

Activity-dependent inhibitory synapse scaling is determined by gephyrin phosphorylation and subsequent regulation of GABAA receptor diffusion

Sereina Battaglia, Marianne Renner, Marion Russeau, Etienne Côme, K.

Tyagarajan Shiva, Sabine Lévi

▶ To cite this version:

Sereina Battaglia, Marianne Renner, Marion Russeau, Etienne Côme, K. Tyagarajan Shiva, et al.. Activity-dependent inhibitory synapse scaling is determined by gephyrin phosphorylation and subsequent regulation of GABAA receptor diffusion. eNeuro, 2018, 10.1523/ENEURO.0203-17.2017. hal-03977654

HAL Id: hal-03977654 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03977654v1

Submitted on 7 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1. Title: Activity-dependent inhibitory synapse scaling is determined by gephyrin
 2 phosphorylation and subsequent regulation of GABA_A receptor diffusion.
- 3 4

6 7

- 2. Abbreviated Title: Gephyrin phosphorylation shapes GABA_AR diffusion
- 3. Authors and affiliations :

8 Sereina Battaglia⁴, Marianne Renner^{1,2,3}, Marion Russeau^{1,2,3}, Etienne Côme^{1,2,3}, Shiva
 9 K. Tyagarajan^{4,5¥}, Sabine Lévi^{1,2,3¥}

- 10
- ¹ INSERM UMR-S 839, 75005, Paris, France
- 12 ² Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005, Paris, France
- ³ Institut du Fer a Moulin, 75005, Paris, France;
- ⁴ Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Zürich, 8057 Zurich, CH
- ⁵ Center for Neuroscience Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, CH
- 16 17
- [¥] co-senior authors
- 18

4. Author contributions:

SL and SKT conceptualized the project and designed the experiments. SL supervised
the experimental work. SB, EC and M. Ru performed immunofluorescence and SPT
experiments and analyzed the data together with SL. M. Ru prepared primary
hippocampal neurons. MRe performed the super-resolution experiments, developed
data analysis tools and analyzed the data. SL and SKT wrote the manuscript.

25

26 **5. Correspondence should be addressed to:**

- 27 Sabine Lévi
- 28 INSERM-UPMC UMR-S 839
- 29 17 rue du Fer a Moulin
- 30 75005 Paris, France
- 31 Tel. +33 1 45 87 61 13
- 32 E-mail: sabine.levi@inserm.fr
- 33
- 34 Shiva Tyagarajan
- 35 Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology
- 36 University of Zürich
- 37 Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, CH
- 38 Tel: +41 44 6355997
- 39 E-mail: tyagarajan@pharma.uzh.ch
- 40
- 41 **6. Number of Figures** : 8
- 42 **7. Number of Tables** : 0
- 43 8. Number of Multimedia : 0
- 44 9. Number of words for Abstract: 128
- 45 **10. Number of words for Significance Statement:** 36
- 46 **11. Number of words for Introduction**: 461
- 47 **12. Number of words for Discussion**: 1204
- 48
- 49
- 50

1 **13. Acknowledgements**:

2 We are grateful to the Cell and Tissue Imaging Facility of Institut du Fer à Moulin 3 (IFM). This work was supported in part by INSERM, Sorbonne Université-UPMC, 4 LabEx Biopsy to SL, Olgamyenfisch Grant to SKT and University of Zurich internal funding to SKT. EC is the recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the Université Pierre 5 and Marie Curie. STORM/PALM equipment at the IFM was supported by DIM NeRF 6 7 from Région Ile-de-France and by the FRC/Rotary 'Espoir en tête'. The Lévi lab is 8 affiliated with the Paris School of Neuroscience (ENP) and the Bio-Psy Laboratory of 9 excellence. 10

11 **14. Conflict of interest**:

12 No. The authors report no conflict of interest in relation to the submitted work.

13

14 **15. Funding sources**:

15 This work was supported in part by INSERM, Sorbonne Université-UPMC, LabEx

16 Biopsy to SL, Olgamyenfisch Grant to SKT and University of Zurich internal funding

17 to SKT. EC is the recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the Université Pierre and

18 Marie Curie. STORM/PALM equipment at the IFM was supported by DIM NeRF from

- 19 Région Ile-de-France and by the FRC/Rotary 'Espoir en tête'. The Lévi lab is affiliated
- 20 with the Paris School of Neuroscience (ENP) and the Bio-Psy Laboratory of excellence.
- 21 22

- Activity-dependent inhibitory synapse scaling is determined by gephyrin
 phosphorylation and subsequent regulation of GABA_A receptor diffusion.
- 3
- 4
- 5

6 Abstract:

7 Synaptic plasticity relies on the rapid changes in neurotransmitter receptor number at 8 postsynaptic sites. Using super resolution PALM imaging and quantum-dot based 9 single particle tracking in rat hippocampal cultured neurons, we investigated if the 10 phosphorylation status of the main scaffolding protein gephyrin influenced the 11 organization of the gephyrin scaffold and GABAA receptor (GABAAR) membrane 12 dynamics. We found that gephyrin phosphorylation regulates gephyrin microdomain 13 compaction. The ERK1/2 and GSK3 β signaling alter the gephyrin scaffold mesh 14 differentially. Differences in scaffold organization impacted similarly the diffusion of 15 synaptic GABA_ARs, suggesting reduced gephyrin-receptor binding properties. In the 16 context of synaptic scaling, our results identify a novel role of the GSK3ß signaling 17 pathway in the activity-dependent regulation of extrasynaptic receptor surface 18 trafficking and GSK3B, PKA and CaMKIIa pathways in facilitating adaptations of 19 synaptic receptors.

- 20
- 21

22 Significance Statement:

Our data identify phosphorylation as a key mechanism controlling the gephyrin scaffold
 mesh, and hence, the diffusion capture of GABA_A receptors at inhibitory synapses. We

25 further show how critical this mechanism is for inhibitory synaptic scaling.

26

1 Introduction:

Fast synaptic inhibition mediated by GABA_ARs plays an essential role in information transfer between neurons. In recent years GABAergic inhibition has been shown to be dynamic, allowing flexible adaptations (Chen et al., 2012). Within the paradigm of invitro synaptic scaling, wherein the neuronal activity is pharmacologically manipulated for several hours to days, the effects of chronic changes in activity are still poorly understood at inhibitory synapses.

8 Neuronal inhibition is dynamically regulated by the amount of network activity. 9 GABA_AR stability at synaptic sites and subsequent proteasomal degradation is an 10 essential component of synaptic homeostasis that strongly influences amplitude and 11 frequency of miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) (Saliba et al., 2007). 12 Similarly, lasting depolarization decreases GABAAR internalization on principal 13 neurons and increases GAD65 cluster size at presynaptic GABAergic terminals 14 (Rannals and Kapur, 2011). These observations highlight that multiple systems and 15 pathways facilitate inhibitory synapse adjustments in response to chronic changes in 16 activity.

At postsynaptic sites lateral diffusion in and out of synapses can also rapidly alter
receptor availability upon acute activity elevation (Bannai et al., 2009; 2015). Chemical
induced long-term potentiation (iLTP) enhances phosphorylation of the GABA_AR β3
subunit at S383 by CaMKIIα, resulting in reduced surface mobility of GABA_ARs,
synaptic enrichment of receptors and increased inhibitory neurotransmission (Petrini et
al., 2014). Hence, apart from endocytosis and exocytosis, lateral diffusion of receptors
could also be an effective mechanism of synaptic plasticity.

In recent years it has become evident that the main scaffolding protein at theGABAergic synapse, gephyrin, is dynamically regulated, and this contributes to input-

1 specific adaptations at postsynaptic sites (Chen et al., 2012; van Versendaal et al., 2012; 2 Villa et al., 2016). Identification of signaling pathways that converge onto gephyrin 3 scaffolds by causing post-translational modifications of specific residues has shed new 4 light into the molecular mechanisms underlying GABAergic synaptic plasticity. It was 5 revealed that gephyrin phosphorylation by ERK1/2 at serine 268 (S268) reduces 6 scaffold size and GABAergic mIPSC amplitude (Tyagarajan et al., 2013). Similarly, 7 blocking GSK3^β phosphorylation of gephyrin at serine 270 via the transgenic 8 expression of the phospho-null mutant (S270A) significantly increases mIPSC 9 frequency and amplitude (Tyagarajan et al., 2011). Theta burst stimulation (TBS) of 10 CA3 Schaffer collaterals has been reported to induce gephyrin-mediated remodeling of 11 GABAergic synapses in CA1 pyramidal cells (Flores et al., 2015). Although gephyrin 12 phosphorylation at CaMKIIa sites is involved in this form of structural plasticity 13 (Flores et al., 2015), the molecular basis for gephyrin phosphorylation induced 14 GABA_AR synapse dynamics remains to be further explored.

To address this we rendered gephyrin insensitive to ERK1/2 and GSK3β signaling pathways and studied their influence on GABA_AR membrane diffusion properties. We report structural organization differences within gephyrin scaffolds based on their phosphorylation status. Furthermore, cooperation between gephyrin and GABA_ARs are differentially regulated by gephyrin phosphorylation status and changes in activity.

- 20
- 21

- 23
- 24
- <u>-</u>T
- 25

1 Material and Methods

2 Neuronal culture

3 Primary cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared from hippocampi dissected at 4 embryonic day 18 or 19 from Sprague-Dawley rats of either sex. Tissue was then trypsinized (0.25% v/v), and mechanically dissociated in 1x HBSS (Invitrogen, Cergy 5 6 Pontoise, France) containing 10 mM HEPES (Invitrogen). Neurons were plated at a 7 density of 120×10^3 cells/ml onto 18-mm diameter glass coverslips (Assistent, 8 Winigor, Germany) pre-coated with 50 µg/ml poly-D,L-ornithine (Sigma-Aldrich, 9 Lyon, France) in plating medium composed of Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, 10 Sigma) supplemented with horse serum (10% v/v, Invitrogen), L-glutamine (2 mM) 11 and Na+ pyruvate (1 mM) (Invitrogen). After attachment for 3-4 h, cells were incubated 12 in culture medium that consists of Neurobasal medium supplemented with B27 (1X), 13 L-glutamine (2 mM), and antibiotics (penicillin 200 units/ml, streptomycin, 200 µg/ml) 14 (Invitrogen) for up to 4 weeks at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator. Each week, 15 one fifth of the culture medium volume was replaced.

16

17 DNA constructs

The following constructs were used: *GEPHN* 3'-UTR shRNA and control shRNA-3m (Yu et al., 2007), DsRed-homer1c (Bats et al., 2007) (kindly provided by D. Choquet, IIN, Bordeaux, France), eGFP-gephyrin P1 variant (Lardi-Studler et al., 2007), and eGFP- or pDendra2- WT, -S268E, S270A, -DN, -S303A/S305A (SSA) and – SSA/S270A point mutants were generated using the eGFP-gephryin P1 variant as template for site directed mutagenesis (Tyagarajan et al., 2011; 2013; Flores et al., 2015).

25

1 Neuronal transfection

Transfections were carried out at DIV 14-15 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) or
Transfectin (BioRad, Hercules, USA), according to the manufacturers' instructions
(DNA:transfectin ratio 1 µg:3 µl), with 1-1.2 µg of plasmid DNA per 20 mm well. The
following ratios of plasmid DNA were used in co-transfection experiments: 0.5:0.5:0.3
µg for eGFP-S268E/eGFP-S270A/eGFP-DN/eGFP-SSA/eGFP-SSA/S270A: *GEPHN*3' UTR shRNA/*GEPHN* 3' UTR-3m shRNA: DsRed-homer1c. Experiments were
performed 6 to 9 days post-transfection.

9

10 *Pharmacology*

11 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 100 mM, Sigma) was directly added to the culture medium and 12 the neurons were returned to a 5% CO2 humidified incubator for 8 or 48 h before use. 13 For SPT experiments, neurons were labeled at 37°C in imaging medium (see below for 14 composition) in presence of 4-AP, transferred to a recording chamber and recorded 15 within 45 min at 31°C in imaging medium in the presence of 4-AP. The imaging 16 medium consisted of phenol red-free minimal essential medium supplemented with 17 glucose (33 mM; Sigma) and HEPES (20 mM), glutamine (2 mM), Na⁺-pyruvate (1 18 mM), and B27 (1X) from Invitrogen.

19

20 Immunocytochemistry

Cells were fixed for 15 min at room temperature (RT) in paraformaldehyde (PFA, 4%
w/v, Sigma) and sucrose (14% w/v, Sigma) solution prepared in PBS (1X). Following
washes in PBS, cells were permeabilized with Triton (0.25% v/v, Sigma) diluted in
PBS. Cells were washed again in PBS and incubated for 1 h at RT in Triton (0.1% v/v,
Sigma) and goat serum (GS, 10% v/v, Invitrogen) in PBS to block nonspecific staining.

1 Subsequently, neurons were incubated for 1 h with a primary antibody mix consisting 2 of guinea pig antibodies against GABAAR a2 subunit (1:2000, provided by J.M. 3 Fritschy, Univ. Zurich) and rabbit anti-VGAT (1:400, provided by B. Gasnier, Univ. 4 Paris Descartes, Paris) in PBS supplemented with GS (10% v/v, Invitrogen) and Triton 5 (0.1%v/v, Sigma). After washes, cells were incubated for 60 min at RT with a 6 secondary antibody mix containing biotinylated F(ab')2 anti-guinea pig (1:300, Jackson 7 Immunoresearch) and AMCA350-conjugated goat anti rabbit (1:100, Jackson 8 Laboratories) in PBS-GS-Triton blocking solution, washed, incubated for another 45 9 min with streptavidin-CY5 (1:300, ThermoFisher) and finally mounted on glass slides 10 using Mowiol 4-88 (48 mg/ml, Sigma). Sets of neurons compared for quantification 11 were labeled simultaneously.

12

13 Fluorescence image acquisition and analysis

14 Image acquisition was performed using a 63 X objective (NA 1.32) on a Leica 15 (Nussloch, Germany) DM6000 upright epifluorescence microscope with a 12-bit 16 cooled CCD camera (Micromax, Roper Scientific) run by MetaMorph software (Roper 17 Scientific, Evry, France). Quantification was performed using MetaMorph software 18 (Roper Scientific). Image exposure time was determined on bright cells to obtain best 19 fluorescence to noise ratio and to avoid pixel saturation. All images from a given culture 20 were then acquired with the same exposure time and acquisition parameters. For each 21 image, several dendritic regions of interest were manually chosen and a user-defined 22 intensity threshold was applied to select clusters and avoid their coalescence. For 23 quantification of gephyrin or $GABA_AR \alpha 2$ synaptic clusters, gephyrin or receptor 24 clusters comprising at least 3 pixels and colocalized on at least 1 pixel with VGAT clusters were considered. The integrated fluorescence intensities of clusters were
 measured.

3

4 Live cell staining for single particle imaging

5 Neurons were incubated for 3-5 min at 37°C with primary antibodies against 6 extracellular epitopes of GABA_AR α 2 subunit (guinea pig, 1:750/1:1000 provided by 7 J.M. Fritschy), washed, and incubated for 3-5 min at 37°C with biotinylated Fab 8 secondary antibodies (goat anti-guinea pig, 4-12µg/ml; Jackson Immuno research, 9 West Grove, USA) in imaging medium. After washes, cells were incubated for 1 min 10 with streptavidin-coated quantum dots (QDs) emitting at 605 nm (1 nM; Invitrogen) in 11 borate buffer (50 mM) supplemented with sucrose (200 mM) or in PBS (1M; 12 Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% Casein (v/v) (Sigma). Washing and incubation 13 steps were all done in imaging medium. To assess the membrane dynamics of GABAAR 14 a2 subunit at inhibitory synapses in neurons expressing the eGFP-DN mutant, 15 inhibitory synapses were stained by incubating live neurons for 48 h at 37°C in a 5% 16 CO2 humidified incubator with a primary VGAT antibody directly coupled to 17 Oyster550 (1:200, Synaptic Systems) diluted in conditioned maintenance medium.

18

19 Single particle tracking and analysis

Cells were imaged using an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope equipped with a 60X
objective (NA 1.42; Olympus) and a Lambda DG-4 monochromator (Sutter
Instrument). Individual images of gephyrin-eGFP and homer1c-GFP, and QD real time
recordings (integration time of 75 ms over 600 consecutive frames) were acquired with
Hamamatsu ImagEM EMCCD camera and MetaView software (Meta Imaging 7.7).
Cells were imaged within 45 min following labeling.

QD tracking and trajectory reconstruction were performed with Matlab software (The Mathworks, Natick, MA). One to two sub-regions of dendrites were quantified per cell. In cases of QD crossing, the trajectories were discarded from analysis. Trajectories were considered synaptic when overlapping with the synaptic mask of gephyrin-eGFP or VGAT-Oyster550 clusters, or extrasynaptic for spots two pixels (380 nm) away (Lévi et al., 2008). Values of the mean square displacement (MSD) plot versus time were calculated for each trajectory by applying the relation:

$$MSD(n\tau) = \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \left[\left(x((i+n)\tau) - x(i\tau) \right)^2 + \left(y((i+n)\tau) - y(i\tau) \right)^2 \right]$$

9 (Saxton and Jacobson, 1997), where τ is the acquisition time, N is the total number of 10 frames, n and i are positive integers with n determining the time increment. Diffusion 11 coefficients (D) were calculated by fitting the first four points without origin of the MSD versus time curves with the equation: $MSD(n\tau) = 4Dn\tau + b$ where b is a constant 12 13 reflecting the spot localization accuracy. Synaptic dwell time was defined as the 14 duration of detection of QDs at synapses on a recording divided by the number of exits 15 as detailed previously (Ehrensperger et al., 2007; Charrier et al., 2010). Dwell times ≤ 5 16 frames were not retained. The explored area of each trajectory was defined as the MSD 17 value of the trajectory at two different time intervals of at 0.42 and 0.45 s (Renner et 18 al., 2012).

19

8

20 PALM imaging

PALM imaging on fixed samples was carried out on an inverted N-STORM Nikon
Eclipse Ti microscope with a 100x oil-immersion objective (N.A. 1.49) and an Andor
iXon Ultra EMCCD camera (image pixel size, 160 nm), using specific lasers for PALM
imaging of Dendra2 (405 and 561 nm). Movies of 10000 frames were acquired at frame

1 rates of 50 ms. The z position was maintained during acquisition by a Nikon perfect 2 focus system. Single-molecule localization and 2D image reconstruction was 3 conducted as described in (Specht et al., 2013) by fitting the PSF of spatially separated 4 fluorophores to a 2D Gaussian distribution. The position of fluorophore were corrected 5 by the relative movement of the synaptic cluster by calculating the center of mass of 6 the cluster throughout the acquisition using a partial reconstruction of 2000 frames with 7 a sliding window (Specht et al., 2013). PALM images were rendered by superimposing 8 the coordinates of single-molecule detections, which were represented with 2D 9 Gaussian curves of unitary intensity and SDs representing the localization accuracy 10 (sigma= 20 nm). In order to correct multiple detections coming from the same 11 pDendra2 molecule (Specht et al., 2013), we identified detections occurring in the 12 vicinity of space (2 x sigma) and time (15 s) as belonging to a same molecule. The 13 surface of gephyrin clusters and the densities of gephyrin molecules per μm^2 were 14 measured in reconstructed 2D images through cluster segmentation based on detection 15 densities. The threshold to define the border was set to 1000 detections/µm², taking into 16 account the reported gephyrin densities in synapses (Specht et al, 2013; Fig. 3B). 17 Briefly, all pixels (PALM pixel size=20 nm) containing less than 2 detections where 18 considered as empty, and their intensity value set to zero. The intensity of pixels with 19 at least two detections was set to one. The resulting binary image was analyzed with 20 the function regionprops of Matlab (The Mathworks) to extract the surface area of each 21 cluster identified by this function. Density was calculated as the total number of 22 detections in the pixels belonging to a given cluster, divided the area of the cluster. 23

- 23
- 24
- 25

1 *Statistics*

2 Sampling corresponds to the number of quantum dots for SPT, the number of cells for 3 ICC, and the number of synapses for PALM. Sample size selection for experiments was 4 based on published experiments, pilot studies as well as in-house expertise. All results 5 were used for analysis except in few cases. Cells with signs of suffering (apparition of 6 blobs, fragmented neurites) were discarded from the analysis. Means are shown \pm SEM, 7 median values are indicated with their interquartile range (IQR, 25-75%). Means were 8 compared using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test (immunocytochemistry, dwell 9 time comparison, PALM quantifications) using SigmaPlot 12.5 software (Systat 10 Software). Diffusion coefficient and explored area values having non-normal 11 distributions, a non-parametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was run under Matlab (The 12 Mathworks, Natick, MA). Differences were considered significant for p-values less 13 than 5% (* $p \le 0.05$; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001).

14

15

16 **Results:**

17 eGFP-gephyrin mutants exhibit different clustering properties in culture.

18 Signaling pathways that converge onto gephyrin scaffolding properties influence 19 **GABA**_AR synaptic transmission. Hence. mimicking phosphorylation/ 20 dephosphorylation events that influence gephyrin clustering can help gain critical 21 insights into nanoscale regulation of GABAARs at synaptic sites. ERK1/2 22 phosphorylation at the S268 residue results in smaller gephyrin clusters (Tyagarajan et 23 al., 2013); hence, we selected phospho-mimetic eGFP-gephyrin-S268E mutant to study 24 the impact of smaller clusters on receptor diffusion. Similarly, pharmacological 25 blockade of the GSK3ß pathway or eGFP-gephyrin-S270A mutant expression

increases gephyrin cluster number and size (Tyagarajan et al., 2011). We selected the
eGFP-S270A mutant to understand how larger clusters would impact receptor
diffusion. eGFP-gephyrin dominant negative (DN) mutant in primary neurons not only
abolishes gephyrin clustering, reduces surface expression of GABA_ARs, but also
significantly decreases GABAergic mIPSC amplitude and frequency (Ghosh et al.,
2016). Hence, we selected eGFP-DN mutant to evaluate how cluster disruption would
impact synaptic anchoring and surface diffusion of GABA_ARs.

8 Primary hippocampal neurons were co-transfected at 14 days in vitro (DIV) with eGFP-9 gephyrin WT (eGFP-WT), eGFP-S268E, eGFP-S270A or eGFP-DN along with 10 shRNA targeting the gephyrin 3'UTR (to minimize the influence of endogenous 11 gephyrin expression on mutant phenotypes). Before studying the influence of altered 12 gephyrin clustering on GABA_AR diffusion properties we first confirmed the respective 13 gephyrin mutant morphology 6-9 days post-transfection. Representative images of 14 neurons expressing either eGFP-WT or eGFP-S268E, eGFP-S270A, eGFP-DN 15 variants are shown (Fig. 1A). We stained for the α 2 GABA_AR subunit to study the 16 relation of eGFP-gephyrin with receptors. Quantification for eGFP-gephyrin cluster 17 density (Nb), cluster size (area) and intensity (Int) showed a tendency for reduced 18 clustering for the S268E mutant, and increased clustering for the S270A mutant (Fig. 19 1B). The impact of the gephyrin S270A mutation on gephyrin cluster area and intensity 20 was more pronounced, in comparison to S268E mutant. As expected eGFP-DN failed 21 to cluster (data not shown). Similar to the observed changes in eGFP-gephyrin 22 morphology, quantification of cluster intensity for $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR showed a significant 23 increase in neurons expressing eGFP-S270A, while eGFP-S268E expressing neurons 24 only showed a modest reduction in $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 1C). The neurons expressing eGFP-DN 25 showed very little $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR staining (data not shown).

2 Influence of eGFP-gephyrin mutants on GABA_AR surface diffusion.

3 GABA_ARs are known to exhibit faster mobility at extrasynaptic sites as compared with 4 synaptic sites. Due to their interaction with the main scaffolding molecule gephyrin 5 GABAARs are slowed down and confined at synapses. This diffusion-capture of 6 GABA_ARs is modulated by neuronal activity and constitutes an important basis for 7 synaptic plasticity (ref in (Petrini and Barberis, 2014)). The expression of specific 8 eGFP-gephyrin mutation allows us to lock the scaffold into different conformations and 9 study its influence on GABAAR surface diffusion. To achieve this we assessed the 10 lateral mobility of a2 GABA_AR using quantum-dot (QD) based single particle tracking 11 (QD-SPT). Live imaging over 600 constitutive frames at 75 Hz was used to record 12 individual trajectories, and the trajectories were later analyzed using custom software 13 (Fig. 2A) (see Material and Methods). As a proof of concept we first tested the effect 14 of total gephyrin cluster removal on $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR surface dynamics by expressing the 15 eGFP-DN mutant. However, given that eGFP-DN has a diffuse expression, to 16 distinguish synaptic and extra-synaptic $\alpha 2$ clusters we pre-loaded presynaptic 17 GABAergic terminals using VGAT-Oyster550 antibody. The expression of the eGFP-18 DN mutant increased the surface exploration of QDs at both extrasynaptic and synaptic 19 sites compared with control eGFP-WT. Quantification of the $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR diffusion 20 coefficient showed a 1.4 fold increase for extrasynaptic receptors and 1.2 fold increase 21 for synaptic receptors in eGFP-DN expressing neurons (Fig. 2B). Area explored by $\alpha 2$ 22 GABA_ARs also showed a 1.6 fold increase at extrasynaptic sites and a 1.3 fold increase 23 at synaptic sites in eGFP-DN expressing neurons (Fig. 2C). These observations support 24 the notion that gephyrin not only slows down and confines GABA_ARs at synapses but 25 also at extrasynaptic sites (Ehrensperger et al., 2007).

Synaptic dwell time values can be discriminated from "trapped" receptors (dwell 1 2 time>5.9 s) and "passing" receptors (dwell time<=5.9 s) (Renner et al., 2012). 3 Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR dwell time confirmed a 1.3 fold faster escape time of 4 receptors in neurons expressing the eGFP-DN mutant (Fig. 2D). We did not observe 5 any difference in this rate for passing receptors. This is an indication that the observed 6 reduction of trapped receptors is not due to increased membrane viscosity, but rather 7 due to gephyrin scaffold's influence on GABA_AR surface mobility. Thus, we concluded 8 that the diffuse DN gephyrin relieved GABAAR a2 diffusion constraints leading to 9 synaptic escape of receptors.

10 If indeed gephyrin clustering can influence receptor diffusion, then S268E and S270A 11 modification(s) must have an influence on $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR surface mobility. To test this, 12 we transfected the eGFP-S268E or eGFP-S270A mutants and measured surface 13 mobility at extrasynaptic and synaptic locations. Superimposition of trajectories with 14 fluorescent image of eGFP-gephyrin allowed us to distinguish synaptic versus 15 extrasynaptic a2 GABAARs. Neurons transfected with eGFP-S268E exhibited an 16 increase in surface exploration of individual trajectories (Fig. 2A). This was consistent 17 with the observed increase in diffusion coefficients at both extrasynaptic and synaptic 18 sites (Fig. 2E). Similarly, quantification of explored area at both extrasynaptic and 19 synaptic sites showed significant increases (Fig. 2F). If reducing gephyrin cluster size 20 facilitates α^2 diffusion, then we expect shorter dwell time at synaptic sites. Indeed, we 21 report reduced dwell time for trapped a2 GABAARs in eGFP-S268E transfected 22 neurons (Fig. 2G). Therefore the use of eGFP-S268E gephyrin mutant shows that the 23 reduction in gephyrin cluster size causes increase in GABAAR diffusion, while 24 reducing synaptic dwell time.

1 On the other hand, in eGFP-S270A transfected neurons, the α 2 GABA_ARs showed 2 increased surface exploration of individual trajectories at synapses (Fig. 2A). 3 Unexpectedly, diffusion coefficients and surface exploration of α^2 extrasynaptic and 4 synaptic GABA_ARs were significantly increased in eGFP-S270A transfected neurons 5 (Fig. 2E-F). However, analysis showed no reduction in $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR dwell time at 6 synaptic sites (Fig. 2G). We thus concluded that the increase in receptor mobility at 7 synapses in S270A transfected neurons does not correlate with what we may expect 8 from a larger scaffold, suggesting additional regulations are at play.

9

Super-resolution PALM microscopy reveals differential packing of gephyrin scaffold.

12 We turned to quantitative nanoscopic imaging to understand the influence of 13 phosphorylation on gephyrin scaffold organization. Using photoactivated localization 14 microscopy (PALM) we estimated localization accuracy from several detections of the 15 same fluorophore from subsequent image frames (Specht et al., 2013). The spatial 16 resolution of PALM is within the range of ~25-30 nm; hence, image segmentation of 17 the rendered PALM images can resolve substructure organization within a gephyrin 18 cluster, that are not discernable using diffraction limited imaging (Specht et al., 2013). 19 Employing fluorescence imaging on primary hippocampal neurons co-transfected with 20 photo-convertible pDendra2-WT, pDendra2-S268E or pDendra2-S270A and shRNA 21 3'UTR showed a clustering phenotype consistent with eGFP-gephyrin and its mutant 22 variants (Fig. 3A). PALM image cluster segmentation was established based on local density of detections using a threshold of 1000 detections/ µm² (Fig. 3B). Image 23 24 segmentation allows us to estimate the mean surface area of a given pDendra2-WT 25 cluster. In this case quantification showed pDendra2-WT clusters to be 0.054 \pm

0.003μm², corresponding to the mean diameter of 262 nm as has been reported earlier
 (Specht et al., 2013). pDendra2-S268E quantifications showed a significant reduction
 in mean surface area to 0.035 ± 0.002 μm², and consistent with our expectations,
 pDendra2-S270A showed an increase in cluster area of 0.078 ± 0.005 μm² as expected
 (Fig. 3C).

We next tried to correlate the estimated size of gephyrin clusters to their respective
densities. Our analysis showed 3919.7 ± 227.9 molecules/ μm² of pDendra2-WT
molecules within a cluster (Fig. 3D). pDendra2-S268E showed a significantly increased
molecular density (4457.5 ± 221.6) in spite of having a smaller cluster area. In contrast,
pDendra2-S270A mutant shows a significantly reduced molecular density (2819.8 ±
117.6), in spite of having a larger surface area (Fig. 3D).

12 Our data indicate that there is no correlation between the diffusion properties of 13 GABA_ARs in spite of the relative size difference between S268E and S270A gephyrin 14 clusters. However, there is a strong correlation between gephyrin phosphorylation and 15 cluster microdomain compaction. The compaction of the scaffold or the increased 16 spacing between gephyrin molecules may perturb the organization of the gephyrin 17 microdomain thereby altering gephyrin-receptor binding properties. We cannot exclude the possibility that the mutations impact directly receptor-binding properties 18 19 independently of their effect on the mesh.

20

Prolonged neuronal activity influences gephyrin and GABAAR clustering as well as GABAAR diffusion.

Activity-dependent regulation of receptor lateral diffusion is an essential contributor to
synapse adaptation (Lüscher et al., 2011). This phenomenon has been explored within
the experimental paradigm of short-term (1-60 min) drug applications (Bannai et al.,

1 2009; Muir et al., 2010; Niwa et al., 2012; Petrini et al., 2014; Bannai et al., 2015). 2 There is accumulating evidence that synaptic adaptations at GABAergic synapses also 3 occur in response to prolonged changes in activity (Rannals and Kapur, 2011; Vlachos 4 et al., 2013; Flores et al., 2015). Hence, we examined whether gephyrin 5 phosphorylation regulates activity-dependent membrane diffusion and synaptic 6 recruitment of $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs. To test this hypothesis, we chronically elevated synaptic 7 activity by treating our primary hippocampal neurons with the potassium channel 8 blocker 4-aminopyridine (4-AP; 100 µM) (Chamma et al., 2013) for 8 h or 48 h. We 9 used immunocytochemistry to determine the impact of a prolonged activity increase on 10 gephyrin and $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR clustering (Fig. 4A). Quantification across independent 11 experiments showed that fluorescence intensity of eGFP-WT gephyrin clusters 12 increased by 1.95 fold after 8 h and by 2.3 fold after 48 h of 4-AP treatment (Fig. 4B). 13 Quantification for $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR cluster intensity after 8 h of 4-AP induced neuronal 14 activity did not show an increase in receptor accumulation at synapses; however, after 15 48 h of 4-AP treatment we found a 1.7 fold increase in receptor density at synaptic sites 16 (Fig. 4C). Thus, gephyrin recruitment at synapses precedes that of the receptor in 17 response to chronic changes in activity. In contrast to synaptic clusters, extrasynaptic 18 α 2 clusters decreased in size and intensity after 8 h of 4-AP application (Fig. 4D). This 19 transient decrease in extrasynaptic $\alpha 2$ clusters intensity is reversed after 48 h of 4-AP 20 similar to synaptic receptor clusters (Fig. 4C-D). Therefore, a chronic increase in 21 activity regulates both extrasynaptic and synaptic receptor clustering. 22

It has been reported that acute 4-AP treatment increases GABA_AR mobility between
synaptic and extrasynaptic sites (Bannai et al., 2009). Hence, we analyzed α2 GABA_AR
surface diffusion at extrasynaptic and synaptic sites after either 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP
treatment using QD-SPT (Fig. 4E). Quantification of the receptor diffusion coefficient

showed a 1.3 fold reduction for extrasynaptic receptors; however, the synaptic receptors
were not influenced by 8 h of 4-AP treatment (Fig. 4F). Consistently, 8 h of 4-AP
treatment reduced the explored area for only the extrasynaptic receptors by 1.2 fold
(Fig. 4G). The receptor dwell time at synaptic sites was also unchanged after 8 h of
activity change (Fig. 4H). This is consistent with a lack of receptor accumulation at
synapses after 8 h of 4-AP treatment.

7 Contrary to the 8 h 4-AP treatment, 48 h treatment significantly reduced the diffusion 8 coefficients of synaptic $\alpha 2$ receptors by 1.3 fold, while having no effect on the 9 extrasynaptic receptors (Fig. 4I). We also observed a 1.3 fold reduction in explored area 10 for synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs, with only a modest reduction for extrasynaptic receptors 11 (Fig. 4J). Unexpectedly, the reduction in the diffusion rate and explored area of synaptic 12 α 2 receptors had no influence on the dwell time at synaptic sites (Fig. 4K). Therefore, 13 pools of extrasynaptic and synaptic receptor are regulated independently of each other 14 over prolonged activity change.

Altogether, our data show that GABA_AR lateral diffusion can be regulated on a time scale of days. We observe a decrease in synaptic GABA_AR diffusion at 48 h time point and not at 8 h, which is in direct correlation to cluster intensity change observed after 48 h. Therefore, regulation of GABA_AR diffusion capture accounts for the change in receptor density at synapses upon chronic changes in activity.

20

21 PKA and CaMKIIα pathways regulate synaptic scaling at GABAergic 22 postsynaptic sites through gephyrin phosphorylation.

To identify signaling cascades which couple the gephyrin scaffold to GABA_ARs for
activity-dependent synaptic recruitment, we focused on the PKA and CaMKIIα
pathways. NMDA receptor dependent compensatory adaptations at the GABAergic

1 postsynaptic sites have been reported to be facilitated by gephyrin phosphorylation at 2 PKA and CaMKIIa locations (Flores et al., 2015). We thus transfected the eGFP-3 S303A/S305A (SSA) mutant (insensitive to PKA and CaMKIIa dependent 4 phosphorylation) into our primary hippocampal neurons and treated the neurons for 8h 5 or 48h with 4-AP. We did not observe differences between eGFP-WT and eGFP-SSA 6 cluster number, cluster size and fluorescence intensity in control conditions (Fig. 5B). 7 Similarly, the SSA mutant did not significantly influence the synaptic or extrasynaptic 8 clustering of a2 GABA_ARs (Fig. 5B). In contrast, the SSA mutation increased the 9 diffusion coefficient and explored area of a2 GABAARs at both extrasynaptic and 10 synaptic sites (Fig. 5C-D). This increase in receptor mobility did not correlate with what 11 we expected from a normal size scaffold. However, the a2 GABAARs dwell time at 12 inhibitory synapses did not differ between eGFP-SSA and eGFP-WT transfected 13 neurons (Fig. 5E), indicating that the increase in receptor mobility was not accompanied 14 by a faster synaptic escape of receptors. This is consistent with a lack of effect of the SSA mutant on $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs clustering at synapses. 15

16 The expression of the eGFP-SSA mutant was sufficient to prevent the 4-AP (8 h or 48 17 h) induced gephyrin and $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs cluster growth at synapses (Fig. 5A, F-G). Interestingly, 8 h and 48 h post 4-AP application extrasynaptic $\alpha 2$ cluster intensity 18 19 increased in eGFP-SSA transfected neurons (Fig. 5H). This indicated that receptor 20 clustering at extrasynaptic sites at the 8 h treatment time point is dependent on PKA 21 and CaMKIIa phosphorylation. However, at 48 h receptor accumulation is independent 22 of these two pathways. Hence, an additional pathway permits GABA_AR recruitment, in 23 particular at extrasynaptic sites, after chronic changes in activity.

24 We also analyzed the effect of the SSA mutant on α 2 GABA_ARs surface diffusion.

25 Similar to wild-type gephyrin, SSA reduced diffusion coefficient and surface

1 exploration of α2 GABA_ARs at extrasynaptic sites after 8 h of 4-AP (Fig. 5I-J). This 2 effect was maintained also after 48 h treatment (Fig. 5K-L). In contrast to wild-type 3 gephyrin, SSA mutant increased $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR confinement, and decreased resident 4 time of GABA_ARs at synapses after 48 h of 4-AP (Fig. 5K-M). The passing $\alpha 2$ 5 GABA_ARs remained unchanged at synapses after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP (Fig. 5M). Hence, 6 our results indicate that gephyrin scaffold reorganization via PKA and CaMKIIa 7 dependent phosphorylation at S303 and S305 is essential for GABAAR diffusion at 8 synapses but not at extrasynaptic sites in response to chronic changes in activity.

9

10 Synapse scaling is independent of ERK1/2 pathway.

11 It has been reported that gephyrin clustering is also influenced by ERK1/2 pathway. 12 We thus assessed if ERK1/2 signaling influences gephyrin cluster size during chronic 13 changes in network activity. Transgenic expression of eGFP-S268E gephyrin mutant 14 renders gephyrin scaffold insensitive to the ERK1/2 signaling pathway (Tyagarajan et 15 al., 2013). We therefore transfected cultured neurons with eGFP-S268E mutant and 16 treated them with 4-AP for 8 h or 48 h. Immunocytochemical analysis showed an 17 increase in eGFP-S268E mutant cluster size after 4-AP treatment (Fig. 6A). 18 Quantification of changes in eGFP-S268E cluster intensity confirmed an increase of 19 1.6 and 2.2 fold after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP treatment respectively (Fig. 6B). This was 20 associated with increases of 1.2 and 1.3 fold in eGFP-S268E cluster size after 8 h and 21 48 h of 4-AP treatment respectively (Fig. 6B). Analysis for $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR cluster 22 intensity at synapses and at extrasynaptic sites showed a respective 2.2 and 1.8 fold 23 increase after 48 h of 4-AP treatment, but not after 8 h (Fig. 6C-D). We conclude that 24 the eGFP-S268E mutant is not required for the activity-dependent recruitment of 25 gephyrin and GABA_AR within synaptic and extrasynaptic clusters. We wondered if 4-

1 AP induced chronic activity would impact the surface diffusion of GABA_ARs. We 2 checked a2 GABAAR diffusion coefficients after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP. Individual 3 receptor trajectories for extrasynaptic and synaptic a2 GABAAR suggested increased 4 confinement after 48 h of enhanced activity (Fig. 6E). The α 2 diffusion coefficients 5 and explored area were increased by 1.2 fold and 1.4 fold for extrasynaptic receptors 6 after 8 h of 4-AP (Fig. 6F-G). However, 48 h post 4-AP application extrasynaptic 7 receptors diffusion coefficients were unchanged (Fig 6H), while QDs were more 8 confined at extrasynaptic sites (Fig. 6I). Interestingly, 48 h of 4-AP treatment reduced 9 synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR diffusion coefficients and explored area at eGFP-S268E 10 synapses (Fig. 6H-I) as observed at synapses containing eGFP-WT (Fig. 4I-J). In 11 agreement with an increased number of $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs at synapses, $\alpha 2$ dwell time 12 increased at eGFP-S268E synapses (Fig. 6J).

Therefore, we conclude that although ERK1/2 signaling is not necessary for the activity-dependent regulation of the diffusive behavior of synaptic GABA_ARs, it controls the mobility of receptors at extrasynaptic sites. These observations further confirm that synaptic and extrasynaptic receptor pools are independently regulated, and that adaptations observed at GABAergic postsynapses is independent of ERK1/2 pathway.

19

20 GSK3β phosphylation of gephyrin facilitates GABA_AR diffusion after activity 21 change.

It has been reported that the GSK3β signaling pathway postsynaptically regulates the
density and size of GABAergic synapses via gephyrin phosphorylation.
Pharmacological blockade of the GSK3β pathway or expression of the S270A gephyrin
mutant is sufficient to increase gephyrin cluster size (Tyagarajan et al., 2011). Hence,

1 it is plausible that GSK3β pathway acts in addition to PKA and CaMKIIα signaling to 2 regulate homeostatic adaptations at GABAergic synapses. To address this question, we 3 treated neurons transfected with eGFP-S270A gephyrin mutant with 4-AP for 8 h and 4 48 h. Morphological characterization showed that the GSK3 β signaling is not essential 5 for gephyrin accumulation at synapses upon chronic changes in activity (Fig. 7A-B). In 6 contrast, the eGFP-S270A mutant fully abolished the synaptic and extrasynaptic 7 increase in α2 GABA_AR clustering after 48 h of 4-AP application (Fig. 7C-D). After 8 8h of 4-AP treatment extrasynaptic α 2 GABA_AR cluster density, size and intensity 9 were respectively reduced by 1.4 fold, 1.2 fold and 1.4 fold in eGFP-S270A expressing 10 cells, respectively (Fig. 7D). These results implicate the GSK3ß pathway in the 11 regulation of activity-induced GABA_AR clustering at both synaptic and extrasynaptic 12 sites.

13 If the GSK3ß signaling is important for GABAARs accumulation at synapses in 14 response to chronic changes in activity, then eGFP-S270A mutant expression should 15 have no impact on $\alpha 2$ diffusion rates. However, 8 h post 4-AP application $\alpha 2$ diffusion 16 coefficient and explored area were reduced by 1.3 fold and 1.1 fold at synaptic sites 17 (Fig. 7E-G). This increased confinement was counterbalanced by a decrease in the time 18 trapped receptors spent at synapses (Fig. 7J), explaining why $\alpha 2$ clustering was 19 unchanged at synapses after 8 h of 4-AP application. On the other hand, 48 h of 4-AP 20 application increased $\alpha 2$ diffusion coefficients by 1.3 fold as well as explored area at 21 synaptic sites (Fig. 7E, H-I). This was however not accompanied by a change in 22 synaptic receptor dwell time (Fig. 7J). The reduction of extrasynaptic α^2 clustering 23 coincided with a 1.3 fold reduced explored area in eGFP-S270A expressing cells after 24 8 h of 4-AP (Fig. 7E-G). Nevertheless, 48 h after 4-AP application $\alpha 2$ diffusion 25 coefficients and explored area returned to baseline levels at extrasynaptic sites (Fig.

7H-I). These observations are consistent with the receptor clustering returning to
 control levels at extrasynaptic sites after 48 h of 4-AP (Fig. 7D). Altogether, these
 results show that GSK3β signaling in addition to PKA and CaMKIIα pathways tune
 GABA_ARs at synapses in response to chronic changes in activity.

5

6 Impairment of PKA, CAMKIIα and GSK3β phosphylation of gephyrin abolishes

8 The analysis of the SSA and S270A mutants indicated that PKA, CAMKII α and 9 GSK3 β phosphylation of gephyrin have complementary effects on gephyrin and α 2 10 GABA_ARs clustering in conditions of synaptic plasticity. To show it more directly, we 11 generated eGFP-SSA/S270A mutant, expressed it in hippocampal neurons and treated 12 the neurons for 8 h or 48 h with 4-AP.

13 We found that overexpressing eGFP-SSA/S270A increased eGFP cluster size and 14 intensity (Fig. 8A). The gephyrin cluster growth was however not accompanied by 15 synaptic recruitment of α 2 GABA_ARs (Fig. 8A). Although the density of α 2 GABA_ARs 16 clusters was reduced in eGFP-SSA/S270A transfected cells, there was no major impact 17 of the mutant on α 2 GABA_ARs cluster size and intensity at synaptic and extrasynaptic 18 sites (Fig. 8A).

19 We then characterized $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR diffusion in SSA/S270A transfected neurons. 20 Diffusion coefficients showed a 1.4 fold increase for extrasynaptic receptors and no 21 significant change for synaptic receptors (Fig. 8B). This effect was consistent with the 22 observation that $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR spent the same time at eGFP-SSA/S270A and eGFP-WT 23 synapses (Fig. 8C). Therefore the eGFP-SSA/S270A mutant can recapitulate many of 24 the observed phenotypes seen with SSA or S270A individual mutations.

1 We then characterized how chronic activity impacts eGFP-SSA/S270A mutant 2 behavior. Although the extrasynaptic GABA_ARs cluster density increased after 48 h of 3 4-AP in eGFP-SSA/S270A transfected cells, the triple mutant prevented the synaptic 4 increase in gephyrin and GABA_ARs cluster size and intensity in response to 4-AP 5 treatment (Fig. 8D-F). The diffusion coefficient and explored area of a2 GABAARs 6 showed no change after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application (Fig. 8 G-J). There was also no 7 impact on receptor dwell time at synapses after chronic changes in activity (Fig. 8K). 8 Our results uncover a role for several signaling pathways in chronic activity-dependent 9 modulation of gephyrin clustering and GABA_ARs surface diffusion at synapses. Our 10 data also show that distinct signaling pathways regulate synaptic and extrasynaptic 11 receptors clustering. Together these results identify a novel role of GSK3ß signaling in 12 the regulation of extrasynaptic receptor surface trafficking and GSK3B, PKA and 13 CaMKIIa pathways in facilitating adaptations of synaptic receptors.

14

15

16 **Discussion:**

17 In the current study, we investigate the molecular basis for gephyrin scaffold induced 18 GABA_AR membrane dynamics. We identify a novel role for gephyrin post-translational 19 modification involving phosphorylation and de-phosphorylation in regulating 20 GABA_AR lateral diffusion. By tracking $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs within and outside synaptic sites 21 using QD-SPT, we demonstrate that gephyrin phosphorylation by ERK1/2 at S268, and 22 inhibition of GSK3β phosphorylation on gephyrin at S270 while exhibiting opposite 23 effects on synaptic morphology, influence GABA_AR diffusion properties similarly. We 24 analyze gephyrin scaffold organization at the nanoscale level using PALM, and uncover 25 that phosphorylation also controls gephyrin molecule packing.

1 Over the past decade, several independent studies have documented changes in lateral 2 diffusion of GABA_ARs after pharmacological alteration of neuronal function within a 3 time scale of minutes to few hours (Lévi et al., 2008; Bannai et al., 2009; Niwa et al., 4 2012; Petrini et al., 2014). 4-AP application within minutes induces NMDAR-mediated 5 calcium influx and calcineurin activation leading to dephosphorylation of the GABAAR 6 γ 2 subunit S327 residue (Wang et al., 2003). In this context, an increase in GABA_AR 7 diffusion constraint results from receptor dephosphorylation, while gephyrin scaffold 8 loss is a secondary effect in response to receptor dispersal (Niwa et al., 2012). We 9 identify gephyrin phosphorylation as an essential facilitator of GABAAR diffusion 10 dynamics in response to chronic changes in activity. More specifically we identify a 11 central role for PKA and CaMKIIa pathways along with GSK3ß signaling in 12 phosphorylating gephyrin to regulate activity-dependent inhibitory synapse 13 remodeling.

14

Structure of the gephyrin scaffold requires phosphoregulation of gephyrin molecules.

17 At GABAergic synapses the role of phosphorylation for gephyrin scafigffold 18 compaction have yet to be reported. The fluorescence microscopy data (Figure 1B) 19 inform us about average area and intensity per cluster. PALM microscopy informs us 20 about the actual density of molecules per surface area (Figure 3). The number of 21 molecules per synapse using PALM imaging can be roughly estimated by multiplying 22 the mean surface area of the cluster by the density of gephyrin molecules per surface 23 unit. Values of ~ 212, 156 and 220 were found for the gephyrin WT, S268E and S270A 24 respectively. Interestingly, these estimations are consistent with the measurements of 25 the mean cluster fluorescence intensity for the S268E and S270A mutants.

1 The hexameric gephyrin lattice model was proposed based on G and E domain crystal 2 structures available at the time. However, in recent years atomic force microscopy 3 (AFM) and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) structure of full-length gephyrin has 4 shown that gephyrin only exist as trimers, as individual E domains are in an open extended confirmation (Sander et al., 2013). (Pennacchietti et al., 2017) have shown 5 6 that after iLTP gephyrin reorganizes itself into distinct subsynaptic nanodomains. Full-7 length gephyrin can exist in open or closed confirmations based on the linker domain 8 folding (Sander et al., 2013). All the gephyrin phosphorylation sites have been 9 mapped to the linker domain suggesting phosphorylation is a strong candidate for 10 determining open and closed states within gephyrin nanodomains. This could in turn 11 determine the distance between two nanodomains and/or total number of nanodomains 12 within a given synapse.

13

14 Gephyrin-independent GABAAR adaptations at synaptic sites.

15 It has long been assumed that alterations in GABA_AR and/or gephyrin cluster intensity 16 is indicative of the number of molecules found at the synapse, and thereby a direct 17 correlate for changes in synapse structure and function. Here we report that disrupting 18 gephyrin scaffold via the expression of the eGFP-DN mutant does not increase the 19 diffusion properties of GABA_ARs at synaptic sites. This observation was unexpected 20 as loss of the scaffolding apparatus should have increased receptor diffusion also at 21 synaptic sites. It has been reported that eGFP-DN expression significantly reduces 22 mIPSC amplitude and frequency, without leading to a complete loss of GABAergic 23 synaptic transmission (Ghosh et al., 2016). Our observation suggests that a pool of 24 gephyrin independent **GABA**_A**R**s are present in neurons. Recently, 25 GIT1/BPIX/Rac1/PAK signaling pathway was shown to contribute to GABAergic

1	transmission. β PIX is a GEF for Rac1 activating PAK, and contributing to GABA _A R
2	stability (Smith et al., 2014). Similar signaling mechanisms could be operational even
3	in the absence of gephyrin scaffold to maintain the membrane pool of GABA _A Rs.
4	

5 Independent behavior of GABAARs at synaptic and extrasynaptic sites.

6 Postsynaptic receptor trapping is adaptable depending on phosphorylation events that 7 impinge on scaffold-scaffold or receptor-scaffold interactions (Choquet and Triller, 8 2013). It became clear with the development of SPT approaches that receptors are also 9 hindered in their diffusion outside synapses via molecular crowding but also through 10 specific protein-protein interactions. A receptor-gephyrin interaction outside inhibitory 11 synapses has been reported earlier (Ehrensperger et al., 2007). GABAARs also 12 colocalize and interact with clathrin-enriched endocytic zones (EZs) that are mostly 13 localized extrasynaptically (Smith et al., 2012). Receptors in EZs don't necessarily 14 undergo internalization. They can be part of a reserve pool of receptors rapidly available 15 upon increase in synaptic activity (Petrini et al., 2014). Conversely, the GABA_AR-AP2 16 interaction within EZs has been shown to indirectly control receptor mobility and 17 number at synapses (Smith et al., 2012).

18 However, our data show independent behavior of GABAARs at synaptic and 19 extrasynaptic sites. After 8 h of 4-AP treatment a2 GABAARs were confined at 20 extrasynaptic sites without influencing the diffusion property of synaptic receptors. In 21 contrast, after 48 h of 4-AP treatment a GABA_AR confinement at extrasynaptic sites 22 was lifted, and this was followed by an increase in receptor confinement at synapses, 23 suggesting that GABA_AR retention at extrasynaptic sites prevent their synaptic 24 capture/accumulation. However, after 8 h of 4-AP treatment neurons expressing eGFP-25 S268E mutant show a reduction in receptor confinement at extrasynaptic locations,

without affecting synaptic receptor diffusion. Therefore, removing diffusion constraints
onto extrasynaptic GABA_ARs does not facilitate receptor recruitment at synapses. In
addition, after 8 h of 4-AP treatment neurons expressing eGFP-S270A mutant show
increased confinement of GABA_ARs at both extrasynaptic and synaptic locations,
indicating that confining GABA_ARs at extrasynaptic locations does not prevent
diffusion-capture of receptors.

7

8 Synaptic adaptation is facilitated by gephyrin phosphorylation.

9 We present evidence for a biphasic model for activity-dependent plasticity at 10 GABAergic postsynapse. Acute 4-AP treatment increases and chronic 4-AP treatment 11 decreases a 2 GABA_AR lateral diffusion. The observed increase in GABA_AR diffusion 12 after acute 4-AP treatment can be explained by increase in synaptic escape of receptors 13 leading to reduced postsynaptic clustering and dispersal of gephyrin molecules away 14 from the synapse (Bannai et al., 2009). On the contrary, we show here that chronic 4-15 AP treatment leads to synaptic immobilization and recruitment of GABA_AR α 2 and 16 gephyrin. These discrepancies are probably due to the distinct signaling pathways 17 activated by the acute and chronic changes in activity. Short term 4-AP application 18 induces NMDAR-mediated calcium influx and calcineurin activation leading to 19 dephosphorylation of GABA_AR y2 subunit S327 residue (Bannai et al., 2009). In this 20 context, the relief in GABAAR diffusion constraints arises from receptor 21 dephosphorylation while gephyrin loss is a consequence of receptor dispersal (Niwa et 22 al. 2012). In contrast, we show that chronic changes in activity impacts first the 23 recruitment of gephyrin at synapses, and then allows the recruitment of GABA_ARs. PKA and CaMKIIa signaling act downstream of NMDAR to facilitate compensatory 24 25 postsynaptic adaptations at GABAergic synapses (Flores et al., 2015). Our data extends

1	this understanding by demonstrating a role for the GSK3 β pathway in addition to PKA
2	and CaMKIIa pathways in facilitating gephyrin scaffold organization of individual
3	GABA _A Rs after prolonged changes in activity.
4	
5	References:
6	Bannai H, Lévi S, Schweizer C, Inoue T, Launey T, Racine V, Sibarita J-B, Mikoshiba
7	K, Triller A (2009) Activity-dependent tuning of inhibitory neurotransmission
8	based on GABAAR diffusion dynamics. Neuron 62:670–682.
9	Bannai H, Niwa F, Sherwood MW, Shrivastava AN, Arizono M, Miyamoto A, Sugiura
10	K, Lévi S, Triller A, Mikoshiba K (2015) Bidirectional Control of Synaptic
11	GABAAR Clustering by Glutamate and Calcium. Cell Rep 13:2768–2780.
12	Bats C, Groc L, Choquet D (2007) The interaction between Stargazin and PSD-95
13	regulates AMPA receptor surface trafficking. Neuron 53:719–734.
14	Chamma I, Heubl M, Chevy Q, Renner M, Moutkine I, Eugène E, Poncer JC, Lévi S
15	(2013) Activity-dependent regulation of the K/Cl transporter KCC2 membrane
16	diffusion, clustering, and function in hippocampal neurons. J Neurosci 33:15488-
17	15503.
18	Charrier C, Machado P, Tweedie-Cullen RY, Rutishauser D, Mansuy IM, Triller A
19	(2010) A crosstalk between $\beta 1$ and $\beta 3$ integrins controls glycine receptor and
20	gephyrin trafficking at synapses. Nat Neurosci 13:1388–1395.
21	Chen JL, Villa KL, Cha JW, So PTC, Kubota Y, Nedivi E (2012) Clustered dynamics
22	of inhibitory synapses and dendritic spines in the adult neocortex. Neuron 74:361-
23	373.

1	Choquet D, Triller A (2013) The dynamic synapse. Neuron 80:691–703.
2	Ehrensperger M-V, Hanus C, Vannier C, Triller A, Dahan M (2007) Multiple
3	association states between glycine receptors and gephyrin identified by SPT
4	analysis. Biophysical Journal 92:3706–3718.
5	Flores CE, Nikonenko I, Mendez P, Fritschy J-M, Tyagarajan SK, Muller D (2015)
6	Activity-dependent inhibitory synapse remodeling through gephyrin
7	phosphorylation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:E65–E72.
8	Ghosh H, Auguadri L, Battaglia S, Simone Thirouin Z, Zemoura K, Messner S, Acuña
9	MA, Wildner H, Yévenes GE, Dieter A, Kawasaki H, O Hottiger M, Zeilhofer HU,
10	Fritschy J-M, Tyagarajan SK (2016) Several posttranslational modifications act in
11	concert to regulate gephyrin scaffolding and GABAergic transmission. Nat
12	Commun 7:13365.
13	Lardi-Studler B, Smolinsky B, Petitjean CM, Koenig F, Sidler C, Meier JC, Fritschy
14	JM, Schwarz G (2007) Vertebrate-specific sequences in the gephyrin E-domain
15	regulate cytosolic aggregation and postsynaptic clustering. J Cell Sci 120:1371-
16	1382.
17	Lévi S, Schweizer C, Bannai H, Pascual O, Charrier C, Triller A (2008) Homeostatic
18	regulation of synaptic GlyR numbers driven by lateral diffusion. Neuron 59:261-
19	273.
20	Lüscher B, Fuchs T, Kilpatrick CL (2011) GABAA receptor trafficking-mediated
21	plasticity of inhibitory synapses. Neuron 70:385–409.
22	Muir J, Arancibia-Carcamo IL, MacAskill AF, Smith KR, Griffin LD, Kittler JT (2010)

1	NMDA receptors regulate GABAA receptor lateral mobility and clustering at
2	inhibitory synapses through serine 327 on the $\gamma 2$ subunit. Proceedings of the
3	National Academy of Sciences 107:16679–16684.
4	Niwa F, Bannai H, Arizono M, Fukatsu K, Triller A, Mikoshiba K (2012) Gephyrin-
5	independent GABA(A)R mobility and clustering during plasticity. PLoS ONE
6	7:e36148.
7	Pennacchietti F, Vascon S, Nieus T, Rosillo C, Das S, Tyagarajan SK, Diaspro A, Del
8	Bue A, Petrini EM, Barberis A, Cella Zanacchi F (2017) Nanoscale Molecular
9	Reorganization of the Inhibitory Postsynaptic Density Is a Determinant of
10	GABAergic Synaptic Potentiation. J Neurosci 37:1747–1756.
11	Petrini EM, Barberis A (2014) Diffusion dynamics of synaptic molecules during
12	inhibitory postsynaptic plasticity. Front Cell Neurosci 8:300.
13	Petrini EM, Ravasenga T, Hausrat TJ, Iurilli G, Olcese U, Racine V, Sibarita J-B, Jacob
14	TC, Moss SJ, Benfenati F, Medini P, Kneussel M, Barberis A (2014) Synaptic
15	recruitment of gephyrin regulates surface GABAA receptor dynamics for the
16	expression of inhibitory LTP. Nat Commun 5.
17	Rannals MD, Kapur J (2011) Homeostatic strengthening of inhibitory synapses is
18	mediated by the accumulation of GABA(A) receptors. J Neurosci 31:17701-
19	17712.
20	Renner M, Schweizer C, Bannai H, Triller A, Lévi S (2012) Diffusion Barriers
21	Constrain Receptors at Synapses Tell F, ed. PLoS ONE 7:e43032.
22	Saliba RS, Michels G, Jacob TC, Pangalos MN, Moss SJ (2007) Activity-dependent

1	ubiquitination of GABA(A) receptors regulates their accumulation at synaptic
2	sites. J Neurosci 27:13341–13351.
3	Sander B, Tria G, Shkumatov AV, Kim EY, Grossmann JG, Tessmer I, Svergun DI,
4	Schindelin H (2013) Structural characterization of gephyrin by AFM and SAXS
5	reveals a mixture of compact and extended states. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
6	Crystallogr 69:2050–2060.
7	Smith KR, Davenport EC, Wei J, Li X, Pathania M, Vaccaro V, Yan Z, Kittler JT
8	(2014) GIT1 and β PIX are essential for GABA(A) receptor synaptic stability and
9	inhibitory neurotransmission. Cell Rep 9:298–310.
10	Smith KR, Muir J, Rao Y, Browarski M, Gruenig MC, Sheehan DF, Haucke V, Kittler
11	JT (2012) Stabilization of GABA(A) receptors at endocytic zones is mediated by
12	an AP2 binding motif within the GABA(A) receptor β 3 subunit. J Neurosci
13	32:2485–2498.
14	Specht CG, Izeddin I, Rodriguez PC, Beheiry El M, Rostaing P, Darzacq X, Dahan M,
15	Triller A (2013) Quantitative nanoscopy of inhibitory synapses: counting gephyrin
16	molecules and receptor binding sites. Neuron 79:308-321.
17	Tyagarajan SK, Ghosh H, Yévenes GE, Imanishi SY, Zeilhofer HU, Gerrits B, Fritschy
18	J-M (2013) Extracellular signal-regulated kinase and glycogen synthase kinase 3β
19	regulate gephyrin postsynaptic aggregation and GABAergic synaptic function in a
20	calpain-dependent mechanism. J Biol Chem 288:9634–9647.
21	Tyagarajan SK, Ghosh H, Yévenes GE, Nikonenko I, Ebeling C, Schwerdel C, Sidler
22	C, Zeilhofer HU, Gerrits B, Muller D, Fritschy J-M (2011) Regulation of
23	GABAergic synapse formation and plasticity by GSK3beta-dependent

- phosphorylation of gephyrin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
 108:379–384.
 van Versendaal D, Rajendran R, Saiepour MH, Klooster J, Smit-Rigter L, Sommeijer
- J-P, De Zeeuw CI, Hofer SB, Heimel JA, Levelt CN (2012) Elimination of
 Inhibitory Synapses Is a Major Component of Adult Ocular Dominance Plasticity.
 Neuron 74:374–383.
- Villa KL, Berry KP, Subramanian J, Cha JW, Oh WC, Kwon H-B, Kubota Y, So PTC,
 Nedivi E (2016) Inhibitory Synapses Are Repeatedly Assembled and Removed at
 Persistent Sites In Vivo. Neuron 89:756–769.
- Vlachos A, Reddy-Alla S, Papadopoulos T, Deller T, Betz H (2013) Homeostatic
 regulation of gephyrin scaffolds and synaptic strength at mature hippocampal
 GABAergic postsynapses. Cereb Cortex 23:2700–2711.
- Wang J, Liu S, Haditsch U, Tu W, Cochrane K, Ahmadian G, Tran L, Paw J, Wang Y,
 Mansuy I, Salter MM, Lu YM (2003) Interaction of calcineurin and type-A GABA
- receptor gamma 2 subunits produces long-term depression at CA1 inhibitory
 synapses. J Neurosci 23:826–836.
- Yu W, Jiang M, Miralles CP, Li R-W, Chen G, De Blas AL (2007) Gephyrin clustering
 is required for the stability of GABAergic synapses. Mol Cell Neurosci 36:484–
 500.
- 20
- 21
- 22

1 Figure Legends

2 Figure 1: Morphological characterization of eGFP-gephyrin and its mutant 3 variants. (A) Representative images of primary hippocampal neurons co-transfected 4 with eGFP-WT, eGFP-S268E, eGFP-S270A or eGFP-DN and shRNA-3'UTR. eGFP-5 gephyrin cluters (green), $\alpha 2$ GABA_ARs (red) and VGAT (blue) are shown. Scale bar, 6 10 µm. (**B**) Quantification of eGFP-gephyrin cluster density, cluster area and intensity 7 shows larger eGFP-S270A clusters compared with eGFP-WT at synapses. S268E: WT 8 n= 66 cells, S268E n= 60 cells, 4 cultures. Syn: Cluster Number (Nb) p= 0.42, area p= 9 0.22, intensity (Int) p= 0.05. Extra: Nb p= 0.99, Area p= 0.66, Intensity p= 0.44. 10 S270A: WT n= 86 cells, S270A: n= 74 cells, 6 cultures. Syn: Nb p= 0.77, Area p= 11 0.02, Intensity p = 0.02. Extra: Nb p = 0.39, Area p = 0.42, Intensity p = 0.15. (C) 12 Quantification for a 2 GABA_AR clusters shows significantly more receptors in eGFP-13 S270A mutant clusters. S268E: WT n= 52 cells, S268E n= 47 cells, 3 cultures. Syn: 14 Nb p= 0.48, Area p= 0.46, Intensity p= 0.6. **Extra**: Nb p= 0.46, area p= 0.63, intensity 15 p=0.22. **S270A**: WT n= 52 cells, S270A n= 39 cells, 3 cultures. **Syn**: Nb p= 0.56, Area 16 p = 0.08, Intensity p = 0.008. Extra: Nb p = 0.008, Area p = 0.81, Intensity p = 0.29. Data 17 shown as mean \pm SEM. Values were normalized to the corresponding control values. 18 Statistics *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test).

19

Figure 2: Membrane dynamics of α 2 GABAAR is influenced by gephyrin phosphorylation. (A) Example traces of QD trajectories (red) overlaid with fluorescent synaptic clusters (white) of VGAT-Oyster550 for eGFP-DN transfected neurons or with eGFP-gephyrin clusters for eGFP-WT, eGFP-S268E or eGFP-S270A expressing cells. Scale bar, 0.5 µm. (B) Median diffusion coefficients D of α 2 GABAAR in neurons transfected with either eGFP-WT or eGFP-DN. Extra: WT n= 975 QDs, DN n= 491

1	QDs, $p = 4.5 \ 10^{-34}$; Syn : WT $n = 306$ QDs, DN $n = 173$ QDs, $p = 0.36$. (C) Quantification
2	of explored area EA of α 2 GABA _A R, Extra : WT n= 2925 QDs, DN n= 1473 QDs, p=
3	3.8 10 ⁻²³ ; Syn : WT n= 918 QDs, DN n= 519 QDs, p= 4.4 10 ⁻⁴ . (D) Dwell time DT of
4	$\alpha 2$ GABA _A R at synapses in neurons transfected with either eGFP-WT or eGFP-DN.
5	Quantification of all QDs (total), trapped ($DT < 5.9$ s) and passing ($DT > 5.9$ s) QDs at
6	inhibitory synapses. Significant decrease in synaptic dwell time for total and trapped
7	QDs was observed but not for passing ones. Total: WT $n = 436$ QDs, DN $n = 262$ QDs,
8	p= 0.001; Trapped : WT n= 235 QDs, DN n= 108 QDs, p= 8.0 10 ⁻³ ; Passing : WT n=
9	201 QDs, DN n= 154 QDs, p= 0.19. (E) Quantification of diffusion coefficients of $\alpha 2$
10	GABAAR showing increased receptor mobility at extrasynaptic (extra) and synaptic
11	(syn) sites in neurons transfected with eGFP-S268E or eGFP-S270A, as compared with
12	eGFP-WT expressing cells. Extra: WT n= 1820 QDs, S268E n= 1273 QDs, p= 1.1 10 ⁻¹
13	²² , S270A n= 1658, p= 2.9 10 ⁻²⁷ . Syn : WT n= 461 QDs, S268E n= 326 QDs, p= 2.4 10 ⁻²⁷ .
14	⁸ , S270A n= 340, p= 1.8 10 ⁻⁸ . (F) Quantification of α 2 GABA _A R explored area EA,
15	Extra : WT n= 5460 QDs, S268E n= 3807 QDs, p=6.8 10 ⁻⁵² , S270A n= 5355, p= 2.2
16	10^{-101} . Syn : WT n= 1383 QDs, S268E n= 978 QDs, p= 7.4 10^{-23} , S270A n= 2208, p=
17	1.2 10^{-33} . (G) Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R dwell time DT in neurons expressing
18	either eGFP-WT, eGFP-S268E or eGFP-S270A. Calculations were done for all QDs
19	(total), (trapped) or (passing) QDs at inhibitory synapses. Decrease in dwell time for
20	the whole or trapped population of QDs was seen in synapses expressing eGFP-S268E
21	but not in synapses containing eGFP-S270A. Total: WT n= 251 QDs, S268E n= 176
22	QDs, p= 0.013, S270A n= 216 QDs, p= 0.31; Trapped : WT n= 135 QDs, S268E n=
23	85 QDs, p= 0.002, S270A n= 109 QDs, p= 0.28; Passing : WT n= 116 QDs, S268E n=
24	91 QDs, p= 0.24, S270A n= 107 QDs, p= 0.98. All data are from six independent
25	experiments. In B-C, E-F, data are presented as median values $\pm 25\%$ -75% Interquartile

Range IQR, ***P≤0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). In D, G, data are presented as
 mean ± SEM. *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test). D in µm²s⁻¹, EA in
 µm², DT in s.

4

5 Figure 3: PALM imaging showing gephyrin phosphorylation influences scaffold 6 packing. (A) Epifluorescence (top) and PALM (bottom) imaging of the same dendritic 7 regions in neurons expressing pDendra2-WT, -S268E or -S270A mutant. Scale bar, 1 8 μm. (B) Representative image of cluster segmentation (red) based on local density of molecules detected (white dots) using a threshold of 1000 detections/ μ m² (blue). Scale 9 10 bar, 200 nm. (C) Quantification of eGFP cluster area using PALM shows reduction in 11 cluster size for eGFP-S268E and increase in cluster size for eGFP-S270A compared 12 with eGFP-WT. WT n= 313 synapses, S268E n= 277 synapses, S270A n= 290 13 synapses, p<0.001, 4 cultures. (**D**) Quantification of density of gephyrin molecules per μ m² using PALM in transfected neurons. Neurons expressing eGFP-S268E exhibit 14 15 denser gephyrin packing, and neurons expressing eGFP-S270A exhibit less dense 16 packing of gephyrin compared with eGFP-WT. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. **P= 0.006; ***P≤0.001 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test). 17

18

19Figure 4: Gephyrin clustering influences GABAAR lateral diffusion. (A)20Morphology of eGFP-WT (green) after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP application; VGAT (blue),21GABAAR $\alpha 2$ (red) at 21 DIV. Scale bar, 10 µm. (B) Quantification of eGFP-WT22clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP application. t0 n= 55 cells, 8h n= 46 cells, 48h n=2355 cells, 3 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p= 0.13, 0-48h: p= 0.002; Area: 0-8h: p= 0.5,240-48h: p= 0.001; Intensity: 0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h: p<0.001. (C) Quantification of</td>25synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABAAR clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP compared with mock treated

1	control. t0 n= 52 cells, 8h n= 43 cells, 48h n= 53 cells, 3 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h:
2	p= 0.4, 0-48h: p= 0.3; Area : 0-8h: p= 0.8, 0-48h: p= 0.8; Intensity : 0-8h: p= 0.5, 0-
3	48h: p= 0.03. (D) Quantification of extrasynaptic α 2 GABA _A R clusters after 8 h and 48
4	h of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. t0 n= 52 cells, 8h n= 43 cells, 48h n=
5	53 cells, 3 cultures. Cluster Nb : 0-8h: p= 0.2, 0-48h: p= 0.9; Area : 0-8h: p= 0.02, 0-
6	48h: p= 0.3; Intensity: 0-8h: p= 0.05, 0-48h: p= 0.022. (E) Example trace of $\alpha 2$
7	GABAAR trajectories showing surface exploration of extrasynaptic and synaptic
8	receptors after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP exposure. Scale bar, 0.5 μ m. (F) Quantification of
9	diffusion coefficients of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R after 8 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra; t0 n= 450
10	QDs, WT 4AP 8h n= 961 QDs, p= 1.96 10 ⁻⁷ . Syn ; t0 n= 103 QDs, 8h n= 138 QDs, p=
11	0.22; 2 cultures. (G) Quantification of explored area EA of α 2 GABA _A R after 8 h of 4-
12	AP application. Extra ; t0 n= 1347 QDs, 8h n= 5265 QDs, p= 6.4 10 ⁻⁹ . Syn ; t0 n= 308
13	QDs, 8h n= 708 QDs, p= 0.63. (H) Quantification of synaptic dwell time DT of $\alpha 2$
14	GABAAR showing no impact after 8 h of 4-AP for either total, trapped or passing
15	receptor population. Total: t0 n= 151 QDs, 8h n= 206 QDs, p= 0.073; Trapped: t0 n=
16	80 QDs, 8h n= 116 QDs, p= 0.36; Passing : t0 n= 78 QDs, 8h n= 90 QDs, p= 0.02. (I)
17	Quantification of diffusion coefficients of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R after 48 h of 4-AP application.
18	Extra : t0 n= 777 QDs, 48h n= 174 QDs, p= 0.69. Syn : t0 n= 126 QDs, 48h n= 213
19	QDs, p= 1.4 10 ⁻⁴ . (J) Quantification of explored area EA of α 2 GABA _A R after 48 h of
20	4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 2331 QDs, 48h n= 5508 QDs, p= 0.045. Syn : t0 n= 378
21	QDs, 48h n= 717 QDs, p= 2.2 10^{-20} . (K) Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R dwell time
22	after 48 h of 4-AP application. Total: t0 n= 201 QDs, 48h n= 254 QDs, p= 0.74.
23	Trapped : t0 n= 91 QDs, 48h n= 110 QDs, p= 0.99. Passing : t0 n= 110 QDs, 48h n=
24	144 QDs, p= 0.81. In B-D, H, K, data are presented as mean \pm SEM, *P \leq 0.05;
25	***P≤0.001 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test). In F-G, I-J, data are presented as median

values ± 25%-75% Interquartile Range IQR, *P≤0.05; ***P≤0.001 (Kolmogorov Smirnov test). In B-G and I-J, values were normalized to the corresponding control
 values. In H, K, DT in s.

4

5 Figure 5: PKA and CaMKIIa signaling pathways regulate gephyrin clustering 6 and a2 GABAAR membrane dynamics in conditions of chronic changes of activity. 7 (A) Morphological analysis of neurons transfected with eGFP-S303A/S305A (eGFP-8 SSA) gephyrin double mutant insensitive to PKA and CaMKIIα signaling pathways. 9 Double staining of VGAT (blue) and a2 GABAAR (red) at 21 DIV under control 10 condition (t0) or in the presence of 4-AP for 48 h. Scale bar, 10 µm. (B) Quantifications 11 of synaptic eGFP-SSA clusters and synaptic ($\alpha 2$ syn) and extrasynaptic ($\alpha 2$ extra) $\alpha 2$ 12 GABAAR clusters in relation to eGFP-WT show minor impact of eGFP-SSA under 13 control condition. eGFP-WT: n= 89 cells, eGFP-SSA n= 95 cells, 6 cultures. eGFP-14 SSA: Cluster Nb: p=0.3; Area: p=0.9; Intensity: p=0.5. $\alpha 2$ syn: Cluster Nb: p=0.4; 15 Area: p=0.5; Intensity: p=0.8. $\alpha 2$ extra: Cluster Nb: p=0.2; Area: p=0.4; Intensity: 16 p=0.2. (C) Quantification of median diffusion coefficient D of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR in neurons 17 expressing eGFP-WT or eGFP-SSA under control condition. Extra: WT n= 1166 QDs, SSA n= 989 QDs, p= 1.5 10⁻¹²; Syn: WT n= 312 QDs, SSA n= 245 QDs, p= 0.08; 4 18 19 cultures. (**D**) Quantification of median explored area EA of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR in neurons 20 expressing eGFP-WT or eGFP-SSA under control condition. Extra: WT n= 3510 QDs, 21 SSA n= 2778 QDs, p= $3.9 \ 10^{-18}$; Syn: WT n= 932 QDs, SSA n= 735 QDs, p= $3.1 \ 10^{-4}$. 22 (E) Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR dwell time DT at synaptic sites in neurons 23 expressing either eGFP-WT or eGFP-SSA. Calculations were done for all QDs (total), 24 (trapped) or (passing) QDs at inhibitory synapses. No significant differences were 25 found between eGFP-WT and eGFP-SSA. Total: WT n= 390 QDs, SSA n= 335 QDs,

1	p= 0.2; Trapped : WT n= 229 QDs, SSA n= 173 QDs, p= 0.4; Passing : WT n= 161
2	QDs, SSA n= 162 QDs, p= 0.9. (F) Quantification of eGFP-SSA clusters after 8 h and
3	48 h of 4-AP application. t0 n= 61 cells, 8h n= 52 cells, 48h n= 93 cells, 3-6 cultures.
4	Cluster Nb : 0-8h: p= 0.2, 0-48h: p<0.001; Area : 0-8h: p= 0.8, 0-48h: p= 0.3;
5	intensity : 0-8h: $p= 0.8$, 0-48h: $p= 0.2$. (G) Quantification of synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R
6	clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. t0 n= 53 cells,
7	8h n= 50 cells, 48h n= 69 cells, 3-6 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h:
8	p<0.001; Area : 0-8h: p= 0.002, 0-48h: p= 0.09; Intensity : 0-8h: p= 0.5, 0-48h: p=
9	0.5. (H) Quantification of extrasynaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-
10	AP compared with mock treated control. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: $p= 0.2$, 0-48h: $p= 0.1$;
11	Area : 0-8h: p=0.01, 0-48h: p= 0.9; Intensity : 0-8h: p= 0.002, 0-48h: p<0.001. (I)
12	Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R diffusion coefficients in eGFP-SSA expressing cells
13	after 8 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra : t0 n= 787 QDs, 4AP 8h n= 365 QDs, $p= 3.6 \ 10^{-4}$.
14	Syn : t0 n= 212 QDs, 8h n= 187 QDs, $p=0.4$; 5 cultures. (J) Quantification of explored
15	area EA of α 2 GABA _A R after 8 h of 4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 1869 QDs, 8h n=
16	1092 QDs, p= 0.002. Syn : t0 n= 753 QDs, 8h n= 558 QDs, p= 0.09. (K) Quantification
17	of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R diffusion coefficients in eGFP-SSA expressing cells after 48 h of 4-AP
18	exposure. Extra: t0 n= 1098 QDs, 4AP 48h n= 734 QDs, p= 0.002. Syn: t0 n= 287
19	QDs, 48h n= 198 QDs, $p= 0.2$; 5 cultures. (L) Quantification of explored area EA of
20	$\alpha 2$ GABA _A R after 48 h of 4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 2169 QDs, 48h n= 1500 QDs,
21	p= 0.04. Syn; t0 n= 633 QDs, 48h n= 510 QDs, p= 0.002. (M) Quantification of $\alpha 2$
22	GABAAR dwell time DT in neurons expressing eGFP-SSA after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP
23	application. Calculations were done for trapped or passing QDs at inhibitory synapses.
24	Trapped: 8 h: n= 189 QDs, p= 0.3; 48 h: n= 166 QDs, p= 0.1; Passing: 8 h: n= 76
25	QDs, $p=0.3$; 48 h: $n=132$ QDs, $p=0.9$. In B, E, F-H, M, data are presented as mean \pm

SEM. **P<0.01; ***P≤0.001 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test). In C-D, I-L, data are
 presented as median values ± 25%-75% IQR; ***P≤0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test).
 In all graphs except E, values were normalized to the corresponding control values.

5 Figure 6: The ERK1/2 pathway does not influence structural synaptic adaptation. 6 (A) Morphological analysis of eGFP-S268E in control (t0) or after 4-AP application 7 for 8 h or 48 h. Scale bar, 10 µm. (B) Quantification of eGFP-S268E clusters after 8 h 8 or 48 h of 4-AP application. t0 n= 50 cells, 8h n= 54 cells, 48h n= 55 cells, 3 cultures. 9 **Cluster Nb**: 0-8h: p= 0.2, 0-48h: p= 0.004; **Area**: 0-8h: p= 0.02, 0-48h: p<0.001; 10 intensity: 0-8h: p=0.003, 0-48h: p<0.001. 3 cultures. (C) Quantification of synaptic 11 a2 GABAAR clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. 12 t0 n= 47 cells, 8h n= 50 cells, 48h n= 62 cells, 3-4 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p=0.08, 13 0-48h: p=0.5; Area: 0-8h: p=0.8, 0-48h: p=0.03; intensity: 0-8h: p=0.5, 0-48h: 14 p<0.001. (**D**) Quantification of extrasynaptic α 2 GABA_AR clusters after 8 h and 48 h 15 of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p= 0.006, 0-48h: p= 16 0.007; Area: 0-8h: p=0.02, 0-48h: p<0.001; intensity: 0-8h: p=0.04, 0-48h: p<0.001. 17 (E) Example traces of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR trajectories at extrasynaptic (extra) and synaptic 18 (syn) sites under control condition (t0) or after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application. Scale 19 bar, 0.25 μ m. (F) Quantification of α 2 GABA_AR diffusion coefficients after 8 h of 4-20 AP exposure. Extra: t0 n= 1230 QDs, 4AP 8h n= 1855 QDs, p= $3.4 \ 10^{-6}$. Syn: t0 n= 21 281 QDs, 8h n= 378 QDs, p= 0.2; 3 cultures. (G) Quantification of explored area EA 22 of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR after 8 h of 4-AP application. Extra: t0 n= 3402 QDs, 8h n= 2454 QDs, 23 $p=3.2 \ 10^{-23}$. Syn: t0 n= 843 QDs, 8h n= 984 QDs, p=0.02. (H) Quantification of $\alpha 2$

24 GABA_AR diffusion coefficients after 48 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra: t0 = 687 QDs,

25 4AP 48h n= 1611 QDs, p= 0.4. Syn: t0 n= 73 QDs, 48h n= 46 QDs, p= 1.6 10^{-4} . (I)

1 Quantification of explored area EA of a2 GABAAR after 48 h of 4-AP application. **Extra**: t0 n= 2061 QDs, 48h n= 546 QDs, p= 2.9 10⁻⁶. **Syn**; t0 n= 219 QDs, 48h n= 74 2 3 QDs, $p = 6.6 \ 10^{-7}$. (J) Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR dwell time DT after 8 h or 48 h of 4 4-AP application. Calculations were done for trapped or passing QDs at inhibitory 5 synapses. **Trapped**: t0: n= 130 QDs, 8 h: n= 194 QDs, p= 0.007; t0: n= 85 QDs, 48 h: 6 n= 51 QDs, p= 0.02; **Passing**: t0: n= 91 QDs, 8 h: n= 161 QDs, p<0.001; t0: n= 91 7 QDs, 48 h: n= 31 QDs, p= 0.6. In B-D, J, data are presented as mean \pm SEM. *P \leq 0.05; 8 **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 (Mann Whitney Rank sum test). In F-I, data are presented as 9 median values $\pm 25\%$ -75% IQR. *P ≤ 0.05 ; ***P ≤ 0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). In 10 all graphs, values were normalized to the corresponding control values.

11

12 Figure 7: GSK3β pathway influences gephyrin scaffold and GABAARs after 13 changes in chronic activity. (A) Morphology of neuron transfected with eGFP-S270A 14 under control condition (t0) or in the presence of 4-AP after 8 h or 48 h. Scale bar, 10 15 μm. (**B**) Quantification of eGFP-S270A clusters after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application. 16 t0 n= 43 cells, 8h n= 50 cells; 48h n= 50 cells, 3 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p= 0.8, 0-17 48h: p = 0.14; Area: 0-8h: Mann Whitney test p = 0.7, 0-48h: p = 0.04; Intensity: 0-8h: 18 p=0.12, 0-48h: p<0.001. (C) Quantification of synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR clusters after 8 h 19 and 48 h of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. t0 n= 40 cells, 8h n= 47 cells; 20 t0: n = 59 cells, 48h n = 52 cells, 3-5 cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p = 0.8, 0-48h: p = 0.7; 21 Area: 0-8h: p=0.14, 0-48h: p=0.6; Intensity: 0-8h: p=0.03, 0-48h: p=0.4. (D) 22 Quantification of extrasynaptic a2 GABAAR clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP 23 compared with mock treated control. **Cluster Nb**: 0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h: p=0.7; **Area**: 24 0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h: p=0.7; Intensity: 0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h: p=0.3. (E) Example 25 traces of $\alpha 2$ GABA_AR trajectories at extrasynaptic (extra) and synaptic (syn) sites under

1	control conditions (t0) or after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application. Scale bar, 0.25 μ m. (F)
2	Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R diffusion coefficients after 8 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra:
3	t0 n= 1580 QDs, 4AP 8h n= 1892 QDs, p= 1.4 10 ⁻¹³ . Syn : t0 n= 229 QDs, 8h n= 307
4	QDs, p= 8.8 10 ⁻³ ; 3 cultures. (G) Quantification of explored area EA of α 2 GABA _A R
5	after 8 h of 4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 4575 QDs, 8h n= 4041 QDs, $p= 0.02$. Syn :
6	t0 n= 687 QDs, 8h n= 663 QDs, p= 0.04. (H) Quantification of α 2 GABA _A R diffusion
7	coefficients after 48 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra: t0 n= 314 QDs, 4AP 48h n= 338 QDs,
8	p=0.05. Syn: t0 $n=46$ QDs, 48h $n=51$ QDs, $p=0.04$. 3 cultures. (I) Quantification of
9	explored area EA of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R after 48 h of 4-AP application. Extra: t0 n= 939
10	QDs, 48h n= 771 QDs, p= 0.02. Syn ; t0 n= 138 QDs, 48h n= 153 QDs, p= 0.04. (J)
11	Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R dwell time DT after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application.
12	Calculations were done for trapped or passing QDs at inhibitory synapses. Trapped:
13	t0: n= 82 QDs, 8 h: n= 97 QDs, p= 0.04; t0: n= 191 QDs, 48 h: n= 45 QDs, p= 0.5;
14	Passing : t0: n= 104 QDs, 8 h: n= 131 QDs, p= 0.5; t0: n= 211 QDs, 48 h: n= 23 QDs,
15	p= 0.1. In B-D, J, data are presented as mean \pm SEM. *P \leq 0.05; ***P \leq 0.001 (Mann
16	Whitney Rank sum test). In F-I, data are presented as median values \pm 25%-75% IQR.
17	*P≤0.05; **P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). In all graphs, values
18	were normalized to the corresponding control values.

Figure 8: PKA, CAMKIIα and GSK3β pathways are required to tune the inhibitory synapse.

(A) Quantifications of synaptic eGFP-SSA/S270 clusters and synaptic (α 2 syn) and extrasynaptic (α 2 extra) α 2 GABA_AR clusters in relation to eGFP-WT show minor impact of the mutant under control condition. eGFP-WT n= 58 cells, eGFP-SSA/S270A n= 62 cells, 3 cultures. eGFP-SSA: **Cluster Nb**: p= 0.6; Area: p= 0.1; **Intensity**: p=

1	0.7. α 2 syn: Cluster Nb: p=0.001; Area: p=0.1; Intensity: p=0.02. α 2 extra: Cluster
2	Nb: $p=0.03$; Area: $p=0.5$; Intensity: $p=0.2$. (B) Quantification of median diffusion
3	coefficient D of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R in neurons expressing eGFP-WT or eGFP-SSA/S270A
4	under control condition. Extra : WT n= 823 QDs, SSA/S270A n= 786 QDs, p= 0.004;
5	Syn: WT n= 261 QDs, SSA/S270A n= 211 QDs, p= 0.3, 2 cultures. (C) Quantification
6	of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R dwell time DT at synaptic sites in neurons expressing either eGFP-WT
7	or eGFP-SSA/S270A. Calculations were done for all QDs (total), (trapped) or (passing)
8	QDs at inhibitory synapses. No significant differences were found between eGFP-WT
9	and eGFP-SSA/S270A. Total: WT n= 165 QDs, SSA/S270A n= 183 QDs, p= 0.1;
10	Trapped : WT n= 95 QDs, SSA/S270A n= 116 QDs, p= 0.5; Passing : WT n= 70 QDs,
11	SSA/S270A n= 67 QDs, p= 0.2. (D) Quantification of eGFP-SSA/S270A clusters after
12	8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application. t0 n= 53 cells, 8h n= 45 cells, 48h n= 51 cells, 3
13	cultures. Cluster Nb: 0-8h: p= 0.3, 0-48h: p<0.001; Area: 0-8h: p= 0.03, 0-48h: p=
14	0.2; Intensity : 0-8h: $p=0.3$, 0-48h: $p=0.9$. (E) Quantification of synaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R
15	clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP compared with mock treated control. t0 n= 49 cells,
16	8h n= 49 cells, 48h n= 39 cells, 3 cultures. Cluster Nb : 0-8h: p= 0.2, 0-48h: p<0.001;
17	Area : 0-8h: p= 0.8, 0-48h: p= 0.6; Intensity : 0-8h: p= 0.2, 0-48h: p= 0.9. (F)
18	Quantification of extrasynaptic $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R clusters after 8 h and 48 h of 4-AP
19	compared with mock treated control. Cluster Nb : 0-8h: $p=0.8$, 0-48h: $p=0.001$; Area :
20	0-8h: p<0.001, 0-48h: p= 0.7; Intensity: 0-8h: p= 0.8, 0-48h: p= 0.8. (G)
21	Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R diffusion coefficients after 8 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra:
22	t0 n= 624 QDs, 4AP 8h n= 421 QDs, p= 5.4 10 ⁻⁷ . Syn : t0 n= 252 QDs, 8h n= 173 QDs,
23	p= 0.2, 2 cultures. (H) Quantification of explored area EA of α 2 GABA _A R after 8 h of
24	4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 1869 QDs, 8h n= 1092 QDs, p= 7.8 10 ⁻¹⁴ . Syn : t0 n=
25	753 QDs, 8h n= 516 QDs, p= 0.07. (I) Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R diffusion

1	coefficients after 48 h of 4-AP exposure. Extra: t0 n= 624 QDs, 4AP 48h n= 631 QDs,
2	p= 0.04. Syn: t0 n= 252 QDs, 48h n= 251 QDs, p= 0.8. 2 cultures. (J) Quantification
3	of explored area EA of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R after 48 h of 4-AP application. Extra : t0 n= 1092
4	QDs, 48h n= 1890 QDs, p= 1.5 10 ⁻⁶ . Syn ; t0 n= 558 QDs, 48h n= 750 QDs, p= 0.3. (K)
5	Quantification of $\alpha 2$ GABA _A R dwell time DT after 8 h or 48 h of 4-AP application.
6	Calculations were done for trapped or passing QDs at inhibitory synapses. Trapped:
7	t0: n= 116 QDs, 8 h: n= 84 QDs, 48 h: n= 43 QDs, 0-8h: p= 0.2; 0-48h: p= 0.02;
8	Passing : t0: n= 67 QDs, 8 h: n= 46 QDs, 48 h: n= 43 QDs, 0-8h: p= 0.2; 0-48h: p=
9	0.1. In A, C-F, K, data are presented as mean ± SEM. *P≤0.05; ***P≤0.001 (Mann
10	Whitney Rank sum test). In G-J, data are presented as median values \pm 25%-75% IQR.
11	*P≤0.05; ***P≤0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). In all graphs except in C, values
12	were normalized to the corresponding control values.









Int

А

В

Norm. Values

С

Norm. Values

1.6

1.2

0.8

0.4

А



eGFP-WT

eGFP-DN

eGFP-S268E

eGFP-S270A





А



D



total

Е

40











trapped

passing





М



А





Н extra 2.5



syn



extra

81 A.A.

extra

481 4-AP

С

Norm. Values

F

-E

2.5

2.0 - - - IOK 1.5 - - - IOK

0.5

0.0└ ℘

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

Ø, [⊥]0.0

median EA +/- IQR



syn

81 A.A.

syn

4814-AP

\$



*





97,497,47 40,42,47 40, A2, A2 81, 431 A. A2

GFP-S268E



