

Absence of VGLUT3 expression leads to impaired fear memory in mice

Camille de Almeida, Nida Chabbah, Camille Eyraud, Caroline Fasano, Véronique Bernard, Nicolas Pietrancosta, Véronique Fabre, Salah El Mestikawy, Stephanie Daumas

▶ To cite this version:

Camille de Almeida, Nida Chabbah, Camille Eyraud, Caroline Fasano, Véronique Bernard, et al.. Absence of VGLUT3 expression leads to impaired fear memory in mice. eNeuro, 2023, pp.ENEURO.0304-22.2023. 10.1523/ENEURO.0304-22.2023. hal-03969460

HAL Id: hal-03969460 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03969460

Submitted on 2 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Manuscript Title Page

- 1. Manuscript Title (50 word maximum): Absence of VGLUT3 expression leads to impaired fear memory in mice
- Abbreviated Title (50 character maximum): Emotional memories impairment in VGLUT3-/- mice

3. List all Author Names and Affiliations in order as they would appear in the published article:

Camille de Almeida1⁺, Nida Chabbah1⁺, Camille Eyraud1, Caroline Fasano2, Véronique

Bernard1, Nicolas Pietrancosta1, Véronique Fabre1, Salah El Mestikawy1,2, Stephanie Daumas1

14 15 Affiliations :

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

20

21 22

23

24

25

30

1 Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Neuroscience Paris Seine – Institut de Biologie 16 17 Paris Seine (NPS - IBPS), 75005 Paris, France

18 2 Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, 19 Montreal, Quebec, Canada

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

4. Author Contributions: SD designed research; NC, CdA, CE, CF and SD performed research and analyzed data; NC, CdA and SD wrote the paper. VB, VF, NP and SEM critically revised the manuscript.

26 5. Correspondence should be addressed to (include email address) :

27 Dr Stephanie DAUMAS; stephanie.daumas@sorbonne-universite.fr

28 Sorbonne Université, INSERM 1130, CNRS8246, Neuroscience Paris Seine IBPS, Cam-29 pus Pierre & Marie Curie, 9 quai St Bernard, Case courrier 37, 75005 Paris, France

- 6. Number of Figures: 7
- 31 32 7. Number of Tables: 1
- 33 Number of Multimedia: 0
- 34 9. Number of words for Abstract: 189
- 35 10. Number of words for Significance Statement: 116
- 36 11. Number of words for Introduction: 794
- 37 12. Number of words for Discussion: 2021
- 38 13. Acknowledgements
- The authors are grateful to the IBPS Animal facility for breeding and care, the IBPS-NPS 39
- 40 Phenotypic core facility, undergraduate students Florence Alain, Lara Oliel, Julie Dang,
- 41 Alexandra Chrétien and Naomie Guille who participated in some behavioural experiments.
- 42 We would like to thanks Pr Ann Lohof for proofreading of the manuscript.
- 43
- 44 14. Conflict of Interest: A. No, Authors report no conflict of interest
- 45 15. Funding sources: This work was supported by funds from FRM Team
- 46 EQ2013032648, the CNRS, Inserm and Sorbonne Université. NC and CdA were funded
- 47 by a PhD fellowship from the French Ministry of Research

eNeuro Accepted Manuscript

48 **1. Manuscript Title:**

49 Absence of VGLUT3 expression leads to impaired fear memory in mice

50

51 2. Abbreviated Title: Emotional memories impairment in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice

52

53

54 Abstract

Fear is an emotional mechanism that helps to cope with potential hazards. However, when fear is generalized it becomes maladaptive and represents a core symptom of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Converging lines of research show that dysfunction of glutamatergic neurotransmission is a cardinal feature of trauma and stress related disorders such as PTSD. However, the involvement of glutamatergic co-transmission in fear is less well understood. Glutamate is accumulated into synaptic vesicles by vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLUTs). The atypical subtype, VGLUT3 is responsible for the co-transmission of glutamate with acetylcholine (ACh), serotonin (5-HT) or GABA.

To understand the involvement of VGLUT3-dependent cotransmission in aversive memories, we used a Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. Our results revealed a higher contextual fear memory in these mice, despite a facilitation of extinction. In addition, the absence of VGLUT3 leads to fear generalization, probably due to a pattern separation deficit. Our study suggests that the VGLUT3 network plays a crucial role in regulating emotional memories. Hence, VGLUT3 is a key player in the processing of aversive memories and therefore a potential therapeutic target in stress-related disorders.

68

69

70 Introduction

71

Fear is an emotion in response to a threat that is essential for survival. However, generalization of fear is a core symptom of major psychiatric disorders such as anxiety disorders, phobia, panic disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Lissek and van Meurs, 2015; Besnard and Sahay, 2016). Major progress has been made thanks to animal studies of aversive memories through the Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm (LeDoux, 2012). This test consists of associating an initially neutral stimulus (such as a tone, a light or a context) to an aversive event (such as a footshock) (Maren et al., 2013). This paradigm is widely used to dissect mechanisms underlying fear learning and memory, and to better understand fear-related disorders.

Fear generalization is defined by the fact that a distinct, but perceived by the animal as similar, context elicits fear responses. The balance between contextual discrimination and generalization is a crucial aspect of the expression of fear. Fear generalization is currently considered a central feature of generalized anxiety and PTSD (Lissek, 2012; Mahan and Ressler, 2012).

83 An effective treatment for fear generalization is based on extinction training to reduce acquired fear (Craske 84 et al., 2008; Rothbaum and Davis, 2003). Fear extinction consists of new inhibitory learning after repeated 85 presentations of fear-associated stimulus, in the absence of the aversive event, leading to a gradual decrease 86 in the magnitude of the fear response (Myers and Davis, 2007). However, after extinction fear memory is not 87 erased, but inhibited, as it can reappear in spontaneous recovery, external disinhibition, renewal, and rein-88 statement (Maren and Holmes, 2016). Therefore, it is important to better characterize neural circuits underly-89 ing the formation and maintenance of aversive memories if we want to understand and treat generalized fear 90 more efficiently.

The neuronal circuits and the neuromodulators regulating emotional memories are well characterized. Emotional memories rely on a complex network including the amygdala, the hippocampus and the prefrontal cortex (Tovote et al., 2015). The amygdala is necessary for fear processing from acquisition to expression, whereas the hippocampus is mainly involved in contextual memory processing (Myers and Davis, 2007; Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011; Fanselow, 2000; Marek et al., 2018). Finally, the infra-limbic (IL) and the prelimbic areas of the prefrontal cortex are essential for fear extinction (Sierra-Mercado et al., 2011; Marek et al., 2019).

98	Several studies have highlighted the involvement of neurotransmitters including glutamate, GABA, acetyl-
99	choline and serotonin signaling in fear processing (Ballinger et al., 2016; Baratta et al., 2016; Christianson et
100	al., 2010; Craske et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2015; Knox, 2016; Krabbe et al., 2018; Wil-
101	son and Fadel, 2017). Interestingly several subpopulations of neurons and fibers of the amygdala, the hippo-
102	campus or the prefrontal cortex release more than one neurotransmitter (for review, see El Mestikawy et al.,
103	2011; Trudeau and El Mestikawy, 2018). Most of these bilingual neurons in the fear circuit express the atyp-
104	ical vesicular glutamate transporter type 3 (VGLUT3) (Rovira-Esteban et al., 2017; Sengupta and Holmes,
105	2019; Amilhon et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2017; Herzog et al., 2004; Omiya et al., 2015). Studies have illus-
106	trated the involvement of VGLUT3 neurons in psychiatric disorders (Sakae et al., 2015; Favier et al., 2020).
107	Several studies have demonstrated that the absence of VGLUT3 in VGLUT3 neurons led to the abolishment
108	of glutamatergic currents mediated by mGlu receptors in the striatum or the hippocampus (Sakae et al, 2005,
109	Fasano et al, 2017, Favier et al, 2020) whereas others showed the abolition of a glutamatergic ionotropic cur-
110	rents (Higley et al., 2011; Varga et al., 2009). Interestingly, VGLUT3-/- mice show a persistent hyper-
111	reactivity to stress (Amilhon et al., 2010) and a dysregulation of their HPA-axis (Balázsfi et al., 2018), but
112	only a few studies focused on the role of VGLUT3 in the regulation of emotion and fear. A couple of studies
113	previously showed that VGLUT3 deficient mice have a higher contextual fear memory and tend to general-
114	ize their fear to unrelated situations (Balázsfi et al., 2018) with no major other memory deficits (Fazekas et
115	al., 2019).

116 In this context, our aim was to confirm the role of VGLUT3 in aversive memories and to deepen our under-117 standing of it by using a combination of behavioural paradigms. Using a Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm, we report that VGLUT3^{-/-} mice express more stable and generalized contextual memories associated with a 118deficit of pattern separation. Interestingly, VGLUT3-/- mice have no deficit in non-aversive learning or in 119 120 working memory, spatial reference memory, or in recognition memory. These results highlight the specific 121 role of the VGLUT3-positive network in the establishment and maintenance of aversive memories and most 122 notably in the generalization of fear. They also provide evidence that VGLUT3 could be considered as a po-123 tential target for the treatment of stress-related disorders.

124

125 Materials and methods

126

127 Animals

Animal care and experiments were conducted in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive for the Care and the Use of Laboratory Animals (86/809/EEC) and in compliance with the French Ministère de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt, Service Vétérinaire de la Santé et de la Protection Animale. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals and to ensure their well-being. Animals were group caged and housed in a temperature-controlled room ($20\pm2^{\circ}$ C) with free access to water and food under a light/dark cycle of 12h (light 7:30am – 7:30pm).

VGLUT3^{-/-} mice (Gras et al., 2008) were on a C57BL6/J background. Heterozygous mice were bred to obtain VGLUT3^{-/-} mice and wildtype (VGLUT3^{+/+}) littermates. Pups were weaned around 22 days old, marked by ear punch and genotyped using the ear sample. Experiments were performed with 2–4-month-old mice (159 males and 50 females). Animals were randomly allocated to experimental groups and investigators were blinded for experimental procedures. Total animal number used in each paradigm is presented in Table 1.

140

141 Behavioural paradigms

142 The Watermaze task

143 The WM test was performed as described previously (Daumas et al., 2008). The mice were monitored with a 144 video tracking system (AnyMaze). First mice went through a 4 days cuetask protocol where the 1.8m diame-145 ter pool is surrounded with curtains, and a cue placed on the platform (60sec trials, 4 trials a day, 146 ITI=20min). For the spatial reference memory (SRM) task, the platform was centered in one of the four 147 quadrants and kept stable throughout the task (without any cue on it). The protocol lasted 5 days (90sec tri-148 als, 4 trials a day, ITI=10min). Ten minutes after the last trial on day 5, a 60-sec probe test (SRM-10 min) 149 was conducted during which the platform was removed. In order to avoid extinction, an additional trial with 150 the platform was done immediately after each probe test. A second probe test was performed 72 hrs after 151 assessing the long-term memory of the mice (SRM-72 hrs). For the SRM Reversal (SRM-R) task, which was 152 conducted immediately after the second probe test, learning flexibility was assessed by moving the platform 153 to the opposite quadrant used for the SRM task. The animals were trained for 3 days (90sec trials, 4 trials a 154 day, ITI=10min) and spatial memory was assessed at 10 min (SRM-R-10 min) and 72 hrs (SRM-R-72 hrs) 155 after the last SRM-R trial. Data for the following parameters were collected: latency to reach the platform 156 location, path length, swim speed, thigmotactic behavior and the percentage of time spent in the quadrant 157 zones.

158 Novel place recognition (NPR) / Novel object recognition (NOR)

159 The NPR/NOR task was performed in a square open-field (25cm) with sawdust on the floor and cues on the 160 walls. Habituation consisted of 1) a 10-min exploration period of the open-field with cagemates (Day1), 2) 161 two 5-min periods during which each mouse was placed individually in the empty open-field on two consec-162 utive days (Day2-3), and 3) a 5-min period during which the mice were placed in the open-field with two 163 identical objects (Day4). On the training day (Day5), mice were allowed to explore two new identical objects 164 until they had accumulated 15 sec then 10 sec of total inspection time during the first and second training 165 session, respectively. Since VGLUT3-- mice are more anxious, the protocol was adapted in this way rather 166 than a fixed 10-min training session, to ensure that all animals explore the objects sufficiently to establish 167 memory formation. Therefore, the length of the session was different between animals, but the exploration of 168 the objects was identical. On Day6, the mice were tested for the NOR paradigm (10 min) during which one 169 of the original objects was replaced with a new object. On Day7 we started the NPR paradigm during which 170 two new objects were placed in the open-field. As for NOR, 2 sessions of training were run and consisted in 171 accumulating 15 sec and 10 sec of total exploration time. Twenty- four hours later (Day8), the mice were 172 tested in the NPR paradigm (10 min): the same pair of familiar objects was used but one of the objects was 173 displaced in another corner of the open- field. The percentage of time exploring the new object was calculat-174 ed as a discrimination index: [novel /(novel + familiar)].

175 Y Maze

Working memory was assessed with a Y maze apparatus (Imetronic, Pessac, France). Mice freely explored the maze for 10 min. The total number of entries was counted as well as the spontaneous alternation. Spontaneous alternation occurs when a mouse enters a different arm of the maze 3 consecutive times. The percentage of spontaneous alternation was calculated by dividing the number of spontaneous alternations by the to-tal number of arm entries minus 2 and multiplied by 100.

181

182 Fear Conditioning Experiments

The Fear Conditioning Apparatus (BIOSEB) is made of black methacrylate walls, a grid floor and transparent ceiling and front door. Panlab software (BIOSEB) was used to carry out the experiments and record freezing behaviour. A video recording system (Multimedia Video Record) allowed manual scoring of freezing levels to validate the automatic counts.

Shock sensitivity paradigm. Because VGLUT3 is present in peripheral sensory neurons and contributes to mechanical pain (Seal et al, 2009), we assessed the sensitivity to electric footshocks in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. A train of electric footshocks (ES, 1-sec duration) was delivered starting from 0.1 mA and gradually increasing by 0.05 mA every 30 sec. Shock delivery was stopped when all expected behavioural responses were observed: increased locomotor activity (movement), vocalization, running and jumping. The intensity of the electric shock that first triggered each of these behaviours was recorded.

193 The fear conditioning paradigm was used to study learning and memory of aversive stimuli as previously 194 reported (LeDoux, 2003; Daumas, 2005). Since VGLUT3^{-/-} mice are deaf (Ruel et al., 2008; Seal et al., 195 2008), a flashing light was used as the conditioned stimulus (CS: 20 sec, 2 sec ON / 2 sec OFF, 80 lux) and a 196 0.25 mA electric footshock as the unconditioned stimulus (US, 2 sec).

After 3 days of habituation (6 min per day), the conditioning session took place on day 4. After 2 min in the chamber, the CS was triggered and its final 2 sec coincided with the US. After a 30 sec interval, a second CS-US pairing was presented. Memory tests were done on day 5. Contextual memory was assessed with the contextual test, and cue memory was assessed by the cue test 2 hours later. For the contextual test, mice were placed in the conditioning context for 6 min without CS (light) or US. The cue test consisted of 3 min of exploration of a modified context (color, shape, light and odor), followed by 4 CS presentations with an intertrial interval of 30 sec.

A **Pattern Separation** protocol was conducted for 11 days in two highly similar contexts: the shock associated context A and the safe context B as described by (Sahay et al., 2011). On day 0 mice were introduced into context A and after 185 sec received a 0.75 mA US for 2 sec. During the following ten days, mice were
exposed to the US-associated context A (183 sec exploration - 2 sec US - 15 sec exploration, before being
removed to home cage) and one hour later to the safe context B (180 sec exploration) in a defined order.
Freezing behaviour was assessed during the first 180 sec for each context.

Immediate shock procedure. Mice were submitted to a no-shock (NS) or an immediate shock (IS) procedure. For the NS, mice were free to explore the conditioning cage for 30sec. In the IS procedure, mice received an immediate shock (0.25mA, 2sec) immediately after their placement in the conditioning chamber and were removed after 30sec. Generalized fear was evaluated 24h later by placing the animals in the conditioning chamber (same context; SC) or in a novel box (novel context; NC) for 5 min.

215 Fear extinction learning and memory were studied for 15 days. Mice were habituated to the conditioning 216 chamber for 2 min before ten CS-US were delivered at 75 sec intervals. From day 2 to day 8, extinction took 217 place in the modified context. Mice were exposed to 10 presentations of CS with an interval of 85 sec under 218 red light illumination. A learning index (LI) was calculated daily. This index is used to ascertain the daily 219 extinction rate by calculating the difference between the first and last CS-induced freezing. On day 15, mice 220 were re-exposed to the conditioning context with ten CS presentations to assess fear recall. On day 18, they 221 were placed in a new context and ten CS were once again presented in order to evaluate renewal in a new 222 context.

223

224 Statistics

Statistical comparisons were performed with Prism 9 (GraphPad software Inc. USA for Mac OS, La Jolla, CA). Each statistical test was appropriately chosen for the relevant experimental design. Sidak's multiple comparisons test was performed for post-hoc analysis when required unless otherwise indicated. All data are presented as the mean \pm SEM, with differences considered significant at p<0.05. Complete analysis and statistics are presented in Extended Tables.

230 Results

Fear conditioning is based on learning/memory and on the propensity of mice to feel and react to electric footshock. VGLUT3 is expressed in the hippocampus where it contributes to hippocampal plasticity and network properties (Fasano et al., 2017). On the other hand, VGLUT3 is also found in subsets of neurons in pain circuits (Sakai et al., 2020; Larsson and Broman, 2019; Peirs et al., 2015; Draxler et al., 2014; Seal et al., 2009; Landry et al., 2004). Therefore, prior to using the fear conditioning paradigm, we assessed learning, spatial memory and pain threshold (i.e., response to foot shock) in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice.

237

238 The absence of VGLUT3 does not impair learning and memory in mice

239 To explore the consequences of VGLUT3 deletion on spatial and non-spatial memories, we first used the 240 watermaze task (WM) (Fig.1; statistics details can be found in extended figure 1-1). Relative to wildtype 241 littermates, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice displayed no impairment of learning in either the non-spatial (Fig.1D) or the 242 spatial (Fig.1E) task. We observed a main effect of time but no main effect of genotypes or interaction be-243 tween time and genotype. Therefore, both genotypes improved their learning during the training days 244 (Fig.1D-E p<0.0001). To challenge them and assess their learning flexibility, a 3-day reversal task was per-245 formed immediately after the spatial reference learning task (Fig.1E: R1-R3). On day 1 of reversal learning 246 (R1 in Fig.1E) both groups increased their latency to reach the new platform location, and then similarly im-247 proved their performance (Fig.1E; time: p<0.0001, genotype: p=0.882). Spatial memory was assessed 10 248 min and 72h after training completion for SRM and SRM-R. In all tested conditions, control littermates as 249 well as VGLUT3^{-/-} mice spent significantly more than 25% of probe trial time in the targeted quadrant, indi-250 cating intact spatial reference memory (group performance vs. 25% p<0.05; Fig. 1F). However, during the 251 long-term memory test, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice showed better performances (SRM-PT2) than controls (Fig.1F). 252 Since VGLUT3^{-/-} mice are more vulnerable to anxiety than WT mice (Amilhon et al, 2010), we explored the 253 contribution of anxiety to memory formation and learning in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice in a more stressful condition, 254 when the water temperature was lowered to 19°C (Sandi et al., 1997). At 19°C, we observed no main effect 255 of genotype or interaction between genotype and time, but a main effect of time for both cuetask and 256 SRM/SRM-R (Fig.1G-H). A 3 way ANOVA revealed no main effect of genotype, tests or water temperature 257 and no interactions between these parameters except for the temperature x genotype (p=0.04; see Extended Figure 1-1 for statistical details). Moreover, in all tested conditions, VGLUT3-/- and control mice show similar performances and spent more than 25% of their time in the correct quadrant (Fig.1I). These data show no
deficit of learning and memory in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice in the WM paradigm.

261

262

Figure 1 AROUND HERE

263

- 264 We then studied spontaneous learning and memory using the object recognition paradigm. We observed for 265 both genotypes a significant difference from chance level (score 0.5) revealing long-term recognition 266 memory for objects (Fig.2A) and position (Fig.2B) in all animals. VGLUT3^{-/-} mice show higher perfor-267 mances than control littermates in the object recognition task (Fig.2A). Since VGLUT3^{-/-} mice spent signifi-268 cantly more time in the open field, we wondered whether the time spent during training was correlated with 269 the memory score obtained in the object recognition test. The correlation curve (Fig.2C) and the linear regression revealed no correlation between memory score and the length of the session in VGLUT3-/- mice 270 271 $(R^2=0.03, F(1,11)=0.31, P>0.05;$ equation: Y=-0.001889*X+0.6951). These experiments do not reveal major 272 learning or memory impairment in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice.
- 273
- 274

Figure 2 AROUND HERE

275

276 Footshock sensitivity is not altered by VGLUT3 deletion

Deletion of VGLUT3 did not affect the behavioral responses (movement, vocalization, running, jump) elicited by footshock stimuli of varying intensity (Fig. 3A and statistics in in extended figure 3-1). This result
shows that pain sensitivity to electric footshocks is unaffected in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice.

280

281 Visual and Contextual Fear Conditioning are altered in the absence of VGLUT3

282 During conditioning we observed no main effect of genotype, no interaction between genotype and time,

283 only a main effect of time (Fig.3B /Table4). We then assessed contextual memory 24h after conditioning

284 (Fig.3C-D). The time-course analyses of the freezing rate during the 6 min test shows an interaction and a 285 time effect, but no main effect of genotype (Fig.3C/Table4). When we analyzed the test by 3 min bins 286 (Fig.3D), a clear genotype difference arises. Post-hoc analysis revealed higher freezing rate in VGLUT3^{-/-} than in VGLUT3^{+/+} mice in the last 3-min of the test (Fig.3D: 0-3 min: t(44)=0.4722, p=0.87; 3-6 min: 287 288 t(44)=2.464, p=0.03; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). The cue test was then done by exposing mice to the 289 flashing light in a novel environment. Mice were free to explore the new context for three minutes before the 290 light (CS) was triggered (Fig.3E-F). The global analysis reveals only a main effect of time but no main effect 291 of genotype or interaction between genotype and time (Fig.3E/Table4). Remarkably, the freezing rate signif-292 icantly increased in VGLUT3^{+/+} mice but not in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice after CS presentation in the new context 293 (Fig.3F: respectively t(22)=2.541, p=0.03; and t(22)=1.395, p=0.32; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). One 294 possible explanation of the higher fear expression observed in VGLUT3-/- mice in the new context could be 295 that once conditioned, they show a higher fear response to a new context with either no specific freezing re-296 sponses associated with the US or too low to be observed.

- 297
- 298

Figure 3 AROUND HERE

299

300 The absence of VGLUT3 leads to a deficit in pattern separation

301 Cued memory alterations in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice (Fig.3E-F) might be caused by a deficit to discriminate between 302 the two contexts, that associated with an US versus the safe one, a process governed by pattern separation. 303 To examine this possibility, we submitted a group of mice to a pattern separation protocol (Fig.4A) where 304 context A is always associated with an electric shock (ES), whereas context B is safe and free of ES. In VGLUT3^{+/+} mice, we observed no main effect of context, but a main effect of time and an interaction be-305 306 tween context and time (Fig.4B and extended figure 4-1) Over time VGLUT3^{+/+} mice learn to dissociate the 307 2 contexts since they significantly freeze less from Day 7 to Day 10 (Fig.4B; Day7, t(11)=3.031, p=0.02; 308 Day8, t(11)=2.933, p=0.03; Day9, t(11)=2.917, p=0.03; Day10, t(11)=5.038, p<0.0001; Sidak's multiple 309 comparisons test). Strikingly, in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice we observed no main effect of context, or interaction be-310 tween context and time but a main effect of time (Fig.4C and extended figure 4-1). VGLUT3^{-/-} mice did not 311 learn to discriminate the two contexts as high freezing levels were maintained over the 10 days of the test 11

312 (Fig.4C). Furthermore, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice showed comparable levels of spontaneous freezing on Day 0 before 313 the occurrence of the first ES (Fig.4D).

314

315

Figure 4 AROUND HERE

316

However, on Day1, after conditioning, we observed a main effect of genotype, but no main effect of context or interaction between context and genotype (Fig.4E and extended figure 4-1). On Day7 and 10, we observed no main effect of genotype, but a main effect of context and an interaction between context and genotype (Fig.4F-G and extended figure 4-1). VGLUT3^{+/+} mice clearly dissociated context A from B (Fig.4F; Day7, t(11)=4.04, p=0.001; Fig.4G, Day10, t(11)=7.934, , p<0.0001; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). This was not the case with VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. Altogether, these results illustrate a deficit in pattern separation in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice.

324

325 The absence of VGLUT3 leads to generalized fear after aversive experiences

326 The observed deficit in pattern separation could also represent generalized fear in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. To investigate this point, we submitted a group of VGLUT3^{+/+} mice and VGLUT3^{-/-} mice to an immediate shock 327 328 paradigm (Fig.5). On day 1 mice were introduced to a context and either immediately received a footshock 329 (immediate shock (IS) condition) or nothing (no shock (NS) condition). The next day, they were tested in the 330 same context (SC) or in a new context (NC). As expected the immediate shock (IS) did not elicit freezing 331 behaviour on day 2 in VGLUT3^{+/+} mice, in either context (Fig.5 IS-SC or IS-NC). VGLUT3^{-/-} mice showed 332 no freezing when they were not shocked (Fig 5, NS), however significant higher freezing levels were ob-333 served after the IS procedure in both contexts (Fig.5, IS-SC & IS-NC). These results revealed increased 334 freezing levels in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice after experiencing an aversive stimulus.

335

336

Figure 5 AROUND HERE

337

338 Visual Fear Extinction is altered in the absence of VGLUT3

339 Because of the impairment described in the cue-test (Fig.3.E-F), we wondered if VGLUT3^{-/-} mice were not 340 fully conditioned with a discrete CS such as a light. To answer this question, a cue fear conditioning extinc-341 tion protocol was performed (Fig.6). On Day 1, mice were exposed to 10 CS-US presentations in a square 342 context, followed from Day 2 to Day 8 to a daily session of 10 CS-only presentations in a round context, to 343 assess cue extinction (Fig.6A). The overall analysis suggested a tendency for a main effect of genotype with 344 a clear main effect of time and an interaction between time and gentotype (Table7). On Day 3, both groups 345 started the test with an equivalent high level of freezing that progressively decreased, reaching significance on the 10th CS presentation (Fig.6A, t(12)=3.77, p=0.01; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). 346

347 To determine the extinction of learning performances of mice, we calculated a learning index (LI, Fig.6B-C). 348 We observed a main effect of genotype with no effect of time or interaction between time and genotype (Ta-349 ble7). VGLUT3^{-/-} mice demonstrated a higher LI than VGLUT3^{+/+} mice during the first 2 days of the test, 350 followed by a similar pattern for the two genotypes during day 4-8 (Fig.6B: Day2: t(12)=2.922, p=0.02; 351 Day3, t(12)=2.761, p=0.04; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). Cumulative analysis showed that overall, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice have a higher LI than VGLUT3^{+/+} mice but that both groups show significant positive LI 352 (Fig.6C). These findings suggest that VGLUT3^{-/-} mice properly learn to extinguish their fear, with an initial 353 354 higher performance than VGLUT3^{+/+} mice.

On day 15, mice were re-exposed to the original square context and their fear memory was examined (Fig.6D-E, Recall 1). We observed a main effect of time and an interaction between time and genotype but no main effect of genotype (Table7). Post-hoc analysis revealed a significant difference between the freezing level of VGLUT3^{-/-} mice and VGLUT3^{+/+} mice for the first CS presentations (Fig.6D, L2 t(12)=2.971, p=0.03; L3 t(12)=3.773, p=0.002 and L4 t(12)=2.859, p=0.04; Sidak's multiple comparisons test). This effect was confirmed when the first 5 recall sessions were analyzed separately from the last 5 sessions (Fig.6E; L1-5, t(12)=4.076, p=0.0004; L6-10, t(44)=1.292, p=0.36; Sidak's multiple comparisons test).

362

363

Figure 6 AROUND HERE

364

To establish that the freezing behaviour observed during recall 1 was specific and was due to the occurrence of the light in the conditioning context, half of the animals were tested on day 18 in a completely new environment (Fig.6F; Recall 2). As can be seen from Fig.6F, we observed no main effect of genotype or time and no interaction between time and genotype (Table7). Freezing levels were similar (\approx 20-25%) for both groups, showing no evidence of generalized freezing behaviour after extinction. These data suggest that after an extinction procedure, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice may have stronger original memory recall, with no generalized freezing responses to a new context.

372

373 Working memory is intact in the absence of VGLUT3

The accelerated extinction observed in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice during the first days of extinction (Fig.6A-B) could reflect altered working memory (WM). Hence, we compared WM of WT mice and VGLUT3^{-/-} mice using the Y-Maze paradigm. Mice were free to explore the Y-Maze for 10 min and spontaneous alternation was quantified. In line with their anxiety phenotype, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice made significantly fewer arm entries than controls (Fig.7A/Table8). However, both groups showed similar levels of spontaneous alternation, both above chance level (Fig.7B). Overall, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice show normal working memory despite a lower exploration activity.

381

Figure 7 AROUND HERE

382

383 Discussion

The involvement of the VGLUT3 network in cognition and related psychiatric pathologies has been investigated in a few studies (Amilhon et al., 2010; Balázsfi et al., 2018; Favier et al., 2020; Fazekas et al., 2019; Sakae et al., 2015). For example, Balázsfi (2018) and Fazekas (2019) who focused on the study of learning and memory, concluded that the deficits in VGLUT3-deficient mice were very limited and mainly related to stress. Understanding how aversive memories are processed in the brain will help to decipher its dysfunction in trauma- and stress-related disorders. In the present study we explored the establishment and maintenance of fear-related memories in mice lacking VGLUT3. Using a Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm, we report 14 that VGLUT3^{-/-} mice express more stable and generalized contextual memories associated with a deficit of pattern separation. Interestingly, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice have no deficit in non-aversive learning and memory, including working memory, spatial reference memory, and cue-based extinction learning. Our results partly confirm previous findings (Balázsfi et al., 2018; Fazekas et al., 2019) while deepening our understanding of the involvement of VGLUT3-dependent cotransmission in aversive memories.

396

397 Before studying aversive memories, we first assessed the consequences of the lack of VGLUT3 in learning, 398 memory processing and cognitive flexibility in spatial and non-spatial tasks. No deficit was found in 399 VGLUT3-deficient mice. Our results are in agreement with data obtained by Fazekas et al., (2019), who also 400 found comparable spatial learning capacities in VGLUT3/- mice (although they trained only male mice, in a 401 pool that was half the size of ours), supporting the robustness of the observed phenotypes. However, our ap-402 proach of systematically testing spatial memories has revealed improved long-term spatial memory performance in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice compared to control mice at 22°C in the watermaze task. Since memory perfor-403 404 mances of VGLUT3^{-/-} mice were comparable to controls when the water temperature was dropped to 19°C. 405 we hypothesized that the improved memory performance of VGLUT3^{-/-} mice could be related to their anxiety trait (Amilhon et al. 2010) as well as to their hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysfunctions (Balázsfi et 406 407 al. 2018) in less-stressful watermaze conditions (i.e. at 22°C). This is in agreement with the literature in both 408 humans and animals, highlighting that mild stress could have facilitating effects on memory consolidation 409 (Sandi, Loscertales, et Guaza 1997; Sandi et Pinelo-Nava 2007; Cahill et McGaugh 1998).

410 Nevertheless, depending on the behavioral paradigm used, this anxiety trait could interfere with appropriate 411 data interpretation. In order to overcome this and accurately assess recognition memory (object and spatial) 412 using an open-field, we had to adapt the protocol to ensure sufficient exploration of objects for recognition 413 memory to occur. By using a fixed exploration time per session rather than a fixed session duration, we were 414 able to circumvent the confounding effect of anxiety and ensure an unbiased assessment of recognition 415 memory in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. We observed no deficit of recognition or spatial memories in VGLUT3^{-/-} 416 mice. In conclusion, using different protocols or paradigms, we confirmed that the absence of VGLUT3 does 417 not impair spatial reference, non-spatial memory or associative-learning processes.

419	We next explored fear-related memories in VGLUT3 ^{-/-} mice using a Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm.
420	Because VGLUT3 is present in peripheral sensory neurons and contributes to mechanical pain detection
421	(Seal et al, 2009), we assessed sensitivity to electric footshocks in VGLUT3 ^{-/-} mice and found unchanged
422	sensitivity to electric foot shock in VGLUT3 ^{+/+} mice. This result confirmed previous findings by Balazsfi et
423	al (2018) using flinch and jump threshold as the readout. In the Pavlovian fear conditioning protocols used,
424	the deletion of VGLUT3 led to normal fear learning but a higher and persistent contextual memory, which is
425	consistent with the observations of Balazsfi et al (2018). However, our study highlighted an absence of cue
426	memory, which could be explained by a contextual generalization deficit. To express fear when it is relevant,
427	present and past associations have to be compared. This is adaptive, since it allows individuals to anticipate a
428	threat by discerning pertinent cues in the environment. Increased interference between past and new memo-
429	ries could promote reactivation of traumatic memories and lead to overgeneralization of fear. Considerable
430	evidence from the literature suggests the involvement of the hippocampal CA3-Dentate Gyrus (DG) circuit
431	in contextual discrimination (McHugh et al. 2007; Besnard et Sahay 2016; Cravens et al. 2006). CA3 plays
432	a major role in a process called pattern completion, which allows retrieval of a stored representation based on
433	sparse cues in the environment. In contrast, the DG is also involved in pattern separation, to minimize the
434	overlap between two similar representations. Precise memory requires remembering details with high speci-
435	ficity, so that memories can be discriminated from other similar memories to avoid interference. Pattern sep-
436	aration facilitates this discrimination by reducing the degree of similarities between overlapping experiences.
437	The pattern separation paradigm (Sahay et al. 2011) was used to study the propensity of mice to discriminate
438	among similar experiences (Yassa & Stark, 2011). At day 1, freezing levels were comparable between con-
439	texts A and B for both control and VGLUT3 ^{-/-} mice, suggesting the degree of similarity between the two
440	contexts was high enough to evoke generalization of contextual fear in both groups. However, control mice
441	learned to discriminate the shocked context from the safe context as early as day 7, whereas VGLUT3-
442	deficient mice were unable to discriminate between the two contexts within the 10-day protocol used. These
443	results highlight a significant deficit in pattern separation in VGLUT3 ^{-/-} mice (Fig.4 A2-3). Considerable
444	evidence supports a role for the hippocampus in pattern separation to constrain the overgeneralization of
445	fear. Previous work studied the hippocampal plasticity in VGLUT3 deficient mice (Fasano et al, 2017) and
446	found that the absence of glutamate released by VGLUT3 hippocampal interneurons led to increased GA-
447	BAergic transmission, altering the oscillatory activity of synchronized networks and inducing a metaplastic

16

shift of synaptic plasticity in the ventral hippocampus. As hippocampal long-term plasticity is currently
thought to underlie the cellular basis of such learning and memory processes, we cannot exclude that they
might cause the observed contextual overgeneralization in VGLUT3-/- mice.

451

452 To better understand this discrimination deficit, we performed an immediate shock (IS) test. According to 453 Fanselow (2000), in the IS test, animals do not have enough time to form an integrated memory representa-454 tion of context features in order to associate it to the electric shock. In line with this hypothesis, wildtype 455 mice do not form a contextual fear memory and show no freezing behaviour during retrieval tests. In contrast, when they were immediately shocked, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice increased their level of freezing whatever the 456 context used in the retrieval test (Fig.5). This observation suggests that in VGLUT3--- mice, the mere occur-457 458 rence of the traumatic event (i.e., the foot shock) elicited impaired fear expression. In our view, this in-459 creased fear expression reflects more than innate fear impairment in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice, since innate fear ex-460 periences to natural threats need to be harmless (Silva, Gross, et Gräff 2016). When the animal experiences 461 pain such as a foot shock as in our experiment, it is a conditioned response and a learned experience. 462

463 One major treatment of fear-related disorders, called exposure therapy in clinics or extinction fear learning in 464 laboratory, involves repeatedly re-exposure of animals to the CS (the flashing light) previously associated 465 with the aversive US (the foot shock) in a different context. With time, the animals learn that the CS is no 466 longer associated with the US in this new context and thus the mice form a new « safer » memory (Myers et 467 Davis 2007; Perusini et Fanselow 2015). Surprisingly, during the initial steps of this extinction learning, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice show improved performance (Fig.6 A-C). This is particularly surprising since the processes 468 469 governing extinction and generalization are thought to be similar (see Lopresto et al., 2016). The brain struc-470 tures mainly involved are the prefrontal cortex (especially its infra limbic (IL-PFC) part) and the hippocam-471 pus as previously discussed regarding pattern separation. However, extinction mostly relies on the interac-472 tion of the IL-PFC with the basal-lateral amygdala. Those projections do not express VGLUT3 and might 473 effectively control the amygdala activity as observed. One hypothesis is that context generalization (or lack 474 of pattern separation) could be due to the dysfunction of the hippocampal network due to the absence of 475 VGLUT3, whereas the cue-based extinction may depend on the IL-PFC projections to the amygdala.

Original memory was assessed at day 15 in the conditioning context (Fig.6D-E). Results confirm that the extinction procedure did not alter the original memory since both groups still displayed a high level of freezing (significantly higher in VGLUT3^{-/-} than in control mice) to the context where they were originally shocked. Surprisingly, when tested in a third context on D18 VGLUT3-/- mice did not show fear generalization, indicating that the animals might have associated the aversive value of the CS only to the original context. This observation suggests that VGLUT3^{-/-} mice could show an associative cue learning that can properly be recalled and specific to a context.

483

484 In regards to the initial facilitation of the extinction, we cannot exclude that this could be due to increased attention related to the anxiety trait in VGLUT3-/- mice, or in their working memory. Attentional processes 485 486 are difficult to test in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice, since those experiments classically require the use of sound (e.g., 487 prepulse inhibition, fear startle tests...) and these mutants are deaf (Ruel et al, 2008). To rule out any work-488 ing memory modification that could explain this initial extinction improvement, we subjected our mice to a 489 Y-maze alternation protocol. Unlike Fazekas et al. (2019) we observed no alteration of working memory in 490 VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. Since mice lacking VGLUT3 tend to explore less due to their anxious phenotype, we in-491 creased the test duration from 5 to 10 min to have substantial exploration levels in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice and WT 492 mice (>100 entries). This might explain the different findings, since poor exploration can directly affect be-493 havioral performances. Therefore, in our hands, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice show no deficit or facilitation of their 494 working memory that could explain their better initial performance in fear extinction.

495 Some studies found VGLUT3-amacrine cells in mouse retina (Kim et al., 2015.; Lee et al., 2021, 2016) co-496 releasing glutamate and glycine at glycinergic synapses. How the absence of VGLUT3 could impact the 497 function of these synapses in these animals, and therefore their ability to see properly, has yet to be deter-498 mined. What seems to be accepted is the lack of VGLUT3 impacting the vision of movement (Kim et al., 499 2015.; Lee et al., 2016). However, based on our results, it is unlikely that the observed initial lack of cue 500 conditioning can be due to visual impairment. First, we use a flashing light as a cue, that is a major visual 501 information. Then, VGLUT3 deficient mice have intact performances in the spatial reference memory task in 502 the watermaze, and in the object recognition tasks, both of which mainly rely on visual cues. Overall, we

- 505 Our findings on impaired fear-related memories in mice lacking VGLUT3 are in good agreement with the 506 electrophysiological reports (Fasano et al, 2017). However, this interpretation should be taken with care, 507 since a constitutive VGLUT3 deletion was used in the present study. Cholinergic fibers from the basal fore-508 brain projecting to the basolateral amygdala are crucial in reinforcing learning and consolidating aversive 509 memories (Jiang et al. 2016; Crouse et al. 2020; Aitta-aho et al. 2018). Interestingly, a subset of those fibers 510 does express VGLUT3 (Nickerson Poulin et al. 2006). It is possible that this cholinergic pathway could also 511 be involved in fear-related disorders. A thorough description of the involvement of these different pathways 512 would require the deletion of VGLUT3 in specific subpopulation of neurons.
- 513 In conclusion, the present study suggests an important role of VGLUT3 in aversive memory processing such
- s14 as contextual generalization of fear memory which could be crucial in trauma- and stress-related disorders.

515

Table 1. Cohorts used.

Experiment (Figure)	Sex	N of VGLUT3 ^{+/+} (WT)	N of VGLUT3 ^{-/-} (KO)
Watermaze 22°C (Fig1D-F)	F	15	11
Watermaze 19°C (Fig1G-I)	F	13	11
Object recognition (Fig2)	М	13	12
Shock sensitivity (Fig3A)	М	8	6
Fear conditioning (Fig3B-F)	М	12	12
Pattern separation (Fig4)	М	11	10
Immediate shock (Fig5)	М	14	20
Fear extinction (Fig6)	М	12	12
Y Maze (Fig7)	М	9	8
TOTAL		107	102

529 References

528

530

531 A.M., Gallego, J., Hamon, M., Lanfumey, L., Gasnier, B., Giros, B., El Mestikawy, S., 2010. VGLUT3 (Ve-532 sicular Glutamate Transporter Type 3) Contribution to the Regulation of Serotonergic Transmission and 533 Anxiety. J. Neurosci. 30, 2198-2210. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5196-09.2010 534 Balázsfi, D., Fodor, A., Török, B., Ferenczi, S., Kovács, K.J., Haller, J., Zelena, D., 2018. Enhanced innate 535 536 altered stress axis regulation in VGluT3 knockout mice. Stress 21, 151-161. fear and 537 https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890.2017.1423053 538

Amilhon, Bénédicte, Lepicard, È., Renoir, T., Mongeau, R., Popa, D., Poirel, O., Miot, S., Gras, C., Gardier,

Ballinger, E.C., Ananth, M., Talmage, D.A., Role, L.W., 2016. Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Circuits and
Signaling in Cognition and Cognitive Decline. Neuron 91, 1199–1218.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.09.006

542

Baratta, M.V., Kodandaramaiah, S.B., Monahan, P.E., Yao, J., Weber, M.D., Lin, P.-A., Gisabella, B., Petrossian, N., Amat, J., Kim, K., Yang, A., Forest, C.R., Boyden, E.S., Goosens, K.A., 2016. Stress Enables
Reinforcement-Elicited Serotonergic Consolidation of Fear Memory. Biol. Psychiatry, Mechanisms of Resil-

ience to Stress Effects 79, 814-822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.06.025

547

546

549 chopharmacology 41, 24–44. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.167

550

Blanchard, D., 2001. Mouse defensive behaviors: pharmacological and behavioral assays for anxiety and
panic. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 25, 205–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(01)00009-4

⁵⁴⁸ Besnard, A., Sahay, A., 2016. Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis, Fear Generalization, and Stress. Neuropsy-

Christianson, J.P., Ragole, T., Amat, J., Greenwood, B.N., Strong, P.V., Paul, E.D., Fleshner, M., Watkins,
L.R., Maier, S.F., 2010. 5-Hydroxytryptamine 2C Receptors in the Basolateral Amygdala Are Involved in
the Expression of Anxiety After Uncontrollable Traumatic Stress. Biol. Psychiatry, Posttraumatic Stress
Disorder: Translational Neuroscience Perspectives on Gene-Environment Interactions 67, 339–345.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.09.011

559

560 Craske, M.G., Kircanski, K., Zelikowsky, M., Mystkowski, J., Chowdhury, N., Baker, A., 2008. Optimizing
561 inhibitory learning during exposure therapy. Behav. Res. Ther. 46, 5–27.
562 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2007.10.003

563

Daumas, S., Halley, H., Francés, B., Lassalle, J.-M., 2005. Encoding, consolidation, and retrieval of contextual memory: Differential involvement of dorsal CA3 and CA1 hippocampal subregions. Learn. Mem. 12,
375–382. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.81905

567

Draxler, P., Honsek, S.D., Forsthuber, L., Hadschieff, V., Sandkühler, J., 2014. VGluT3+ Primary Afferents
Play Distinct Roles in Mechanical and Cold Hypersensitivity Depending on Pain Etiology. J. Neurosci. 34,
12015–12028. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2157-14.2014

571

El Mestikawy, S., Wallén-Mackenzie, Å., Fortin, G.M., Descarries, L., Trudeau, L.-E., 2011. From
glutamate co-release to vesicular synergy: vesicular glutamate transporters. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 12, 204–216.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2969

575

Fanselow, M.S., 2000. Contextual fear, gestalt memories, and the hippocampus. Behav. Brain Res. 110, 73–
81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4328(99)00186-2

578

579 Fasano, C., Rocchetti, J., Pietrajtis, K., Zander, J.-F., Manseau, F., Sakae, D.Y., Marcus-Sells, M., Ramet, L.,

580 Morel, L.J., Carrel, D., Dumas, S., Bolte, S., Bernard, V., Vigneault, E., Goutagny, R., Ahnert-Hilger, G., 581 Giros, B., Daumas, S., Williams, S., El Mestikawy, S., 2017. Regulation of the Hippocampal Network by 582 VGLUT3-Positive CCK-GABAergic Basket Cells. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 11. 583 https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00140

584

Favier, M., Janickova, H., Justo, D., Kljakic, O., Runtz, L., Natsheh, J.Y., Pascoal, T.A., Germann, J.,
Gallino, D., Kang, J.-I., Meng, X.Q., Antinora, C., Raulic, S., Jacobsen, J.P.R., Moquin, L., Vigneault, E.,
Gratton, A., Caron, M.G., Duriez, P., Brandon, M.P., Neto, P.R., Chakravarty, M.M., Herzallah, M.M.,
Gorwood, P., Prado, M.A.M., Prado, V.F., El Mestikawy, S., 2020. Cholinergic dysfunction in the dorsal
striatum promotes habit formation and maladaptive eating. J. Clin. Invest. 130, 6616–6630.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI138532</u>

591

Fazekas, C.L., Balázsfi, D., Horváth, H.R., Balogh, Z., Aliczki, M., Puhova, A., Balagova, L., Chmelova,
M., Jezova, D., Haller, J., Zelena, D., 2019. Consequences of VGluT3 deficiency on learning and memory in
mice. Physiol. Behav. 212, 112688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2019.112688

595

596 Gras, C., Amilhon, B., Lepicard, È.M., Poirel, O., Vinatier, J., Herbin, M., Dumas, S., Tzavara, E.T., Wade,

M.R., Nomikos, G.G., Hanoun, N., Saurini, F., Kemel, M.-L., Gasnier, B., Giros, B., Mestikawy, S.E., 2008.
The vesicular glutamate transporter VGLUT3 synergizes striatal acetylcholine tone. Nat. Neurosci. 11, 292–
300. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn2052

- 600
- 601 Herzog, E., Gilchrist, J., Gras, C., Muzerelle, A., Ravassard, P., Giros, B., Gaspar, P., El Mestikawy, S.,
- 602 2004. Localization of VGLUT3, the vesicular glutamate transporter type 3, in the rat brain. Neuroscience
- 603 123, 983–1002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2003.10.039

Higley, M.J., Gittis, A.H., Oldenburg, I.A., Balthasar, N., Seal, R.P., Edwards, R.H., Lowell, B.B., Kreitzer, A.C., Sabatini, B.L., 2011. Cholinergic Interneurons Mediate Fast VGluT3-Dependent Glutamatergic Transmission in the Striatum. PLoS ONE 6, e19155. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019155

- Jiang, L., Kundu, S., Lederman, J.D., López-Hernández, G.Y., Ballinger, E.C., Wang, S., Talmage, D.A.,
 Role, L.W., 2016. Cholinergic Signaling Controls Conditioned Fear Behaviors and Enhances Plasticity of
- 611 Cortical-Amygdala Circuits. Neuron 90, 1057–1070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.04.028

612

Johnson, P.L., Molosh, A., Fitz, S.D., Arendt, D., Deehan, G.A., Federici, L.M., Bernabe, C., Engleman,
E.A., Rodd, Z.A., Lowry, C.A., Shekhar, A., 2015. Pharmacological depletion of serotonin in the basolateral
amygdala complex reduces anxiety and disrupts fear conditioning. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 138, 174–
179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2015.09.021

617

618 Kim, T., Soto, F., Kerschensteiner, D., n.d. An excitatory amacrine cell detects object motion and provides 619 feature-selective input to ganglion cells in the retina. eLife 4, e08025. mouse 620 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08025

621

Knox, D., 2016. The role of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in fear and extinction memory. Neurobiol.
Learn. Mem. 133, 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2016.06.001

624

- 625 Krabbe, S., Gründemann, J., Lüthi, A., 2018. Amygdala Inhibitory Circuits Regulate Associative Fear Con-
- ditioning. Biol. Psychiatry, Molecular Signatures of Stress and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder 83, 800–809.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.10.006

628

Landry, M., Bouali-Benazzouz, R., El Mestikawy, S., Ravassard, P., Nagy, F., 2004. Expression of vesicular
glutamate transporters in rat lumbar spinal cord, with a note on dorsal root ganglia. J. Comp. Neurol. 468,

631 380–394. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10988

632

633	Larsso	n, M	1., Brom	nan, J., 2019.	Syn	aptic	Organizat	ion of VO	GLUT3	Expressing	g Lo	w-Threshold Mechanosen-
634	sitive	С	Fiber	Terminals	in	the	Rodent	Spinal	Cord.	eNeuro	6,	ENEURO.0007-19.2019
635	https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0007-19.2019											

- 636
- 637 LeDoux, J., 2012. Rethinking the Emotional Brain. Neuron 73, 653–676.
 638 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.004

639

640 LeDoux, J., 2003. The Emotional Brain, Fear, and the Amygdala. Cell. Mol. Neurobiol. 12.

641

Lee, S., Chen, M., Shi, Y., Zhou, Z.J., 2021. Selective glycinergic input from vGluT3 amacrine cells confers
a suppressed-by-contrast trigger feature in a subtype of M1 ipRGCs in the mouse retina. J. Physiol. 599,
5047–5060. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP281717

645

646 Lee, S., Zhang, Y., Chen, M., Zhou, Z.J., 2016. Segregated Glycine-Glutamate Co-transmission from

vGluT3 Amacrine Cells to Contrast-Suppressed and Contrast-Enhanced Retinal Circuits. Neuron 90, 27–34.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.023

649

Lissek, S., 2012. Toward an Account of Clinical Anxiety Predicated on Basic, Neurally-Mapped Mechanisms of Pavlovian Fear-Learning: The Case for Conditioned Overgeneralization. Depress. Anxiety 29, 257–
263. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.21922

653

Lissek, S., van Meurs, B., 2015. Learning Models of PTSD: Theoretical Accounts and Psychobiological Evidence. Int. J. Psychophysiol. Off. J. Int. Organ. Psychophysiol. 98, 594–605.

657

boprosio, D., Sempper, I., Homoerg, J.K., 2010, Reality and meentamonis myoryed in real gen	R., 2016. Neural circuits and mechanisms involved in fear gen	2016.	Homberg, J.R.,	, Schipper, P.,	presto, D.	558 Lo
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------	-------	----------------	-----------------	------------	--------

- 659 zation: Implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. Neurosci. Biobe-
- 660 hav. Rev. 60, 31-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.10.009
- 661
- 662 Mahan, A.L., Ressler, K.J., 2012. Fear Conditioning, Synaptic Plasticity, and the Amygdala: Implications for

```
663 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Trends Neurosci. 35, 24–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2011.06.007
```

664

Marek, R., Jin, J., Goode, T.D., Giustino, T.F., Wang, Q., Acca, G.M., Holehonnur, R., Ploski, J.E., Fitzgerald, P.J., Lynagh, T.P., Lynch, J.W., Maren, S., Sah, P., 2018. Hippocampus-driven feed-forward inhibition
of the prefrontal cortex mediates relapse of extinguished fear. Nat. Neurosci. 21, 384–392.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0073-9

669

Marek, R., Sun, Y., Sah, P., 2019. Neural circuits for a top-down control of fear and extinction. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 236, 313–320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-018-5033-2

672

- Maren, S., Holmes, A., 2016. Stress and Fear Extinction. Neuropsychopharmacology 41, 58–79.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.180
- 675
- Maren, S., Phan, K.L., Liberzon, I., 2013. The contextual brain: implications for fear conditioning, extinction
 and psychopathology. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 14, 417–428. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3492

678

Myers, K.M., Davis, M., 2007. Mechanisms of fear extinction. Mol. Psychiatry 12, 120–150.
https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4001939

682	Omiya, Y., Uchigashima, M., Konno, K., Yamasaki, M., Miyazaki, T., Yoshida, T., Kusumi, I., Watanabe,
683	M., 2015. VGluT3-Expressing CCK-Positive Basket Cells Construct Invaginating Synapses Enriched with
684	Endocannabinoid Signaling Proteins in Particular Cortical and Cortex-Like Amygdaloid Regions of Mouse
685	Brains. J. Neurosci. 35, 4215–4228. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4681-14.2015
686	
687	Peirs, C., Williams, SP.G., Zhao, X., Walsh, C.E., Gedeon, J.Y., Cagle, N.E., Goldring, A.C., Hioki, H.,
688	Liu, Z., Marell, P.S., Seal, R.P., 2015. Dorsal Horn Circuits for Persistent Mechanical Pain. Neuron 87, 797-
689	812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.029
690	
691	Rothbaum, B.O., Davis, M., 2003. Applying Learning Principles to the Treatment of Post-Trauma Reactions.
692	Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1008, 112-121. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1301.012
693	
694	Rovira-Esteban, L., Péterfi, Z., Vikór, A., Máté, Z., Szabó, G., Hájos, N., 2017. Morphological and physio-
695	logical properties of CCK/CB1R-expressing interneurons in the basal amygdala. Brain Struct. 222,
696	3543-3565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-017-1417-z
697	
698	Ruel, J., Emery, S., Nouvian, R., Bersot, T., Amilhon, B., Van Rybroek, J.M., Rebillard, G., Lenoir, M., Ey-
699	balin, M., Delprat, B., Sivakumaran, T.A., Giros, B., El Mestikawy, S., Moser, T., Smith, R.J.H., Lesper-
700	ance, M.M., Puel, JL., 2008. Impairment of SLC17A8 Encoding Vesicular Glutamate Transporter-3,
701	VGLUT3, Underlies Nonsyndromic Deafness DFNA25 and Inner Hair Cell Dysfunction in Null Mice. Am.
702	J. Hum. Genet. 83, 278–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2008.07.008

703

704	Sahay, A.,	Scobie,	K.N.,	Hill,	A.S.,	O'Carroll,	С.М.,	Kheirbek,	M.A.,	Burghardt,	N.S.,	Fenton,	A.A.,
705	Dranovsky,	A., Her	n, R., 2	011.	Increas	sing adult h	iippoca	mpal neuro	ogenesis	s is sufficier	nt to in	mprove p	oattern

706 separation. Nature 472, 466-470. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09817

709 J., Besnard, A., Heck, N., Herzog, E., Bolte, S., Prado, V.F., Prado, M.A.M., Bellivier, F., Eap, C.B., Crettol, 710 S., Vanhoutte, P., Caboche, J., Gratton, A., Moquin, L., Giros, B., Maldonado, R., Daumas, S., Mameli, M., 711 Jamain, S., El Mestikawy, S., 2015. The absence of VGLUT3 predisposes to cocaine abuse by increasing 712 dopamine and glutamate signaling in the nucleus accumbens. Mol. Psychiatry 20, 1448-1459. 713 https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.104 714 715 Sakai, K., Sanders, K.M., Lin, S.-H., Pavlenko, D., Funahashi, H., Lozada, T., Hao, S., Chen, C.-C., Akiya-716 717 718 719 720

Sakae, D.Y., Marti, F., Lecca, S., Vorspan, F., Martín-García, E., Morel, L.J., Henrion, A., Gutiérrez-Cuesta,

ma, T., 2020. Low-Threshold Mechanosensitive VGLUT3-Lineage Sensory Neurons Mediate Spinal Inhibi-

tion of Itch by Touch. J. Neurosci. 40, 7688-7701. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0091-20.2020

Sandi, C., Loscertales, M., Guaza, C., 1997. Experience-dependent Facilitating Effect of Corticosterone on Spatial Memory Formation in the Water Maze. Eur. J. Neurosci. 9, 637-642. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-721 9568.1997.tb01412.x

722

- 723 Seal, R.P., Akil, O., Yi, E., Weber, C.M., Grant, L., Yoo, J., Clause, A., Kandler, K., Noebels, J.L., 724 Glowatzki, E., Lustig, L.R., Edwards, R.H., 2008. Sensorineural Deafness and Seizures in Mice Lacking Ve-725 sicular Glutamate Transporter 3. Neuron 57, 263-275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.11.032 726
- 727 Seal, R.P., Wang, X., Guan, Y., Raja, S.N., Woodbury, C.J., Basbaum, A.I., Edwards, R.H., 2009. Injury-728 induced mechanical hypersensitivity requires C-low threshold mechanoreceptors. Nature 462, 651-655. 729 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08505

730

Sengupta, A., Holmes, A., 2019. A Discrete Dorsal Raphe to Basal Amygdala 5-HT Circuit Calibrates 731 732 Aversive Memory. Neuron 103, 489-505.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.029

28

734	Sierra-Mercado, D., Padilla-Coreano, N., Quirk, G.J., 2011. Dissociable Roles of Prelimbic and Infralimbic								
735	Cortices, Ventral Hippocampus, and Basolateral Amygdala in the Expression and Extinction of Conditioned								
736	Fear. Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 529-538. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2010.184								
737									
738	Tovote, P., Fadok, J.P., Lüthi, A., 2015. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 317-								
739	331. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3945								
740									
741	Trudeau, LE., El Mestikawy, S., 2018. Glutamate Cotransmission in Cholinergic, GABAergic and Mono-								
742	amine Systems: Contrasts and Commonalities. Front. Neural Circuits 12, 113.								
743	https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2018.00113								
744									
745	Varga, V., Losonczy, A., Zemelman, B.V., Borhegyi, Z., Nyiri, G., Domonkos, A., Hangya, B., Holderith,								
746	N., Magee, J.C., Freund, T.F., 2009. Fast Synaptic Subcortical Control of Hippocampal Circuits. Science								
747	326, 449-453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178307								
748									
749	Wilson, M.A., Fadel, J.R., 2017. Cholinergic regulation of fear learning and extinction. J. Neurosci. Res. 95,								
750	836–852. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23840</u>								

752 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Cue and Spatial Reference Memory in VGLUT3-- mice. (A-C) Watermaze experimental de-753 754 sign: A) Cuetask, B) SRM task and C) SRM-Reversal task. (D-F) Mice were trained in 22°C water. 755 VGLUT3^{-/-} mice showed no deficit of learning either in the Cue- (D), or the SRM and reversal (E) tasks. (F) 756 Memory assessment was performed 10min (PT1 for SRM and SRM-R), 72h (PT2 for SRM) and 48h (PT2 757 for SRM-R) post training, and VGLUT3^{-/-} mice showed better performance at 72h post training, and in the PT average. (G-I) Mice were trained in water at 19°C. VGLUT3^{-/-} mice show no deficit of learning either in 758 759 the Cue (G), or the SRM and reversal (H) tasks. (I) No differences were observed in memory tests done at 760 different times or on average. Data are mean ± SEM. Differences between genotypes: * p<0.05. PT: probe 761 test; R: reversal. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 1-1

762

Figure 2. Object and Spatial Recognition in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (A) Object Recognition (OR): both groups
show OR memory, with VGLUT3^{-/-} mice having higher scores. (B) Spatial Recognition (SR): both groups
show comparable SR memory level. (C) There is no correlation between learning sessions duration and
VGLUT3-/- mice performances in OR. Slopes are -0.01563 for WT and -0.001889 for VGLUT3-/- mice.
Data are mean ± SEM. Differences between genotypes: * p<0.05; Differences to chance level: ## p<0.01,
p<0.001. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 2-1

769

Figure 3. Contextual and Cued Fear memories of VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (A) Shock sensitivity assessment, ie. the intensity for which the mice express a given behaviour (movement, vocalization, running or jump). (B-F) Fear memories in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (B) Freezing levels during fear conditioning consisting of 2 CS-US pairings. (C-D) Contextual memory was tested 24h after conditioning and revealed a more stable memory in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (E-F) Cued memory test revealed high level of freezing to new context for VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. Data are mean \pm SEM. post-hoc comparisons: * p<0.05. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 3-1

777

Figure 4. Pattern separation of VGLUT3^{-/-} **mice.** (A) Behavioral protocol; (B) VGLUT3^{+/+} mice performances; (C) VGLUT3^{-/-} mice performances. (D-G) Freezing levels on different days: (D) Day 0, before conditioning, (E) Day 1, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice already show a higher freezing level, (F) Day7, VGLUT3^{+/+} mice start to discriminate the different contexts, (G) On Day10, VGLUT3^{-/-} mice still do not discriminate the different contexts. Data are mean \pm SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 4-1

785

Figure 5. Immediate shock in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. Mice were subjected to a no shock (NS) or immediate shock (IS) protocol to assess their levels of spontaneous freezing after experiencing an electric footshock. They were either tested in the same context (IS-SC) or in a novel context (IS-NC). WT mice did not show any freezing after either procedure, whereas VGLUT3^{-/-} mice expressed a significant increase of freezing behaviour only after the IS, in either context. Data are mean ± SEM. post-hoc comparisons: *** p<0.001. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 5-1</p>

Figure 6. Extinction Fear in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (A) Extinction learning over a 7-day period. Filled black and red circles represent the freezing levels of VGLUT3^{+/+} mice and VGLUT3^{-/-} mice (respectively) before the presentation of the first CS. Open circles are used for the 10 subsequent CS. (B-E) Fear memory in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (B-C) The Learning Index (LI) was calculated to illustrate learning efficacy over time (B) and on average (C). (D-E) Original memory was recalled on Day15. (F) On D18, freezing to CS was assessed in a new hexagonal context. Data are mean ± SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001. L: light (CS). All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 6-1

Figure 7. Working memory in VGLUT3^{-/-} mice. (A) Number of entries in the Y-Maze arms for the first 5
min of the test (0-5min) or the total 10 min test (0-10min). (B) Percentage of alternation. Data are mean ±
SEM. Differences between genotype: * p<0.05, *** p<0.001; Differences compared to chance level: #
p<0.05, ## p<0.01. All corresponding statistics are presented in Figure 7-1

803

805 Extended data Legends

- 806 Extended Figure 1-1. Statistics for Watermaze experiments. ¹: SRM 10min; ²: SRM 72h; ³:
- 807 SRM-R 10min ; ⁴: SRM-R 48h ; ⁵: PTs average
- 808 Extended Figure 2-1. Statistics for Object Recognition experiments.
- 809 Extended Figure 3-1. Statistics for Fear conditioning experiments.
- 810 Extended Figure 4-1. Statistics for Pattern separation experiment.
- 811 Extended Figure 5-1. Statistics for Immediate shock experiments. NS: no shock; IS: immediate
- 812 shock; SC: same context; NC: new context
- 813 Extended Figure 6-1. Statistics for Fear extinction experiments.
- 814 Extended Figure 7-1. Statistics for the Y-Maze experiment.



Figure 1

eNeuro Accepted Manuscript



Figure 2







*

Figure 3





eNeuro Accepted Manuscript



- VGLUT3^{+/+}
- VGLUT3-/-



Figure 4



Figure 7