitive TRAAK K+ channels through the lipid membrane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118 (2021).

- 1725252Strickland, D. et al. TULIPs: tunable, light-controlled interacting protein tags for cell biology. Nature methods17269, 379-384 (2012).
- 1727253Kennedy, M. J. et al. Rapid blue-light-mediated induction of protein interactions in living cells. Nature
methods 7, 973-975 (2010).

1729 254 Levskaya, A., Weiner, O. D., Lim, W. A. & Voigt, C. A. Spatiotemporal control of cell signalling using a light-switchable protein interaction. *Nature* 461, 997-1001 (2009).

- 1731255Farhi, S. L. et al. Wide-area all-optical neurophysiology in acute brain slices. Journal of Neuroscience 39,17324889-4908 (2019).
- 1733 256 Berndt, A. *et al.* High-efficiency channelrhodopsins for fast neuronal stimulation at low light levels.
 1734 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 7595-7600, doi:Doi 10.1073/Pnas.1017210108 (2011).
- 1736
 1737
 1738
 257 Gong, X. *et al.* An ultra-sensitive step-function opsin for minimally invasive optogenetic stimulation in mice and macaques. *Neuron* 107, 38-51. e38 (2020).
- 1739259Rajasethupathy, P. et al. Projections from neocortex mediate top-down control of memory retrieval. Nature1740526, 653-659 (2015).
- 1741260Shevchenko, V. *et al.* Inward H+ pump xenorhodopsin: Mechanism and alternative optogenetic approach.1742Science advances 3, e1603187 (2017).
- 1743 261 Grimm, C., Silapetere, A., Vogt, A., Sierra, Y. A. B. & Hegemann, P. Electrical properties, substrate specificity 1744 and optogenetic potential of the engineered light-driven sodium pump eKR2. *Scientific reports* **8**, 1-12 (2018).
- 1745 262 Gradinaru, V., Thompson, K. R. & Deisseroth, K. eNpHR: a Natronomonas halorhodopsin enhanced for optogenetic applications. *Brain cell biology* **36**, 129-139 (2008).
- Subach, O. M. *et al.* FRCaMP, a Red Fluorescent Genetically Encoded Calcium Indicator Based on
 Calmodulin from Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Fungus. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22, 111
 (2021).
- 1750 264 Villette, V. *et al.* Ultrafast two-photon imaging of a high-gain voltage indicator in awake behaving mice. *Cell*1751 179, 1590-1608. e1523 (2019).
- Abdelfattah, A. S. *et al.* Bright and photostable chemigenetic indicators for extended in vivo voltage imaging.
 Science 365, 699-704, doi:10.1126/science.aav6416 (2019).
- 1754266Kannan, M. et al. Fast, in vivo voltage imaging using a red fluorescent indicator. Nature methods 15, 1108-17551116 (2018).
- 1756267Piatkevich, K. D. *et al.* A robotic multidimensional directed evolution approach applied to fluorescent voltage1757reporters. Nature chemical biology 14, 352-360 (2018).
- 1758268Miesenböck, G., De Angelis, D. A. & Rothman, J. E. Visualizing secretion and synaptic transmission with pH-
sensitive green fluorescent proteins. *Nature* **394**, 192-195 (1998).
- 1760269Anikeeva, P. et al. Optetrode: a multichannel readout for optogenetic control in freely moving mice. Nature
neuroscience 15, 163-170 (2012).
- 1762270Creed, M., Pascoli, V. J. & Lüscher, C. Refining deep brain stimulation to emulate optogenetic treatment of
synaptic pathology. Science 347, 659-664 (2015).
- 1764 271 Kuhn, J., Gruendler, T. O., Klosterkötter, J. & Bartsch, C. Stimulating the addictive brain. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 6, 220 (2012).
- 1766 272 Pan, Z.-H., Lu, Q., Bi, A., Dizhoor, A. M. & Abrams, G. W. Optogenetic approaches to restoring vision. Annual review of vision science 1, 185-210 (2015).
 1768
- 1769

1770 TOC Blurb

- 1771 Optogenetic techniques involve the introduction of photoreceptors in selected cells to allow control over their activity
- 1772 using light. In this Primer, Emiliani et al. discuss the most commonly used optogenetic tools, illumination approaches
- 1773 and applications in medicine, cardiovascular science and plants, among many other uses.
- 1774
- 1775