



**HAL**  
open science

## **Diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy: The French recommendations**

Dominique Thabut, Charlotte Bouzbib, Lucy Meunier, Manon Haas, Nicolas Weiss, Alexandre Louvet, Francois Imbert-bismut, Fanny Mochel, Yann Nadjjar, Antoine Santiago, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Dominique Thabut, Charlotte Bouzbib, Lucy Meunier, Manon Haas, Nicolas Weiss, et al.. Diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy: The French recommendations. *Liver International*, 2023, 10.1111/liv.15510 . hal-03980566

**HAL Id: hal-03980566**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03980566>**

Submitted on 2 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**REVIEW**

# Diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy: The French recommendations

Dominique Thabut<sup>1</sup>  | Charlotte Bouzib<sup>1</sup> | Lucy Meunier<sup>2</sup> | Manon Haas<sup>3</sup> | Nicolas Weiss<sup>4</sup> | Alexandre Louvet<sup>5</sup>  | Francois Imbert-Bismut<sup>6</sup> | Fanny Mochel<sup>7</sup> | Yann Nadjar<sup>8</sup> | Antoine Santiago<sup>1</sup> | Thierry Thevenot<sup>9</sup>  | Véronique Duhalde<sup>10</sup> | Frédéric Oberti<sup>11</sup> | Claire Francoz<sup>12</sup>  | Audrey Coilly<sup>3</sup> | Marie-Noelle Hilleret<sup>13</sup> | Pascal Lebray<sup>1</sup>  | Amélie Liou-Schischmanoff<sup>14</sup>  | Louise Barbier<sup>15</sup> | Christophe Duvoux<sup>16</sup>  | Georges-Philippe Pageaux<sup>2</sup>  | Michael Bismuth<sup>17</sup> | Damien Galanaud<sup>18</sup> | Thomas De Broucker<sup>19</sup> | Jean-François Cadranel<sup>20</sup> | Vincent Leroy<sup>16</sup> | Vincent Di Martino<sup>9</sup>  | Dominique Larrey<sup>2</sup> | Christophe Camus<sup>21</sup>  | Olivier Scatton<sup>22</sup> | Victor De Ledinghen<sup>23</sup>  | Ariane Mallat<sup>16</sup> | Marika Rudler<sup>1</sup>  | Christophe Bureau<sup>24</sup>  | for the Association Française pour l'Etude du Foie (AFEF) group of experts of HE recommendations

<sup>1</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service d'hépatogastroentérologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière. INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN). Brain-Liver Pitié-Salpêtrière Study Group (BLIPS), Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Service d'hépatogastroentérologie A et Transplantation, Hôpital Saint-Eloi, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>3</sup>APHP-Paris Saclay, Centre Hépatobiliaire, Hôpital Paul Brousse, Université Paris-saclay, Villejuif, France

<sup>4</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de réanimation neurologique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière. INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN). Brain-Liver Pitié-Salpêtrière Study Group (BLIPS), Paris, France

<sup>5</sup>Services des maladies de l'appareil digestif, CHRU de Lille, Lille, France

<sup>6</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de biochimie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>7</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de génétique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>8</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de neurologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>9</sup>Service d'hépatologie, Hôpital Jean Minjot, CHU de Besançon, Besançon, France

<sup>10</sup>Service de pharmacie, Hôpital Rangueil, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

<sup>11</sup>Laboratoire HIFIH, UPRES-EA2170, Faculté de Médecine, Service d'hépatogastroentérologie, CHU ANGERS, Angers, France

<sup>12</sup>APHP-Hôpital Beaujon, Service d'hépatologie, Clichy, France

<sup>13</sup>Clinique d'Hépatogastroentérologie, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France

<sup>14</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de pharmacie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>15</sup>Service de chirurgie hépatique et transplantation, CHU de Tours, Tours, France

<sup>16</sup>APHP Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Service d'hépatologie, Créteil, France

<sup>17</sup>Service d'hépatogastroentérologie B, Hôpital Saint Eloi, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>18</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de neuro-radiologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>19</sup>Service de Neurologie Hôpital Pierre Delafontaine, Centre Hospitalier de Saint-Denis, Saint-Denis, France

<sup>20</sup>Service d'hépatogastroentérologie de nutrition et d'Alcoologie-GHPSO site de Creil, Creil, France

<sup>21</sup>Service de réanimation Médicale, Hôpital Pontchaillou, CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France

Repository name: Sorbonne Université.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Liver International* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

<sup>22</sup>APHP-Sorbonne Université, Service de chirurgie et transplantation hépatique, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

<sup>23</sup>Service d'hépatogastroentérologie, Hôpital du Haut-Lévêque, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

<sup>24</sup>Service d'hépatologie, Hôpital Rangueil, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

#### Correspondence

Dominique Thabut, APHP-Sorbonne Université, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Service d'hépatogastroentérologie, 47-83 boulevard de l'Hôpital, Paris 75013, France.

Email: [dominique.thabut@aphp.fr](mailto:dominique.thabut@aphp.fr)

**Handling Editor:** Alejandro Forner

#### Abstract

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a frequent and severe complication of liver disease with poor patient outcomes. However, it is a poorly understood complication, with no consensus for diagnosis. Therefore, HE is often underdiagnosed. Differential diagnosis may be cumbersome because of non-specific symptoms, such as confusion, cognitive disorders, the aetiological factors of cirrhosis and comorbidities, which are often observed in cirrhotic patients. Therefore, an overt or covert form of HE should be systematically investigated. Advice is provided to drive patient work-up. Effective treatments are available to prevent or treat HE bouts, but the issue of single or combination therapy has not been resolved. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) placement largely improved the prognosis of cirrhotic patients, but HE occurrence of HE is often a fear, even when post-TIPS HE can be avoided by a careful selection of patients and preventive treatment. HE is an indication of liver transplantation. However, its reversibility post-transplantation and the consequences of transplantation in patients with other causes of neurological disorders remain controversial, which supports the performance of an extensive work-up in expert centres for this subset of patients. The present guidelines assist clinicians in the diagnosis of the overt or covert form of HE to implement curative and preventive treatments and clarify which patients require referral to expert centres for consideration for liver transplantation. These guidelines are very clinically oriented and address different frequent clinical issues to help physicians make bedside decisions.

#### KEYWORDS

ammonia, cirrhosis, hepatic encephalopathy, liver transplantation, TIPS

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a frequent and severe complication of liver disease with poor patient outcomes. HE reduces the patient's and caregiver's quality of life. However, it is a poorly understood complication with no consensus for diagnosis. Therefore, HE is often underdiagnosed. The pathophysiological mechanisms are also poorly understood, and indications for the various treatment options available are not well classified. The present guidelines assist clinicians in the diagnosis of overt and covert forms of HE to implement curative and preventive treatments and lifestyle and therapeutic education measures for patients and clarify which patients require referral to expert centres for consideration for liver transplantation. These guidelines should be envisioned as a complement to international guidelines,<sup>1-3</sup> including the recently published EASL clinical practice guidelines (CPG).<sup>4</sup> The present guidelines adhered to these latest recommendations, especially the semantics. Therefore, we retained the term 'covert' instead of minimal + grade 1 stages, despite ongoing controversies<sup>5</sup> because we did not want to add confusion for readers. Other current controversial

#### Key points

- Overt and covert presentations of HE should be screened in all patients with cirrhosis regardless of liver function status. Screening of covert HE using an animal naming test is recommended, and a therapeutic test with lactulose or rifaximin may be used to strengthen the diagnosis.
- Overt and covert presentations of HE should be treated. Treatment includes the avoidance of precipitating factors and treatment of HE bout, prophylaxis of recurrence and treatment of minimal HE.
- Hyponatraemia and PPI use are classical precipitating factors of HE. The available treatments primarily include non-absorbable disaccharides and rifaximin.
- A single episode of HE is not a contraindication to TIPS.
- A neurological work-up should be performed before liver transplantation.

points in the field are also identified: the differential diagnosis of HE in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is particularly difficult; combination versus single therapy after a first episode of overt HE; pathogenesis and prevention of HE after TIPS placement, if this entity truly exists. We tried to address these controversies in the present guidelines considering the literature and our expert opinion, and all controversial issues are written in italics. The guidelines are clinically oriented and address different frequent clinical issues without significant overlap with the EASL CPG.

## 2 | METHODS

These guidelines were the work of a panel of experts gathered by the AFEF Management Board and including hepatologists, intensivists, neurologists, biologists, pharmacists and liver surgeons. Briefly, the experts created a list of 16 questions. The questions were formulated using the Patient Intervention Comparison Outcome (PICO) model. The Grade Method (Grade of Recommendation Assessment, Development and Evaluation) was used to compile the guidelines. The quality of evidence and the level of recommendation were rated.

The strength of the recommendations was determined according to key factors and validated by the experts after a vote using the Grade Grid method.<sup>6</sup> The compilation of a guideline required that at least 50% of voting participants had an opinion and that fewer than 20% of participants voted for the opposite proposal. The compilation of a strong agreement required the approval of at least 70% of the voting participants.

The methodology is detailed in the Appendix S1.

## 3 | RESULTS

Recommendations were made for adults in the three areas: diagnostic management of HE, therapeutic management of HE, and management of HE in two specific contexts, TIPS and liver transplantation.

The summarising work of the experts and the application of the GRADE methodology resulted in a total of 23 recommendations. Among the 23 formal recommendations, three recommendations had a high level of evidence (GRADE 1+/-), and 10 recommendations had a low level of evidence (GRADE 2+/-). The GRADE methodology could not be applied for nine recommendations, which resulted in 10 expert opinions. After two rounds of scoring, strong agreement was reached for all recommendations and protocols.

## 4 | FIRST AREA: DIAGNOSIS OF HE

### 4.1 | Clinical diagnosis of HE

HE is a group of neurological or neuropsychiatric symptoms caused by liver disease and/or a portosystemic shunt.<sup>1</sup> Although its exact pathophysiology remains controversial, evidence has established a combined role of hyperammonaemia and systemic inflammation.<sup>7,8</sup> Three

types of HE have been defined according to pathogenesis: Type A, HE secondary to acute liver failure; Type B, HE secondary to the presence of portosystemic shunts not associated with liver disease and Type C, HE secondary to cirrhosis (*focus of the present guidelines*).

The West-Haven classification is the most frequently used clinical classification of HE. It is generally used in a binary fashion: no overt HE (grades 0–1, includes no HE, and covert HE: minimal + grade 1) and overt HE (grades 2–4). Some patients with no overt HE have no HE at all (grade 0), some patients have minimal HE that is only detected by psychometric tests, and some patients have mild signs that are detected via examination, e.g. impaired calculation ability (grade 1). There is significant overlap between minimal HE and grade 1 HE categories due to the lack of a reference method for diagnosis and the fluctuating course of HE. Therefore, these classifications are merged into the category 'covert HE', which is the term adopted by other international guidelines. Notably, this issue is very controversial in the field. Some international experts do not recognise covert HE as a single entity and differentiate minimal and grade 1 HE. HE may also be episodic, recurrent or persistent. Therefore, it is necessary to provide information on the classification of and therapies for HE.

#### Question 1: in which patients must HE be investigated?

The experts suggest screening for hepatic encephalopathy in all patients with cirrhosis regardless of their liver function status. *Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

Overt HE occurs in 30% to 45% of patients with cirrhosis, with an incidence of 20% per year, and it reaches as high as 50% after TIPS.<sup>9</sup> The prevalence of covert HE is more difficult to estimate due to the lack of a reference diagnostic method. However, it likely affects more than two-thirds of patients with cirrhosis, with some studies indicating a prevalence up to 85%.<sup>10,11</sup> The onset of HE is a leading risk factor for poor outcomes. The occurrence of a first episode of overt HE is independently associated with increased mortality, with 1- and 3-year cumulative survival rates of 42% and 23% respectively.<sup>12,13</sup> However, the existence of covert HE is an independent risk factor for the subsequent development of overt HE.<sup>10</sup> In addition to its association with mortality, the existence of overt and covert HE is associated with a negative effect on quality of life and impaired driving.<sup>14,15</sup> Effective therapeutic strategies for HE are available, and it seems reasonable to screen all cirrhotic patients for HE.

#### Question 2: How do you investigate overt HE?

We recommend systematically investigating signs strongly suggestive of HE: asterixis, psychomotor slowing, sleep–wake inversion, temporospatial disorientation and impaired consciousness. *Grade 1+, Strong Agreement.*

#### Question 3: How do you investigate covert HE?

Covert hepatic encephalopathy should be investigated in all patients with cirrhosis. The experts suggest using the animal naming test among the available assessments. *Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

Covert HE is typically characterised by normal neurological examination results and the detection of abnormalities only by neuropsychological and neurophysiological testing.<sup>3</sup> However, elements in favour of neuropsychological impairments (e.g. apraxia, dysexecutive syndrome, joviality, disinhibition, apathy and psychomotor retardation) may be investigated via neurological examination. Interviews with the patient and their family/relatives should allow characterisation of any potential complaints, such as psychomotor slowing, sleep disorders, sleep-wake inversion and impaired calculation ability. Particular attention should be given to activities of daily living and a recent inability to perform tasks that were previously routine. Neuropsychological testing is the reference examination for the diagnosis of covert HE because it allows for precise characterisation of the neuropsychological pattern. A dysexecutive syndrome is strongly suggestive of covert HE. A different clinical profile should raise suspicion of a differential diagnosis or associated diagnosis, such as vascular or alcohol-related dementia, particularly in the context of cirrhosis. However, access to neuropsychological testing for cirrhotic patients is challenging in routine clinical setting. Therefore, the choice of neuropsychological or neurophysiological tests in the absence of easy access should be based on local means and expertise.

#### 4.1.1 | Psychometric Hepatic Encephalopathy Score (PHES)

This test was specifically designed to detect neuropsychological disorders in covert HE by assessing psychomotor speed and visuospatial coordination.<sup>16</sup> It includes five widely validated tests: the Number Connection Test (NCT)-A, the NCT-B, the Line Tracing Test, the Digit Symbol Test and the Serial Dotting Test (SDT).<sup>17,18</sup> It was recently validated in French.<sup>19</sup> The main limitation of this test is the 20–30-minute completion time.

#### 4.1.2 | Critical Flicker Frequency (CFF) test

The CFF is a neurophysiological test that assesses the patient's ability to discriminate flickering light using a device in the form of glasses. This test has been widely validated<sup>20,21</sup> and is comparable to the PHES test. Some authors suggest a value below 38 Hz for the detection of covert HE.<sup>22</sup> This test is easy to perform during a consultation or at the patient's bedside, but the device is expensive and poorly available.

#### 4.1.3 | Executive function tests

Various tests assessing executive functions have been postulated. The use of the widely validated Stroop test in the form of a dedicated application (EncephalApp) has been proposed.<sup>23</sup> This test assesses inhibitory control upon the presentation of the names of colours written in different coloured text, which represents an interference effect. It

is available from online smartphone downloading platforms and may be offered to patients at home under the monitoring of a caregiver.

#### 4.1.4 | Animal naming test (ANT) (Table 1)

A simple bedside test was recently proposed and asks the patient to name as many animals as possible in 1 min. According to a fundamental Italian study by Campagna et al.,<sup>24</sup> a result of less than 15 animals per minute distinguished patients with covert HE from patients without covert HE. The ANT was also predictive of the occurrence of overt HE. This promising test has now been validated by another independent team who also evaluated the characteristics of the test by comparing different cut-off values<sup>25</sup> (Table 1). The cut-off value of 20 animal names in 1 min seemed reasonable. This test may be used as a rapid screening test because it may be easily performed during consultation or at the patient's bedside, without extensive equipment, and it does not take much time. The evolution of ANT for a single patient is important to assess the course of covert HE and the efficacy of treatments.

Because all other previously detailed tests are not reasonably performed in a real-life setting, the experts recommend performing the ANT systematically in cirrhotic patients without overt HE to screen for covert HE. The experts also suggest performing a therapeutic test in cases of an abnormal ANT to strengthen the diagnosis of covert HE.

### 4.2 | Paraclinical diagnosis of HE (biological, electrophysiological or imaging work-up)

#### Question 4: What is the role of blood ammonia levels in the paraclinical diagnosis of HE?

In cases of diagnostic doubt in the presence of impaired consciousness, we suggest measuring blood ammonia levels because a normal value casts doubt on the diagnosis of HE.

We suggest not measuring blood ammonia levels to confirm the diagnosis of HE because ammonia may be elevated in cirrhotic patients without any encephalopathy.

*Grade 2-, Strong Agreement.*

Several studies assessed the correlation between ammonia levels and the severity of HE. The following main findings were

TABLE 1 Guidelines for animal naming test assessment

#### How is the animal naming test performed?

TIME REQUIRED: a maximum of 2 min

EQUIPMENT REQUIRED: paper, pen, stopwatch

INSTRUCTIONS: 'You need to list as many animal names as possible in 1 min. You will start when I say Go'.

INTERPRETATION: The experts suggest setting a cut-off of 20 animal names in 1 min. Covert HE is likely to be below this threshold.

reported: (1) ammonia was always elevated in cases of HE; (2) ammonia levels correlated with the severity/grade of HE and the prognosis<sup>26</sup>; (3) ammonia levels may be elevated without any HE symptoms and (4) ammonia levels sometimes remained sometimes stable and elevated during follow-up, even in patients no longer presenting with overt HE.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, the conclusions drawn from these data are that ammonia measurement cannot help in the positive diagnosis of HE but that a normal value casts doubt on the diagnosis of HE. A very recent study outlined that ammonia levels in outpatients were predictive of further decompensation of cirrhosis.<sup>28</sup>

Ammonia measurement is to obtain when the conditions for measurement are respected (Table 2). For cases of severe hyperammonaemia (>100 µmol/L), a family history of liver disease and/or neurological disorders, a personal history of neurological disorders and/or HE, slightly abnormal liver function tests and severe neurological impairment, inherited metabolic disorders should be suspected and further explored.<sup>29</sup>

#### Question 5: Is brain imaging essential for the differential diagnosis of HE?

We suggest performing brain imaging only for differential diagnosis in patients with suspected hepatic encephalopathy.

Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.

Brain imaging is not specific for the diagnosis of HE, but it is very important for differential diagnosis. Brain imaging is most important during the first episode of HE. It is routinely indicated for the exclusion of an intracranial lesion when this diagnosis is suspected,<sup>1,3</sup> especially in cases of diagnostic doubts, because the population of patients with cirrhosis or heavy drinkers without cirrhosis have a much higher risk of intracerebral haemorrhage than the general population. Contrast-enhanced cross-sectional imaging using a CT scan or MRI is needed. Spectroscopy (MRS) has good diagnostic performance in HE. A recent meta-analysis revealed that MRS changes in glutamine/glutamate, choline and

TABLE 2 Guidelines for blood ammonia sampling

Blood ammonia level measurement: biochemical criteria and sampling requirements

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT VENOUS BLOOD SAMPLING BE PERFORMED:

- In a fasting patient
- Avoid venous stasis (tourniquet, fist clenching), well-cleaned skin
- Collect blood in an EDTA-containing tube, fill well, secure the lid, homogenise by inversion and place immediately on ice (or synthetic ice)
- Specify the patient's treatment

Fastest possible transport to the laboratory at +4°C (maximum 60–90 min)

INTERFERENCE: sample haemolysis, high lipaemia and jaundice, high-protein diet, smoking, exercise, certain drugs

DELAYED MEASUREMENT: centrifuge at +4°C and freeze the supernatant at -70/-80°C

myo-inositol, particularly in the parietal lobe, correlated with the severity of HE.<sup>30</sup>

One of the main difficulties in using brain MRS is its accessibility, which is essentially restricted to academic hospitals, and this exploration cannot be recommended in clinical practice.

### 4.3 | Differential diagnosis of HE

#### Question 6: How is a differential diagnosis of HE excluded in a cirrhotic patient with a neurological disorder?

The experts suggest that any initial evaluation of a neurological disorder in a cirrhotic patient should include a clinical and paraclinical work-up to exclude a differential or associated diagnosis. Blood tests and brain imaging, preferably MRI, are essential. For cases of overt HE recurrence, only blood tests are systematically recommended for differential diagnosis.

Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.

The experts suggest the establishment of internal standard operating procedures that allow for neurological expertise to be provided within a suitable time delay.

Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.

Within the clinical spectrum of HE, covert and overt HE should lead to several differential diagnoses.

A clinical and paraclinical diagnostic work-up for investigations of a differential or associated diagnosis with HE is essential in any initial evaluation of neurological symptoms in cirrhotic patients. The following main elements should be systematically specified:

1. Recent medical history (infections, trauma, withdrawal and psychotropic drugs);
2. Complete medical history (diabetes, neurovascular diseases, epilepsy, known complications of cirrhosis and liver failure);
3. Neurological examination including cognitive, motor, sensory, neurovisual and cranial nerve testing; and asterix, which is included in the grade 2 West-Haven score, that is, overt HE,<sup>31</sup> is strongly suggestive of metabolic encephalopathy (see differential diagnosis of asterix Table 3); and
4. Common blood tests (blood electrolytes, blood glucose, blood calcium, cell blood count haemostasis, inflammatory proteins, blood urea and creatinine), brain imaging (preferably MRI) and sometimes EEG.

#### 4.3.1 | Differential diagnosis of covert HE

Evoking a differential (or associated) diagnosis must be systematic in the presence of any clinical or paraclinical features that may be attributed to a different or associated disease (Table 4). *Cognitive impairment is isolated in covert HE and is primarily comprised of slower thinking, impaired attention and frontal-subcortical syndrome (memory retrieval impairments without storage impairment). This general semiology of*

TABLE 3 Differential diagnosis of asterixis

Differential diagnosis of asterixis
METABOLIC ENCEPHALOPATHY: uraemia, hypercapnia, hypoglycaemia, urea cycle defects
HYDROELECTROLYTIC DISORDERS: hypokalaemia, hypomagnesaemia
DRUGS: Antiepileptic drugs, Levodopa, opiates, anticholinergics, benzodiazepines, lithium, Clozapine
FOCAL BRAIN LESION(S)

TABLE 4 Differential diagnosis of covert HE

Differential diagnoses of covert HE
ASSOCIATED WITH CHRONIC ALCOHOL MISUSE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vitamin deficiencies: Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome, vitamin B12/folate deficiency</li> <li>Post-traumatic dementia</li> <li>Alcohol-related dementia</li> </ul>
CEREBRAL MICROANGIOPATHY (VASCULAR LEUKOENCEPHALOPATHY)
NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES
TOXIC CAUSES, DRUGS AND WITHDRAWAL
PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anxiety disorders, major depressive disorder, psychotic disorders</li> </ul>
METABOLIC/ENDOCRINE DISORDERS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uraemic encephalopathy, recurrent hypoglycaemia, hypo/hyperthyroidism, inherited metabolic disorders (especially urea cycle defects)</li> </ul>
CHRONIC INFECTIOUS AND AUTOIMMUNE MENINGOENCEPHALITIS
SLEEP APNOEA SYNDROME AND SLEEP DISORDERS

*cognitive impairment in cirrhotic patients with covert HE is non-specific. Other cognitive impairments, including significant temporospatial disorientation, anterograde episodic memory impairment, visuoconstructive impairments (e.g. problems getting dressed and difficulties in reproducing a drawing) and abnormalities in speech production (e.g. word-finding problems), must evoke alternative diagnoses, particularly when they are accompanied by a progressive evolution or the absence of fluctuations (e.g. neurodegenerative diseases and Gayet-Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome). This issue is particularly tricky in patients with NAFLD, which is an increasing cause of chronic liver disease, because patients with metabolic syndrome often exhibit associated vascular disorders and may exhibit vascular dementia. Ammonia measurement may be helpful in these cases, but hyperammonaemia may also be observed in the absence of encephalopathy. Neurological expertise may be needed; when doubt persists, a good clinical response to the specific treatment of HE (e.g. lactulose and rifaximin) may also favour the diagnosis of HE. Overall, the characterisation of cognitive disorders in patients with NAFLD must be refined in future studies.*

#### 4.3.2 | Differential diagnosis of overt HE

Overt HE is characterised by the general non-specific signs of confusion with impaired vigilance and attention and temporospatial disorientation. Examinations should investigate symptoms and

TABLE 5 Differential diagnosis of overt HE

Differential diagnosis of overt HE
TOXIC AND DRUG-INDUCED ENCEPHALOPATHY
SEPTIC ENCEPHALOPATHY
OTHER METABOLIC ENCEPHALOPATHIES: uraemia, hypercapnia, inherited metabolic disorders (especially urea cycle defects)
NEUROLOGICAL COMPLICATIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOLISM: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acute alcohol intoxication</li> <li>Withdrawal/delirium tremens</li> <li>Vitamin deficiencies: Gayet-Wernicke's encephalopathy (vitamin B1), pellagrous encephalopathy (vitamin B3), Marchiafava-Bignami disease</li> <li>Metabolic disorders (hyponatraemia, hypoglycaemia)</li> <li>Pancreatic encephalopathy</li> <li>Subdural haematoma, haemorrhagic contusion</li> </ul>
NON-CONVULSIVE STATUS EPILEPTICUS
FOCAL BRAIN LESION (S): vascular, infectious, tumour, etc.
AUTOIMMUNE MENINGITIS/MENINGOENCEPHALITIS

signs of an alternative or associated cause (Table 5). The observation of focal signs requires investigation, especially brain imaging.

## 5 | SECOND AREA: THERAPEUTIC MANAGEMENT OF HE

### 5.1 | Preventive treatment of overt HE and prevention and treatment of covert HE

Because covert HE is a risk factor for overt HE, the treatment of covert HE is considered a preventive treatment of overt HE in this section.

#### Question 7: What measures can be suggested during the course of cirrhosis to reduce or eliminate the main predisposing factors of covert HE?

We suggest monitoring blood sodium levels in decompensated cirrhosis because severe hyponatraemia is a predisposing factor for hepatic encephalopathy.

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

We suggest limiting the prescription of proton pump inhibitors to their strict validated indications (doses and durations).

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

We suggest a contraindication for the prescription of benzodiazepines in patients with decompensated cirrhosis.

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

The concept of precipitating factors for the development of HE in cirrhotic patients was established nearly 50 years ago. These factors are essentially non-specific factors related to other complications of cirrhosis (e.g. gastrointestinal bleeding, infection, dehydration-diuretics, digestive losses, metabolic disorders-hyponatraemia, dyskalaemia, acute kidney injury and constipation). Control of one or more of these predisposing factors, which are present in approximately 50% of cases, leads to an improvement of symptoms in

approximately 90% of cases.<sup>32</sup> Some of these factors deserve special focus because they can be prevented or corrected. Notably, all of these factors may induce encephalopathy outside the setting of HE (with hyperammonaemia) and should be prevented in all cirrhotic patients.

### 5.1.1 | Hyponatraemia

It is difficult to know whether metabolic disturbances themselves cause the onset of HE or whether they are an indication of cirrhosis severity.<sup>33</sup> The most frequently related disturbance is hyponatraemia.<sup>34</sup> Hyponatraemia causes cerebral oedema with extracellular hypo-osmolality, which is compensated by an intracellular decrease in organic osmolytes, such as myo-inositol, in astrocytes. The effect of these intracerebral cellular disturbances is likely synergistic with the effects of hyperammonaemia.<sup>35</sup> Several studies stressed that hyponatraemia was an independent risk factor for HE<sup>36-38</sup> and identified a cut-off of 130 mmol/L. Notably, hyponatraemia is associated with non-response to pharmacological treatment with lactulose in patients with overt HE.<sup>39</sup> These results suggest a direct link between hyponatraemia and HE. This hypothesis is supported by the improvement in cognitive impairments in patients treated with aquaretics that correct hyponatraemia with cerebral oedema.<sup>40,41</sup> Therefore, prevention of hyponatraemia appears essential in patients with cirrhosis, based on strict monitoring of blood electrolytes and early adjustment of diuretic dose to maintain blood sodium levels >135 mmol/L if possible and always >130 mmol/L.

### 5.1.2 | Drugs

#### *Proton pump inhibitors*

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) increase the incidence of HE by increasing gastric pH, which promotes microbial proliferation/dysbiosis in the small intestine to cause the formation of products, such as ammonia and bacterial endotoxins. Increased intestinal membrane permeability and bacterial translocation likely underlie systemic inflammation, which is the putative backbone of HE pathophysiology. Other mechanisms of action of PPIs include impaired absorption of micronutrients and increased hyponatraemia, which is a direct side effect of PPIs.

Three retrospective studies were published.<sup>42-44</sup> PPI exposure was more frequently observed in patients with HE, with a significant association between the PPI dose and the risk for HE. A recent cohort study found an increased risk for covert HE and development of overt HE in cirrhotic patients with long-term use of PPIs.<sup>45</sup> Therefore, it is mandatory to systematically re-evaluate the benefit-risk balance and appropriateness of PPI prescriptions in patients with cirrhosis and cease the use of PPIs in the absence of formal indication.

#### *Sedatives and psychotropic drugs*

Most benzodiazepines (BZDs) are used as anxiolytics for sedation. BZD receptor agonist hypersensitivity during the course of cirrhosis

with potential promotion of HE has been reported.<sup>46</sup> The use of BZDs and opioid analgesics was independently associated with an increased risk for HE. However, this association was not found for antipsychotics.

#### **Question 8: What treatments can be offered to prevent or treat covert HE?**

The experts suggest primary prophylaxis with lactulose for HE in patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

We suggest treating all covert HE patients with lactulose or rifaximin to improve quality of life and reduce the risk of overt HE. *Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

Few therapeutic studies specifically addressed patients with covert HE. Most data are from studies with the primary aim of evaluating the efficacy of curative or preventive treatment of overt HE. These studies included a variable proportion of patients with covert HE. Therefore, it is difficult to make reliable and robust recommendations.<sup>47</sup> There are several arguments in favour of preventing overt HE, which supports the treatment of covert HE.<sup>48</sup>

### 5.1.3 | Non-absorbable disaccharides (lactulose, lactitol)

Numerous studies over the last 20 years evaluated the efficacy of non-absorbable disaccharides (considered prebiotics) in the management of HE. Most of these studies showed a significant improvement in psychometric performance and quality of life of patients with covert HE after treatment.<sup>49</sup> The occurrence of overt HE in patients with covert HE treated with lactulose appears to be reduced, but no significant improvement in survival has been shown.<sup>50</sup> A meta-analysis of five small and predominantly open-label studies including a total of 197 patients suggested an efficacy of lactulose over placebo in the improvement of cognitive functions in covert HE.<sup>51</sup> There was an increase in the incidence of side effects, including an increase in gastrointestinal motility disorders, in treated patients. More recent studies<sup>39,52</sup> and a recent meta-analysis of 15 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) confirmed these results.

Two recent open-label studies showed that treatment with lactulose during gastrointestinal bleeding significantly reduced the incidence of HE but did not affect survival.<sup>53,54</sup> The meta-analysis of these two studies confirmed the efficacy of lactulose on the incidence of HE versus placebo (7% vs. 28%,  $p < 0.01$ ) with no effect on mortality.<sup>55</sup> Lactulose was administered orally in all studies.

### 5.1.4 | Rifaximin

Rifaximin is an antibiotic with low gastrointestinal absorption. This treatment modulates the intestinal microbiota and improved neuropsychiatric performance and cognitive functions in patients with



covert HE.<sup>56</sup> A 2014 meta-analysis of 19 RCTs on the prevention of HE reported the results from two specific studies on the prevention of covert HE using rifaximin, and the results showed that rifaximin significantly improved cognitive performance.<sup>57</sup> Despite the heterogeneity of the available studies, it appears reasonable to recommend the use of lactulose or rifaximin for the treatment of covert HE. This recommendation is due to the safety of these treatments and the burden of HE on quality of life, hospital readmissions and the onset of overt HE.

## 5.2 | Curative treatment of overt HE

### Question 9: Which treatment should be used for the resolution of overt HE?

We recommend prioritising the treatment of precipitating factor(s) of hepatic encephalopathy and initiating treatment with a non-absorbable disaccharide (lactulose or lactitol) in cirrhotic patients with overt hepatic encephalopathy.

*Grade 1+, Strong Agreement.*

A discussion of the precipitating factors is reported in the previous chapter.

### 5.2.1 | Lactulose

A recent meta-analysis (reported in two different reviews<sup>32,58</sup>) of 16 RCTs evaluated the effect of non-absorbable disaccharides in patients with overt HE (acute or chronic) compared to placebo or no therapeutic intervention. Non-absorbable disaccharides were associated with significantly more frequent resolution of acute or chronic overt HE and a reduction in mortality in patients with overt HE. Treatment with non-absorbable disaccharides was also associated with a reduced risk of severe adverse effects, including gastrointestinal bleeding, bacterial infections and hepatorenal syndrome. Treatment with non-absorbable disaccharides was associated with a non-significant increase in non-severe side effects, such as diarrhoea, bloating and nausea. We recommend the treatment of precipitating factor(s) of HE as a priority and the initiation of treatment using a non-absorbable disaccharide (lactulose or lactitol) without delay.

### 5.2.2 | Rifaximin

A recent meta-analysis comparing rifaximin with placebo (or non-absorbable disaccharides/other antibiotics)<sup>57</sup> found that rifaximin showed a beneficial effect on the complete resolution of HE and on mortality. Notably, there was no difference in these two outcomes (complete resolution of HE and mortality) according to the type of control used (placebo/non-absorbable disaccharides/other antibiotics). None of the 13 RCTs demonstrated an increased risk of bacterial resistance or *Clostridium difficile*-associated colitis.

Analysis of the potential biases of the RCTs included in this meta-analysis suggested that we cannot recommend rifaximin alone as a treatment for overt HE.

*Overall, the treatment of overt HE is based on the recognition and treatment of the precipitating factor(s) and treatment with lactulose or lactitol.*

### Question 10: Which treatments should be used to avoid recurrence of HE?

We recommend the use of a non-absorbable disaccharide (lactulose or lactitol) to prevent the recurrence of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis.

*Grade 1+, Strong Agreement.*

We suggest the addition of rifaximin to prevent the recurrence of hepatic encephalopathy in cases of failed prevention with a non-absorbable disaccharide (lactulose or lactitol) in patients with cirrhosis.

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

The experts suggest using rifaximin alone to prevent recurrence of hepatic encephalopathy when lactulose is poorly tolerated in patients with cirrhosis.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

To improve quality of life and limit hospitalisations, the experts suggest that a therapeutic education program should be offered to the patient and caregiver.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

### 5.2.3 | Non-absorbable disaccharides

Two RCTs including 298 patients investigated the secondary prevention of overt HE and showed that non-absorbable disaccharides significantly reduced the risk of recurrent HE (RR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.31–0.64).<sup>59,60</sup> We recommend first-line treatment with lactulose (or lactitol) to prevent recurrence of overt HE.

### 5.2.4 | Rifaximin

An international, double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT including 299 cirrhotic patients with at least two resolved episodes of overt HE within the previous 6 months showed that the twice daily administration of 550mg rifaximin (approximately 90% of patients were also taking lactulose) reduced the risk of recurrent HE by 58% compared to placebo. Treatment with rifaximin versus placebo also reduced the risk of readmissions and improved quality of life.<sup>61</sup> Continuation of open-label treatment with rifaximin for more than 24 months prevented recurrence of HE with a good rifaximin safety profile.<sup>62</sup> Post hoc analysis<sup>63</sup> assessed the repeatability of these results by switching their treatment to rifaximin for 6 months, and rifaximin effectively reduced the number of HE recurrences.<sup>63</sup> We recommend a rifaximin add-on when lactulose alone fails in the prevention of recurrent overt HE. It is difficult to recommend the use of rifaximin alone in the prevention

of recurrent HE because no RCTs adequately evaluated rifaximin over placebo. The experts suggest the use of rifaximin alone to prevent recurrence of HE when lactulose is poorly tolerated. *The issue of single versus combined therapy in the prevention of HE recurrence remains controversial and requires further study. Another issue that lacks data is the use of combined therapy as first-line treatment to prevent HE recurrence. Further studies, including real-life studies that address treatment adherence and quality of life, are certainly warranted.*

## 5.2.5 | Therapeutic education

More than one in three cirrhotic patients are readmitted within 30 days after hospitalisation for complications, and readmissions are associated with a 50% 1-year mortality and significant additional cost.<sup>64,65</sup> Multiple prescriptions also promote patient drug misuse, which increases the risk of HE and drug interactions. Volk et al.<sup>65</sup> showed that 22% of readmissions could have been avoided by the patient or caregiver with the introduction of appropriate lactulose titration, diuresis or weight control or with better knowledge of the warning signs. However, these readmissions could also have been due to a failure of the healthcare system due to multiple prescriptions, errors in scheduling examinations or a poorly coordinated discharge procedure for the patient's caregivers (e.g. community, hospital and home-based health professionals).<sup>66</sup>

## 6 | THIRD AREA: MANAGEMENT OF HE IN SPECIFIC CONTEXTS

### 6.1 | TIPS

#### **Question 11: What are the contraindications to TIPS due to the associated increased risk of HE?**

The experts suggest that a single episode of overt HE is not a formal contraindication to elective TIPS creation, and this indication should be discussed on a case-by-case basis.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

*The creation of a shunt, which is sometimes associated with a deterioration in liver function, results in an accumulation of neurotoxic substances that promote the onset of HE. TIPS-related HE occurs in approximately 35% of cases. Most of the literature is observational and does not allow for recommendations based on a high level of evidence. Notably, most randomised controlled trials compared TIPS to other standard treatments (e.g. variceal ligations and  $\beta$ -blockers or large volume paracenteses), and not all of these studies showed that TIPS increased the risk of developing HE compared to standard treatment. This controversy is particularly true in the most recent studies that included more selected patients and/or covered stents, and HE occurrence was often significantly decreased in the TIPS groups. Therefore, the entity of post-TIPS HE itself remains controversial.*

For cases of refractory gastrointestinal bleeding, there is no contraindication to TIPS because there is no therapeutic alternative.

Various studies on the subject do not always describe the existence of HE before or after TIPS and did not investigate the risk factors for HE after TIPS in this context. The available studies on pre-emptive TIPS (e.g. RCTs, meta-analyses and case-control studies) have not shown an increased incidence of HE after TIPS.<sup>67-70</sup> Overt HE was not a criterion for exclusion in the randomised controlled trials, and it is not a contraindication to pre-emptive TIPS.

All studies of scheduled TIPS for refractory ascites or secondary prophylaxis of gastrointestinal bleeding excluded patients with overt HE at the time of inclusion. Therefore, this therapy cannot be offered in cases of overt HE. The analysis of risk factors for HE after TIPS is based on observational cohorts, randomised trials or meta-analyses and history of overt HE, higher age, MELD and Child-Pugh scores are associated with post-TIPS HE.

Although the risk factors have been well analysed, there is no method to identify patients who will develop HE after TIPS. On a case-by-case basis, TIPS or an alternative treatment (transplant) may be more suitable if available. Therefore, liver transplantation feasibility should be considered for all patients for whom TIPS is indicated.<sup>71</sup>

#### **Question 12: Should prophylaxis be used to prevent the occurrence of HE after TIPS?**

We suggest prophylaxis with rifaximin to prevent the occurrence of HE after non-urgent TIPS.

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

*Two small randomised trials did not show the efficacy of prophylactic treatment (lactulose, rifaximin and LOLA) initiated prior to TIPS.<sup>72,73</sup> Recent results of a French RCT comparing rifaximin to placebo in the primary prevention of post-TIPS HE showed a significantly lower occurrence of post-TIPS HE in patients treated with rifaximin from 2 weeks before non-urgent TIPS and for the next 6 months.<sup>74</sup> This criterion was the primary outcome, which justifies our statement. Therefore, rifaximin is now recommended in this setting in France. However, the French RCT is the only available RCT that covered TIPS and had a reasonable size. Therefore, the recent EASL and Baveno guidelines recommended rifaximin prophylaxis only in patients with previous HE episodes.*

#### **Question 13: What specific management of portosystemic shunts can be offered?**

We do not suggest systematically performing portosystemic shunt ligation/embolisation to treat hepatic encephalopathy. The management of portosystemic shunts should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

*Grade 2-, Strong Agreement.*

The presence of HE episodes correlates with the presence/size of portosystemic shunts.<sup>75</sup> The radiological embolisation of large portosystemic shunts or the splenic vein,<sup>76</sup> or their surgical ligation via covertly invasive intervention,<sup>77</sup> appears feasible in cirrhotic patients with HE under satisfactory safety conditions,<sup>78</sup> and results in HE recovery in 46%<sup>79</sup> to 100%<sup>80</sup> of cases. The MELD score is the

strongest positive predictive factor of HE recurrence, and a cut-off of 11 is used for patient selection. The main complications are local complications (haematoma), aggravation of ascites or oesophageal varices. The small number of studies performed does not allow us to recommend systematic embolisation or ligation of portosystemic shunts. The management of portosystemic shunts in HE should be performed on a case-by-case basis in selected patients with low MELD scores and after a multidisciplinary decision, with preference given to minimally invasive approaches.

## 6.2 | Liver transplantation

### Question 14: Does liver transplantation result in neurological improvement in patients with HE?

We suggest offering liver transplantation to patients with disabling symptoms of hepatic encephalopathy that are refractory to drug and non-drug treatments.

*Grade 2+, Strong Agreement.*

The diagnosis of cognitive disorders after LT is challenging. Patients may develop cognitive symptoms even in the absence of these symptoms before LT and generally have many risk factors for cognitive impairment, including comorbidities and aetiology of liver disease (e.g. alcohol with cerebral atrophy and metabolic syndrome with vascular lesions). We do not know whether HE is reversible post-LT. We also do not know whether pre-LT HE favours decompensation of other brain disorders related to comorbidities. Pre-transplant HE appears to be a risk factor for immediate post-transplant neurological complications (e.g. HE, seizures, drug toxicity<sup>81</sup> and delayed recovery of consciousness<sup>82</sup>). Liver transplantation (LT) leads to significant improvement in most cognitive functions in patients with HE. Cognitive performance improves beginning 6 months after LT,<sup>83</sup> although it may remain slightly lower than in patients without pre-transplant HE.<sup>84-86</sup> EEG normalisation has also been demonstrated.<sup>84</sup> Some cognitive functions are improved, including learning ability<sup>87</sup> at 6-18 months,<sup>88</sup> and visuospatial ability, verbal fluency, psychomotor speed and spatial orientation.<sup>88,89</sup> Some functions or radiological signs do not improve as well post-transplant, and there is persistence of visuomotor deficits<sup>90</sup> and changes in white matter of the temporal lobe on MRI.<sup>91</sup> Cognitive sequelae of HE may persist in less than 5% of patients, predominantly patients with grade 3-4 HE before LT.<sup>92</sup> LT results in improved QoL for patients with HE,<sup>83,93,94</sup> and the presence of pre-transplant HE did not affect the return to work after transplantation.<sup>95</sup>

### Question 15: What neurological work-up should be performed prior to liver transplantation?

The experts suggest that MRI, EEG and blood ammonia level measurements should be systematically performed to exclude other causes of neurological or neuropsychological impairments that may persist after liver transplantation.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

Questioning the diagnosis of HE in the LT field arises from the pre-transplant assessment. It is important not to miss a differential diagnosis of neuropsychological symptoms that could persist after the transplant and to identify subclinical forms of HE that could affect outcomes if the waiting time is long.<sup>96</sup> A neurological work-up should be part of the evaluation of any liver transplant candidate to exclude a differential diagnosis of HE. This work-up should preferably be performed in expert centres.

### Question 16: Should the presence of HE be considered for access to LT in patients with decompensated cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma?

The experts suggest that overt HE should be considered in the rules for graft allocation and that a standardised and reproducible assessment of HE should be performed in all transplant candidates. The experts suggest redefining the rules for graft allocation in patients with HE regardless of the stage or MELD score.

*Expert Opinion, Strong Agreement.*

Over the last decade, several studies showed that the occurrence of HE in patients with equivalent MELD scores significantly increased short- and medium-term risk of death<sup>12</sup> with a 2-4 times increased relative risk of death compared to patients without HE. The prognostic effect of HE in all patients with cirrhosis is greater when HE occurs in the context of 'acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF)'. Therefore whether access to LT should be facilitated for patients with decompensated cirrhosis and HE on the transplant waiting list to limit the risk of death while waiting is an important issue. Several studies showed that the addition of an encephalopathy score to the MELD score significantly improved the short- and medium-term predictive value of mortality.<sup>13,97-99</sup>

## 7 | CONCLUSION

These recommendations should help physicians seek, prevent and treat HE and are clinically oriented. They should be seen as a guide for physicians for the management of cirrhotic patients regarding HE. The goal is to prevent and treat these patients each time it is needed to decrease the burden of HE and improve outcomes and patient quality of life.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Dominique Thabut and Christophe Bureau received speaker fees from Gore and are board members of Alfasigma/Norgine.

### ORCID

Dominique Thabut  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9658-0323>

Alexandre Louvet  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5293-007X>

Thierry Thevenot  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3974-2784>

Claire Francoz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7391-8507>

Pascal Lebray  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8719-6533>

Amélie Liou-Schischmanoff  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4437-8203>

Christophe Duvoux  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4625-4279>

Georges-Philippe Pageaux  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5269-8373>

Vincent Di Martino  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2022-690X>

Christophe Camus  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2055-3003>

Victor De Ledinghen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6414-1951>

Marika Rudler  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9155-3345>

Christophe Bureau  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8634-9278>

## REFERENCES

- American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, and European Association for the Study of the Liver. Hepatic encephalopathy in chronic liver disease: 2014 practice guideline by the European Association for the Study of the liver and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *J Hepatol*. 2014;61(3):642-659.
- Bajaj JS, Lauridsen M, Tapper EB, et al. Important unresolved questions in the Management of Hepatic Encephalopathy: an ISHEN consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2020;115(7):989-1002.
- Vilstrup H, Amodio P, Bajaj J, et al. Hepatic encephalopathy in chronic liver disease: 2014 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the European Association for the Study of the liver. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2014;60(2):715-735.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol*. 2022;77(3):807-824.
- Jalan R, Rose CF. Heretical thoughts into hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol*. 2022;77:539-548.
- Atkins D, Eccles M, Flottorp S, et al. Systems for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations I: critical appraisal of existing approaches the GRADE working group. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2004;4(1):38.
- Romero-Gomez M, Montagnese S, Jalan R. Hepatic encephalopathy in patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis and acute-on-chronic liver failure. *J Hepatol*. 2015;62(2):437-447.
- Weiss N, Barbier Saint Hilaire P, Colsch B, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid metabolomics highlights dysregulation of energy metabolism in overt hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol*. 2016;65(6):1120-1130.
- Bajaj JS. Review article: the modern management of hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;31(5):537-547.
- Das A, Dhiman RK, Saraswat VA, Verma M, Naik SR. Prevalence and natural history of subclinical hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2001;16(5):531-535.
- Patidar KR, Thacker LR, Wade JB, et al. Covert hepatic encephalopathy is independently associated with poor survival and increased risk of hospitalization. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109(11):1757-1763.
- Bustamante J, Rimola A, Ventura PJ, et al. Prognostic significance of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*. 1999;30(5):890-895.
- Stewart CA, Malinchoc M, Kim WR, Kamath PS. Hepatic encephalopathy as a predictor of survival in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Liver Transpl*. 2007;13(10):1366-1371.
- Bajaj JS, Hafeezullah M, Hoffmann RG, Saeian K. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: a vehicle for accidents and traffic violations. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2007;102(9):1903-1909.
- Wein C, Koch H, Popp B, Oehler G, Schauder P. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy impairs fitness to drive. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2004;39(3):739-745.
- Weissenborn K, Ennen JC, Schomerus H, Ruckert N, Hecker H. Neuropsychological characterization of hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol*. 2001;34(5):768-773.
- Amodio P, Campagna F, Olanas S, et al. Detection of minimal hepatic encephalopathy: normalization and optimization of the psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score. A neuropsychological and quantified EEG study. *J Hepatol*. 2008;49(3):346-353.
- Goldbecker A, Weissenborn K, Hamidi Shahrezaei G, et al. Comparison of the most favoured methods for the diagnosis of hepatic encephalopathy in liver transplantation candidates. *Gut*. 2013;62(10):1497-1504.
- Coubard OA, Ober KM, Gaumet M, et al. Standardization of the psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score in a French population. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(9):e0257136.
- Kircheis G, Hilger N, Haussinger D. Value of critical flicker frequency and psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score in diagnosis of low-grade hepatic encephalopathy. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;146(4):961-969.
- Kircheis G, Nilius R, Held C, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of L-ornithine-L-aspartate infusions in patients with cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy: results of a placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 1997;25(6):1351-1360.
- Romero-Gómez M, Córdoba J, Jover R, et al. Value of the critical flicker frequency in patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2007;45(4):879-885.
- Bajaj JS, Thacker LR, Heuman DM, et al. The Stroop smartphone application is a short and valid method to screen for minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2013;58(3):1122-1132.
- Campagna F, Montagnese S, Ridola L, et al. The animal naming test: an easy tool for the assessment of hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2017;66(1):198-208.
- Labenz C, Beul L, Toenges G, et al. Validation of the simplified animal naming test as primary screening tool for the diagnosis of covert hepatic encephalopathy. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2019;60:96-100.
- Sheikh MF, Mookerjee RP, Agarwal B, Acharya SK, Jalan R. Prognostic role of ammonia in patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2019;70(3):982-994.
- Mallet M, Weiss N, Thabut D, Rudler M. Why and when to measure ammonemia in cirrhosis? *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2018;42(6):505-511.
- Tranah TH, Ballester MP, Carbonell-Asins JA, et al. Plasma ammonia levels predict hospitalisation with liver-related complications and mortality in clinically stable outpatients with cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*. 2022;77:1554-1563.
- Weiss N, Mochel F, Rudler M, et al. Peak hyperammonemia and atypical acute liver failure: the eruption of an urea cycle disorder during hyperemesis gravidarum. *J Hepatol*. 2017;68:185-192.
- Zeng G, Penninkilampi R, Chaganti J, Montagnese S, Brew BJ, Danta M. Meta-analysis of magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the diagnosis of hepatic encephalopathy. *Neurology*. 2020;94(11):e1147-e1156.
- Formentin C, Zarantonello L, Mangini C, Angeli P, Merkel C, Montagnese S. Clinical value of asterix in 374 well-characterised patients with cirrhosis and varying degree of hepatic encephalopathy. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2020;52(2):235-236.
- Gluud LL, Vilstrup H, Morgan MY. Non-absorbable disaccharides versus placebo/no intervention and lactulose versus lactitol for the prevention and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy in people with cirrhosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;5:Cd003044.
- Yu C, Sharma N, Saab S. Hyponatremia: clinical associations, prognosis, and treatment in cirrhosis. *Exp Clin Transplant*. 2013;11(1):3-11.
- Guevara M, Baccaro ME, Torre A, et al. Hyponatremia is a risk factor of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis: a prospective study with time-dependent analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2009;104(6):1382-1389.

35. Cordoba J, Gottstein J, Blei AT. Chronic hyponatremia exacerbates ammonia-induced brain edema in rats after portacaval anastomosis. *J Hepatol*. 1998;29(4):589-594.
36. Amodio P, del Piccolo F, Pettenò E, et al. Prevalence and prognostic value of quantified electroencephalogram (EEG) alterations in cirrhotic patients. *J Hepatol*. 2001;35(1):37-45.
37. Angeli P, Wong F, Watson H, Gines P. Hyponatremia in cirrhosis: results of a patient population survey. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2006;44(6):1535-1542.
38. Guevara M, Baccaro ME, Rios J, et al. Risk factors for hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis and refractory ascites: relevance of serum sodium concentration. *Liver Int*. 2010;30(8):1137-1142.
39. Sharma P, Sharma BC, Sarin SK. Predictors of nonresponse to lactulose for minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis. *Liver Int*. 2009;29(9):1365-1371.
40. Sakaida I. Tolvaptan for the treatment of liver cirrhosis oedema. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;8(5):461-470.
41. Watson H, Jepsen P, Wong F, Gines P, Cordoba J, Vilstrup H. Satavaptan treatment for ascites in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis of effect on hepatic encephalopathy development. *Metab Brain Dis*. 2013;28(2):301-305.
42. Dam G, Vilstrup H, Watson H, Jepsen P. Proton pump inhibitors as a risk factor for hepatic encephalopathy and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with cirrhosis with ascites. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2016;64(4):1265-1272.
43. Hung TH, Lee HF, Tseng CW, Tsai CC, Tsai CC. Effect of proton pump inhibitors in hospitalization on mortality of patients with hepatic encephalopathy and cirrhosis but no active gastrointestinal bleeding. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2018;42(4):353-359.
44. Tsai CF, Chen MH, Wang YP, et al. Proton pump inhibitors increase risk for hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis in a population study. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152(1):134-141.
45. Nardelli S, Gioia S, Ridola L, Farcomeni A, Merli M, Riggio O. Proton pump inhibitors are associated with minimal and overt hepatic encephalopathy and increased mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2019;70(2):640-649.
46. Lee PC, Yang YY, Lin MW, et al. Benzodiazepine-associated hepatic encephalopathy significantly increased healthcare utilization and medical costs of Chinese cirrhotic patients: 7-year experience. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2014;59(7):1603-1616.
47. Ridola L, Cardinale V, Riggio O. The burden of minimal hepatic encephalopathy: from diagnosis to therapeutic strategies. *Ann Gastroenterol*. 2018;31(2):151-164.
48. Prakash RK, Kanna S, Mullen KD. Evolving concepts: the negative effect of minimal hepatic encephalopathy and role for prophylaxis in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Ther*. 2013;35(9):1458-1473.
49. Prasad S, Dhiman RK, Duseja A, Chawla YK, Sharma A, Agarwal R. Lactulose improves cognitive functions and health-related quality of life in patients with cirrhosis who have minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2007;45(3):549-559.
50. Patidar KR, Bajaj JS. Covert and overt hepatic encephalopathy: diagnosis and management. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13(12):2048-2061.
51. Shukla S, Shukla A, Mehboob S, Guha S. Meta-analysis: the effects of gut flora modulation using prebiotics, probiotics and synbiotics on minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;33(6):662-671.
52. Sharma P, Sharma BC, Agrawal A, Sarin SK. Primary prophylaxis of overt hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis: an open labeled randomized controlled trial of lactulose versus no lactulose. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;27(8):1329-1335.
53. Sharma P, Agrawal A, Sharma BC, Sarin SK. Prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy in acute variceal bleed: a randomized controlled trial of lactulose versus no lactulose. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011;26(6):996-1003.
54. Wen J, Liu Q, Song J, Tong M, Peng L, Liang H. Lactulose is highly potential in prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis and upper gastrointestinal bleeding: results of a controlled randomized trial. *Digestion*. 2013;87(2):132-138.
55. Aires FT, Ramos PT, Bernardo WM. Efficacy of lactulose in the prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotic patients presenting gastrointestinal bleeding. *Rev da Assoc Med Bras (1992)*. 2016;62(3):243-247.
56. Bajaj JS, Heuman DM, Sanyal AJ, et al. Modulation of the microbiome by rifaximin in patients with cirrhosis and minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(4):e60042.
57. Kimer N, Krag A, Moller S, Bendtsen F, Gluud LL. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the effects of rifaximin in hepatic encephalopathy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(2):123-132.
58. Gluud LL, Vilstrup H, Morgan MY. Nonabsorbable disaccharides for hepatic encephalopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2016;64(3):908-922.
59. Agrawal A, Sharma BC, Sharma P, Sarin SK. Secondary prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis: an open-label, randomized controlled trial of lactulose, probiotics, and no therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;107(7):1043-1050.
60. Sharma BC, Sharma P, Agrawal A, Sarin SK. Secondary prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy: an open-label randomized controlled trial of lactulose versus placebo. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137(3):885-891.
61. Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, et al. Rifaximin treatment in hepatic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(12):1071-1081.
62. Mullen KD, Sanyal AJ, Bass NM, et al. Rifaximin is safe and well tolerated for long-term maintenance of remission from overt hepatic encephalopathy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;12(8):1390-1397.
63. Bajaj JS, Barrett AC, Bortey E, Paterson C, Forbes WP. Prolonged remission from hepatic encephalopathy with rifaximin: results of a placebo crossover analysis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41(1):39-45.
64. Chirapongsathorn S, Krittanawong C, Enders FT, et al. Incidence and cost analysis of hospital admission and 30-day readmission among patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatol Commun*. 2018;2(2):188-198.
65. Volk ML, Tocco RS, Bazick J, Rakoski MO, Lok AS. Hospital readmissions among patients with decompensated cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;107(2):247-252.
66. Tapper EB, Finkelstein D, Mittleman MA, Piatkowski G, Chang M, Lai M. A quality improvement initiative reduces 30-day rate of readmission for patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2016;14(5):753-759.
67. Deltenre P, Trépo E, Rudler M, et al. Early transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in cirrhotic patients with acute variceal bleeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;27(9):e1-e9.
68. García-Pagán JC, Caca K, Bureau C, et al. Early use of TIPS in patients with cirrhosis and variceal bleeding. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(25):2370-2379.
69. Garcia-Pagán JC, di Pascoli M, Caca K, et al. Use of early-TIPS for high-risk variceal bleeding: results of a post-RCT surveillance study. *J Hepatol*. 2013;58(1):45-50.
70. Rudler M, Cluzel P, Corvec TL, et al. Early-TIPSS placement prevents rebleeding in high-risk patients with variceal bleeding, without improving survival. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(9):1074-1080.
71. Rudler M, Savier E, Alioua I, Sultanik P, Thabut D. TIPS and liver transplantation should always be discussed together. *J Hepatol*. 2021;75(4):1000-1001.
72. Bai M, He C, Yin Z, et al. Randomised clinical trial: L-ornithine-L-aspartate reduces significantly the increase of venous ammonia concentration after TIPSS. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(1):63-71.
73. Riggio O, Masini A, Efrati C, et al. Pharmacological prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: a randomized controlled study. *J Hepatol*. 2005;42(5):674-679.

74. Bureau C, Thabut D, Jezequel C, et al. The use of Rifaximin in the prevention of overt hepatic encephalopathy after Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2021;174(5):633-640.
75. Simón-Talero M, Roccarina D, Martínez J, et al. Association between portosystemic shunts and increased complications and mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(6):1694-1705.
76. Zamora CA, Sugimoto K, Tsurusaki M, et al. Portosplenic blood flow separation in a patient with portosystemic encephalopathy and a spontaneous splenorenal shunt. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2004;15(8):875-879.
77. Seman M, Scatton O, Zalinski S, Chrissostalis A, Legmann P, Soubrane O. Laparoscopic division of a portosystemic shunt to treat chronic hepatic encephalopathy. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2008;10(3):211-213.
78. Lynn AM, Singh S, Congly SE, et al. Embolization of portosystemic shunts for treatment of medically refractory hepatic encephalopathy. *Liver Transpl*. 2016;22(6):723-731.
79. Laleman W, Simon-Talero M, Maleux G, et al. Embolization of large spontaneous portosystemic shunts for refractory hepatic encephalopathy: a multicenter survey on safety and efficacy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2013;57(6):2448-2457.
80. Philips CA, Kumar L, Augustine P. Shunt occlusion for portosystemic shunt syndrome related refractory hepatic encephalopathy—a single-center experience in 21 patients from Kerala. *Indian J Gastroenterol*. 2017;36(5):411-419.
81. Dhar R, Young GB, Marotta P. Perioperative neurological complications after liver transplantation are best predicted by pre-transplant hepatic encephalopathy. *Neurocrit Care*. 2008;8(2):253-258.
82. Kim KM, Kim GS, Ko JS, Gwak MS, Lee SK, Son MG. Factors associated with consciousness recovery time after liver transplantation in recipients with hepatic encephalopathy. *Transplant Proc*. 2014;46(3):712-715.
83. Tryc AB, Pflugrad H, Goldbecker A, et al. New-onset cognitive dysfunction impairs the quality of life in patients after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2014;20(7):807-814.
84. Campagna F, Montagnese S, Schiff S, et al. Cognitive impairment and electroencephalographic alterations before and after liver transplantation: what is reversible? *Liver Transpl*. 2014;20(8):977-986.
85. Cheng Y, Zhang G, Shen W, et al. Impact of previous episodes of hepatic encephalopathy on short-term brain function recovery after liver transplantation: a functional connectivity strength study. *Metab Brain Dis*. 2018;33(1):237-249.
86. Sotil EU, Gottstein J, Ayala E, Randolph C, Blei AT. Impact of preoperative overt hepatic encephalopathy on neurocognitive function after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2009;15(2):184-192.
87. Acharya C, Wade JB, Fagan A, et al. Overt hepatic encephalopathy impairs learning on the EncephalApp stroop which is reversible after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2017;23(11):1396-1403.
88. Mattarozzi K, Stracciari A, Vignatelli L, D'Alessandro R, Morelli MC, Guarino M. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: longitudinal effects of liver transplantation. *Arch Neurol*. 2004;61(2):242-247.
89. Mattarozzi K, Cretella L, Guarino M, Stracciari A. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: follow-up 10years after successful liver transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2012;93(6):639-643.
90. Mechtcheriakov S, Graziadei IW, Mattedi M, et al. Incomplete improvement of visuo-motor deficits in patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2004;10(1):77-83.
91. Lin WC, Chou KH, Chen CL, et al. Longitudinal brain white matter alterations in minimal hepatic encephalopathy before and after liver transplantation. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):e105887.
92. You DD, Choi GS, Kim JM, Kwon CHD, Joh JW, Lee SK. Long-term outcomes for liver transplant recipients in terms of hepatic encephalopathy. *Transplant Proc*. 2017;49(6):1425-1429.
93. Ahluwalia V, Wade JB, White MB, et al. Liver transplantation significantly improves global functioning and cerebral processing. *Liver Transpl*. 2016;22(10):1379-1390.
94. Tarter RE, Switala J, Plail J, Havrilla J, Van Thiel DH. Severity of hepatic encephalopathy before liver transplantation is associated with quality of life after transplantation. *Arch Intern Med*. 1992;152(10):2097-2101.
95. Pflugrad H, Tryc AB, Goldbecker A, et al. Hepatic encephalopathy before and neurological complications after liver transplantation have no impact on the employment status 1 year after transplantation. *World J Hepatol*. 2017;9(10):519-532.
96. Wong RJ, Gish RG, Ahmed A. Hepatic encephalopathy is associated with significantly increased mortality among patients awaiting liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2014;20(12):1454-1461.
97. Cordoba J, Ventura-Cots M, Simón-Talero M, et al. Characteristics, risk factors, and mortality of cirrhotic patients hospitalized for hepatic encephalopathy with and without acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF). *J Hepatol*. 2014;60(2):275-281.
98. Lucidi C, Ginanni Corradini S, Abraldes JG, et al. Hepatic encephalopathy expands the predictivity of model for end-stage liver disease in liver transplant setting: evidence by means of 2 independent cohorts. *Liver Transpl*. 2016;22(10):1333-1342.
99. Said A, Williams J, Holden J, et al. Model for end stage liver disease score predicts mortality across a broad spectrum of liver disease. *J Hepatol*. 2004;40(6):897-903.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Thabut D, Bouzbib C, Meunier L, et al. Diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy: The French recommendations. *Liver Int*. 2023;00:1-13. doi:[10.1111/liv.15510](https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.15510)