

How Are Enthesitis, Dactylitis and Nail Involvement Measured and Reported in Recent Clinical Trials of Psoriatic Arthritis? A Systematic Literature Review

Sofia Ramiro, Josef S Smolen, Robert Landewé, Désirée van Der Heijde, Laure

Gossec

► To cite this version:

Sofia Ramiro, Josef S Smolen, Robert Landewé, Désirée van Der Heijde, Laure Gossec. How Are Enthesitis, Dactylitis and Nail Involvement Measured and Reported in Recent Clinical Trials of Psoriatic Arthritis? A Systematic Literature Review. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2018, 77 (5), pp.782–783. 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211447. hal-03879097

HAL Id: hal-03879097 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03879097v1

Submitted on 30 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



How are enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement measured and reported in recent clinical trials of psoriatic arthritis? A systematic literature review

Ramiro, S.; Smolen, J.S.; Landewe, R.; Heijde, D. van der; Gossec, L.

Citation

Ramiro, S., Smolen, J. S., Landewe, R., Heijde, D. van der, & Gossec, L. (2018). How are enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement measured and reported in recent clinical trials of psoriatic arthritis? A systematic literature review. *Annals Of The Rheumatic Diseases*, 77(5), 782-+. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211447

Version:Not Applicable (or Unknown)License:Leiden University Non-exclusive licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/76177

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

How are enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement measured and reported in recent clinical trials of Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA)? A systematic literature review

Sofia Ramiro, Josef S. Smolen, Robert Landewé, Désirée van der Heijde, Laure Gossec

Sofia Ramiro, MD, PhD, Department of Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands. sofiaramiro@gmail.com

Josef S. Smolen, MD, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine 3, Medical University of Vienna, and 2nd Department of Medicine, Hietzing Hospital, Vienna, Austria. josef.smolen@wienkav.at

Robert Landewé, MD, PhD, Department of Clinical Immunology & Rheumatology, Amsterdam Rheumatology Center, Amsterdam and Zuyderland Hospital, Heerlen, The Netherlands. <u>landewe@rlandewe.nl</u>

Désirée van der Heijde, MD, PhD, Department of Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands. <u>mail@dvanderheijde.nl</u>

Laure Gossec, MD, PhD, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, GRC-UPMC 08 (EEMOIS); AP-HP, Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Department of rheumatology, Paris, France laure.gossec@aphp.fr

Corresponding author:

Sofia Ramiro, MD, PhD

Department of Rheumatology

Leiden University Medical Center

PO Box 9600, Leiden

The Netherlands

E-mail: sofiaramiro@gmail.com

Telephone: +31 71526 5653

Whilst enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement are recognized as important outcomes of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) in the core set of domains in PsA,[1,2] it is still unclear how these outcomes should best be measured.[1,2] We systematically reviewed the instruments and the cutoffs used to report state or improvement, for enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement in recent randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in PsA.

A systematic literature review of RCTs on any pharmacological intervention in patients with PsA was conducted to inform the EULAR recommendations for the management of PsA, by searching Medline, Embase and Cochrane datasets for the period 2010-2015.[3,4] Only published papers and only results of the placebo-controlled phases were analysed. The presence and type of all outcome measures reflecting enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement were collected. Cutoffs used for each measure (either as state or change, absolute or relative) were also collected. The proportion of trials in which each of the cutoffs for each measure was reported was calculated.

Of 2,278 articles screened, 14 trials met the inclusion criteria: 4 (29%) reported on nonbiologic drugs (included targeted synthetic DMARDs, 1 trial), 5 (36%) on tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, 4 (29%) on other biologic modes of action and there was one strategy trial. The trials included a total of 4,744 patients. Four of the trials (29%) did not report any outcome on any of the 3 domains of interest (Table 1). Enthesitis and dactylitis outcomes were reported in the remaining 10 trials, while nail involvement was only reported in 3 trials (21%). These three outcomes have been measured in several different ways, none of which having been used in more than 3 trials (21%), and the majority of them was actually employed in only 1 (7%) or 2 (14%) trials. Different instruments have been used, different cutoffs and different statistics reported (e.g. mean and median improvement or resolution of the outcome, e.g. enthesitis score of zero) (Table 1). It was often the case that the same outcome measure was used (e.g. the Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score (MASES)), but then reported in such different ways (e.g. percentage of change, percentage >1, percentage of improvement in one tendon/ligament, etc), that the potential uniformity in the measures used got diluted (Table 1). There was also heterogeneity in the timing of report of the outcome measures across trials.

In summary, there is substantial lack of uniformity in the measurement of enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement in recent clinical trials of PsA. A similar lack of uniformity had previous been described for patient reported outcomes in PsA,[5,6] and in what concerns enthesitis, dactylitis and nail involvement measurement is the heterogeneity even larger. This relates to both the instruments used and the evaluation and interpretation of the results. An important aspect that requires attention are the ways in which the data are reported, namely the cutoffs chosen or the different statistics reported, which make the heterogeneity larger, even when one single outcome measure (see the example of MASES) is being used. Assessment of dactylitis and enthesitis needs further development taking both their resolution and appearance into account. Another methodological aspect deserving attention is the fact that these outcomes are actually only investigated in patients with active involvement at baseline. which violatest he principle of intention to treat analysis. Consensus is necessary and more elegant solutions should be considered. An update of the PsA Core Set of domains has just been published, however, without any indication of the instruments and cutoffs to be used.[2] Harmonization of measures to be used in trials and possibly also clinical practice is desirable to allow for optimal assessment and better comparability of the efficacy of interventions.

Table 1 - Outcome measures	used in 14 recent trials in PsA

Manifestation	Outcome measure	Level of measurement	N (%)
Any	No manifestation reported		4 (29%)
enthesitis so Relative cha (%) in enthe score Proportion Enthesitis Patients w enthesitis Proportion	Absolute change in enthesitis score	Change (mean) in Leeds enthesitis index Change (mean) in PsA modified MASES Change (median) in MASES	2 (14%) 3 (21%) 1 (7%)
	Relative change (%) in enthesitis score	% change in MASES	2 (14%)
	Proportion of patients with enthesitis	MASES (0-13) ≥1	2 (14%)
	Proportion of patients with change	% of patients with improvement in ≥1 tendon/ligament	1 (7%)
	¥	MASES =0 (0-13)	1 (7%)
		Leeds enthesitis index =0 (0-6)	1 (7%)
	entriesitis	Enthesitis score =0 (0-4)*	1 (7%)
Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis Dactylitis	Absolute change in dactylitis score	Change (mean) in Dactylitis score (0-20)§	2 (14%)
		Change (median) in Dactylitis score (0-20)	1 (7%)
		Change (median) in Leeds dactylitis index (0-60)	2 (14%)
		Change (mean) in Leeds dactylitis index (0-60)	2 (14%)
	Relative change (%) in dactylitis score	% change in Leeds dactylitis index (0-60)	3 (21%)
	Proportion of patients with dactylitis	Leeds dactylitis index (0-60) ≥1	2 (14%)
	Resolution of dactylitis	Dactylitis score =0 (0-20)	3 (21%)
Nail score involvement (%) in score	Absolute change in	Change (mean) in modified Nail Psoriasis Severity Index	1 (7%)
	score of nail involvement	Change (median) in modified Nail Psoriasis Severity Index	1 (7%)
		Change (median) in Nail Psoriasis Severity Index	1 (7%)
	Relative change (%) in score of nail involvement	% change in Nail Psoriasis Severity Index	1 (7%)

PsA: psoriatic arthritis; MASES: Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesitis Score

* Enthesitis score: 4-point enthesitis index to measure the presence (score of 1) or absence (score of 0) of tenderness at the lateral epicondyle humerus (lef tand right) and proximal achiles (left and right)

§ Dactylitis score: score of 1 for the presence of dactylitis and 0 for the absence in each digit (n=20), for an overall score ranging from 0 to 20

Competing interests: None declared

References

- 1. Gladman DD, Mease PJ, Strand V, et al. Consensus on a core set of domains for psoriatic arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology 2007;**34**:1167-70
- 2. Orbai AM, de Wit M, Mease PJ, et al. Updating the Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) Core Domain Set: A Report from the PsA Workshop at OMERACT 2016. The Journal of rheumatology 2017
- 3. Ramiro S, Smolen JS, Landewe R, et al. Pharmacological treatment of psoriatic arthritis: a systematic literature review for the 2015 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases 2016;**75**:490-8
- 4. Gossec L, Smolen JS, Ramiro S, et al. European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies: 2015 update. Annals of the rheumatic diseases 2015; submitted
- 5. Palominos PE, Gaujoux-Viala C, Fautrel B, et al. Clinical outcomes in psoriatic arthritis: A systematic literature review. Arthritis care & research 2012;**64**:397-406
- Kalyoncu U, Ogdie A, Campbell W, et al. Systematic literature review of domains assessed in psoriatic arthritis to inform the update of the psoriatic arthritis core domain set. RMD Open 2016;2:e000217