



**HAL**  
open science

## Editorial: Post-transcriptional regulation of embryonic and adult myogenesis

De-Li Shi, Raphaëlle Grifone, Ming Shao

► **To cite this version:**

De-Li Shi, Raphaëlle Grifone, Ming Shao. Editorial: Post-transcriptional regulation of embryonic and adult myogenesis. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 2022, 10, 10.3389/fcell.2022.1063934 . hal-04005769

**HAL Id: hal-04005769**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04005769>**

Submitted on 27 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Moises Mallo,  
Gulbenkian Institute of Science (IGC),  
Portugal

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
De-Li Shi,  
de-li.shi@upmc.fr

SPECIALTY SECTION  
This article was submitted to  
Morphogenesis and Patterning,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Cell and Developmental  
Biology

RECEIVED 07 October 2022  
ACCEPTED 17 October 2022  
PUBLISHED 26 October 2022

CITATION  
Shi D-L, Grifone R and Shao M (2022),  
Editorial: Post-transcriptional regulation  
of embryonic and adult myogenesis.  
*Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:1063934.  
doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.1063934

COPYRIGHT  
© 2022 Shi, Grifone and Shao. This is an  
open-access article distributed under  
the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright  
owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is  
cited, in accordance with accepted  
academic practice. No use, distribution  
or reproduction is permitted which does  
not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Post-transcriptional regulation of embryonic and adult myogenesis

De-Li Shi<sup>1\*</sup>, Raphaëlle Grifone<sup>1</sup> and Ming Shao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Developmental Biology Laboratory, CNRS-UMR7622, Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS), Sorbonne University, Paris, France, <sup>2</sup>Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Cell and Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, Shandong University, Qingdao, China

## KEYWORDS

muscle development, regeneration, satellite cells, muscular disorder, RNA-binding proteins, non-coding RNAs, RNA modification

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Post-transcriptional regulation of embryonic and adult myogenesis

Skeletal muscle is the most abundant tissue in adult vertebrates. It originates from mononucleated myogenic precursor cells that are transformed into multinucleated functional myofibers by a temporally and spatially elaborated regulatory program. Considerable progress has been made in delineating myogenic pathways that contribute to muscle development. Myogenic transcription factors that integrate intrinsic and extrinsic inputs to trigger myogenesis in the embryo have been extensively characterized during the past decades. However, post-transcriptional regulation, such as mRNA processing, localization, stability, polyadenylation and translation, has been less documented in skeletal muscle biology. Therefore, the identification and functional assessment of key players involved in this regulatory paradigm, including but not limited to RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and non-coding RNAs, are essential for understanding molecular mechanisms controlling myogenic lineage differentiation during embryonic development. In adult life, post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression is also critically required for maintaining tissue homeostasis and plasticity. The remarkable regenerative capacity of adult muscle through activation of muscle stem cells or satellite cells is generally thought to recapitulate embryonic muscle development. Defects in the post-transcriptional regulation of muscle developmental genes are responsible for a large number of congenital abnormalities in humans. It is intriguing to understand how the post-transcriptional mechanisms regulating embryonic myogenesis function to modulate myogenic differentiation of satellite cells and other “non-muscle” stem cells. This Research Topic has collected review and research articles that presented different aspects of post-transcriptional regulation in muscle development, regeneration, and disease.

The formation of skeletal muscle results from a complex interplay of factors coordinating gene expression at different levels. Myostatin acts as an inhibitor of muscle growth. [Chen et al.](#) reviewed aspects of its self-regulation and discussed its function in myogenic differentiation and myofiber type conversion. Myostatin not only regulates the synthesis and degradation of

muscle-specific proteins, but also induces reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress in skeletal muscle. Understanding the mechanisms by which it regulates myogenesis could have an impact on animal breeding. A comprehensive review by [Shi and Grifone](#) outlined current advances in characterizing RBPs-mediated post-transcriptional regulation in muscle development, regeneration and disease. This study detailed the implication of RBPs in myoblast proliferation and differentiation under physiological and pathological conditions. It also emphasized on the biochemical and functional interactions of RBPs in the control of gene expression for myogenic differentiation as well as stem cell quiescence or activation. It is now well established that dysfunctions of many RBPs are either directly or indirectly linked to various muscle disorders or neuromuscular diseases, making them as potential therapeutic targets.

Increasing evidence indicates that non-coding RNAs are also important post-transcriptional regulators and involved in muscle development. Several research articles have provided mechanistic insights into the function of circRNAs and lncRNAs in myogenesis. Using chick skeletal muscle development as a model, [Wei et al.](#) reported the role of muscle-enriched *circFNDC3AL* in myogenesis. Mechanistically, *circFNDC3AL* binds to *miR-204* and prevents its inhibitory activity on the expression of B-cell CLL/lymphoma 9 (BCL9) protein that can promote muscle satellite cell proliferation and differentiation. Similarly, [Shen et al.](#) found that *circITSN2* is highly expressed in skeletal muscle and induces myogenesis by targeting *miR-2018-5p* to allow the expression of LIM domain protein 7 (LMO7). These works have identified circRNAs as miRNA sponges during muscle development. In another study, [Lv et al.](#) examined possible roles of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in porcine *lncMGPF* gene, which functions as a positive regulator of muscle differentiation, growth and regeneration. They provided evidence for a potential contribution of several SNPs to meat production traits through regulation of *lncMGPF* stability and activity in muscle development.

Further illustrating the implication of non-coding RNAs in myogenesis, a research article by [Singh et al.](#) analyzed miRNAs-mediated gene expression in muscle differentiation. This work showed that cyclase-associated protein 1 (CAP1), which functions as a critical regulator of actin treadmilling, plays a role in cytoskeletal remodeling during myogenic differentiation. Its timely down-regulation is necessary for proper myotube formation. Several muscle-enriched miRNAs, including *miR-1*, *miR-133*, and *miR-206*, have conserved binding sites at the 3'-UTR of *Cap1* mRNA. They post-transcriptionally inhibit CAP1 expression to promote myoblast fusion and muscle formation.

It is now well established that RNA modifications play important roles in the transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine (m<sup>6</sup>A) RNA methylation is the most prevalent modification within eukaryotic mRNAs and non-coding RNAs. A research article by [Xie et al.](#)

examined how members of the m<sup>6</sup>A core methyltransferase complex regulate muscle differentiation and regeneration. This study showed that down-regulation of METTL3/14 expression promotes differentiation of C2C12 and primary mouse skeletal muscle cells. The authors identified *MNK2* mRNA, which encodes a known regulator of ERK/MAPK signaling, as an YTHDF1-dependent target of METTL3/14-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A methylation. The results suggest that METTL3/14 inhibit myogenic differentiation through activation of ERK/MAPK signaling. However, the METTL3/14-MNK2 axis was activated following acute skeletal muscle injury, implying that it may function at early stages of muscle regeneration to promote activation and proliferation of muscle stem cells. [Yu et al.](#) thoroughly reviewed m<sup>6</sup>A methylation modification in myogenic differentiation. This work presented m<sup>6</sup>A enzyme system and detailed regulatory roles of m<sup>6</sup>A modulators during embryonic myogenesis and postnatal muscle development, including myotube formation, skeletal muscle homeostasis, regeneration and hypertrophic response. The review also discussed functional interactions between m<sup>6</sup>A modulators and miRNAs by proposing additional modes of post-transcriptional regulation in skeletal myogenesis.

In summary, the aim of this Research Topic was to provide insights into molecular mechanisms that operate in muscle cells. Discussions in review articles and novel data presented in research articles integrated several aspects of current advances in studying the post-transcriptional gene regulation during muscle development, regeneration and disease. They not only contribute to better understand muscle formation under physiological and pathological conditions, but also help to uncover molecular pathways underlying the muscle repair process that presents significant implications in regenerative medicine.

## Author contributions

D-LS wrote the editorial, RG and MS revised the manuscript. All authors approved the submitted version for publication.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all authors for their contribution to this Research Topic, and we are also grateful to the experts for reviewing the manuscripts.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.