

Strong Superexchange in a d 9 – δ Nickelate Revealed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering

J. Q. Lin, P. Villar Arribi, G. Fabbris, A. S. Botana, D. Meyers, H. Miao, Y.

Shen, D. G. Mazzone, J. Feng, S. G. Chiuzbaian, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

J. Q. Lin, P. Villar Arribi, G. Fabbris, A. S. Botana, D. Meyers, et al.. Strong Superexchange in a d $9-\delta$ Nickelate Revealed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering. Physical Review Letters, 2021, 126 (8), pp.087001. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.087001. hal-03952573

HAL Id: hal-03952573 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03952573

Submitted on 23 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Strong Superexchange in a $d^{9-\delta}$ Nickelate Revealed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering

J. Q. Lin,^{1,2,3,4} P. Villar Arribi,⁵ G. Fabbris,^{1,6} A. S. Botana,⁷ D. Meyers,^{1,8} H. Miao,^{1,9} Y.

Shen,¹ D. G. Mazzone,^{1,10} J. Feng,^{11,*} S. G. Chiuzbăian,^{11,12} A. Nag,¹³ A. C. Walters,¹³

M. García-Fernández,¹³ Ke-Jin Zhou,¹³ J. Pelliciari,¹⁴ I. Jarrige,¹⁴ J. W. Freeland,⁶ Junjie

Zhang,^{5,15} J. F. Mitchell,⁵ V. Bisogni,¹⁴ X. Liu,^{2,†} M. R. Norman,^{5,‡} and M. P. M. Dean^{1,§}

¹Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department,

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

²School of Physical Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China

³Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁵Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA

⁶Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA

⁷Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA

⁸Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA

⁹Material Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, USA

¹⁰Laboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging,

Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

¹¹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Matière et Rayonnement,

UMR 7614, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

¹²Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, Saint-Aubin, BP 48, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹³Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus,

Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

¹⁴National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

¹⁵Institute of Crystal Materials, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China

(Dated: January 19, 2021)

The discovery of superconductivity in a $d^{9-\delta}$ nickelate has inspired disparate theoretical perspectives regarding the essential physics of this class of materials. A key issue is the magnitude of the magnetic superexchange, which relates to whether cuprate-like high-temperature nickelate superconductivity could be realized. We address this question using Ni *L*-edge and O *K*-edge spectroscopy of the reduced $d^{9-1/3}$ trilayer nickelates $R_4 Ni_3 O_8$ (where R=La, Pr) and associated theoretical modeling. A magnon energy scale of ~ 80 meV resulting from a nearest-neighbor magnetic exchange of J = 69(4) meV is observed, proving that $d^{9-\delta}$ nickelates can host a large superexchange. This value, along with that of the Ni-O hybridization estimated from our O *K*-edge data, implies that trilayer nickelates represent an intermediate case between the infinite-layer nickelates and the cuprates. Layered nickelates thus provide a route to testing the relevance of superexchange to nickelate superconductivity.

Ever since the discovery of superconductivity in the cuprates [1], researchers have been searching for related unconventional high-temperature (T_c) superconductors based on different transition metal ions [2–4]. Nickel, given its proximity to copper in the periodic table, represents an obvious target element. A popular concept has been to try to realize materials with Ni¹⁺: $3d^9$ ions with planar oxygen coordination residing in layers, as it was conjectured that this would mimic the strong magnetic superexchange that was proposed to be important for cuprate superconductivity [5]. The appropriateness of this assumption in layered $RNiO_2$ materials (R = La, Pr, Nd) was, however, questioned as the predicted increase in charge-transfer energy in $RNiO_2$, with respect to cuprates, would be expected to reduce the superexchange [6]. Superconductivity at a relatively modest $T_{\rm c} \approx 15 ~{\rm K}$ in ${\rm Nd}_{1-x} {\rm Sr}_x {\rm NiO}_2$ was nonetheless reported [7]. This has motivated many studies, often conflicting, concerning the nature of the normal-state electronic

structure and correlations in these and related materials [8-30]. RNiO₂ materials are the infinite-layer members of a series of low-valence (with $d^{9-\delta}$ filling) layered nickelates $R_{n+1}Ni_nO_{2n+2}$ where n represents the number of NiO_2 layers per formula unit [31–34]. Given the important role of charge-transfer and superexchange in many theories of unconventional superconductivity, determining trends for these quantities is highly important for understanding nickelate superconductivity and potentially discovering new nickelate superconductors [35, 36]. Among the known members of this nickelate family, trilayer materials shown in Fig. 1(a) are ideal for testing the fundamental aspects of the analogy between layered nickelates and cuprates. This is because complications from rare-earth self-doping, c-axis coupling and inhomogeneous samples are less severe in $R_4Ni_3O_8$ than in $RNiO_2$ [34, 37, 38].

In this Letter, we combine Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering (RIXS) with first principles calculations and



FIG. 1. Crystal structure and X-ray absorption spectrum (XAS). (a) Unit cell of La₄Ni₃O₈ and Pr₄Ni₃O₈ with Ni in purple, O in gray and La/Pr in green [39]. (b) The active trilayer nickel-oxide planes in La₄Ni₃O₈ with an illustration of the diagonal stripe-ordered state [40]. Ni sites with extra hole character (with respect to the d^9 magnetic rows) are in purple (S = 0), whereas Ni up and down spins in the magnetic rows are colored red and blue, respectively (S = 1/2). (c)&(d) XAS data of La₄Ni₃O₈ measured in total fluorescence yield mode with polarization perpendicular and approximately parallel to the sample *c*-axis for (c) the Ni *L*-edge and (d) the O *K*-edge.

theoretical modeling to characterize the magnetic exchange in trilayer $R_4 Ni_3 O_8$ that fall in the overdoped regime of cuprates in terms of electron count. We find a near-neighbor exchange of J = 69(4) meV, in good accord with our first principles calculations. This demonstrates that these reduced nickelates indeed have a strong superexchange (within a factor of two of the cuprates). By comparing the O K-edge pre-peak intensity to that of cuprates and infinite-layer nickelates, we argue that these trilayer materials are intermediate between cuprates and infinite-layer nickelates. Based on this, we suggest that electron-doping $R_4 Ni_3 O_8$ materials provides a compelling route to testing the relevance of superexchange for nickelate superconductivity.

 $R_4 {\rm Ni}_3 {\rm O}_8$ (R =La, Pr) single crystals were prepared by synthesizing their parent Ruddlesden-Popper phases and reducing them in H₂/Ar gas as described previously [34, 41]. The resulting samples are single-phase crystals with a tetragonal unit cell (I4/mmm space group) and lattice constants of a = b = 3.97 Å, c = 26.1 Å. The trilayer $R_4Ni_3O_8$ phase is shown in Fig. 1(a); panel (b) zooms on the Ni-O planes. These samples have an effective hole-doping of $\delta = 1/3$. Reciprocal space is indexed in terms of scattering vector $\mathbf{Q} = (2\pi/a, 2\pi/a, 2\pi/c)$. Both La and Pr materials are rather similar regarding their high- and medium-energy physics such as spin states and orbital polarization [34]. The primary difference is that La₄Ni₃O₈ (which exhibits strong antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations [42]) has stripe order than opens up a small insulating gap [34], whereas Pr₄Ni₃O₈ remains metallic without long-range order. Since the more ordered and insulating nature of La₄Ni₃O₈ compared to Pr₄Ni₃O₈ is expected to give sharper magnetic RIXS spectra, we focus on the former material for this paper.

We used XAS to confirm the expected electronic properties of the La₄Ni₃O₈ samples. The Ni L-edge spectrum from 846-878 eV is shown in Fig. 1(c). The strongest feature around 850 eV is the La M_4 edge, which is followed by the Ni L_3 and L_2 edges at 852 and 870 eV respectively. Substantial linear dichroism is apparent, especially at the L_2 edge where the spectrum is not obscured by the La M_4 edge, indicating that the unoccupied 3d states are primarily $x^2 - y^2$ in character [34]. The overall spectral shape is very similar to that seen in cuprates [43–45], consistent with a $d^{9}L$ configuration, with no indication for a high-spin d^8 component of the holes [34]. This is reasonable, since the planar coordination of Ni leads to a large splitting between the $x^2 - y^2$ and $3z^2 - r^2$ states, which is expected to out-compete the Hunds exchange coupling, thus favoring a low-spin ground state [46]. The O K-edge spectrum around 525-545 eV in Fig. 1 shows a pre-peak feature around 532 eV, which is known to indicate hybridization between the Ni 3d and O 2p states [34, 43, 44]. Our measurements find that this pre-peak has a strong linear dichroism as well, as observed in cuprates [44].

We then performed RIXS to study the low-energy degrees of freedom. High energy-resolution RIXS measurements were performed at I21 at the Diamond Light Source with a resolution of 45 meV and at NSLS-II with a resolution of 30 meV. All RIXS data shown were taken at a temperature of 20 K using a fixed horizontal scattering angle of $2\theta = 154^{\circ}$ and x-ray polarization within the horizontal scattering plane (π polarization). Different momenta were accessed by rotating the sample about the vertical axis, such that the projection of the scattering vector varies. (H, 0) and (H, H) scattering planes were accessed by rotating the sample about its azimuthal angle. Figure 2 plots low-energy RIXS spectra of La₄Ni₃O₈ as a function of Q. A relatively strong elastic line is present for all Q likely arising from apical oxygen removal during sample preparation, which induces internal strain in the samples. In the 70-90 meV energy range, a weakly dispersive, damped feature is observed. Based on the energy of the feature, this could either be magnetic or the bond-stretching phonon common to complex oxides

(0.22, 0) (0.37, 0) (0.42, 0) (0.47, 0) (0.12, 0) (0.17, 0) (0.27, 0 (0.32, 0) 200 Intensity (arb. units) 100 0 (0.07, 0.07) (0.1, 0.1) (0.13, 0.13) (0.16, 0.16) (0.19, 0.19) 0.22, 0.22) (0.25, 0.25) (0.28, 0.28) 200 100 0 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 -0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.1 0.2 Energy Loss (eV)

FIG. 2. RIXS spectra of $La_4Ni_3O_8$ as a function of Q at the resonant energy of the magnon 852.7 eV [41]. Data are shown as red points and the fit is shown as a black line, which is composed of the magnetic excitation in orange and the elastic line in blue. The in-plane Q of the measured spectrum is denoted in the top right of each panel.

[47–51]. It is known, however, that the intensity of the bond-stretching phonon increases like $|\mathbf{Q}|^2$, inconsistent with what we find [50, 51] (see Fig. 2). The peak also resonates slightly above the Ni *L* edge [41], which is also consistent with a magnetic origin [47]. On the basis of these observations, we assign this feature to magnetic excitations. Further supporting this assignment we note that $\Pr_4 Ni_3 O_8$ spectra exhibit a weaker, more damped paramagnon excitation, which is expected as this compound is metallic with spin-glass behavior [41, 52]. Below, we will demonstrate a consistency between this mode and analytical modeling and density functional theory (DFT).

In order to analyze the magnetic dispersion, we fit the low-energy RIXS spectra with the sum of a zero-energy Gaussian fixed to the experimental energy resolution in order to account for the elastic line and a damped harmonic oscillator to capture the magnetic excitation [41]. To model the magnetic interactions, we expect a leading contribution from the nearest-neighbor in-plane Ni-O-Ni superexchange, J. We further know that $La_4Ni_3O_8$, like some other nickelates and cuprates, has a striped ground state with both spin and charge character, with an inplane wavevector of $\mathbf{Q} = (1/3, 1/3)$ [40, 53]. This diagonal stripe order is illustrated in Fig. 1(b) [41]. In each plane, we have two antiparallel spin rows (corresponding to d^9) separated by an anti-phase domain wall (corresponding to non-magnetic d^8). This gives rise to six spins in the magnetic unit cell in a given trilayer, which we label as spins 1-6. Nearest-neighbor spins within the planes in a given stripe are coupled by the superexchange J, which we expect to be the strongest interaction. The antiphase domain wall is due to coupling between the magnetic stripes. There are two potential couplings (supersuperexchange), but we only expect one of them (the one along the tetragonal axes) to be significant, as the

other involves a 90 degree pathway [54]. As the planes are antiferromagnetically coupled [53], this gives rise to a positive J_z coupling between successive layers (there is no evidence for magnetic coupling between the trilayers, so our model deals with only a single trilayer). We solved the resulting Heisenberg model in the spin-wave approximation [55], which yields three dispersive modes (split by J_z), which we term the acoustic, middle and optic modes [41]. The energy of each of these three modes changes with in-plane momentum and the relative intensity of the modes is modulated by the out-of-plane momentum, which varies with in-plane momentum due to our fixed-scattering-angle configuration. From cuprates, we anticipate that the interlayer coupling will be of order 10 meV, below our energy resolution [56, 57]. On this basis, we analyzed our data in terms of the sum of the three magnon modes. The RIXS intensity for a particular acoustic, middle or optic magnetic mode n in the $\pi - \sigma$ polarization channel is given by [58]

$$I_n(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \left| \sum_i \boldsymbol{k}_{in} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{n,\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{r}_i) \right|^2$$
(1)

where \mathbf{k}_{in} is the incident wavevector and $M_{n,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ is magnetization vector at site *i* (i.e., the eigenvector of the n^{th} spin-wave mode at \mathbf{Q}). This vector is in-plane since the ground-state moments are along *c* [53]. The final element of our model is to sum over the two tetragonal domains given the known magnetic twinning in La₄Ni₃O₈: $(H, K) \rightarrow (H, -K)$ [40, 53]. We determined the energies and eigenvectors of these modes from the resulting 12 by 12 secular matrix [41], and computed the weighted sum of the three modes at each \mathbf{Q} . [59] To estimate the magnetic exchange parameters in La₄Ni₃O₈, we computed the energy of four different spin configurations in the above-mentioned magnetic cell [41], and then mapped these energies to a Heisenberg model. This was done using DFT in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) approximation as implemented in the WIEN2k code [60, 61]. The experimentally determined insulating charge and spin stripe-ordered ground state (Fig. 1b) is obtained even at the GGA level given that the exchange splitting is larger than the bandwidth in this state. Adding a U simply increases the size of the gap with respect to the GGA solution, but the nature of the ground state remains the same [37]. Results presented here are for GGA, but GGA+U results are presented in [41]. This yields J = 71 meV, $J_z = 13.6$ meV, and $J_1 = 10.6$ meV. We fix $J_z = 13.6$ meV in our model since, because of our resolution and contamination from the elastic line, we cannot accurately estimate it from experiment. We then vary J and J_1 to get the best fit. This fit yields J = 69(4) meV and $J_1 = 17(4)$ meV in good agreement with experiment, although the small difference in J (2 meV) is likely coincidental. These exchange values can be rationalized from the single-layer analytic relation (i.e., ignoring J_z) that $E_{\rm mag} \sim 4S\sqrt{JJ_1}$ where $E_{\rm mag}$ is the zone-boundary magnon energy. We overplot the magnetic dispersion with our theory analysis in Fig. 3 showing a good level of agreement. The model also captures the observed softening that occurs as ${old Q}$ approaches $\left(-\frac{1}{3},-\frac{1}{3}\right)$. We also measured $\Pr_4 Ni_3 O_8$ [41], which is similar to La₄Ni₃O₈, but metallic rather than insulating; the results show a lower-intensity damped magnetic excitation, which is expected in view of its metallicity [34] and the spin-glass behavior reported for this material [52]. The paramagnon energy in Pr₄Ni₃O₈ is only slightly reduced compared to $La_4Ni_3O_8$. Again, this is similar to cuprates where magnon-like excitations are seen for paramagnetic dopings [62].

Our rather large value of J = 69(4) meV is the principal result of this Letter. This magnetic exchange is 2.5 times larger than that of the 1/3 doped nickelate $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_4$ which also has a diagonal stripe state (with S = 1 d^8 magnetic rows and S = 1/2 d^7 domain walls), though the two have comparable J_1 [47, 63, 64]. J for La₄Ni₃O₈ is, in fact, within a factor of two of cuprates, which have among the largest superexchange of any known material [62, 65–67]. This suggests, along with our XAS results, that these nickelates are strongly correlated charge-transfer materials. Two questions are apparent: Why is the superexchange in La₄Ni₃O₈ so large? And what is the relationship between trilayer nickelates and their infinite-layer counterparts?

Given the 180 degree Ni-O-Ni bonds in the d^9 nickelates, superexchange is the most likely mechanism for generating their exchange interactions, as in the cuprates. In the charge-transfer limit, the strength of this interaction scales as t_{pd}^4/Δ^3 where t_{pd} is the hopping between the transition metal $x^2 - y^2$ and oxygen $p\sigma$ orbitals, and $\Delta \equiv E_d - E_p$ is the energy difference between them. Large



FIG. 3. Magnetic dispersion of La₄Ni₃O₈. Black points are the extracted energies of the magnetic excitation. The gray line is the fit to the experimental dispersion, which is composed of the weighted sum of three dispersive magnons, called the acoustic, middle and optic modes, which are plotted as blue, orange and green lines, respectively. The thickness of all three lines represents the predicted intensity of the modes [41]. The doubling of the modes from $\left(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)$ to (0, 0) arises from magnetic twinning [41].



FIG. 4. Comparison of the O K-edge in-plane polarized pre-peak intensity, indicative of oxygen-hybridized holes, between different $d^{9-\delta}$ materials. Solid lines are XAS or EELS. The data and background (lineshape excluding the pre-peak) for Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ are from Ref. [8] and the data for La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ are from Ref. [44]. Further details are provided in [41].

p-d hopping and a small Δ implies a large ligand-hole character for the doped holes, as this is controlled by the ratio t_{pd}/Δ . We therefore fit the O K pre-peak intensity to compare to the literature for La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ [43] and Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ [8] and show the results in Fig. 4. The pre-peak in Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ is significantly less prominent than in La₄Ni₃O₈ and La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄, but this appears to be primarily due to a broadened pre-peak rather than a lower integrated spectral weight, the broadening perhaps due to a spatially varying doping. The relative integrated weight per doped hole is 1.00(2):1.74(5):1.05(10) for La₄Ni₃O₈:La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄:Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ and the equivalent ratios for the maximum pre-peak intensities are 1.00(4):1.85(9):0.37(6). The quoted errors are the uncertainty from the least-squares fitting algorithm. The largest systematic error likely arises from the doping inhomogeneity in the data from [8]. Thus $La_4Ni_3O_8$ has somewhat less admixture than $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, but the difference is not enough to expect qualitatively different physics. The ratio we determine is in good accord with our observed magnetic exchange in La₄Ni₃O₈ being around half that of the cuprates [62, 66–68]. This difference likely comes from Δ being larger in La₄Ni₃O₈ compared to $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ (t_{pd} is comparable in the three materials) [69, 70]. The situation in $NdNiO_2$ is less certain given the large difference of the ratios (i.e., whether one considers the maximum intensity or the integrated weight). This will likely only be solved when higher-quality more homogeneous $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ samples are prepared and studied in detail. Still, it seems likely that the superexchange in $NdNiO_2$ is smaller, but still large enough that its potential contribution to superconductivity deserves serious consideration. Recent Raman scattering measurements estimate $J \approx 25 \text{ meV}$ [71], which is consistent with this and which likely arises from Δ being larger, though the enhanced *c*-axis coupling and the screening from the R 5d states, which are predicted to be partially occupied NdNiO₂, could be playing a role as well.

In conclusion, we report the presence of a large superexchange J = 69(4) meV in La₄Ni₃O₈ – the first direct measurement of superexchange in a $d^{9-\delta}$ nickelate. This superexchange value is within a factor of two of values found in the cuprates, and this, coupled with a substantial O K pre-peak, establishes the charge-transfer nature of this $d^{9-\delta}$ nickelate with substantial d-p mixing. By comparing the O K-edge XAS spectra of $La_4Ni_3O_8$ to that of the cuprates and the infinite-layer nickelate, we establish that trilayer nickelates represent a case that is intermediate between them. This result is interesting in view of the widespread belief that increasing magnetic superexchange might promote higher- T_c superconductivity [4, 34, 72]. Studying a series of layered nickelates would also provide a route to testing the relevance of superexchange to nickelate superconductivity given the variation in their nominal Ni valence.

Work at Brookhaven National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. Work at Argonne was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division (Materials Science Division) and Scientific User Facilities Division (Advanced Photon Source). X. L. and J.Q.L. were supported by the ShanghaiTech University startup fund, MOST of China under Grant No. 2016YFA0401000, NSFC under Grant No. 11934017 and the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. 112111KYSB20170059. Work at the Advanced Photon Source was supported under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. A.B. acknowledges the support from NSF DMR 2045826. We acknowledge Diamond Light Source for time on Beamline I21 under Proposal 22261 producing the data shown in Fig. 2. This research used resources at the SIX beamline of the National Synchrotron Light Source II, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Brookhaven National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. We acknowledge Synchrotron SOLEIL for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities at the SEXTANTS beamline.

- * Present address: CAS Key Laboratory of Magnetic Materials and Devices, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315201, China and Zhejiang Province Key Laboratory of Magnetic Materials and Application Technology, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315201, China
- [†] liuxr@shanghaitech.edu.cn
- [‡] norman@anl.gov
- [§] mdean@bnl.gov
- J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, Possible high T_c superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system, Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 64, 189 (1986).
- [2] M. R. Norman, Materials design for new superconductors, Reports on Progress in Physics 79, 074502 (2016).
- [3] R. Adler, C.-J. Kang, C.-H. Yee, and G. Kotliar, Correlated materials design: prospects and challenges, Reports on Progress in Physics 82, 012504 (2018).
- [4] M. R. Norman, Entering the nickel age of superconductivity, Physics 13, 85 (2020).
- [5] V. Anisimov, D. Bukhvalov, and T. Rice, Electronic structure of possible nickelate analogs to the cuprates, Physical Review B 59, 7901 (1999).
- [6] K.-W. Lee and W. E. Pickett, Infinite-layer LaNiO₂: Ni¹⁺ is not Cu²⁺, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 165109 (2004).
- [7] D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, Superconductivity in an infinite-layer nickelate, Nature 572, 624 (2019).
- [8] B. H. Goodge, D. Li, M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, K. Lee, G. A. Sawatzky, H. Y. Hwang, and L. F. Kourkoutis, Doping evolution of the Mott-Hubbard landscape in infinite-layer nickelates, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02847 (2020).
- [9] M. Osada, B. Y. Wang, B. H. Goodge, K. Lee, H. Yoon, K. Sakuma, D. Li, M. Miura, L. F. Kourkoutis, and H. Y. Hwang, A superconducting praseodymium nickelate with infinite layer structure, Nano Letters **0**, null (2020).
- [10] S. Zeng, C. S. Tang, X. Yin, C. Li, M. Li, Z. Huang, J. Hu, W. Liu, G. J. Omar, H. Jani, Z. S. Lim, K. Han, D. Wan, P. Yang, S. J. Pennycook, A. T. S. Wee, and A. Ariando, Phase diagram and superconducting dome of infinite-layer nd_{1-x}sr_xnio₂ thin films, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 147003 (2020).
- [11] M. Hepting, D. Li, C. Jia, H. Lu, E. Paris, Y. Tseng, X. Feng, M. Osada, E. Been, Y. Hikita, *et al.*, Electronic

structure of the parent compound of superconducting infinite-layer nickelates, Nature Materials , 1 (2020).

- [12] B.-X. Wang, H. Zheng, E. Krivyakina, O. Chmaissem, P. P. Lopes, J. W. Lynn, L. C. Gallington, Y. Ren, S. Rosenkranz, J. Mitchell, *et al.*, Synthesis and characterization of bulk Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂ and Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₃, Phys. Rev. Materials.
- [13] A. S. Botana and M. R. Norman, Similarities and differences between LaNiO₂ and CaCuO₂ and implications for superconductivity, Phys. Rev. X 10, 011024 (2020).
- [14] H. Sakakibara, H. Usui, K. Suzuki, T. Kotani, H. Aoki, and K. Kuroki, Model construction and a possibility of cupratelike pairing in a new d⁹ nickelate superconductor (Nd, Sr)nio₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 077003 (2020).
- [15] M. Jiang, M. Berciu, and G. A. Sawatzky, Critical nature of the Ni spin state in doped NdNiO₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 207004 (2020).
- [16] Y. Nomura, M. Hirayama, T. Tadano, Y. Yoshimoto, K. Nakamura, and R. Arita, Formation of a twodimensional single-component correlated electron system and band engineering in the nickelate superconductor NdNiO₂, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 205138 (2019).
- [17] X. Wu, D. Di Sante, T. Schwemmer, W. Hanke, H. Y. Hwang, S. Raghu, and R. Thomale, Robust $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductivity of infinite-layer nickelates, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 060504 (2020).
- [18] H. Zhang, L. Jin, S. Wang, B. Xi, X. Shi, F. Ye, and J.-W. Mei, Effective hamiltonian for nickelate oxides Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO₂, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013214 (2020).
- [19] G.-M. Zhang, Y.-f. Yang, and F.-C. Zhang, Self-doped mott insulator for parent compounds of nickelate superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 101, 020501 (2020).
- [20] P. Werner and S. Hoshino, Nickelate superconductors: Multiorbital nature and spin freezing, Phys. Rev. B 101, 041104 (2020).
- [21] L.-H. Hu and C. Wu, Two-band model for magnetism and superconductivity in nickelates, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 032046 (2019).
- [22] Y.-H. Zhang and A. Vishwanath, Type-ii t-j model in superconducting nickelate $nd_{1-x}sr_xnio_2$, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 023112 (2020).
- [23] Z. Liu, Z. Ren, W. Zhu, Z. Wang, and J. Yang, Electronic and magnetic structure of infinite-layer NdNiO₂: trace of antiferromagnetic metal, npj Quantum Materials 5, 1 (2020).
- [24] J. Karp, A. S. Botana, M. R. Norman, H. Park, M. Zingl, and A. Millis, Many-body electronic structure of NdNiO₂ and CaCuO₂, Phys. Rev. X **10**, 021061 (2020).
- [25] E. Been, W.-S. Lee, H. Y. Hwang, Y. Cui, J. Zaanen, T. Devereaux, B. Moritz, and C. Jia, Theory of rare-earth infinite layer nickelates, arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.12300 (2020).
- [26] Z.-J. Lang, R. Jiang, and W. Ku, Where do the doped hole carriers reside in the new superconducting nickelates?, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2005.00022 (2020), arXiv:2005.00022 [cond-mat.supr-con].
- [27] Y. Wang, C.-J. Kang, H. Miao, and G. Kotliar, Hund's metal physics: From SrNiO₂ to LaNiO₂, Phys. Rev. B 102, 161118 (2020).
- [28] Y. Nomura, T. Nomoto, M. Hirayama, and R. Arita, Magnetic exchange coupling in cuprate-analog d^9 nickelates, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 043144 (2020).
- [29] J. Kapeghian and A. S. Botana, Electronic structure and magnetism in infinite-layer nickelates RNiO₂

 $(\rm R=$ La-Lu), arXiv e-prints , arXiv:2007.15087 (2020), arXiv:2007.15087 [cond-mat.str-el].

- [30] G. A. Sawatzky, Superconductivity seen in a nonmagnetic nickel oxide, Nature (London) 572, 592 (2019).
- [31] M. Crespin, P. Levitz, and L. Gatineau, Reduced forms of LaNiO₃ perovskite. part 1.—evidence for new phases: La₂Ni₂O₅ and LaNiO₂, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 79, 1181 (1983).
- [32] M. A. Hayward, M. A. Green, M. J. Rosseinsky, and J. Sloan, Sodium hydride as a powerful reducing agent for topotactic oxide deintercalation: Synthesis and characterization of the Nickel(I) oxide LaNiO₂, Journal of the American Chemical Society **121**, 8843 (1999).
- [33] M. A. Hayward and M. J. Rosseinsky, Synthesis of the infinite layer Ni(I) phase NdNiO_{2+x} by low temperature reduction of NdNiO₃ with sodium hydride, Solid State Sciences 5, 839 (2003).
- [34] J. Zhang, A. Botana, J. Freeland, D. Phelan, H. Zheng, V. Pardo, M. Norman, and J. Mitchell, Large orbital polarization in a metallic square-planar nickelate, Nature Physics 13, 864 (2017).
- [35] P. W. Anderson, The resonating valence bond state in La₂CuO₄ and superconductivity, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).
- [36] D. J. Scalapino, A common thread: The pairing interaction for unconventional superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1383 (2012).
- [37] V. V. Poltavets, K. A. Lokshin, A. H. Nevidomskyy, M. Croft, T. A. Tyson, J. Hadermann, G. Van Tendeloo, T. Egami, G. Kotliar, N. ApRoberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, N. J. Curro, and M. Greenblatt, Bulk magnetic order in a two-dimensional Ni¹⁺/Ni²⁺ (d⁹/d⁸) nickelate, isoelectronic with superconducting cuprates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 206403 (2010).
- [38] A. S. Botana, V. Pardo, W. E. Pickett, and M. R. Norman, Charge ordering in Ni^{1+}/Ni^{2+} nickelates: La₄Ni₃O₈ and La₃Ni₂O₆, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 081105 (2016).
- [39] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, Journal of Applied Crystallography 44, 1272 (2011).
- [40] J. Zhang, Y.-S. Chen, D. Phelan, H. Zheng, M. R. Norman, and J. F. Mitchell, Stacked charge stripes in the quasi-2D trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₈, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **113**, 8945 (2016).
- [41] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for details of RIXS data on Pr₄Ni₃O₈, our theoretical model for spin excitations, details on our first principles calculations of magnetic exchange, additional information about sample synthesis and a description of the least-squares data fitting.
- [42] N. ApRoberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, V. V. Poltavets, M. Greenblatt, P. Klavins, and N. J. Curro, Critical spin dynamics in the antiferromagnet la₄ni₃o₈ from ¹³⁹La nuclear magnetic resonance, Phys. Rev. B 83, 014402 (2011).
- [43] C. T. Chen, F. Sette, Y. Ma, M. S. Hybertsen, E. B. Stechel, W. M. C. Foulkes, M. Schulter, S.-W. Cheong, A. S. Cooper, L. W. Rupp, B. Batlogg, Y. L. Soo, Z. H. Ming, A. Krol, and Y. H. Kao, Electronic states in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4+δ} probed by soft-x-ray absorption, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 104 (1991).
- [44] C. T. Chen, L. H. Tjeng, J. Kwo, H. L. Kao, P. Rudolf, F. Sette, and R. M. Fleming, Out-of-plane orbital charac-

ters of intrinsic and doped holes in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2543 (1992).

- [45] N. B. Brookes, G. Ghiringhelli, A.-M. Charvet, A. Fujimori, T. Kakeshita, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, and T. Mizokawa, Stability of the zhang-rice singlet with doping in lanthanum strontium copper oxide across the superconducting dome and above, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 027002 (2015).
- [46] G. Fabbris, D. Meyers, J. Okamoto, J. Pelliciari, A. S. Disa, Y. Huang, Z.-Y. Chen, W. B. Wu, C. T. Chen, S. Ismail-Beigi, C. H. Ahn, F. J. Walker, D. J. Huang, T. Schmitt, and M. P. M. Dean, Orbital engineering in nickelate heterostructures driven by anisotropic oxygen hybridization rather than orbital energy levels, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 147401 (2016).
- [47] G. Fabbris, D. Meyers, L. Xu, V. M. Katukuri, L. Hozoi, X. Liu, Z.-Y. Chen, J. Okamoto, T. Schmitt, A. Uldry, B. Delley, G. D. Gu, D. Prabhakaran, A. T. Boothroyd, J. van den Brink, D. J. Huang, and M. P. M. Dean, Doping dependence of collective spin and orbital excitations in the spin-1 quantum antiferromagnet La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO₄ observed by x rays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 156402 (2017).
- [48] D. Betto, Y. Y. Peng, S. B. Porter, G. Berti, A. Calloni, G. Ghiringhelli, and N. B. Brookes, Three-dimensional dispersion of spin waves measured in NiO by resonant inelastic x-ray scattering, Phys. Rev. B 96, 020409 (2017).
- [49] A. Nag, H. C. Robarts, F. Wenzel, J. Li, H. Elnaggar, R.-P. Wang, A. C. Walters, M. García-Fernández, F. M. F. de Groot, M. W. Haverkort, and K.-J. Zhou, Many-body physics of single and double spin-flip excitations in NiO, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 067202 (2020).
- [50] J. Q. Lin, H. Miao, D. G. Mazzone, G. D. Gu, A. Nag, A. C. Walters, M. García-Fernández, A. Barbour, J. Pelliciari, I. Jarrige, M. Oda, K. Kurosawa, N. Momono, K.-J. Zhou, V. Bisogni, X. Liu, and M. P. M. Dean, Strongly correlated charge density wave in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ Evidenced by Doping-Dependent Phonon Anomaly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 207005 (2020).
- [51] T. P. Devereaux, A. M. Shvaika, K. Wu, K. Wohlfeld, C. J. Jia, Y. Wang, B. Moritz, L. Chaix, W.-S. Lee, Z.-X. Shen, G. Ghiringhelli, and L. Braicovich, Directly characterizing the relative strength and momentum dependence of electron-phonon coupling using resonant inelastic xray scattering, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041019 (2016).
- [52] S. Huangfu, Z. Guguchia, D. Cheptiakov, X. Zhang, H. Luetkens, D. J. Gawryluk, T. Shang, F. O. von Rohr, and A. Schilling, Short-range magnetic interactions and spin-glass behavior in the quasi-two-dimensional nickelate Pr₄Ni₃O₈, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 054423 (2020).
- [53] J. Zhang, D. M. Pajerowski, A. S. Botana, H. Zheng, L. Harriger, J. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. P. C. Ruff, N. J. Schreiber, B. Wang, Y.-S. Chen, W. C. Chen, M. R. Norman, S. Rosenkranz, J. F. Mitchell, and D. Phelan, Spin stripe order in a square planar trilayer nickelate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 247201 (2019).
- [54] Diagrams for the exchange pathways are shown in [41].
- [55] Our model is essentially a trilayer generalization of E. W. Carlson, D. X. Yao, and D. K. Campbell, Spin waves in striped phases, Phys. Rev. B 70, 064505 (2004).
- [56] D. Reznik, P. Bourges, H. F. Fong, L. P. Regnault, J. Bossy, C. Vettier, D. L. Milius, I. A. Aksay, and B. Keimer, Direct observation of optical magnons in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.2}, Phys. Rev. B **53**, R14741 (1996).
- [57] M. P. M. Dean, A. J. A. James, A. C. Walters, V. Bisogni,

I. Jarrige, M. Hücker, E. Giannini, M. Fujita, J. Pelliciari, Y. B. Huang, R. M. Konik, T. Schmitt, and J. P. Hill, Itinerant effects and enhanced magnetic interactions in bi-based multilayer cuprates, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 220506 (2014).

- [58] M. W. Haverkort, Theory of resonant inelastic x-ray scattering by collective magnetic excitations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 167404 (2010).
- [59] Our spin-wave approach does not include any quantum renormalization factor, Z_c , of the spin wave energy, and this difference should be accounted for when comparing to cuprates when Z_c has been accounted for, which requires exchange values $Z_c \sim 1.18$ times larger to reproduce the same magnon energy [67, 73].
- [60] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, J. Luitz, R. Laskowski, F. Tran, and L. D. Marks, WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2018).
- [61] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, F. Tran, R. Laskowski, G. K. H. Madsen, and L. D. Marks, WIEN2k: an APW+lo program for calculating the properties of solids, The Journal of Chemical Physics 152, 074101 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5143061.
- [62] M. Dean, G. Dellea, R. Springell, F. Yakhou-Harris, K. Kummer, N. Brookes, X. Liu, Y. Sun, J. Strle, T. Schmitt, *et al.*, Persistence of magnetic excitations in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ from the undoped insulator to the heavily overdoped non-superconducting metal, Nature Materials **12**, 1019 (2013).
- [63] A. T. Boothroyd, D. Prabhakaran, P. G. Freeman, S. J. S. Lister, M. Enderle, A. Hiess, and J. Kulda, Spin dynamics in stripe-ordered la_{5/3}sr_{1/3}nio₄, Phys. Rev. B 67, 100407 (2003).
- [64] H. Woo, A. T. Boothroyd, K. Nakajima, T. G. Perring, C. D. Frost, P. G. Freeman, D. Prabhakaran, K. Yamada, and J. M. Tranquada, Mapping spin-wave dispersions in stripe-ordered $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_4$ (x = 0.275, 0.333), Phys. Rev. B **72**, 064437 (2005).
- [65] R. Coldea, S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, T. G. Perring, C. D. Frost, T. E. Mason, S.-W. Cheong, and Z. Fisk, Spin waves and electronic interactions in La₂CuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5377 (2001).
- [66] M. Le Tacon, G. Ghiringhelli, J. Chaloupka, M. M. Sala, V. Hinkov, M. Haverkort, M. Minola, M. Bakr, K. Zhou, S. Blanco-Canosa, *et al.*, Intense paramagnon excitations in a large family of high-temperature superconductors, Nature Physics 7, 725 (2011).
- [67] Y. Peng, G. Dellea, M. Minola, M. Conni, A. Amorese, D. Di Castro, G. De Luca, K. Kummer, M. Salluzzo, X. Sun, *et al.*, Influence of apical oxygen on the extent of in-plane exchange interaction in cuprate superconductors, Nature Physics **13**, 1201 (2017).
- [68] M. Dean, R. Springell, C. Monney, K. Zhou, J. Pereiro, I. Božović, B. Dalla Piazza, H. Rønnow, E. Morenzoni, J. Van Den Brink, *et al.*, Spin excitations in a single La₂CuO₄ layer, Nature materials **11**, 850 (2012).
- [69] E. M. Nica, J. Krishna, R. Yu, Q. Si, A. S. Botana, and O. Erten, Theoretical investigation of superconductivity in trilayer square-planar nickelates, Phys. Rev. B 102, 020504 (2020).
- [70] A. K. McMahan, J. F. Annett, and R. M. Martin, Cuprate parameters from numerical wannier functions, Phys. Rev. B 42, 6268 (1990).

- [71] Y. Fu, L. Wang, H. Cheng, S. Pei, X. Zhou, J. Chen, S. Wang, R. Zhao, W. Jiang, C. Liu, *et al.*, Core-level x-ray photoemission and Raman spectroscopy studies on electronic structures in mott-hubbard type nickelate oxide NdNiO₂, arXiv, 1911.03177 (2019).
- [72] A. S. Botana, V. Pardo, and M. R. Norman, Electron

doped layered nickelates: Spanning the phase diagram of the cuprates, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 021801 (2017).

[73] R. R. P. Singh, Thermodynamic parameters of the t = 0, spin-1/2 square-lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 9760 (1989).

(Dated: January 19, 2021)

I. FURTHER DETAILS OF THE SINGLE-CRYSTAL SYNTHESIS

Single-crystal growth of $R_4 Ni_3 O_{10}$ (R = La, Pr) was performed as described Refs. [1, 2]. The parent Ruddlesden-Popper phases were prepared in a floating zone furnace (HKZ-1, SciDre GmbH) with 20 bar O_2 for R = La and 140 bar for R = Pr. Oxygen was flowed at a rate of 0.1 l/min during growth and the feed and seed rods were counter-rotated at 30 r.p.m. and 27 r.p.m., respectively, to improve zone homogeneity. The traveling speed of the seed was 4 mm/h and the growth time was 30 hours. 438-phase crystals were obtained by reducing the 4310-specimens in 4 mol % H₂/Ar gas at 350°C for five days. The resulting samples have appreciable residual strain and are very brittle, so they were mounted on copper plates for transport.

II. RESONANT BEHAVIOR

In Fig. S1 we show the resonant behavior of the magnon. The magnon peak is visible at several energies from 851.5 to 853.1 eV exhibiting a Raman-like behavior in which it appears at a constant energy loss, rather than a constant final x-ray energy. We find that the magnon is strongest at 852.7 eV (as emphasized by the dashed line at this energy). This is well above the La M_4 -edge at 849 eV, further confirming the magnetic origin of the magnon.

III. FITTING OF THE RIXS DATA

The spectra were fitted with a Gaussian function for the elastic peak, a damped harmonic oscillator model for the paramagnon and a quadratic background. The inelastic peak was convoluted with a Gaussian function to account for the energy resolution. This lineshape is described by nine parameters, but only six parameters are free to vary in the fit. For the Gaussian lineshape describing the elastic peak, the center and the width are fixed by measurements of a graphite elastic reference sample, only the amplitude is free to vary. For the inelastic mode, the temperature is fixed, and the center, width and amplitude are free. For the quadratic background, we use a function f(x) = b for x < 0



FIG. S1. RIXS spectra of $La_4Ni_3O_8$ at (-0.44, 0) as a function of incident energy around the Ni L_3 edge. The chosen working energy that optimizes the magnon intensity, 852.7 eV, is highlighted using a dashed line and occurs above the maximum in the elastic line resonance, further confirming the magnetic nature of the observed inelastic excitations [3].

 $\mathbf{2}$



FIG. S2. RIXS spectra of $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ as a function of Q at the resonant energy of the magnon 852.7 eV. Data are shown as red points and the fit is shown as a black line, which is composed of the magnetic excitation in orange and the elastic line in blue. The in-plane Q of the measured spectrum is denoted in the top right of each panel. Note that the scale of the y-axis is half of that in Fig. 2 of the main text.

and $f(x) = a * x^2 + b$ for x > 0, a and b are free parameters. Prior to computing the final fit, we performed an initial fit in which the elastic energy was allowed to vary, which we used to shift the spectra in energy such that the elastic energy is set to exactly zero.

IV. COMPARISON OF La₄Ni₃O₈ AND Pr₄Ni₃O₈

The difference between $La_4Ni_3O_8$ and $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ has been studied in prior x-ray absorption and density functional theory (DFT)-based work [2]. This study concluded that both materials are rather similar regarding their high- and medium-energy physics such as spin states, orbital polarization, etc. The primary difference is that stripe order opens a small insulating gap in $La_4Ni_3O_8$, whereas $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ remains metallic without long-range order. $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ was later reported to have spin-glass behavior likely coming from short-range stripe correlations [4]. Since the more ordered and insulating nature of $La_4Ni_3O_8$ compared to $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ is expected to give sharper magnetic RIXS spectra, we focused on the former material for this paper, but we also took data on $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ as shown in Fig. S2. A similar energy peak is observed which is slightly broadened and less intense compared to $La_4Ni_3O_8$. Fitting the spectra for $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ in the same way as was done for $La_4Ni_3O_8$ yields a value of the near-neighbor exchange perhaps 10% lower, but overall the two materials are very similar (Fig. S3).

V. THEORY OF MAGNETIC EXCITATIONS IN THE STRIPE-ORDERED STATE

In this Section, we compute the dispersion relation and RIXS intensity for the magnons in a diagonal stripe state. To reproduce the stripe order shown in Fig. 1(b) of the main text, we consider a model with the following interactions illustrated in Fig. S4. J couples nearest-neighbor spins within the same stripe, J_1 couples spins across the stripes in the [1,0,0] direction, and J_z couples spins between layers within the trilayer in the [0,0,1] direction. A further J_2 coupling across the stripes along the [1,1,0] direction was also considered, but its effect could not be distinguished in the measured RIXS spectra, so it was omitted. This is expected as this super-superexchange contribution is weak given the 90 degree Ni-Ni pathway that is involved. We also ignore any single-ion anisotropy, again because it would be difficult to detect given the width of the elastic line. The in-plane lattice vectors for the structural unit cell are

$$a_1 = (a, 0, 0), \quad a_2 = (0, a, 0)$$
 (1)

and for the magnetic unit cell are

$$a_1^{\text{mag}} = (3a, 0, 0), \quad a_2^{\text{mag}} = (-a, a, 0).$$
 (2)



FIG. S3. Magnetic dispersion of $La_4Ni_3O_8$ and $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$. This figure is the same as Fig. 3 of the main text, but with data for $Pr_4Ni_3O_8$ added. Black/red points are the extracted energies of the magnetic excitation for $La_4Ni_3O_8/Pr_4Ni_3O_8$. The black line is the fit to the experimental dispersion of $La_4Ni_3O_8$, which is composed of the weighted sum of three dispersive magnons, called the acoustic, middle and optic modes, which are plotted as blue, orange and green lines, respectively.



FIG. S4. Coupling of the spins in the stripe-ordered state for: (a) a spin up in the top layer of the trilayer, (b) a spin down in the top layer, and (c) between the different layers. For simplicity, only the Ni sites are shown with red, blue and purple denoting up-spin, down-spin and hole states as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

where, for simplicity, we have assumed a primitive magnetic cell with non-orthogonal lattice vectors. Along the c direction, we have trilayers separated by the body-centered translation (a/2, a/2, c/2). Since there are no observable correlations between trilayers [5], we consider only a single trilayer here. The layers within a trilayer are in registry along c, with each layer separated by the interlayer distance $d \approx c/8$. The scattering vector Q is presented in normalized units with a = c = 1.

A. Dispersion relation

We proceed to calculate the magnon dispersion for the diagonal stripe state by generalizing the torque equation formalism of Carlson *et al.* [6] to the trilayer case (equivalent results can be obtained using the less transparent Holstein-Primakoff treatment [6]). According to neutron scattering data, the spins in the ground state are oriented along c [5]. Therefore, the generalized torque equations for the spins reduce to:

$$\frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{x}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{y} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}',j} J_{\boldsymbol{rr}'}^{ij} S_{\boldsymbol{r}',j}^{z} - S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{z} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}',j} J_{\boldsymbol{rr}'}^{ij} S_{\boldsymbol{r}',j}^{y} \right),$$

$$\frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{y}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \left(S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{z} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}',j} J_{\boldsymbol{rr}'}^{ij} S_{\boldsymbol{r}',j}^{x} - S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{x} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}',j} J_{\boldsymbol{rr}'}^{ij} S_{\boldsymbol{r}',j}^{z} \right),$$

$$\frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{z}}{dt} \approx 0,$$
(3)

where r, r' label the positions of the spins in different magnetic unit cells and the indices i, j label the spins within each magnetic unit cell (i, j = 1, ..., 6) as shown in Fig. S2. We seek sinusoidal solutions of the form

$$S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{x} = S_{i}^{x} \exp[i(\boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)], \quad S_{\boldsymbol{r},i}^{y} = S_{i}^{y} \exp[i(\boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} - \omega t)], \tag{4}$$

and we set $S_{\mathbf{r},i}^{z} = \pm S$ with the sign given by the orientation of the spin in the ground state. To start, we identify the couplings $J_{\mathbf{rr'}}^{ij}$ that connect the spins at different lattice positions, with the origin taken to be the location of spin 1. We distinguish two groups of spins, (S_1, S_3, S_5) and (S_2, S_4, S_6) , with each group having equivalent in-plane locations due to the *c*-axis translational symmetry. Their couplings are

- S_1 ($\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$) couples to S_2 twice with J ($\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{a_1}, \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{a_2}$) and twice with J_1 ($\mathbf{r}' = -2\mathbf{a_1}, \mathbf{r}' = -2\mathbf{a_2}$). The same applies for S_3 (S_5) coupled to S_4 (S_6).
- S_2 ($r = a_1$) couples to S_1 twice with J (r' = 0, $r' = a_1 a_2$) and twice with J_1 ($r' = 3a_1$, $r' = a_1 + 2a_2$). The same applies for S_4 (S_6) coupled to S_3 (S_1).

For the couplings along [0,0,1], we have:

- S_1 (S_2) couples to S_3 (S_4) with J_z .
- S_5 (S_6) couples to S_3 (S_4) with J_z .
- S_3 (S_4) couples with J_z to S_1 (S_2) and to S_5 (S_6).

With this information, we can write the torque equations for each of the six spins in the magnetic unit cell, for example:

$$\frac{dS_{\mathbf{0},1}^{x}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \Biggl\{ S_{\mathbf{0},1}^{y} (-S) \Bigl(2J + 2J_{1} + J_{z} \Bigr) \\
- S \Biggl[J \Bigl(S_{\mathbf{a}_{1},2}^{y} + S_{\mathbf{a}_{2},2}^{y} \Bigr) + J_{1} \Bigl(S_{-2\mathbf{a}_{1},2}^{y} + S_{-2\mathbf{a}_{2},2}^{y} \Bigr) + J_{z} \Bigl(S_{(0,0,-\frac{c}{8}),3}^{y} \Bigr) \Biggr] \Biggr\}.$$
(5)

Substituting Eq. 4, we can rewrite this expression as

$$\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_1^x = -S_1^y \left(2J + 2J_1 + J_z\right) - S_3^y J_z e^{-i\frac{Q_z}{8}} - S_2^y \left[J\left(e^{iQ_x} + e^{iQ_y}\right) + J_1\left(e^{-2iQ_x} + e^{-2iQ_y}\right)\right],\tag{6}$$

and simplifying

$$\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_1^x = -AS_1^y - CS_2^y - DS_3^y,\tag{7}$$

where we have defined

$$A = 2J + 2J_1 + J_z, B = A + J_z, C = J \left(e^{iQ_x} + e^{iQ_y} \right) + J_1 \left(e^{-2iQ_x} + e^{-2iQ_y} \right), D = J_z e^{-i\frac{Q_z}{8}}.$$
(8)

The final torque equations for the six spins are

$$\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{1}^{x} = -AS_{1}^{y} - CS_{2}^{y} - DS_{3}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{1}^{y} = +AS_{1}^{x} + CS_{2}^{x} + DS_{3}^{x} \\
\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{2}^{x} = +AS_{2}^{y} + C^{*}S_{1}^{y} + DS_{4}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{2}^{y} = -AS_{2}^{x} - C^{*}S_{1}^{x} - DS_{4}^{x} \\
\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{3}^{x} = +BS_{3}^{y} + CS_{4}^{y} + DS_{5}^{y} + D^{*}S_{1}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{3}^{y} = -BS_{3}^{x} - CS_{4}^{x} - DS_{5}^{x} - D^{*}S_{1}^{x} \\
\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{4}^{x} = -BS_{4}^{y} - C^{*}S_{3}^{y} - DS_{6}^{y} - D^{*}S_{2}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{4}^{y} = +BS_{4}^{x} + C^{*}S_{3}^{x} + DS_{6}^{x} + D^{*}S_{2}^{x} \\
\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{5}^{x} = -AS_{5}^{y} - CS_{6}^{y} - D^{*}S_{3}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{5}^{y} = +AS_{5}^{x} + CS_{6}^{x} + D^{*}S_{3}^{x} \\
\frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{6}^{x} = +AS_{6}^{y} + C^{*}S_{5}^{y} + D^{*}S_{4}^{y}, \qquad \frac{i\hbar\omega}{S}S_{6}^{y} = -AS_{6}^{x} - C^{*}S_{5}^{x} - D^{*}S_{4}^{x}.
\end{cases}$$
(9)

This results in a 12×12 secular matrix (6 spins, 2 components, x, y, per spin)

Diagonalizing this matrix yields the squared eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1^2(Q) = -A^2 + C^*C \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lambda_{2,3}^2(\boldsymbol{Q}) = -\frac{A^2}{2} - \frac{B^2}{2} + C^*C + 2J_z^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}J_z\sqrt{(A+B)^2 + 32C^*C - 8J_z^2},\tag{12}$$

each of which are two-fold degenerate because of the tetragonal symmetry of the ground state. As $i\omega = \lambda$, these eigenvalues correspond to the magnon branches

$$\omega_{\rm middle}(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sqrt{A^2 - C^* C} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\omega_{\text{acoustic,optic}}(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sqrt{\frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2} - C^*C - 2J_z^2 \mp \frac{1}{2}J_z\sqrt{(A+B)^2 + 32C^*C - 8J_z^2}}.$$
(14)

To justify these labels, and give some sense of these energies, we consider the Γ point. Substituting Q = 0 in Eq. 8 gives $C = 2J + 2J_1$ and $D = J_z$, which shows that our labels were chosen in order of increasing energy:

$$\omega_{\text{acoustic}}(\mathbf{0}) = 0,$$

$$\omega_{\text{middle}}(\mathbf{0}) = SJ_z \sqrt{1 + 2C/J_z} \sim S\sqrt{2J_zC},$$

$$\omega_{\text{optic}}(\mathbf{0}) = SJ_z \sqrt{1 + 6C/J_z} \sim S\sqrt{6J_zC},$$
(15)

where the approximation applies for $J_z \ll J$. The magnon dispersion for the parameters determined by the fit to the RIXS data, J=69 meV, $J_1=17 \text{ meV}$ (with $J_z=13.6 \text{ meV}$), can be seen in Fig. S5.



FIG. S5. Magnon dispersion with J=69 meV, $J_1=17 \text{ meV}$ and $J_z=13.6 \text{ meV}$, as in the main text, along the (Q,Q) and (Q,0) directions (with $Q_z=0$). The dashed curves in the left plot are for the twin domain (Q,-Q).

B. Calculation of the RIXS intensities

As explained in the main text and Ref. [7], the RIXS intensity for each mode, labeled n, can be written as

$$I_n(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \left| \sum_i \boldsymbol{k}_{in} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{n,\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{r}_i) \right|^2, \qquad (16)$$

with i summed over the six spins in the magnetic unit cell and

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{n,\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{r}_i) = \left(c_{n,\boldsymbol{Q},i}^x S_i^x, c_{n,\boldsymbol{Q},i}^y S_i^y, 0\right).$$
(17)

As the analytic expressions for the eigenvectors are complicated, we chose to determine them by diagonalizing the secular matrix Eq. 10 numerically for each Q using SciPy [8]. As noted above, each distinct magnon branch within our model has two degenerate eigenvalues, with the two members of each pair related by a 90 degree in-plane rotation because of the tetragonal symmetry. That is

$$(c_1^x, c_1^y, c_2^x, c_2^y, ...)$$
 (18)

is degenerate with

$$\left(-c_1^y, c_1^x, -c_2^y, c_2^x, \ldots\right) \tag{19}$$

with n, Q being implicit. It is important to enforce this symmetry when calculating the RIXS intensity.

For the fit shown in the text, we took into account the scattering geometry of the RIXS measurements, with

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{in} = \cos\theta \boldsymbol{I} - \sin\theta \boldsymbol{Q},\tag{20}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{Q}| = \frac{4\pi \sin \theta}{\lambda_E} \tag{21}$$

with θ the Bragg angle and λ_E the photon wavelength. Here,

$$I = \frac{Q \times c \times Q}{|c \times Q|}.$$
(22)

In particular, as the in-plane component of Q is swept, the Q_z component changes accordingly.



FIG. S6. Magnetic supercells used for determining the magnetic exchange. Ni sites are shown as spheres with red, blue and purple denoting up-spin, down-spin and hole states, respectively, as in Fig. 1 of the main text and Fig. S4. Gray lines trace the Ni-O bond network. Each magnetic cell is a $3\sqrt{2}a \times \sqrt{2}a \times c$ tiling of the structural cell containing 36 Ni atoms (180 atoms in total), noting that there are two trilayer units a given unit cell. Atoms that are at the edge of the unit cell are shown as full spheres rather than being cut-off.

VI. FIRST-PRINCIPLES DETERMINATION OF EXCHANGE COUPLING CONSTANTS IN La₄Ni₃O₈

We performed DFT calculations for $La_4Ni_3O_8$ with the all-electron full potential code WIEN2k [9, 10] using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional [11]. In these calculations, as we did previously [2, 12], we considered the influence of the Coulomb interaction, U. The U modification of DFT is usually included to compensate for the under-localization of transition-metal 3d-electrons in DFT. Including U, conversely, tends to over-localize electrons as it "double counts" the true Coulomb and exchange interactions as explained in, for example, Refs. [13-15]. Because of this, it is not immediately obvious whether including U leads to a more accurate value of J. In calculations, we found that the inclusion of U simply increases the size of the gap, and also leads to a modest increase in J, as outlined in Table I. Calculations with and without U find the same insulating, charge and spin stripe-ordered ground state, which was predicted before this state was experimentally observed [5]. In our prior work, we examined the role of U at length and found that the low-spin stripe state $La_4Ni_3O_8$ was appropriately described by GGA calculations even without the inclusion of U [2, 12]. We refer the reader to these papers for the reasoning behind this. Since it allows us to compute J with fewer adjustable parameters, we report values from GGA calculations in the main text, and find that this is in good accord with experiment. As is evident based on how Jchanges with U, the very close match between theory and experiment of 2 meV at the GGA level is likely coincidental. We note that similar values for the superexchange can be obtained from rough estimates using a sum of the Mott and charge transfer contributions, $J = 2t^2/U_d + 2t^2/[\Delta + U_p/2]$, with $t = t_{pd}^2/\Delta$. Using $U_d = 8.5$ eV and $U_p = 7.3$ eV values from a similar analysis on the cuprates [16], with a Δ and t_{pd} obtained from our Wannier fit for La₄Ni₃O₈ [17], a comparable J of 99 meV is obtained. But this, obviously, depends on the choice of U_d and U_p . Spin-orbit coupling is not expected to have an appreciable effect on the exchange constants for 3d transition metal ions, especially for e_a states where the orbital moment is largely quenched. This has been explicitly verified in our prior calculations [5].

The exchange couplings $(J, J_1 \text{ and } J_z)$ were obtained from total energy calculations for different Ni spin configurations (labeled C1-C4 in Fig. S6) mapped to a Heisenberg model. Configuration C1 is the experimental and theoretical ground state as shown in Fig. 1 of the main text. The magnitudes of the magnetic moments of the Ni²⁺ atoms were between 0.6-0.7 μ_B and we confirmed that these values were similar within 0.1 μ_B in the different configurations, an accuracy typical of this type of calculation, justifying the Heisenberg mapping. Different configurations C1-C4 have differing magnetic bonds:

- C1 AFM J, AFM J_1 , AFM J_z
- C2 AFM J, AFM J_1 , FM J_z
- C3 FM J, FM J_1 , FM J_z
- C4 FM J, AFM J_1 , FM J_z

The energies per trilayer are (with each bond counted once)

$$E_{C1} = E_0 - 4 \times 3JS^2 - 3 \times 4J_1S^2 - 2 \times 4J_zS^2$$

= $E_0 - 3J - 3J_1 - 2J_z$
 $E_{C2} = E_0 - 3J - 3J_1 + 2J_z$
 $E_{C3} = E_0 + 3J + 3J_1 + 2J_z$
 $E_{C4} = E_0 + 3J - 3J_1 + 2J_z$ (23)

where E_0 is the non-magnetic energy. Solving this set of linear equations gives

$$J = (E_{C4} - E_{C2})/6$$

$$J_1 = (E_{C3} - E_{C4})/6$$

$$J_z = (E_{C2} - E_{C1})/4.$$
(24)

whose values are listed in the main text.

VII. X-RAY ABSORPTION

Our X-ray absorption spectrum (XAS) measurements aim to compare the intensity of the O K-edge pre-peak feature as a guide to the 3d-2p orbital hybridization in nickelates and cuprates. We analyze our data on La₄Ni₃O₈ against literature data for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ from Ref. [18] and $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ from Ref. [19]. As far as we are aware, $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ data are only available for in-plane polarization, so we only show this component of the polarization. The intensity of the spectra are scaled to have equivalent intensities for energies above 538 eV past the main O K-edge step. Since different measurements can have different absolute energy calibrations, we used measurements of different reference samples to put the spectra on the same energy-scale. We use Ref. [2] as our reference energy calibration for which $La_4Ni_3O_8$ was measured alongside $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ and $SrTiO_3$. We then used the $SrTiO_3$ reference measurements in [19] to put $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ on the same energy scale. While in $La_4Ni_3O_8$ and $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ the pre-peak is very clear, the pre-peak in $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ is broader making isolating the pre-preak less immediately obvious. We took the same 'background' intensity as was used in [19], which comes from a measurement of undoped $NdNiO_2$ and use a lorentzian lineshape to fit. The dominant error in our analysis likely comes from inhomogeneity in the doping of the $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ results we compare to and some uncertainty in how to isolate all the intensity in the pre-peak. These will likely improve in the future by higher quality sample preparation. Further analysis of the ligandhole anisotropy will also be important, but also requires polarization-dependent measurements of $Nd_{1-x}Sr_xNiO_2$ that are not currently available in the literature.

Exchange constant	GGA+U (meV)	GGA (meV)	Exchange ratio	GGA+U	GGA
J	97.60	71.40	J/J_z	5.04	5.25
J_1	14.28	10.65	J/J_1	6.83	6.7
J_z	19.38	13.6	J_z/J_1	1.36	1.28

TABLE I. Exchange constants in the GGA and GGA+U approximations (U = 4.75 eV).

Junjie Zhang, Yu-Sheng Chen, D. Phelan, Hong Zheng, M. R. Norman, and J. F. Mitchell, "Stacked charge stripes in the quasi-2D trilayer nickelate La₄Ni₃O₈," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **113**, 8945–8950 (2016).

- [2] Junjie Zhang, AS Botana, JW Freeland, D Phelan, Hong Zheng, V Pardo, MR Norman, and JF Mitchell, "Large orbital polarization in a metallic square-planar nickelate," Nature Physics 13, 864–869 (2017).
- [3] G. Fabbris, D. Meyers, L. Xu, V. M. Katukuri, L. Hozoi, X. Liu, Z.-Y. Chen, J. Okamoto, T. Schmitt, A. Uldry, B. Delley, G. D. Gu, D. Prabhakaran, A. T. Boothroyd, J. van den Brink, D. J. Huang, and M. P. M. Dean, "Doping dependence of collective spin and orbital excitations in the spin-1 quantum antiferromagnet La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO₄ observed by x rays," Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 156402 (2017).
- [4] Shangxiong Huangfu, Zurab Guguchia, Denis Cheptiakov, Xiaofu Zhang, Hubertus Luetkens, Dariusz Jakub Gawryluk, Tian Shang, Fabian O. von Rohr, and Andreas Schilling, "Short-range magnetic interactions and spin-glass behavior in the quasi-two-dimensional nickelate Pr₄Ni₃O₈," Phys. Rev. B **102**, 054423 (2020).
- [5] Junjie Zhang, D. M. Pajerowski, A. S. Botana, Hong Zheng, L. Harriger, J. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. P. C. Ruff, N. J. Schreiber, B. Wang, Yu-Sheng Chen, W. C. Chen, M. R. Norman, S. Rosenkranz, J. F. Mitchell, and D. Phelan, "Spin stripe order in a square planar trilayer nickelate," Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 247201 (2019).
- [6] E. W. Carlson, D. X. Yao, and D. K. Campbell, "Spin waves in striped phases," Phys. Rev. B 70, 064505 (2004).
- [7] M. W. Haverkort, "Theory of resonant inelastic x-ray scattering by collective magnetic excitations," Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 167404 (2010).
- [8] Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E. Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K. Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R. J. Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, CJ Carey, İlhan Polat, Yu Feng, Eric W. Moore, Jake Vand erPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, Charles R Harris, Anne M. Archibald, Antônio H. Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, and SciPy 1. 0 Contributors, "SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python," Nature Methods 17, 261–272 (2020).
- [9] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, J. Luitz, R. Laskowski, F. Tran, and L. D. Marks, WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2018).
- [10] Peter Blaha, Karlheinz Schwarz, Fabien Tran, Robert Laskowski, Georg K. H. Madsen, and Laurence D. Marks, "WIEN2k: an APW+lo program for calculating the properties of solids," The Journal of Chemical Physics 152, 074101 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5143061.
- [11] John P. Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof, "Generalized gradient approximation made simple," Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865–3868 (1996).
- [12] Antia S. Botana, Victor Pardo, Warren E. Pickett, and Michael R. Norman, "Charge ordering in Ni¹⁺/Ni²⁺ nickelates: La₄Ni₃O₈ and La₃Ni₂O₆," Phys. Rev. B **94**, 081105 (2016).
- [13] Erik R. Ylvisaker, Warren E. Pickett, and Klaus Koepernik, "Anisotropy and magnetism in the LSDA + U method," Phys. Rev. B 79, 035103 (2009).
- [14] Hanghui Chen and Andrew J. Millis, "Spin-density functional theories and their +U and +J extensions: A comparative study of transition metals and transition metal oxides," Phys. Rev. B **93**, 045133 (2016).
- [15] Siheon Ryee and Myung Joon Han, "The effect of double counting, spin density, and hund interaction in the different DFT+U functionals," Scientific reports 8, 1–11 (2018).
- [16] A. K. McMahan, James F. Annett, and Richard M. Martin, "Cuprate parameters from numerical wannier functions," Phys. Rev. B 42, 6268–6282 (1990).
- [17] Emilian M. Nica, Jyoti Krishna, Rong Yu, Qimiao Si, Antia S. Botana, and Onur Erten, "Theoretical investigation of superconductivity in trilayer square-planar nickelates," Phys. Rev. B 102, 020504 (2020).
- [18] C. T. Chen, L. H. Tjeng, J. Kwo, H. L. Kao, P. Rudolf, F. Sette, and R. M. Fleming, "Out-of-plane orbital characters of intrinsic and doped holes in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄," Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2543–2546 (1992).
- [19] Berit H Goodge, Danfeng Li, Motoki Osada, Bai Yang Wang, Kyuho Lee, George A Sawatzky, Harold Y Hwang, and Lena F Kourkoutis, "Doping evolution of the Mott-Hubbard landscape in infinite-layer nickelates," arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02847 (2020).