

# Sex-Specific Density-Dependent Secretion of Glucocorticoids in Lizards: Insights from Laboratory and Field Experiments

Marianne Mugabo, Jean-François Le Galliard, Samuel Perret, Beatriz Decencière, Claudy Haussy, Sandrine Meylan

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marianne Mugabo, Jean-François Le Galliard, Samuel Perret, Beatriz Decencière, Claudy Haussy, et al.. Sex-Specific Density-Dependent Secretion of Glucocorticoids in Lizards: Insights from Laboratory and Field Experiments. Oikos, 2017, 126 (7), pp.1051–1061. 10.1111/oik.03701. hal-03990264

# HAL Id: hal-03990264 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03990264v1

Submitted on 31 Jan 2025  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



This is a repository copy of Sex-specific density-dependent secretion of glucocorticoids in lizards: insights from laboratory and field experiments.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/117874/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Mugabo, M, Le Galliard, J-F, Perret, S et al. (3 more authors) (2017) Sex-specific density-dependent secretion of glucocorticoids in lizards: insights from laboratory and field experiments. Oikos. ISSN 0030-1299

https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03701

© 2016 The Authors. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Mugabo, M., Galliard, J.-F. L., Perret, S., Decencière, B., Haussy, C. and Meylan, S. (2017), Sex-specific density-dependent secretion of glucocorticoids in lizards: insights from laboratory and field experiments. Oikos. doi:10.1111/oik.03701, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03701. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Sex-specific density-dependent secretion of glucocorticoids in lizards: insights
2	from laboratory and field experiments
3	
4	Authors: Marianne Mugabo <sup>1,2</sup> , Jean-François Le Galliard <sup>1,3</sup> , Samuel Perret <sup>3</sup> , Beatriz
5	Decencière <sup>3</sup> , Claudy Haussy <sup>1</sup> & Sandrine Meylan <sup>1,4,*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> CNRS/UPMC, UMR 7618, Institut d'Ecologie et des Sciences de l'Environnement, Université
8	Pierre et Marie Curie, 7 Quai St. Bernard, 75005, Paris, France.
9	<sup>2</sup> School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Clarendon Way,
10	Manton Building, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.
11	<sup>3</sup> CNRS/ENS, UMS 3194, CEREEP – Ecotron IleDeFrance, École Normale Supérieure, 78 rue
12	du Château, 77140 St-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France.
13	<sup>4</sup> ESPE de Paris-Université Sorbonne Paris IV, 10 rue Molitor, 75016 Paris, France.
14	
15	*Corresponding author
16	
17	Email addresses and ORCID ID:
18	Marianne Mugabo: marianne.mugabo@gmail.com (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2346-2617)
19	Jean-François Le Galliard: galliard@biologie.ens.fr (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5965-9868)
20	Samuel Perret: sperret@biologie.ens.fr
21	Béatriz Decencière: beatriz.decenciere@ens.fr
22	Claudy Haussy: claudy.haussy@upmc.fr
23	Sandrine Meylan: sandrine.meylan@upmc.fr (ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0865-3335)
24	

#### 26 Abstract

27 Negative density feedbacks have been extensively described in animal species and involve both consumptive (i.e., trophic interactions) and non-consumptive (i.e., social interactions) 28 29 mechanisms. Glucocorticoids are a major component of the physiological stress response and 30 homeostasis, and therefore make a good candidate for proximate determinants of negative 31 density feedbacks. Here, we combined laboratory and field experiments with enclosed populations to investigate the relationship between density, social stress and plasma 32 33 corticosterone levels in the common lizard Zootoca vivipara. This species exhibits strong 34 negative density feedbacks that affect females more than males, and its life history is sensitive 35 to experimentally-induced chronic elevation of corticosterone plasma levels. We found that prolonged crowding in the laboratory can trigger a chronic secretion of corticosterone 36 37 independent from food restriction. In the field experiments, corticosterone levels of females 38 were not affected by population density. Corticosterone levels of males increased with 39 population density but only during the late activity season in a first field experiment where we 40 manipulated density. They also increased with density during the mating season but only in 41 populations with a female-biased sex ratio in a second field experiment where we crossed 42 manipulated density and adult sex ratio. Altogether, our results provide limited evidence for a 43 role of basal corticosterone secretion in density feedbacks in this species. Context and 44 density-dependent effects in males may arise from changes in behavior caused by competition 45 for resources, male-male competition, and mating. Keywords: chronic social stress, competition, density, sex ratio, Zootoca vivipara 46

47 **Running title:** Density-dependent stress response in a lizard

#### 49 Introduction

50 Population dynamics are influenced by a complex interplay between stochastic and 51 deterministic components including negative density feedbacks, which result from the 52 negative effects of population density on demographic rates (Herrando-Pérez et al. 2012). A 53 dominant ecological theory is that negative density feedbacks are primarily caused by trophic 54 interactions. In addition, non-consumptive mechanisms, for example social stress due to competition for territories, may also be involved in negative density feedbacks (Christian 55 56 1970, Boonstra et al. 1998, Edeline et al. 2010, Herrando-Pérez et al. 2012). Heightened 57 frequency of social interactions and limited food supplies at high densities may both cause a chronic social stress response and downstream physiological and behavioral effects that shape 58 59 the population dynamics (reviewed in Creel et al. 2013).

60 In vertebrates, responses to environmental stressors are mediated by the activation of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which triggers a short-term release of 61 62 glucocorticoids (Harvey et al. 1984, Sapolsky et al. 2000, Wingfield and Kitaysky 2002). 63 From an energetic point of view, an acute increase in glucocorticoids diverts bioenergetic 64 resources away from "non-essential" physiological functions and shifts the animals into an 65 emergency life-history stage (Wingfield and Kitaysky 2002). In the short term, increased levels of glucocorticoids may allow individuals to restore a positive energy balance for 66 67 example by suppressing reproduction (Silverin 1998, Moore and Jessop 2003), social activities (DeNardo and Licht 1993), or by increasing activity and foraging (e.g., Tataranni et 68 69 al. 1996, Cote et al. 2006). Therefore, the short-term individual benefits of elevated 70 glucocorticoids secretion may ultimately reduce population size as a result of diminished 71 reproduction and/or elevated mortality. Given the multiple and profound whole-organism 72 effects of glucocorticoids under chronic stressful social conditions, these hormones could play 73 an important role in negative density feedbacks.

74 Yet, despite wide agreement from laboratory studies over the existence and pathological 75 consequences of chronic glucocorticoids secretion (e.g., Christian 1956, Bhatnagar and 76 Vining 2003, Vegas et al. 2006), studies in wild populations have produced evidence of a 77 variable link between the HPA axis function and density feedbacks (e.g., Boonstra 2013, 78 Creel et al. 2013). This is particularly true in wild populations of rodents, birds and reptiles in 79 which corticosterone is the main adrenal glucocorticoid mediating stress responses (e.g., Meylan et al. 2003 and references therein, Cote et al. 2006, Creel et al. 2013). For instance, in 80 81 rodents, circulating corticosterone levels increase with population density in several territorial 82 species, while other factors such as breeding stage or predation risks are more important determinants of corticosterone levels in others (reviewed in Creel et al. 2013). There is also 83 84 very limited evidence of density-dependent chronic secretion of corticosterone in birds (but 85 see Raouf et al. 2006, Viblanc et al. 2014) and in squamate reptiles (but see Comendant et al. 86 2003). Besides potential methodological differences in the characterization of HPA axis 87 regulation (Breuner et al. 2013), such inconsistencies may come from the limited range of 88 density variation in observational studies. At the same time, experimental studies may not 89 always reflect the natural densities to which animals are exposed and may not allow for 90 behavioral compensations, including spatial avoidance or changes in microhabitat use. 91 Moreover, individual factors such as age, sex and social rank and external factors such as 92 seasonal conditions or predation risks can affect glucocorticoids levels and change the 93 intensity of social stress (Creel et al. 2013). Finally, a chronic social stress could suppress 94 subsequent physiological responses to acute and social stressors and reduce baseline 95 corticosterone levels (Rich and Romero 2005, Cyr and Romero 2007), thus contributing to the 96 lack of 'a consensus endocrine profile for chronically stressed wild animals' (Dickens and 97 Romero 2013). There is therefore a strong need for field studies that examine the HPA axis regulation through time under chronic social stress across a relevant range of population 98

densities while accounting for potentially confounding factors at the individual and
population levels (reviewed in Creel et al. 2013). Here, we present the results of such a study
in the common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*) where we manipulated the density of experimental
populations of lizards maintained in outdoor enclosures over a wide range of densities (from
below to above the carrying capacity) and measured plasma levels of corticosterone before,
during and after the experiment.

105 Previous field experiments in the common lizard have demonstrated negative density 106 dependence for body growth (with stronger effects in females than in males), for female age 107 at maturation and female reproductive effort and for immunity in both sexes (Mugabo et al. 108 2013, Mugabo et al. 2015) and therefore strong compensatory density regulation (Mugabo et 109 al. 2013). However, the underlying mechanisms of these density feedbacks still remain 110 unclear (González-Suárez et al. 2011, Mugabo et al. 2013). Accumulating evidence suggests 111 that chronic corticosterone releases affect several aspects of the common lizard's behavior 112 and life history such as food consumption, activity, basking behavior, immunity, and 113 reproduction (e.g., Meylan and Clobert 2005, Cote et al. 2006, Meylan et al. 2010). Thus, 114 activation of the HPA axis by chronic social stress could be involved in density feedbacks in 115 this species. Furthermore, the intensity of social stress at high densities should vary with the 116 adult sex ratio due to male aggressions toward females during the mating season and male-117 male competition for breeding (Fitze et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 118 2008).

Based on this knowledge, we predicted that corticosterone secretion increases in response to chronic social stressors in this species (Prediction 1) and therefore that plasma corticosterone levels should increase with population density due to increased levels of social stress (Prediction 2). Stress response to density could be higher in females than in males due to a stronger sensitivity to density than males (as seen in body growth,Mugabo et al. 2013), a

124 lower social dominance and repeated harmful social interactions with males during the 125 breeding season (Le Galliard et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 2008). We also expected the 126 increase of corticosterone levels with density to be stronger in male-biased than in female-127 biased populations due to competition for mates in males and male aggressions on females 128 during mating (Prediction 3). To test these three predictions, we conducted two laboratory 129 experiments and two successive field experiments in semi-natural conditions. First, we tested 130 prediction 1 by comparing the patterns of temporal variations of plasma corticosterone levels 131 following an acute disturbance stress and a chronic social stress (prolonged social 132 confinement) under controlled laboratory conditions. Second, we tested prediction 2 by 133 manipulating population density in female-biased populations maintained in semi-natural 134 conditions. We then tested prediction 2 and 3 by cross manipulating density and adult sex 135 ratio in a second field experiment. We monitored populations for a year in both field 136 experiments and measured corticosterone levels in males and females before, during and after 137 each experiment. Altogether, these experiments enabled us to investigate whether levels of 138 circulating corticosterone are affected by chronic social stress and whether population density 139 triggers chronic secretion of corticosterone in a species exhibiting strong negative density 140 feedbacks.

#### 141 Methods

#### 142 Model species

143 Zootoca vivipara is a small (adult snout-vent length < 75 mm) ovoviviparous lizard inhabiting 144 humid habitats across northern Eurasia. Natural populations can be structured in three age 145 classes: juveniles (newborn individuals), yearlings (1-2 years old) and adults. In natural 146 populations from where experimental individuals originated and in our study site (CEREEP 147 research center, Saint-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France) basal plasma corticosterone levels vary

from 1 to 181 ng.ml<sup>-1</sup> in adults and are similar between sexes (Meylan et al. 2003, Cote et al.

149 2006).

150 Experimental protocols

#### 151 <u>Stress response in laboratory conditions</u>

152 During June and July 2010, we conducted two laboratory experiments to test our prediction 153 that corticosterone secretion increases in response to chronic social stress in this species 154 (Prediction 1). First, we carried out an acute disturbance stress experiment to produce a 155 baseline stress response to compare to chronic social stressors, knowing that acute and 156 chronic stressors can trigger very distinctive patterns of glucocorticoid responses (Carere et 157 al. 2003, Rich and Romero 2005). We then carried out a chronic social stress experiment 158 where the chronic social stressor was a prolonged social confinement during which pairs of 159 males shared a single basking and shelter site in a terrarium under *ad libitum* food conditions. 160 More specifically, this experiment enabled us to test for the effect of social interactions and 161 competition for a shelter and microhabitat for optimal thermoregulation on corticosterone 162 secretion independently of trophic interactions.

163

#### Experiment 1: response to an acute disturbance stress

In the "acute disturbance stress" experiment, 15 adult males were placed individually in an empty terrarium and a soft paint brush was waved in front of them during 10 minutes (stress group). The remaining 15 adults males were left undisturbed (control group). All individuals in the stress group exhibited an escape behavior in response to the paint brush *stimuli*. Three successive blood samples were collected as follow from each lizard in the control and in the stress group: 5 days before the acute stress experiment to measure basal plasma corticosterone levels, immediately after and one day after the acute stress.

171

## Experiment 2: response to a chronic social stress

In the "chronic social stress" experiment, 16 males were maintained by pairs for 10 days in a 172 173 terrarium containing a single shelter and basking site (stress group) while 15 males were left 174 alone in their individual terrarium (control group, one male of the control group died before 175 the beginning of the experiment). Pairs of individuals of similar body size were created to 176 avoid the establishment of a size-based hierarchy. Five repeated measurements of 177 corticosterone levels were carried out. First, basal corticosterone levels were measured from 178 blood samples collected 6 days before individuals from the stress group were paired. Then, 179 three sets of blood samples were collected 1 day, 3 days and 9 days after the beginning of the 180 experiment. After 10 days, lizards from the stress group were transferred back into their 181 individual terrarium and a last blood sample was collected 4 days later. During both experiments, lizards were fed daily *ad libitum* and maintained in  $25 \times 15 \times 15$  cm<sup>3</sup> terraria 182 183 under optimal laboratory conditions for light, water availability and temperature (see Le 184 Galliard et al. 2003 for more details on lizards' husbandry). All individuals were weighted to 185 the nearest 0.01 g immediately after each blood sampling. Change in mass throughout the 186 experiments was monitored to control for potential effects of stressors on food consumption. 187 Stress response to density in semi-natural conditions 188 We conducted two field experiments in order to test our predictions that plasma 189 corticosterone levels increase with population density due to increased levels of social stress, 190 potentially more so in females than in males (Prediction 2), and that this increase should be 191 stronger in male-biased than in female-biased populations due to competition for mates in 192 males and male aggressions during mating in females (Prediction 3).

#### 193 *Experiment 3: response to population density*

194 During June and July 2008, we manipulated the initial density of 24 populations maintained

195 in  $10 \times 10$  m outdoor enclosures located in a natural meadow at the CEREEP research center

196 in Saint-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France (48°17'N, 2°41' E). Enclosures provided lizards with 197 wild preys and their abundance was negatively affected by the density of lizards (González-198 Suárez et al. 2011). Populations were established post-breeding following a gradient of five 199 density levels ranging from 7 to 35 adults and yearlings (equivalent to 700 to 3,500 lizards per 200 ha) and 10 to 50 juveniles. Density level 1 had 3 adults, 4 yearlings and 10 juveniles. Density 201 levels 2 to 5 differed from density level 1 by a multiplicative factor of 2 to 5 respectively. All 202 populations were female-biased with a sex ratio of 0.43 (calculated as the proportion of 203 yearling and adult males with 1:2 adult and 1:1 yearling males and females) and had a similar 204 age-structure (Mugabo et al. 2013). Lizards (n = 162 adults, 216 yearlings and 549 juveniles) 205 were randomly assigned to experimental populations and were released in outdoor enclosures 206 in June-July 2008. All yearling and adult males and non-reproductive females were released 207 between June 11 and 13 and all reproductive females and their juveniles were released within 208 two days post parturition from June 11 to July 27. Blood samples were collected from adult 209 males and yearling males and females prior to release in the enclosures in June-July 2008 210 (most adult females were still pregnant at this time and were therefore not sampled; 211 potentially reproductive yearling females were kept until their non-reproductive status was 212 confirmed before being sampled for blood up to July 25). Blood samples were then collected 213 in all enclosures during 3 successive recapture sessions in late June 2008, September 2008 214 and April-May 2009. Finally, all surviving individuals were recaptured in May-June 2009 and 215 blood samples were collected in laboratory conditions on all individuals except pregnant 216 females (Table S2). Individuals were measured for body mass after the collection of blood 217 samples in the laboratory.

## 218

#### Experiment 4: response to population density and sex ratio

During June and July 2009, we cross manipulated the initial population density and sex ratioin 24 populations. Populations were established post-breeding according to 3 density levels

221 and 2 sex ratio levels, i.e., female-biased versus male-biased populations. Density level 1 had 222 4 adults, 6 yearlings and 12 juveniles and density levels 2 and 3 differed from density level 1 223 by a multiplicative factor of 2 and 3 respectively. All populations had similar age-structures (see Table S1). Female-biased populations had a sex ratio of 0.4 with 1:3 adult and 3:3 224 225 yearling males and females and male-biased populations had a sex ratio of 0.7 with 3:1 adult 226 and 2:4 yearling males and females. Juvenile sex ratio was balanced in all treatments. Lizards 227 (n = 164 adults, 246 yearlings and 492 juveniles) were randomly assigned to experimental 228 populations and released in outdoor enclosures in June-July 2009 (see Table S1 for more 229 details). Three sets of blood samples were collected: prior to release in laboratory conditions 230 in June-July 2009, in all enclosures in April 2010 and in laboratory conditions after capture in 231 June 2010 (Table S2). All individuals were measured for body mass after blood sampling.

#### 232 <u>Blood sampling and measurements of plasma corticosterone levels</u>

233 Except in the acute stress group, blood samples were taken within 1 minute after capture to 234 avoid an increase of plasma corticosterone levels due to handling. During the capture sessions 235 in the enclosures, observers only spent few minutes in each enclosure to capture wild lizards 236 in order to avoid stressing the individuals by repeatedly trying to catch them. However, 237 corticosterone levels in the field were not affected by the time spent in the enclosures prior the 238 captures in a recent study that was carried out in the same experimental system (Mell et al. 239 2016). About 40-60 µL of whole blood was collected from the post-orbital sinus using 20 µL 240 microhematocrit tubes. Immediately after sampling, blood was centrifuged and the plasma 241 was stored at -30°C. Plasma corticosterone levels were later determined using a competitive 242 enzyme-immunoassay procedure (IDS corticosterone EIA kit, ref AC-14F1, IDS EURL Paris, 243 France). This method provides a quantitative determination of total corticosterone 244 concentration in a set volume of plasma using a polyclonal corticosterone antibody and is based on a colorimetric assay (absorbance read at 450 nm). The sensitivity of the 245

corticosterone EIA kit is 0.55 ng.ml<sup>-1</sup>. For all samples, 10 µL of plasma were diluted 10 times 246 247 in 90 µL of the sample diluent provided in the EIA kit except for 10 samples which were 248 diluted 20 times due to low volumes of plasma and for which 5 µL of plasma were used 249 instead (see Table S2 for sample size). Intra-plate repeatability was estimated by comparing 250 the concentrations of blood samples run twice on the same plate and inter-plate repeatability 251 was estimated by comparing the concentrations of blood samples run twice on two different 252 plates. Measurements were highly repeatable (intra-plate repeatability: n = 49, F<sub>1.47</sub> = 495.78, 253 P < 0.0001, intra-class correlation coefficient  $r = 0.91 \pm 0.03$ ; inter-plate repeatability: n = 46, 254  $F_{1,44} = 112.15$ , P < 0.0001, intra-class correlation coefficient  $r = 0.79 \pm 0.06$ ).

- 255 <u>Statistical analyses</u>
- 256 Experiment 1

257 Levels of plasma corticosterone and change in body mass in response to acute disturbance 258 stress were analyzed with mixed-effects linear models in R 2.15.2 (http://cran.r-project.org/) 259 following Pinheiro & Bates (2000). The change in mass of an individual was defined as the 260 difference in mass from its average mass throughout the experiment. For both corticosterone 261 levels and change in mass, the fixed part of the models included a group effect (stress versus 262 control), a sampling session effect and their two-way interaction. Models of corticosterone 263 levels also included the fixed effects of the average mass and the change in mass. Individual 264 identity was included as a random effect in all models to account for repeated measurements 265 on the same individual and quantify inter-individual variation in corticosterone levels and 266 change in mass.

267

#### Experiment 2

268 Changes in corticosterone levels in response to a chronic social stress in laboratory conditions 269 were analyzed with generalized additive mixed effects models (GAMMs). GAMMs were 270 used to model the non-linear relationship between corticosterone levels and time due to the

occurrence and disappearance of a chronic social stressor. The fixed part of the models
included a smooth function on the number of days since the beginning of the experiment, a
group effect (stress versus control) and their two-way interaction as well as an effect of mass
and change in mass. Change in mass in response to chronic social stress was analyzed as in
Experiment 1. Individual identity was included as a random effect in models of corticosterone
levels and change in mass to account for repeated measurements on the same individual.

277

## Experiments 3 and 4

278 Effects of population treatments on corticosterone levels were analyzed independently for 279 each blood sampling session (i.e., 5 sessions in the density experiment, 3 sessions in the 280 density and sex ratio experiment, see Table S3) since the same individuals were not always 281 captured in each session. In the data analysis of the density experiment, fixed effects were density (density level as a continuous variable), sex, age class and two-way interactions with 282 283 density to test for sex and age-specific effects of density. In the data analysis of the density 284 and sex ratio experiment, females were not included since only 21 were sampled in April 285 2010 and none were measured in June 2010. Thus, fixed effects of the models were density 286 (categorical variable), sex ratio and their two-way interaction in order to test for an interaction 287 between density and sex ratio on corticosterone levels in males. Age effects were not included 288 because the numbers per age class in each treatment were too low. However, no significant 289 age effects were found in the density experiment (see Table 1).

Since a significant relationship between corticosterone levels and density was only found in males (see results), we used the number of males per enclosure as a covariate (quadratic regression) to further investigate if corticosterone levels were influenced by the intensity of male-male interactions. We also used the number of females per enclosure as a covariate (quadratic regression) to investigate if corticosterone levels in males were influenced by the number of potential sexual partners in the population. These tests were run

296 separately to avoid multicollinearity between the covariates number of males and number of females. Finally, we investigated if corticosterone levels in the 21 females recaptured in April 297 298 2010 were influenced by the number of males in the population (linear regression). 299 Additional fixed effects included in all analyses of field data were body mass (when 300 measured), sampling date within each sampling session (continuous variable in the density 301 experiment and categorical variable in the density and sex ratio experiment), time since 302 release in enclosures (in days) and time of the day (in hours, quadratic regression). These 303 variables were included in the models to account for known confounding factors of 304 glucocorticoid responses to chronic social stressors (reviewed in Creel et al. 2013). Enclosure 305 identity was included as a random effect. 306 General methods for all statistical analyses 307 All linear mixed effects models and generalized additive mixed effects models were fitted 308 using the maximum likelihood approach in the *lme* (package *nlme*) and *gamm* (package *mgcv*) 309 procedures respectively and fixed effects were tested with marginal F tests (Pinheiro and 310 Bates 2000). A minimum adequate model was obtained by backward elimination of non-311 significant terms. Assumptions of normality were fulfilled (based on diagnostic plots of the 312 normality of the residuals of the full models and of the relationship between fitted values and 313 the residuals) but some Bartlett tests revealed significant variance heterogeneity between 314 groups that we accounted for with a *varIdent* function in the procedures *lme* and *gamm* 315 (Pinheiro and Bates 2000, results not shown). All estimates are provided with standard errors 316 unless otherwise stated.

#### 317 **Results**

#### 318 Stress response in laboratory conditions

- 319 In both experiments, inter-individual variation was highly significant for corticosterone levels
- 320 (random effect: *lme*: LRT = 42.71, df = 1, P < 0.0001, n = 30, and *gamm*: LRT = 43.79, df =
- 321 1, P < 0.0001, n = 31 for the acute stress and social stress experiments, respectively).

### 322 *Experiment 1: response to an acute disturbance stress*

- 323 Corticosterone levels increased immediately after the acute stress relative to the control group
- 324 and returned to a basal level after one day (Figure 1A, *lme*: interaction group × sampling
- 325 session:  $F_{2,56} = 6.77$ , P = 0.002). Individuals gained mass over the course of the experiment
- 326 (*lme*: sampling session:  $F_{2,58} = 18.32$ , P < 0.0001, estimates of change in mass in session 1 = -

 $327 \quad 0.08 \pm 0.02$ , session  $2 = -0.03 \pm 0.03$  and in session  $3 = 0.11 \pm 0.03$ ) and change in mass was

- not affected by acute stress (*lme*: F tests, all P > 0.74). Body mass did not influence
- 329 corticosterone levels ( $F_{1,55} = 1.75$ , P = 0.20)

#### 330 *Experiment 2: response to a chronic social stress*

331 In the social stress experiment, the dynamics of corticosterone levels through time differed 332 between the stress and control groups (Figure 1B). In the stress group, corticosterone levels 333 increased after lizards were paired and levels remained high during up to 3 days. After 9 days, 334 corticosterone levels had returned to a basal level and were not affected by the return of 335 lizards into their individual terrarium (gamm: approximate significance of smooth parameter,  $F_{2.52,121} = 6.14$ , P = 0.02; Figure 1B). In the control group, corticosterone levels were stable 336 337 over the course of the experiment (gamm: approximate significance of smooth parameter, 338  $F_{1,121} = 1.77$ , P = 0.19, Figure 1B). Corticosterone levels also decreased linearly with change 339 in mass (*lme*:  $\beta$  coefficient = -33.17 ± 5.54, F<sub>1.121</sub> = 36.33, P < 0.001) and change in mass through time was affected by an interaction between time and treatment (*lme*:  $F_{1,122} = 6.20$ , P 340

- 341 = 0.01). In the control group, individuals lost weight (*lme*:  $\beta$  coefficient = -0.004 ± 0.002)
- 342 while mass remained stable in the stress group (*lme*:  $\beta$  coefficient = 0.003 ± 0.003).

#### 343 Stress response to density in semi-natural conditions

344 *Experiment 3: response to population density* 

345 Corticosterone levels were not affected by population density 11 days after release in the 346 outdoor enclosures (Table 1, June 19-26 2008 session, n = 97). However, about 3 months 347 after release, corticosterone levels were affected by an interaction between density and sex 348 (Table 1, September 2008 session, n = 97). Corticosterone levels increased with density in 349 males ( $\beta$  coefficient = 1.57 ± 1.09) but not in females ( $\beta$  coefficient = -0.74 ± 0.85) so that 350 corticosterone levels were lower in males than in females at low but not at high densities 351 (Figure 2). Male corticosterone levels in September 2008 responded similarly to the number 352 of males and of females per enclosure (number of males: intercept =  $12.34 \pm 3.19$ ,  $\beta$ 353 coefficient =  $0.66 \pm 0.30$ ; number of females: intercept =  $12.76 \pm 3.02$ ,  $\beta$  coefficient =  $0.46 \pm$ 354 0.21; see Figure S1, supporting information). Corticosterone levels measured during the next 355 spring (i.e., in April (n = 64) and June (n = 65) 2009) were not affected by density (Table 1).


356 *Experiment 4: response to population density and adult sex ratio* 

357 In the density and sex ratio factorial manipulation, male corticosterone levels were affected by 358 an interaction between population density and sex ratio 10 to 12 months after release in the 359 enclosures (April (n = 106) and June (n = 166) 2010 sessions, Table 2). At low density, male 360 corticosterone levels were higher in male-biased than in female-biased populations while the 361 opposite was observed at high density. Intermediate corticosterone levels were observed for both sex ratios at medium density (Figure 3A and 3B, see Table S3 for model parameter 362 363 estimates). Male corticosterone levels in April 2010 increased with the number of adult males per enclosure to up to 10 males and then reached a plateau to up to 19 males (Figure 3C, *lme*: 364 linear  $\beta$  coefficient = 1.97 ± 0.70, F<sub>1.21</sub> = 7.79, P = 0.01; quadratic  $\beta$  coefficient = -0.09 ± 365

366	0.03, $F_{1,21} = 7.00$ , $P = 0.01$ ). On the contrary, male corticosterone levels in June 2010
367	decreased linearly with the number of males (Figure 3D, <i>lme</i> : $\beta$ coefficient = -0.50 ± 0.23,
368	$F_{1,22} = 4.85$ , P = 0.04). Male corticosterone levels in April 2010 also decreased with the
369	number of females in male-biased populations ( <i>lme</i> : number of females × sex ratio: $F_{1,20}$ =
370	19.48, P = 0.0003, $\beta$ coefficient = -1.47 ± 0.74, <i>post hoc</i> test: F <sub>1,12</sub> = 7.51, P = 0.02) and
371	increased with the number of females in female-biased populations ( <i>lme</i> : $\beta$ coefficient = 1.81
372	$\pm$ 0.34, <i>post hoc</i> test: F <sub>1,8</sub> = 20.99, P = 0.002; Figure S2A). Male corticosterone levels in June
373	2010 were not affected by the number of females per enclosure ( <i>lme</i> : F tests, all P > $0.25$ ;
374	Figure S2B). In the 21 females recaptured in April 2010, corticosterone levels tended to
375	increase with the number of males per enclosure (Appendix S1, Figure S3, <i>lme</i> : linear $\beta$
376	coefficient = $1.41 \pm 0.73$ , $F_{1,12} = 3.70$ , P = 0.08). In both experiments, corticosterone levels
377	varied importantly between sampling sessions (see Appendix S1, Figure S4).

#### 378 Discussion

379 Using a combination of laboratory and field experiments in the common lizard Zootoca 380 vivipara, we investigated the relationship between laboratory forced social interactions or 381 population density and plasma levels of corticosterone. Our experiments revealed a strong 382 inter-individual variation in basal corticosterone levels as well as effects of internal factors 383 such as body mass and external factors such as time of the year and time of the day. These 384 results confirm that the activity of the HPA axis is highly plastic (Evans et al. 2006). More 385 importantly, our study revealed complex patterns of corticosterone response to chronic social 386 stress. The laboratory experiment provided strong evidence of a socially-mediated chronic 387 stress due to forced social interactions in the absence of a food restriction. However, in the 388 field experiments, plasma corticosterone levels increased with density only in males from 389 populations characterized by a female-biased adult sex ratio. Complementary analyses further 390 suggested that stress in males was mildly affected by the number of male competitors for



396 the onset of confinement of pairs of males and remained high for up to 3 days before 397 returning to baseline levels although group confinement was maintained. This adjustment to a 398 chronic stress could be due to a diminution of aggressive interactions when males become 399 familiar or to habituation. Similar effects of crowding on the HPA stress axis have been well 400 documented in other laboratory studies (e.g., Glennemeier and Denver 2002, Nephew and 401 Romero 2003), and suggest that heightened frequency of social interactions, including 402 aggressiveness and dominance, is sufficient to induce a chronic elevation of plasma 403 glucocorticoids in the absence of a food restriction.

404 Furthermore, the response of corticosterone levels to social stress differed from the 405 response to the acute disturbance stress. First, the response to the social confinement was 406 slightly lower than the one following the acute stress (see Figure 1). Also, the range of 407 increase of corticosterone levels in response to social stress in the field was of the same order 408 of magnitude than in the laboratory social stress experiment. Therefore, our laboratory 409 experiments demonstrate that a chronic social stressor, here due to a prolonged social 410 confinement with direct competition for a shelter and basking site, can induce a moderate 411 chronic corticosterone response in the common lizard compared to the strong short-term 412 response that an acute stress triggers. This result is in accordance with findings by other 413 studies that compared acute and chronic stresses (Carere et al. 2003, Rich and Romero 2005). 414 Second, the relationship between corticosterone levels and body mass differed between the 415 two laboratory experiments. In the acute stress experiment, the gain in mass did not differ

between the control and stressed groups and body mass did not influence corticosterone levels. In the social stress experiment, corticosterone levels decreased linearly with a positive change in mass throughout the experiment and mass decreased during the experiment in the control group while it remained stable in the stressed group. This result further suggests that chronic corticosterone secretion could be associated with changes in the energy balance in accordance with our previous demonstration that experimentally-enhanced chronic

422 corticosterone levels increase foraging behavior and food consumption (Cote et al. 2006).

### 423 Sex specific effects of density on stress response

424 We predicted that physiological stress responses to density due to social stress should be 425 stronger in females than in males based on previous field studies in the common lizard 426 showing negative density feedbacks in female reproductive performances and stronger 427 density-dependent effects on body growth in females than in males (Mugabo et al. 2013) and on the species' social and mating system (Le Galliard et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 2008). 428 429 Our findings contradict these predictions as corticosterone levels in the field only increased 430 with density in males, while corticosterone levels did not change significantly with population 431 density in females. In males, the density-dependent increase in corticosterone levels was seen 432 during the late summer of the density experiment but not immediately after release and not 433 during the next spring. It was also significant during spring in the density and sex ratio 434 experiment but solely in female-biased populations. These results indicate stronger effects of 435 density on basal corticosterone secretion in males than in females, even though the growth 436 and survival of adult males were not density-dependent (Mugabo et al. 2013). Previous 437 studies of the reactivity of the HPA axis in non-social species of mammals, birds and 438 amphibians have generally uncovered a positive effect of population density on plasma levels 439 of glucocorticoids, but this pattern has been found to vary across species and its link with the population dynamics still remains unclear (reviewed in Creel et al. 2013). 440

Sex differences in physiological responses to stressors are regularly interpreted as 441 442 adaptive differences in the HPA activity and reactivity associated with the different life-443 history tactics of males and females (e.g., Wingfield et al. 1994, Edwards et al. 2013). For 444 instance, female birds in a restricted habitat can suppress their stress response to avoid the 445 loss of a clutch (Wingfield et al. 1994). In this study, the absence of density-dependent HPA 446 response in females could not be explained by a strategy to ensure high quality reproduction 447 (Mugabo et al. 2013), but it could be a survival mechanism in females. Sex differences could 448 also be caused by differences in social interactions and space use behavior between males and 449 females. In the common lizard, adult males are socially dominant and more aggressive than 450 lizards from other age and sex classes, and thus may engage more in social interactions at 451 high densities, especially with other males during the mating season. Yet, during the density 452 experiment, the increase in corticosterone levels was seen in the late summer just before the 453 beginning of hibernation and outside the mating period. Late summer corresponds to the 454 period when male lizards complete the storage of the energetic reserves necessary for their 455 survival in early spring after the wintering period, when other age and sex classes are still 456 hibernating (Bauwens 1981). This period might therefore involve intense intra-specific 457 competition for food, basking sites for thermoregulation and shelters in crowded 458 environments. Indeed, we found that corticosterone levels in males responded similarly to the 459 number of males and of females at that time of the year, strongly suggesting that population 460 density per se triggered chronic stress responses in males before hibernation. The results of 461 our chronic social stress experiment in the laboratory, where pairs of males competed for 462 access to a single shelter and basking site also suggest that competition for limited resources 463 at high population densities can increase social stress in males.

In the density and sex ratio experiment, male corticosterone levels during the springseason increased more with density in female-biased than in male-biased populations. In

addition, male corticosterone levels during the mating period slightly increased with the 466 467 number of males to up to 10 males and then reached a plateau, whereas corticosterone levels 468 right after the mating season decreased linearly with the number of males. This indicates that 469 male-male competition can increase social stress experienced by males like we predicted, but 470 only during the mating period. In addition, male corticosterone levels increased with the 471 number of adult females in female-biased populations during the mating period but not during 472 the post-mating period. This result suggests that social interactions with females, such as 473 more exploratory behaviors and mating attempts at the highest female densities, also 474 influence the activity of the HPA axis in males during the mating period. Unfortunately, the 475 small range of variation in the number of females per enclosure from male-biased treatments 476 and the lack of overlap with female-biased populations prevented us from drawing solid 477 conclusions about this relationship. In addition, it remains difficult to understand clearly this 478 pattern with our data since the number of males per enclosure was negatively correlated with 479 the number of females. To better understand the role of male-male competition and male 480 mating behaviors on the activity of the HPA, independent, factorial manipulations of the 481 density of adult males and adult females during the mating season should be conducted.

482 Regarding females, corticosterone levels were not significantly related to population 483 density. Thus, given the decline in the abundance of preys with lizard density (González-484 Suárez et al. 2011), the negative density-dependent feedbacks in reproductive performances 485 and body growth seen in earlier studies (e.g., Mugabo et al. 2013) were more likely caused by 486 direct, energetic effects of food restriction rather than by other physiological effects mediated 487 by basal corticosterone secretion. This decoupling between environmental food restriction and 488 basal corticosterone secretion is supported by a previous laboratory study in the same species 489 (Cote et al. 2010). The relationship between food availability and corticosterone secretion has 490 been investigated only recently in free-living animals, especially seabirds (Jenni-Eiermann et

al. 2008, Kitaysky et al. 2010, Barrett et al. 2015), and current results are contrasted (Creel etal. 2013).

493 The lack of a relationship between food restriction and corticosterone secretion in 494 females may be explained by the allostasis model in which the amount of available energy is a 495 crucial mediator of the stress response (Wingfield 2005, McEwen and Wingfield 2010). In 496 this model, plasma glucocorticoid levels increase with energetic demands and reach very high 497 levels only when the required energy by an individual to cope with environmental changes is 498 greater than the energy available in the environment. When the environmental change induces 499 an energetic demand below the amount of energy available in the environment, glucocorticoid 500 secretion should also increase but would reach lower levels. In our case, the severity of 501 nutritional stress in high density populations might not have been high enough to induce 502 strong, detectable differences in corticosterone secretions in females. For example, density did 503 not influence the survival of adult females and the quality of their offspring (Mugabo et al. 504 2013), suggesting little starvation among surviving females.

Preliminary data collected in the few adult females recaptured during the mating 505 506 period in the density and sex ratio experiment further suggested that their corticosterone 507 levels increased with the number of adult males during the mating season. This is in 508 accordance with our initial prediction of an effect of the number of males on social stress 509 experienced by females due to harmful interactions during mating (Le Galliard et al. 2008). 510 This elevation may be caused by repeated mating attempts of males and repeated copulations, 511 since both events are associated with aggressive male behaviors including physical fights, 512 biting and wounding (see Fitze et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 2005). We note however that this 513 trend was seen in a small sample of females and only in one of our two field experiments. 514 Unfortunately, less than 30 adult and yearling males in total survived up to the mating season 515 in the density experiment (see Table S1) preventing us from confirming this trend. This result

should therefore be confirmed with additional data and experiment focusing explicitly onsocial stress during mating in females.

#### 518 Conclusions

519 Altogether, our data provide little support to the hypothesis that a chronic corticosterone 520 secretion is primarily involved in the negative density feedbacks in the common lizard due to 521 social stress. This could be because of the occurrence of behavioral compensations, including 522 spatial avoidance or changes in microhabitat use at high densities which would reduce the 523 intensity of the social stress experienced by individuals. These behavioral changes are more 524 likely to occur in sub-dominant (females and yearlings in the common lizard) and subordinate 525 individuals (juveniles) and could have contributed to the sex-specific patterns we observed. 526 However, our findings suggest that density and adult sex ratio interact to influence the 527 intensity of social stress, with sex-specific responses due to the different roles of males and 528 females in the social and mating system of the common lizard. The increase in plasma 529 corticosterone in males seen at higher population densities may have long-term effects on 530 their longevity that remain to be investigated. In addition, we speculate that male harassment 531 during the mating season, rather than population density *per se*, may cause social stress in 532 females with substantial effects on their life history and population dynamics (seeLe Galliard 533 et al. 2005, Le Galliard et al. 2008).

### 534 Acknowledgements

We are thankful to students and staff at the CEREEP for assistance in the field. This study
was funded by the CNRS, the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, grant 07-JCJC0120), the Région Île-de-France R2DS program (grant 2007-06). Protocols were done under
the agreement with the Regional ethics committee in animal experiment N°3 of the Région
Île-de-France (files p3/2008/008, p3/2009/07 and Ce5/2010/039).

#### 540 Data accessibility

541 All data will be made accessible on Dryad repository upon publication.

#### 542 **References**

- 543 Barrett, R. T., et al. 2015. The stress hormone corticosterone in a marine top predator reflects
- short-term changes in food availability. Ecology and Evolution 5: 1306-1317.
- 545 Bauwens, D. 1981. Survivorship during hibernation in the european common lizard Lacerta
- 546 vivipara. Copeia 3: 741-744.
- 547 Bhatnagar, S. and Vining, C. 2003. Facilitation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to
- 548 novel stress following repeated social stress using the resident/intruder paradigm. Horm.
- 549 Behav. 43: 158-165.
- 550 Boonstra, R. 2013. Reality as the leading cause of stress: rethinking the impact of chronic stress
- 551 in nature. Funct. Ecol. 27: 11-23.
- 552 Boonstra, R., et al. 1998. The impact of predator-induced stress on the snowshoe hare cycle. -
- 553 Ecol. Monogr. 68: 371-394.
- 554 Breuner, C. W., et al. 2013. Evaluating stress in natural populations of vertebrates: total CORT
- is not good enough. Funct. Ecol. 27: 24-36.
- 556 Carere, C., et al. 2003. Fecal corticosteroids in a territorial bird selected for different
- personalities: daily rhythm and the response to social stress. Horm. Behav. 43: 540-548.
- 558 Christian, J. J. 1956. Adrenal and reproductive responses to population size in mice from freely
- 559 growing populations. Ecology 37: 258-273.
- 560 Christian, J. J. 1970. Social subordination, population density, and mammalian evolution. -
- 561 Science 168: 84-90.
- 562 Comendant, T., et al. 2003. Social competition, corticosterone and survival in female lizard
- 563 morphs. J. Evol. Biol. 16: 948-955.

- 564 Cote, J., et al. 2006. Experimental enhancement of corticosterone levels positively affects
- 565 subsequent male survival. Horm. Behav. 49: 320-327.
- 566 Cote, J., et al. 2010. Food deprivation modifies corticosterone-dependent behavioural shifts in
  567 the common lizard. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 166: 142-151.
- 568 Creel, S., et al. 2013. The ecology of stress: effects of the social environment. Funct. Ecol. 27:
- 569
   66-80.
- 570 Cyr, N. E. and Romero, L. M. 2007. Chronic stress in free-living European starlings reduces
- 571 corticosterone concentrations and reproductive success. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 151: 82-89.
- 572 DeNardo, D. F. and Licht, P. 1993. Effects of corticosterone on social behavior of male lizards.
- 573 Horm. Behav. 27: 184-199.
- 574 Dickens, M. J. and Romero, L. M. 2013. A consensus endocrine profile for chronically stressed
  575 wild animals does not exist. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 191: 177-189.
- 576 Edeline, E., et al. 2010. Body downsizing caused by non-consumptive social stress severely
- 577 depresses population growth rate. Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 277: 843-851.
- 578 Edwards, D. B., et al. 2013. Linking sex differences in corticosterone with individual
- 579 reproductive behaviour and hatch success in two species of uniparental shorebirds. Comp.
- 580 Biochem. Physiol. A-Mol. Integr. Physiol. 166: 169-176.
- Evans, M. R., et al. 2006. Heritability of corticosterone response and changes in life history
  traits during selection in the zebra finch. J. Evol. Biol. 19: 343-352.
- 583 Fitze, P. S., et al. 2005. Conflict over multiple-partner mating between males and females of
- the polygynandrous common lizards. Evolution 59: 2451-2459.
- 585 Glennemeier, K. A. and Denver, R. J. 2002. Role for corticoids in mediating the response of
- 586 Rana pipiens tadpoles to intraspecific competition. J. Exp. Zool. 292: 32-40.
- 587 González-Suárez, M., et al. 2011. Disentangling the effects of predator body size and prey
- density on prey consumption in a lizard. Funct. Ecol. 25: 158-165.

- 589 Harvey, S., et al. 1984. Stress and adrenal function. J. Exp. Zool. 232: 633-645.
- 590 Herrando-Pérez, S., et al. 2012. Decoupling of component and ensemble density feedbacks in
- 591 birds and mammals. Ecology 93: 1728-1740.
- 592 Jenni-Eiermann, S., et al. 2008. Glucocorticoid response to food availability in breeding barn
- 593 swallows (Hirundo rustica). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 155: 558-565.
- 594 Kitaysky, A. S., et al. 2010. Food availability and population processes: severity of nutritional
- 595 stress during reproduction predicts survival of long-lived seabirds. Funct. Ecol. 24: 625-637.
- 596 Le Galliard, J.-F., et al. 2008. Lifetime and intergenerational fitness consequences of harmful
- 597 male interactions for female lizards. Ecology 89: 56-64.
- 598 Le Galliard, J.-F., et al. 2005. Sex ratio bias, male aggression, and population collapse in lizards.
- 599 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102: 18231-18236.
- 600 Le Galliard, J.-F., et al. 2003. Timing of locomotor impairment and shift in thermal preferences
- 601 during gravidity in a viviparous lizard. Funct. Ecol. 17: 877-885.
- McEwen, B. S. and Wingfield, J. C. 2010. What is in a name? Integrating homeostasis,
  allostasis and stress. Horm. Behav. 57: 105-111.
- Mell, H., et al. 2016. Do personalities co-vary with metabolic expenditure and glucocorticoid
  stress response in adult lizards? Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 70: 951-961.
- 606 Meylan, S. and Clobert, J. 2005. Is corticosterone-mediated phenotype development adaptive?
- 607 Maternal corticosterone treatment enhances survival in male lizards. Horm. Behav. 48: 44-52.
- 608 Meylan, S., et al. 2003. The effect of transdermal corticosterone application on plasma
- 609 corticosterone levels in pregnant Lacerta vivipara. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A-Mol. Integr.
- 610 Physiol. 134: 497-503.
- 611 Meylan, S., et al. 2010. Physiological actions of corticosterone and its modulation by an
- 612 immune challenge in reptiles. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 169: 158-166.

- 613 Moore, I. C. and Jessop, T. S. 2003. Stress, reproduction, and adrenocortical modulation an
- 614 amphibians and reptiles. Horm. Behav. 43: 39-47.
- Mugabo, M., et al. 2015. Density-dependent immunity and parasitism risk in experimental
  populations of lizards naturally infested by Ixodid ticks. Ecology 96: 450-460.
- 617 Mugabo, M., et al. 2013. Density-dependent life history and the dynamics of small populations.
- 618 J. Anim. Ecol.
- Nephew, B. C. and Romero, L. M. 2003. Behavioral, physiological, and endocrine responses
  of starlings to acute increases in density. Horm. Behav. 44: 222-232.
- Pinheiro, J. C. and Bates, D. M. 2000. Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS. Springer
  Verlag.
- Raouf, S. A., et al. 2006. Glucocorticoid hormone levels increase with group size and parasite
- 624 load in cliff swallows. Anim. Behav. 71: 39-48.
- 625 Rich, E. L. and Romero, L. M. 2005. Exposure to chronic stress downregulates corticosterone
- 626 responses to acute stressors. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory Integrative and
- 627 Comparative Physiology 288: R1628-R1636.
- 628 Sapolsky, R. M., et al. 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating
- 629 permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. Endocr. Rev. 21: 55-89.
- 630 Silverin, B. 1998. Stress responses in birds. Poultry and Avian Biology Reviews 9: 153-168.
- 631 Tataranni, P. A., et al. 1996. Effects of glucocorticoids on energy metabolism and food intake
- 632 in humans. Am. J. Physiol. 271: E317-E325.
- 633 Vegas, O., et al. 2006. Social stress, coping strategies and tumor development in male mice:
- behavioral, neuroendocrine and immunological implications. Psychoneuroendocrinology 31:635 69-79.
- 636 Viblanc, V. A., et al. 2014. Stress hormones in relation to breeding status and territory location
- 637 in colonial king penguin: a role for social density? Oecologia 175: 763-772.

- 638 Wingfield, J. C. 2005. The concept of allostasis: coping with a capricious environment. J.
- 639 Mammal. 86: 248-254.
- 640 Wingfield, J. C. and Kitaysky, A. S. 2002. Endocrine responses to unpredictable environmental
- 641 events: stress or anti-stress hormones? Integr. Comp. Biol. 42: 600-609.
- 642 Wingfield, J. C., et al. 1994. The adrenocortical responses to stress in snow buntings
- 643 (Plectrophenax nivalis) and lapland longspurs (Calcarius lapponicus) at barrow, Alaska. -
- 644 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Pharmacology Toxicology & Endocrinology645 108: 299-306.

# 646 Tables

- 647 **Table 1.** Effect of population density, sex, age class, body mass, date, time since release and time of the day (quadratic regression) on corticosterone
- 648 levels at each sampling session before, during and after the density manipulation. Results are from backward elimination of non-significant effects.
- 649 Significant effects are in bold. Marginally significant effects are in italic. Sampling date was included as a continuous variable in all models.

	June 7-8 2008		June 19-26 2008		September 9-15 2008		April 27 – May 1 2009		May 20-July 4 2009	
Fixed effects	Fndf,ddf	P value	Fndf,ddf	P value	Fndf,ddf	P value	Fndf,ddf	P value	<b>F</b> ndf,ddf	P value
Density	$F_{1,22}=1.55$	0.23	$F_{1,22} = 1.78$	0.20	$F_{1,21} = 0.75$	0.40	$F_{1,20} = 0.18$	0.68	$F_{1,19} = 0.02$	0.88
Sex	$F_{1,192} = 0.02$	0.89	$F_{1,70} = 1.73$	0.19	$F_{1,70} = 9.74$	0.003	$F_{1,37} = 0.19$	0.66	$F_{1,38} = 0.0009$	0.98
Age class	-	-	-	-	$F_{1,68} = 0.02$	0.89	$F_{1,39} = 0.55$	0.46	$F_{1,40} = 0.53$	0.47
Sex $\times$ age class	-	-	-	-	$F_{1,67} = 2.28$	0.14	$F_{1,35} = 0.02$	0.88	$F_{1,36} = 0.12$	0.73
Density $\times$ sex	$F_{1,191} = 0.22$	0.64	$F_{1,68} = 0.10$	0.75	$F_{1,70} = 4.48$	0.04	$F_{1,33} = 0.001$	0.97	$F_{1,37} = 0.51$	0.48
Density × age class	-	-	-	-	$F_{1,66} = 0.15$	0.70	$F_{1,38} = 0.52$	0.48	$F_{1,39} = 0.56$	0.46
Body mass (g)	$F_{1,195} = 15.87$	0.0001	-	-	-	-	-	-	$F_{1,41} = 2.71$	0.11
Sampling date (d)	$F_{1,195} = 5.87$	0.02	$F_{1,71} = 5.20$	0.03	$F_{1,69} = 1.79$	0.18	$F_{1,40} = 3.24$	0.08	$F_{1,34} = 0.06$	0.8
Time since release (d)	-	-	$F_{1,67} = 0.08$	0.78	$F_{1,70} = 6.36$	0.01	$F_{1,34} = 0.06$	0.81	$F_{1,35} = 0.03$	0.86
Time of the day (h)	$F_{1,194} = 1.46$	0.23	$F_{1,71} = 14.89$	0.0002	$F_{1,70} = 16.01$	0.0002	$F_{1,41} = 6.90$	0.01	$F_{1,43} = 0.04$	0.84
Time of the $day^2$ (h)	$F_{1,193} = 2.57$	0.11	$F_{1,69} = 0.55$	0.46	$F_{1,65} = 0.05$	0.82	$F_{1,36} = 0.06$	0.81	$F_{1,42} = 1.93$	0.17

650 g = grams, d = days, h = hour.

Table 2. Effect of population density and sex ratio, body mass, date, time since release and time
of the day (quadratic regression) on corticosterone levels at each sampling session in the density
and sex ratio experiment. Results are from backward elimination of non-significant effects.
Significant effects are in bold. Sampling date was included as a categorical variable (3 days of
sampling in June-July 2009 and 2 in April and June 2010).

	June 21 –Jul	y 6 2009	April 27-28	8 2010	June 1-2 2010			
Fixed effects	Fndf,ddf	P value	Fndf,ddf	<i>P</i> value	<b>F</b> ndf,ddf	<i>P</i> value		
Density	$F_{2,21} = 0.29$	0.75	$F_{2,18} = 11.75$	0.0005	$F_{2,18} = 2.09$	0.15		
Sex ratio	$F_{1,20} = 0.25$	0.62	$F_{1,18} = 11.38$	0.0003	$F_{1,18} = 2.77$	0.11		
Density × sex ratio	$F_{2,18} = 0.28$	0.76	$F_{2,18} = 10.74$	0.0009	$F_{2,18} = 4.03$	0.04		
Body mass (g)	$F_{2,62} = 3.39$	0.07	$F_{1,58} = 4.40$	0.04	$F_{1,137} = 1.66$	0.20		
Sampling date (d)	$F_{2,60} = 0.04$	0.96	$F_{1,58} = 4.13$	0.05	$F_{1,138} = 6.66$	0.01		
Time since release (d)	-	-	$F_{1,57} = 1.81$	0.18	$F_{1,138} = 7.18$	0.01		
Time of the day (h)	$F_{1,63} = 0.01$	0.92	$F_{1,58} = 17.63$	0.0001	$F_{1,138} = 0.15$	0.70		
Time of the $day^2$ (h)	$F_{1,63} = 10.47$	0.002	$F_{1,56} = 0.06$	0.80	$F_{1,138} = 14.62$	0.0002		
g = grams, $d = days$ , $h = hour$ .								

660 **Figure legends** 

Figure 1. Corticosterone levels of male common lizards in response to a acute stress (A) and to 661 662 a chronic social stress in laboratory conditions (B). Data are mean  $\pm$  se. In (B) component 663 smooths (solid lines) and standard errors (dashed lines) are from a generalized additive mixed 664 effects model (GAMM) in the stress (thick lines) and control groups (thin lines). GAMMs included a non-parametric smoother on day from start (the smoothness was constrained to a 665 666 spline of 3 degrees of freedom, using the argument k = 4). In (B), arrows indicate the day when 667 individuals from the stress group were put by pairs per terrarium and the day when they were put back into their initial individual terrarium. A: n = 15 per group. B: n = 15 in the control 668 group and 16 in the social stress group. Adjusted  $R^2 = 0.23$  in (A) and 0.14 in (B). 669

670


Figure 2. Corticosterone levels of common lizards according to population density and sex in late summer 2008 (i.e., 3 months after the start of the density manipulation). Data are mean corticosterone levels  $\pm$  se of wild animals with regressions lines obtained from the minimum adequate model selected (see main text). n = 44 males and 53 females. M: males, F: females. Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.31.

676


**Figure 3.** Corticosterone levels of male common lizards recaptured in April (A, C) and in June 2010 (B, D), i.e., 10 and 12 months after the start of the manipulation of population density and sex ratio. Data are plotted according to treatment groups (A, B) and according to the number of adult males in each population (C, D). (A, B) Data are mean corticosterone levels  $\pm$  se. (C-D) Raw data are plotted with the regression line (solid) and associated error lines (dotted lines) from the minimum adequate model (see main text). A, C: n = 85. B, D: n = 166. MB: malebiased, FB: female-biased. Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.27 in (A), 0.19 in (B), in 0.29 (C) and 0.23 in (D).

# 684 Figures


# 685 **Figure 1**














694

693

Figure 3