

Too Fast to Stay on Track? Shorter Time to First Anti-Retroviral Regimen Is Not Associated with Better Retention in Care in the French Dat'AIDS Cohort

L. Cuzin, L. Cotte, C. Delpierre, C. Allavena, M-A. Valantin, D. Rey, P.

Delobel, P. Pugliese, F. Raffi, A. Cabié

▶ To cite this version:

L. Cuzin, L. Cotte, C. Delpierre, C. Allavena, M-A. Valantin, et al.. Too Fast to Stay on Track? Shorter Time to First Anti-Retroviral Regimen Is Not Associated with Better Retention in Care in the French Dat'AIDS Cohort. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14 (9), pp.e0222067. 10.1371/journal.pone.0222067 . hal-03793447

HAL Id: hal-03793447 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03793447v1

Submitted on 20 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Cuzin L, Cotte L, Delpierre C, Allavena C, Valantin M-A, Rey D, et al. (2019) Too fast to stay on track? Shorter time to first anti-retroviral regimen is not associated with better retention in care in the French Dat'AIDS cohort. PLoS ONE 14(9): e0222067. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0222067

Editor: Cristian Apetrei, University of Pittsburgh, UNITED STATES

Received: June 26, 2019

Accepted: August 21, 2019

Published: September 6, 2019

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067

Copyright: © 2019 Cuzin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Too fast to stay on track? Shorter time to first anti-retroviral regimen is not associated with better retention in care in the French Dat'AIDS cohort

L. Cuzin^{1,2*}, L. Cotte³, C. Delpierre², C. Allavena⁴, M-A. Valantin⁵, D. Rey⁶, P. Delobel^{7,8}, P. Pugliese⁹, F. Raffi^{4,10}, A. Cabié^{1,11}, on behalf of the Dat'AIDS Study group¹

Infectious and Tropical Diseases Unit, University Hospital of Martinique, Fort de France, France,
 INSERM UMR1017, Toulouse III University, Toulouse, France, 3 Infectious Disease Unit, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France, 4 Infectious Diseases Unit, Hotel Dieu University Hospital of Nantes, Nantes, France,
 Infectious Diseases Unit, University Hospital of Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France, 6 HIV Infection Care Centre, University Hospital, Strasbourg, France, 7 Infectious and Tropical Diseases Unit, Purpan University Hospital, Toulouse, France, 8 INSERM U1043 - CNRS UMR 5282, Centre de Physiopathologie Toulouse-Purpan, Toulouse, France, 9 Infectious Diseases Unit, University Hospital of Nice, Nice, France, 10 CIC 1413, INSERM, Nantes, France, 11 CIC1424, INSERM, Fort-de-France, France

¶ Membership of the Dat'AIDS study group is provided in the Acknowledgments. * lise.cuzin@chu-martinique.fr

Abstract

Background

Rapid antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation has been proven beneficial for patients and the community. We aimed to analyze recent changes in timing of ART initiation in France and consequences of early start.

Methods

We selected from a prospective nationwide cohort, on 12/31/2017, patients with HIV-1 infection diagnosed between 01/01/2010 and 12/31/2015. We described time from (1) diagnosis to first specialized medical encounter, (2) from this encounter to ART initiation, (3) from diagnosis to first undetectable HIV viral load (VL). We analyzed the determinants of measured temporal trends. A multivariate logistic regression was performed to assess characteristics related with 1-year retention in care.

Results

In the 7 245 included patients, median time (1) from HIV diagnosis to first medical encounter was 13 (IQR: 6–32) days, (2) to ART initiation was 27 (IQR: 9–91) days, decreasing from 42 (IQR: 13–272) days in 2010 to 18 (IQR: 7–42) in 2015 (p<0.0001), (3) to first undetectable VL was 257 (IQR: 151–496) days, decreasing from 378 (IQR: 201–810) days in 2010 to 169 (IQR: 97–281) in 2015. After one year, proportion of patients alive and still in care was significantly lower in those in the lower quartile of time from first encounter to ART (<9 days) than those in the higher quartile (>90 days), 79.9% and 85.2%, respectively (p<0.0001).

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

Conclusions

In a country with unrestricted rapid access to ART, keeping recently diagnosed HIV infected patients in care remains challenging. Starting ART rapidly did not seem to be profitable for all and every patient.

Introduction

Early initiation of anti-retroviral therapy (ART) for people living with human immunodeficiency virus (PLWH) reduces individual morbidity and mortality [1–3]. It also leads to a shortened period of possible HIV transmission by suppressing viral replication [4]. Thus, national and international guidelines recommend ART for all PLWH without any restrictions of CD4 cell counts (https://www.who.int/hiv/topics/treatment/en/). Most recent guidelines recommend starting ART as soon as possible after diagnosis, including immediately after diagnosis, unless the patient is not ready to commit to starting therapy, or in specific cases such as cryptococcosis or tuberculosis meningitidis—because of possible immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome [5]. Same day ART initiation after HIV disclosure has been proven to be feasible,[4] even in very deprived settings [6]. However, structural barriers, patients' unmet basic needs [7] and patients' and providers' attitudes may impede the rapid initiation of ART [4].

The French national health system allows every PLWH to access ART free of charge with no administrative delay. Nevertheless, it has been described that the timing of initiation was different between geographical regions [8].

Our aim was, using a nationwide prospectively collected database, to describe determinants of the time from the first encounter with an HIV clinician after HIV diagnosis and the first ART prescription, changes in the recent years and the influence of an early ART start on 1-year retention in care.

Patients and methods

Information was collected from 23 centers in France (including overseas territories) participating in the Dat'AIDS cohort (Clinicaltrials.gov ref. NCT02898987). The authors did not have access to any patient identifying information as part of this work. For the purpose of this study, we selected patients who were included in the cohort with a newly diagnosed HIV-1 infection between January the 1st, 2010 and December 31, 2015. We collected the date of HIV diagnosis, the date of first medical encounter with an HIV clinician (thereafter "first encounter"), the date of first ART prescription, the choice of 3rd ART drug (boosted protease inhibitor-bPI, non nucleosidic reverse transcriptase inhibitor-NNRTI, integrase inhibitor-INSTI, or other regimen), the date of last news, CD4 cells counts and plasma HIV viral load (VL) at the time of diagnosis and at the time of ART initiation, age at diagnosis, sex and most probable route of HIV acquisition. During the patients' follow-up, we collected VL at six and 12 months after ART initiation, date of first non-detectable VL (<50 copies/mL), date of and reason for initial ART modification, and vital status. French recommendations include a clinical visit one and three months after ART initiation, and twice a year thereafter. One-year retention in care was defined as "yes" if at least one visit was recorded between 9 and 15 months after ART initiation, otherwise as "no". Because of a strong interaction between sex and the most probable

route of HIV acquisition, the patients were classified in four groups for the analyses: men having sex with men (MSM), men having sex with women (MSW), transgender and women.

Only patients with reliable dates of first encounter, first ART prescription and first nondetectable VL were included in the analysis. Two time lapses were first calculated: time from HIV diagnosis to first encounter with an HIV clinician and time from this first encounter to first ART prescription. Additionally, time from diagnosis to first non-detectable VL was also calculated, with the aim of approaching individual and collective benefits of an early ART.

Because the time from first encounter to first ART varied in the recent years and may also vary depending on patients' characteristics, we first described the patients following the year of diagnosis and following CD4 cell counts at diagnosis. The calculated time lapses were also described following patients' CD4 cell count at diagnosis and year of HIV diagnosis. Patients' evolution while receiving ART was described following the time from first encounter to first ART prescription, in classes following quartiles. Finally, we performed a multivariate logistic regression looking for characteristics related with 1-year retention in care, in which we included all characteristics significantly (p<0.10) related with 1-year retention in care in the univariate analysis. Data were extracted on December 31, 2017 as to ensure a minimum follow-up, even in patients with long delay to ART initiation.

Quantitative variables are described by medians and 1st-3rd quartiles (IQR), and compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests. Qualitative data are described by frequencies and compared by Chi² tests. Statistical analyses were performed using R (R.app GUI 1.70, S. Urbanek & H.-J. Bibiko, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2016).

Results

From 9 680 patients fulfilling the selection criteria, 2 435 were excluded from the analysis because the dates of interest were not reliable. Patients characteristics for both populations are shown in Table 1.

In the analyzed population, the median time from HIV diagnosis to first encounter was 13 (IQR 6–32) days. Overall the median time from first encounter to first ART prescription was 27 (IQR 9–91) days, decreasing from 42 (IQR 13–272) days in 2010 to 18 (IQR 7–42) days in 2015 (p<0.0001) as shown in Table 2. Meanwhile patients' characteristics also varied across

		Included N = 7245	Excluded N = 2435	Р	
Sex (M/W)		5300 (72%) / 1945 (28%)	1672 (68.7%) / 763 (31.3%)	< 0.0001	
Route of acquisition	Men having sex with men	3388 (46.8%)	981 (40.3%)	< 0.0001	
	Men having sex with women	3260 (45.0%)	1132 (46.5%)]	
	IVDU ^a	90 (1.2%)	46 (1.9%)]	
	Other/unknown	507 (7%)	276 (11.3%)		
Diagnosis during Acute HIV infection		788 (10.8%)	188 (7.7%)	< 0.0001	
Age at HIV diagnosis (years, median IQR)		36 (28-46)	34 (28-43)	< 0.0001	
Age at ART prescription (years, median IQR)		40 (32–50)	39 (32–48)	0.002	
CD4 cell count at HIV diagnosis (/µL, median IQR)		362 (198–537)	295 (121–475)	< 0.0001	
HIV viral load at HIV diagnosis (log.copies/mL, median IQR)		4.74 (4.1–5.3)	4.9 (4.2–5.5)	< 0.0001	
CD4 cell count at ART prescription (/µL, median IQR)		352 (197–505)	297 (124–470)	< 0.0001	
HIV viral load at ART prescription (log.copies/mL, median, IQR)		4.7 (4.1–5.2)	4.9 (4.3-5.5)	< 0.0001	

Table 1. Patients characteristics, with comparison of the included and excluded populations.

^a: Intravenous drug use.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067.t001

Year of HIV dia	ignosis	2010 N = 1192	2011 N = 1204	2012 N = 1196	2013 N = 1173	2014 N = 1280	2015 N = 1200	Р
Age at diagnostic (years; median, IQR)		36 (29-44)	37 (29–45)	36 (28–47)	36 (28-47)	36 (28-46)	36 (28–47)	0.95
Women (%)		28.4	26.2	27.0	26.9	26.6	26	0.11
Men having sex	with Men (%)	42.9	46.6	45.2	47.5	44.8	48.8	
Men having sex	with Women (%)	27.4	26.8	26.7	25.1	27.6	24.7	
Transgender Me	en to Women (%)	1.3	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.0	0.6	
CD4 Cell count/ (median, IQR)	μL at diagnosis	345 (186–517)	356 (190–541)	374 (221–540)	369 (202–558)	373 (203–539)	361 (187–538)	0.11
CD4 Cell count/ initiation (media	•	327 (188–456)	343 (188–482)	356 (208–507)	362 (198–532)	372 (208–545)	356 (190–529)	<0.0001
ART 3 rd drug	bPI ^a (%)	58.8	63.4	59.5	59.7	47.0	41.2	< 0.0001
-	NNRTI ^b (%)	26.9	23.2	24.3	23.3	21.4	13.8	1
	INSTI ^c (%)	7.8	7.2	8.5	10.4	26.0	40.7	
	Other (%)	6.5	6.2	7.7	6.6	5.6	4.3	1
Alive and in care of ART (%)	e after 12 months	83.4	83.2	83.9	83.7	83.8	85.1	0.86
From diagnosis to first visit (days, median IQR)		17 (7–55)	15 (7-42)	14 (6-36)	13 (6–25)	11 (5–26)	10 (4-24)	< 0.000
From first visit to ART (days, median IQR)		42 (13–272)	41 (14–212)	35 (12–147)	25 (8–72)	21 (6-49)	18 (7-42)	<0.000
From diagnosis to undetectable VL (days, median IQR)		378 (201–810)	339 (195–734)	300 (190–600)	257 (167–436)	198 (125–330)	169 (97–281)	< 0.000

Table 2. Patients characteristics across the study period and studied time lapses, by year of HIV diagnosis.

^a: boosted protease inhibitor

^b: non-nucleosidic reverse transcriptase inhibitor

^c: integrase inhibitor.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067.t002

the study period. CD4 cell counts at the time of first ART prescription increased from 327 (IQR 188–456) cells/ μ L for PLWH diagnosed in 2010 to 356 (IQR 190–529) cells/ μ L for those diagnosed in 2015 (p<0.0001).

The median time from first encounter to first ART prescription also varied according to CD4 cell count at the time of diagnosis, from a median of 14 days (IQR 7–27) in patients with less than 200 cells/ μ L to 80 days (IQR 18–363) in those with more than 500 cells/ μ L (see Table 3).

Overall the median time from HIV diagnosis to first VL below 50 copies/mL was 257 (IQR 151–496) days, decreasing from 378 (IQR 201–810) in for PLWH diagnosed in 2010 to 169 days (IQR 97–281) for those diagnosed in 2015 (p<0.0001). Overall, VL was non-detectable for 74.8% and 81.1% of the patients after 6 and 12 months of ART, respectively. Proportion of patients with a non-detectable VL after 6 months of ART increased from 66.8% for patients diagnosed in 2010 to 83.6% for those diagnosed in 2015 (p<0.0001). Similarly, proportion of patients with a non-detectable VL after 12 months of ART increased from 75.4% for patients diagnosed in 2010 to 83.4% for those diagnosed in 2015 (p = 0.04). At month 12, 83.8% of the patients were actively in care, and 2.1% were dead.

The first ART was modified, after a median time of 18 (IQR 6–37) months, in 6 985 (96.4%) patients. Reason for modification was simplification (2 036 patients, 28.1%), adverse event (1 347, 18.6%), virological failure (198, 2.7%), patients' death (147, 2.1%) or other/unknown

CD4 cell count at HIV diagnosis/ µL Age at diagnosis (Years, median, IQR)		<200 200-350 N = 1594 N = 1589		350-500 N = 1593	>500 N = 1588	Р	
		41 (33–51)	37 (29–47)	34 (27-44)	34 (27-43)	< 0.0001	
End of study (%)	In care	75.2	76.4	75.5	77.8	< 0.0001	
	Changed place of care	7.7	9.2	10.7	9.3		
	Lost to follow-up	11.8	12.6	12.9	12.3		
	Dead	5.3	1.8	0.9	0.6		
Sex and way of acquisition (%)	MSM ^a	15.8	23.8	29.1	31.3	< 0.0001	
	MSW ^b	37.7	25.6	20.1	16.7	_	
	Women	29.6	26.4	22.4	21.6		
	Trans gender M>W	19.5	29.3	31.7	19.5		
ART 3 rd drug (%)	bPI ^c	69.7	58.0	51.2	44.2	< 0.0001	
	NNRTI ^d	8.5	22.0	25.7	30.7		
	INSTI ^e	13.7	14.5	17.2	20.1		
	Other	8.1	5.5	5.9	5.0		
From diagnosis to first visit (days, median IQR)		9 (3-19)	12 (6–22)	12 (5-22)	13 (6-27)	< 0.0001	
Time from first visit to ART (days, median, IQR)		14 (7–27)	21 (7-56)	42 (14–144)	80 (18-364)	< 0.0001	
From diagnosis to undetectable VL (days, median IQR)		228 (150-300)	212 (132–336)	239 (140-419)	289 (142–634)	< 0.0001	

Table 3. Patients characteristics and studied time lapses, by CD4 cell count at the time of HIV diagnosis.

^a: Men having sex with men

^b: men having sex with women

^c: boosted protease inhibitor

^d: non-nucleosidic reverse transcriptase inhibitor

^e: integrase inhibitor.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067.t003

reasons (1 540, 21.2%). Among patients whose regimen was simplified, 92.4% were retained in care at month 12, as compared to 89.4% of the patients whose regimen was modified due to adverse events (p<0.0001).

Evolution of the patients after ART initiation, following the quartiles of time from first encounter to first ART prescription, is described in Table 4. One-year retention in care was better for patients with a longer period between first medical encounter and ART initiation (from 79.9% in the first quartile to 85.2% in the 4th quartile, p<0.0001). One-year retention in care did not differ according to the choice of third agent: 83.5% with INNTIs, 81.8% with INSTIS, 84.4% wit bPI, 85.8% for other regimens, p = 0.11. At the end of the study period the

Table 4. Patients' evolution depending on the time from first medical visit to first ART prescription (classes by quartiles).

Time from first medical visit to first ART (days) Alive and in care at month 12 after ART prescription (%)		< 9 N = 1881	9-27 N = 1784	28–90 N = 1800	> 90 N = 1780	Р
		79.9	84.5	85.9	85.2	<0.0001
Time from diagnosis to undetectable VL (days; median, IQR)		194 (108–351)	210 (130–361)	232 (152–357)	527 (311–924)	<0.0001
Length of first ART (months; median, IQR)		14 (5-32)	17 (7–35)	21.5 (7-39)	22 (7-42)	< 0.0001
End of study situation	Dead (%)	2.2	3.1	1.9	0.9	0.002
	LTFU (%)	14.3	12.4	13.4	12.5	0.002
VL < 50 copies/mL after 6 months of ART (%)		72.1	69.8	78.9	79.6	< 0.0001
VL < 50 copies/mL after 12 months of ART (%)		78.0	81.5	84.1	81.4	0.12
VL < 50 copies/mL after 18 months of ART (%)		83.7	85.5	86.9	87.9	0.15

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067.t004

		HR	CI95%	Р	aHR	CI95%	Р
Age at HIV diagnosis*	< 28 y	Reference			Reference		
	28-36 y	1.39	1.18-1.65	0.0001	1.44	1.21-1.71	< 0.0001
	37-46 y	1.56	1.32-1.86	< 0.0001	1.67	1.40-2.00	< 0.0001
	> 46 y	1.59	1.33-1.89	< 0.0001	1.78	1.48-2.14	< 0.0001
Sex/route of acquisition	Women	Reference			Reference		
	MSW ^a	0.79	0.67-0.93	0.007	0.72	0.61-0.86	0.0001
	MSM ^b	1.09	0.93-1.28	0.3	1.10	0.94-1.28	0.94
	Trans M>W ^c	0.60	0.33-1.15	0.10	0.62	0.34-1.19	0.32
CD4 cell count at diagnosis*	<200	Reference					
	200-350	1.22	1.00-1.50	0.04			
	351-500	1.13	0.92-1.38	0.22			
	>500	0.98	0.80-1.19	0.85			
Year of HIV Diagnosis	2010	Reference					
	2011	0.98	0.79-1.22	0.9			
	2012	1.03	0.83-1.29	0.7			
	2013	1.02	0.82-1.27	0.8			
	2014	1.03	0.83-1.27	0.8			
	2015	1.14	0.91-1.42	0.2			
Time from first visit to ART (days)*	< 9 days	Reference			Reference		
	9–27	1.37	1.16-1.63	< 0.0001	1.36	1.14-1.61	< 0.0001
	28-90	1.53	1.29-1.82	< 0.0001	1.52	1.28-1.82	< 0.0001
	> 90	1.45	1.22-1.72	< 0.0001	1.48	1.24-1.76	< 0.0001

Table 5. Baseline characteristics related with one-year retention in care after starting ART (uni and multivariate logistic regression, HR: hazard ratio, aHR: adjusted hazard ratio, CI95%: 95% confidence interval).

*: classes following quartiles

^a: Men having sex with women

^b: men having sex with men

^c: transgender men to women

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222067.t005

proportion of patients lost-to-follow-up was higher in patients with rapid ART initiation (from 14.3% in the first quartile to 12.5% in the 4th quartile, p<0.0001). The proportion of patients with an undetectable VL 6 months after ART initiation was lower in case of rapid ART initiation (from 72.1% in the first quartile to 79.6% in the 4th quartile, p<0.0001).

Uni- and multi-variate logistic regressions (see Table 5) showed that higher age at HIV diagnosis and a longer time between first encounter and first ART prescription were associated with a better 1-year retention. On the opposite, MSW were less likely to be retained in care than women. Notably CD4 cell count at diagnosis was not related with retention in care at month 12.

Discussion

In this large nationwide prospectively followed population, we observed that the median time between HIV diagnosis and first encounter with an HIV clinician significantly decreased from a median of 17 days for patients diagnosed in 2010 to 10 days for those diagnosed in 2015. Time between this first encounter and first ART prescription also decreased from a median of 42 to 18 days. This reflects changes in national guidelines that since 2013 have been recommending treatment for all PLWH regardless of CD4 cell counts [9]. Besides historic evolution,

the time from first medical encounter to ART prescription also depended on the severity of the disease at the time of diagnosis. Starting early has been described to be related with a decrease in mortality [10], a better CD4 cell count recovery [11] and a better retention in care [12, 13]. In these studies, an early start was defined as 1 month [10], 6 months [11] or one day when feasible [12]. Notably in our population diagnosed in 2015, 25% received their first ART prescription before 7 days after first medical encounter, 50% within 18 days and 75% before 42 days.

Rapid ART initiation also has the potential to bring down the period of possible HIV transmission, and is part of what is needed to reach the goal of curbing the epidemic [14]. Furthermore, French national recommendations have been recommending to use an INSTI-based first regimen since 2014. In addition to more widely use of INSTI in first-line ART, early ART initiation led to shortening by more than half the time between HIV diagnosis and first nondetectable viral load in our population across the study period. This is an important public health finding on a community level, but has to be balanced with the proportion of patients not pursuing care and treatment.

In our population, initiation of a first anti-retroviral treatment within 9 days was negatively associated both with retention in care 12 months later and with being still in care at the end of the study period. These patients not retained in care will necessarily come back to care, and such care interruptions have been described as detrimental [15]. Other characteristics related with retention in care have already been described by others, such as age and men having sex with men [16-18]. The poorer retention rate in men having sex with women could be related to some specific factors, more prevalent in this population, such as migration, stigmatization, deny, and/or socio-psychological situation. It is possible that some characteristics not taken in account in our analyses played a significant role, specifically the social and life context of populations, so this question needs further investigation. Absence of improvement in retention in care with early ART start has recently been described with the RAPID initiative in San Francisco [4, 14], where retention was not better in patients starting ART on the day of HIV diagnosis. In the Chinese national program, among patients starting ART within 30 days after HIV diagnosis, starting earlier than 30 days was not related with a better retention in care [13]. The French health and social system allows all HIV infected patients to access care free of charge without any delay, so 75% of the studied patients had been starting ART within 90 days. In contrast, in the START study, an early start was defined as starting ART in the first 4 months [19]. We can hypothesize that as our entire cohort has been receiving ART in a relatively short time, going faster than already fast did not bring any advantage regarding retention in care. Indeed, if patients are not prepared, educated and motivated on HIV treatment, advantages and constraints, starting ART too early could be counterproductive, stressing the need for qualitative studies on the reasons for remaining in care. It is possible that some populations, as MSM or women, have more prior personal interest in being treated early because they are aware of the individual and collective benefits of having an undetectable VL. On the contrary, MSW may be less informed before the announcement of their infection, thus less motivated, and in need of a longer time to understand the importance of care and treatment. Thus, they may drop off due to lack of information or interest. In addition, barriers to an early start are present in some patients, in particular the need to exclude latent or active tuberculosis which is prevalent in our cohort that includes patients both from Sub Saharian Africa and the Caribbean Islands [20]. Since ART is initiated for life and since the process of preparing patients to accept such a treatment and address barriers to treatment can vary notably, we consider, based on the results of our study, that an early start of ART should not be a hasty start of ART. Waiting 2 to 4 weeks after first HIV clinician encounter to initiate ART was associated with a significantly better 1-year retention.

We observed that while the median duration of first ART regimen was of 18 months, 25% of the patients changed their regimen during the first 6 months. The major reason for changing the regimen was treatment simplification, mainly due to the availability of new single tablet regimens. ART simplification was associated with a better retention in care, while changing ART because of intolerance was not. This observation could be explained both ways. Either physicians chose to propose simple treatments to well-adherent patients, or on the other end patients suffering from adverse events may have a negative perception and be discouraged to continue care. Anyhow, the relation between ART modifications and retention in care needs further evaluation.

The strength of our study is the large prospectively followed population. Nevertheless, we have limitations. First some important patients' characteristics that may be related with retention in care could not be taken in account in this analysis. Second, it has been reported that in some French areas, natives from sub Saharan Africa had delayed access to care [20]. We could not analyze place of birth because of missing information in more than 25% of the population. Third, the socio-economic status of the patients constituting the cohort is known to be very different between the participating centers [21], but we could not find any association between the geographical region of the care center and one-year retention in care else the already known difference between mainland and overseas territories (See <u>S1 Table</u>). The relationship between social deprivation and retention in care in France remains to be studied.

In conclusion, in a country with unrestricted rapid access to ART, the time from first medical encounter to first ART significantly decreased in the recent years. Evolving recommendations and INSTI-based initial regimens significantly reduced the time from HIV diagnosis to first undetectable viral load. Nonetheless, keeping recently diagnosed HIV infected patients in care still remains challenging. Starting treatment too rapidly does not seem to be profitable for all and every patient.

Supporting information

S1 Dataset. (XLSX)

S1 Table. Proportion of patients in care at month 12 by region of care. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Lise Cuzin designed the research, did the analysis and wrote the first draft, Laurent Cotte, Clotilde Allavena, Marc-Antoine Valantin, David Rey, Pierre Delobel, Pascal Pugliese were responsible for the data quality in the centers, provided advice along the study and participated in the manuscript edition, Cyrille Delpierre provided advice on the analysis and the final presentation of results and discussion, François Raffi gave important input on the analysis and the redaction, Andre Cabié was responsible for the data in his center and gave useful advice during the paper's redaction.

We are indebted to the technical staff of each site for controlling the quality of the data, especially to Thomas Jovelin for data extraction (Nantes, France).

The prospective database is collected via the Nadis[®] electronic medical record (Fedialis Medica, Advanced Biological Laboratories, France).

* **Dat'AIDS Study Group: Lead** Pr A. Cabié, Martinique university Hospital, Fort de France, FWI. <u>Andre.cabie@chu-martinique.fr</u>. Members: C. Drobacheff-Thiébaut, A. Foltzer, K. Bouiller, L. Hustache- Mathieu, C. Chirouze, Q. Lepiller, F. Bozon, O Babre, A.S. Brunel, P. Muret (Besançon University Hospital); H. Laurichesse, O. Lesens, M. Vidal, N. Mrozek, C. Aumeran, O. Baud, V. Corbin, P. Letertre-Gibert, S. Casanova, J. Prouteau, C. Jacomet (Clermont Ferrand University Hospital); I. Lamaury, I. Fabre, E. Curlier, R. Ouissa, C. Herrmann-Storck, B. Tressieres, T. Bonijoly, M.C. Receveur, F. Boulard, C.Daniel, C. Clavel (Guadeloupe University Hospital); D. Merrien, P. Perré, T. Guimard, O. Bollangier, S. Leautez, M. Morrier, L. Laine (La Roche sur Yon Hospital); F. Ader, A. Becker, F. Biron, A. Boibieux, L. Cotte, T. Ferry, P Miailhes, T. Perpoint, S. Roux, C. Triffault-Fillit, S. Degroodt, C. Brochier, F Valour, C. Chidiac (Lyon University Hospital); A. Ménard, A.Y. Belkhir, P.Colson, C. Dhiver, A. Madrid, M. Martin-Degiovani, L. Meddeb, M. Mokhtari, A.Motte, A.Raoux, I. Ravaux, C. Tamalet, C. Toméi, H. Tissot Dupont (Marseille IHU Méditerranée); S. Brégigeon, O. Zaegel-Faucher, V. Obry-Roguet, H. Laroche, M. Orticoni, M.J. Soavi, P. Geneau de Lamarlière, E. Ressiot, M.J. Ducassou, I. Jaquet, S. Galie, A. Galinier, P. Martinet, M. Landon, A.S. Ritleng, A. Ivanova, C. Debreux, C. Lions, I. Poizot-Martin (Marseille Ste Marguerite Hospital); O. Cabras, L. Cuzin, K. Guitteaud, M. Illiaguer, S. Pierre-François, L. Osei, J. Pasquier, K. Rome, E. Sidani, J.M. Turmel, C. Varache, A. Cabié (Martinique University Hospital); N. Atoui, M. Bistoquet, E Delaporte, V. Le Moing, A. Makinson, N. Meftah, C. Merle de Boever, B. Montes, A. Montoya Ferrer, E. Tuaillon, J. Reynes (Montpellier University Hospital); M. André, L. Boyer, MP. Bouillon, M. Delestan, C. Rabaud, T. May, B. Hoen (Nancy University Hospital); C. Allavena, C. Bernaud, E. Billaud, C. Biron, B. Bonnet, S. Bouchez, D. Boutoille, C. Brunet-Cartier, C. Deschanvres, B. Gaborti, N. Hall, T. Jovelin, P. Morineau, V. Reliquet, S. Sécher, M. Cavellec, A. Soria, V. Ferré, E. André-Garnier, A. Rodallec, M. Lefebvre, O. Grossi, O. Aubry, F. Raffi (Nantes University Hospital); P. Pugliese, S. Breaud, C. Ceppi, D. Chirio, E. Cua, P. Dellamonica, E. Demonchy, A. De Monte, J. Durant, C. Etienne, S. Ferrando, R. Garraffo, C. Michelangeli, V. Mondain, A. Naqvi, N. Oran, I. Perbost, S. Pillet, C. Pradier, B. Prouvost-Keller, K. Risso, V. Rio, PM. Roger, E. Rosenthal, S. Sausse, I. Touitou, S. Wehrlen-Pugliese, G. Zouzou (Nice University Hospital); L. Hocqueloux, T. Prazuck, C. Gubavu, A. Sève, A. Maka, C. Boulard, G. Thomas (Orleans University Hospital); E. Botelho-Nevers, A. Gagneux-Brunon, A. Frésard, V. Ronat, F. Lucht (St Etienne); P. Fischer, M. Partisani, C. Cheneau, M Priester, ML Batard, C Bernard-Henry, E. de Mautort, S. Fafi-Kremer, D. Rey (Strasbourg); M. Alvarez, N. Biezunski, A. Debard, C. Delpierre, P. Lansalot, L. Lelièvre, G. Martin-Blondel, M. Piffaut, L. Porte, K. Saune, P. Delobel (Toulouse University Hospital); F. Ajana, E. Aïssi, I. Alcaraz, V. Baclet, L. Bocket, A. Boucher, P. Choisy, T. Huleux, B. Lafon-Desmurs, A. Meybeck, M. Pradier, O. Robineau, N. Viget, M. Valette (Tourcoing); Y. Yazdanpanah, R. Landman, C. Duvivier, M.A. Valantin, R. Agher, C. Katlama, O. Lortholary, V. Avettand-Fenoel, C. Rouzioux, P.H. Consigny, G. Cessot, F. Touam, R. Usubillaga, K. Benhadj (Paris University Hospitals).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: L. Cuzin, P. Delobel, F. Raffi, A. Cabié.
Data curation: L. Cuzin, P. Pugliese.
Formal analysis: L. Cuzin, C. Delpierre.
Investigation: L. Cotte, D. Rey, P. Delobel, A. Cabié.
Methodology: C. Delpierre, M-A. Valantin, A. Cabié.
Software: P. Pugliese.
Supervision: L. Cotte, C. Allavena, M-A. Valantin, D. Rey, F. Raffi, A. Cabié.
Validation: L. Cuzin, C. Delpierre, C. Allavena, D. Rey, P. Delobel, F. Raffi, A. Cabié.

Writing - original draft: L. Cuzin.

Writing – review & editing: L. Cuzin, L. Cotte, C. Delpierre, C. Allavena, M-A. Valantin, D. Rey, P. Delobel, P. Pugliese, F. Raffi, A. Cabié.

References

- Insight Start Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, Emery S, Grund B, et al. Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy in Early Asymptomatic HIV Infection. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373(9):795–807. Epub 2015/07/21. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1506816 PMID: 26192873
- Group TAS, Danel C, Moh R, Gabillard D, Badje A, Le Carrou J, et al. A Trial of Early Antiretrovirals and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in Africa. N Engl J Med. 2015; 373(9):808–22. Epub 2015/07/21. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1507198 PMID: 26193126</u>.
- Zolopa A, Andersen J, Powderly W, Sanchez A, Sanne I, Suckow C, et al. Early antiretroviral therapy reduces AIDS progression/death in individuals with acute opportunistic infections: a multicenter randomized strategy trial. PLoS One. 2009; 4(5):e5575. Epub 2009/05/15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005575</u> PMID: 19440326
- Pilcher CD, Ospina-Norvell C, Dasgupta A, Jones D, Hartogensis W, Torres S, et al. The Effect of Same-Day Observed Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy on HIV Viral Load and Treatment Outcomes in a US Public Health Setting. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017; 74(1):44–51. Epub 2016/07/20. https:// doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000001134 PMID: 27434707
- Saag MS, Benson CA, Gandhi RT, Hoy JF, Landovitz RJ, Mugavero MJ, et al. Antiretroviral Drugs for Treatment and Prevention of HIV Infection in Adults: 2018 Recommendations of the International Antiviral Society-USA Panel. JAMA. 2018; 320(4):379–96. Epub 2018/07/26. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama. 2018.8431 PMID: 30043070.
- Koenig SP, Dorvil N, Devieux JG, Hedt-Gauthier BL, Riviere C, Faustin M, et al. Same-day HIV testing with initiation of antiretroviral therapy versus standard care for persons living with HIV: A randomized unblinded trial. PLoS Med. 2017; 14(7):e1002357. Epub 2017/07/26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.</u> pmed.1002357 PMID: 28742880
- Palar K, Wong MD, Cunningham WE. Competing subsistence needs are associated with retention in care and detectable viral load among people living with HIV. J HIV AIDS Soc Serv. 2018; 17(3):163–79. Epub 2018/12/07. https://doi.org/10.1080/15381501.2017.1407732 PMID: 30505245
- 8. Cuzin L, Hoen B, Pugliese P, Abel S, Pierre-François S, Jovelin T, et al. Proportion and consequences of late HIV diagnosis in French West Indies. Bull Epidémiol Hebd. 2018; 40–41:813–7.
- Morlat P. Experts' recommendations for HIV positive patients' care. 2013 [cited 2018 December, 5]. French recommendations on HIV treatment and prevention]. https://cns.sante.fr/dossiers/rapportdexperts-2013/.
- Zhao Y, Wu Z, McGoogan JM, Shi CX, Li A, Dou Z, et al. Immediate Antiretroviral Therapy Decreases Mortality Among Patients With High CD4 Counts in China: A Nationwide, Retrospective Cohort Study. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2018; 66(5):727–34. Epub 2017/10/27. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix878 PMID: 29069362
- Stirrup OT, Copas AJ, Phillips AN, Gill MJ, Geskus RB, Touloumi G, et al. Predictors of CD4 cell recovery following initiation of antiretroviral therapy among HIV-1 positive patients with well-estimated dates of seroconversion. HIV Med. 2018; 19(3):184–94. Epub 2017/12/13. https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12567 PMID: 29230953
- Ford N, Migone C, Calmy A, Kerschberger B, Kanters S, Nsanzimana S, et al. Benefits and risks of rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2018; 32(1):17–23. Epub 2017/11/08. https://doi.org/10. 1097/QAD.00000000001671 PMID: 29112073
- Zhao Y, Wu Z, McGoogan JM, Sha Y, Zhao D, Ma Y, et al. Nationwide Cohort Study of Antiretroviral Therapy Timing: Treatment Dropout and Virological Failure in China, 2011–2015. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2019; 68(1):43–50. Epub 2018/05/18. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy400 PMID: 29771296
- Scheer S, Hsu L, Schwarcz S, Pipkin S, Havlir D, Buchbinder S, et al. Trends in the San Francisco Human Immunodeficiency Virus Epidemic in the "Getting to Zero" Era. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2018; 66(7):1027–34. Epub 2017/11/ 04. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix940 PMID: 29099913
- Cuzin L, Dellamonica P, Yazdanpanah Y, Bouchez S, Rey D, Hoen B, et al. Characteristics and consequences of medical care interruptions in HIV-infected patients in France. Epidemiol Infect. 2016; 144 (11):2363–70. Epub 2016/04/02. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268816000625 PMID: 27033595.

- 16. Desir FA, Lesko CR, Moore RD, Horberg MA, Wong C, Crane HM, et al. One Size Fits (n)One: The Influence of Sex, Age, and Sexual Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Acquisition Risk on Racial/ Ethnic Disparities in the HIV Care Continuum in the United States. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2019; 68(5):795–802. Epub 2018/09/01. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy556 PMID: 30169624.
- Giordano TP, Hartman C, Gifford AL, Backus LI, Morgan RO. Predictors of retention in HIV care among a national cohort of US veterans. HIV Clin Trials. 2009; 10(5):299–305. Epub 2009/11/13. https://doi. org/10.1310/hct1005-299 PMID: 19906622.
- Israelski D, Gore-Felton C, Power R, Wood MJ, Koopman C. Sociodemographic characteristics associated with medical appointment adherence among HIV-seropositive patients seeking treatment in a county outpatient facility. Prev Med. 2001; 33(5):470–5. Epub 2001/10/26. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001/10/26. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001.0917. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.2001. https://do
- Molina J-M, Grund B, Gordin F, Williams I, Schechter M, Losso M, et al. Which HIV-infected adults with high CD4 T-cell counts benefit most from immediate initiation of antiretroviral therapy? A post-hoc subgroup analysis of the START trial. The Lancet HIV. 2018; 5(4):e172–e80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> S2352-3018(18)30003-1 PMID: 29352723
- Gatey C, Brun A, Hamet G, Diamantis S, Sellier P, Bouchaud O, et al. Does region of origin influence the timing and outcome of first-line antiretroviral therapy in France? HIV Med. 2019; 20(2):175–81. Epub 2018/12/07. https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12697 PMID: 30506853.
- Cuzin L, Yazdanpanah Y, Huleux T, Cotte L, Pugliese P, Allavena C, et al. No relationship between late HIV diagnosis and social deprivation in newly diagnosed patients in France. HIV Med. 2018; 19(3):238– 42. Epub 2017/08/24. https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12545 PMID: 28834136.