

Editorial: similar risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with tenofovir or entecavir-new clues from Europe. Authors' reply.

Stanislas Pol, Clovis Luzivika Nzinga, Hélène Fontaine, Fabrice Carrat

▶ To cite this version:

Stanislas Pol, Clovis Luzivika Nzinga, Hélène Fontaine, Fabrice Carrat. Editorial: similar risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with tenofovir or entecavir-new clues from Europe. Authors' reply.. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics (Suppl), 2021, 53 (5), pp.659. 10.1111/apt.16251. hal-03776245

HAL Id: hal-03776245

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03776245v1

Submitted on 13 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Editorial: similar risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with Tenofovir or Entecavir - new clues from Europe. Authors' reply

In their Editorial Lampertico and Papatheodoridis wisely conclude that the controversy of whether Tenofovir reduces the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma to a greater extent than does Entecavir treatment continues, leaving open the possibility that Tenofovir should be the preferred agent in a subset of patients (1). From our data, we cannot exclude such a proposition. However, in our comprehensive investigation, an analysis of the effect in numerous subgroups (including in cirrhotic, non-cirrhotic, experienced or naïve patients, compensated cirrhotics or cirrhotics with prior complications including HCC or noncarcinoma complications) did not show evidence of any significant difference between these antiviral therapies. (2). We believe that the recently published systematic review and metaanalysis, including 119,053 patients, adds credible supplementary confirmation of the absence of any major clinically significant difference between these two antiviral drugs: the 8 studies matched by propensity score report a 5-year cumulative incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma of 3.44% for Entecavir and 3.39% for Tenofovir. In their analysis the authors suggest that "treatment should be guided by patient tolerability and affordability rather than whether one drug is more effective than the other" and we completely agree with this conclusion.

Prof. Stanislas POL, MD;^{1,2} Clovis LUZIVIKA NZINGA, PhD,³ Hélène FONTAINE, MD,² Prof. Fabrice CARRAT, PhD,^{3,4} for the French ANRS CO22 Hepather cohort

¹ Université de Paris ; INSERM U-1223, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

²Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Unité d'Hépatologie, Paris, France.

³ Sorbonne Université, Institut National de la santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris, France.

⁴ Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Unité de Santé Publique, Paris, France.

- 1. Lampertico P, Patheodoridis G. Editorial: similar risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with Tenofovir or Entecavir new clues from Europe. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020; in press.
- 2. Pol S, Luzivika Nzinga C, Dorival C, et al. Impact of NUCs on HCC occurrence in HBV chronic infection in the AFEF/ANRS CO22 Hepather cohort. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020; in press.
- 3. Tseng C-H, Hsu Y-C, Chen T-H, et al. Hepatocellular incidence with tenofovir versus entecavir in chronic hepatitis B: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5 (12): 1039-1052.

The author' declarations of personal and financial interests are unchanged from those in the original article (2).