



HAL
open science

Attainment of the Patient-acceptable Symptom State in 548 Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Influence of Demographic Factors

Catia Duarte, Eduardo Santos, Tore Kristian Kvien, Maxime Dougados, Maarten De Wit, Laure Gossec, José António Pereira Da Silva

► To cite this version:

Catia Duarte, Eduardo Santos, Tore Kristian Kvien, Maxime Dougados, Maarten De Wit, et al.. Attainment of the Patient-acceptable Symptom State in 548 Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Influence of Demographic Factors. *Joint Bone Spine*, 2021, 88 (1), pp.105071. 10.1016/j.jbspin.2020.09.003 . hal-03849929

HAL Id: hal-03849929

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03849929>

Submitted on 15 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **TITLE: Attainment of the Patient-acceptable Symptom State in 548 patients with**
2 **rheumatoid arthritis: influence of demographic factors**

3

4 **AUTHORS:**5 **Catia Duarte^{a,b}, ORCID: 0000-0001-9327-6935**6 **Eduardo Santos^{a,c,d}, ORCID: 0000-0003-0557-2377**7 **Tore K Kvien^e,**8 **Maxime Dougados^f,**9 **Maarten de Wit^g,**10 **Laure Gossec^{h,i*}, ORCID: 0000-0002-4528-310X**11 **J.A.P. da Silva^{a,b*} ORCID: 0000-0002-2782-6780**

12 *LG and JAPS had equal contributions in this paper

13

14

15 **Affiliations**

16

17 a) Department of Rheumatology - Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra,
18 Coimbra, Praceta, R. Prof. Mota Pinto, 3004-561 Coimbra, Portugal19 b) Coimbra Institute for Clinical and Biomedical Research, Faculty of Medicine,
20 University of Coimbra, Azinhaga Santa Comba Celas, 3000-548 Coimbra,
21 Portugal22 c) Abel Salazar Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of Porto, R. Jorge de
23 Viterbo Ferreira 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal24 d) Health Sciences Research Unit: Nursing, Nursing School of Coimbra, Polo C,
25 Avenida Bissaya Barreto, 3046-851 Coimbra, Portugal26 e) Department of Rheumatology, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Diakonveien 12, 0370
27 Oslo, Norway28 f) Rheumatology B, Cochin Hospital, Paris Descartes University, 12 Rue de
29 l'École de Médecine, 75006 Paris, France

30 g) Patient Research Partner, Netherlands

31 h) Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé
32 Publique, 56 Boulevard Vincent Auriol, 75646 Paris, France33 i) Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, AP-HP, Rheumatology Department, 47-83 Boulevard
34 de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.

1
2

2

1 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

2 Catia Duarte

3 Rheumatology Department,

4 Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra

5 Praceta Mota Pinto, 3000-004 Coimbra, Portugal

6 Email: catiacmduarte@gmail.com

7 Tel: +351239400547

8

9 **Short Title: PASS and socio-demographic factors**

10

3
4

2

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Objectives:** To explore the clinical and socio-demographic factors associated with
3 Patient Acceptable Symptom Status (PASS) in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA).

4 **Methods:** In a post-hoc analyses of a cross-sectional study, RA patients from 11
5 countries were included. PASS was assessed as acceptable/not acceptable status by
6 the patient. Variables collected included socio-economic (gender, age and country
7 gross domestic product (GDP) per capita) and clinical variables: DAS28-3vESR (28
8 joint counts and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate), the patient-reported Rheumatoid
9 Arthritis Impact of Disease (RAID) score and its seven domains (scored 0 to 10).
10 Patients in PASS or not were compared through univariable tests and factors
11 associated with PASS assessed by multivariable forward conditional logistic
12 regression. A similar analysis was performed in the subgroup patients in DAS28
13 remission (n=168).

14 **Results:** A total of 548 patients were included:80.5% female, mean (\pm SD) age
15 55.8 ± 12.8 years, disease duration 13.6 ± 10.6 years, DAS28 3.6 ± 1.5 . Overall, 360
16 (65.7%) considered themselves to be in PASS. Independent factors positively
17 associated with being in PASS were age>50 years (odds ratio, OR 1.67; [95%
18 confidence Interval:1.04-2.67]), a lower DAS28 (OR:1.28 [1.08-1.52]), lower pain
19 (OR:1.45 [1.27-1.64]) and better emotional well-being (OR:1.28 [1.13-1.45]). Among
20 patients in remission, being in PASS was positively associated with less severe pain
21 (OR:2.50 [1.79-3.84]), age>50 years (OR 3.30 [1.03 to10.87]) and living in a country of
22 the low GDP category (OR:5.08; [1.34-19.23]).

23

24 **Conclusions:** Being in PASS is related to many factors besides disease activity,
25 including age, perceived impact of the disease and national GDP.

26

27

28 **KEYWORDS:** Rheumatoid Arthritis, Patient Reported Outcomes, Patient Acceptable
29 Symptom State, Disease Activity, Socio-Demographic Aspects

30

31 **FUNDING:** The RAID study was supported by EULAR (grant CLI.042c)

32

33 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

34

1 1. INTRODUCTION

2

3 In a patient-centred care perspective, patients' satisfaction with their health condition
4 becomes one of the most relevant objectives of medical practice, in parallel with
5 physician-centred targets. Therefore, the evaluation of individual patient perceptions of
6 disease impact, through Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs) should be encouraged, in
7 addition to disease activity scores.

8 Patient Acceptable Symptom State (PASS) is a strictly patient-centred outcome that
9 merits consideration as a treatment target [1]. It is assessed through a dichotomized
10 simple question about patient's global satisfaction with their current symptom status
11 and is recognized as facilitating the communication and decision sharing between
12 patients and health-care providers [1-3].

13 Understanding the factors associated with PASS is helpful to understand the
14 underlying message and to define the most adequate management strategy,
15 particularly in case of discordance between the perceptions of patient and the
16 physician [4]. A patient considers himself as being in an acceptable status, despite
17 active arthritis, probably needs careful explanations to ensure adherence to additional
18 treatment. Conversely, in patients in inflammatory remission who continue to
19 experience a non-satisfactory status, underlying reasons should be evaluated and
20 addressed through adjunctive interventions, without intensification of
21 immunosuppressive therapy.

22 Previous studies have shown that PASS is related with disease activity, and with the
23 patient's perception of impact, with pain, function and physical well-being representing
24 the most influential factors [5-7]. However, the role of non-disease-related factors,
25 which have been evaluated in different rheumatic conditions [3, 8-10], remains unclear
26 in RA. The effect of gender and age on acceptable status remains controversial [3, 6,
27 9-11]. Substantial differences in PASS thresholds have been reported between
28 English-speaking versus non-English-speaking countries, regarding spondylarthritis
29 [3]. However, these findings were not confirmed in other studies addressing different
30 rheumatic conditions[12]. Moreover, the effect of the country's socio-economic welfare
31 in terms of gross domestic product (GDP) per capita has not been evaluated.

32 This study aimed at addressing these knowledge gaps by evaluating the socio-
33 demographic factors associated with PASS in RA in a multinational cohort of patients.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study design and patients:

This was a cross-sectional analysis of data from the RAID (RA Impact of Disease) study, an international multicentric study performed in 2008-2009 involving adult RA patients from 10 European countries [13], with additional data from a Portuguese single centre (2017-2018), a country not included in the RAID Study. All patients were adults with the diagnosis of RA [14], able to fill in the questionnaires and willing to provide informed consent. This study was conducted under approval of ethics committees from the participating countries.

The present analysis only included patients without missing data regarding PASS, DAS28-3vESR and individual items of the RAID questionnaire.

2.2 Outcome of Interest

PASS was assessed in a binary way (PASS yes/no) through the question: "*Think about all the ways your RA has affected you during the last week. If you were to remain for the next few months as you were during the last week, would this be: a) Acceptable or b) Unacceptable*". [7, 12]

2.3 Data Collection

Socio-demographic data (age, gender and country) were collected. Information on GDP *per capita* (adjusted for purchasing power parity, measured in international dollars) for each country was extracted from the reports of the International Monetary Fund for 2009 (for countries of RAID study) and for 2018 for Portugal [15]. GDP was dichotomised in low-GDP and high-GDP countries, with a cut-off at 35000 international dollars per capita, which was the threshold that discriminated best between groups after visual data inspection.

RA-related clinical characteristics were recorded, including tender 28-joint count (TJC), swollen 28-joint count (SJC) and Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR). Disease activity was assessed using the 28-joint disease activity score (DAS28), calculated based on TJC, SJC and ESR (3v). In the absence of validated cut-offs for DAS28-3vESR, those validated for DAS28-4vESR were considered. Disease activity was, thus, categorized as: *High disease activity* >5.1; *Moderate Disease Activity* [3.2 to 5.1]; *Low disease activity* [2.6 to 3.2[and *Remission* <2.6 [16]. The impact of RA was assessed using the RAID score. This is a composite measure specific for RA that reflects the

1 patient's perception of the impact of disease on seven domains of health (pain, fatigue,
2 physical function, sleep disturbance, emotional well-being, physical well-being and
3 coping) [13]. Each domain is assessed through a single question answered on a 0 (the
4 best state) to 10 (the worst state) numerical rating scale. Scores of the individual
5 domains were collected and the global RAID score was computed according to the
6 validated algorithm [13].

7

8 **2.4 Statistical Methods**

9 Descriptive characteristics are presented as mean and standard deviation (\pm SD) for
10 continuous variables and as proportions (%) for categorical variables.

11 Differences in variables between patients in PASS and non-PASS were tested using
12 the t-test for independent samples or Chi-square test, as adequate. Variables with
13 $p < 0.1$ in univariate analysis, gender, age and GDP category were included in stepwise
14 multivariate logistic regression (Forward Conditional analysis), with PASS as
15 dependent variable. This analysis was repeated in the subgroup of patients in
16 inflammatory remission, in order to explore factors independent of inflammation and
17 understand the reason of non-PASS in patients who have achieved the inflammatory
18 remission target.

19 Statistical Analysis was performed using the SPSS® software, version 24. Statistically
20 significant effects were assumed for $p < 0.05$.

21

22 **2.5 Ethics**

23 The RAID Study was conducted with approval of the ethics committees in the
24 participating countries. Portuguese study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the
25 Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra (CHUC-160-17). All patients signed a
26 written informed consent before to participate.

27

28

29

30 **3. RESULTS**

31

32 **3.1 Demographic and clinical characteristics**

33 In total, 548 patients from 11 countries (number per country ranging from 34 (Greece to
34 96 (Portugal)) were included (supplementary table 1). Their demographic and clinical

1 characteristics are presented in table 1. The majority were women (80.5%), with a
2 mean age of 55.8±13.0 years, and a mean disease duration of 13.6 ±10.5 years.

3 Disease activity, as measured by DAS28-3vESR, was reflected by a mean score of 3.6
4 ±1.5 with 44.2% of patients being in remission or low disease activity. Impact of
5 disease assessed through RAID score was moderate to high (mean 4.2±2.2), with pain
6 (mean 4.6±2.6), fatigue (mean 4.5±2.7) and function (mean 4.4±2.6) being the most
7 severely affected domains.

8

9 **3.2 Prevalence and correlates of PASS status**

10 Of 548 patients, 360 (65.7%) rated their symptom status as acceptable. Disease
11 activity (DAS 28 mean 3.1±1.3 vs 4.4±1.5), global impact (mean global RAID
12 score 3.3±1.8 vs 5.8±1.8, p<0.01) as well as in each of the seven domains of RAID
13 were significantly lower in patients in PASS status (p<0.001). (Table1) Overall, the
14 group of patients in PASS had a higher proportion of people aged > 50 years (72.5%
15 vs 68.3%, p=0.019). No differences were observed in regarding gender, GDP category
16 or disease duration.

17 In multivariable regression analysis, lower disease activity by DAS28-3vESR
18 (OR=1.28, 95%CI: 1.07 to 1.52), less intense pain (OR=1.45, 95%CI:1.27 to 1.65),
19 better emotional well-being (OR=1.28, 95%CI:1.19 to 1.45), and age>50 years
20 (OR=1.67, 95%CI:1.04 to 2.67) were associated with being in PASS. (Table 2)

21

22 **3.3 Subgroup analysis of patients in inflammatory remission**

23 Considering only patients in inflammatory remission (n=168) according to DAS28-
24 3vESR, living in a low GDP country (61.1% vs 54.2%) and being older than 50 years
25 (75.7% vs 50.0%) were associated with higher odds of being in PASS. Despite all
26 being in remission, patients in non-PASS still perceived higher impact in all domains
27 than patients in PASS (p<0.01), scoring ≥5 in all domains of RAID, except for coping
28 (mean 3.9±2.7) and for sleep disturbance (mean 4.5±3.2). Independent factors
29 associated with being in PASS status were lower levels of pain (OR=2.50, 95%CI=1.79
30 to 3.84), living in a country with a GDP/capita <35000 international dollars (OR=5.08,
31 95%CI=1.34 to 19.23) and age >50 years (OR=3.30, 95%CI=1.03 to 10.87). (Table 2)

32

33 **4. DISCUSSION**

2 This report shows that factors not related to the disease, such as age and GDP/capita,
3 have an important influence on PASS status of patients with RA, which is particularly
4 relevant in patients in inflammatory remission.

5 Two-thirds of all included patients were in PASS status. Coherently, patients who
6 considered themselves to be in a non-acceptable status reported worse scores for all
7 domains of health and had higher levels of disease activity. Among the factors related
8 to the disease, pain was the most important factor preventing patients from reaching an
9 acceptable status, which is in agreement with previous studies [5, 6, 17]. As expected,
10 and similarly to other studies, disease activity was independently associated with being
11 PASS. Emotional well-being had a significant impact on PASS status in our study,
12 similarly to other study where depression was independently associated with being in
13 PASS.[17] Physical well-being, fatigue, function, sleep and coping were not
14 associated with PASS/non-PASS in multivariable analysis in our study. Interestingly,
15 older age, in and of itself, was the strongest predictor of PASS status in multivariable
16 analysis, i.e, after correction or potential confounders.

17 Among patients in inflammatory remission, 15% still considered their status as non-
18 acceptable. These findings are aligned with observations that remission of
19 inflammation does not always result in symptom remission, [18] thus suggesting that
20 other factors besides disease activity need to be taken into consideration. Understand
21 the reasons underlying a non-acceptable status is particularly relevant in this subgroup
22 of patients to guide adjuvant interventions, as disease activity is already under control.
23 Our analyses indicate that that GDP/capita is the strongest independent factor of PASS
24 status, in these patients, followed by pain and age. Similar observations regarding age
25 have been previously reported in SpA [3] and osteoarthritis [10], but not consistently in
26 RA [6] [7, 17, 19], with only one study showing that older age was independently
27 associated with being in PASS.[17] Similar correlates of GDP have been reported
28 regarding other PROs, such as PGA and fatigue [20, 21]. It is conceivable that higher
29 expectations associated with higher GDP and younger age play a role in the observed
30 associations. Naturally, factors associated with ethnical and cultural backgrounds may
31 also play a role, independently of the economy, as they have been shown to influence
32 the self-perceived impact of RA in several domains or ability to cope with disease [22]
33 as well with of anti-rheumatic drugs persistence in RA.[23] These could not be
34 addressed in the present study.

1 Our study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design allowed assessment of
2 patient's satisfaction in one single visit. However, patient satisfaction can be affected
3 by previous experiences and vary according to whether there has been an
4 improvement or worsening of health compared to the past [6]. The patients included in
5 this analysis were enrolled in different decades, and significant development in RA
6 treatment occurred during this period of time, which can be considered a limitation of
7 our study. Education level, which was associated with other RA outcomes in previous
8 studies was not considered in our study. However, PASS wasn't associated with
9 education level in the recent study. [19] Despite the large sample size, the number of
10 patients per country is small and the influence of GDP should be interpreted with
11 caution and deserve confirmation in future studies including larger samples from the
12 different countries. Cultural and behavioural factors, strongly dependent of the country
13 residence and of the country of birth, were not considered in this study. However, GDP
14 could be a surrogate marker for other objective and cultural factors.

15 In conclusion, reaching remission doesn't equate to being in a PASS status and socio-
16 demographic characteristics, including as age or country of residence can have a
17 relevant role in patients' perception of an (un)acceptable state. Dedicated research is
18 warranted to understand the factors that drive patients' dissatisfaction with their health
19 despite inflammatory remission and to design appropriate holistic interventions.

1
2

10

1 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

2 All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important
3 intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published.

4

3
4

10

1 References

- 2 [1] Tubach F, Dougados M, Falissard B, Baron G, Logeart I, Ravaud P. Feeling good rather
3 than feeling better matters more to patients. *Arthritis and rheumatism*
4 2006;55(4):526-30.
- 5 [2] Tubach F, Wells GA, Ravaud P, Dougados M. Minimal clinically important difference,
6 low disease activity state, and patient acceptable symptom state: methodological
7 issues. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2005;32(10):2025-9.
- 8 [3] Maksymowych WP, Gooch K, Dougados M, Wong RL, Chen N, Kupper H, et al.
9 Thresholds of patient-reported outcomes that define the patient acceptable symptom
10 state in ankylosing spondylitis vary over time and by treatment and patient
11 characteristics. *Arthritis care & research* 2010;62(6):826-34.
- 12 [4] Desthieux C, Hermet A, Granger B, Fautrel B, Gossec L. Patient-Physician Discordance
13 in Global Assessment in Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Literature Review With
14 Meta-Analysis. *Arthritis care & research* 2016;68(12):1767-73.
- 15 [5] Puyraimond-Zemmour D, Etcheto A, Fautrel B, Balanescu A, de Wit M, Heiberg T, et al.
16 Associations Between Five Important Domains of Health and the Patient Acceptable
17 Symptom State in Rheumatoid Arthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis: A Cross-Sectional Study
18 of 977 Patients. *Arthritis care & research* 2017;69(10):1504-9.
- 19 [6] Salaffi F, Carotti M, Gutierrez M, Di Carlo M, De Angelis R. Patient Acceptable Symptom
20 State in Self-Report Questionnaires and Composite Clinical Disease Index for Assessing
21 Rheumatoid Arthritis Activity: Identification of Cut-Off Points for Routine Care. *BioMed*
22 *research international* 2015;2015:930756.
- 23 [7] Heiberg T, Kvien TK, Mowinckel P, Aletaha D, Smolen JS, Hagen KB. Identification of
24 disease activity and health status cut-off points for the symptom state acceptable to
25 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2008;67(7):967-
26 71.
- 27 [8] Bellamy N, Hochberg M, Tubach F, Martin-Mola E, Awada H, Bombardier C, et al.
28 Development of multinational definitions of minimal clinically important improvement
29 and patient acceptable symptomatic state in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis care & research*
30 2015;67(7):972-80.
- 31 [9] Wariaghi G, Allali F, Idrissi Z, Berrada K, Hmamouchi I, Abouqal R, et al. Evaluation and
32 stability of the Patient Acceptable Symptom State (PASS) over time in patients with
33 ankylosing spondylitis. *Clinical and experimental rheumatology* 2012;30(1):106-9.
- 34 [10] Perrot S, Bertin P. "Feeling better" or "feeling well" in usual care of hip and knee
35 osteoarthritis pain: determination of cutoff points for patient acceptable symptom
36 state (PASS) and minimal clinically important improvement (MCII) at rest and on
37 movement in a national multicenter cohort study of 2414 patients with painful
38 osteoarthritis. *Pain* 2013;154(2):248-56.
- 39 [11] Kvien TK, Heiberg T, Hagen KB. Minimal clinically important improvement/difference
40 (MCII/MCID) and patient acceptable symptom state (PASS): what do these concepts
41 mean? *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2007;66 Suppl 3:iii40-1.
- 42 [12] Tubach F, Ravaud P, Martin-Mola E, Awada H, Bellamy N, Bombardier C, et al.
43 Minimum clinically important improvement and patient acceptable symptom state in
44 pain and function in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, chronic back pain,
45 hand osteoarthritis, and hip and knee osteoarthritis: Results from a prospective
46 multinational study. *Arthritis care & research* 2012;64(11):1699-707.
- 47 [13] Gossec L, Paternotte S, Aanerud GJ, Balanescu A, Boumpas DT, Carmona L, et al.
48 Finalisation and validation of the rheumatoid arthritis impact of disease score, a
49 patient-derived composite measure of impact of rheumatoid arthritis: a EULAR
50 initiative. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2011;70(6):935-42.

- 1 [14] Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO, 3rd, et al. 2010
2 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of
3 Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Annals*
4 *of the rheumatic diseases* 2010;69(9):1580-8.
- 5 [15] World Economic Outlook (October 2018); 2018. Available from:
6 [https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/PPPPC@WEO/OEMDC/ADVEC/WEOWORL](https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/PPPPC@WEO/OEMDC/ADVEC/WEOWORLD)
7 [D](https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/PPPPC@WEO/OEMDC/ADVEC/WEOWORLD). [Accessed 12 January 2019].
- 8 [16] DAS-Score Website; 2018. Available from:
9 [https://www.das-score.nl/das28/en/difference-between-the-das-and-das28/how-to-](https://www.das-score.nl/das28/en/difference-between-the-das-and-das28/how-to-measure-the-das28/how-to-calculate-the-das28/alternative-validated-formulae.html)
10 [measure-the-das28/how-to-calculate-the-das28/alternative-validated-formulae.html](https://www.das-score.nl/das28/en/difference-between-the-das-and-das28/how-to-measure-the-das28/how-to-calculate-the-das28/alternative-validated-formulae.html).
11 [Accessed 4th April 2018].
- 12 [17] Gwinnutt JM, Hyrich KL, Lunt M, Barton A, Verstappen SMM. Long-term outcomes of
13 patients who rate symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis as 'satisfactory'. *Rheumatology*
14 (Oxford, England) 2020;59(8):1853-61.
- 15 [18] Ferreira RJO, Duarte C, Ndosi M, de Wit M, Gossec L, da Silva JAP. Suppressing
16 Inflammation in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Does Patient Global Assessment Blur the
17 Target? A Practice-Based Call for a Paradigm Change. *Arthritis care & research*
18 2018;70(3):369-78.
- 19 [19] Katchamart W, Narongroeknawin P, Suppa-Udom B, Chanapai W, Srisomnuek A.
20 Factors associated with and cutoff points for Patient Acceptable Symptom State (PASS)
21 in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clinical rheumatology* 2020;39(3):779-86.
- 22 [20] Putrik P, Ramiro S, Hifinger M, Keszei AP, Hmamouchi I, Dougados M, et al. In
23 wealthier countries, patients perceive worse impact of the disease although they have
24 lower objectively assessed disease activity: results from the cross-sectional COMORA
25 study. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2016;75(4):715-20.
- 26 [21] Hifinger M, Putrik P, Ramiro S, Keszei AP, Hmamouchi I, Dougados M, et al. In
27 rheumatoid arthritis, country of residence has an important influence on fatigue:
28 results from the multinational COMORA study. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)*
29 2016;55(4):735-44.
- 30 [22] Palominos PE, Gasparin AA, de Andrade NPB, Xavier RM, da Silva Chakr RM, Igansi F, et
31 al. Fears and beliefs of people living with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature
32 review. *Advances in rheumatology (London, England)* 2018;58(1):1.
- 33 [23] Lauper K, Finckh A. Predictive factors of treatment persistence in rheumatoid arthritis.
34 *Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme* 2020;S1297-319X(20):30042-7.

1 **Table 1: Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of 548 patients with RA**
2 **patients, according to PASS status.**

	All (n=548)	PASS (n= 360)	No PASS (n=188)	p-value
Female N (%)	441 (80.5)	283 (78.6)	158 (84.0)	0.13*
Age (mean /SD)	55.8 (13.0)	56.5 (13.3)	54.4 (12.2)	0.06*
Age >50 Yrs N (%)	379 (69.2)	261 (72.5)	118 (62.8)	0.02 [#]
GDP Low GDP N (%)	318 (58.0)	204 (56.7)	114 (60.6)	0.37 [#]
DAS28-3vESR	3.6 (1.5)	3.1 (1.3)	4.4 (1.5)	<0.01*
Remission N (%)	168 (30.7)	144 (40.0)	24 (12.8)	n.a
Low N (%)	74 (13.5)	60 (16.7)	14 (7.4)	n.a
Moderate N (%)	208 (38.0)	129 (35.8)	79 (42.9)	n.a
High N (%)	98 (17.9)	27 (7.5)	71 (37.8)	n.a
RAID Score ((0 to 10))	4.2 (2.2)	3.3 (1.8)	5.8 (1.8)	<0.01*
RAID Pain (0 to 10)	4.6 (2.6)	3.6 (2.2)	6.5 (2.3)	<0.01*
RAID Function (0 to 10)	4.4 (2.6)	3.4 (2.2)	6.2 (2.3)	<0.01*
RAID Fatigue (0 to 10)	4.5 (2.7)	3.7 (2.5)	6.0 (2.3)	<0.01*
RAID Sleep (0 to 10)	3.6 (2.8)	3.0 (2.6)	4.7 (2.9)	<0.01*
RAID Emotional Well-being (0 to 10)	4.2 (2.5)	3.3 (2.1)	5.9 (2.2)	<0.01*
RAID Physical Well-being (0 to 10)	3.9 (2.5)	3.1 (2.3)	5.3 (2.4)	<0.01*
RAID Coping (0 to 10)	3.7 (2.5)	3.0 (2.2)	5.2 (2.3)	<0.01*

3 Values are the mean \pm SD unless indicated otherwise. PASS: Patient Acceptable Symptom State; Yo:
4 years old; GDP: Gross Domestic Product; DAS 28-ESR: Disease Activity Score, Erythrocyte
5 Sedimentation Rate; RAID: Rheumatoid Arthritis Impact of Disease. *Independent Samples T-Test, [#] Chi-2
6 Test. n.a: not analysed

1 **Table 2: Adjusted Odds Ratio (OR) for being in PASS for all patients and for**
2 **patients in disease remission**












All Patients (n=548)		
	OR	95%CI
Age (>50 vs ≤50 years)	1.67	1.04-2.67
Pain (0-10 , per reduction of 1 point)	1.45	1.27-1.64
RAID emotional Well-being (per reduction of 1 point)	1.28	1.13-1.45
DAS28-3vESR (per reduction of 1 point)	1.28	1.08-1.52
Patients in disease Remission (n=168)		
	OR	95%CI
Age (>50 vs ≤50 years)	3.30	1.03-10.87
GDP per capita of country (Lower vs Higher)	5.08	1.34-19.23
Pain (0-10 , per reduction of 1 point)	2.50	1.79-3.84

3 GDP: Gross Domestic Product; OR: Odds Ratio; CI: confidence interval

4

5

1 **Supplementary table 1: Clinical Characterization per country**

	Country (n)	GDPpercapita, 1000 international dollars**	DAS28- 3vESR*	Remission (%)	PASS Total (%)	PASS in Remission (%)
	Turkey (34)	15.64	4.1±1.6	23.5	64.7	75.0
	Romenia (51)	16.40	5.0±1.2	3.9	47.1	100.0
	Estonia (51)	20.97	3.8±1.4	19.6	52.9	70.0
	Greece (39)	30.35	4.3±1.2	7.7	43.6	100.0
	Spain (47)	32.00	3.5 ±1.4	34.0	72.3	100.0
	Portugal (96)	32.01	2.3±1.1	65.6	80.0	85.7
	France (42)	36.26	3.7±1.3	21.4	59.5	66.7
	Finland (48)	37.35	2.7±0.9	54.2	70.8	76.9
	Germany (50)	38.74	4.2±1.4	12.0	58.0	83.3
	TheNetherlands (45)	44.51	2.6±1.2	51.1	91.1	95.7
	Norway (45)	61.28	4.3±1.2	6.7	60.0	100.0

2 *Values are the mean ± SD. PASS: Patient Acceptable Symptom State; GDP: Gross Domestic Product;
3 DAS28-3vESR: Disease Activity Score 3 variables, Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, Countries are ordered
4 by increasing GDP (international dollars). **International dollars are purchasing power parity adjusted
5 dollars, 2009 (all, except for Portugal) and 2018 (Portugal)

6