



HAL
open science

MobiliSense cohort study protocol: do air pollution and noise exposure related to transport behaviour have short-term and longer-term health effects in Paris, France?

Basile Chaix, Sanjeev Bista, Limin Wang, Tarik Benmarhnia, Clélie Dureau,
Dustin T Duncan

► To cite this version:

Basile Chaix, Sanjeev Bista, Limin Wang, Tarik Benmarhnia, Clélie Dureau, et al.. MobiliSense cohort study protocol: do air pollution and noise exposure related to transport behaviour have short-term and longer-term health effects in Paris, France?. *BMJ Open*, 2022, 12 (3), pp.e048706. 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-048706 . hal-03882289

HAL Id: hal-03882289


<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03882289>

Submitted on 2 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

BMJ Open MobiliSense cohort study protocol: do air pollution and noise exposure related to transport behaviour have short-term and longer-term health effects in Paris, France?

Basile Chaix ¹, Sanjeev Bista,¹ Limin Wang,¹ Tarik Benmarhnia,² Clélie Dureau,¹ Dustin T Duncan³

To cite: Chaix B, Bista S, Wang L, *et al*. MobiliSense cohort study protocol: do air pollution and noise exposure related to transport behaviour have short-term and longer-term health effects in Paris, France? *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e048706. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-048706

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-048706>).

Received 05 January 2021
Accepted 24 February 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique IPLESP, Nemesys team, INSERM, Paris, France

²Department of Family Medicine and Public Health & Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

³Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, New York, New York, USA

Correspondence to

Professor Basile Chaix;
basile.chaix@iplesp.upmc.fr

ABSTRACT

Introduction MobiliSense explores effects of air pollution and noise related to personal transport habits on respiratory and cardiovascular health. Its objectives are to quantify the contribution of personal transport/mobility to air pollution and noise exposures of individuals; to compare exposures in different transport modes; and to investigate whether total and transport-related personal exposures are associated with short-term and longer-term changes in respiratory and cardiovascular health.

Methods and analysis MobiliSense uses sensors of location, behaviour, environmental nuisances and health in 290 census-sampled participants followed-up after 1/2 years with an identical sensor-based strategy. It addresses knowledge gaps by: (1) assessing transport behaviour over 6 days with GPS receivers and GPS-based mobility surveys; (2) considering personal exposures to both air pollution and noise and improving their characterisation (inhaled doses, noise frequency components, etc); (3) measuring respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes (smartphone-assessed respiratory symptoms, lung function with spirometry, resting blood pressure, ambulatory brachial/central blood pressure, arterial stiffness and heart rate variability) and (4) investigating short-term and longer-term (over 1–2 years) effects of transport.

Ethics and dissemination The sampling and data collection protocol was approved by the National Council for Statistical Information, the French Data Protection Authority and the Ethical Committee of Inserm. Our final aim is to determine, for communicating with policy-makers, how scenarios of changes in personal transport behaviour affect individual exposure and health.

INTRODUCTION

Societal background

There is accumulating evidence on the health impacts of environmental emissions (including air pollutants and noise) from motorised transport. It is estimated that in France 31 700 deaths per year in adults ≥ 30 years are attributable to outdoor particulate

Strengths and limitations of this study

- While assessing transport behaviour with GPS receivers and GPS-based mobility surveys, we develop a multiexposure perspective considering the personal dynamic exposure to both air pollution and noise using wearable sensors, because road traffic is a shared source of the two and thus provides a basis for major reciprocal confounding.
- In order to improve the characterisation of environmental exposures, sensor-based heart rate, respiratory rate and accelerometry data are considered for estimating inhaled doses of air pollutants, and we distinguish between noise frequency components (ie, low and high pitch sounds) through frequency spectrum analysis.
- MobiliSense assesses through smartphone surveys behaviours that could confound the relationships of interest, and it measures respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes (smartphone-assessed respiratory symptoms, lung function with spirometry, resting blood pressure, ambulatory brachial/central blood pressure, arterial stiffness and heart rate variability).
- MobiliSense aims to investigate both the short-term health effects of transport-related environmental exposures and longer-term effects, with a second sensor-based assessment after 1–2 years.
- Limitations of the study include the small sample of participants, the short monitoring period that may not represent participants' regular behaviour, a potential Hawthorne effect, the partial assessment of cardiovascular and respiratory functions, and the follow-up between 1 and 2 years that may be too short to capture changes in these functions.

matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 μm or less (PM_{10}), of which 17 600 would be related to traffic related PM_{10} .¹ As a separate calculation (not to be added to the previous one), it was also shown that in 2015 in France ambient particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 μm or less ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$)

resulted in 20 000 deaths, of which 6400 were of transport origin (corresponding to a transport-attributable fraction of 32%).² Of the latter transport attributable deaths, 66% were estimated to be related to on-road diesel vehicles and 5% to on-road non-diesel vehicles.

Regarding noise, an evaluation published in 2013 showed that around 66 000 healthy life years were lost every year in the Paris Metropolitan area due to noise exposure, and that traffic noise represented about 87% of the disability-adjusted life-years loss.³ Another study reported that inhabitants of Greater Paris Metropolis suffer an 8-month loss of life in good health over lifetime because of their transport-related noise exposure and that such a loss would reach 18 months in some municipalities.⁴

Scientific background

Literature assessment

The MobilSense project was developed on the basis of a literature review that is reported in online supplemental appendix 1. We examined studies investigating associations of air pollution and noise exposure with respiratory symptoms, lung function, heart rate variability and blood pressure.

One of our conclusions is that numerous studies have focused on the health impacts of environmental exposures resulting from transport infrastructures and flows (eg, road traffic, air traffic). However, much less studies have investigated the health effects of exposures incurred during personal trips with different transport modes, as it is methodologically challenging in terms of exposure assessment. Thus the present study focuses on personal mobility/transport behaviour, as Paris residents spend a substantial time in their daily travels.⁵ Some studies dealing with this issue are scripted exposure studies where the same participants are asked to perform ‘exposed’ and ‘unexposed’ trips along predefined itineraries.^{6 7} Although strong from a causal perspective, these experimental studies collect data for a very limited number of trips and with a limited number of modes, thus have a very poor generalisability. MobilSense addresses this gap in order to elaborate a comprehensive picture taking into account benefits (eg, physical activity) but also hazards (air pollution, noise, stress) associated with the personal use of transport modes in free-living individuals across a large diversity of trips.

Second, most previous cardiovascular studies have focused either on air pollution or noise exposure, but few have developed a multi-exposure perspective considering both air pollutants and noise, and very few based on personal monitoring. For example, two studies used personal monitors to perform a simultaneous assessment of noise and particle number concentration,⁸ and of noise, PM_{2.5}, CO and black carbon.⁹ However, heart rate variability, but not blood pressure, was considered in these studies. Simultaneous monitoring of air pollutants and noise is critical (1) because road traffic is a shared source of the two and thus provides a basis for major reciprocal

confounding and (2) in order to investigate amplification effects (interaction between these exposures).

Third, our literature review assessed both short-term effects and longer-term effects of air pollutants and noise. Studies have either focused on the former or on the latter, but not on both due to incompatibilities of design. We aimed to address this gap by deriving estimates of short-term effects and medium-term effects (over a period of 1/2years) from our population sample.

The development of Public health policies using levers for action related to transport systems and the simultaneous development of transport policies that are aware of health issues need accurate data on the physical activity performed and environmental exposures incurred during trips in the multiple transport microenvironments across a variety of modes. Policy-makers also need a better knowledge of hotspots of exposure in the urban and transport system. MobilSense aims to provide objective sensor-based data on transport behaviours, environmental exposures and health status to support analyses of the health impacts of policies and interventions related to urban and transport systems.

Assessment of overall and transport-related environmental exposures

While a major challenge is to assign an individual exposure to participants while minimising measurement error, methods for assessing exposures typically differ between short-term and longer-term exposure studies. Regarding air pollution, studies have often assigned to participants outdoor concentrations of pollutants measured at the closest monitoring station, have averaged or interpolated measures at different stations, or have relied on residential estimates of outdoor concentrations from air dispersion models or land use regression models.^{10–12} Noise studies have often relied on modelled noise maps from land use regression or simpler approaches.^{13 14} Assessing individual environmental exposures with these approaches has a limited validity.^{15 16} First, such exposure data either ignore or only imperfectly account for proximity sources of exposure or other determinants of exposure at the residence, or they ignore the true circumstances of exposure at the residence (eg, noise assessed on the most exposed façade of buildings). Second, these approaches neglect that people spend a different fraction of their time at their residence rather than in other places visited during their daily activities. Finally, this exposure assessment ignores that people spend a different amount of time inside rather than outside buildings. Combining precise locational information obtained from Global Positioning System (GPS) tracking with maps of outdoor pollutants, as studies have done but often without concomitant measurement of health outcomes,¹⁷ only partially addresses these limitations, especially because a fraction of the exposures during trips occurs indoor (eg, in underground settings, in cars or buses).

There is a large consensus that wearable monitors are key to improve the assessment of personal exposures.^{18–20}

Weak longitudinal correlations in concentrations of suspended particles have been reported for certain participants in studies following participants with wearable monitors and fixed monitoring stations.^{15 21 22} Thus, it is assumed that wearable monitors more closely reflect personal dynamic exposure. While personal mobile measurement may be a gold standard for air pollution exposure assessment, it must be emphasised that the accuracy of new sensors cannot be taken for granted, and that studies with personal measurement have often included small samples and are typically unable to collect data continuously over the time period needed to capture chronic effects.²³

Most studies based on personal monitors captured air pollution or noise exposure aggregated for entire periods (eg, over 24 hours in analyses of short-term effects) without discriminating between subperiods of space-time budgets. Accurately quantifying levels of exposure to air pollutants and noise in the multiple microenvironments, especially with the different transport modes, would represent a significant advance.^{6 18 24} Many people receive a significant fraction of their exposure to certain pollutants when commuting to work or during trips.^{7 19 25} Thus, personal monitoring is particularly useful if deployed with novel methodologies accurately capturing time use or space-time budgets.

Travel diaries filled by participants are a common strategy to collect data on transport modes and visited places.²⁶ However, such reporting is imprecise, while accurate timestamps are needed to match information on transport modes with exposure data from the wearable environmental sensors. Moreover, the quality of reporting in diaries has been shown to decline as soon as after the first day.²⁷ Another option is to automatically predict transport modes, for example, at the minute level, from algorithms applied to GPS data.²⁸ However, such algorithms may lack accuracy in the predicted transport modes (while we need to distinguish personal car from motorbike, bus, and train) to establish correspondence between minute-level information on transport modes and environmental exposures measured by personal sensors. As described below, we address these concerns through a so-called GPS-based mobility survey^{29 30} where algorithm-processed GPS data provide a basis for a phone survey of participants that permits to correct or complement information on trip schedules and modes of participants. This approach, although costly to implement, yields time-stamped information on the transport behaviour of participants at a reasonable level of accuracy for matching with environmental data from wearable sensors.^{31 32}

There are specific additional challenges. Regarding air pollution, first, it is a priority to perform a personal monitoring of black carbon: (1) because black carbon is an excellent marker of road traffic particulate pollution (tire wear particles, diesel vehicle exhaust),³³ a recent study demonstrating that transport episodes represented 6% of participants' time but 21% of their exposure to

black carbon and 30% of inhaled doses¹⁸ and (2) because there is evidence that black carbon is more strongly associated (eg, than $PM_{2.5}$) with some of the respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes.^{7 20 34–36} Second, only few studies of short-term effects of air pollutants have accounted for estimates of inhaled doses.^{18 24} Regarding noise exposure, studies of cardiovascular outcomes in real-life settings have assessed the overall sound pressure but have not distinguished between noise frequency components³⁷ (eg, low and high pitch sounds) through frequency spectrum analysis. This is a limitation because the different organs are susceptible to different acoustic frequencies.

Objectives

The MobiliSense study aims to conduct a comprehensive investigation of the relationships between transport-related exposures and selected health outcomes. It addresses gaps in knowledge: (1) by focusing on both short-term and longer-term effects of personal transport behaviour on health, based on a repeated assessment of transport behaviour and health 1/2years apart; (2) by considering two distinct environmental exposures (air pollution and noise) related to the transport activity that were often investigated separately and (3) by deriving reliable measures of exposures, confounders, and respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes using passive and active sensors and innovative electronic survey methods.

Regarding specific objectives, first, we aim to assess the contribution of personal transport behaviour to the overall air pollution ($PM_{2.5}$, black carbon, nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and ozone (O_3)) and noise exposure of individuals; and our goal is to compare the air pollution and noise exposure across transport modes (walking, biking, two-wheel or four-wheel personal motorised vehicle, public transport modes), to better understand source-specific impacts and critical exposure periods. Our detailed assessment protocol permits to quantify exposures by types of public transport mode; by names of public transport line; and by brands and other characteristics of private motorised vehicles.

Second, we aim to investigate whether (1) profiles of transport behaviour, (2) total personal exposure to selected air pollutants and noise, and (3) transport-related personal exposure to air pollutants and noise are associated with short-term respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes and with longer-term (1/2years) changes in respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes.

As secondary objectives, in estimating these associations, we aim to compare (1) exposures measured by personal sensors with those estimated by combining participants' GPS tracks (corrected and complemented through the electronic mobility survey) and model-based maps of exposures; (2) concentrations of air pollutants with inhaled doses of pollutants; (3) overall sound pressure exposure with noise frequency components; (4) noise effects in time segments where participants are annoyed by noise versus not and (5) effects in individuals who describe themselves as sensitive to noise versus not.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Sampling and recruitment

Participants were recruited through a two-stage stratified sampling design. The neighbourhood sampling phase involved the random selection of local neighbourhoods in the Metropolitan area of Paris (so called Grand Paris), stratified by quartiles of area-level household income and quartiles of road traffic density (traffic model of the Ministry of Infrastructures). Within each area income stratum, we randomly selected 30 neighbourhoods in each of the two extreme quartiles of traffic density (60 neighbourhoods in each area income quartile, ie, 240 neighbourhoods overall).

At the second stage, based on the allowance of the National Council for Statistical Information (CNIS), the Population census was used by the National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies to sample dwelling units in each of the selected neighbourhoods. Overall, 33 501 dwellings were selected in the 240 neighbourhoods. We accessed to the demographic and socioeconomic data on the occupants of these dwellings in the 2013–2014 censuses. Each dwelling was contacted twice by postal mail. Our eligible participants were people aged 30–64 years on January 1 2016, who either were residing in the dwelling in 2013–2014 or arrived later.

The sampling and data collection protocol was approved by the National Council for Statistical Information, the French Data Protection Authority, and the Ethical Committee of Inserm. Access to MobiliSense data is possible through scientific collaborations. The first wave of the study was conducted between May 2018 and March 2020. The second wave started in March 2020 but was delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemics and will last until March 2022.

Participants are recruited at home after signing an informed consent letter, and are managed from a computer application. At their home, we collected data on body weight, body height, waist circumference and arm circumference, and on fat mass through bioelectrical impedance analysis. The overview of the data collection is reported in [figure 1](#).

Patient and public involvement

Participants or residents were not involved in the development of hypotheses or construction of the protocol. Participants receive personalised reports on their environmental exposures and health from their own sensor data after the follow-up, and will be able to access to the global findings of the study on the MobiliSense website.

Standard questionnaires

Before the sensor-based assessment, research assistants guide participants through a standard computerised questionnaire on the following dimensions: health status; health-related behaviour (physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, sleep); country of citizenship and country of birth of the participant and her/his parents; socioeconomic status; occupational history over 2 years;

	Before	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	After
Questionnaires								
Standard questionnaire	X							
VERITAS questionnaire	X							
Post-questionnaire								X
GPS-based mobility survey								X
Sensors								
BT-Q1000XT (GPS receiver)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
wGT3X+ (accelerometer)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
AE51 (black carbon)								
PAQM 520 (multi-pollutants)		X	X				X	X
SV 104A (noise)				X	X	X	X	
AG24 (ambulatory blood pressure)		X		X				
Biopatch (heart & respiratory rates)			X		X	X	X	
Withings (blood pressure at rest)			X		X	X	X	
SpiroTel 2 (spirometry)		X	X	X				
Smartphone surveys								
Respiratory questionnaires		X	X	X				
Post-blood pressure at rest					X	X	X	
Alcohol consumption		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Tea consumption		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Coffee consumption		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Food consumption		X		X				
Passive smoking		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Antihypertensive medications		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Respiratory medications		X	X	X	X	X	X	

Figure 1 Overview of the MobiliSense data collection. GPS, Global Positioning System.

perception of the residential environment; resources for transport (driving licence, motorised and non-motorised vehicle ownership, access to parking, public transport pass, etc) and detailed perceptions and attitudes related to transport; perceptions related to air pollution and noise; and characteristics of and exposures related to the dwelling (cooking and heating equipment, air conditioned, humidity, furniture, animals and plants, double/triple-glazed windows in the dwelling).

At the end of the sensor-based assessment, participants are asked to answer a postquestionnaire during a phone call which asks about their sleep, alcohol consumption, sport participation, perceived consequences of air pollution and noise exposure, and mental health over the specific days where the sensors were worn.

Sensor and smartphone-based strategy

As depicted in [figure 1](#), participants are followed with sensors over 6 days (thus encompassing week and weekend days). Over these days, they alternate between different configurations of sensors. The sensors used in this study are represented in [figure 2](#). On all days, participants carry a GPS receiver and an accelerometer. Participants carry every day two of the three following monitors: a monitor of black carbon concentration, a wearable monitor for gases and particles, and a monitor for sound pressure. Participants report annoyance by noise and air pollution in trips and stress in trips in a paper travel diary. Participants undergo ambulatory blood pressure monitoring for two sessions of 24 hours; they measure their blood pressure at rest in the morning and evening over 4 days; and their heart rate is measured continuously over 4 days. Finally, participants perform a spirometry test in the morning and evening over 3 days; and they are surveyed on their respiratory symptoms with a smartphone over



Figure 2 Sensors and devices used in the MobiliSense data collection.

the same days. The smartphone survey application also permits to report other relevant health behaviours.

Participants wear all devices from wakeup to bedtime. They are instructed to not deviate from their usual routine during the data collection. They receive a strong support during the follow-up and detailed personalised reports on their health status and exposures afterwards. Devices are brought back by a courier service. Quality control and cleaning procedures related to each particular sensor will be published in our forthcoming articles using the corresponding data.

Ambulatory and resting blood pressure

On days 1 and 3, participants wear an Arteriograph 24 ambulatory blood pressure monitor (TensioMed, Budapest, Hungary) from wakeup to bedtime. The device measures, as few studies have done, in addition to brachial (peripheral) systolic and diastolic blood pressure and pulse pressure, central systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure, and aortic pulse wave velocity and the so-called augmentation index as markers of arterial stiffness. The device takes a measure every 30 min during the day.

On days 2, 4, 5 and 6, participants are asked to measure their blood pressure at rest 3 successive times in the morning and in the evening, while sitting and relaxing, with their non-dominant arm resting on a table (according to the self-measurement protocol of the European Society of Hypertension), before taking potential medications. Self-measurement of blood pressure for several days is thought to be as reliable as measurement at the physician's office.³⁸ Participants use a certified blood pressure monitor (Nokia Health / Withings, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France) that permits a real-time transmission

of measurements to a distant server through a connection to the smartphone provided to participants. Participants answer each morning and evening on the smartphone to a very short survey on the circumstances of measurement of blood pressure (medications taken, time spent at rest, social interactions, ambient noise).

Heart rate variability

On days 2, 4, 5 and 6, a monitoring of heart rate is performed with the BioPatch (Medtronic Zephyr, Boulder, Colorado, USA), an electrocardiographic device with two electrodes which was validated against a 12-lead device.³⁹ The BioPatch is worn on the left below the pectoral muscle. RR intervals are determined from an ECG sampled at 250 Hz. The RHRV R package⁴⁰ will be used to determine heart rate variability parameters related to the time domain and to the frequency domain (the latter decomposes periodical oscillations of heart rate at different frequencies) for 5 min, 1 hour and 24 hours intervals.

Spirometry

On days 1, 2 and 3, a spirometry test is performed by participants before taking potential medications each morning and each evening using Spirotel 2 (MIR, Langlade, France), a device that meets the ATS and ISO standards. Spirotel 2 measures the peak expiratory flow, the forced expiratory volume in 1 s, the forced vital capacity, the forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of vital capacity, and the forced expiratory volume in 6 s. Spirotel 2 automatically sends the information to a distant server through a connection to the smartphone provided to participants.

Our research assistants received an extensive training. At the participants' homes, they perform a demonstration and then ask participants to perform measurements, while explaining them carefully how to do and encouraging them to provide maximal efforts when expiring. After participants perform up to eight measurements, they are invited to examine the resulting spirometry curves, and are explained which curves are acceptable and which are not. Spirometer curves are remotely checked every day, and in case they are of insufficient quality, participants are called on their phone to fix the problem.

Smartphone survey

On days where participants perform spirometry tests, they are surveyed on their respiratory symptoms: asthma attack, loose or hacking cough, shortness of breath, wheezing, phlegm, runny nose and stuffed nose (absent, mild, or severe). Participants report symptoms in the morning and evening as well as two additional times during the day if they have asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (after receiving an alert at random times on the smartphone provided for the study). Participants also report alcohol, coffee, tea and medication consumption with the smartphone. These surveys are implemented with our Eco-emo tracker web and smartphone platform that

we develop for collaborative purpose. It permits a real-time follow-up of each participant's response rate from the web platform, and thereby to intervene to encourage participation if needed.

Location and physical activity

Participants carry a BT-Q1000XT GPS receiver (Qstarz, Taipei, Taiwan) collecting location information every 5s and a wGT3X+tri-axial accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA) on an inelastic belt over the 6 days.

Air pollution and noise

Participants also wear the AE51 Aethalometer (AethLabs, San Francisco, California, USA) on days 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the follow-up for measuring concentrations of black carbon, whose significance for health has been emphasised in the review reported in online supplemental appendix 1. This device has been successfully used in previous studies.^{6 18 41} Devices are calibrated before each participant's recruitment. Measurements are taken every 10s. Participants recruited in winter have to change the filter on the second day at 20:00 hour.

On days 1, 2, 5 and 6, participants carry the Personal Air Quality Monitor, PAQM 520 (Atmospheric Sensors, Bedfordshire, United Kingdom), which measures concentrations of gases (O₃, NO₂, nitrogen monoxide and carbon monoxide (CO)) and particles. We performed a calibration of each of the PAQM monitors against reference instruments for gases and particles and use the equations derived from this calibration to correct the values measured with the sensors. Measurement of gases is averaged over 10s epochs. Electrochemical sensors measure gases quite well with static temperature and humidity, but are influenced by rapid changes in temperature and relative humidity from one microenvironment to the other. Measurement of temperature and relative humidity by PAQM 520 is useful to address these artefacts in the measurement of gases. Measurement of particles is conducted over 5s every minute across 16 segments of particle size between 0.38 and 17µm, which is important to distinguish between particles from different sources. The device also includes a GPS receiver, an accelerometer, a low-cost noise sensor and a mobile phone Subscriber Identity Module (SIM card for the automatic transmission of data to a distant server (even if data are stored as well on an internal memory card).

Several approaches are used to estimate the inhaled doses of pollutants. In these approaches, the ventilation rate in litre/minute for each minute of the follow-up is multiplied by the corresponding exposure concentrations. This 1 min ventilation rate is calculated for each subject: (1) using a stochastic equation according to age, sex, and the corresponding 1 min metabolic equivalent estimated from the accelerometer^{17 42} or (2) with exponential equations for men and women based on heart rate or (3) using comparable equations based on breathing rate.⁴³

On days 3, 4, 5 and 6, the SV 104A dosimeter (Svantek, Warszawa, Poland) fixed at the belt, with a microphone attached to the participant's collar close to the ear, is used for a personal monitoring of noise. This dosimeter integrates a one-third octave band filter, permitting to divide noise into its frequency components (frequency spectrum analysis). It allows us to assess in an innovative way the effects of noise frequency components,³⁷ and of noise containing discrete frequencies or marked tones (higher level in a one-third octave band than in the adjacent frequency bands, more likely to be perceived as a nuisance).

Participants are instructed to place the air pollution and noise monitors as close as possible from them when they do not wear them (eg, when sleeping or bathing).

Spatial mobility and transport behaviour

During the recruitment, participants are surveyed with the VERITAS web mapping application, to geocode the regular places where they perform a list of predefined activities.⁴⁴ Survey technicians ask participants how often they went to each of these regular places (per week, month or year) over the previous year and collect information on the most regular transport modes used to travel to these destinations.

Based on a methodology that we have developed in our previous work,^{31 32 45} after the follow-up, GPS data are uploaded in the TripBuilder application where they are automatically analysed with algorithms.⁴⁶ On the basis of GPS data and external data sources (survey questions on transport habits, VERITAS data on regular visited places, geographic data on points of interest and public transport stations), these algorithms identify the places visited by participants and the trips (with their unimodal components) between these places. They also automatically impute information on the nature of visited places and on the transport modes in each trip stage.⁴⁶ The preprocessed GPS tracks and imputed information are shown in the web mapping interface of the TripBuilder application. We use this application to survey participants by phone on their visited places and transport modes in each trip stage over 6 days (we validate, correct or complement the imputed information). The application is also used to edit the GPS tracks, by eliminating artefacts and by graphically reporting missing trips or portions of trips. Compared with our previous projects,³¹ it includes a novel tool to retrieve the correct itinerary of public transport trips in the General Transit Feed Specification data, which is useful for underground trips; for each trip stage with a personal motorised vehicle, we collect the id of the vehicle (that can be matched to relevant characteristics of vehicles of the household, including the brand, the model year, and various motorisation and emission characteristics, surveyed in the main computerised questionnaire from the id card of the vehicle); finally, this mobility survey is used to assess the level of stress experienced in each of the trips. The final output over 6 days comprises the cleaned GPS tracks; the location of, arrival time to,

and departure time from each visited place; and the location and time of each point of change of mode during trips. This information permits to ascribe data collected with behavioural, environmental and health sensors to each trip stage or visited place of the mobility survey, for example, to calculate transport-related environmental exposures.

The 6-day transport behaviour and VERITAS assessment of regularly visited places and associated transport modes will permit to distinguish casual transport behaviour from *regular* transport behaviour, to investigate short-term and longer-term effects of transport on health.

Estimation of exposure from modelled maps

Apart from personal environmental monitoring, we will approximate air pollution and noise exposures with model-based maps of air pollutants (hourly maps) and noise (annual means) at the residence and along the corrected and cleaned GPS tracks over 6 days (the different exposure assessment methods will be compared). We will extract the air pollutant or noise exposure value from the model-based map at each GPS point. Adjustments will be made for indoor locations (by applying an average coefficient related to the impermeability of buildings to air or to acoustic insulation) and for underground transport stages (by applying average values from previous measurement campaigns).

Longer-term exposures to air pollutants and noise will be determined by considering places regularly visited over the past 12 months and usual transport modes to these places from the VERITAS survey, linked to data from exposure maps and knowledge on exposure in indoor and transport microenvironments from subject monitoring.

Follow-up

Participants are invited to perform the same data collection (locational, behavioural, environmental and health sensors and full questionnaire assessment) between 1 year and 2 years after the first wave (allowing for differential changes between exposure groups to occur).

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses

Taking into account the exact time segments devoted to trips over 6 days, we will compare the exposure to air pollutants and noise between the different transport modes at the trip stage level (walking, cycling, wo-wheel motor vehicle, car and the different public transport modes). We will determine the percentage of exposure to air pollutants and noise over 6 days that is attributable to the transport activity and to each transport mode.

Analyses of short-term effects

Analytical designs will be developed for each outcome. For example, ambulatory blood pressure measurements as repeated outcomes will be modelled according to air pollutant and noise levels in the preceding 1 or 2 hours. Differently, changes in resting blood pressure and lung

function between the morning and evening measurements will be modelled against air pollution and noise exposure in the 1 or 2 hours preceding the evening measurement or against exposures accumulated over the day.

For each outcome variable, models taking into account repeated outcome measures will be used. In addition to sampling design and non-response weights, regression models will incorporate a random effect at the individual level and a temporal autocorrelation structure,^{47 48} and will account for spatial autocorrelation if present in the data.^{49 50} We will specify random slopes to determine whether an exposure—outcome association is only documented in few susceptible individuals or among most participants.³⁶ Multiexposure models (different air pollutants, noise) will be estimated, using quantile-based g-computation and Bayesian kernel machine regression. Time length of exposure windows and time lags in the effects (with distributed lag models) will be investigated in sensitivity analyses.

The anticipated list of confounders that will be accounted for is reported in online supplemental appendix 2. Whenever appropriate, we will account for the different periods of the COVID-19 crisis (partial lockdown, restrictions of movement, etc) through adjustment (no recruitment of participants was conducted during the full lockdown). Quadratic or cubic terms, piecewise regression analyses, or smoothing terms will be used to take into account humidity or temperature in the models for air pollution effects, and to test the hypothesis of nonlinear associations between air pollutants or noise and health. Interactions between the effects of air pollutants and noise will be tested for cardiovascular outcomes.⁹

Analyses of longer-term effects

A two-stage model will be used to investigate determinants of changes in health status between wave 1 and wave 2. Stage 1 will model the short-term association between the exposure and the repeated outcome. Pooling the data of the two waves (baseline and after 1/2 years), we will add to the model: a dummy variable for the second wave (as opposed to the first); an interaction between this dummy and the short-term exposure effect; and an individual-level random slope for the latter effect. This model will indicate how the health sensitivity to short-term exposures changed between waves 1 and 2 and how this change varies among participants. From this model, a prediction of the outcome will be derived for each of the two measurement waves for each participant, considering an average short-term exposure level. The second stage of the model will estimate the association between longer-term exposures and change in the predicted level of the outcome between waves 1 and 2. The two stages of the model will be estimated jointly through a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach. Biases in the analyses of changes over time related to attrition (participants failing to be involved in the second wave of data collection) will be addressed through inverse probability weighting.



Summary of study strengths and limitations

A key strength of the MobiliSense project is that it relies on objective and dynamic measurement of exposures, confounders and health outcomes. The protocol uses passive and active wearable monitors of location, behaviour, environmental conditions and health. Strengths of the study include the simultaneous monitoring of air pollution and noise with personal devices; the evaluation of inhaled concentrations of air pollutants and noise frequency components; the combination of personal environmental monitoring with an accurate assessment of transport behaviour using methods from Transport sciences; and the assessment of short-term effect and longer-term effects of environmental exposures. From an analytical viewpoint, the project develops a momentary perspective, that is, analyses repeated health measurements for each individual in function of immediately preceding and local environmental exposures and circumstances preceding measurement. The ambition of this work, complementing our previous work focusing on physical activity in trips,^{5 31 45} is to build a comprehensive picture of the health benefits and hazards associated with each transport mode, and to derive accurate data to model the health impacts of urban and transport policies.

The main limitations of the study pertain to the small sample of individuals to capture the transport behaviour of a background population of several millions, to the short monitoring period (6 days) that may not represent participants' regular behaviour, to a potential Hawthorne effect through which our burdensome observation protocol could have modified people's transport behaviour, to the complex structure of data resulting from the fact that participants could not carry all sensors on the same days, to the partial assessment of cardiovascular and respiratory functions, and to the follow-up between 1 and 2 years that may be too short and sample size that may be too small to capture environmentally induced changes in these functions.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The sampling and data collection protocol was approved by the National Council for Statistical Information. Based on this allowance, the French National Institute for Statistics and Economic Studies drew a sample of potential participants from the population census. The MobiliSense project also received the appropriate allowances from the French Data Protection Authority and from the Ethical Committee of Inserm.

Participants are recruited at home after signing an informed consent letter. During the sensor-based study, they receive the support they need from the research assistants. They are welcome to call on a hotline at any time, should they need any technical help during the data collection. After the data collection, participants receive a personalised report describing their exposures to noise and air pollutants in the different places where they went and in the different transport modes that they used. They

also receive reports pertaining to their blood pressure and spirometry measurements.

Regarding dissemination, in addition to standard scientific publications, our final aim is to determine, for our communication with policy-makers, how scenarios of changes in personal transport behaviour would affect individual exposure and health, and how urban and transport policies shifting the shares of trips with the different transport modes would influence population health.

Contributors BC conceived the MobiliSense protocol, obtained funding, and is the principal investigator of the project. CD supervised the data collection team and was involved in the data collection. CD, SB, ALW, TB and DD are involved in the project and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement 647000, 2014 ERC Consolidator grant, MobiliSense project).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Basile Chaix <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1631-8630>

REFERENCES

- Künzli N, Kaiser R, Medina S, *et al*. Public-Health impact of outdoor and traffic-related air pollution: a European assessment. *Lancet* 2000;356:795–801.
- Anenberg S, Miller J, Henze D. A global snapshot of the air pollution-related health impacts of transportation sector emissions in 2010 and 2015. *The International Council on Clean Transportation, Climate and Clean Air Coalition* 2019.
- Mietlicki F, Host S, Kim R. *Health impact of noise in the Paris agglomeration: assessment of healthy life years lost*. Innsbruck, Austria: Inter-Noise, 2013.
- Ribeiro C, Mietlicki F, Jamard P. *Health impact of noise in greater Paris Metropolis: assessment of healthy life years lost*. Madrid, Spain: Inter-Noise and Noise-Con, 2019.
- Brondeel R, Kestens Y, Chaix B. An evaluation of transport mode shift policies on transport-related physical activity through simulations based on random forests. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2017;14:143.
- Jarjour S, Jerrett M, Westerdahl D, *et al*. Cyclist route choice, traffic-related air pollution, and lung function: a scripted exposure study. *Environ Health* 2013;12:14.
- McCreanor J, Cullinan P, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, *et al*. Respiratory effects of exposure to diesel traffic in persons with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2348–58.
- Kraus U, Schneider A, Breitner S, *et al*. Individual daytime noise exposure during routine activities and heart rate variability in adults: a repeated measures study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2013;121:607–12.

- 9 Huang J, Deng F, Wu S, et al. The impacts of short-term exposure to noise and traffic-related air pollution on heart rate variability in young healthy adults. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2013;23:559–64.
- 10 Jacquemin B, Lepeule J, Boudier A, et al. Impact of geocoding methods on associations between long-term exposure to urban air pollution and lung function. *Environ Health Perspect* 2013;121:1054–60.
- 11 Forbes LJL, Kapetanakis V, Rudnicka AR, et al. Chronic exposure to outdoor air pollution and lung function in adults. *Thorax* 2009;64:657–63.
- 12 Zhang JJY, Sun L, Rainham D, et al. Predicting intraurban airborne PM₁₀-trace elements in a port city: Land use regression by ordinary least squares and a machine learning algorithm. *Sci Total Environ* 2022;806:150149.
- 13 Barregard L, Bonde E, Ohrström E. Risk of hypertension from exposure to road traffic noise in a population-based sample. *Occup Environ Med* 2009;66:410–5.
- 14 Staab J, Schady A, Weigand M, et al. Predicting traffic noise using land-use regression—a scalable approach. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41370-021-00355-z. [Epub ahead of print: 02 Jul 2021].
- 15 Ebelst ST, Petkau AJ, Vedal S, et al. Exposure of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients to particulate matter: relationships between personal and ambient air concentrations. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2000;50:1081–94.
- 16 Cohen MA, Adar SD, Allen RW, et al. Approach to estimating participant pollutant exposures in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution (MESA air). *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;43:4687–93.
- 17 de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Antó JM, et al. Improving health through policies that promote active travel: a review of evidence to support integrated health impact assessment. *Environ Int* 2011;37:766–77.
- 18 Dons E, Int Panis L, Van Poppel M, et al. Personal exposure to black carbon in transport microenvironments. *Atmos Environ* 2012;55:392–8.
- 19 Ekpenyong CE, Ettebong EO, Akpan EE, et al. Urban City transportation mode and respiratory health effect of air pollution: a cross-sectional study among transit and non-transit workers in Nigeria. *BMJ Open* 2012;2. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001253. [Epub ahead of print: 11 10 2012].
- 20 Liu L, Ruddy T, Dalipaj M, et al. Effects of indoor, outdoor, and personal exposure to particulate air pollution on cardiovascular physiology and systemic mediators in seniors. *J Occup Environ Med* 2009;51:1088–98.
- 21 Air quality criteria for particulate matter. *Report No. EPA/600/P-95/001aF-cF.3v*. Research Triangle Park, NC: US Environmental Protection Agency, 1996.
- 22 Sarnat JA, Koutrakis P, Suh HH. Assessing the relationship between personal particulate and gaseous exposures of senior citizens living in Baltimore, MD. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2000;50:1184–98.
- 23 Larkin A, Hystad P. Towards personal exposures: how technology is changing air pollution and health research. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2017;4:463–71.
- 24 Huang J, Deng F, Wu S, et al. Comparisons of personal exposure to PM_{2.5} and CO by different commuting modes in Beijing, China. *Sci Total Environ* 2012;425:52–9.
- 25 Sabin LD, Behrentz E, Winer AM, et al. Characterizing the range of children's air pollutant exposure during school bus commutes. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2005;15:377–87.
- 26 Scully JY, Vernez Moudon A, Hurvitz PM, et al. GPS or travel diary: comparing spatial and temporal characteristics of visits to fast food restaurants and supermarkets. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0174859.
- 27 Arentze TA, Dijst M, Dugundij E. New activity diary format: design and limited empirical evidence. *Transp Res Rec* 1768;2001:79–88.
- 28 Ellis K, Godbole S, Marshall S, et al. Identifying active travel behaviors in challenging environments using GPs, Accelerometers, and machine learning algorithms. *Front Public Health* 2014;2:36.
- 29 Stophor PR, Collins A. *Conducting a GPs prompted recall survey over the Internet. 84th annual meeting of the transportation research board*. Washington, D.C, 2005.
- 30 Auld J, Williams C, Mohammadian A, et al. An automated GPS-based prompted recall survey with learning algorithms. *Transportation Letters* 2009;1:59–79.
- 31 Chaix B, Benmarhnia T, Kestens Y, et al. Combining sensor tracking with a GPS-based mobility survey to better measure physical activity in trips: public transport generates walking. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2019;16:84.
- 32 Chaix B. Mobile sensing in environmental health and neighborhood research. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2018;39:367–84.
- 33 Gotschi T, Oglesby L, Mathys P, et al. Comparison of black smoke and PM_{2.5} levels in indoor and outdoor environments of four European cities. *Environ Sci Technol* 2002;36:1191–7.
- 34 van der Zee SC, Hoek G, Boezen MH, et al. Acute effects of air pollution on respiratory health of 50-70 yr old adults. *Eur Respir J* 2000;15:700–9.
- 35 Mordukhovich I, Wilker E, Suh H, et al. Black carbon exposure, oxidative stress genes, and blood pressure in a repeated-measures study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2009;117:1767–72.
- 36 Schwartz J, Litonjua A, Suh H, et al. Traffic related pollution and heart rate variability in a panel of elderly subjects. *Thorax* 2005;60:455–61.
- 37 Mahendra Prashanth KV, Venugopalachar S. The possible influence of noise frequency components on the health of exposed industrial workers—a review. *Noise Health* 2011;13:16–25.
- 38 Parati G, Stergiou GS, Asmar R, et al. European Society of hypertension practice guidelines for home blood pressure monitoring. *J Hum Hypertens* 2010;24:779–85.
- 39 Nunan D, Donovan G, Jakovljevic DG, et al. Validity and reliability of short-term heart-rate variability from the polar S810. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009;41:243–50.
- 40 Rodriguez-Liñares L, Méndez AJ, Lado MJ, et al. An open source tool for heart rate variability spectral analysis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2011;103:39–50.
- 41 Weichenthal S, Kulka R, Dubeau A, et al. Traffic-Related air pollution and acute changes in heart rate variability and respiratory function in urban cyclists. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:1373–8.
- 42 de Nazelle A, Rodríguez DA, Crawford-Brown D. The built environment and health: impacts of pedestrian-friendly designs on air pollution exposure. *Sci Total Environ* 2009;407:2525–35.
- 43 Dons E, Laeremans M, Orjuela JP, et al. Wearable sensors for personal monitoring and estimation of inhaled traffic-related air pollution: evaluation of methods. *Environ Sci Technol* 2017;51:1859–67.
- 44 Chaix B, Kestens Y, Perchoux C, et al. An interactive mapping tool to assess individual mobility patterns in neighborhood studies. *Am J Prev Med* 2012;43:440–50.
- 45 Chaix B, Kestens Y, Duncan S, et al. Active transportation and public transportation use to achieve physical activity recommendations? A combined GPs, accelerometer, and mobility survey study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014;11:124.
- 46 Oliveira MGS, Vovsha P, Wolf J, et al. Global positioning System-Assisted Prompted recall household travel survey to support development of advanced travel model in Jerusalem, Israel. *Transp Res Rec* 2011;2246:16–23.
- 47 Yeatts K, Svendsen E, Creason J, et al. Coarse particulate matter (PM_{2.5}-10) affects heart rate variability, blood lipids, and circulating eosinophils in adults with asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007;115:709–14.
- 48 Shields KN, Cavallari JM, Hunt MJO, et al. Traffic-Related air pollution exposures and changes in heart rate variability in Mexico City: a panel study. *Environ Health* 2013;12:7.
- 49 Chaix B, Merlo J, Subramanian SV, et al. Comparison of a spatial perspective with the multilevel analytical approach in neighborhood studies: the case of mental and behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use in Malmo, Sweden, 2001. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:171–82.
- 50 Ward M, Gleditsch K. *Spatial regression models*. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage Publications, Inc, 2008.

Short- and longer-term health effects of air pollution and noise exposure related to transport behaviour: the MobiliSense Study

Web Appendix

Web appendix 1: Literature review of air pollution and noise effects on selected health outcomes

Respiratory symptoms

Studies of long-term exposure to air pollutants in adults have usually documented associations with respiratory symptoms.¹ A Swiss study documented positive associations between annual concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) or particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 µm or less (PM₁₀) and chronic phlegm production, chronic cough, breathlessness at rest, and dyspnea.²

Regarding studies of short-term effects, a work conducted in different European cities based on background concentrations over 24 hours measured from a central site and on a daily respiratory questionnaire completed over 6 months concluded that a high concentration of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 and 10 µm was positively associated with shortness of breath and wheezing.³ As another example relevant to the present project devoted to exposures during trips, a Dutch study of 489 adults found that the exposure to black smoke over 24 hours (as an indicator of black carbon emitted by diesel engines) was associated with upper respiratory symptoms, in a more consistent way than the exposure to sulphate and PM₁₀.⁴

Several studies have focused on the effects of air pollutants on the exacerbation of symptoms among asthmatics or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. For example, a study of 75 asthmatic or COPD patients from the United Kingdom reported that high concentrations of NO₂ and ozone (O₃) over 24 hours were associated with wheezing or dyspnea within one or two days.⁵ As another example, a study suggested that a higher concentration of PM₁₀ was associated with dyspnea one day after.⁶ However, certain studies also reported negative findings. Paradoxically, a study of 16 COPD patients that analysed, in

addition to the background concentrations of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 μm or less (PM_{2.5}), data from a personal monitoring of the exposure to PM_{2.5} did not identify an association with any of the respiratory symptoms examined,⁷ perhaps because of the weak number of participants.

A limitation of these studies is that paper questionnaires referring to relatively long recall periods (e.g., 24 hours, one week, one month) were used, implying reporting biases in the symptoms.

Lung function

A relatively weak number of studies have focused on the relationships between the long-term exposure to air pollutants and lung function. For example, a Swiss study showed that elevated annual concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), NO₂, and PM₁₀ were associated with a lower forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), with stronger relationships observed for PM₁₀.⁸ A longitudinal analysis from the same study subsequently documented that a decrease in the concentration of PM₁₀ was associated with a lower decrease of lung function over time.⁹ More recently, a French study reported that a higher concentration of PM₁₀ over the preceding 12 months was associated with a lower FVC and FEV₁.¹⁰ However, it is important to emphasize that, comparing different exposure areas with each other, these studies of the long-term effects of air pollutants are vulnerable to residual confounding.¹¹

Apart from investigations of long-term exposure effects, studies of the effects of short-term exposure to air pollutants were conducted¹² based on repeated spirometry measurements. For example, an Italian study of 29 participants found that a higher daily concentration of NO₂ was related to a decrease in FEV₁ among asthmatics but not among coronary patients.¹³ The strength of the associations identified in studies seemed to depend on the average concentration of pollutants over the territory, with stronger associations at higher average

concentrations. In a study of 16 COPD patients in Vancouver where the average concentration of PM₁₀ was of 18 µg/m³, each 10 µg/m³ increase in the concentration of PM₁₀ measured over one day was associated with a 3% larger decrease in FEV₁ between the morning and the evening measure.⁷ In a study in which the average concentration of PM₁₀ over 24 hours was above 150 µg/m³, a 10 µg/m³ increase in the concentration was related to a decrease of up to 7% in FEV₁.¹⁴ However, a recent study also emphasized that even a “moderate” (compared to a “good”) range of exposure to PM_{2.5}, NO₂, and O₃ over 24 hours according to the classification of the US Environmental Protection Agency was associated with a decreased FEV₁.¹⁵

Some studies of short-term effects of air pollutants have focused on the size of the time window to consider to better identify associations with lung function. A Korean study that compared different strategies to proxy the individual residential exposure from measures performed at fixed monitoring stations showed that a high concentration of PM₁₀ was associated with a reduced FVC, especially when concentrations were assessed over 24 hours two days before the spirometry test.¹⁶ This finding is coherent with another study that reported that an elevated concentration of PM₁₀ over the 37–60 hours preceding the spirometry assessment was associated with a decreased FVC and FEV₁.¹⁷ However, it must be emphasized that certain studies did not observe a relationship between the exposure to air pollutants and lung function.¹⁸

Very few studies have established a direct link with the transport activity. One experimental (thus poorly generalizable) study of 60 participants demonstrated that walking for two hours in Oxford Street was associated with more important reductions in FVC and FEV₁ than that observed in the same participants when walking through Hyde Park.¹² Such an effect was particularly attributable to the higher exposure to ultrafine particles and black carbon (as markers of road traffic with diesel engines) in Oxford Street.

Limitation of these repeated measure studies of lung function is that they were often based on a low sample size.

Blood pressure

Air pollution effects: Relatively few studies investigated associations between the chronic exposure to air pollutants and blood pressure or the prevalence / incidence of hypertension. For example, in a US study, a higher exposure to nitrogen oxides (NO_x) but not to PM_{2.5} was associated with a slight increase in the incidence of hypertension over 10 years.¹⁹ Similarly, a German study reported that higher concentrations of PM_{2.5} were associated with an increased blood pressure, which association persisted after adjustment for road traffic noise.²⁰

A larger number of blood pressure studies considered short-term exposures to air pollutants. Transient elevations of blood pressure repeated daily over years could lead to chronic blood pressure increase; moreover, a transient increase in blood pressure can trigger cardiovascular events in vulnerable individuals²¹ (as a potential explanation of the increased incidence of cardiovascular events during pollution episodes²²). As an example, a US study reported that an increase of 10 µg/m³ in the daily concentration of PM_{2.5} was associated with a 3.2 mmHg higher systolic blood pressure, with still stronger effects in the area where the average concentration of PM_{2.5} was the highest.²² However, certain studies did not observe such positive short-term association, or even documented negative associations.²³ These incoherent patterns may be attributable to the fact that blood pressure depends on both vascular resistance and the cardiac output, while the main hypothesis for air pollution effects is related to the first aspect (air pollution increases peripheral resistance and decreases elasticity of arterial walls). This is why our MobiliSense study also focuses on markers of arterial stiffness. Other sources of inconsistency include varying sources and composition of

suspended particles from one place to the other, differences in the susceptibility of populations, etc.²³

Two studies found that the positive relationship between the short-term exposure to PM_{2.5} and blood pressure was stronger in areas where road traffic was dense.^{22,24} An experimental study demonstrated that the effects documented on blood pressure were attributable to the organic carbon fraction of PM_{2.5}, mainly to fossil fuel combustion products of traffic sources.²⁵ Another study that relied on repeated measures did not find any association between the concentration of PM_{2.5} and blood pressure but reported that a higher exposure to black carbon over the previous 7 days was related to an increased blood pressure.²⁶

Aforementioned studies on blood pressure have assessed air pollutants with fixed monitoring stations, while very few studies were able to measure personal exposure.^{27,28} One study that measured concentrations of PM_{2.5}, organic carbon, and black carbon directly outside the residence showed that the strongest positive associations with blood pressure were documented for organic carbon, and for periods where the participants were at home and where measurement error was consequently the weakest.²⁹ However, some studies that relied on wearable monitors of PM_{2.5} (carried in a backpack) did not permit to conclude that personal exposure was more strongly associated with blood pressure than background concentrations.^{30,31} One study²⁷ however showed that exposure to PM_{2.5} measured with a wearable monitor was more strongly associated with blood pressure than the concentration of PM_{2.5} measured outside each participant's residence; but that the concentration of black carbon outside the residence showed a still stronger association with blood pressure, suggesting that a priority for future research is to perform a personal monitoring of black carbon (which was not done in this study).

Noise effects: Regarding long-term effects, according to the World Health Organisation,³² associations were consistently documented between the residential exposure to road traffic noise and hypertension. Most studies relied on noise maps derived from noise dispersion models. For example, a Swedish study of 1953 participants showed a positive association between road traffic noise (at the residence, from noise maps) and self-reported physician-diagnosed hypertension, with a stronger association documented with incidence than with prevalence (based on a retrospective questionnaire), and with a stronger association when the analyses were restricted to individuals who had lived for a long time at their residence.³³ A Swedish cross-sectional study of 667 subjects found that the adjusted odds of self-reported physician-diagnosed hypertension were 1.38 times larger for each 5 dB(A) increase in road traffic noise exposure (dispersion model and expert classification) at lower overall noise levels than in other studies.³⁴ Interestingly, the association was stronger among participants who had lived at the address for >10 years and among those not having triple-glazed windows, living in an old house, and having the bedroom facing a street. Other studies performed direct noise measurements in selected study sites to assess long-term exposures. For example, a cross-sectional study conducted in Taiwan among 321 males and 499 females residing nearby four main roads of Taichung along which measurements were performed reported an adjusted dose-response increase across noise exposure groups in the prevalence of self-reported physician-diagnosed hypertension.³⁵

Regarding other sources than road traffic, certain studies have documented relationships between air traffic noise and hypertension or blood pressure,³⁶⁻³⁸ while few were able to take into account railway traffic noise or the multi-exposure to noise of different transport modes. For example, a Swiss study of 6450 participants found that a cross-sectional measure of systolic blood pressure increased by 0.6 or 0.8 mmHg for each 10 dB(A) increase in daytime or night-time exposure to railway noise, while associations with road traffic noise were only

documented among participants with diabetes.³⁹ Of interest for the present project interested in the multi-exposure to noise and air pollutants, the adjustment for outdoor annual concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ did not result in weaker associations of road or railway traffic noise with blood pressure. Also of interest for the innovative joint assessment of objective and subjective noise levels in the present project, the European HYENA study found that the positive association between aircraft noise and the prevalence of hypertension was stronger among participants who reported being annoyed by aircraft noise.⁴⁰

Only few studies in real-life, non-occupational settings have relied on wearable noise sensors. Pointing to the limitations of resting blood pressure, a study of 60 young adults in Taiwan that simultaneously measured ambulatory blood pressure and personal noise exposure over 24 hours found that each 5 dB(A) increase in noise exposure was associated with a transient increase of 1.15 and 1.16 mmHg in systolic and diastolic blood pressure during daytime and of 0.74 and 0.77 mmHg during night-time.⁴¹ A German study of 632 adolescents and 482 adults documented an association between night-time noise exposure (personal dosimetry over 24 hours) and hypertension (measured blood pressure), but did not report any association with the subjective assessment of noise from a diary (the study, however, did not examine interactions between objective and subjective noise assessments).⁴²

Regarding limitations, first, most studies of long-term effects of noise have used a cross-sectional design (no incidence data on hypertension) and relied on self-reports of physician-diagnosed hypertension. Second, few studies of air pollution effects were based on repeated measures of resting blood pressure,⁴³ and repeated measure studies have either recruited a small number of participants (much smaller than 100) or collected a limited number of measures per individual ($n \approx 3^{22, 26}$). Third, among repeated measure studies, extremely few have relied on ambulatory monitoring of blood pressure,^{29, 41, 44} and none has examined both

resting and ambulatory blood pressure as we do (while each assessment may have its own strengths). Fourth, few studies have examined pulse pressure, central rather than brachial blood pressure (as more predictive of target organ damage and morbidity / mortality), and aortic pulse wave velocity or the augmentation index (as markers of arterial stiffness⁴⁵) in relation to air pollutants.^{23, 46} Finally, the air pollution and noise studies that compared participants with each other (rather than repeated measures with each other) have insufficiently controlled for confounding factors related to individual and environmental characteristics.⁴⁷

Heart rate variability

Researchers focus on heart rate variability to investigate how the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomous nervous system modulate heart rate. An alteration of the autonomous regulation of heart rate may be one of the pathophysiological mechanisms through which air pollution^{48, 49} (as also confirmed by toxicological studies⁵⁰) and noise^{51, 52} increase cardiovascular mortality. Indeed, studies have shown that reduced heart rate variability may be associated with an increased incidence of myocardial infarction in the general population, and with a poor prognosis in heart disease patients.⁵³⁻⁵⁵

Air pollution effects: The strongest evidence for a relationship between an increased exposure to air pollutants and reduced heart rate variability has been reported for particulate matter.⁴³ A meta-analysis (18667 participants from 29 studies) of the relationship between particulate matter and heart rate variability suggests that an increased concentration of PM_{2.5} is associated with a reduced heart rate variability, as demonstrated by indicators of both the time domain and the frequency domain.⁵⁶ Even if certain studies have reported stronger air pollution effects among people with cardiovascular diseases,⁵⁷ this meta-analysis did not observe that

the association became weaker when studies with cardiovascular disease patients were excluded. Studies have often measured air pollutant exposure over 24 hours. For example, a work that assessed the concentration of PM_{2.5} from a fixed monitoring station reported that considering exposure windows of 1 hour to 4 hours did not yield a stronger association than an exposure window of 24 hours.⁵⁸ It should be noted, however, that certain studies did not identify associations between an increased exposure to air pollutants and reduced heart rate variability, or even reported associations in the opposite direction.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹

Regarding air pollutants from traffic sources, a study of 28 elderly subjects reported that a high concentration of PM_{2.5} (assessed from fixed monitoring stations) was associated with reduced heart rate variability, but that the concentration of black carbon (used as a marker of particles from road traffic) resulted in stronger associations and with a larger number of indicators of heart rate variability.⁶² A high concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) was also associated with reduced heart rate variability, but the association with CO had entirely disappeared after adjustment for black carbon, a marker of particles from road traffic.

Noise effects: The literature on heart rate variability is scarcer for noise than for air pollution effects. A German study of 110 individuals (326 electrocardiogram recordings) observed that increases in sound pressure below 65 dB(A) were associated with changes in heart rate variability suggestive of an elevation in sympathetic tone and parasympathetic withdrawal, while elevations in sound pressure above 65 dB(A) were primarily associated with increased sympathetic activity.⁵¹ Of relevance for the present project is a Chinese randomised crossover study of participants successively spending time in a traffic centre and in a park. These participants underwent personal monitoring of noise and traffic-related air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, CO, and black carbon).⁵² The study found that higher noise levels were associated with reduced heart rate variability, resulting from an increased sympathetic activation and a

decreased parasympathetic modulation. It also reported that noise levels modified the relationships between air pollutants and heart rate variability.

Regarding limitations, first, previous repeated measure studies of heart rate variability have relied on small sample sizes. For example, of the 25 repeated measure studies identified in the aforementioned meta-analysis of air pollution effects,⁵⁶ one study included 100 participants, 3 studies between 50 and 100 participants, and 21 studies less than 50 participants. Second, a number of studies did not combine indicators of heart rate variability from both the time domain and the frequency domain, although certain did.^{56, 63}

Web appendix 2: List of confounders for the regression analyses

On the basis of our precise literature review for each exposure–outcome relationship, we will take into account – to adjust for confounding or as modifying factors – the following variables into the models (varying or not over time; list of factors to be adapted to the exposure and health variables examined): demographic characteristics (age, sex, country of birth, cohabitation, etc.); socioeconomic characteristics (education, employment status, occupation, income, wealth, etc.); health characteristics (body mass index, waist circumference, heart rate,^{51, 64} personal history of diseases, medication use,²² etc.); health behaviour (physical activity and body posture assessed with accelerometry,^{29, 51, 64} tobacco and alcohol consumption, etc.); contextual characteristics defined at the residence, at the different places visited over the observation period, and along trip itineraries (socioeconomic level, building density, population density, traffic density,^{22, 24} etc.); detailed characteristics of the dwelling; temperature, relative humidity or apparent temperature,²³ and atmospheric pressure^{58, 63}; estimated incidence of influenza or influenza-like illness⁴; pollen and mould in the air (French Aerobiology Network); hour, day of the week, and season of measurement; and conditions of measurement of blood pressure at rest.

References

1. Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution – REVIHAAP Project. First results. WHO European Centre for Environment and Health, 2013.
2. Zemp E, Elsasser S, Schindler C, Kunzli N, Perruchoud AP, Domenighetti G et al. Long-term ambient air pollution and respiratory symptoms in adults (SAPALDIA study). The SAPALDIA Team. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1999;159:1257-1266.
3. Karakatsani A, Analitis A, Perifanou D, Ayres JG, Harrison RM, Kotronarou A et al. Particulate matter air pollution and respiratory symptoms in individuals having either asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a European multicentre panel study. *Environ Health*. 2012;11:75.
4. van der Zee SC, Hoek G, Boezen MH, Schouten JP, van Wijnen JH, Brunekreef B. Acute effects of air pollution on respiratory health of 50-70 yr old adults. *Eur Respir J*. 2000;15:700-709.
5. Higgins BG, Francis HC, Yates CJ, Warburton CJ, Fletcher AM, Reid JA et al. Effects of air pollution on symptoms and peak expiratory flow measurements in subjects with obstructive airways disease. *Thorax*. 1995;50:149-155.
6. Peacock JL, Anderson HR, Bremner SA, Marston L, Seemungal TA, Strachan DP et al. Outdoor air pollution and respiratory health in patients with COPD. *Thorax*. 2011;66:591-596.
7. Brauer M, Ebelst ST, Fisher TV, Brumm J, Petkau AJ, Vedal S. Exposure of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients to particles: respiratory and cardiovascular health effects. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol*. 2001;11:490-500.
8. Ackermann-Lieblich U, Leuenberger P, Schwartz J, Schindler C, Monn C, Bolognini G et al. Lung function and long term exposure to air pollutants in Switzerland. Study on Air Pollution and Lung Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA) Team. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1997;155:122-129.
9. Downs SH, Schindler C, Liu LJ, Keidel D, Bayer-Oglesby L, Brutsche MH et al. Reduced exposure to PM10 and attenuated age-related decline in lung function. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:2338-2347.
10. Jacquemin B, Lepeule J, Boudier A, Arnould C, Benmerad M, Chappaz C et al. Impact of geocoding methods on associations between long-term exposure to urban air pollution and lung function. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:1054-1060.
11. Forbes LJ, Kapetanakis V, Rudnicka AR, Cook DG, Bush T, Stedman JR et al. Chronic exposure to outdoor air pollution and lung function in adults. *Thorax*. 2009;64:657-663.
12. McCreanor J, Cullinan P, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Stewart-Evans J, Malliarou E, Jarup L et al. Respiratory effects of exposure to diesel traffic in persons with asthma. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:2348-2358.
13. Lagorio S, Forastiere F, Pistelli R, Iavarone I, Michelozzi P, Fano V et al. Air pollution and lung function among susceptible adult subjects: a panel study. *Environ Health*. 2006;5:11.
14. Pope CA, 3rd, Bates DV, Raizenne ME. Health effects of particulate air pollution: time for reassessment? *Environ Health Perspect*. 1995;103:472-480.
15. Rice MB, Ljungman PL, Wilker EH, Gold DR, Schwartz JD, Koutrakis P et al. Short-term exposure to air pollution and lung function in the Framingham Heart Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;188:1351-1357.
16. Son JY, Bell ML, Lee JT. Individual exposure to air pollution and lung function in Korea: spatial analysis using multiple exposure approaches. *Environ Res*. 2010;110:739-749.
17. Min JY, Min KB, Cho SI, Paek D. Lag effect of particulate air pollution on lung function in children. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2008;43:476-480.
18. de Hartog JJ, Ayres JG, Karakatsani A, Analitis A, Brink HT, Hameri K et al. Lung function and indicators of exposure to indoor and outdoor particulate matter among asthma and COPD patients. *Occup Environ Med*. 2010;67:2-10.

19. Coogan PF, White LF, Jerrett M, Brook RD, Su JG, Seto E et al. Air pollution and incidence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus in black women living in Los Angeles. *Circulation*. 2012;125:767-772.
20. Fuks K, Moebus S, Hertel S, Viehmann A, Nonnemacher M, Dragano N et al. Long-term urban particulate air pollution, traffic noise, and arterial blood pressure. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119:1706-1711.
21. Tofler GH, Muller JE. Triggering of acute cardiovascular disease and potential preventive strategies. *Circulation*. 2006;114:1863-1872.
22. Dvonch JT, Kannan S, Schulz AJ, Keeler GJ, Mentz G, House J et al. Acute effects of ambient particulate matter on blood pressure: differential effects across urban communities. *Hypertension*. 2009;53:853-859.
23. Chen SY, Su TC, Lin YL, Chan CC. Short-term effects of air pollution on pulse pressure among nonsmoking adults. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23:341-348.
24. Auchincloss AH, Diez Roux AV, Dvonch JT, Brown PL, Barr RG, Daviglius ML et al. Associations between recent exposure to ambient fine particulate matter and blood pressure in the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:486-491.
25. Urch B, Silverman F, Corey P, Brook JR, Lukic KZ, Rajagopalan S et al. Acute blood pressure responses in healthy adults during controlled air pollution exposures. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005;113:1052-1055.
26. Mordukhovich I, Wilker E, Suh H, Wright R, Sparrow D, Vokonas PS et al. Black carbon exposure, oxidative stress genes, and blood pressure in a repeated-measures study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009;117:1767-1772.
27. Liu L, Ruddy T, Dalipaj M, Poon R, Szyszkowicz M, You H et al. Effects of indoor, outdoor, and personal exposure to particulate air pollution on cardiovascular physiology and systemic mediators in seniors. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2009;51:1088-1098.
28. Brook RD, Bard RL, Burnett RT, Shin HH, Vette A, Croghan C et al. Differences in blood pressure and vascular responses associated with ambient fine particulate matter exposures measured at the personal versus community level. *Occup Environ Med*. 2011;68:224-230.
29. Delfino RJ, Tjoa T, Gillen DL, Staimer N, Polidori A, Arhami M et al. Traffic-related air pollution and blood pressure in elderly subjects with coronary artery disease. *Epidemiology*. 2010;21:396-404.
30. Ebelst ST, Wilson WE, Brauer M. Exposure to ambient and nonambient components of particulate matter: a comparison of health effects. *Epidemiology*. 2005;16:396-405.
31. Jansen KL, Larson TV, Koenig JQ, Mar TF, Fields C, Stewart J et al. Associations between health effects and particulate matter and black carbon in subjects with respiratory disease. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005;113:1741-1746.
32. World Health Organization. Burden of disease from environmental noise - Quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe. World Health Organization (WHO): Bonn, 2011.
33. Barregard L, Bonde E, Ohrstrom E. Risk of hypertension from exposure to road traffic noise in a population-based sample. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009;66:410-415.
34. Leon Bluhm G, Berglind N, Nordling E, Rosenlund M. Road traffic noise and hypertension. *Occup Environ Med*. 2007;64:122-126.
35. Chang TY, Liu CS, Bao BY, Li SF, Chen TI, Lin YJ. Characterization of road traffic noise exposure and prevalence of hypertension in central Taiwan. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011;409:1053-1057.
36. Aydin Y, Kaltenbach M. Noise perception, heart rate and blood pressure in relation to aircraft noise in the vicinity of the Frankfurt airport. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2007;96:347-358.
37. Jarup L, Babisch W, Houthuijs D, Pershagen G, Katsouyanni K, Cadum E et al. Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: the HYENA study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:329-333.
38. Babisch W, Kamp I. Exposure-response relationship of the association between aircraft noise and the risk of hypertension. *Noise Health*. 2009;11:161-168.

39. Dratva J, Phuleria HC, Foraster M, Gaspoz JM, Keidel D, Kunzli N et al. Transportation noise and blood pressure in a population-based sample of adults. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120:50-55.
40. Babisch W, Pershagen G, Selander J, Houthuijs D, Breugelmans O, Cadum E et al. Noise annoyance--a modifier of the association between noise level and cardiovascular health? *Sci Total Environ*. 2013;452-453:50-57.
41. Chang TY, Lai YA, Hsieh HH, Lai JS, Liu CS. Effects of environmental noise exposure on ambulatory blood pressure in young adults. *Environ Res*. 2009;109:900-905.
42. Weinmann T, Ehrenstein V, von Kries R, Nowak D, Radon K. Subjective and objective personal noise exposure and hypertension: an epidemiologic approach. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2012;85:363-371.
43. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, 3rd, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;121:2331-2378.
44. Chuang KJ, Chan CC, Shiao GM, Su TC. Associations between submicrometer particles exposures and blood pressure and heart rate in patients with lung function impairments. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2005;47:1093-1098.
45. Sutton-Tyrrell K, Najjar SS, Boudreau RM, Venkitachalam L, Kupelian V, Simonsick EM et al. Elevated aortic pulse wave velocity, a marker of arterial stiffness, predicts cardiovascular events in well-functioning older adults. *Circulation*. 2005;111:3384-3390.
46. Lenters V, Uiterwaal CS, Beelen R, Bots ML, Fischer P, Brunekreef B et al. Long-term exposure to air pollution and vascular damage in young adults. *Epidemiology*. 2010;21:512-520.
47. Chaix B, Bean K, Leal C, Thomas F, Havard S, Evans D et al. Individual/neighborhood social factors and blood pressure in the RECORD Cohort Study: which risk factors explain the associations? *Hypertension*. 2010;55:769-775.
48. Lipsett MJ, Tsai FC, Roger L, Woo M, Ostro BD. Coarse particles and heart rate variability among older adults with coronary artery disease in the Coachella Valley, California. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2006;114:1215-1220.
49. Stone PH, Godleski JJ. First steps toward understanding the pathophysiologic link between air pollution and cardiac mortality. *Am Heart J*. 1999;138:804-807.
50. Ramos-Bonilla JP, Breyse PN, Dominici F, Geyh A, Tankersley CG. Ambient air pollution alters heart rate regulation in aged mice. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2010;22:330-339.
51. Kraus U, Schneider A, Breitner S, Hampel R, Ruckerl R, Pitz M et al. Individual daytime noise exposure during routine activities and heart rate variability in adults: a repeated measures study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:607-612.
52. Huang J, Deng F, Wu S, Lu H, Hao Y, Guo X. The impacts of short-term exposure to noise and traffic-related air pollution on heart rate variability in young healthy adults. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2013;23:559-564.
53. Kleiger RE, Miller JP, Bigger JT, Jr., Moss AJ. Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1987;59:256-262.
54. Nolan J, Batin PD, Andrews R, Lindsay SJ, Brooksby P, Mullen M et al. Prospective study of heart rate variability and mortality in chronic heart failure: results of the United Kingdom heart failure evaluation and assessment of risk trial (UK-heart). *Circulation*. 1998;98:1510-1516.
55. Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ, Jr., Manders ES, Evans JC, Feldman CL et al. Impact of reduced heart rate variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1996;94:2850-2855.
56. Pieters N, Plusquin M, Cox B, Kicinski M, Vangronsveld J, Nawrot TS. An epidemiological appraisal of the association between heart rate variability and particulate air pollution: a meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2012;98:1127-1135.

57. Wu S, Deng F, Niu J, Huang Q, Liu Y, Guo X. The relationship between traffic-related air pollutants and cardiac autonomic function in a panel of healthy adults: a further analysis with existing data. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2011;23:289-303.
58. Creason J, Neas L, Walsh D, Williams R, Sheldon L, Liao D et al. Particulate matter and heart rate variability among elderly retirees: the Baltimore 1998 PM study. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol.* 2001;11:116-122.
59. Riediker M, Cascio WE, Griggs TR, Herbst MC, Bromberg PA, Neas L et al. Particulate matter exposure in cars is associated with cardiovascular effects in healthy young men. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2004;169:934-940.
60. Davoodi G, Sharif AY, Kazemisaeid A, Sadeghian S, Farahani AV, Sheikvatan M et al. Comparison of heart rate variability and cardiac arrhythmias in polluted and clean air episodes in healthy individuals. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2010;15:217-221.
61. Wu S, Deng F, Niu J, Huang Q, Liu Y, Guo X. Association of heart rate variability in taxi drivers with marked changes in particulate air pollution in Beijing in 2008. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2010;118:87-91.
62. Schwartz J, Litonjua A, Suh H, Verrier M, Zanobetti A, Syring M et al. Traffic related pollution and heart rate variability in a panel of elderly subjects. *Thorax.* 2005;60:455-461.
63. Yeatts K, Svendsen E, Creason J, Alexis N, Herbst M, Scott J et al. Coarse particulate matter (PM_{2.5-10}) affects heart rate variability, blood lipids, and circulating eosinophils in adults with asthma. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2007;115:709-714.
64. Bartell SM, Longhurst J, Tjoa T, Sioutas C, Delfino RJ. Particulate air pollution, ambulatory heart rate variability, and cardiac arrhythmia in retirement community residents with coronary artery disease. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2013;121:1135-1141.