

# Overcoming barriers for nitrate electrochemical reduction: By-passing water hardness

Aksana Atrashkevich, Ana Sofia Fajardo, Paul Westerhoff, W. Shane Walker,

Carlos M Sánchez-Sánchez, Sergi Garcia-Segura

# ▶ To cite this version:

Aksana Atrashkevich, Ana Sofia Fajardo, Paul Westerhoff, W. Shane Walker, Carlos M Sánchez-Sánchez, et al.. Overcoming barriers for nitrate electrochemical reduction: By-passing water hardness. Water Research, 2022, 225, pp.119118. 10.1016/j.watres.2022.119118. hal-03790519

# HAL Id: hal-03790519 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03790519v1

Submitted on 28 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Overcoming barriers for nitrate electrochemical reduction: by-passing
3	water hardness
4	Aksana Atrashkevich <sup>a</sup> , Ana S. Fajardo <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Paul Westerhoff <sup>a</sup> , W. Shane Walker <sup>a,c</sup> , Carlos M.
5	Sánchez-Sánchez <sup>b</sup> , Sergi Garcia-Segura <sup>a,**</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology-Enabled Water Treatment,
8	School of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe,
9	AZ 85287-3005, USA
10	<sup>b</sup> Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire Interfaces et Systèmes Electrochimiques (LISE),
11	4 place Jussieu, F-75005, Paris, France
12	°Civil Engineering, Center for Inland Desalination Systems, University of Texas at El Paso,
13	El Paso, TX, USA
14	
15	
16	Article submitted to be published in Water Research
17	Corresponding author:
18	*e-mail: adossan3@asu.edu (Dr. Ana Sofia Fajardo)
19	**e-mail: Sergio.garcia.segura@asu.edu (Dr. Sergi Garcia-Segura)

#### Abstract

21 Water matrix composition impacts water treatment performance. However, matrix composition 22 impacts have rarely been studied for electrochemical water treatment processes, and the correlation between 23 the composition and the treatment efficiency is lacking. This work evaluated the electrochemical reduction 24 of nitrate (ERN) using different complex water matrices: groundwater, brackish water, and reverse osmosis 25 (RO) concentrate/brine. The ERN was conducted using a tin (Sn) cathode because of the high selectivity 26 towards nitrogen evolution reported for Sn electrocatalysts. The co-existence of calcium ( $Ca^{2+}$ ), magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>), and carbonate (CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) ions in water caused a 4-fold decrease in the nitrate conversion into innocuous 27 nitrogen gas due to inorganic scaling formation on the cathode surface. XRF and XRD analysis of fouled 28 29 catalyst surfaces detected brucite (Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>), calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), and dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) mineral scales 30 formed on the cathode surface. Surface scaling created a physical barrier on the electrode that decreased 31 the ERN efficiency. Identifying these main sources of ERN inhibition was a key to devising potential 32 fouling mitigation strategies. For this reason, the chemical softening pre-treatment of a real brackish water 33 was conducted and this significantly increased nitrate conversion and faradaic efficiency during subsequent 34 ERN treatment, leading to a lower electric energy consumption per order. Understanding the ionic foulant 35 composition responsible for influencing electrochemically-driven technologies are the first steps that must 36 be taken to move towards niche applications such as decentralized ERN. Thus, we propose either direct 37 ERN implementation in regions facing high nitrate levels in soft waters, or a hybrid softening/nitrate 38 removal system for those regions where high nitrate and high-water hardness appear simultaneously.

- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42

Keywords: Electrochemical water treatment; Electrocatalysis; Nitrate reduction; Water hardness; Scaling; brackish waters

44

#### 1. Introduction

46 Electrochemically-driven technologies for water treatment are emerging as competitive solutions 47 for centralized and decentralized treatment given their high adaptability, compact reactor designs, and the possibilities of transforming pollutants into products of added value (Chaplin, 2019; Martínez-Huitle et al., 48 49 2015). The high relevance that electrified water purification will have in the near future is undeniable. 50 Electrochemical processes can achieve effective removal of organics through electrochemical advanced 51 oxidation processes (dos Santos et al., 2021; Moreira et al., 2017; Villanueva-Rodríguez et al., 2012), 52 inactivation of pathogens through electrodisinfection (Garcia-Segura et al., 2021; Martínez-Huitle and 53 Brillas, 2021), and even abatement of oxyanions such as nitrate by electrochemical advanced reduction 54 processes (Sergi Garcia-Segura et al., 2018; van Langevelde et al., 2021).

55 Nitrate is one of the top ten water pollutants that commonly violate water quality regulations 56 worldwide (Allaire et al., 2018; Rupert, 2008). The dramatic increase in nitrate concentration in water 57 sources mainly located in rural areas is related to the extensive use of fertilizers in agriculture due to 58 growing anthropogenic activities (Li et al., 2021). The World Health Organization (WHO) has set a maximum concentration level (MCL) of 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> defined in terms of mass of N per liter (NO<sub>3</sub>—N L<sup>-1</sup>) 59 60 given the hazardous effects of nitrate for drinking water (WHO, 2016). Exposure to higher concentrations 61 of nitrate above the suggested MCL has been associated to adverse respiratory effects (i.e., 62 methemoglobinemia), thyroid problems, and cancer (Temkin et al., 2019; Torres et al., 2020). Water sources that violate the quality standards because of high nitrate levels result in the shutdown of water wells, 63 64 limiting access to clean water, causing additional stressors for vulnerable populations.

Conventional treatment of nitrate polluted water requires in most cases the implementation of physical separation technologies (*i.e.*, ionic exchange, reverse osmosis) that generate undesired nitrate-rich brines (Amma and Ashraf, 2020; Liu et al., 2021). Other alternatives consider delicate biologic anammox treatment that requires specialized management and has a large physical footprint, both factors limiting widespread utilization in decentralized settings (Abdelfattah et al., 2020; Crittenden et al., 2012). Electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate (ERN) appears as a feasible solution given promising results reported in literature on the study of synthetic solutions of nitrate (van Langevelde et al., 2021; Werth et al., 2021).
Most research in these works have focused on the discovery of electrocatalytic materials that overcome
sluggish nitrate reduction kinetics as well as control product selectivity in matrix-free solutions (Lim et al.,
2021; Sanjuán et al., 2020; Y. Zhang et al., 2021). Very few examples addressing the impact on ERN
performance of a complex matrix such as the one present in nuclear (Katsounaros et al., 2009) and textile
(Su et al., 2017) wastewater effluents can be found in the literature.

The ERN mechanism for producing either ammonia  $(NH_3)$  or nitrogen gas  $(N_2)$  presents a common initial reduction step, the reduction of nitrate to produce nitrite  $(NO_2^-)$ , which thereafter separates in two independent reaction pathways leading to each final product (i.e., NH<sub>3</sub> and/or N<sub>2</sub>). Nitrate reduction towards ammonia following Reaction (1) can be a resourceful approach for sustainable nitrogen recovery for agriculture applications (Gabriel Antonio Cerrón-Calle et al., 2022; Katsounaros, 2021; van Langevelde et al., 2021). The nitrate reduction towards innocuous nitrogen gas by Reaction (2) is of utmost importance for drinking water purposes (Flores et al., 2022).

$$NO_3^- + 9H_2O + 8e^- \to NH_3 + 9OH^-$$
 (1)

$$2NO_3^- + 12H_2O + 10e^- \to N_2 + 12OH^-$$
(2)

Because of concerns regarding availability, cost, and supply chains associated with platinum group 84 metals (PGMs) or rare earth elements, significant advances are being conducted to develop competitive 85 86 electrocatalysts based on earth-abundant materials such as tin (Sn), copper (Cu), and cobalt (Co) (G.A. Cerrón-Calle et al., 2022b; Fajardo et al., 2021; Katsounaros, 2021; X. Zhang et al., 2021). High 87 88 competitiveness of Sn electrodes that enable fast nitrate reduction kinetics with a very high selectivity 89 towards N<sub>2</sub> has been demonstrated (Ambrosioni et al., 2014; Fajardo et al., 2021; Katsounaros et al., 2012; 90 Tada and Shimazu, 2005). Understanding interfacial effects that may condition the long-term sustained 91 performance of this electrodes it is relevant to advance technology readiness level of competitive ERN 92 systems. However, the promising results of ERN electrocatalysts have been at fundamental level while 93 exploring performance of the systems in ultrapure solutions and not in real water matrices polluted with 94 nitrate such as groundwater, brackish waters, brines, wastewaters, and others (Garcia-Segura et al., 2020a).

95 Co-existence of different ions may be detrimental for sustained long-performance of these promising 96 electrocatalytic materials. Co-existing species may compete with the target pollutant causing a decrease in 97 treatment efficiency or decrease the operational life of the electrode as result of material aging, fouling, 98 and/or scaling. Besides water composition, the pH is one of the most critical water quality parameters when 99 considering water use for drinking purpose. The speciation of many compounds depends on the solution 100 pH. Water distribution systems aim to maintain pH near to circumneutral conditions to avoid pipe corrosion 101 but also to ensure potable characteristics when reaching the end user. It is generally reported that ERN 102 treatment results in an increase of water pH given the reduction of nitrate according to Reactions (1) and 103 (2). Most works in literature conduct electrolysis in pure water matrices without controlling pH, which 104 reaches values close to 9-11 (Fajardo et al., 2021; Nobial et al., 2007). Water treatment should ideally 105 provide clean water with a pH ranging from 6-9, which may be ensured by the presence of pre-existing 106 buffers in solution (i.e., carbonate system) or other pH controlling techniques. Therefore, understanding the 107 major influential factors on the ERN are essential to develop preemptive strategies that enable successful 108 technology transfer into challenging real conditions.

109 Groundwater is especially impacted with high nitrate concentrations in regions of high agricultural 110 activity. Nevertheless, groundwater of non-agricultural regions may be polluted with nitrate over MCL, but 111 considered as a nitrate-poor water source (e.g., nitrate below 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N  $L^{-1}$ ). A possible solution already suggested in the literature is to concentrate the nitrate and all other ions in solution prior to ERN using 112 separation technologies such as reverse osmosis, ionic exchange, or capacitive deionization (van 113 114 Langevelde et al., 2021; Werth et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2013). This pre-concentration approach will 115 produce electrolyte compositions close to the ones displayed by either brackish or brine streams. For this 116 reason, real water samples from brackish and brine streams are studied herein, besides synthetic water. The 117 amount of nitrate added as contaminant in all three types of water source studied has been kept constant (30 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N L<sup>-1</sup>) in order to reach a fair comparison. Treatment of groundwater with either decentralized 118 119 or centralized electrochemically-driven technologies can be a suitable solution for purification of waters 120 with nitrate content over MCL. However, groundwater, brackish, and brine have complex water matrices

that were barely explored in literature while studying the electrochemical treatment. The effect of coexisting electrolytes during ERN should be addressed (Sergi Garcia-Segura et al., 2018; Katsounaros and Kyriacou, 2008). Understanding the impact of ionic species of environmental relevance is an urgent need to assess the competitiveness of emerging technologies under real conditions (Flores et al., 2022; Werth et al., 2021).

126 This work aims to elucidate common anions/cations in real water matrices which might become a 127 barrier to the efficient ERN. Especial attention is driven to understand scaling induced by water hardness 128 ions, as the generation of physical barrier demonstrated to deleteriously affect performance of ERN. The 129 effect of complex water matrices is assessed exploring the treatment of realistic multiple ion solute samples 130 of ground, brackish, and brine waters. These water sources with elevated nitrate level have been identified 131 as possible niche applications for decentralized electrified technologies (Hansen et al., 2017; Maxwell et 132 al., 2020). The research showed which water matrices may benefit from implementing pre-treatment 133 systems prior to electrochemical nitrate reduction. Therefore, feasible alternatives to overcome challenges 134 associated to specific species are proposed and evaluated. In particular, chemical softening of brackish 135 water prior to the ERN treatment was conducted. Implementation of management strategies should be 136 considered when exploring real world treatment scenarios.

137

138 **2.** M

# 2. Materials and methods

139 *2.1 Chemicals and solutions* 

Sodium nitrate (NaNO<sub>3</sub>), calcium sulfate dihydrate (CaSO<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O), magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O), sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate (NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O), sodium fluoride (NaF), and sodium metasilicate nonahydrate (Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>•9H<sub>2</sub>O) compounds, with purity > 99%, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich to evaluate single component effects as well as to verify their interaction together by mimicking groundwater matrices. Sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 96%, Sigma Aldrich) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH 99%, Sigma Aldrich) solutions were used to adjust the pH when required. Table 1 summarizes the analytical characterization of the

different water matrices treated by the ERN. Synthetic aqueous solutions containing 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub> -N L<sup>-1</sup> (133 147 148 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> L<sup>-1</sup>) were prepared with distilled water (pH =  $5.8 \pm 0.1$ ; conductivity  $10 \pm 5 \mu \text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) and they 149 correspond to entries from A to I in Table 1. This nitrate concentration was selected since it is within the common ranges found in groundwaters (WHO, 2016). Sodium sulphate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, > 99% purity, Sigma-150 151 Aldrich) was used as a supporting electrolyte; the "Blank" (or control) matrix listed in Entry A is a brackish ternary solution containing 25 meq L<sup>-1</sup> of sodium sulfate and 2.14 meq L<sup>-1</sup> of sodium nitrate. Entry B is a 152 153 complex synthetic brackish water prepared according to the NSF-challenge water recipe from the National 154 Sanitation Foundation (NSF International) which is a model for natural groundwater and has already been applied in many other studies (Gröhlich et al., 2017; Usman et al., 2018). The groundwater recipe emulates 155 156 the real representative concentrations of electrolytes found in environmental samples. Thus, the effect of 157 coexisting ions was explored using the meaningful concentration of each species as reported for the 158 composition of Entry B but considering only single solute compositions. In Table 1, Entries C through I are 159 brackish quaternary solutions that include the constituency of Entry A with the addition of a single cation, 160 anion, or silica; the concentration of each of these constituents was selected in basis of the general 161 compositions reported for groundwaters (defined by Entry B). Entries J and L correspond to real brackish 162 groundwater and reverse osmosis (RO) concentrate/brine water collected in Texas (USA), respectively, 163 where a constant amount of nitrate pollutant (30 mg  $NO_3$  - N L<sup>-1</sup>) is added.

	Water matrices	Initial pH	Conductivit y (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Cations (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )			Anions (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )					Silica		
Entry				Ca <sup>2+</sup>	$Mg^{2+}$	$Na^+$	$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	NO3 <sup>-</sup>	HCO 3	Cl	F <sup>.</sup>	PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup>	(mg SiO2 L <sup>-1</sup> )
А	Blank	5.95	3.1	-	-	625	-	133	-	-	-	-	1201	-
В	Synthetic Brackish Groundwater	6.22	3.85	41	12	749	-	133	183	72	1	0.12	1347	20
С	$Ca^{2+}$	5.95	3.1	41	-	625	-	133	-	-	-	-	1299	-
D	$Mg^{2+}$	5.95	3.1	-	12	625	-	133	-	-	-	-	1249	-
Е	Si <sub>2</sub> O	5.95	3.1	-	-	632	-	133	-	-	-	-	1201	20
F	HCO3 <sup>-</sup>	5.95	3.1	-	-	693	-	133	183	-	-	-	1201	-
G	CI <sup>-</sup>	5.95	3.1	-	-	671	-	133	-	72	-	-	1201	-
Н	F-	5.95	3.1	-	-	626	-	133	-	-	1	-	1201	-
Ι	PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	5.95	3.1	-	-	625	-	133	-	-	-	0.12	1201	-
J	Real Brackish Groundwater*	7.90	4.5	130	30	725	13	133	99	135 2	1	n.m.	269	n.m.
L	Real RO Brine*	8.10	20	567	157	3268	74	133	417	552 2	4	n.m.	1218	n.m.

**Table 1.** Characterization of the brackish water matrices tested in this work (all prepared with 30 mg  $NO_3^-$ -N L<sup>-1</sup>).

167

#### \* Identification and quantification of water composition was conducted by ionic chromatography. "n.m." - not measured.

10

## 2.2 Electrochemical experiments

The electrochemical reduction of nitrate was conducted under galvanostatic operating mode 168 applying a constant current intensity of 120 mA (i.e., current density j = 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) using a power supply 169 170 TENMA 72-2710. The undivided electrochemical glass batch cell was equipped with a tin cathode plate (Sn, 99.99% purity from McMaster-Carr/USA). Electrodes of Sn have shown excellent electrocatalytic 171 172 activity for ERN with outstanding selectivity towards N<sub>2</sub> (Dortsiou et al., 2013; Fajardo et al., 2021). The electrochemical cell was completed with a DSA® Ti/IrO2 (DeNora/USA) mesh anode. Both electrodes had 173 174 a geometric area of 3 cm<sup>2</sup> delimited with Teflon tape and were located with a 1.0 cm electrode gap distance 175 within the electrochemical cell. Solutions of 100 mL were treated under magnetic stirring at 550 rev min<sup>-1</sup> 176 to ensure transport of electroactive species towards/from the electrode. Samples were withdrawn over time and analyzed for aqueous nitrogen species, conductivity, and pH. Experiments were performed in triplicate, 177 and deviations between them were lower than 5% for all trials. Statistical paired t-test analysis was 178 179 conducted for experimental results using Minitab® statistical software considering an  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Experiments conducted while maintaining constant the pH (5.5 < pH < 6.4), small quantities of 0.1 M 180

H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (< 3  $\mu$ L) were gradually added every 7-8 min during the 360 min of reaction. For the case of carbonate buffer, pure CO<sub>2</sub> gas was bubbled in the solution during the experiment. Statistically significant difference between experiments was evaluated based on *p*-value.

184

# 185 *2.3 Analytical techniques*

The pH and conductivity were measured with a Thermo Scientific Orion Star A221 pH-meter and an A322 conductivity meter, respectively. The concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>3</sub>-N species were quantified using the HACH kits TNT 835, TNT 839 and TNT 830, respectively, by an HACH DR 6000 UV-vis spectrophotometer. From experimental quantification of nitrate concentration over electrolysis time the nitrate conversion was calculated according to Equation (3). The selectivity ( $S_x$ ) of nitrogen gas was estimated from Equation (4).

Nitrate conversion (%) = 
$$\frac{C_{nitrate,i} - C_{nitrate,t}}{C_{nitrate,i}} \times 100\%$$
 (3)

$$S_{N_{gas}}(\%) = \frac{c_{N_2,t}}{c_{nitrate,i} - c_{nitrate,t}} \times 100\%$$
(4)

where  $C_{nitrate,i}$  is the nitrate concentration at the beginning of the treatment (t = 0 min) in mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup>, and  $C_{nitrate,t}$  is the nitrate concentration at time t in mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup>, and  $C_{N_2,t}$  is the concentration of a nitrogen gas in mg N<sub>2</sub> – N L<sup>-1</sup> at time t.

The efficient use of electrons delivered to promote nitrate reduction was evaluated in terms of Faradaic efficiency (FE) as defined by Equation (5). The FE is an electrocatalytic figure of merit that defines the number of electrons consumed for nitrogen gas evolution reaction relatively to the total charge delivered according to Faraday's law. The FE shows the effectiveness of the actual charge transfer during the reaction of interest.

$$FE(\%) = \frac{Q_{reaction}}{Q_{total}} \times 100\% = \frac{n F N_i}{3600 \, l \, t} \times 100\%$$
(5)

where  $Q_{reaction}$  is the empirical charge consumed in the reaction of interest (C),  $Q_{total}$  is the total charge consumed during electrochemical process (C), *n* is the amount of electrons required per mole of product 202 (10 mol e<sup>-</sup>/mol N<sub>2</sub>), *F* is the Faraday constant (96 487 C eq<sup>-1</sup>),  $N_i$  is the amount of product generated during 203 the electrolysis (mol N<sub>2</sub>), *I* is the applied current intensity (A), *t* is the time (h), 3600 is a unit conversion 204 factor (s h<sup>-1</sup>). To evaluate the energy requirements of ERN, the engineering figure of merit electric energy 205 per order (*EE/O*) was computed according to Equation (6) (Bolton et al., 2001; Marcos-Hernández et al., 206 2022).

$$EE/O(kWh \ m^{-3} \ order^{-1}) = \frac{E_{cell} \ I \ t}{V_s \ log\left(\frac{C_{nitrate,i}}{C_{nitrate,t}}\right)}$$
(6)

where  $E_{cell}$  is the average of the cell potential (V) and  $V_s$  is the volume of the treated solution (L). Assuming that the electrochemical reduction of nitrate in the experiments follows a *pseudo*-first order kinetics (Equation (7)) the *EE/O* can be simplified as Equation (8).

$$210 \quad \log\left(\frac{c_{nitrate,i}}{c_{nitrate,t}}\right) = 0.4343k_1t \tag{7}$$

$$EE/O (kWh \ m^{-3} \ order^{-1}) = \frac{6.39 \ 10^{-4} E_{cell} l}{V_S k_1}$$
(8)

#### 211 where, $k_1$ is the *pseudo*-first order kinetics constant (s<sup>-1</sup>).

The concentrations of inorganic ions present in the real brackish and RO brine waters were quantified with a Thermo Scientific simultaneous cation and anion ion chromatography (IC) system. Cation concentrations were analyzed with a DIONEX Aquion with an IonPac CS16 (5x250 mm) column, a 10  $\mu$ L sample injection volume, and 47 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> methanesulfonic acid (MSA) as eluent with an eluent flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Anions were analyzed with a DIONEX Integrion HPIC with an IonPac AS18-Fast-4 $\mu$ m column, a 10  $\mu$ L sample injection volume, 30 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of potassium hydroxide as eluent, and an eluent flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>.

219 Chemical precipitation is one of the more common methods used to soften water (Chao and 220 Westerhoff, 2002). The lime-soda softening method was used to decrease water hardness of brackish water 221 prior ERN treatment. The chemicals used were lime (calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) and soda ash (sodium 222 carbonate, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>). Lime was applied to remove chemicals that cause carbonate hardness, while soda ash 223 was used to remove chemicals that cause non-carbonate hardness. When lime and soda ash were added, hardness-causing species formed insoluble precipitates such as calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and magnesium
 hydroxide (Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>). Solid-liquid separation was performed to recover the liquid sample first by gravity
 separation and after trough filtration using 0.45 µm filter.

Analysis of the surface of the cathode included its elemental composition, determined by x-ray
fluorescence (XRF) using a Thermo Scientific PTS22163. Electrodes were gently rinsed with ultrapure
water to ensure that measurements corresponded to scaled solid deposited on the surface of the electrode
during water treatment and not result of electrolyte evaporation. The crystalline structure of collected solids
deposited on the electrode surface was analyzed by the X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PANalytical AERIS
X-ray diffractometer within 2θ range from 10° to 100° with a step of 0.01°.

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 235 *3.1 Effect of pH control on the electrochemical reduction of nitrate*

236 The effect of nearly constant bulk water pH conditions on treatment performance was explored to 237 evaluate the impact in nitrate conversion and product selectivity. Figure 1 shows that the ERN during the treatment of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N L<sup>-1</sup> using Sn electrode attained predominantly electrocatalytic selectivity 238 239 towards N<sub>2</sub>. The high product selectivity towards innocuous N<sub>2</sub> (ca. 70%) is one of the most promising 240 characteristics of Sn as an electrode material for the ERN and is explained by the intrinsic electrocatalytic 241 properties of the electrode. During the blank experiments conducted using an unbuffered solution, the nitrate conversion achieved 82% and nitrate concentration diminished to 5.5 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup>, which is below 242 the MCL level of 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub> -N L<sup>-1</sup>. The pH of unbuffered solution increased from 5.95 up to 10.15, which 243 244 is a commonly observed feature in many other studies, regardless of electrode materials (Fuladpanjeh Hojaghan et al., 2019; Szpyrkowicz et al., 2006). The pH increase is explained by the release of hydroxyl 245 246 anions during reduction processes described in Reaction (1) and (2). During electrochemical processes, the 247 generation of OH<sup>-</sup> ions is promoted on the surface of the cathodes, causing the solution pH to increase over 248 time. The results of unbuffered pH were used to benchmark the performance of pH-controlled systems 249 through: (i) CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling, and (ii) active pH control through acid addition (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>).

250 The pH control by carbonate buffer through CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling is a common practice at water treatment 251 plants to lower water pH, especially after softening processes. Note that  $CO_2$  is an acid gas that when dissolved in water forms carbonic acid (Cerrón-Calle et al., 2022a). Here CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling was employed to 252 control solution pH during ERN. The  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration was controlled through the gas-liquid equilibria 253 254 in an open system. Statistical paired t-test analysis showed similar nitrate removal values for the blank and 255 CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling experiments (ca. 70%) without statistically significant difference based on obtained *p*-value 256 of 0.208. Moreover, the selectivity towards  $N_2$  (ca. 64%) remained the same with high reproducibility (*p*value = 0.166). These results suggest that a possible small content of dissolved oxygen within the 257 258 electrochemical cell was not significant to inhibit nor compete with the nitrate reduction Reactions (1) and

(2). Therefore, ERN treatment may be conducted in principle under unbuffered conditions withoutdetriment on performance in the pH range between 6 and 11.

261 Acidification by adding liquid reactants instead of a gas is an alternative approach to control pH 262 changes during treatment. Sulfuric acid is the most common strong acid used at water treatment plants to 263 lower pH, usually ahead of coagulation or membrane processes. Additionally, sulfuric acid was selected 264 given the inert character of sulfate anions as electrolytes in electrochemical systems. The initial pH of 5.95 265 was maintained during the ERN treatment of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N L<sup>-1</sup> nitrate solution. The experiments with continuous acidification led to nitrate conversion of 80% which is not significantly different neither from 266 blank (p-value = 0.500) nor from buffered experiment with CO<sub>2</sub> (p-value of 0.152). The results in Fig. 1 267 268 allowed to infer negligible impact of pH control on the overall reduction kinetics as well as the final product 269 selectivity. Considering these outcomes, ERN treatment can be conducted without strict pH control but may 270 require pH adjustment prior its use for drinking water applications. Following sections explore the treatment 271 of natural water solutions without any pH adjustment given that this operational condition is the easiest 272 scalable approach without additional costs associated to continuous pH control.



275

276

**Figure 1.** Electrocatalytic reduction of 30 mg  $NO_3^{-}$ - $NL^{-1}$  in 12.5 mM  $Na_2SO_4$  at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> after 360 min of treatment in unbuffered solution, carbonate buffer through  $CO_2$  bubbling, pH-controlled solution by  $H_2SO_4$  addition: a) nitrate conversion, and b) selectivity towards nitrogen gas.

- 277
- 278

#### 3.2 Effect of different inorganic ions on the electrochemical reduction of nitrate

279 Figure 2 illustrates a significant loss of ERN performance when comparing idealistic treatment 280 conditions of nitrate in a simple ternary brackish water solution (entry A in Table 1) with a more complex 281 synthetic brackish groundwater that contains a mixture of coexisting ionic species (entry B in Table 1). Figure 2a shows the common behavior reported for nitrate electrochemical reduction in which the sluggish 282 283 reduction kinetics is controlled by the first charge transfer reaction as limiting step. The ERN treatment of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N L<sup>-1</sup> in simple ternary water solution (Table 1 – entry A) exhibited a gradual decay during 284 285 the first 360 min treatment time until reaching 5.5 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N L<sup>-1</sup> (below MCL), which corresponded to a 286 nitrate conversion of 82%. This conversion fits well with a *pseudo*-first order constant  $(k_1)$  with the value  $k_1 = 7.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1} (\text{R}^2 = 99.8\%)$ . In contrast, the treatment of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub>-N L<sup>-1</sup> in the complex synthetic 287 288 brackish groundwater (Figure 2b and Table 1 – entry B) decreases effective conversion 4-fold from 82% 289 removal down to 19%, and decreases the rate 8-fold as observed from the pseudo-first order rate constant of  $0.95 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (R<sup>2</sup> = 97.9%). The coexistence of other inorganic ions in the system clearly impacted the 290 291 ERN performance. To elucidate which ions drive such significant decrease in the treatment performance, 292 the individual effect of each ion was tested on the ERN using the same concentrations present in the 293 groundwater sample.



294 295

297

**Figure 2.** Evolution of nitrogenated species, ( $\circ$ ) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>N, ( $\bullet$ ) NH<sub>3</sub>-N, ( $\bullet$ ) N<sub>2</sub>-N, over time for the electrochemical reduction of groundwater at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> with (a) blank solution (entry A in Table 1): 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>N L<sup>-1</sup> in 12.5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (b) synthetic brackish solution (entry B in Table 1).

298 Figure 3 summarizes the effect on nitrate conversion of single ionic species in solution assuming that Na<sup>+</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> are inert electrolytes on nitrate conversions in the electrochemical systems (ions also 299 present in the blank as supporting electrolyte). Anionic species commonly found in natural waters (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>, 300 301 HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>) had slight effects on the ERN performance where the nitrate conversion attained was 302 statistically the same. The treatment attained desired residual concentrations of nitrate below recommended 303 MCL after ERN treatment in all instances. Nitrate removal in presence of different anionic species has 304 negligible effect because these species are not susceptible of being reduced under these operation 305 conditions, which would explain the low impact on ERN. Dissolved silica (e.g.,  $SiOH_4$ ;  $pK_4=10.4$ ) is found 306 in groundwaters between 5 to 50 mg SiO<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>, but its evaluation demonstrated negligible impact on ERN performance. The coexistence of these ionic species ( $PO_4^{3-}$ ,  $HCO_3^{-}$ ,  $F^{-}$  and  $Cl^{-}$ ) does not seem to explain the 307 308 drastic loss in performance observed on ERN in Figure 2b.

309 In contrast, divalent cations exert a stark impact on nitrate abatement. The presence of 0.5 mmol  $L^{-1}$ 310 Mg<sup>2+</sup> led to the lowest nitrate conversion with 26% with lower selectivity towards nitrogen gas. Meanwhile 311 with dosed Ca<sup>2+</sup>, the nitrate reduction achieved 74% conversion, which is close to the 82% observed for the 312 water solution containing solely nitrate and electrolyte. Analysis of equilibrium speciation of the complex synthetic brackish groundwater matrix (Table 1 Entry B) with Visual Minteq v3.1 revealed that 99.3% of
nitrate remains not complexed, so complexation does not explain the observed decrease in denitrification.

Electrochemically-driven nitrate reduction takes place at the cathode surface mediated by direct 315 316 charge transfer processes (Flores et al., 2022; S. Garcia-Segura et al., 2018). Electrocatalytic reactions are heterogeneous in nature and require an intimate interaction between the electroactive target species (*i.e.*, 317 318 nitrate) and catalytic sites on the electrode surface. Electrode scaling induced by the precipitation of 319 insoluble species on the vicinity of the electrode surface may result in the inhibition of mass transport 320 from/towards electrodes. It is important to remark that cathodic surfaces become, locally, alkaline due to proton consumption during ERN as stated in reactions (1) and (2), as well as during the competitive 321 322 hydrogen evolution Reaction (9).

$$2H_20 + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 20H^-$$
 (9)

323 Interface studies report that the localized pH on the cathode surface reaches values ranging between 10-11, even when bulk water pH is measured as < 7 (Monteiro and Koper, 2021; Tlili et al., 2003). Figure 4a 324 illustrates the solubility diagram of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions regarding their hydroxide insoluble salts in function 325 of the pH as defined by equilibrium Reactions (10) and (11) with thermodynamic solubility constants ( $K_{sn}$ ) 326 of 10<sup>-10.74</sup> and 10<sup>-5.30</sup> at 25 °C, respectively (Snoeyink and Jenkins, 1980). Note that precipitation of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> 327 328 is thermodynamically not feasible at given concentrations and localized pH condition of 10-11 at cathodic surfaces given its higher solubility. Meanwhile, solubility of Mg<sup>2+</sup> drastically decreases under alkaline pH 329 conditions with values as low as 10<sup>-2.74</sup> M at pH 10 or 10<sup>-4.74</sup> M at pH 11. Nucleation and growth of insoluble 330 Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> on the electrode surface would explain the drastic decrease on performance since the scaling acts 331 332 as a physical barrier inhibiting the charge transfer process at the interface.

$$Mg(OH)_2 \rightleftharpoons Mg^{2+} + 20H^- pK_{sp} = 10.74 \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$$
 (10)

$$Ca(OH)_2 \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + 2OH^- pK_{sp} = 5.3 \text{ at } 25 \degree C$$
 (11)

333 It is important to remark that solutions only containing  $Ca^{2+}$  ions would hardly precipitate at given 334 conditions, which therefore does not inhibit ERN treatment (see Figure 3a). These results would pinpoint a driving effect of Mg<sup>2+</sup> as major inhibitor for sustained ERN treatment. However, Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions below pH 10.5 tend to precipitate not because the formation of insoluble Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, but because of another much more insoluble species (*i.e.*, CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Thus, the impact of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on the ERN depends on alkalinity as the CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> availability in solution defines Ca<sup>2+</sup> precipitation (Figure 4b).



**Figure 3.** (a) Nitrate conversion and (b) kinetic constants after 360 min of electrocatalytic treatment with Sn cathode at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in different water matrices: ultrapure water, single competitive ionic species, or synthetic complex brackish groundwater matrix (GW). Composition of the matrices is summarized in Table 1.

343 Note that carbonate species at the initial bulk solution pH is primarily bicarbonate ion  $(HCO_3)$ , but the speciation close to the alkaline region on the cathode surface is likely carbonate ion  $(CO_3^{2-})$  as indicated 344 345 by the speciation diagram of Fig. 4c according to the acid-base equilibrium of Reaction (12) with characteristic  $pK_{a2}$  value of 10.3 (Lertratwattana et al., 2019; Snoeyink and Jenkins, 1980). The relative 346 concentration of  $CO_3^{2-}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  define the oversaturation conditions that may induce the precipitation of 347 348 insoluble CaCO<sub>3</sub> as deduced from the solubility diagram of Figure 4b. Carbonate insoluble species are formed following Reactions (13) and (14) with  $K_{sp}$  of 10<sup>-8.34</sup> and 10<sup>-5.30</sup> at 25 °C, respectively (Snoeyink and 349 Jenkins, 1980). The high concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions as the main hardness species in real brackish and brine 350

water would explain the identification of calcite as predominant scalant formed on the alkaline cathodic
 surface in entries J and L, respectively.

$$\text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \text{p}K_{a2} = 10.3 \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$$
 (12)

$$CaCO_{3(s)} \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} pK_{sp} = 8.34 \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$$
 (13)

$$MgCO_{3(s)} \Rightarrow Mg^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} pK_{sp} = 5.30 \text{ at } 25 \text{ °C}$$
 (14)





Figure 4. (a) Solubility diagram of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  in function of pH considering the formation of insoluble hydroxide precipitates  $Mg(OH)_2$  and  $Ca(OH)_2$ . (b) Solubility diagram of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  in function of  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration. (c) Speciation of carbonate in function of pH. (d) Saturation index as a function of pH of  $Ca(OH)_2$  (yellow  $\Box$ ),  $CaCO_3$  (yellow  $\triangle$ ),  $Mg(OH)_2$  (blue  $\Box$ ),  $MgCO_3$  (blue  $\triangle$ ) and

359  $CaMg(CO_3)_2$  (red  $\blacklozenge$ ) considering a molar ratio composition of  $Ca^{2+}:CO_3^{2-}:Mg^{2+}$  of 1:1:0.25 in a water 360 matrix at equilibrium for initial concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  of 1 mM..

361

362 To mimic scaling formation under experimental electrolyte composition during ERN, saturation indexes of possible precipitated solids over pH were plotted in Figure 4d for an actual water matrix 363 (considering a molar ratio composition of Ca<sup>2+</sup>:CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>:Mg<sup>2+</sup> of 1:1:0.25 in a water matrix at equilibrium for 364 365 initial concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> of 1 mM). The saturation index (SI) is defined as the logarithmic difference 366 between product ion activity (or activity quotient) and product solubility constant. The positive value of SI 367 illustrates that precipitation of the solids is thermodynamically favorable (supersaturated conditions), while 368 negative value of SI suggests undersaturated conditions. However, the rate of precipitation is controlled by 369 the kinetics of nucleation of solids in supersaturated conditions. Thus, solids may not be formed despite 370 being in supersaturated conditions unless nucleation and crystal growth favor precipitation conditions. The 371 kinetics of precipitation of each species can differ significantly, but nucleation and initial precipitation of 372 one solid can accelerate in cascade the rate of solid formation for all supersaturated species. As can be seen in Figure 4d, simultaneous existence of non-carbonate hardness Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and carbonate hardness during 373 the ERN might lead to formation of multiple solids. At pH >10.5 in water matrix  $Ca^{2+}:CO_3^{2-}:Mg^{2+}$  1:1:0.25 374 at equilibrium state, the precipitation of solids such as CaCO<sub>3(s)</sub>, CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2(s)</sub>, and Mg(OH)<sub>2(s)</sub> is 375 376 thermodynamically favorable to occur.

Figure 5 evaluates the impact of co-existing  $CO_3^{2-}$  at the given initial  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration in the 377 ground water matrix of 1 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Increasing concentration of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> decreases nitrate reduction, which 378 379 can be explained by the enhanced insolubility of  $Ca^{2+}$  species driven by the precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The 380 initial nitrate reduction of 74% observed in the simple quaternary brackish solution containing only Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Table 1 Entry D with  $Ca^{2+}$ :  $CO_3^{2-}$ :  $Mg^{2+}$  1:0:0) is almost equivalent to the one obtained in the blank solution 381 without Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Table 1 Entry A with 0:0:0). In contrast, the ERN performance decreased to 54% in the 382 presence of equimolar concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  (1:1:0). It is important to note that solubility 383 384 diagrams describe the thermodynamic trend to precipitate in supersaturated conditions, but they do not specify how fast the precipitation reaction will occur because if supersaturated conditions are reached, precipitation depends on kinetics of nucleation and crystal growth. Figure 5 illustrates also how a small content of  $Mg^{2+}$  of 0.25 mM (1:1:0.25) strongly impacted nitrate reduction performance by further decreasing nitrate removal to 35%. These results illustrate the relevance of synergistic effect of hardness ions, which induce electrode scaling and inhibit the ERN treatment.



390

Figure 5. Impact of coexisting calcium, carbonate, and magnesium ions on nitrate conversion after
 360 min of ERN on Sn cathode at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The graph illustrates impact of different molar ratios
 Ca<sup>2+</sup>:CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>:Mg<sup>2+</sup> for initial concentration of Ca<sup>2+</sup> of 1 mM.

394

X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) is a non-destructive and fast surface analysis that provides information on elemental composition. XRF measurements provide a holistic view of the impact on the electrode surface of coexisting ions that might be associated to undesired scaling. When Sn electrodes (cathodes) were analyzed before electrolysis, the XRF only identified Sn as the solely elemental composition of pristine electrodes. Figure 6a shows the XRF analysis of the same cathodes after the treatment of pure nitrate solution (blank), the synthetic brackish groundwater, and solutions with individual cations, entries A, B, and C to E in Table 1, respectively. The XRF analysis did not detected calcium in any 402 case, but identified magnesium and silicon on the electrode surface after ERN for water samples described 403 in entries D and B. The quantification of these elements may suggest the incrustation of SiO<sub>2</sub> particles as 404 well as the precipitation of insoluble  $Mg^{2+}$  species. The treatment of nitrate in presence of solely silica salts 405 (entry E in Table 1) resulted in a lower quantity of Si detected, which may be justified by the role of  $Mg^{2+}$ 406 precipitate that form inorganic scaling on the electrode surface and might simultaneously co-precipitate 407 silica. Meanwhile, an increase in percentage of Mg on the surface was observed for solutions containing 408 solely nitrate and  $Mg^{2+}$  (entry D in Table 1).

409 The visually whitish solid formed on the cathode surface during the treatment of water sample 410 described in entry D was collected, dried at 60 °C, and analyzed by XRD. The diffractogram of Fig. 6b 411 shows the characteristic peaks of magnesium hydroxide with the hexagonal crystallographic structure of 412 brucite (Mg(OH<sub>2</sub>)) (Donneys-Victoria et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2011). The crystallographic planes with 413 Miller indices of (001), (100), (101), (102), (110), (111), (103), and (201) were observed at 20 angles of 18.3, 32.8, 37.9, 50.8, 58.6, 61.9, 68.2 and 72.0, respectively. The precipitation of brucite can be explained 414 415 by the high alkaline localized pH near the cathode surface that induces supersaturation conditions of  $Mg^{2+}$ , 416 and therefore the nucleation of this insoluble species on the Sn cathode surface. The XRD analysis of the 417 whitish solid formed on the cathode after ERN of water sample described in entry B (synthetic brackish 418 groundwater) showed that besides brucite more complex inorganic salts were formed such as dolomite 419  $(CaMg(CO_3)_2)$  and cristobalite (SiO<sub>2</sub>). At the 2 $\theta$  angle of 22, the crystallographic plane with Miller index 420 of (101) of tetragonal cristobalite was detected, and at the  $2\theta$  angle of 31.3, 33.2, 35.1, 41.6, 44.5, and 50.3421 the crystallographic planes of hexagonal dolomite were observed with Miller indexes of (104), (006), (015), 422 (113), (202), and (018), respectively (Gregg et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2012). These results confirmed that 423 the ERN inhibition in synthetic brackish groundwater is mainly associated to the electrode scaling due to the precipitation of inorganic salts of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ . 424



Figure 6. (a) Elemental percentage composition of non-tin elements on the Sn cathode surface after
ERN on different water matrices detected by XRF. XRD diffractogram of solid incrustation collected from
the cathode surface after electrocatalytic treatment of (b) a water matrix containing Mg<sup>2+</sup> (entry D in Table
1) and the (c) synthetic brackish groundwater (entry B in Table 1).

# 432 *3.3 Understanding boundaries limiting ERN feasibility on real water matrices*

433 The selective reduction by physic-chemical means that transform nitrate to innocuous nitrogen gas 434 can be a game changer for environmental protection. The treatment of nitrate contaminated real brackish 435 groundwater (entry J in Table 1) and reverse osmosis brines collected from an inland desalination water 436 treatment plant (entry L in Table 1) allowed identifying major barriers that coincide with those reported in 437 the previous section for synthetic brackish groundwater treatment (entry B in Table 1). Figure 7 illustrates 438 how the high fraction of nitrate removal achieved in a simple ternary brackish nitrate solution (entry A in 439 Table 1) substantially decreased when the electrochemically-driven technology of advanced reduction was 440 applied in a real water matrix scenario. Understanding barriers to technology adoption is an essential 441 element for engineering research since this can provide guidelines for technology application and/or 442 contribute to design preemptive strategies.



Figure 7. Electrochemical nitrate removal (bars) and kinetic constants (full blue circles) of 30 mg
NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup> in a ternary brackish water (blank, entry A), a complex synthetic brackish groundwater (entry
B), a real brackish groundwater (entry J), and RO brine (entry L) after 360 min of treatment at 40 mA cm<sup>-</sup>
<sup>2</sup>. Compositions are listed in Table 1.

449 Similar to observations reported with the complex synthetic brackish groundwater, the Sn cathodes 450 became scaled again with a white solid by treating real brackish groundwater and RO brine. The gel 451 collected on the Sn cathode surface after the treatment using brine water (Figure 8a) was dried and analyzed 452 by XRF, being identified mostly calcium, magnesium, and silicon as illustrated in Fig. 8b. The XRD 453 diffractogram of the collected solid (see Figure 8c) had a predominant crystalline structure of calcite, which 454 is the most stable polymorph insoluble CaCO<sub>3</sub> solid at alkaline pH (Guilheiro et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2020). 455 Characteristic diffraction peaks at 20: 23.3, 29.6, 31.9, 36.1, 39.6, 43.3, 47.7, 48.7, 56.7, 57.5, 59.2, 60.8, 64.8, 65.7, 69.3, 70.4, 73.0, 77.3, 81.7 and 83.9 correspond to Miller Index planes of (012), (104), (006), 456 457 (110), (113), (202), (018), (116), (211), (122), (208), (125), (300), (0012), (217), (0210), (128), (1112), 458 (2110) and (134) that are associated with the hexagonal crystal system of calcite. The diffraction peaks at 18.6, 38.0, 50.9, and 58.7 related to the planes of (001), (011), (102), and (110) were characteristic signals 459

of brucite's hexagonal crystal system. The remaining peaks in the diffractogram corresponded to
the hexagonal crystal system of dolomite observed at 22.1 and 45.0 with Miller Index planes of (101) and
(202), respectively. These results highlight the relevant effect exerted by water hardness on scaling and the



463 nature of the scalant formed.

464 Figure 8. Electrochemical reduction of nitrate treatment at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in RO brine: (a) tin electrode before 465 and after the electrolysis, (b) elemental composition of other elements different than tin on the Sn cathode 466 surface after the electrolysis (tin corresponds to >98% of the XRF detected composition) and (c) XRD 467 diffractogram of the solid incrustation collected from the cathode surface after treatment.

468 **Table 2.** Summary of water hardness and alkalinity nature of representative water matrices and their effect

469 on nitrate removal after 360 min of treatment at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> of 30 mg-N  $L^{-1}$  of nitrate by electrocatalytic

Entry	Water Sample	Initial pH	Water hardness (meq L <sup>-1</sup> )	Hardness	[Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	[Mg <sup>2+</sup> ] (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	[HCO3 <sup>-</sup> ] (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	NO3 <sup>-</sup> removal (%)
А	Blank	5.95	0	Very soft	0	0	0	75
Ι	Synthetic Brackish Groundwater	6.22	3.0	Hard	1.02	0.50	3.00	19
J	Real Brackish Groundwater	7.90	9.0	Very hard	3.24	1.23	1.62	9
K	Softened real brackish	10.5	2.1	Hard	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.	37
L	Real RO Brine	8.10	41.2	Very hard	14.1	6.46	6.84	12

470 reduction on tin cathodes.

472 Clearly, water hardness, and associated ions that adsorb to precipitated solids (e.g., silicates), are 473 one of the major inhibitors of sustained ERN treatment. Table 2 suggests that the very hard characteristics 474 of real brackish and RO brine waters may be indicative of high scaling risk and therefore the formation of a physical barrier on the electrode surface that will hamper the mass transport of nitrate from solution 475 476 towards the electrocatalytic sites. Further consequences: 1) impermeable scale reduces electrode surface 477 area, 2) semi-permeable scale causes an additional diffusion limited zone, and 3) scaling changes electrode interface and may induce electrostatic repulsion of nitrate ion. Understanding that the electrochemical 478 479 reduction of water and nitrate induces a localized increase of pH on any electrocatalytic surface, water 480 hardness can be identified as a major barrier for ERN treatment. Thereby, strategies that by-pass such 481 shortcoming are considered herein.

A widely considered approach to mitigate scaling on electrodes is reverse polarization, but it is a strategy limited to electrodes that can sustain both anodic and cathodic polarization conditions without surface degradation or electrodissolution (Chow et al., 2021). It should be pointed out that some earthabundant catalysts such as tin and copper may dissolve under anodic potentials (Fajardo et al., 2014; Speck and Cherevko, 2020), while some metal oxides commonly used in electrochemical water treatment (*e.g.*, dimensional stable anodes) may suffer from cathodic corrosion and degrade under cathodic potentials. In
this frame, other approaches must be designed. Implementing a chemical or electrochemical pre-treatment
of water softening may be a feasible alternative to be explored (Sanjuán et al., 2019).

- 490
- 491

## 3.4 Exploring benefits of water softening as pre-treatment to electrocatalysis

492 Real brackish groundwater showed the highest inhibition of ERN (entry J in Table 2) with respect 493 to the idealistic conditions of ultrapure water solutions that are usually reported in research papers (entry A 494 in Table 2). Results suggest that the inhibiting effect is mostly associated with the scaling induced by water hardness. Therefore, chemical softening was implemented as pre-treatment to evaluate if the decrease of 495 hardness cations and silica can reinstate ERN performance metrics. Figure 9 illustrates that decreasing 496 brackish water hardness from 9.0 meg L<sup>-1</sup> down to 2.1 meg L<sup>-1</sup> through lime soda ash softening positively 497 498 affects the electrocatalytic nitrate conversion (softened real brackish, entry K in Table 2). ERN attained 9% nitrate conversion in real brackish groundwater after 360 min of electrocatalytic treatment with a 0.4×10<sup>-5</sup> 499 500 s<sup>-1</sup> kinetic rate constant for nitrate reduction (Figure 9a). Meanwhile, after softening real brackish 501 groundwater ERN achieved 37% nitrate conversion after 360 min of treatment (Figure 9b) with 5-fold kinetics ( $k_1$  of 2.1×10<sup>-5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Visual inspection of the electrodes revealed a drastic difference since 502 503 appreciable scaling was not observed under softened water conditions. This effect was further verified 504 through XRF analyses that demonstrated a decrease in elemental composition of calcium and silicon on the 505 cathode (see Figure 9c); note that magnesium was not identified during XRF analyses of these samples.



Figure 9. Time course of nitrogenated species (( $\circ$ ) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, ( $\bullet$ ) NH<sub>3</sub>-N, ( $\bullet$ ) N<sub>2</sub>-N) over time for the electrochemical reduction of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup> at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in (a) real brackish water with total hardness of 9.0 meq L<sup>-1</sup> and (b) softened real brackish water with total hardness of 2.1 meq L<sup>-1</sup> as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. (c) Comparative elemental analysis by XRF of Sn electrode surface after ERN on real brackish and softened real brackish groundwaters.

512 The benefits of pre-softening brackish water were analyzed in function of engineering figures of 513 merit related to FE and EE/O. Figure 10 shows the detrimental effect of scaling formation on the cathode. 514 Scaling decreases charge transfer efficiency from a FE of 24 % in the blank (entry A in Table 2) down to a 515 discrete FE of 3 % for real brackish groundwater (entry J in Table 2). Meanwhile, negligible effect was 516 observed in terms of N<sub>2</sub> gas selectivity that maintained a similar value of  $\sim$ 95 ±2 % for brackish and softened 517 brackish water. However, the notorious decrease in FE showed an impact on the treatment economics. Noteworthy is that EE/O drastically increases by 16-fold from 102 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> order<sup>-1</sup> for the blank up to 1612 518 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> order<sup>-1</sup> for the Brackish water. The increase in EE/O which can be explained by the decrease in 519 520 mass transfer of nitrate form solution towards the electrode surface because of the electrode scaling, but sloby the increased resistance induced by the physical barrier of the crystalized insoluble salts on the cathode. Softening treatment can improve performance of ERN under real water matrix conditions and effectively increase FE up to 13 % and diminish the EE/O down to 310 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> order<sup>-1</sup> (entry K in Table 2). These results allow inferring the driving role of water hardness as a barrier for effective reduction of nitrate in real water matrices. Implementing pre-treatments that decrease water hardness can enable successful translation of ERN technologies to decrease nitrate levels below MCL under real water matrix conditions.

528



Figure 10. Faradaic efficiency (bars) and electric energy per order (full red circles) after 360 min
of the ERN for the treatment of 30 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N L<sup>-1</sup> at 40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in simple ternary brackish, real brackish,
and softened real brackish waters (entries A, J and K in Table 2, respectively).

532

In the United States, groundwaters commonly contain high nitrate concentrations, which impacts both municipal and private groundwater wells. Private wells receive little attention and have no mandatory treatments. In the USA alone there are ~45 million people that may be impacted by high nitrate concentrations in water sources (EPA, 2017; Pennino et al., 2017). Human activities such as fertilizer use, manure application, and sewage treatment can contaminate sources of drinking water with nitrate, which 538 can easily leach through soil into groundwater and surface water. The maps illustrated in Figure 11 show 539 the comparative distribution of high nitrate concentration and the water hardness distribution around the 540 US. It is important to remark that not all the areas experience simultaneously hard waters with high 541 concentrations of nitrate. However, the South-West illustrates several overlapping water quality conditions that lead to high nitrate concentrations and high-water hardness, which may represent a barrier for 542 543 electrochemical reduction of nitrate without conducting a preliminary softening. Thus, opportunities for 544 commercialization lay ahead for these regions that require development of hybrid softening/nitrate removal 545 systems. However, most regions that face high nitrate concentration in soft waters (see Figure 11) can still 546 benefit from direct deployment of electrochemical point of use (POU) treatment units. Our research results 547 demonstrate that strategies that minimize scaling will benefit implementation and adoption of emerging 548 ERN technologies. Beyond chemical softening, other emerging research opportunities arise to design 549 strategies to prevent scaling formation such as the use of current pulses, implementation of anion-exchange 550 films to prevent water hardness ions transport close to the electrode surface, or surface modifications that 551 may decrease scaling extent (e.g., hydrophobic vs hydrophilic surfaces, nanostructures, crystallographic 552 interface engineering, etc.) (Garcia-Segura et al., 2020b; Hanssen et al., 2016).

553



554

555

**Figure 11.** Geographic information system mapping of (a) water hardness and (b) nitrogen input in USA (USGS, 2018, 2005). 556 557

#### 4. Conclusions

560 This work explores in what extent the most common anions/cations present in natural water sources 561 (synthetic brackish groundwater, real brackish groundwater, and RO brine) affect the electrochemical 562 reduction of nitrate. The effect of controlling pH over time was analyzed showing that the maintenance of 563 circumneutral pH during the ERN of synthetic solution did not result in either an acceleration of kinetics 564 nor an increase in nitrogen gas selectivity. Therefore, the solution pH was not controlled in the following 565 electrochemical treatment experiments.

566 Electrochemical reduction of nitrate in a brackish groundwater matrix that contains a complex 567 mixture of coexisting ionic species showed a 4-fold decrease when compared against a conventional 568 synthetic solution (containing only sodium nitrate and sodium sulfate as electrolyte). The drastic loss in 569 performance was attributed to inorganic scaling formation on the cathode surface. Brucite (Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>), 570 calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and dolomite (CaMg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) compounds precipitated on the cathode surface during the 571 electrolysis. Silicon was also detected at the cathode, and likely adsorbed or co-precipitated with this water 572 hardness related minerals. Collectively, formation of these precipitates decreased the ERN efficiency by creating a physical barrier, which makes difficult the electron transfer at the electrode surface. 573

The treatment of real brackish and brine waters (maximum nitrate conversion  $\sim 9$  and 12%, 574 575 respectively) allowed to recognize key obstacles that match with those verified for the treatment of the synthetic brackish groundwater. The perception of these barriers and their effect on the technology 576 577 translation are fundamental aspects to offer ideas for technology application and/or promote the outline of 578 new action plans. The Sn cathodes became scaled with a white solid for both real waters. The gel collected 579 on the surface of the cathode after treatment using brine water was analyzed by XRF that identified Ca<sup>2+</sup>, 580 Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and SiO<sub>2</sub>. These results emphasized the importance of the water hardness on scaling and the nature 581 of the scalant formed. Chemical water softening was used as a pre-treatment to assess if decreasing the amount of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> could improve the ERN performance. Softening pre-treatment enabled an increase 582 583 of 4-fold in the ERN, together with an acceleration in the reduction kinetics of 5-fold and a decrease of 5-584 fold in the electric energy per order (EE/O). During this treatment no visual scaling was observed on the

surface of the Sn electrode. Understanding that in the US most of the regions with high risk of groundwater contamination by nitrate overlap with areas with high water hardness, makes it clear that there is a need for water softening pre-treatment prior ERN implementation. The results presented in this manuscript outline research opportunities to enhance sustained performance of ERN treatments by developing compatible preemptive anti-scaling strategies (e.g., current pulses, implementation of anion-exchange, or cathode engineering).

591

# 592 Acknowledgments

593 This material is based upon research supported by the Transatlantic Research Partnership of the 594 Embassy of France in the United States and the FACE Foundation. This project has received funding from 595 the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie 596 grant agreement No 843870. This work was partially funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) 597 through the Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology-Enabled Water Treatment 598 under project EEC-1449500. The authors acknowledge the support of the Centre National de la Recherche 599 Scientifique (CNRS). We thank De Nora Tech, LLC for kindly providing the DSA® electrodes used as 600 anode in our electrochemical system. The use of facilities within the Eyring Materials Center at Arizona 601 State University supported in part by NNCI-ECCS-1542160 is acknowledged. 602

603 **References** 

- 604 Abdelfattah, A., Hossain, M.I., Cheng, L., 2020. High-strength wastewater treatment using microbial
- biofilm reactor: a critical review. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 36, 1–10.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-020-02853-y
- Allaire, M., Wu, H., Lall, U., 2018. National trends in drinking water quality violations. Proc. Natl. Acad.
  Sci. U. S. A. 115, 2078–2083. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719805115
- Ambrosioni, B., Barthelemy, A., Bejan, D., Bunce, N.J., 2014. Electrochemical reduction of aqueous nitrate
  ion at tin cathodes. Can. J. Chem. 92, 228–233. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0406
- Amma, L.V., Ashraf, F., 2020. Brine Management in Reverse Osmosis, in: 2020 Advances in Science and
   Engineering Technology International Conferences.
- 613 Bolton, J.R., Bircher, K.G., Tumas, W., Tolman, C.A., 2001. Figures-of-merit for the technical
- 614 development and application of advanced oxidation technologies for both electric- and solar-driven
- 615 systems (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 73, 627–637.
  616 https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200173040627
- 617 Cerrón-Calle, G. A., Fajardo, A.S., Sánchez-Sánchez, C.M., Garcia-Segura, S., 2022. Highly reactive Cu-
- 618 Pt bimetallic 3D-electrocatalyst for selective nitrate reduction to ammonia. Appl. Catal. B Environ.
- 619 302, 120844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120844
- 620 Cerrón-Calle, G.A., Magdaleno, A.L., Graf, J.C., Apul, O.G., Garcia-Segura, S., 2022a. Elucidating CO2
- nanobubble interfacial reactivity and impacts on water chemistry. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 607, 720–
  728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2021.09.033
- 022 728. https://doi.org/10.1010/j.jeis.2021.09.055
- 623 Cerrón-Calle, G.A., Senftle, T.P., Garcia-Segura, S., 2022b. Strategic tailored design of electrocatalysts for
- environmental remediation based on density functional theory (DFT) and microkinetic modelling.
  Curr. Opin. Electrochem. 35, 101062.
- 626 Chao, P., Westerhoff, P., 2002. Assessment and optimization of chemical and physicochemical softening
  627 processes. J. Am. Water Work. Assoc. 94, 109–119.
- 628 Chaplin, B.P., 2019. The prospect of electrochemical technologies advancing worldwide water treatment.

- 629 Acc. Chem. Res. 52, 596–604. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00611
- 630 Chow, H., Ingelsson, M., Roberts, E.P.L., Pham, A.L.T., 2021. How does periodic polarity reversal affect
- the faradaic efficiency and electrode fouling during iron electrocoagulation? Water Res. 203, 117497.
- 632 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117497
- 633 Crittenden, J.C., Trussel, R.R., Hand, D.W., Howe, K.J., Tchobanoglous, G., 2012. Water treatment
  634 principles and design, 3rd ed. Wiley, New Jersey.
- 635 Donneys-Victoria, D., Marriaga-Cabrales, N., Machuca-Martínez, F., Benavides-Guerrero, J., Cloutier,
- 636 S.G., 2020. Indigo carmine and chloride ions removal by electrocoagulation. Simultaneous production
- 637 of brucite and layered double hydroxides. J. Water Process Eng. 33, 101106.
  638 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.101106
- Dortsiou, M., Katsounaros, I., Polatides, C., Kyriacou, G., 2013. Influence of the electrode and the pH on
  the rate and the product distribution of the electrochemical removal of nitrate. Environ. Technol.
  (United Kingdom) 34, 373–381. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2012.696722
- dos Santos, A.J., Fajardo, A.S., Kronka, M.S., Garcia-Segura, S., Lanza, M.R.V., 2021. Effect of
   electrochemically-driven technologies on the treatment of endocrine disruptors in synthetic and real
- urban wastewater. Electrochim. Acta 376, 138034. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2021.138034
- EPA, 2017. Estimated nitrate concentrations in groundwater used for drinking.
- 646 Fajardo, A.S., Martins, R.C., Quinta-Ferreira, R.M., 2014. Treatment of a synthetic phenolic mixture by
- 647 electrocoagulation using Al, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn as anode materials. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 53, 18339–
  648 18345. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie502575d
- 649 Fajardo, A.S., Westerhoff, P., Sanchez-Sanchez, C.M., Garcia-Segura, S., 2021. Earth-abundant elements
- a sustainable solution for electrocatalytic reduction of nitrate. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 281, 119465.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.119465
- Flores, K., Antonio, G., Valdes, C., Atrashkevich, A., Castillo, A., Morales, H., Parsons, J.G., Garciasegura, S., Gardea-torresdey, J.L., 2022. Outlining Key Perspectives for the Advancement of
  Electrocatalytic Remediation of Nitrate from Polluted Waters. ACS ES&T Eng. 2, 746–768.

655 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.2c00052

- 656 Fuladpanjeh Hojaghan, B., Elsutohy, M.M., Kabanov, V., Heyne, B., Trifkovic, M., Roberts, E.P.L., 2019.
- In Operando Mapping of pH Distribution in Electrochemical Processes. Angew. Chemie 131,
  16971–16975. https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201909238
- Garcia-Segura, S., Arotiba, O.A., Brillas, E., 2021. The pathway towards photoelectrocatalytic water
  disinfection: Review and prospects of a powerful sustainable tool. Catalysts 11, 1–29.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/catal11080921
- 662 Garcia-Segura, Sergi, Lanzarini-Lopes, M., Hristovski, K., Westerhoff, P., 2018. Electrocatalytic reduction
- of nitrate: Fundamentals to full-scale water treatment applications. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 236, 546–
  568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.05.041
- Garcia-Segura, S., Lanzarini-Lopes, M., Hristovski, K., Westerhoff, P., 2018. Electrocatalytic reduction of
  nitrate: Fundamentals to full-scale water treatment applications. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 236.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.05.041
- Garcia-Segura, S., Nienhauser, A.B., Fajardo, A.S., Bansal, R., Coonrod, C.L., Fortner, J.D., Marcoshernández, M., Rogers, T., Villagran, D., Wong, M.S., Westerhoff, P., 2020a. Disparities between
  Experimental and Environmental Conditions : Research Steps Towards Making Electrochemical
  Water Treatment. Curr. Opin. Electrochem. 22, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2020.03.001
- 672 Garcia-Segura, S., Qu, X., Alvarez, P.J.J., Chaplin, B.P., Chen, W., Crittenden, J.C., Feng, Y., Gao, G., He,
- Z., Hou, C.H., Hu, X., Jiang, G., Kim, J.H., Li, J., Li, Q., Ma, Jie, Ma, Jinxing, Nienhauser, A.B., Niu,
- J., Pan, B., Quan, X., Ronzani, F., Villagran, D., Waite, T.D., Walker, W.S., Wang, C., Wong, M.S.,
- 675 Westerhoff, P., 2020b. Opportunities for nanotechnology to enhance electrochemical treatment of
- pollutants in potable water and industrial wastewater-a perspective. Environ. Sci. Nano 7, 2178–2194.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1039/d0en00194e
- Gregg, J.M., Bish, D.L., Kaczmarek, S.E., Machel, H.G., 2015. Mineralogy, nucleation and growth of
  dolomite in the laboratory and sedimentary environment: A review. Sedimentology 62, 1749–1769.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12202

- Gröhlich, A., Langer, M., Mitrakas, M., Zouboulis, A., Katsoyiannis, I., Ernst, M., 2017. Effect of organic
  matter on Cr(VI) removal from groundwaters by Fe(II) reductive precipitation for groundwater
  treatment. Water (Switzerland) 9, 389. https://doi.org/10.3390/w9060389
- Guilheiro, J.M., Tatumi, S.H., Soares, A. de F., Courrol, L.C., Barbosa, R.F., Rocca, R.R., 2021. Correlation
  study between OSL, TL and PL emissions of yellow calcite. J. Lumin. 233, 117881.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2020.117881
- Hansen, B., Thorling, L., Schullehner, J., Termansen, M., Dalgaard, T., 2017. Groundwater nitrate response
  to sustainable nitrogen management. Sci. Rep. 7, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07147-2
- Hanssen, B.L., Siraj, S., Wong, D.K.Y., 2016. Recent strategies to minimise fouling in electrochemical
- detection systems. Rev. Anal. Chem. 35, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1515/revac-2015-0008
- Jiang, X., Bao, L., Cheng, Y.S., Dunphy, D.R., Li, X., Brinker, C.J., 2012. Aerosol-assisted synthesis of
   monodisperse single-crystalline α-cristobalite nanospheres. Chem. Commun. 48, 1293–1295.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cc15713b
- 694 Katsounaros, I., 2021. On the assessment of electrocatalysts for nitrate reduction. Curr. Opin. Electrochem.

695 28, 100721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2021.100721

- Katsounaros, I., Dortsiou, M., Kyriacou, G., 2009. Electrochemical reduction of nitrate and nitrite in
  simulated liquid nuclear wastes. J. Hazard. Mater. 171, 323–327.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.005
- Katsounaros, I., Dortsiou, M., Polatides, C., Preston, S., Kypraios, T., Kyriacou, G., 2012. Reaction
  pathways in the electrochemical reduction of nitrate on tin. Electrochim. Acta 71, 270–276.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.03.154
- Katsounaros, I., Kyriacou, G., 2008. Influence of nitrate concentration on its electrochemical reduction on
  tin cathode: Identification of reaction intermediates. Electrochim. Acta 53, 5477–5484.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2008.03.018
- Lertratwattana, K., Kemacheevakul, P., Garcia-Segura, S., Lu, M.C., 2019. Recovery of copper salts by
   fluidized-bed homogeneous granulation process: High selectivity on malachite crystallization.

- 707 Hydrometallurgy 186, 66–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2019.03.015
- Li, P., Karunanidhi, D., Subramani, T., Srinivasamoorthy, K., 2021. Sources and Consequences of
  Groundwater Contamination. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 80, 1–10.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-020-00805-z
- Lim, J., Liu, C.Y., Park, J., Liu, Y.H., Senftle, T.P., Lee, S.W., Hatzell, M.C., 2021. Structure Sensitivity
  of Pd Facets for Enhanced Electrochemical Nitrate Reduction to Ammonia. ACS Catal. 11, 7568–
  7577. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c01413
- Liu, Z., Haddad, M., Sauvé, S., Barbeau, B., 2021. Alleviating the burden of ion exchange brine in water
- treatment: From operational strategies to brine management. Water Res. 205, 117728.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117728
- Luo, X., Song, X., Cao, Y., Song, L., Bu, X., 2020. Investigation of calcium carbonate synthesized by
  steamed ammonia liquid waste without use of additives. RSC Adv. 10, 7976–7986.
  https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra10460g
- Marcos-Hernández, M., Antonio Cerrón-Calle, G., Ge, Y., Garcia-Segura, S., Sánchez-Sánchez, C.M.,
  Fajardo, A.S., Villagrán, D., 2022. Effect of surface functionalization of Fe3O4 nano-enabled
  electrodes on the electrochemical reduction of nitrate. Sep. Purif. Technol. 282, 119771.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.119771
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Brillas, E., 2021. A critical review over the electrochemical disinfection of bacteria
   in synthetic and real wastewaters using a boron-doped diamond anode. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater.
- 726 Sci. 25, 100926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2021.100926
- Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Rodrigo, M.A., Sirés, I., Scialdone, O., 2015. Single and Coupled Electrochemical
   Processes and Reactors for the Abatement of Organic Water Pollutants: A Critical Review. Chem.
- 729 Rev. 115, 13362–13407. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00361
- Maxwell, B., Díaz-García, C., Martínez-Sánchez, J.J., Álvarez-Rogel, J., 2020. Increased brine
  concentration increases nitrate reduction rates in batch woodchip bioreactors treating brine from
  desalination. Desalination 495, 114629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114629

- Monteiro, M.C.O., Koper, M.T.M., 2021. Measuring local pH in electrochemistry. Curr. Opin.
  Electrochem. 25, 100649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2020.100649
- 735 Moreira, F.C., Boaventura, R.A.R., Brillas, E., Vilar, V.J.P., 2017. Electrochemical advanced oxidation
- 736 processes: A review on their application to synthetic and real wastewaters. Appl. Catal. B Environ.
- 737 202, 217–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.08.037
- Nobial, M., Devos, O., Mattos, O.R., Tribollet, B., 2007. The nitrate reduction process: A way for
  increasing interfacial pH. J. Electroanal. Chem. 600, 87–94.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2006.03.003
- Pang, H., Ning, G., Gong, W., Ye, J., Lin, Y., 2011. Direct synthesis of hexagonal Mg(OH)2 nanoplates
  from natural brucite without dissolution procedure. Chem. Commun. 47, 6317–6319.
  https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cc10279f
- Pennino, M.J., Compton, J.E., Leibowitz, S.G., 2017. Trends in Drinking Water Nitrate Violations Across
  the United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 13450–13460. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04269
- Rupert, M.G., 2008. Decadal-scale changes of nitrate in ground water of the United States, 1988-2004. J.

747 Environ. Qual. 37, S240–S248. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0055

- Sanjuán, I., Benavente, D., Expósito, E., Montiel, V., 2019. Electrochemical water softening: Influence of
  water composition on the precipitation behaviour. Sep. Purif. Technol. 211, 857–865.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.10.044
- 751 Sanjuán, I., García-Cruz, L., Solla-Gullón, J., Expósito, E., Montiel, V., 2020. Bi-Sn nanoparticles for
- electrochemical denitrification: activity and selectivity towards N2 formation. Electrochim. Acta 340.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.135914
- 754 Snoeyink, V.L., Jenkins, D., 1980. Water Chemistry. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- 755 Speck, F.D., Cherevko, S., 2020. Electrochemical copper dissolution: A benchmark for stable CO2
- reduction on copper electrocatalysts. Electrochem. commun. 115, 106739.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2020.106739
- Su, L., Li, K., Zhang, H., Fan, M., Ying, D., Sun, T., Wang, Y., Jia, J., 2017. Electrochemical nitrate

- reduction by using a novel Co3O4/Ti cathode. Water Res. 120, 1–11.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.069
- Szpyrkowicz, L., Daniele, S., Radaelli, M., Specchia, S., 2006. Removal of NO3- from water by
  electrochemical reduction in different reactor configurations. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 66, 40–50.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2006.02.020
- Tada, K., Shimazu, K., 2005. Kinetic studies of reduction of nitrate ions at Sn-modified Pt electrodes using
  a quartz crystal microbalance. J. Electroanal. Chem. 577, 303–309.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2004.11.039
- Temkin, A., Evans, S., Manidis, T., Campbell, C., Naidenko, O. V., 2019. Exposure-based assessment and
  economic valuation of adverse birth outcomes and cancer risk due to nitrate in United States drinking
  water. Environ. Res. 176, 108442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.04.009
- Tlili, M.M., Benamor, M., Gabrielli, C., Perrot, H., Tribollet, B., 2003. Influence of the Interfacial pH on
  Electrochemical CaCO[sub 3] Precipitation. J. Electrochem. Soc. 150, C765.
  https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1613294
- 773 Torres, E.G., Morales, R.P., Zamora, A.G., Sánchez, E.R., Calderón, E.H.O., Romero, J. de J.A., Rincón,
- E.Y.C., 2020. Consumption of water contaminated by nitrate and its deleterious effects on the human
  thyroid gland: a review and update. Int. J. Environ. Health Res. 00, 1–18.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2020.1815664
- USGS, 2018. Nitrogen and Water [WWW Document]. URL https://www.usgs.gov/special-topics/water science-school/science/nitrogen-and-water
- USGS, 2005. Map of water hardness in the United States [WWW Document]. URL
  https://www.usgs.gov/media/images/map-water-hardness-united-states
- 781 Usman, M., Katsoyiannis, I., Mitrakas, M., Zouboulis, A., Ernst, M., 2018. Performance evaluation of small
- sized powdered ferric hydroxide as arsenic adsorbent. Water (Switzerland) 10, 957.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/w10070957
- van Langevelde, P.H., Katsounaros, I., Koper, M.T.M., 2021. Electrocatalytic nitrate reduction for

sustainable ammonia production. Joule 5, 290–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.12.025

786 Villanueva-Rodríguez, M., Sánchez-Sánchez, C.M., Montiel, V., Brillas, E., Peralta-Hernández, J.M.,

- Hernández-Ramírez, A., 2012. Characterization of ferrate ion electrogeneration in acidic media by
  voltammetry and scanning electrochemical microscopy. Assessment of its reactivity on 2,4dichlorophenoxyacetic acid degradation. Electrochim. Acta 64, 196–204.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.01.021
- Werth, C.J., Yan, C., Troutman, J.P., 2021. Factors Impeding Replacement of Ion Exchange with
   (Electro)Catalytic Treatment for Nitrate Removal from Drinking Water. ACS ES&T Eng. 1, 6–20.
- 793 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.0c00076
- 794 WHO, 2016. Nitrate and nitrite in drinking-water [WWW Document].
- Yang, T., Doudrick, K., Westerhoff, P., 2013. Photocatalytic reduction of nitrate using titanium dioxide for
  regeneration of ion exchange brine. Water Res. 47, 1299–1307.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.047
- Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Yu, Y., Lu, S., Zhang, B., 2021. Recent advances in non-noble metal
  electrocatalysts for nitrate reduction. Chem. Eng. J. 403, 126269.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126269
- Zhang, Y., Mahdavi, B., Mohammadhosseini, M., Rezaei-Seresht, E., Paydarfard, S., Qorbani, M., 801 802 Karimian, M., Abbasi, N., Ghaneialvar, H., Karimi, E., 2021. Green synthesis of NiO nanoparticles 803 using Calendula officinalis extract: Chemical charactrization, antioxidant, cytotoxicity, and anti-804 carcinoma properties. Arab. J. Chem. 14, 103105. esophageal 805 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2021.103105