

Effect of 10 UV filters on the brine shrimp Artemia salina and the marine microalgae Tetraselmis sp

Evane Thorel, Fanny Clergeaud, Lucie Jaugeon, Alice Rodrigues, Julie Lucas, Didier Stien, Philippe Lebaron

► To cite this version:

Evane Thorel, Fanny Clergeaud, Lucie Jaugeon, Alice Rodrigues, Julie Lucas, et al.. Effect of 10 UV filters on the brine shrimp Artemia salina and the marine microalgae Tetraselmis sp. 2022. hal-03796512

HAL Id: hal-03796512 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03796512

Preprint submitted on 4 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Effect of 10 UV filters on the brine shrimp *Artemia salina* and the marine microalgae *Tetraselmis* sp.

Evane Thorel, Fanny Clergeaud, Lucie Jaugeon, Alice M. S. Rodrigues, Julie Lucas, Didier Stien, Philippe Lebaron*

Sorbonne Université, CNRS USR3579, Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Biotechnologie Microbienne, LBBM, Observatoire Océanologique, 66650, Banyuls-sur-mer, France.

*corresponding author : E-mail address : lebaron@obs-banyuls.fr

Abstract

The presence of pharmaceutical and personal care products' (PPCPs) residues in the aquatic environment is an emerging issue due to their uncontrolled release, through grey water, and accumulation in the environment that may affect living organisms, ecosystems and public health. The aim of this study is to assess the toxicity of benzophenone-3 (BP-3), bis-ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine (BEMT), butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane (BM), methylene bisbenzotriazolyl tetramethylbutylphenol (MBBT), 2-Ethylhexyl salicylate (ES), diethylaminohydroxybenzoyl hexyl benzoate (DHHB), diethylhexyl butamido triazone (DBT), ethylhexyl triazone (ET), homosalate (HS), and octocrylene (OC) to marine organisms from two major trophic levels including autotrophs (*Tetraselmis sp.*) and heterotrophs (*Artemia salina*). In general, EC₅₀ results show that both HS and OC are the most toxic for our tested species, followed by a significant effect of BM on *Artemia salina* but only at high concentrations (1 mg/L) and then an effect of ES, BP3 and DHHB on the metabolic activity of the microalgae at 100 µg/L. BEMT, DBT, ET, MBBT had no effect on the tested organisms, even at high concentrations (2mg/L). OC toxicity represent a risk for those species since it is observed at concentrations only 15 to 90 times

higher than the highest concentrations reported in the natural environment and HS toxicity is for the first time reported on microalgae and was very important on *Tetraselmis sp.* at concentrations close to the natural environment concentrations.

Keywords

UV-filters

Toxicity tests

Marine microalgae

Artemia salina

Marine environment

1 Introduction

2

3 In recent decades, the sunscreen production and skin application have continuously 4 increased to protect against sunlight exposure damages and to prevent skin cancer risk (Azoury and 5 Lange, 2014; Waldman and Grant-Kels, 2019). Sunscreen products contain many chemical 6 ingredients including UltraViolet (UV) filters, the aim of which is to absorb or reflect UVA and/or 7 UVB radiations ranging from 280 to 400 nm (Sánchez-Quiles and Tovar-Sánchez, 2015). 8 More than 50 different UV filters are currently on the market (Shaath, 2010). Despite the 9 fact that the use of these compounds is subject to different regulations around the world, UV filters 10 are regularly detected in various aquatic environmental compartments including lakes, rivers, 11 surface marine waters and sediments. These chemicals can enter in the marine environment in two 12 ways, either indirectly from wastewater treatment plants effluent or directly from swimming or 13 recreational activities (Giokas et al., 2007). Furthermore, their lipophilic nature results in low 14 solubility in water, high stability and tendency to bioaccumulate (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2015; Vidal-15 Liñán et al., 2018). 16 In recent years, many ecotoxicological studies have focused on the impact of organic UV

17 filters on various trophic levels, from microalgae to fish, passing by corals. Several studies have 18 demonstrated that some of these compounds can disrupt survival (Chen et al., 2018; He et al., 2019; 19 Paredes et al., 2014), behavior (Araújo et al., 2018; Barone et al., 2019), growth (Mao et al., 2017; 20 Paredes et al., 2014; Sieratowicz et al., 2011), development (Giraldo et al., 2017; Torres et al., 21 2016), metabolism (Esperanza et al., 2019; Seoane et al., 2017; Stien et al., 2019), gene expression 22 (Gao et al., 2013; Zucchi et al., 2011) and reproduction (Araújo et al., 2018; Coronado et al., 2008; 23 Kaiser et al., 2012) in various species. It should be noted that the majority of toxicological studies 24 on organic UV filters were conducted on BP3, EMC and 4-MBC.

The adoption and implementation of the European legislation on the registration, evaluation,
 authorization and restriction of chemicals (REACH) required several additional ecotoxicity data

27 promoting the use of invertebrates as models for toxicity assays (European Commission, 2007).

Brine shrimps *Artemia* spp. (here *A. salina*) are readily available worldwide and easy to breed. They
have therefore been frequently used as test organism in ecotoxicity assays, and *A. salina* was chosen
to investigate the toxicity of UV filters in this study (Caldwell et al., 2003; Libralato, 2014; Nunes
et al., 2006).

The green algae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* is commonly used for chronic algal toxicity testing. The Haptophyta *Isochrysis galbana* is interesting too as it is widely cultured as food for the bivalve industry. Green algae such as *Chlorella* and *Tetraselmis* sp., belonging to the phyllum Chlorophyta, have also been frequently exploited in toxicity assays. *Tetraselmis* have been used before to study the toxic effect of several antibiotics and PCPs, but also the UV filter BP-3 (Seoane et al., 2017, 2014). This is why this algae was used in this study.

Previous studies about the toxic effects of different pollutants on microalgae physiology demonstrate that flow cytometry (FCM) can be an alternative to standard algal population-based endpoints, since it allows a rapid, quantitative and simultaneous measurement of multiple responses to stress in individual cells (Esperanza et al., 2019; Hadjoudja et al., 2009; Prado et al., 2015; Seoane et al., 2017). Seoane et al., (2017) showed that the most sensitive parameters are the metabolic activity and cytoplasmic membrane potential. Therefore, we decided to use FCM to analyze the toxicity of UV filters on *Tetraselmis* cells.

45

46 **2. Materials and methods**

47

48 2.1 Test substances and experimental solutions

49 The UV filters benzophenone-3 (BP-3), bis-ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine

50 (BEMT), butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane (BM), and methylene bis-benzotriazolyl

51 tetramethylbutylphenol (MBBT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier,

52 France). 2-Ethylhexyl salicylate (ES), diethylaminohydroxybenzoyl hexyl benzoate (DHHB),

53 diethylhexyl butamido triazone (DBT), ethylhexyl triazone (ET), homosalate (HS), and octocrylene

54 (OC) were provided by Pierre Fabre Laboratories.

55 Before each toxicity test, and due to the low water solubility of the compounds, stock 56 solutions at 1 mg/ml were prepared by dissolving each UV filters in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 57 Sigma-Aldrich, purity >99%). These solutions were diluted in order to add the same amount of DMSO to all samples and to obtain exposure concentrations ranging from 20 ng/L to 2 mg/L for A. 58 59 salina, and 10 μ g/L to 1 mg/L for *Tetraselmis* sp.. The lower concentrations tested were roughly 60 those reported in natural ecosystems. DMSO concentration in the experiments was always 2.5 % 61 (v/v). A DMSO control (2.5 % v/v) and a blank control were also included. The blank control was 62 artificial seawater for A. salina and growth medium for Tetraselmis sp...

63

64 2.2 Artemia salina mortality test

65

A. salina cysts were purchased from AquarHéak Aquaculture (Ars-en-Ré, France) and 66 stored at 4 °C. Dried cysts were hatched in a constantly aerated transparent «V» hatching incubator 67 68 filled with 500 mL of artificial seawater (ASW) at a salinity of 37 g/L, prepared with Instant Ocean 69 salt (Aquarium Systems, Sarrebourg, France). Incubation was carried out for 48 h, at 25 °C under 70 continuous light the first 24 hours. A 12:12 h light regime was then applied until the nauplii reached 71 the instar II-III stage. Ten nauplii were transferred into 5 ml glass tubes filled with ASW (2 mL) contingently supplemented with DMSO and UV filters. The tubes were incubated at 25 °C under a 72 73 12:12 h light regime. The experiments were performed in sextuplicate. During the exposure period, there was no aeration and the nauplii were not fed. The mortality rate was estimated after 48 h by 74 75 counting the dead nauplii under binocular. Organisms with no swimming activity or movement of 76 appendices for 10 s even after mechanical stimulation with a Pasteur pipette were counted as dead. 77 The tests were considered valid if the control's average mortality rate was < 20%.

79 2.3 Tetraselmis sp. toxicity test

80

81 2.3.1 Experimental procedure

82 Tetraselmis sp. (RCC500) was purchased from the Roscoff culture collection and was 83 grown in filtered (pore size: $0.22 \,\mu$ m) and autoclaved seawater enriched with a 50-fold diluted f/2 84 medium (Sigma-Aldrich). The culture was maintained under controlled conditions at 18 °C (± 1 85 °C) with a photon flux of 70 µmol photons.m⁻².s⁻¹ under a dark:light cycle of 12:12 h. Toxicity tests 86 were conducted in 150 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL of culture. Algae cells in exponential growth phase were used as inoculum and the initial cell density was 5.10⁴ cells/mL. 87 88 Three replicates per UV filter concentration were performed. After 7 days of exposure, different 89 morphological and physiological cells properties were monitored via flow cytometry (FCM). 90 Analyzed parameters were granularity, relative cell volume, chlorophyll a fluorescence, esterase 91 activity, and growth. The control experiment was a *Tetraselmis* sp. culture supplemented with 92 DMSO (2.5%).

93

94 2.3.2 Flow cytometry (FCM) analyses

Aliquots were collected after 7 days of exposition to be analyzed in a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) equipped with an air-cooled argon laser (488 nm, 15 mW). To characterize the microalgae population, and to exclude non-algal particles, the forward scatter (FSC, an estimation of cell size) and side scatter (SSC, an estimation of granularity) dot-plots were used before each measurement. The flow rate of the cytometer was set to low (acquisition time: 1 min).

101 The data recorded by FCM were measured either directly (autofluorescence, granularity, 102 size) or indirectly by the use of fluorochromes (esterase activity). Cellular density was determined 103 using Becton Dickinson TrucountTM 10 μ m beads for calibration, as described by Pecqueur et al. 104 (2011). Growth rate (μ), expressed as day⁻¹ were calculated using the following equation: μ =

105	$(\ln(N_t)-\ln(N_0)) / (t-t_0)$, where N_t is the cell density at time t and N_0 is initial cell density. Chlorophyll
106	a natural autofluorescence was measured and detected in the FL3 channel (nm). Relative cell
107	volume (or size) and granularity were directly estimated with the forward light scatter (FSC
108	channel) and with the side scatter channel (SSC), respectively. To determine the metabolic activity
109	based on the esterase activity study, cells were stained with the fluorochrome Chemchrom V6 (10-
110	fold diluted in ChemSol B26 buffer-Biomérieux, France) at 1 % final concentration, and
111	incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the dark before analysis. Reading was performed with
112	the FL1 channel (nm). All cytometry data were analyzed using BD FACSDiva (Becton Dickinson).
113	Results were expressed as percentage of variation relative to control (100 %).
114	
115	2.4. Statistical analysis
116	
117	Results are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD), calculated from the 3 or 6
118	replicates. For both tests and all the parameters measured, differences between controls and nominal
119	concentrations of UV filter were analyzed using R software, by one-way analysis of variance
120	(ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Tukey HSD tests for pairwise comparisons. In all cases,
121	significance was accepted when $p < 0.05$. Dose–response curves, LD/LC ₅₀ -values were estimated
122	by a log(agonist) vs. response - Variable slope (four parameters) regression model in GraphPad
123	Prism 5.
124	
125	3. Results and discussion
126	
127	3.1 Effects on Artemia salina mortality
128	
129	The toxicity of several organic UV filters on the marine crustacean Artemia salina (Nauplii
130	Instar II III) was determined after 48 h of exposure by counting dead larvae (Fig. 1). At the highest

- 131 concentration tested (2 mg/L), HS, BM, and OC demonstrated a significant effect on Nauplii
- 132 survival (p < 0.05) with mortality values reaching $54 \pm 16\%$, $64 \pm 19\%$ and $88 \pm 16\%$, respectively.
- 133 At lower concentrations of these filters no significant effect was detected. For BP3, BEMT, MBBT,
- 134 ES, DHHB, DBT and ET no toxicity was observed, even at the highest concentration.





138Fig 1 Mortality rate of A. salina exposed to the 10 UV filters at 6 concentrations. Boxes delineate139the minimal and maximal values, and the vertical line is the median of six replicates. Significance140levels relative to control determined by ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison test:141*** p < 0.001. Results were not significant unless otherwise stated. For BM, HS and OC, the LD₅₀142is reported on the figure.

144	Our results indicate that among the different UV filters tested in this study, OC was the most toxic
145	molecule showing the lowest LD_{50} value (0.6 mg/L), followed by BM and HS (1.8 mg/L and 2.4
146	mg/L, respectively). Environmental HS and BM concentrations reported so far are at least 500
147	times lower than LD_{50} , with values always lower than 3 µg/L (Fagervold et al., 2019; Sánchez-
148	Quiles and Tovar-Sánchez, 2015; Tsui et al., 2014; Ramos et al., 2015). OC concentrations in
149	coastal waters are higher and can reach 9 μ g/L (Langford and Thomas, 2008; Tsui et al., 2014;
150	Ramos et al, 2015). This is the first report showing OC toxicity on Artemia salina. We also
151	observed a concentration-dependent increase in mortality of Artemia with respect to the control.
152	This is congruent with the toxicity observed, at lower concentration, on coral (50 μ g/L, (Stien et al.,
153	2019), urchin, mussel and algae (40-80 µg/L, (Giraldo et al., 2017). OC also affects the
154	developmental process in zebrafish (Blüthgen et al., 2014). Here the LD ₅₀ on A. salina is
155	approximately 90 times higher than the highest OC concentrations in marine waters reported so far.
156	It should be mentioned as well that OC concentrations in the 50-100 μ g/kg range have been
157	frequently reported in sediments (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2011; Kameda et al., 2011), in which case
158	OC may affect benthic crustaceans.
159	
160	

3.2 Effects on Tetraselmis sp.





Fig 2 Relative A) growth rate, B) granularity, C) cell volume, D) fluorescence and E) metabolic 165 166 activity of exposed *Tetraselmis* compared to control, set to 100 %. The boxes delineate the minimal 167 and maximal values. The vertical line in the boxes is at mean. Significance levels relative to negative control determined by ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison test: *** p < 168 169 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05. Results were not significant unless otherwise stated. N/A: not 170 applicable, the data could not be obtained due to extensive cell death.

- 171
- *Growth rate and* EC_{50} *values* 172 3.2.1
- 173



2A). The growth rate of algae exposed to ES at 1 mg/L decreased by 24 % compared to control (p < p175

176 0.05). For BP-3 we observed a concentration dependent decrease in growth, which was statistically

significant at 100 μ g/L (p < 0.01) and 1 mg/L (p < 0.001). At 1 mg/L, the growth rate was negative, 177

- 178 which translates a decreased cell concentration compared to t₀. The 7-days LC₅₀ value for BP3 was
- 179 143 μ g/L. BP3 LC₅₀ values on several microalgae species have been reported previously to be

180	roughly in the 100 μ g/L to 20 mg/L range (Esperanza et al., 2019; Mao et al., 2017; Pablos et al.,
181	2015; Zhong et al., 2019). Seawater BP3 concentrations in the μ g/L range have been frequently
182	reported in the literature (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2015; Tsui et al., 2014). Extremely high values of 1.4
183	and 0.6 mg/L have been recorded in the U.S. Virgin Islands (Downs et al., 2016). Finally, the most
184	important decline was with UV filter HS. No algal cells were detected in the presence of HS at 100
185	μ g/L and 1 mg/L. LC ₅₀ with HS was estimated at 74 μ g/L, while it has been shown that HS
186	concentration in aquatic environments can reach ~3 µg/L (Tsui et al. 2014; Ramos et al., 2015). OC
187	induced a slight but significant increase of the growth rate at 1mg/L with a decrease in the
188	metabolic activity as determined by esterase activity. This increased metabolic activity was not
189	explained. Similar differences in the response of different physiological parameters were already
190	reported by Esperanza et al (2019) for the toxicity of BP3 in the microalgae Chlamydomonas
191	reinhardtii. The growth rate of Tetraselmis was not affected with BEMT, MBBT, DHHB, DBT, ET
192	and BM, even at 1 mg/L.
193	

194 *3.2.2 Impact on cell morphology*

195

196 Three of the UV filters induced cell morphological alterations (Fig. 2B/C). Cells cultured in 197 the presence of BM have experienced a significant increase in cell volume and granularity at 1 198 mg/L (p < 0.05). This concentration is 1,000 to 10,000 times higher than the few concentrations 199 reported in the field (Fagervold et al., 2019; Sánchez-Quiles and Tovar-Sánchez, 2015; Tsui et al., 200 2014). According to environmental concentrations of other UV filters, one can assume that the 201 effective concentration of 1 mg/L is probably higher than any BM environmental concentration, but 202 this remains to be confirmed. BP3 caused a dose dependent increase of relative cell volume at 100 203 μ g/L and above, reaching up to 129 % of control cell volume at 1 mg/L (p < 0.001). Meanwhile, 204 this UV filter induced a significant 38 % granularity decrease at 1 mg/L (p < 0.001). With reference 205 to the environmental concentrations of BP3 (see above), this filter should exert a significant impact

206	on phytoplankton communities. These results are congruent with what was recently reported by
207	Zhong et al. (2019) on Arthrospira sp. With HS, cell volume and granularity could not be measured
208	at 100 and 1000 μ g/L. However, a significant cell volume decrease was observed at 10 μ g/L of HS
209	(-11 %, p < 0.001). In our experiment, HS No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) was
210	therefore lower than 10 μ g/L, <i>i.e.</i> , within the same order of magnitude than the highest water
211	column concentration reported so far by Tsui et al. (2014). Again, it is expected that HS should
212	affect microalgae communities in bathing areas. No significant effect was observed for BEMT,
213	MBBT, ES, DHHB, DBT, ET, HS and OC.
214	
215	3.2.3 Impact on autofluorescence
216	
217	The results of FCM analysis revealed that several UV filters significantly reduced
218	chlorophyll a (Chl a) cell fluorescence (Fig 4D). The decrease was significant with BM (–13 %, p $<$
219	0.01) and ES (-15 %, p < 0.05) at 1 mg/L. A strong dose-dependent autofluorescence inhibition was
220	observed upon exposure to BP3 at concentrations of 100 μ g/L (p < 0.001) and above. Inhibition
221	reached 78 % at the highest dose. Again, autofluorescence could not be measured in cells treated
222	with HS at 100 and 1000 μ g/L due to the important cell degradation at these concentrations. No
223	significant effect was observed for BEMT, MBBT, DHHB, DBT, ET and OC.
224	

- 225 *3.2.4 Impact on cell metabolic activity*
- 226

227 Metabolic activity was determined by estimating the relative esterase activity in exposed 228 cells compared to control ones. It was measured by CV6 staining and highlighted significant 229 decreased metabolic activities with half of the tested UV filters (Fig 2E). Algae exposed to ES and 230 HS experienced a decreased esterase activity at 10 μ g/L UV filter. The effect of BP3, DHHB and 231 OC was significant at 100 μ g/L and above. A similar decreased esterase activity was reported for *C*.

232 reinhardtii exposed to BP3, although at concentrations in the mg/L range (Esperanza et al., 2019; 233 Secone et al., 2017). For DHHB, the effect was only observed for the microalgae and for the 234 esterase activity but not for other parameters. Therefore, the environmental risk cannot be estimated 235 since natural concentrations have never been reported for this filter. No significant effect was 236 observed for BEMT, MBBT, DBT, ET and BM. 237 4. Conclusion 238 239 240 The present work demonstrates that several filters exert toxicity on A. salina and Tetraselmis 241 sp. HS was the most toxic UV filter for the microalgae. LC_{50} was 74 µg/L and significant adverse 242 effects were recorded at the lowest concentration tested (10 µg/L). HS was also toxic for A. salina, 243 although at much higher concentrations (LD₅₀ 2.4 mg/L). Overall, HS NOEC was lower than 10 244 μg/L. Its Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC) was 10 μg/L or below. HS concentrations 245 up to 3 µg/L have been reported in the natural environment in which case HS may represent a 246 potential risk for marine phytoplankton communities. Further research is needed to investigate on 247 HS toxicity with a larger diversity of phytoplankton species. 248 OC was toxic on both models with a dose-dependent effect on the microalgae. OC 249 significantly altered *Tetraselmis* sp. metabolic activity at 100 µg/L. On A. salina, LD₅₀ was 610 250 $\mu g/L$. Overall, OC LOEC was 100 $\mu g/L$ with these models. The toxicity of OC occurred at 251 concentrations only 90 (A. salina) and 15 (Tetraselmis sp.) times higher than the highest 252 environmental concentrations reported so far. These results confirm the toxicity of OC on marine organisms. BM was toxic towards the brine shrimp at high concentrations with a LD₅₀ of 1.84 mg/L 253 254 and had little effect on the microalgae. BM LOEC was 1 mg/L. The toxic concentrations reported 255 here may be high enough. BM might not have any effect on marine ecosystems, although the 256 occurrence of this filter should be monitored in a large range of ecosystems to better estimate its 257 natural concentrations. ES (LOEC 10 µg/L), BP3 and DHHB (LOEC 100 µg/L) had a significant

258	impact on the microalgae metabolic activity and had little effect on A. salina.
259	Overall, this research supports the need of establishing environmental quality standards for
260	UV-filters based on toxicity testing with key marine organisms, as well as identifying and reducing
261	environment input sources for the toxic ones. There are still many filters for which environmental
262	concentrations are missing to better estimate the potential environmental risk of their occurrence in
263	coastal ecosytems. It is probably important also to design new user's and environment friendly UV
264	filters.
265	
266	Acknowledgements
267	We thank the BIO2MAR and the BIOPIC platforms from the Observatoire Océanologique
268	de Banyuls for providing technical support and access to instrumentation.
269	
270	Funding sources
271	This work was carried out with the financial support of the Pierre Fabre company [grant
272	number 2018-02108]
273	
274	References
275	
276	Araújo, M.J., Rocha, R.J.M., Soares, A.M.V.M., Benedé, J.L., Chisvert, A., Monteiro, M.S., 2018.
277	Effects of UV filter 4-methylbenzylidene camphor during early development of Solea
278	senegalensis Kaup, 1858. Sci. Total Environ. 628–629, 1395–1404.
279	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.112
280	Azoury, S.C., Lange, J.R., 2014. Epidemiology, risk factors, prevention, and early detection of
281	melanoma. Surg. Clin. North Am., Melanoma 94, 945–962.
282	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2014.07.013

- 283 Barone, A.N., Hayes, C.E., Kerr, J.J., Lee, R.C., Flaherty, D.B., 2019. Acute toxicity testing of
- 284 TiO₂-based vs. oxybenzone-based sunscreens on clownfish (*Amphiprion ocellaris*). Environ.
- 285 Sci. Pollut. Res. 26, 14513–14520. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04769-z
- Blüthgen, N., Meili, N., Chew, G., Odermatt, A., Fent, K., 2014. Accumulation and effects of the
- 287 UV-filter octocrylene in adult and embryonic zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Sci. Total Environ.
- 288 476–477, 207–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.01.015
- 289 Caldwell, G.S., Bentley, M.G., Olive, P.J.W., 2003. The use of a brine shrimp (Artemia salina)
- bioassay to assess the toxicity of diatom extracts and short chain aldehydes. Toxicon 42,

291 301–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-0101(03)00147-8

- 292 Chen, L., Li, X., Hong, H., Shi, D., 2018. Multigenerational effects of 4-methylbenzylidene
- camphor (4-MBC) on the survival, development and reproduction of the marine copepod
 Tigriopus japonicus. Aquat. Toxicol. 194, 94–102.
- 295 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.11.008
- Coronado, M., De Haro, H., Deng, X., Rempel, M.A., Lavado, R., Schlenk, D., 2008. Estrogenic
 activity and reproductive effects of the UV-filter oxybenzone (2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl methanone) in fish. Aquat. Toxicol. 90, 182–187.
- 299 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2008.08.018
- 300 Downs, C.A., Kramarsky-Winter, E., Segal, R., Fauth, J., Knutson, S., Bronstein, O., Ciner, F.R.,
- 301 Jeger, R., Lichtenfeld, Y., Woodley, C.M., Pennington, P., Cadenas, K., Kushmaro, A.,
- 302 Loya, Y., 2016. Toxicopathological effects of the sunscreen UV filter, oxybenzone
- 303 (benzophenone-3), on coral planulae and cultured primary cells and its environmental
- 304 contamination in Hawaii and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 70,
- 305 265–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-015-0227-7
- 306 Esperanza, M., Seoane, M., Rioboo, C., Herrero, C., Cid, Á., 2019. Differential toxicity of the UV-

307 filters BP-3 and BP-4 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: A flow cytometric approach. Sci.

308 Total Environ. 669, 412–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.116

- 309 European Commission, 2007. Chemicals Legislation | Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship
- 310 and SMEs [WWW Document]. URL
- 311 https://ec.europa.eu/growth/sectors/chemicals/legislation_en (accessed 12.3.19).
- 312 Fagervold, S.K., Rodrigues, A.M.S., Rohée, C., Roe, R., Bourrain, M., Stien, D., Lebaron, P., 2019.
- 313 Occurrence and environmental distribution of 5 UV filters during the summer season in
- 314 different water bodies. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 230, 172–172.
- 315 Gago-Ferrero, P., Díaz-Cruz, M.S., Barceló, D., 2015. UV filters bioaccumulation in fish from
- 316 Iberian river basins. Sci. Total Environ. 518–519, 518–525.
- 317 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.026
- 318 Gago-Ferrero, P., Díaz-Cruz, M.S., Barceló, D., 2011. Fast pressurized liquid extraction with in-cell
- 319 purification and analysis by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the
- 320 determination of UV filters and their degradation products in sediments. Anal. Bioanal.

321 Chem. 400, 2195–2204. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-4951-1

322 Gao, L., Yuan, T., Zhou, C., Cheng, P., Bai, Q., Ao, J., Wang, W., Zhang, H., 2013. Effects of four

323 commonly used UV filters on the growth, cell viability and oxidative stress responses of the
 324 *Tetrahymena thermophila*. Chemosphere 93, 2507–2513.

- 325 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.09.041
- 326 Giraldo, A., Montes, R., Rodil, R., Quintana, J.B., Vidal-Liñán, L., Beiras, R., 2017.
- 327 Ecotoxicological evaluation of the UV filters ethylhexyl dimethyl *p*-aminobenzoic acid and
- 328 octocrylene using marine organisms Isochrysis galbana, Mytilus galloprovincialis and
- 329 *Paracentrotus lividus*. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 72, 606–611.
- 330 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-017-0399-4
- 331 Hadjoudja, S., Vignoles, C., Deluchat, V., Lenain, J.-F., Le Jeune, A.-H., Baudu, M., 2009. Short
- 332 term copper toxicity on *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *Chlorella vulgaris* using flow
- 333 cytometry. Aquat. Toxicol. 94, 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.07.007

- 334 He, T., Tsui, M.M.P., Tan, C.J., Ma, C.Y., Yiu, S.K.F., Wang, L.H., Chen, T.H., Fan, T.Y., Lam,
- 335 P.K.S., Murphy, M.B., 2019. Toxicological effects of two organic ultraviolet filters and a
- related commercial sunscreen product in adult corals. Environ. Pollut. 245, 462–471.
- 337 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.029
- 338 Kaiser, D., Sieratowicz, A., Zielke, H., Oetken, M., Hollert, H., Oehlmann, J., 2012.
- 339 Ecotoxicological effect characterisation of widely used organic UV filters. Environ. Pollut.
- 340 163, 84–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.014
- 341 Kameda, Y., Kimura, K., Miyazaki, M., 2011. Occurrence and profiles of organic sun-blocking
- 342 agents in surface waters and sediments in Japanese rivers and lakes. Environ. Pollut. 159,
- 343 1570–1576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.02.055
- Langford, K.H., Thomas, K.V., 2008. Inputs of chemicals from recreational activities into the
 Norwegian coastal zone. J. Environ. Monit. 10, 894–898. https://doi.org/10.1039/B806198J
- Libralato, G., 2014. The case of *Artemia* spp. in nanoecotoxicology. Mar. Environ. Res. 101, 38–43.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.08.002
- Mao, F., He, Y., Kushmaro, A., Gin, K.Y.-H., 2017. Effects of benzophenone-3 on the green alga
 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Aquat.
- 350 Toxicol. 193, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.09.029
- Nunes, B.S., Carvalho, F.D., Guilhermino, L.M., Van Stappen, G., 2006. Use of the genus *Artemia*in ecotoxicity testing. Environ. Pollut. 144, 453–462.
- 353 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.12.037
- 354 Pablos, M.V., García-Hortigüela, P., Fernández, C., 2015. Acute and chronic toxicity of emerging
- 355 contaminants, alone or in combination, in *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Daphnia magna*. Environ.
- 356 Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 5417–5424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4119-1
- 357 Paredes, E., Perez, S., Rodil, R., Quintana, J.B., Beiras, R., 2014. Ecotoxicological evaluation of
- 358 four UV filters using marine organisms from different trophic levels *Isochrysis galbana*,

- 359 *Mytilus galloprovincialis, Paracentrotus lividus, and Siriella armata.* Chemosphere 104,
- 360 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.053
- 361 Pecqueur, D., Vidussi, F., Fouilland, E., Le Floc'h, E., Mas, S., Roques, C., Salles, C., Tournoud,
- 362 M.-G., Mostajir, B., 2011. Dynamics of microbial planktonic food web components during a
- 363 river flash flood in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Hydrobiologia 673, 13–27.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0745-x
- Prado, R., García, R., Rioboo, C., Herrero, C., Cid, Á., 2015. Suitability of cytotoxicity endpoints
 and test microalgal species to disclose the toxic effect of common aquatic pollutants.
- 367 Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 114, 117–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.01.021
- 368 Sánchez-Quiles, D., Tovar-Sánchez, A., 2015. Are sunscreens a new environmental risk associated
- 369 with coastal tourism? Environ. Int. 83, 158–170.
- 370 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.06.007
- 371 Seoane, M., Esperanza, M., Rioboo, C., Herrero, C., Cid, Á., 2017. Flow cytometric assay to assess
- 372 short-term effects of personal care products on the marine microalga *Tetraselmis suecica*.
- 373 Chemosphere 171, 339–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.12.097
- 374 Seoane, M., Rioboo, C., Herrero, C., Cid, Á., 2014. Toxicity induced by three antibiotics commonly
- 375 used in aquaculture on the marine microalga *Tetraselmis suecica* (Kylin) Butch. Mar.
- 376 Environ. Res. 101, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.07.011
- 377 Shaath, N.A., 2010. Ultraviolet filters. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 9, 464–469.
- 378 https://doi.org/10.1039/B9PP00174C
- 379 Sieratowicz, A., Kaiser, D., Behr, M., Oetken, M., Oehlmann, J., 2011. Acute and chronic toxicity
- 380 of four frequently used UV filter substances for *Desmodesmus subspicatus* and *Daphnia*
- 381 *magna*. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A 46, 1311–1319.
- 382 https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2011.602936
- 383 Stien, D., Clergeaud, F., Rodrigues, A.M.S., Lebaron, K., Pillot, R., Romans, P., Fagervold, S.,
- 384 Lebaron, P., 2019. Metabolomics reveal that octocrylene accumulates in *Pocillopora*

- 385 *damicornis* tissues as fatty acid conjugates and triggers coral cell mitochondrial dysfunction.
- 386 Anal. Chem. 91, 990–995. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04187
- 387 Torres, T., Cunha, I., Martins, R., Santos, M.M., 2016. Screening the toxicity of selected personal
- 388 care products using embryo bioassays: 4-MBC, propylparaben and triclocarban. Int. J. Mol.
- 389 Sci. 17, 1762. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17101762
- 390 Tsui, M.M.P., Leung, H.W., Wai, T.-C., Yamashita, N., Taniyasu, S., Liu, W., Lam, P.K.S.,
- 391 Murphy, M.B., 2014. Occurrence, distribution and ecological risk assessment of multiple
- 392 classes of UV filters in surface waters from different countries. Water Res. 67, 55–65.
- 393 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.013
- Vidal-Liñán, L., Villaverde-de-Sáa, E., Rodil, R., Quintana, J.B., Beiras, R., 2018. Bioaccumulation
 of UV filters in *Mytilus galloprovincialis* mussel. Chemosphere 190, 267–271.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.09.144
- Waldman, R.A., Grant-Kels, J.M., 2019. The role of sunscreen in the prevention of cutaneous
 melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 80, 574-576.e1.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2018.06.069
- 400 Zhong, X., Downs, C.A., Che, X., Zhang, Z., Li, Yiman, Liu, B., Li, Q., Li, Yuting, Gao, H., 2019.
- 401 The toxicological effects of oxybenzone, an active ingredient in suncream personal care
- 402 products, on prokaryotic alga *Arthrospira* sp. and eukaryotic alga *Chlorella* sp. Aquat.

403 Toxicol. 216, 105295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105295

- 404 Zucchi, S., Oggier, D.M., Fent, K., 2011. Global gene expression profile induced by the UV-filter
- 405 2-ethyl-hexyl-4-trimethoxycinnamate (EHMC) in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Environ. Pollut.
- 406 159, 3086–3096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.04.013