

# **Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer: From Tumor Growth and Metastasis to Biomarkers and Nanomedications**

Larissa Kotelevets, Eric Chastre

### **To cite this version:**

Larissa Kotelevets, Eric Chastre. Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer: From Tumor Growth and Metastasis to Biomarkers and Nanomedications. Cancers, 2023, 15  $(4)$ , pp.1107. 10.3390/can- $\,\mathrm{cers15041107}$  . <code>hal-04021728</code>

## **HAL Id: hal-04021728 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04021728v1>**

Submitted on 9 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## *Review* **Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer: From Tumor Growth and Metastasis to Biomarkers and Nanomedications**

**Larissa Kotelevets \* and Eric Chastre [\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8993-1228)**

Sorbonne Université, INSERM, UMR\_S938, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), 75012 Paris, France **\*** Correspondence: larissa.kotelevets@inserm.fr (L.K.); eric.chastre@inserm.fr (E.C.)

**Simple Summary:** Almost all cell types produce extracellular vesicles that, according to their size, subcellular origin and release pathways, are mainly categorized as exosomes, ectosomes and apoptotic bodies. These vesicles exert a critical role in intercellular communication during physiological and pathological processes through the delivery of their cargo. Extracellular vesicles display the molecular features of the cells they originate and thus, they might serve as a basis for the noninvasive diagnosis of cancer or for patient follow-up using liquid biopsies. Furthermore, extracellular vesicles can be engineered for the selective and efficient delivery of molecular tracers and therapeutic agents for tumor imaging or treatment. This review provides an overview of the role of extracellular vesicles in the progression of colorectal cancers, in remodeling target tissue to facilitate premetastatic niche formation, their predictive value for the diagnosis and prognosis of colorectal cancer and the ongoing evaluations of their potential use as nanomedications.

**Abstract:** Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a leading public health concern due to its incidence and high mortality rates, highlighting the requirement of an early diagnosis. Evaluation of circulating extracellular vesicles (EVs) might constitute a noninvasive and reliable approach for CRC detection and for patient follow-up because EVs display the molecular features of the cells they originate. EVs are released by almost all cell types and are mainly categorized as exosomes originating from exocytosis of intraluminal vesicles from multivesicular bodies, ectosomes resulting from outward budding of the plasma membrane and apoptotic bodies' ensuing cell shrinkage. These vesicles play a critical role in intercellular communications during physiological and pathological processes. They facilitate CRC progression and premetastatic niche formation, and they enable transfer of chemotherapy resistance to sensitive cells through the local or remote delivery of their lipid, nucleic acid and protein content. On another note, their stability in the bloodstream, their permeation in tissues and their sheltering of packaged material make engineered EVs suitable vectors for efficient delivery of tracers and therapeutic agents for tumor imaging or treatment. Here, we focus on the physiopathological role of EVs in CRCs, their value in the diagnosis and prognosis and ongoing investigations into therapeutic approaches.

**Keywords:** extracellular vesicles; exosomes; ectosomes; microvesicles; colorectal cancer; metastasis; miRNA; lncRNA; diagnosis; nanomedicine

#### **1. Introduction**

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are gaining greater interest as they prove to orchestrate intercellular communication and exchanges through the transfer of lipids, nucleic acids, proteins and metabolites under pathophysiological conditions. EVs secretion is an evolutionarily conserved process that occurs in lifeforms from bacteria and archaea to protists and multicellular eukaryotic organisms, further highlighting their critical importance in information transfer. In mammals, EVs are found in all biological fluids, including blood, urine, saliva, cerebrospinal fluid, amniotic fluid, breast milk and seminal fluid. Based on their size, subcellular origins, release pathways and cargo content, extracellular vesicles



**Citation:** Kotelevets, L.; Chastre, E. Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer: From Tumor Growth and Metastasis to Biomarkers and Nanomedications. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 1107. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15041107) [cancers15041107](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15041107)

Academic Editor: Serge Roche

Received: 15 January 2023 Revised: 6 February 2023 Accepted: 7 February 2023 Published: 9 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).



are mainly categorized as exosomes, ectosomes and apoptotic bodies. Some guidelines concerning the identification and characterization of extracellular vesicles are regularly updated [1–3]. Exosomes, also known as nanovesicles, are characterized by a diameter ranging 50–120 nm. They originate as intraluminal vesicles from the inward budding of endosomal membrane from endocytic vesicles, leading to the formation of multivesicular bodies. Multivesicular bodies (MVBs) constitute a step in the degradative lysosome pathway. The alternative route concerns the release of intraluminal vesicles via exocytosis upon fusion of multivesicular bodies with the plasma membrane (Figure 1) [4,5]. This process involves pathways dependent on and independent of ESCRT (endosomal sorting complexes required for transport) machinery [6–8]. ECSRT-0, -I and –II allow the sorting of ubiquitinated proteins. ESCRT-III coils as spiral oligomers around the site of membrane constriction prior to membrane cleavage.

Ectosomes, also known as microvesicles or microparticles, are 150–800 nm vesicles. They result from outward budding of the plasma membrane. This process involves the ESCRT-III complex for membrane fission. Apoptotic bodies are larger vesicles with a size of 500 nm $-2$   $\mu$ m. They originate from apoptotic cell disassembly. They may contain organelles, micronuclei and DNA fragments. These large vesicles are engulfed by macrophages, parenchymal cells and tumor cells, and they are degraded within phagolysosomes. Nevertheless, the biological impacts of apoptotic bodies are poorly documented [9].

Further subsets of nanoparticles characterized by distinct size, cargo and tissue uptake were recently described. Exo-L, or large exosome vesicles (90–120 nm), may represent noncanonical exosomes; Exo-S, or small exosome vesicles (60–80 nm) are likely canonical exosomes [10]. Exomeres (35 nm) constitute an abundant population of nonmembranous nanoparticles that are enriched in proteins involved in metabolism (glycolysis and mTORC1 metabolic pathways) [11,12]. Supermeres (a supernatant of exomeres) are smaller nonmembranous entities (25–35 nm) characterized by distinct cargo (glycolytic enzymes, miR-1246, TGFβ-induced protein TGFBI, hepatocyte growth factor receptor MET, glypican 1 and Argonaute RISC catalytic component 2 AGO2) and a greater uptake in vivo compared to small extracellular vesicles and exomeres [13].

Extracellular vesicles are rich in a set of lipids and proteins including annexins, tetraspanins and heat-shock proteins, but they also carry different sets of nucleic acids including DNA, mRNAs, noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), miRNAs and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) that are selectively sorted. These cargoes are transferred to target cells via fusion with the plasma membrane or through endocytosis [6]. Nevertheless, the MISEV2018 (consortium "minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles") release concludes that due to the different cellular sources in use to investigate EVs and the different isolation approaches, it was not possible to propose specific and universal EV subtypes.

Extracellular vesicles proved to be involved in many human diseases, including neurodegenerative disorders, diabetes and heart disease. In cancer, EVs can act not only as paracrine factors to drive tumor microenvironment changes favoring tumor growth, invasiveness, angiogenesis and immunomodulation, but also as systemic mediators to prepare premetastatic niches. Besides microenvironment remodeling during carcinogenesis, another side effect of extracellular vesicles is venous thromboembolism, a frequent complication that markedly increases the risk of mortality and degrades the quality of life for patients [14]. As a matter of fact, the exposure of microparticles bearing tissue factor (coagulation factor III) derived from tumors to factor VII circulating in blood might initiate the coagulation cascade, leading to thromboembolism. Furthermore, platelet–colorectal cancer cell interactions potentiate the release of platelet-derived procoagulant EVs [15].

On another note, EVs carry the molecular signature from cells they originate from, and thus, they might serve as a basis for diagnostic or follow-up purposes. Furthermore, the biocompatibility and stability of EVs in the bloodstream, their permeation in tissues, the sheltering and cloaking of packaged material and their uptake by cancer cells make engineered EVs suitable vectors for the selective and efficient delivery of molecular tracers and therapeutic agents for tumor imaging or treatment.



Figure 1. Schematic overview of biogenesis of the three main types of membrane extracellular vesicles. (A) Exosomes originate from the inward membrane budding of late endosomes leading to traluminal vesicle accumulation and the formation of multivesicular bodies. This process involves intraluminal vesicle accumulation and the formation of multivesicular bodies. This process involves machineries that segregate cargoes into microdomains of the membranes of multivesicular bodies. machineries that segregate cargoes into microdomains of the membranes of multivesicular bodies. This can be achieved via the ESCRT-0 complex interaction of the ESCRT-0 complex interactions of matter vesseling bothers. This can be achieved via the ESCRT pathway. The ESCRT-0 complex interacts and clusters ubiquitinated transmembrane proteins on microdomains and it interacts with the ESCRT-I complex, which recruits ESCRT-II. ESCTR-I/ESCTR-II initiate local budding of the vesicular membrane, triggering and recruiting ESCRT-III with accessory proteins that promote scission of membrane vesicles with sequestered cytosol. Alternative pathways independent of the ESCRT complexes were evidenced. This includes the syndecan-syntenin-ALIX pathway, which still requires ESCRT-III for membrane fission, and the ceramide pathway. The neutral type II sphingomyelinase hydrolyses sphingomyelin to ceramide, leading to an accumulation of ceramide that triggers curvature of the endosomal memand contribute to cargo-sorting. (**B**) Ectosome biogenesis also involves membrane proteins sorting brane [7]. Tetraspanins, a family of transmembrane proteins, organize membrane microdomains or the plansma microdomains and contribute to cargo-sorting. (**B**) Ectosome biogenesis also involves membrane proteins sorting through tetraspanins; their clustering in subdomains promotes outward budding of the plasma membrane. The recruitment of TSG101 (subunit of ESCTR-I complex) mobilizes the ESCRT-III complex and induces the release of the vesicles. The cargoes of the exosomes and ectosomes are plasma membrane proteins, including receptors (e.g., epidermal growth factor receptor EGFR and hepatocyte growth factor receptor c-MET), cell adhesion molecules (e.g., integrins and cadherins), tetraspanins (e.g., CD9 and CD81), cytoplasmic proteins, including signaling transducers (e.g., β-catenins, GTPase k<br>Ras and proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src), cytoskeletal proteins (e.g., actin and tubulin), critical role interpret energies by extract proteins and the depth of proteining proteins (e.g., heat shock proteins HSP70 and HSP90) and metabolic enzymes, but also nucleic acids including DNA and RNA (mRNAs and ncRNAs). RNA binding proteins exert a critical role in the selective sorting and the depletion/enrichment of RNA in extracellular vesicles. Besides EV diversity related to sorting machineries, their cellular ultrastructures and polarization, e.g., apical vs. basolateral poles, might contribute to the cargo content as well as to the bioavailability of the released EVs and their biological impact. (**C**) Apoptotic bodies result from cell shrinkage. They contain lipids, proteins, nucleic acids and even micronuclei and organelles.

The present review focuses on the physiopathological role of EVs in colorectal cancers (CRCs), their value in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with CRC, and ongoing investigations in their beneficial use in therapeutic approaches. Accordingly, colorectal cancer is a major cause of cancer morbidity and mortality in Western countries. It is the 3rd most frequent cancer diagnosed in both women and men in the United States, and in Europe, it is the 2nd and the 3rd most frequent cancer in women and men, respectively. It has been estimated that 150,000 and 520,000 new cases are diagnosed annually in the United States and in Europe, respectively, where this cancer is responsible for approximately 53,000 and 250,000 related deaths, respectively [16–18]. Liver metastases represent the main cause of colorectal cancer-related mortality. When colorectal cancer is localized, the fiveyear survival rate is about 90%, but it falls to nearly 14% for patients with metastatic disease [16], highlighting the requirement of an early diagnosis. For this purpose, screening programs were developed and guidelines were created according to individual risk [19]. For high-risk individuals, a colonoscopy is recommended. In absence of evident risk factor, for healthy individuals between 50 and 74 years of age, disease screening is based on a fecal occult blood test with a two-year periodicity, which, if positive, is complemented by colonoscopy. Although the selectivity and the specificity of such tests are also found in immunochemical testing (fecal immunochemical testing FIT), false positives leading to unnecessary colonoscopies with potential risks of complications remain. Furthermore, although noninvasive, FIT requires population adherence and a lack of reluctance toward this procedure.

To increase individual compliance with colorectal cancer screening programs, alternative noninvasive approaches should be developed. Among them, the evaluation of circulating extracellular vesicles is to be considered. Especially in terms of the underlying molecular defects, CRC is one of the best characterized. Colorectal cancers evolve through the stepwise accumulation of genetic alterations leading from normal epithelia to aberrant crypt foci, adenoma, carcinoma and metastatic disease [20,21], and they follow three molecular pathways, characterized by (i) chromosomal instability (CIN), (ii) high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) or (iii) CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP), that can lead to the MSI phenotype. These pathways involve different sets of gene dysregulations related to similar signaling pathways, including Wnt, KRAS, SMAD mutations for CIN tumors, β-catenins, PIK3CA/PTEN, and TGFβ-R2 for MSI tumors. Chronic diseases, including intestinal inflammation, are also associated with an increased risk of colon cancer [21,22]. It should be noted that although the genetic defects involved in colitis-associated cancers are similar to those of sporadic CRCs, the sequence of events differs, e.g., P53 inactivation occurs early, whereas APC mutation is a late event [22]. A more detailed classification of primary colorectal cancers taking into account intrinsic gene expression profiles and resulting in the four biologically distinct consensus molecular subtypes (CMS1–4) was recently established to facilitate the translation of molecular subtypes into the clinic [23]. These signatures might serve as the basis for the selective screening, follow-up and/or treatment of patients with colorectal cancer.

#### **2. Role of Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Tumor Progression**

#### *2.1. CRC-Derived EVs in Microenvironment Remodeling*

The crosstalk between colonic cancer cells, colonic epithelial cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells and cells of the immune system are critical in remodeling colonic mucosa and settling microenvironments favoring tumor growth [24,25].

The use of in vitro models has provided major insights into the leading role of EVs in these interactions, their underlying mechanisms and their biological significance. In this sense, frizzled-10 in exosomes from the human colon cancer Caco-2 and SW620 cells is able to reprogram and confer the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenotype to the normal colonic epithelial HCEC-1CT cell line (Table 1) [26]. Similarly, miR-224-5p from colorectal cancer SW620 cell-derived exosomes triggers a malignant phenotype characterized by enhanced viability, proliferation, migration and invasiveness to the nontumorigenic CCD 841 CoN cell line through the downmodulation of the chemokine-like factor CMTM4 [27]. This cell line was established from healthy human colonic tissue, but according to morphological features and the absence of keratin, its epithelial origin is lacking. In the same way, extracellular vesicles from HCT116 cells confer anchorage, or independent growth, to the nonmalignant human colon fibroblast 1459 cell line via the transfer of 14-3-3 zeta protein and the activation of NFkB [28]. Conversely, extracellular vesicles from 1459 fibroblasts

and from human colonic epithelium decrease colony formation of HCT-116 cells in soft agar [28]. Tetraspanin 6 (Tspan6), frequently downregulated in CRC, proved to suppress early stages of intestinal tumor development in APC $^{Min/+}$  mice [29] (Table 1). Mechanistically, Tspan6 forms a tripartite complex involving the scaffolding molecule syntenin and the transmembrane form of TGF- $\alpha$  (tmTGF- $\alpha$ ). These interactions impair the recruitment of  $tmTGF-\alpha$  into multivesicular bodies and its subsequent release as extracellular vesicles into the extracellular space, leading to stimulation of the EGFR pathway [29]. Interestingly, Tspan6 expression in tumors is a predictive marker of response to the EGFR inhibitor cetuximab in CRC patients.

The oncogenic status and the phenotype of CRC cells also affect EVs' cargo and their biological significance. The use of the human colon cancer DLD-1 cell line bearing a heterozygous mutation of KRAS, as well as isogenic derivatives with wild-type or homozygous KRAS mutation, revealed the enrichment of this oncoprotein in exosomes concurrently with other tumor-promoting proteins, including EGFR and SRC family kinases [30]. Interestingly, these exosomes induce anchorage-independent growth of DLD-1 cells with wild-type KRAS. GTPase KRas activation proved to also affect miRNA sorting. MiR-10b levels are selectively increased in wild-type KRas exosomes, whereas miR-100 accumulates in mutant KRas exosomes [31]. The sorting of this latter miRNA in exosomes involves neutral sphingomyelinase that produces ceramide.

Exosomes generated by early- and late-stage CRC cells differently affect the functional reprograming of quiescent fibroblasts. In contrast with EVs released by mesenchymal-like CRC cell lines, EVs derived from epithelial CRC cell lines suppress the TGFβ-driven fibroblast differentiation into myofibroblast. The latter EVs are enriched in miR-200, which depletes the transcription repressor ZEB1 in fibroblasts [32]. These observations might account for the accumulation of myofibroblastic stroma in the mesenchymal CMS4 CRC subset characterized by TGF $\beta$  pathway activation. In the same way, the exosomes derived from the human colon cancer SW480 cell line promote a pro-proliferative (increased expression of protein S100-A6 and farnesyl-diphosphate synthase) and pro-angiogenic (interleukin-8 IL-8, Ras-related GTP-binding protein RAB10 and N-Myc downstream regulated 1 NDRG1) phenotype to activated fibroblasts, whereas exosomes derived from the isogenic SW-620 metastatic counterpart drive a pro-invasive phenotype characterized by an increased accumulation of PDLIM1 (PDZ and LIM domain protein 1), MYO1B (unconventional myosin-Ib), MMP11 (stromelysin-3), basigin and ADAM10 (disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10) [33].

#### *2.2. CRC-Derived EVs in Angiogenesis*

The activation of endothelial cells and the angiogenic switch constitutes an essential step, once tumors have reached a size of about 1 mm, to provide tumor cells with nutrients and oxygen and to remove metabolic wastes. Several angiogenic factors secreted by cancer cells, including the vascular endothelial growth factor family of peptides (VEGFs) promote this process. Hypoxia is also a potent inducer of EVs produced by cancer cells, and a series of miRNAs conveyed in tumor exosomes are involved in angiogenesis. This includes the miR-221-3p released by the human colon cancer HCT-116 cells that triggers the proliferation, migration and tubulogenesis of endothelial cells through the depletion of SOCS3 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 3) transcripts and the subsequent upregulation of VEGFR [34] (Table 2). For its part, MiR-21-5p targets KRIT1 (Krev interaction trapped protein 1) in endothelial cells, resulting in the activation of the β-catenin signaling pathway as well as the upregulation of VEGFa and Ccnd1 (cyclin D1); thus, it promotes angiogenesis and vascular permeability [35]. Interestingly, this oncomiR is induced by hypoxia [36]. Similarly, miR-183-5p exosomes released by the human colon cancer HT-29 cells stimulate the proliferation, migration and tube formation abilities of endothelial-like HMEC-1 cells through downregulation of FOXO1 [37].



**Table 1.** Partial list of proteins identified in exosomes with evidence of biological effects and clinical implications in colorectal cancer.







Exosomal miR-1229 derived from HCT-116 colon cancer cells or from blood samples of patients with CRC triggers angiogenesis by targeting the Ser/Thr kinase HIPK2 (Homeodomain Interacting Protein Kinase 2), which acts as either a corepressor or a coactivator of transcription factors. Downregulation of HIPK2 in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) enhances MEF2C transcriptional activity and VEGF accumulation. High levels of this miRNA in exosomes are associated with poor overall survival in CRC patients [50]. MiR-1246 accumulation is decreased within colorectal tumor tissues and cell lines, but it as well as TGF $\beta$  are enriched in circulating EVs. MiR-1246 and TGF $\beta$  act together to stimulate endothelial cell proliferation, migration and tubulogenesis. MiR-1246 depletes PML (promyelocytic leukemia protein), impairing Smad2/3-induced endothelial cell quiescence and favoring the Smad1/5/8 pathway, which is further reinforced by TGF $\beta$  [51]. Extracellular vesicles released by tumor perivascular cells are also involved in angiogenesis through the release of exosomes containing Gas6, the ligand for tyrosine-protein kinase receptors AXL [46,52].

#### *2.3. Impact of CRC-Derived EVs on Immune Response*

Regarding crosstalk with immune cells and immune escape, tumor-derived EVs were proven to reprogram and/or affect the activities of cells involved in innate and adaptative immunity [53,54]. For instance, miR-424 EVs suppress the CD28-CD80/86 costimulatory pathway in tumor-infiltrating T cells and dendritic cells, resulting in resistance to immune checkpoint blockades [55]. The cytotoxic activity of natural killer cells is inhibited by EVs containing the lncRNA SNHG10 released by an epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) model of SW480 cells [56]. Tumor EVs also promote an immunosuppressive microenvironment by triggering macrophage polarization to M2-like phenotypes with PD-L1 expression. Accordingly, whereas the classically activated M1 macrophages exhibit cytotoxic activities against cancer cells, the M2 alternative polarization is involved in the elimination of pathogens, angiogenesis and tissue remodeling and repair. These tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are known to impair the inflammatory response and to favor tumor growth  $[54,57]$ . In this concern, the enhanced abundance in PD-L1<sup>+</sup>CD206<sup>+</sup> macrophages leads to decreased T cell activity in CRCs [58]. Mechanistically, CRC-derived EVs increase PD-L1 expression in tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) in at least two ways. On one hand, miR-21-5p and miR-200a exhaust the transcripts of the PTEN tumor suppressor, leading to activation of the AKT signaling pathway, and on the other hand, miR-21-5p targets SOCS1, which negatively controls the STAT1 signaling pathway. Furthermore, M2 macrophage-derived EVs contribute to CRC immune escape through miR-155-5p transfer to colon cancer cells. This miRNA downmodulates ZC3H12B (zinc finger CCCH-type containing 12), which is thought to function as an RNAse, leading to upregulation of IL-6 in CRC cells and inhibition of T cell immune response [59]. Interestingly, this miRNA released by M2 macrophages promotes CRC cell migration and invasion by targeting the tumor suppressor BRG1, which regulates gene transcription via chromatin remodeling [60]. M2 macrophage-derived EVs are also enriched in miR-186-5p, which depletes the Rho GTPase/tumor suppressor DLC1 (deleted in liver cancer 1 protein), leading to activation of β-catenin signaling, enhanced CRC cell proliferation and induction of EMT [61]. Surprisingly, TAM-EVs derived from MC38 CRC mouse models display a proteomic and lipidomic signature that was associated with inflammation and immune response through Th1/M1 macrophage polarization [62]. Besides tumor growth and invasiveness, M2 macrophages facilitate remote CRC cell implantation and the metastatic process (Table 2 and Section 3.2). Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) play a major role in the suppression of both adaptive and innate immunity. Exosomal HSP70 excreted by colon cancer cells binds to TLR2 (toll-like receptor 2) and activates MDSCs. Blocking HSP70 with a peptide aptamer restores the anticancer immune response in a syngeneic mouse model of colon cancer [40]. Colon cancer cell-derived exosomes also exert immunosuppressive activity by promoting expansion of the regulatory T cell (T-reg CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>high</sup>Foxp3<sup>+</sup>) population through miR-208b's targeting of PDCD4 (programmed cell death factor 4) in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [63].

Likewise, modeling of the tumor microenvironment involves remote activity of EVs on protumoral immune cells. Exosomes derived from the mouse colon cancer CT-26 stem cells xenografted in syngeneic Balb/c mice reach the bone marrow, where the exosomal 5-triphosphate RNA cargo triggers pattern recognition response with bone marrow-derived neutrophils, and the release of IL-1 $\beta$  sustains their survival. These primed neutrophils are then recruited to the tumor site by the CXCL1 and CXCL2 cytokines secreted from cancer cells, and they enhance tumorigenesis via IL-1β [64].

Extracellular vesicles produced by platelets exert a dual role in CRC progression [65]. Platelets interact with cancer cells through cadherin-6, leading to the release of EVs expressing platelet markers, tumor markers, or both. On one hand, these microparticles recruit monocytes producing IFN-γ and IL-4, which are involved in the tumoricidal function of macrophages, via the chemoattractants RANTES/CCL5, MIF (macrophage migration inhibitory factor), CCL2 and CXCL12; thus, they suppress primary tumor growth. On the other hand, circulating microparticles activate endothelial cells and platelets, facilitate the interaction of cancer cells with the endothelium and induce EMT; thus, they promote metastasis [65].

#### *2.4. Microbiota-Derived EVs in Colorectal Carcinogenesis*

The extracellular vesicles generated by the microbiota also contribute to the control of colorectal carcinogenesis through the modulation of tissue integrity and immune response [66]. Accordingly, chronic intestinal inflammation is a significant risk factor for colon cancer development. For example, EVs derived from *Akkermansia muciniphila*, a gut commensal bacterium curtailing dextran sulfate sodium (DSS), induced colitis in mice [67]. This effect seems to be related to the maintenance of intestinal barrier integrity and decreased inflammation [68]. The outer membrane vesicles from *Bacteroides fragilis* produce a capsular polysaccharide, which induces regulatory T cells and mucosal tolerance that alleviates colitis in experimental models [69]. *Clostridium butyricum*-derived EVs improve the remission of murine colitis and polarization of macrophages to the M2 phenotype [70]. Similar observations were made with the lactic acid commensal bacterium *Pediococcus pentosaceus* [71]. In contrast, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*-derived extracellular vesicles promote the migration of the human colon cancer Caco-2 cells in vitro [72]. This oral anaerobic opportunistic pathogen is enriched in colon tumors, interacting with E-cadherins and Gal/GalNAc on cancer cell surfaces. Furthermore, colorectal cancer cells infected by this facultative intracellular bacterium release exosomes enriched in miR-1246/92b-3p/27a-3p and CXCL16/RhoA/IL-8 that drive uninfected recipient CRC cells towards a prometastatic phenotype [73]. More complex features were observed with the extracellular vesicles released from the human commensal gut bacteria *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* that affect not only host immune pathways in a cell type specific manner but also according to pathophysiological status (healthy individuals vs. patients with ulcerative colitis) [74].

#### *2.5. Depletion of Tumor-Suppressive ncRNAs in CRC Cells through Exosomes*

Besides their role in intercellular communication, EVs might also favor tumor growth by selectively sorting and exhausting tumor-suppressive cargo. MiR-193a is downregulated in colorectal tumors, but it accumulates in circulating exosomes of patients with colorectal cancer in a stage-dependent manner [75]. The major vault protein (MVP), a component of the multi-subunit ribonucleoprotein complex Vault, is required for the packaging of miR-193 into exosomes and for its reduced cytoplasmic accumulation. Downregulation of MVP is associated with an increased intracellular level of miR-193a that triggers cell cycle G1 arrest and impairs the growth of the human colon cancer SW620 in nude mice by targeting caprin-1 (cell cycle associated protein 1), an RNA binding protein that upregulates Ccnd2 and c-Myc [75]. Similarly, miR-8073 is present in exosomes and predominantly exported from human colon cancer HCT-116 cells compared to the control human colonic epithelial HCOEpiC cell line. An miR-8073 mimic selectively decreases the proliferation of various types of cancer cells, but it does not affect normal cells. This tumor-suppressive activity

might be related to the targeting of forkhead box protein M1 (FOXM1), methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 3 (MBD3), cyclin D1, kallikrein-10 (KLK10) and caspase-2 (CASP2) that are involved in cell proliferation, DNA methylation, cell cycle, carcinogenesis and apoptosis, respectively [76]. The downregulation of the tumor-suppressive circRHOBTB3 in CRC was also attributed to the excretion of this circular RNA (cirRNA) from cancer cells through exosomes [77]. This process involves sorting by the SNF8 subunit of ESCRT-II. The tumorsuppressive activity of circRHOBTB3 in CRC implies the regulation of metabolic pathways and intracellular reactive oxygen species levels as well as the binding of HuR (Hu-antigen R/ELAV-like protein 1), favoring ubiquitination and degradation of this RNA-binding protein and the downmodulation of the RNA splicing factor PTBP1 (polypyrimidine tractbinding protein 1) [77,78]. Interestingly, antisense oligonucleotides enabling intracellular accumulation of circRHOBTB3 inhibited the proliferation and invasiveness of colon cancer cells in vitro and in tumor growth in nude mice [77].

Mesenchymal stem cells



Table 2. Partial list of ncRNAs (miRNAs and lnCRNAs) in exosomes



Conditioned medium from











































NA: not applicable.

#### **3. Role of Extracellular Vesicles on Premetastatic Niche Formation**

The preferential ability of breast cancer to metastasize independently of anatomical considerations in brain, lung and bone led Stephen Paget in 1889 to put forward the seedand-soil hypothesis. This assertion proposes a critical role for the microenvironment of target organs in enabling the implantation and growth of cancer cells, and it is further supported, for instance, by the spread of lung metastases to the brain and the tropism of prostate metastases in bone. Chemokines secreted by cancer cells might shape microenvironments in target tissues, whereas remote tissues might release chemo-attractants, thus guiding metastasis [144]. More recently, the release of extracellular vesicles by cancer cells has provided new insights into Paget's assumption.

Regarding colorectal cancer, vascularization through the portal system as well as lower rectum drainage through the internal iliac vein might partly explain the preferential metastatic spread of colorectal cancer in liver tissue and the higher rate of lower rectum metastasis in lung tissue [145]. In this connection, phylogenetic analysis of lymph node and liver metastases of CRCs revealed that two-thirds of these metastases originate from independent subclones in the primary tumor. This suggests that liver metastases are preferentially seeded hematogenously [146]. Accordingly, colorectal cancer cells that disseminate through lymph nodes might reach the venous circulation through the left subclavian vein leading to the lung. The fact that lymph node status is an important prognostic factor in the staging of CRCs might reflect the overall propensity of some primary tumors to metastasize, with local dissemination being more efficient than distant implantation [146].

Nevertheless, CRC-derived EVs could exert a critical role in facilitating target tissue remodeling and premetastatic niche formation through the activation/reprogramming of fibroblasts, epithelial, immune and endothelial cells. Hoshino et al. reported that the proteomic signature of tumor exosomes allows a preferential uptake by selective remote cells, and their reprogramming and the formation of a premetastatic niche contributes to metastatic organ tropism [147]. The proteomic and biodistribution analyses of exosomes from cancer cell lines of different tissue origins revealed a critical role of exosomal integrins in addressing organ-specific colonization: exosomal integrins  $\alpha$ 6 $\beta$ 4 and  $\alpha$ 6 $\beta$ 1 were associated with lung metastasis, while exosomal integrin  $ανβ5$  was linked to liver metastasis [147].

#### *3.1. EV Protein Cargo in Premetastatic Niche Formation*

Profiling of exosomes from patients with colorectal cancers compared to healthy individuals with mass spectrometry analysis allowed the identification of 36 upregulated proteins and 22 downregulated proteins [148]. The upregulated proteins, including MMP9 (matrix metalloproteinase-9), ADAMTS13 (ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 13) and CRP (C-Reactive Protein), are known to be involved in extracellular matrix remodeling, vascular permeability and tumor-promoting inflammation. Interestingly, the downregulated proteins were IGF1 and members of the HSP family that favor CRC cell survival. This suggests the existence of not only exosomes with distinct protein contents acting as paracrine/autocrine factors to sustain cell survival and proliferation during the development of colorectal cancer, but also exosomes released into the circulation for establishment of the premetastatic niche [148]. In this connection, the exosomes of the human colon cancer SW620 cell line that originate from lymph node metastasis are enriched in S100A8, HGF receptor MET and signal transduction molecules (Ephrin-B2, EGFR, protein jagged-1, SRC) compared with the isogenic SW480 cell line derived from the corresponding primary tumor [149].

Other proteins proved to be enriched in exosomes and were thereby associated with human colorectal cancer metastasis. High levels of integrin beta-like 1 (ITGBL1) in the primary tumors and high expression in extracellular vesicles were linked with metastasis and decreased overall survival [45]. The ITGBL1 gene is overexpressed with other genes related to cell adhesion and metastasis (fibronectin 1, collagen, type VIII, alpha 2, matrix metalloprotein 9 and chemokine CXCL12) under the control of the RUNX2 transcription factor. Biodistribution analysis of ITGBL1-rich vesicles in mouse models revealed their

tropism for hepatic stellate cells as well as for myofibroblasts and macrophages in liver and lung tissue but not for endothelial cells. Furthermore, these vesicles enhanced the growth of liver and lung metastases in experimental mouse models. Exosomal ITGBL1 facilitates premetastatic niche formation by interacting with tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3) in fibroblasts and stellate cells, leading to the stimulation of the NF-κB signaling pathway. The corresponding activated fibroblasts release the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8, which promote stemness, aggressiveness and EMT of the human colon cancer HCT116 cell line in vivo and in vitro [45]. Interestingly, the traditional Chinese herbal JianPi JieDu recipe downregulates ITGBL1 in vesicles released by the human colon cancer LoVo cell line, impeding fibroblast activation in vitro and in vivo in experimental metastasis [150]. Lymph node metastasis in patients with colorectal cancer is associated with enriched exosomal IRF-2 (interferon regulatory factor 2) in serum [44]. Using the mouse colon carcinoma CT-26 cell line, Sun et al. demonstrated that the engulfment of IRF2-rich vesicles by macrophages induces VEGF-C release, triggering lymphangiogenesis and lymph node metastasis [44]. Exosomes produced by the human colon cancer HCT116, SW620, HT29 and SW480 cell lines contain high levels of the nucleolar protein HSPC111 (nucleolar protein 16). The uptake of HSPC111 by hepatic stellate cells causes their reprogramming into cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and the expression and secretion of CCL5, which further sustains exosomal HSPC111 excretion from cancer cells; thus, it creates a positive feedback loop and triggers EMT of colon cancer cells in vitro and experimental metastasis in vivo. Mechanistically, HSPC111 interacts with ATP citrate lyase (ACLY), which leads to increased acetyl-CoA levels, enhanced histone H3 acetylation and epigenetic regulation of gene transcription [41]. In line with these experimental observations, HSPC111 levels was found to be higher in serum exosomes from patients with metastatic colorectal cancer compared with patients with nonmetastatic CRC and with healthy individuals. The human antigen R (HuR) is overexpressed in colorectal cancer, and it is associated with lung metastasis and poor survival [42]. HuR vesicles might initiate the remodeling of bronchial epithelium, facilitating colon cancer implantation [42]. This RNA binding protein stabilizes tumor-promoting mRNAs by binding to  $3'UTR$  U-rich elements. HuR-containing exosomes derived from colon cancer cells are up-taken by the human nontumorigenic lung epithelial BEAS-2B cells, which promote their migration and proliferation through the stabilization c-MYC transcripts and a decreased accumulation of the CDK inhibitor p21. A role of CAFs in HUR induction in CRC cells was recently established using SW480 and HCT-116 cell lines. Extracellular vesicles from CAFs but not from normal fibroblasts are enriched in the SNHG3 lcnRNA. This lnCRNA sponges miR-34b-5p, which depletes HUR transcripts. Besides the potential involvement of HUR in lung metastasis described previously, the increased HuR accumulation stabilizes the HOXC6 mRNAs enhancing CRC cell proliferation [128].

On the other hand, Angiopoietin-like protein 1 (ANGPTL1), which is known to exert metastatic suppressor activity in several cancers, is downregulated in vesicles derived from human colorectal cancers. In experimental mouse models, exosomes containing ANGPTL1 protein curtail liver metastasis and impede vascular leakiness in the liver premetastatic niche. The uptake of ANGPTL1 by Kupffer cells inhibits the JAK2-STAT3 signaling pathway, leading to decreased MMP9 expression, which prevents liver vascular permeability [38].

#### *3.2. EV ncRNA Cargo in Premetastatic Niche Formation*

Besides proteome, ncRNAs delivered by exosomes also contribute by modifying the microenvironments of target tissues, performing premetastatic niche priming and facilitating colonization by circulating cancer cells (Table 2). The relative accumulation of miR-181a-5p in serum EVs was markedly higher in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer compared to patients with tumors at stage I–II [104]. Similarly, the highly metastatic human colon cancer SW620 and RKO cell lines released more miR-181a-5p-rich extracellular vesicles compared to the poorly metastatic HT29 and SW480 cells. This enrichment is favored by the FUS RNA binding protein that mediates packaging of miR-181a-5p into

extracellular vesicles [104]. The uptake of miR-181a-5p activates hepatic stellate cells by depleting SOCS3 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 3) transcripts, triggering the inflammatory IL-6/STAT3 signaling pathway. In vitro and in vivo experiments revealed that activated hepatic stellate cells shape liver premetastatic niches by remodeling ECM through increased expression of  $\alpha$ -smooth actin and fibronectin and reduced expression of vitronectin and tenascin C. Furthermore, these cells secrete the chemokine CCL20, which acts as a chemoattractant for colorectal cancer cells overexpressing the CCL20 receptor CCR6, and it induces a ERK1/2/Elk-1/miR-181a-5p positive feedback loop [104].

Exosomal miRNA from colorectal cancer cells could also promote premetastatic niche formation by inducing M2 macrophage polarization (Table 2). MiR-934 was identified as a highly abundant miRNA in metastatic colorectal cancer, and high miR-934 expression in serum exosomes was correlated with liver metastases [116]. MiR-934 is packaged in EVs with the hnRNPA2B1 RNA-binding protein, and it causes M2 macrophage polarization in vitro by exhausting PTEN transcripts [116], resulting in PI3K/AKT signaling pathway activation [151,152]. Exosomal miR-934 triggers the secretion of CXCL13 with M2 macrophages and Kupffer cells, which enhances the migration and invasiveness of the human colon cancer SW480 and RKO cells as well as metastasis in nude mice. Moreover, CXCL13 activates the NFκB pathway in CRC cells and upregulates MMP2, MMP9 and miR-934 [116]. Similarly, miR-203 in serum exosomes from patients with colorectal cancer promotes monocyte differentiation towards M2 macrophage phenotypes [105]. High expression of exosomal miR-203 is associated with liver metastasis and poor prognoses. In experimental mouse models of liver metastasis, overexpression of miR-203 in RKO colon cancer cells facilitates tumor implantation, which is further potentiated by coinjection of THP1 monocytes. This suggests that miR-203-induced monocyte differentiation to M2 tumor-associated macrophages favors the formation of premetastatic niches. In contrast, miR-203 does not affect cancer cell proliferation, invasiveness or migration in vitro [105]. MiR-106-5p cargo in CRC EVs depletes PDCD4 (Programmed Cell Death 4), leading to the stimulation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and M2 macrophage-like polarization. In turn, these M2 macrophages trigger the EMT of colon cancer cells, favoring intravasation and lung and liver metastases in experimental mouse models [97]. The activation of colorectal cancer cells by CXCL12 might contribute to the metastatic process through two pathways involving the crosstalk with fibroblasts and macrophages. CXCL12-activated CRC cells recruit macrophages to the invasive front of the tumor, and they induce their M2 polarization by transferring via exosomes a panel of miRNAs (including miR-25-3p, miR-130b-3p and miR-425-5p) that deplete PTEN transcripts and activate STAT6. In turn, these M2 macrophages release VEGF, IL-10 and IL-4, which promote the EMT of cancer cells as well as angiogenesis and liver metastasis [88]. On the other hand, the uptake of miR-146a-5p and miR-155-5p from CRC cell-derived exosomes activates CAFs through JAK2-STAT3/NF-κB signaling by targeting ZBTB2 (zinc finger and BTB domain containing 2) and SOCS1 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 1), respectively. Reciprocally, the subsequent release of inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α, TGFβ and CXCL12) from CAFs causes EMT and a pro-metastatic switch of CRC cells, and it also facilitates tumor formation and lung metastasis [100]. High levels of exosomal miR-221/222 in the serum of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer are associated with poor overall survival. These miRNAs exhaust the transcripts of SPINT1, a serine protease inhibitor for HGF activator, which leads to HGF activation in liver stromal cells and liver microenvironment remodeling [109]. Furthermore, activated HGF might support the spread of metastatic colorectal cancer cells because they overexpress the HGF receptor MET [153].

Colorectal cancer-derived exosomes also drive premetastatic niche formation through the activation of endothelial cells. The uptake of exosomal miR-25-3p in endothelial cells stimulates vascular permeability and angiogenesis by targeting KLF2 (Krüppel-like factor 2) and KLF4 (Krüppel-like factor 4) transcription factors. KLF2 and KLF4 silencing downregulates ZO-1, occludin and claudin-5 expression and increases VEGFR2 expression. The premetastatic niche formation as a result of exosomal miR-25-3p-mediated vascular

leakiness was validated in an experimental model of metastasis, and it is consistent with the upregulation of miR-25-3p associated with metastatic human CRCs [87].

#### *3.3. Stroma-Derived EVs in Premetastatic Niche Formation*

Tumor stroma-derived extracellular vesicles also exert a critical role in the metastatic process. MiR-21 accumulation in CAF EVs is correlated with CRC progression, especially with liver metastasis. In an experimental mouse model, the cointracecal injection of fibroblasts overexpressing miR-21 with the human colon cancer SW620 cells results in a greater number and size of liver metastatic tumors, highlighting the significance of CAFderived exosomes in the metastatic cascade [82]. It should be noted that miR-21 targets the transcripts encoding the PTEN and PDCD4 tumor suppressors. Interestingly, miR-21-enriched extracellular vesicles also proved to sustain liver inflammatory premetastatic niches through macrophage polarization with a noncanonical miRNA mechanism involving miR-21 binding and activation of TLR7, leading to the release of IL-6 [84].

#### **4. Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis and Follow-Up**

Liquid biopsies are less invasive than tissue biopsies and enable the safe and serial collection of samples for diagnostic purposes or for patient follow-up at an affordable cost. In this concern, there is a growing interest in EVs from biological fluids as potential cancer biomarkers. Urinary extracellular vesicles may constitute suitable biomarkers for renal, bladder and prostate cancers [154]; salivary extracellular vesicles might allow detection and following of head, neck and esophageal carcinomas [155,156], whereas blood-derived EVs might be accurate for the early diagnosis, prognosis and prediction of therapy responses for many types of cancers, including breast, lung, liver stomach, brain, cervix and ovarian cancers [157–164]. Accordingly, the molecular composition of extracellular vesicles mirrors the functional status and activity of the parental cells which produced them, but it is also rich in specific biomolecules related to cellular transformation, allowing consideration of their use in the noninvasive diagnosis and follow-up of a wide range of tumors, including colorectal cancer.

#### *4.1. EV Lipid Cargo as Biomarker for the Diagnosis and Prognosis of CRC*

So far, lipidome analysis of plasma extracellular vesicles does not provide a clear biomarker for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer. A decrease in fatty acids in saturation and a shift of 34:1 phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylinositol (PI) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) for healthy individuals to 38:4 species for people with colonic lesions was shown; the ratio of these species shows diagnostic potential [165]. Nevertheless, Elmallah et al. identified an increased accumulation of PC 34:1 species in serum EVs from patients with nonmetastatic colorectal cancer compared to patients with metastases and healthy individuals [166].

#### *4.2. EV Protein Cargo as Biomarker for the Diagnostic and Prognostic of CRC*

Regarding proteome, mass spectrometry analysis of extracellular vesicles has enabled potential biomarkers of CRC to be found. A proof of concept of the ability of EVs to identify individuals with cancer and to establish its tissue origin was recently performed using a proteomic approach coupled with machine learning [167]. Proteome profiling was performed on extracellular vesicles isolated from the tissues and plasma of 497 normal and cancer samples and characterized as Exo S, Exo L and exomeres. This analysis allowed prediction of their discriminatory value with a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 92% to distinguish between individuals with and those without cancer. Furthermore, the profiling of tissue-derived extracellular vesicles enabled discrimination of melanoma, colorectal, pancreatic and lung cancers [167]. In a study encompassing 100 individuals equally distributed as healthy individuals, patients with early/late adenomas and patients with adenocarcinomas from stage-I to stage-IV, liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry of serum extracellular vesicles identified six proteins, GCLM (involved in

glutathione synthesis), KEL (endopeptidase), APOF (apolipoprotein F), CFB (complement factor B), PDE5A (cGMP-specific phosphodiesterase) and ATIC (purine biosynthetic pathway), that distinguished healthy control, early neoplasia and advanced neoplasia patients from each other [168].

Other studies reported higher levels of EVs containing glycosylated fibrinogen beta chain (FGB) and beta-2-glycoprotein 1 (β2-GP1) in plasma from patients with colorectal cancer compared to a control group. Furthermore, these markers achieved higher sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of CRC compared with carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), and thus, they might constitute biomarkers for diagnosing patients with early-stage CRC [169]. Similarly, based on data mining of candidate proteins and proteome analysis of EVs isolated from sera of patients with colorectal cancer and healthy individuals, Shiromizu et al. demonstrated that annexins A3, A4 and A11 extracellular vesicle-derived peptides detect stage II CRC with a greater sensitivity than CEA [170]. The level of EVs containing SPARC (extracellular matrix) and LRG1 (cell signaling) are higher in the serum from patients with stage III colon cancer than in the serum from healthy control individuals, and they were predictive of disease recurrence. Interestingly, the increased accumulation of SPARC and LGR1 in EVs seems to be relatively selective of colon cancer because it was not observed in patients with gastric, thyroid or cervix cancer [171]. Importantly, SPARC was previously reported to be ectopically expressed in the stroma of digestive tumors but not by cancer cells themselves [172,173]. Of note, a decrease of QSOX1 (Quiescin Sulfhydryl Oxidase 1) containing EVs originating from CAFs was found in the sera of patients with CRC [174].

After the purification of extracellular vesicles from plasma and a data-independent acquisition mass spectrometry (DIA-MS) analysis of the samples, Xi Zheng et al. found that phosphorylated fibronectin 1, haptoglobin, calgranulin-B and fibrinogen  $\alpha$  chain were significantly associated with cancer progression from healthy individuals to patients with colonic adenoma and adenocarcinoma, with fibrinogen  $\alpha$  chain being the most distinguishing biomarker [175].

Analysis of EVs from stage II/III CRCs and adjacent tissues revealed poorer immunity and chronic inflammatory responses in the group of patients who relapsed, and it identified HLA-DPA1 (HLA class II histocompatibility antigen, DP alpha 1 chain), S100P (protein S100- P), NUP205 (Nuclear pore complex protein Nup205) and PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen) expression in adjacent tissue as associated with the risk of disease recurrence [176].

Extracellular vesicles might also allow prediction of the clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Accordingly, elevated blood concentrations of total extracellular vesicles and CD133+ (transmembrane protein expressed in stem cells) EVs before treatment are correlated with shorter overall survival of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Furthermore, higher CD133+ EV concentrations are associated with a lower overall response rate to first-line systemic therapy, and high concentrations might serve as biomarkers to improve risk stratification and to optimize treatment strategies in metastatic cancer [177]. Chemokine ligand 7 (CXCL7)-enriched EVs are linked with metastatic CRCs. The level of CXCL7 EVs was found as a biomarker of early response in patients with liver metastasis receiving systemic chemotherapy that dropped down after secondary tumor resection, suggesting metastatic lesions as a major source of these EVs [178].

Novel label-free approaches allowing the quantification and characterization of EVs have demonstrated their potential to improve EV-based diagnosis [179–181]. These include atomic force microscopy (AFM) and localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). AFM is a surface imaging technique based on a sharp tip mounted on a cantilever that scans samples with nanometer resolution; thus, it allows not only analysis of the structure and morphology of EVs, but also the targeting of surface markers using an antibody-coated AFM tip. LSPR relies on changes in the refractive index in the vicinity of nanoparticles from surface plasmons excited with an incident light beam. The binding of a biomolecular target of interest to a bioreceptor on the nanoparticle surface perturbs the local dielectric environment and leads to a shift of the LSPR peak to a higher wavelength transmission peak that can be monitored with photo-spectrometry. This highly sensitive technique is accurate enough to detect single molecular interactions, including antigen–antibody interactions. Both approaches have allowed successful identification of a high-sensitivity exosomal MCT1 (Monocarboxylate transporter 1) and CD147 (cluster of differentiation 147, basigin) in an experimental mouse model of glioblastoma [182].

#### *4.3. EV Nucleic Acid Cargo as Biomarker for the Diagnostic and Prognostic of CRC*

Analysis of ncRNA cargo in EVs allows an easy and convenient approach for the diagnosis and follow-up of colorectal cancer (Table 2). This includes the cargo of mRNAs, miRNAs that exhaust selectively target mRNAs and lcnRNAs (including circRNAs) that act by sponging miRNAs or by regulating transcription through epigenetic modulation or interaction with transcription factors [183]. Extracellular vesicle miRome analysis, using the small RNA sequencing of blood samples of multi-stage and longitudinal cohorts, identified EV-miR-320c as a biomarker of metastatic colorectal cancer [111]. High-throughput RNA sequencing of colorectal cancers matched with corresponding control tissue showed the downregulation of circLPAR1, a circRNA generated from circularization of exons 3 and 4 of the lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPAR1) transcript. Interestingly, the plasma level of exosomal circLPAR1 was significantly decreased in patients with colorectal tumors in a stage dependent manner (polyps vs. adenocarcinomas) and significantly raised after colorectal tumor resection. Mechanistically, exosomal circLPAR1 is up-taken by colorectal cancer cells and binds eIF3h, leading to decreased accumulation of BRD4 (bromodomain containing 4), to inhibition of cell proliferation and to invasiveness. This circRNA might constitute a reliable selective biomarker for the diagnosis of CRC (expression pattern distinct from other types of cancers), for patient follow-up and as a putative therapeutic approach because it reverts some cancer cell phenotypes [138]. One interesting point that is not addressed in the study concerns the source of exosomal circLPAR1 under physiological conditions and the mechanisms related to this downregulation in patients with cancers (local decrease in tumor, in healthy tissue or a systemic decrease). Interestingly, using isogeneic colon cancer cell lines, Dou et al. reported that circRNAs are more abundant in exosomes than in cancer cells themselves, and they also reported that activated KRas downregulated circRNA accumulation [184].

Analysis of circulating DNA in exosomes further allows delineation of some specific cancer cell mutations more efficiently than cell-free DNA. The protection provided by EVs against DNA shearing and degradation sensitizes mutation detection. This is of peculiar importance for precision medicine to delineate patients eligible for such therapy, e.g., wildtype RAS for EGFR inhibitor therapeutic strategies [185]. This is especially valuable for when tissue biopsy in metastatic sites is impossible and for following potential changes in the mutation status of subgroups of cancer cells in the course of treatment.

#### **5. Extracellular Vesicles and Colorectal Cancer Cells' Response to Conventional and Targeted Therapies**

The selection of colon cancer sublines resistant to oxaliplatin (L-OHP) has allowed identification of a series of ncRNAs that confer resistance and can alleviate sensitivity in the parental cell lines. These include miR-31-5p, which depletes LATS2 (large tumor suppressor kinase 2), miR- 46146, which exhausts PDCD10 (implicated in apoptosis) and ciRS-122, which quenches miR-122 and, thus, causes pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) accumulation, glycolysis and drug resistance [143,186,187]. Based on integrative bioinformatics analysis, the lnCRNAs H19, UCA1 and HOTAIR are also involved in oxaliplatin resistance [188]. MiR-92a-3p and the lncRNA CCAL, carried by exosomes from CAFs, abrogate the CRC cell response to oxaliplatin and to the antimetabolite 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). MiR-92a-3p targets FBXW7 and MOAP1, leading to the activation of the Wnt signaling pathway and the inhibition of mitochondrial apoptosis, respectively, whereas CCAL activates Wnt signaling through interaction with the RNA binding protein HuR and the stabilization of β-catenin transcripts [93,120]. The exosomal circN4BP2L2 released by CAFs promotes the

stemness and chemoresistance of Lovo cells to oxaliplatin. This circRNA interacts with and upregulates the translation initiation factor EIF4A3 and stimulates the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway [140]. The exosomal miR-210 secreted by adherent colon cancer HCT-8 cells impairs the mesenchymal–epithelial transition of the subpopulations of these cells that underwent EMT and growth in suspension, and it promotes resistance to oxaliplatin combined with 5-FU [107]. The underlying mechanisms have not yet been investigated.

Other indirect mechanisms of resistance to oxaliplatin were attributed to CRC cellderived exosomal miR-208b through the expansion of immunosuppressive T-Reg cells [63].

The protein cargo contained in EVs also contributes to resistance to oxaliplatin. The heat shock DNAJB8 (DnaJ homolog subfamily B member 8) protein is overexpressed in sublines of the colon cancer SW-480 and SW-620 cells resistant to oxaliplatin and confers resistance to the parental sensitive cells. Mechanistically, DNAJB8 interacts and inhibits the ubiquitination and degradation of P53 and upregulates the drug efflux pump MDR1 (multidrug resistance protein 1). Importantly, DNAJB8 levels in sera from patients with CRC are higher than in sera from healthy individuals, and they decreased after tumor resection. DNAJB8 might constitute a biomarker for the response to oxaliplatin chemotherapy [39]. The exosomal Wnt3a protein from CAFs induces reprograming in vitro and in vivo of CRC cells to a cancer stem cell phenotype, providing resistance to oxaliplatin and 5-FU [49].

Regarding 5-FU, the circular RNA circ\_0000338 enables the transfer of the chemoresistance of colorectal cancer cells by quenching miR-217 and miR-485-3p [134]. The targets of these miRNAs that are related to 5-FU sensitivity are not yet characterized. Exosomes from the 5-FU-resistant colon cancer HCT8FU cell line contains a high level of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1), a key enzyme involved in glucose metabolism, and imparts 5-FU resistance to sensitive cells by increasing intracellular levels of NADPH [43]. A similar approach using colon cancer RKO cells identified p-Stat3 as cargo involved in resistance against 5-FU [48]. MiR-21 carried in CAF exosomes was also shown to protect CRC cells against 5-FU. Among the known targets of this miRNA (PDCD4, TPM1 and PTEN), PDCD4 seems to be involved in this protective effect [83].

An indirect mechanism of resistance to SN38, the active metabolite of irinotecan was also evidenced. Active p-ERK and p-AKT proteins in CRC-derived exosomes stimulate hepatic stellate cells to secrete IL6. In turn, IL6 enhances lactate metabolism of hypoxic tumor cells through the STAT3 pathway and upregulation of downstream MCT1 and LDHB, leading to resistance to SN38 [47].

Regarding radiotherapy, the exosomal circ\_IFT80 released by colon cancer cells sponges miR-296-5p, causing accumulation of the RNA binding protein musashi-1 as well as radioresistance [137]. Similarly, miR-19b triggers radioresistance and stemness in vitro and in vivo by downregulating FBXW7, a component of the SCF ubiquitin protein ligase complex, and thus, it activates the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [81]. Exosomes derived from CAFs also support CRC resistance to radiotherapy. This process has been attributed to the transfer of miR-93-5p that targets FOXA1 and leads to upregulation of TGFβ [94], whereas miR-590-3p exhausts CLCA4, resulting in the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathways [115].

Extracellular vesicles were also associated with impaired responses to targeted therapies. Circulating lncRNA UCA1-containing exosomes in CRC patients can predict the clinical outcome of the cetuximab anti-EGFR treatment. Furthermore, this lncRNA, which is released by cancer cells, provides resistance to sensitive cells [129]. This process might be related to the sequestration of miR-495, which depletes the receptor tyrosine kinase MET and its ligand, HGF [130]. Similarly, exosomes derived from the cetuximab-resistant RKO cells confer resistance to cetuximab-sensitive Caco-2 cells by downregulating the tumor suppressor PTEN, resulting in the downstream activation of the AKT signaling pathway [189].

#### **6. Exosomes for Colorectal Cancer Nanotherapy**

Emerging therapeutic strategies using nanomedical approaches aim to enhance drug bioavailability, circumvent the multi-drug resistance of cancer cells and decrease adverse effects and dose-limiting toxicities [21,190]. In this regard, the potential use of engineered exosomes is attracting increasing attention. The intrinsic biocompatibility of exosomes, their stability in blood circulation, their tiny size that enables deep tissue penetration, the stealth and protection they provide to their encapsulated material and their ability to cross plasma membranes make them suitable candidate nanocarriers of therapeutic agents. Alternatively, they could also be used to boost immune response as a cancer vaccine.

Nevertheless, different challenges are to be tackled before exosomes can be used for cancer treatment. These include the source of these exosomes, their production and purification, the loading of bioactive agents, selective cell targeting and long-term storage. High-scale production could be achieved using cell cultures, and alternative sources, including plants, fruits and bovine milk, are also under investigation. The concentration and purification of exosomes can be performed with differential or density gradient centrifugations, nonspecific precipitation using polyethylene glycol, ultrafiltration, chromatography or affinity purification [191–193]. These technical approaches suffer distinct drawbacks, e.g., low scale, high time consumption and low purity of exosomes for ultracentrifugation, the latter requiring further purification to obtain clinical-grade exosomes. Extended characterization and quality control are prerequisite for the therapeutic use of manufactured exosomes.

Special attention was devoted to optimizing exosome loading with bioactive agents. These include (i) the isolation of exosome from cell lines treated with a chemotherapeutic agent, (ii) the use of expression vectors allowing overexpression and packaging of nucleic acids, native or chimeric proteins and iii) the loading of purified exosomes using mechanical approaches including calcium phosphate precipitation, electroporation, lipofection, sonication, freeze and thaw cycles and chemical modification [191,193–196]. Further engineering improvements concern modification of exosome surfaces to favor cell type-selective targeting [194]. The pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and bioavailability of these engineered exosomes will require deeper investigation, and care should also be taken concerning the risk of coisolated endogenous viruses or contamination with pathogens [191,197].

Nevertheless, the efficiency of therapeutic exosomes was successfully assessed in preclinical studies and clinical trials. Concerning colorectal cancers, a series of studies provided the proof of concept for the imaging and delivery of chemotherapeutic agents and/or transfer of miRNAs promoting chemosensitivity.

#### *6.1. Preclinical Studies*

Several reports have demonstrated the antitumor activity of encapsulated ncRNAs in exosomes. Exosomal transfer of miR-1915-3p impairs the EMT of colon cancer cells and improves their sensitivity to oxaliplatin by suppressing the EMT-promoting oncogenes PFKFB3 and USP2 [198]. Similarly, delivery of miR-204-5p decreases proliferation, induces apoptosis and enhances the response to 5-FU of LoVo and HCT116 colon cancer cells in vitro and in vivo by targeting RAB22A and Bcl2 [106]. The circRNA F-box and WD repeat domain containing 7 (circ-FBXW7) is decreased in CRC and resistant to oxaliplatin treatment. The delivery of circ-FBXW7 to SW-480 and HCT-116 cell derivatives resistant to oxaliplatin restores cancer cells' sensitivity both in vitro and in experimental mouse models through sequestration of miR-18b-5p [136]. MiR-34a-loaded tumor exosomes originating from mouse colon CT-26 cancer cells reduce the growth of CT-26 tumors in Balb/c mice not only by acting on cancer cells themselves, but also by inducing T cell polarization toward CD8+ T subsets among tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes [90,91]. Attempts to prime dendritic cells ex vivo using miR-155-enriched exosomes successfully and markedly curtailed tumor growth of colorectal cancer CT-26 cells in mice [103]. Nevertheless, it should be stressed that this miRNA encapsulated in exosomes from M2 macrophages and colon cancer cells activates CAFs and increases colon cancer cell growth both in vitro and in vivo [60,100].

The selective conveying of doxorubicin to colon cancer cells was approached by decorating doxorubicin-loaded mesenchymal stem cell exosomes with MUC1 aptamer. These exosomes markedly decreased CT-26 colon cancer growth and prolonged mouse survival without apparent organ toxicity [199]. The use of nucleolin aptamer provided comparable

results [200]. A similar selective targeting method using doxorubicin encapsulated in tumor-derived exosomes from the human colorectal carcinoma LIM1215 cell line covered with antibodies directed against A-33, a cell surface glycoprotein overexpressed on colorectal cancer cells, also produced promising results [201]. Milk extracellular vesicles loaded with oxaliplatin and conjugated with GE11 peptide to target cells expressing EGFR were efficiently delivered, and they triggered apoptosis in vitro of the human cecum cancer SNU-C5 cells and markedly affected the growth of these cancer cells as xenografted in nude mice [202]. The engineering of tumor exosomes derived from the human colon cancer HCT-116 cell line and loaded with 99mTc and Cy7 probes was demonstrated in an experimental mouse model. Their higher uptake by cancer cells compared to exosomes produced by adipose stem cells gained interest in such a strategy for SPECT/NIRF tumor imaging [203]. Macrophage M1-derived extracellular vesicles loaded with the photosensitizer zinc phthalocyanine enhance the efficiency of photodynamic therapy compared to M2, melanoma or milk -derived EVs through the modulation of immune response in MC38 tumor-bearing mice [204].

Exosomes enable the combined delivery of chemotherapeutic agents and ncRNAs. The lncRNA PGM5-AS1 is downregulated in colorectal cancer compared to control mucosa, and this downregulation is associated with oxaliplatin resistance. PGM5-AS1 acts as an hs-miR-423-5p sponge, leading to the upregulation of the nucleoside diphosphate kinase NME1. Exosomes derived from HEK-293 cells overexpressing PGM5-AS1 and loaded with oxaliplatin reverse colon cancer cells' resistance to oxaliplatin in vitro and in vivo after subcutaneous xenografts in nude mice [119]. Similarly, the codelivery of exosomes by exosome electroporation encapsulating the antimetabolite 5-FU and an inhibitor of miR-21, which is known to target the tumor suppressors PTEN and hMSH2, efficiently inhibits the growth in vitro and in vivo of a subline of the human colon cancer HCT116 resistant to 5-FU [205].

Kwon et al. developed exosome-based hybrid nanostructures by decorating exosome surfaces derived from human colon cancer HT-29 cells with both folic acid (FA) as a tumortargeting ligand, taking advantage of FA receptor overexpression on cancer cells, and magnetic nanoparticles coupled to EpCam for a hyperthermia therapy using alternating magnetic fields [206]. These engineered exosomes were further loaded with doxorubicin. This combined chemotherapy/hyperthermia therapy efficiently impaired the tumor growth of HT-29 cells xenografted in nude mice without apparent toxicity on mouse organs [206].

Combined strategies of diagnosis by imaging and therapy, termed theranostic, are also under investigation. Exosomes produced by the human colorectal cancer HCT116 cells loaded with doxorubicin as therapeutic agents and 68Ga-L-NETA-DBCO allowing PET imaging were successfully delivered in orthotopic xenografts of colon cancer cells in mice [207].

#### *6.2. Clinical Trials*

Phase I clinical trials using engineered exosomes are under way (Table 3). The high affinity of hydrophobic drugs with exosomes derived from many fruits allows circumventing the major obstacles of their use in clinic, which are due to their poor stability, solubility and bioavailability. In this context, a phase I clinical trial of curcumin conjugated with plant exosomes administrated as a dietary supplement to patients with colorectal cancer prior to tumor resection is ongoing. Curcumin, the main component of curry, exhibits antitumor activity on colon cancer cells in vitro, in experimental mouse models and in patients with colorectal cancers [208]. The deliverables of this trial concern the impact of exosomally delivered curcumin on immune modulation, cellular metabolism and the phospholipid profiles of normal and malignant colon cells.

Another ongoing phase I trial being performed on patients with metastatic colorectal cancers involves exosomes loaded with a synthetic lipid-tagged Stat6 antisense oligonucleotide: exoASO-STAT6 (CDK-004) (Table 3). Accordingly, a preclinical study performed on syngeneic mouse models of colorectal cancer demonstrated that this monotherapy

decreases tumor growth by more than 90% and results in 60% complete remission. These exosomes, which are produced by human kidney embryonic HEK293 cells overexpressing prostaglandin F2 receptor negative regulator (PTGFRN), proved to have a tropism for tumor-associated macrophages. The delivery of Stat6 antisense oligonucleotides to these M2 immune-suppressive macrophages triggers their reprogramming towards the proinflammatory M1 phenotype, resulting in remodeling of the tumor microenvironment and generation of a CD8 T cell–mediated adaptive immune response [209].



**Table 3.** Clinical trial concerning investigations on extracellular vesicles for the diagnosis, prognosis or treatment of colorectal cancer.



#### **7. Conclusions**

The crosstalk between cancer cells, stromal cells, immune cells and distant target tissues as well as the molecular actor diversity illustrate the complexity of the spatiotemporal events leading to cancer progression and the metastatic cascade, and they highlight the difficult challenge of their analysis. Extracellular vesicles open up great prospects not only in the holistic characterization of these intercellular communications but also in their interest for the early and noninvasive detection of colorectal cancer, for better follow-up and improved patient care and for their potential use as therapeutic vectors. Databases compiling the cargo identified in EVs along with their cellular origins (e.g., EVAtlas, <http://bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn/EVAtlas/#/> (accessed on 16 December 2022) for ncRNA profiles in EVs from different tissues and biological fluids; Vesiclepedia, <http://www.microvesicles.org/> (accessed on 16 December 2022) for proteins, RNA and lipids, last updated 2018) constitute powerful tools to delineate the specificity of novel potential biomarkers. Nevertheless, although many studies report the identification of promising biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of colorectal cancers, none of them have been so far validated for translation to the clinic. This gap might be connected to different factors, including the redundancy of some players (such as ncRNAs), the diversity of experimental models, the higher level of complexity of the EV repertoire from tumor tissue compared to cultured cells, the cohort sizes, the disease stage, tumor heterogeneity and the EV isolation, purification and storage methodologies discussed above, but also, more importantly, the technical approaches that can be implemented in routine clinical practice. The development of integrated microfluidic technologies integrating biosensors and allowing high-throughput and high-sensitivity detection of specific biomarkers, including protein and nucleic acids from human blood without purification steps, should enable efficient and noninvasive diagnoses and follow-ups for patients with CRCs at an affordable cost [210–215]. As far as EVs are concerned for CRC treatment, besides their potential use as theranostic vector, it is also conceivable to develop strategies to counteract their oncogenic activity by inhibiting their release, by targeting the machineries involved in cargo sorting or by acting on EV cargo themselves.

Further studies are required for a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the selective cargo packaging, how EVs orchestrate intercellular communications, and how these go awry in cancer. This opens up the promise of not only earlier and better diagnoses of CRCs but also some avenues for novel therapeutic strategies.

**Author Contributions:** E.C. and L.K. conceived the study, analyzed the relevant literature, wrote the manuscript and produced the illustrations. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the French Minister of Higher Education and Research, INSERM and Sorbonne Université.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- 1. Théry, C.; Witwer, K.W.; Aikawa, E.; Alcaraz, M.J.; Anderson, J.D.; Andriantsitohaina, R.; Antoniou, A.; Arab, T.; Archer, F.; Atkin-Smith, G.K.; et al. Minimal Information for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): A Position Statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and Update of the MISEV2014 Guidelines. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2018**, *8*, 1535750. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750)
- 2. Witwer, K.W.; Goberdhan, D.C.; O'Driscoll, L.; Théry, C.; Welsh, J.A.; Blenkiron, C.; Buzás, E.I.; Vizio, D.D.; Erdbrügger, U.; Falcón-Pérez, J.M.; et al. Updating MISEV: Evolving the Minimal Requirements for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2021**, *10*, e12182. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12182) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34953156)
- 3. Coumans, F.A.W.; Brisson, A.R.; Buzas, E.I.; Dignat-George, F.; Drees, E.E.E.; El-Andaloussi, S.; Emanueli, C.; Gasecka, A.; Hendrix, A.; Hill, A.F.; et al. Methodological Guidelines to Study Extracellular Vesicles. *Circ. Res.* **2017**, *120*, 1632–1648. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1161/circresaha.117.309417) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28495994)
- 4. Cocucci, E.; Meldolesi, J. Ectosomes and Exosomes: Shedding the Confusion between Extracellular Vesicles. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2015**, *25*, 364–372. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.01.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25683921)
- 5. Anand, S.; Samuel, M.; Kumar, S.; Mathivanan, S. Ticket to a Bubble Ride: Cargo Sorting into Exosomes and Extracellular Vesicles. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Proteins Proteom.* **2019**, *1867*, 140203. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2019.02.005)
- 6. Juan, T.; Fürthauer, M. Biogenesis and Function of ESCRT-Dependent Extracellular Vesicles. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2018**, *74*, 66–77. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.08.022) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28807885)
- 7. Teng, F.; Fussenegger, M. Shedding Light on Extracellular Vesicle Biogenesis and Bioengineering. *Adv. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 2003505. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202003505) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33437589)
- 8. Xie, S.; Zhang, Q.; Jiang, L. Current Knowledge on Exosome Biogenesis, Cargo-Sorting Mechanism and Therapeutic Implications. *Membranes* **2022**, *12*, 498. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/membranes12050498) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35629824)
- 9. Battistelli, M.; Falcieri, E. Apoptotic Bodies: Particular Extracellular Vesicles Involved in Intercellular Communication. *Biology* **2020**, *9*, 21. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/biology9010021) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31968627)
- 10. Zhang, H.; Freitas, D.; Kim, H.S.; Fabijanic, K.; Li, Z.; Chen, H.; Mark, M.T.; Molina, H.; Martin, A.B.; Bojmar, L.; et al. Identification of Distinct Nanoparticles and Subsets of Extracellular Vesicles by Asymmetric Flow Field-Flow Fractionation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *20*, 332–343. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0040-4) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29459780)
- 11. Jeppesen, D.K.; Fenix, A.M.; Franklin, J.L.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Zhang, Q.; Zimmerman, L.J.; Liebler, D.C.; Ping, J.; Liu, Q.; Evans, R.; et al. Reassessment of Exosome Composition. *Cell* **2019**, *177*, 428–445.e18. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30951670)
- 12. Zhang, Q.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Jeppesen, D.K.; Yang, Y.-P.; Li, W.; McKinley, E.T.; Graves-Deal, R.; Ping, J.; Britain, C.M.; Dorsett, K.A.; et al. Transfer of Functional Cargo in Exomeres. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *27*, 940–954.e6. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.009) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30956133)
- 13. Zhang, Q.; Jeppesen, D.K.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Graves-Deal, R.; Trinh, V.Q.; Ramirez, M.A.; Sohn, Y.; Neininger, A.C.; Taneja, N.; McKinley, E.T.; et al. Supermeres Are Functional Extracellular Nanoparticles Replete with Disease Biomarkers and Therapeutic Targets. *Nat Cell Biol.* **2021**, *23*, 1240–1254. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-021-00805-8) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34887515)
- 14. Gerotziafas, G.T.; Taher, A.; Abdel-Razeq, H.; AboElnazar, E.; Spyropoulos, A.C.; Shemmari, S.E.; Larsen, A.K.; Elalamy, I.; Gligorov, J.; Lotz, J.P.; et al. A Predictive Score for Thrombosis Associated with Breast, Colorectal, Lung, or Ovarian Cancer: The Prospective COMPASS–Cancer-Associated Thrombosis Study. *Oncologist* **2017**, *22*, 1222–1231. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0414)
- 15. Mitrugno, A.; Yunga, S.T.; Sylman, J.L.; Zilberman-Rudenko, J.; Shirai, T.; Hebert, J.F.; Kayton, R.; Zhang, Y.; Nan, X.; Shatzel, J.J.; et al. The Role of Coagulation and Platelets in Colon Cancer-Associated Thrombosis. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **2019**, *316*, C264–C273. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00367.2018)
- 16. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Fuchs, H.E.; Jemal, A. Cancer Statistics, 2021. *CA A Cancer J. Clin.* **2021**, *71*, 7–33. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21654)
- 17. Dyba, T.; Randi, G.; Bray, F.; Martos, C.; Giusti, F.; Nicholson, N.; Gavin, A.; Flego, M.; Neamtiu, L.; Dimitrova, N.; et al. The European Cancer Burden in 2020: Incidence and Mortality Estimates for 40 Countries and 25 Major Cancers. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2021**, *157*, 308–347. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2021.07.039)
- 18. Morgan, E.; Arnold, M.; Gini, A.; Lorenzoni, V.; Cabasag, C.J.; Laversanne, M.; Vignat, J.; Ferlay, J.; Murphy, N.; Bray, F. Global Burden of Colorectal Cancer in 2020 and 2040: Incidence and Mortality Estimates from GLOBOCAN. *Gut* **2023**, *72*, 338–344. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327736)
- 19. Shaukat, A.; Levin, T.R. Current and Future Colorectal Cancer Screening Strategies. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol.* **2022**, *19*, 521–531. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-022-00612-y)
- 20. Fearon, E.R.; Vogelstein, B. A Genetic Model for Colorectal Tumorigenesis. *Cell* **1990**, *61*, 759–767. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90186-i)
- 21. Kotelevets, L.; Chastre, E.; Desmaële, D.; Couvreur, P. Nanotechnologies for the Treatment of Colon Cancer: From Old Drugs to New Hope. *Int. J. Pharmaceut.* **2016**, *514*, 24–40. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.06.005) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27863668)
- 22. Ullman, T.A.; Itzkowitz, S.H. Intestinal Inflammation and Cancer. *Gastroenterology* **2011**, *140*, 1807–1816.e1. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.01.057) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21530747)
- 23. Guinney, J.; Dienstmann, R.; Wang, X.; Reyniès, A.D.; Schlicker, A.; Soneson, C.; Marisa, L.; Roepman, P.; Nyamundanda, G.; Angelino, P.; et al. The Consensus Molecular Subtypes of Colorectal Cancer. *Nat. Med.* **2015**, *21*, 1350–1356. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3967)
- 24. Mammes, A.; Pasquier, J.; Mammes, O.; Conti, M.; Douard, R.; Loric, S. Extracellular Vesicles: General Features and Usefulness in Diagnosis and Therapeutic Management of Colorectal Cancer. *World J. Gastrointest. Oncol.* **2021**, *13*, 1561–1598. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v13.i11.1561) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34853637)
- 25. Glass, S.E.; Coffey, R.J. Recent Advances in the Study of Extracellular Vesicles in Colorectal Cancer. *Gastroenterology* **2022**, *163*, 1188–1197. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2022.06.039) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35724732)
- 26. Scavo, M.P.; Rizzi, F.; Depalo, N.; Fanizza, E.; Ingrosso, C.; Curri, M.L.; Giannelli, G. A Possible Role of FZD10 Delivering Exosomes Derived from Colon Cancers Cell Lines in Inducing Activation of Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition in Normal Colon Epithelial Cell Line. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 6705. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186705) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32933173)
- 27. Wu, F.; Yang, J.; Shang, G.; Zhang, Z.; Niu, S.; Liu, Y.; Liu, H.; Jing, J.; Fang, Y. Exosomal MiR-224-5p from Colorectal Cancer Cells Promotes Malignant Transformation of Human Normal Colon Epithelial Cells by Promoting Cell Proliferation through Downregulation of CMTM4. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* **2022**, *2022*, 5983629. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5983629)
- 28. Mulvey, H.E.; Chang, A.; Adler, J.; Tatto, M.D.; Perez, K.; Quesenberry, P.J.; Chatterjee, D. Extracellular Vesicle-Mediated Phenotype Switching in Malignant and Non-Malignant Colon Cells. *Bmc. Cancer* **2015**, *15*, 571. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1568-3)
- 29. Andrijes, R.; Hejmadi, R.K.; Pugh, M.; Rajesh, S.; Novitskaya, V.; Ibrahim, M.; Overduin, M.; Tselepis, C.; Middleton, G.W.; Győrffy, B.; et al. Tetraspanin 6 Is a Regulator of Carcinogenesis in Colorectal Cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2011411118. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011411118)
- 30. Demory-Beckler, M.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Franklin, J.L.; Ham, A.-J.; Halvey, P.J.; Imasuen, I.E.; Whitwell, C.; Li, M.; Liebler, D.C.; Coffey, R.J. Proteomic Analysis of Exosomes from Mutant KRAS Colon Cancer Cells Identifies Intercellular Transfer of Mutant KRAS\*. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* **2013**, *12*, 343–355. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.m112.022806)
- 31. Cha, D.J.; Franklin, J.L.; Dou, Y.; Liu, Q.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Demory-Beckler, M.; Weaver, A.M.; Vickers, K.; Prasad, N.; Levy, S.; et al. KRAS-Dependent Sorting of MiRNA to Exosomes. *eLife* **2015**, *4*, e07197. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.07197)
- 32. Bhome, R.; Emaduddin, M.; James, V.; House, L.M.; Thirdborough, S.M.; Mellone, M.; Tulkens, J.; Primrose, J.N.; Thomas, G.J.; Wever, O.D.; et al. Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition Influences Fibroblast Phenotype in Colorectal Cancer by Altering MiR-200 Levels in Extracellular Vesicles. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2022**, *11*, e12226. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12226) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35595718)
- 33. Rai, A.; Greening, D.W.; Chen, M.; Xu, R.; Ji, H.; Simpson, R.J. Exosomes Derived from Human Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Cells Contribute to Functional Heterogeneity of Activated Fibroblasts by Reprogramming Their Proteome. *Proteomics* **2019**, *19*, 1800148. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201800148) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30582284)
- 34. Dokhanchi, M.; Pakravan, K.; Zareian, S.; Hussen, B.M.; Farid, M.; Razmara, E.; Mossahebi-Mohammadi, M.; Cho, W.C.; Babashah, S. Colorectal Cancer Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Transfer MiR-221-3p to Promote Endothelial Cell Angiogenesis via Targeting Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling 3. *Life Sci.* **2021**, *285*, 119937. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119937) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34508764)
- 35. He, Q.; Ye, A.; Ye, W.; Liao, X.; Qin, G.; Xu, Y.; Yin, Y.; Luo, H.; Yi, M.; Xian, L.; et al. Cancer-Secreted Exosomal MiR-21-5p Induces Angiogenesis and Vascular Permeability by Targeting KRIT1. *Cell Death Dis.* **2021**, *12*, 576. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-03803-8)
- 36. Nijhuis, A.; Thompson, H.; Adam, J.; Parker, A.; Gammon, L.; Lewis, A.; Bundy, J.G.; Soga, T.; Jalaly, A.; Propper, D.; et al. Remodelling of MicroRNAs in Colorectal Cancer by Hypoxia Alters Metabolism Profiles and 5-Fluorouracil Resistance. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2017**, *26*, ddx059. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx059)
- 37. Shang, A.; Wang, X.; Gu, C.; Liu, W.; Sun, J.; Zeng, B.; Chen, C.; Ji, P.; Wu, J.; Quan, W.; et al. Exosomal MiR-183-5p Promotes Angiogenesis in Colorectal Cancer by Regulation of FOXO1. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 8352–8371. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103145)
- 38. Jiang, K.; Chen, H.; Fang, Y.; Chen, L.; Zhong, C.; Bu, T.; Dai, S.; Pan, X.; Fu, D.; Qian, Y.; et al. Exosomal ANGPTL1 Attenuates Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastasis by Regulating Kupffer Cell Secretion Pattern and Impeding MMP9 Induced Vascular Leakiness. *J. Exp. Clin. Canc. Res.* **2021**, *40*, 21. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-020-01816-3)
- 39. Wang, Z.; Li, Y.; Mao, R.; Zhang, Y.; Wen, J.; Liu, Q.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, T. DNAJB8 in Small Extracellular Vesicles Promotes Oxaliplatin Resistance through TP53/MDR1 Pathway in Colon Cancer. *Cell Death Dis.* **2022**, *13*, 151. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-04599-x)
- 40. Gobbo, J.; Marcion, G.; Cordonnier, M.; Dias, A.M.M.; Pernet, N.; Hammann, A.; Richaud, S.; Mjahed, H.; Isambert, N.; Clausse, V.; et al. Restoring Anticancer Immune Response by Targeting Tumor-Derived Exosomes with a HSP70 Peptide Aptamer. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2016**, *108*, djv330. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djv330)
- 41. Zhang, C.; Wang, X.-Y.; Zhang, P.; He, T.-C.; Han, J.-H.; Zhang, R.; Lin, J.; Fan, J.; Lu, L.; Zhu, W.-W.; et al. Cancer-Derived Exosomal HSPC111 Promotes Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastasis by Reprogramming Lipid Metabolism in Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts. *Cell Death Dis.* **2022**, *13*, 57. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-04506-4) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35027547)
- 42. Xiao, H.; Ye, X.; Vishwakarma, V.; Preet, R.; Dixon, D.A. CRC-Derived Exosomes Containing the RNA Binding Protein HuR Promote Lung Cell Proliferation by Stabilizing c-Myc MRNA. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2022**, *23*, 139–149. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2022.2034455) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35130122)
- 43. Yang, H.; Xie, S.; Liang, B.; Tang, Q.; Liu, H.; Wang, D.; Huang, G. Exosomal IDH1 Increases the Resistance of Colorectal Cancer Cells to 5-Fluorouracil. *J. Cancer* **2021**, *12*, 4862–4872. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/jca.58846) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34234856)
- 44. Sun, B.; Zhou, Y.; Fang, Y.; Li, Z.; Gu, X.; Xiang, J. Colorectal Cancer Exosomes Induce Lymphatic Network Remodeling in Lymph Nodes. *Int. J. Cancer* **2019**, *145*, 1648–1659. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32196)
- 45. Ji, Q.; Zhou, L.; Sui, H.; Yang, L.; Wu, X.; Song, Q.; Jia, R.; Li, R.; Sun, J.; Wang, Z.; et al. Primary Tumors Release ITGBL1-Rich Extracellular Vesicles to Promote Distal Metastatic Tumor Growth through Fibroblast-Niche Formation. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 1211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14869-x)
- 46. Huang, M.; Liu, M.; Huang, D.; Ma, Y.; Ye, G.; Wen, Q.; Li, Y.; Deng, L.; Qi, Q.; Liu, T.; et al. Tumor Perivascular Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Angiogenesis via the Gas6/Axl Pathway. *Cancer Lett.* **2022**, *524*, 131–143. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.10.023)
- 47. Li, F.; Zhan, L.; Dong, Q.; Wang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J. Tumor-Derived Exosome-Educated Hepatic Stellate Cells Regulate Lactate Metabolism of Hypoxic Colorectal Tumor Cells via the IL-6/STAT3 Pathway to Confer Drug Resistance. *Oncotarget. Ther.* **2020**, *13*, 7851–7864. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S253485)
- 48. Zhang, Q.; Liu, R.-X.; Chan, K.-W.; Hu, J.; Zhang, J.; Wei, L.; Tan, H.; Yang, X.; Liu, H. Exosomal Transfer of P-STAT3 Promotes Acquired 5-FU Resistance in Colorectal Cancer Cells. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**, *38*, 320. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1314-9)
- 49. Hu, Y.B.; Yan, C.; Mu, L.; Mi, Y.L.; Zhao, H.; Hu, H.; Li, X.-L.; Tao, D.-D.; Wu, Y.-Q.; Gong, J.-P.; et al. Exosomal Wnt-Induced Dedifferentiation of Colorectal Cancer Cells Contributes to Chemotherapy Resistance. *Oncogene* **2019**, *38*, 1951–1965. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0557-9)
- 50. Hu, H.-Y.; Yu, C.-H.; Zhang, H.-H.; Zhang, S.-Z.; Yu, W.-Y.; Yang, Y.; Chen, Q. Exosomal MiR-1229 Derived from Colorectal Cancer Cells Promotes Angiogenesis by Targeting HIPK2. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2019**, *132*, 470–477. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.03.221)
- 51. Yamada, N.; Tsujimura, N.; Kumazaki, M.; Shinohara, H.; Taniguchi, K.; Nakagawa, Y.; Naoe, T.; Akao, Y. Colorectal Cancer Cell-Derived Microvesicles Containing MicroRNA-1246 Promote Angiogenesis by Activating Smad 1/5/8 Signaling Elicited by PML down-Regulation in Endothelial Cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Gene Regul. Mech.* **2014**, *1839*, 1256–1272. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2014.09.002) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25218966)
- 52. Huang, M.; Chen, M.; Qi, M.; Ye, G.; Pan, J.; Shi, C.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, L.; Mo, X.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Perivascular Cell-derived Extracellular Vesicles Stimulate Colorectal Cancer Revascularization after Withdrawal of Antiangiogenic Drugs. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2021**, *10*, e12096. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12096) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34035882)
- 53. Robbins, P.D.; Morelli, A.E. Regulation of Immune Responses by Extracellular Vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2014**, *14*, 195–208. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/nri3622) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566916)
- 54. Zhang, W.; Yan, Y.; Peng, J.; Thakur, A.; Bai, N.; Yang, K.; Xu, Z. Decoding Roles of Exosomal LncRNAs in Tumor-Immune Regulation and Therapeutic Potential. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 286. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15010286)
- 55. Zhao, X.; Yuan, C.; Wangmo, D.; Subramanian, S. Tumor-Secreted Extracellular Vesicles Regulate T-Cell Costimulation and Can Be Manipulated To Induce Tumor-Specific T-Cell Responses. *Gastroenterology* **2021**, *161*, 560–574.e11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.04.036)
- 56. Huang, Y.; Luo, Y.; Ou, W.; Wang, Y.; Dong, D.; Peng, X.; Luo, Y. Exosomal LncRNA SNHG10 Derived from Colorectal Cancer Cells Suppresses Natural Killer Cell Cytotoxicity by Upregulating INHBC. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2021**, *21*, 528. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-021-02221-2)
- 57. Renovato-Martins, M.; Gomes, A.C.; Amorim, C.S.; Moraes, J.A. The Role of Macrophage-Derived Extracellular Vesicles in Gastrointestinal Cancers. In *Gastrointestinal Cancers*; JA, M.D., Ed.; Exon Publications: Brisbane, Australia, 2022; pp. 57–72; ISBN 9780645332063.
- 58. Yin, Y.; Liu, B.; Cao, Y.; Yao, S.; Liu, Y.; Jin, G.; Qin, Y.; Chen, Y.; Cui, K.; Zhou, L.; et al. Colorectal Cancer-Derived Small Extracellular Vesicles Promote Tumor Immune Evasion by Upregulating PD-L1 Expression in Tumor-Associated Macrophages. *Adv. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 2102620. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202102620)
- 59. Ma, Y.-S.; Wu, T.-M.; Ling, C.-C.; Yu, F.; Zhang, J.; Cao, P.-S.; Gu, L.-P.; Wang, H.-M.; Xu, H.; Li, L.; et al. M2 Macrophage-Derived Exosomal MicroRNA-155-5p Promotes the Immune Escape of Colon Cancer by Downregulating ZC3H12B. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics.* **2021**, *20*, 484–498. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omto.2021.02.005)
- 60. Lan, J.; Li, S.; Feng, X.; Lu, L.; Fuqing, H.; Da, S.; Hou, Z.; Wei, W.; Luo, X.; Jing, W.; et al. M2 Macrophage-Derived Exosomes Promote Cell Migration and Invasion in Colon Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 146–158. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0014)
- 61. Guo, J.; Wang, X.; Guo, Q.; Zhu, S.; Li, P.; Zhang, S.; Min, L. M2 Macrophage Derived Extracellular Vesicle-Mediated Transfer of MiR-186-5p Promotes Colon Cancer Progression by Targeting DLC1. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2022**, *18*, 1663–1676. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.69405)
- 62. Cianciaruso, C.; Beltraminelli, T.; Duval, F.; Nassiri, S.; Hamelin, R.; Mozes, A.; Gallart-Ayala, H.; Torres, G.C.; Torchia, B.; Ries, C.H.; et al. Molecular Profiling and Functional Analysis of Macrophage-Derived Tumor Extracellular Vesicles. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *27*, 3062–3080.e11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.008) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31167148)
- 63. Ning, T.; Li, J.; He, Y.; Zhang, H.; Wang, X.; Deng, T.; Liu, R.; Li, H.; Bai, M.; Fan, Q.; et al. Exosomal MiR-208b Related with Oxaliplatin Resistance Promotes Treg Expansion in Colorectal Cancer. *Mol. Ther.* **2021**, *29*, 2723–2736. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.04.028)
- 64. Hwang, W.-L.; Lan, H.-Y.; Cheng, W.-C.; Huang, S.-C.; Yang, M.-H. Tumor Stem-like Cell-Derived Exosomal RNAs Prime Neutrophils for Facilitating Tumorigenesis of Colon Cancer. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2019**, *12*, 10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0699-4) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30683126)
- 65. Plantureux, L.; Mège, D.; Crescence, L.; Carminita, E.; Robert, S.; Cointe, S.; Brouilly, N.; Ezzedine, W.; Dignat-George, F.; Dubois, C.; et al. The Interaction of Platelets with Colorectal Cancer Cells Inhibits Tumor Growth but Promotes Metastasis. *Cancer Res.* **2020**, *80*, 291–303. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1181) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31727628)
- 66. Hendrix, A.; Wever, O.D. Systemically Circulating Bacterial Extracellular Vesicles: Origin, Fate, and Function. *Trends Microbiol.* **2022**, *30*, 213–216. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.12.012)
- 67. Kang, C.; Ban, M.; Choi, E.-J.; Moon, H.-G.; Jeon, J.-S.; Kim, D.-K.; Park, S.-K.; Jeon, S.G.; Roh, T.-Y.; Myung, S.-J.; et al. Extracellular Vesicles Derived from Gut Microbiota, Especially Akkermansia Muciniphila, Protect the Progression of Dextran Sulfate Sodium-Induced Colitis. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e76520. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076520)
- 68. Ashrafian, F.; Behrouzi, A.; Shahriary, A.; Badi, S.A.; Davari, M.; Khatami, S.; Jamnani, F.R.; Fateh, A.; Vaziri, F.; Siadat, S.D. Comparative Study of Effect of Akkermansia Muciniphila and Its Extracellular Vesicles on Toll-like Receptors and Tight Junction. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Bed Bench* **2019**, *12*, 163–168.
- 69. Shen, Y.; Torchia, M.L.G.; Lawson, G.W.; Karp, C.L.; Ashwell, J.D.; Mazmanian, S.K. Outer Membrane Vesicles of a Human Commensal Mediate Immune Regulation and Disease Protection. *Cell Host Microbe* **2012**, *12*, 509–520. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.08.004)
- 70. Liang, L.; Yang, C.; Liu, L.; Mai, G.; Li, H.; Wu, L.; Jin, M.; Chen, Y. Commensal Bacteria-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Suppress Ulcerative Colitis through Regulating the Macrophages Polarization and Remodeling the Gut Microbiota. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **2022**, *21*, 88. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-022-01812-6)
- 71. Bulut, E.A.; Kocabas, B.B.; Yazar, V.; Aykut, G.; Guler, U.; Salih, B.; Yilmaz, N.S.; Ayanoglu, I.C.; Polat, M.M.; Akcali, K.C.; et al. Human Gut Commensal Membrane Vesicles Modulate Inflammation by Generating M2-like Macrophages and Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells. *J. Immunol.* **2020**, *205*, 2707–2718. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.2000731)
- 72. Lin, L.-T.; Shi, Y.-C.; Choong, C.-Y.; Tai, C.-J. The Fruits of Paris Polyphylla Inhibit Colorectal Cancer Cell Migration Induced by Fusobacterium Nucleatum-Derived Extracellular Vesicles. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 4081. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26134081) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34279421)
- 73. Guo, S.; Chen, J.; Chen, F.; Zeng, Q.; Liu, W.-L.; Zhang, G. Exosomes Derived from Fusobacterium Nucleatum-Infected Colorectal Cancer Cells Facilitate Tumour Metastasis by Selectively Carrying MiR-1246/92b-3p/27a-3p and CXCL16. *Gut* **2021**, *70*, 1507–1519. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321187)
- 74. Gul, L.; Modos, D.; Fonseca, S.; Madgwick, M.; Thomas, J.P.; Sudhakar, P.; Booth, C.; Stentz, R.; Carding, S.R.; Korcsmaros, T. Extracellular Vesicles Produced by the Human Commensal Gut Bacterium Bacteroides Thetaiotaomicron Affect Host Immune Pathways in a Cell-type Specific Manner That Are Altered in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2022**, *11*, e12189. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12189)
- 75. Teng, Y.; Ren, Y.; Hu, X.; Mu, J.; Samykutty, A.; Zhuang, X.; Deng, Z.; Kumar, A.; Zhang, L.; Merchant, M.L.; et al. MVP-Mediated Exosomal Sorting of MiR-193a Promotes Colon Cancer Progression. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14448. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14448)
- 76. Mizoguchi, A.; Takayama, A.; Arai, T.; Kawauchi, J.; Sudo, H. MicroRNA-8073: Tumor Suppressor and Potential Therapeutic Treatment. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0209750. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209750)
- 77. Chen, C.; Yu, H.; Han, F.; Lai, X.; Ye, K.; Lei, S.; Mai, M.; Lai, M.; Zhang, H. Tumor-Suppressive CircRHOBTB3 Is Excreted out of Cells via Exosome to Sustain Colorectal Cancer Cell Fitness. *Mol. Cancer* **2022**, *21*, 46. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-022-01511-1)
- 78. Chen, J.; Wu, Y.; Luo, X.; Jin, D.; Zhou, W.; Ju, Z.; Wang, D.; Meng, Q.; Wang, H.; Fu, X.; et al. Circular RNA CircRHOBTB3 Represses Metastasis by Regulating the HuR-Mediated MRNA Stability of PTBP1 in Colorectal Cancer. *Theranostics* **2021**, *11*, 7507–7526. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/thno.59546) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34158864)
- 79. Xu, Y.; Shen, L.; Li, F.; Yang, J.; Wan, X.; Ouyang, M. MicroRNA-16-5p-containing Exosomes Derived from Bone Marrow-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Inhibit Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion, While Promoting Apoptosis of Colorectal Cancer Cells by Downregulating ITGA2. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2019**, *234*, 21380–21394. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28747)
- 80. Fu, F.; Jiang, W.; Zhou, L.; Chen, Z. Circulating Exosomal MiR-17-5p and MiR-92a-3p Predict Pathologic Stage and Grade of Colorectal Cancer. *Transl. Oncol.* **2018**, *11*, 221–232. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2017.12.012) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29367070)
- 81. Sun, T.; Yin, Y.; Jin, H.; Liu, H.; Tian, W. Exosomal MicroRNA-19b Targets FBXW7 to Promote Colorectal Cancer Stem Cell Stemness and Induce Resistance to Radiotherapy. *Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci.* **2022**, *38*, 108–119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/kjm2.12449)
- 82. Bhome, R.; Goh, R.W.; Bullock, M.D.; Pillar, N.; Thirdborough, S.M.; Mellone, M.; Mirnezami, R.; Galea, D.; Veselkov, K.; Gu, Q.; et al. Exosomal MicroRNAs Derived from Colorectal Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: Role in Driving Cancer Progression. *Aging* **2017**, *9*, 2666–2694. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101355) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29283887)
- 83. Sun, L.-H.; Tian, D.; Yang, Z.-C.; Li, J.-L. Exosomal MiR-21 Promotes Proliferation, Invasion and Therapy Resistance of Colon Adenocarcinoma Cells through Its Target PDCD4. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 8271. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65207-6) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32427870)
- 84. Shao, Y.; Chen, T.; Zheng, X.; Yang, S.; Xu, K.; Chen, X.; Xu, F.; Wang, L.; Shen, Y.; Wang, T.; et al. Colorectal Cancer-Derived Small Extracellular Vesicles Establish an Inflammatory Premetastatic Niche in Liver Metastasis. *Carcinogenesis* **2018**, *39*, 1368–1379. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgy115) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30184100)
- 85. Uratani, R.; Toiyama, Y.; Kitajima, T.; Kawamura, M.; Hiro, J.; Kobayashi, M.; Tanaka, K.; Inoue, Y.; Mohri, Y.; Mori, T.; et al. Diagnostic Potential of Cell-Free and Exosomal MicroRNAs in the Identification of Patients with High-Risk Colorectal Adenomas. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0160722. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160722)
- 86. Wang, Y.; Lin, C. Exosomes MiR-22-3p Derived from Mesenchymal Stem Cells Suppress Colorectal Cancer Cell Proliferation and Invasion by Regulating RAP2B and PI3K/AKT Pathway. *J. Oncol.* **2021**, *2021*, 3874478. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3874478)
- 87. Zeng, Z.; Li, Y.; Pan, Y.; Lan, X.; Song, F.; Sun, J.; Zhou, K.; Liu, X.; Ren, X.; Wang, F.; et al. Cancer-Derived Exosomal MiR-25-3p Promotes Pre-Metastatic Niche Formation by Inducing Vascular Permeability and Angiogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 5395. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07810-w)
- 88. Wang, D.; Wang, X.; Si, M.; Yang, J.; Sun, S.; Wu, H.; Cui, S.; Qu, X.; Yu, X. Exosome-Encapsulated MiRNAs Contribute to CXCL12/CXCR4-Induced Liver Metastasis of Colorectal Cancer by Enhancing M2 Polarization of Macrophages. *Cancer Lett.* **2020**, *474*, 36–52. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2020.01.005)
- 89. Dou, R.; Liu, K.; Yang, C.; Zheng, J.; Shi, D.; Lin, X.; Wei, C.; Zhang, C.; Fang, Y.; Huang, S.; et al. EMT-cancer Cells-derived Exosomal MiR-27b-3p Promotes Circulating Tumour Cells-mediated Metastasis by Modulating Vascular Permeability in Colorectal Cancer. *Clin. Transl. Med.* **2021**, *11*, e595. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.595)
- 90. Hosseini, M.; Baghaei, K.; Amani, D.; Ebtekar, M. Tumor-Derived Exosomes Encapsulating MiR-34a Promote Apoptosis and Inhibit Migration and Tumor Progression of Colorectal Cancer Cells under in Vitro Condition. *Daru. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2021**, *29*, 267–278. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s40199-021-00400-0)
- 91. Hosseini, M.; Baghaei, K.; Hajivalili, M.; Zali, M.R.; Ebtekar, M.; Amani, D. The Anti-Tumor Effects of CT-26 Derived Exosomes Enriched by MicroRNA-34a on Murine Model of Colorectal Cancer. *Life Sci.* **2022**, *290*, 120234. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2021.120234)
- 92. Yamada, N.O.; Heishima, K.; Akao, Y.; Senda, T. Extracellular Vesicles Containing MicroRNA-92a-3p Facilitate Partial Endothelial-Mesenchymal Transition and Angiogenesis in Endothelial Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 4406. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20184406) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31500278)
- 93. Hu, J.L.; Wang, W.; Lan, X.L.; Zeng, Z.C.; Liang, Y.S.; Yan, Y.R.; Song, F.Y.; Wang, F.F.; Zhu, X.H.; Liao, W.J.; et al. CAFs Secreted Exosomes Promote Metastasis and Chemotherapy Resistance by Enhancing Cell Stemness and Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Colorectal Cancer. *Mol. Cancer* **2019**, *18*, 91. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-1019-x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31064356)
- 94. Chen, X.; Liu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, B.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Ge, H.; Liu, Y. Exosome-Mediated Transfer of MiR-93-5p from Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Confer Radioresistance in Colorectal Cancer Cells by Downregulating FOXA1 and Upregulating TGFB3. *J. Exp. Clin. Canc. Res.* **2020**, *39*, 65. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1507-2) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32293494)
- 95. Jahangiri, B.; Khalaj-Kondori, M.; Asadollahi, E.; Dizaj, L.P.; Sadeghizadeh, M. MSC-Derived Exosomes Suppress Colorectal Cancer Cell Proliferation and Metastasis via MiR-100/MTOR/MiR-143 Pathway. *Int. J. Pharmaceut.* **2022**, *627*, 122214. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2022.122214)
- 96. Liu, H.; Liu, Y.; Sun, P.; Leng, K.; Xu, Y.; Mei, L.; Han, P.; Zhang, B.; Yao, K.; Li, C.; et al. Colorectal Cancer-Derived Exosomal MiR-106b-3p Promotes Metastasis by down-Regulating DLC-1 Expression. *Clin. Sci.* **2020**, *134*, 419–434. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1042/cs20191087)
- 97. Yang, C.; Dou, R.; Wei, C.; Liu, K.; Shi, D.; Zhang, C.; Liu, Q.; Wang, S.; Xiong, B. Tumor-Derived Exosomal MicroRNA-106b-5p Activates EMT-Cancer Cell and M2-Subtype TAM Interaction to Facilitate CRC Metastasis. *Mol. Ther.* **2021**, *29*, 2088–2107. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.02.006)
- 98. Dai, X.; Xie, Y.; Dong, M. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Angiogenesis of Colorectal Adenocarcinoma Cells through MiR-135b-5p/FOXO1 Axis. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2022**, *23*, 76–88. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2021.2017222)
- 99. Yin, H.; Yu, S.; Xie, Y.; Dai, X.; Dong, M.; Sheng, C.; Hu, J. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts-Derived Exosomes Upregulate MicroRNA-135b-5p to Promote Colorectal Cancer Cell Growth and Angiogenesis by Inhibiting Thioredoxin-Interacting Protein. *Cell Signal* **2021**, *84*, 110029. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2021.110029)
- 100. Wang, D.; Wang, X.; Song, Y.; Si, M.; Sun, Y.; Liu, X.; Cui, S.; Qu, X.; Yu, X. Exosomal MiR-146a-5p and MiR-155-5p Promote CXCL12/CXCR7-Induced Metastasis of Colorectal Cancer by Crosstalk with Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts. *Cell Death Dis.* **2022**, *13*, 380. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-04825-6)
- 101. Cheng, W.; Liao, T.; Lin, C.; Yuan, L.E.; Lan, H.; Lin, H.; Teng, H.; Chang, H.; Lin, C.; Yang, C.; et al. RAB27B-activated Secretion of Stem-like Tumor Exosomes Delivers the Biomarker MicroRNA-146a-5p, Which Promotes Tumorigenesis and Associates with an Immunosuppressive Tumor Microenvironment in Colorectal Cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* **2019**, *145*, 2209–2224. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32338)
- 102. Zhang, Y.; Liu, W.-S.; Zhang, X.-Y.; Tong, H.-X.; Yang, H.; Liu, W.-F.; Fan, J.; Zhou, J.; Hu, J. Low Expression of Exosomal MiR-150 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Colorectal Cancer Patients after Surgical Resections. *Carcinogenesis* **2022**, *43*, 930–940. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgac059) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35767307)
- 103. Asadirad, A.; Baghaei, K.; Hashemi, S.M.; Dehnavi, S.; Ghanbarian, H.; Mortaz, E.; Anissian, A.; Aghdaei, H.A.; Amani, D. Dendritic Cell Immunotherapy with MiR-155 Enriched Tumor-Derived Exosome Suppressed Cancer Growth and Induced Antitumor Immune Responses in Murine Model of Colorectal Cancer Induced by CT26 Cell Line. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2022**, *104*, 108493. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.108493) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35032826)
- 104. Zhao, S.; Mi, Y.; Zheng, B.; Wei, P.; Gu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Xu, Y.; Cai, S.; Li, X.; Li, D. Highly-metastatic Colorectal Cancer Cell Released MiR-181a-5p-rich Extracellular Vesicles Promote Liver Metastasis by Activating Hepatic Stellate Cells and Remodelling the Tumour Microenvironment. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2022**, *11*, e12186. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12186)
- 105. Takano, Y.; Masuda, T.; Iinuma, H.; Yamaguchi, R.; Sato, K.; Tobo, T.; Hirata, H.; Kuroda, Y.; Nambara, S.; Hayashi, N.; et al. Circulating Exosomal MicroRNA-203 Is Associated with Metastasis Possibly via Inducing Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Colorectal Cancer. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 78598–78613. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.20009) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29108252)
- 106. Yao, S.; Yin, Y.; Jin, G.; Li, D.; Li, M.; Hu, Y.; Feng, Y.; Liu, Y.; Bian, Z.; Wang, X.; et al. Exosome-mediated Delivery of MiR-204-5p Inhibits Tumor Growth and Chemoresistance. *Cancer Med.* **2020**, *9*, 5989–5998. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.3248)
- 107. Bigagli, E.; Luceri, C.; Guasti, D.; Cinci, L. Exosomes Secreted from Human Colon Cancer Cells Influence the Adhesion of Neighboring Metastatic Cells: Role of MicroRNA-210. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2016**, *17*, 1062–1069. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2016.1219815)
- 108. Yu, B.; Du, Q.; Li, H.; Liu, H.-Y.; Ye, X.; Zhu, B.; Zhai, Q.; Li, X.-X. Diagnostic Potential of Serum Exosomal Colorectal Neoplasia Differentially Expressed Long Non-Coding RNA (CRNDE-p) and MicroRNA-217 Expression in Colorectal Carcinoma. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 83745–83753. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19407)
- 109. Tian, F.; Wang, P.; Lin, D.; Dai, J.; Liu, Q.; Guan, Y.; Zhan, Y.; Yang, Y.; Wang, W.; Wang, J.; et al. Exosome-delivered MiR-221/222 Exacerbates Tumor Liver Metastasis by Targeting SPINT1 in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Sci.* **2021**, *112*, 3744–3755. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/cas.15028)
- 110. Zheng, Y.; Zeng, J.; Lin, D.; Xia, H.; Wang, X.; Chen, L.; Chen, H.; Huang, L.; Zeng, C. Extracellular Vesicles Derived from Cancer-Associated Fibroblast Carries MiR-224-5p Targeting SLC4A4 to Promote the Proliferation, Invasion and Migration of Colorectal Cancer Cells. *Carcinogenesis* **2021**, *42*, 1143–1153. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgab055)
- 111. Yang, C.-K.; Hsu, H.-C.; Liu, Y.-H.; Tsai, W.-S.; Ma, C.-P.; Chen, Y.-T.; Tan, B.C.-M.; Lai, Y.-Y.; Chang, I.Y.-F.; Yang, C.; et al. EV-MiRome-Wide Profiling Uncovers MiR-320c for Detecting Metastatic Colorectal Cancer and Monitoring the Therapeutic Response. *Cell Oncol.* **2022**, *45*, 621–638. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s13402-022-00688-3)
- 112. Sun, X.; Lin, F.; Sun, W.; Zhu, W.; Fang, D.; Luo, L.; Li, S.; Zhang, W.; Jiang, L. Exosome-Transmitted MiRNA-335-5p Promotes Colorectal Cancer Invasion and Metastasis by Facilitating EMT via Targeting RASA1. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic. Acids.* **2021**, *24*, 164–174. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2021.02.022) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33767913)
- 113. Yan, S.; Han, B.; Gao, S.; Wang, X.; Wang, Z.; Wang, F.; Zhang, J.; Xu, D.; Sun, B. Exosome-Encapsulated MicroRNAs as Circulating Biomarkers for Colorectal Cancer. *Oncotargets* **2017**, *8*, 60149–60158. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.18557)
- 114. Yan, S.; Ren, X.; Yang, J.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q.; Xu, D. Exosomal MiR-548c-5p Regulates Colorectal Cancer Cell Growth and Invasion Through HIF1A/CDC42 Axis. *Oncotarget. Ther.* **2020**, *13*, 9875–9885. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S273008) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33116573)
- 115. Chen, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, B.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Liu, J. Exosomal MiR-590-3p Derived from Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Confers Radioresistance in Colorectal Cancer. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic. Acids.* **2021**, *24*, 113–126. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.11.003) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33738143)
- 116. Zhao, S.; Mi, Y.; Guan, B.; Zheng, B.; Wei, P.; Gu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Cai, S.; Xu, Y.; Li, X.; et al. Tumor-Derived Exosomal MiR-934 Induces Macrophage M2 Polarization to Promote Liver Metastasis of Colorectal Cancer. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* **2020**, *13*, 156. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-00991-2)
- 117. Zhang, X.; Bai, J.; Yin, H.; Long, L.; Zheng, Z.; Wang, Q.; Chen, F.; Yu, X.; Zhou, Y. Exosomal MiR-1255b-5p Targets Human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase in Colorectal Cancer Cells to Suppress Epithelial-to-mesenchymal Transition. *Mol. Oncol.* **2020**, *14*, 2589–2608. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12765)
- 118. Yan, S.; Liu, G.; Jin, C.; Wang, Z.; Duan, Q.; Xu, J.; Xu, D. MicroRNA-6869-5p Acts as a Tumor Suppressor via Targeting TLR4/NF-κB Signaling Pathway in Colorectal Cancer. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2018**, *233*, 6660–6668. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26316)
- 119. Hui, B.; Lu, C.; Wang, J.; Xu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Ji, H.; Li, X.; Xu, L.; Wang, J.; Tang, W.; et al. Engineered Exosomes for Co-delivery of PGM5-AS1 and Oxaliplatin to Reverse Drug Resistance in Colon Cancer. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2022**, *237*, 911–933. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.30566)
- 120. Deng, X.; Ruan, H.; Zhang, X.; Xu, X.; Zhu, Y.; Peng, H.; Zhang, X.; Kong, F.; Guan, M. Long Noncoding RNA CCAL Transferred from Fibroblasts by Exosomes Promotes Chemoresistance of Colorectal Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Cancer* **2020**, *146*, 1700–1716. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32608)
- 121. Liu, T.; Zhang, X.; Gao, S.; Jing, F.; Yang, Y.; Du, L.; Zheng, G.; Li, P.; Li, C.; Wang, C. Exosomal Long Noncoding RNA CRNDE-h as a Novel Serum-Based Biomarker for Diagnosis and Prognosis of Colorectal Cancer. *Oncotargets* **2016**, *7*, 85551–85563. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.13465)
- 122. Ren, J.; Ding, L.; Zhang, D.; Shi, G.; Xu, Q.; Shen, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, T.; Hou, Y. Carcinoma-Associated Fibroblasts Promote the Stemness and Chemoresistance of Colorectal Cancer by Transferring Exosomal LncRNA H19. *Theranostics* **2018**, *8*, 3932–3948. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/thno.25541) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30083271)
- 123. Chen, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Liu, B.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Liu, J.; Gen, H. Exosomal Long Non-Coding RNA HOTTIP Increases Resistance of Colorectal Cancer Cells to Mitomycin via Impairing MiR-214-Mediated Degradation of KPNA3. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *8*, 582723. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.582723) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33585440)
- 124. Xian, D.; Niu, L.; Zeng, J.; Wang, L. LncRNA KCNQ1OT1 Secreted by Tumor Cell-Derived Exosomes Mediates Immune Escape in Colorectal Cancer by Regulating PD-L1 Ubiquitination via MiR-30a-5p/USP22. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2021**, *09*, 653808. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.653808) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34350172)
- 125. Zhou, L.; Li, J.; Tang, Y.; Yang, M. Exosomal LncRNA LINC00659 Transferred from Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Promotes Colorectal Cancer Cell Progression via MiR-342-3p/ANXA2 Axis. *J. Transl. Med.* **2021**, *19*, 8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02648-7)
- 126. Xu, J.; Xiao, Y.; Liu, B.; Pan, S.; Liu, Q.; Shan, Y.; Li, S.; Qi, Y.; Huang, Y.; Jia, L. Exosomal MALAT1 Sponges MiR-26a/26b to Promote the Invasion and Metastasis of Colorectal Cancer via FUT4 Enhanced Fucosylation and PI3K/Akt Pathway. *J. Exp. Clin. Canc. Res.* **2020**, *39*, 54. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-020-01562-6)
- 127. Liang, Z.; Liu, H.; Wang, F.; Xiong, L.; Zhou, C.; Hu, T.; He, X.; Wu, X.; Xie, D.; Wu, X.; et al. LncRNA RPPH1 Promotes Colorectal Cancer Metastasis by Interacting with TUBB3 and by Promoting Exosomes-Mediated Macrophage M2 Polarization. *Cell Death Dis.* **2019**, *10*, 829. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-2077-0)
- 128. Zhao, J.; Lin, H.; Huang, K.; Li, S. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Carrying LncRNA SNHG3 Facilitate Colorectal Cancer Cell Proliferation via the MiR-34b-5p/HuR/HOXC6 Axis. *Cell Death Discov.* **2022**, *8*, 346. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-01116-z)
- 129. Yang, Y.; Zhang, R.; Du, J.; Yuan, H.; Li, Y.; Wei, X.; Du, X.; Jiang, S.; Han, Y. Predictive Role of UCA1-Containing Exosomes in Cetuximab-Resistant Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2018**, *18*, 164. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-018-0660-6)
- 130. Yuan, H.; Zhang, X.; Wei, X.; Zhang, W.; Du, X.; Huang, P.; Chen, H.; Bai, L.; Zhang, H.; Han, Y. LncRNA UCA1 Mediates Cetuximab Resistance in Colorectal Cancer via the MiR-495 and HGF/c-MET Pathways. *J. Cancer* **2022**, *13*, 253–267. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/jca.65687)
- 131. Bian, Z.; Jin, L.; Zhang, J.; Yin, Y.; Quan, C.; Hu, Y.; Feng, Y.; Liu, H.; Fei, B.; Mao, Y.; et al. LncRNA—UCA1 Enhances Cell Proliferation and 5-Fluorouracil Resistance in Colorectal Cancer by Inhibiting MiR-204-5p. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 23892. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep23892)
- 132. Luan, Y.; Li, X.; Luan, Y.; Zhao, R.; Li, Y.; Liu, L.; Hao, Y.; Vladimir, B.O.; Jia, L. Circulating LncRNA UCA1 Promotes Malignancy of Colorectal Cancer via the MiR-143/MYO6 Axis. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic. Acids.* **2020**, *19*, 790–803. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.12.009) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31955010)
- 133. Yang, P.; Zhang, D.; Wang, T.; Ji, J.; Jin, C.; Peng, C.; Tan, Y.; Zhou, J.; Wang, L.; Feng, Y.; et al. CAF-Derived Exosomal WEE2-AS1 Facilitates Colorectal Cancer Progression via Promoting Degradation of MOB1A to Inhibit the Hippo Pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* **2022**, *13*, 796. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05240-7) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36123327)
- 134. Zhao, K.; Cheng, X.; Ye, Z.; Li, Y.; Peng, W.; Wu, Y.; Xing, C. Exosome-Mediated Transfer of Circ\_0000338 Enhances 5-Fluorouracil Resistance in Colorectal Cancer through Regulating MicroRNA 217 (MiR-217) and MiR-485-3p. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2021**, *41*, e00517–e00520. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.00517-20)
- 135. Zhao, H.; Chen, S.; Fu, Q. Exosomes from CD133+ Cells Carrying Circ-ABCC1 Mediate Cell Stemness and Metastasis in Colorectal Cancer. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2020**, *121*, 3286–3297. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.29600)
- 136. Xu, Y.; Qiu, A.; Peng, F.; Tan, X.; Wang, J.; Gong, X. Exosomal Transfer of Circular RNA FBXW7 Ameliorates the Chemoresistance to Oxaliplatin in Colorectal Cancer by Sponging MiR-18b-5p. *Neoplasma* **2021**, *68*, 108–118. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2020_200417N414)
- 137. Li, L.; Jiang, Z.; Zou, X.; Hao, T. Exosomal Circ\_IFT80 Enhances Tumorigenesis and Suppresses Radiosensitivity in Colorectal Cancer by Regulating MiR-296-5p/MSI1 Axis. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2021**, *13*, 1929–1941. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S297123) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33658855)
- 138. Zheng, R.; Zhang, K.; Tan, S.; Gao, F.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, W.; Wang, H.; Gu, D.; Zhu, L.; Li, S.; et al. Exosomal CircLPAR1 Functions in Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis and Tumorigenesis through Suppressing BRD4 via METTL3–EIF3h Interaction. *Mol. Cancer.* **2022**, *21*, 49. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01471-y)
- 139. Yang, K.; Zhang, F.; Luo, B.; Qu, Z. CAFs-Derived Small Extracellular Vesicles CircN4BP2L2 Promotes Proliferation and Metastasis of Colorectal Cancer via MiR-664b-3p/HMGB3 Pathway. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* **2022**, *23*, 404–416. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2022.2072164)
- 140. Qu, Z.; Yang, K.-D.; Luo, B.-H.; Zhang, F. CAFs-Secreted Exosomal CricN4BP2L2 Promoted Colorectal Cancer Stemness and Chemoresistance by Interacting with EIF4A3. *Exp. Cell Res.* **2022**, *418*, 113266. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2022.113266)
- 141. Li, Y.; Hu, J.; Wang, M.; Yuan, Y.; Zhou, F.; Zhao, H.; Qiu, T.; Liang, L. Exosomal CircPABPC1 Promotes Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastases by Regulating HMGA2 in the Nucleus and BMP4/ADAM19 in the Cytoplasm. *Cell Death Discov.* **2022**, *8*, 335. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-01124-z)
- 142. Shang, A.; Gu, C.; Wang, W.; Wang, X.; Sun, J.; Zeng, B.; Chen, C.; Chang, W.; Ping, Y.; Ji, P.; et al. Exosomal CircPACRGL Promotes Progression of Colorectal Cancer via the MiR-142-3p/MiR-506-3p- TGF-B1 Axis. *Mol. Cancer* **2020**, *19*, 117. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01235-0) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32713345)
- 143. Wang, X.; Zhang, H.; Yang, H.; Bai, M.; Ning, T.; Deng, T.; Liu, R.; Fan, Q.; Zhu, K.; Li, J.; et al. Exosome-delivered CircRNA Promotes Glycolysis to Induce Chemoresistance through the MiR-122-PKM2 Axis in Colorectal Cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* **2020**, *14*, 539–555. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12629) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31901148)
- 144. Zhou, H.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wen, X.; Amador, E.H.; Yuan, L.; Ran, X.; Xiong, L.; Ran, Y.; Chen, W.; et al. Colorectal Liver Metastasis: Molecular Mechanism and Interventional Therapy. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* **2022**, *7*, 70. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-00922-2) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35246503)
- 145. Riihimäki, M.; Hemminki, A.; Sundquist, J.; Hemminki, K. Patterns of Metastasis in Colon and Rectal Cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 29765. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep29765)
- 146. Naxerova, K.; Reiter, J.G.; Brachtel, E.; Lennerz, J.K.; Wetering, M.V.D.; Rowan, A.; Cai, T.; Clevers, H.; Swanton, C.; Nowak, M.A.; et al. Origins of Lymphatic and Distant Metastases in Human Colorectal Cancer. *Science* **2017**, *357*, 55–60. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8515)
- 147. Hoshino, A.; Costa-Silva, B.; Shen, T.-L.; Rodrigues, G.; Hashimoto, A.; Mark, M.T.; Molina, H.; Kohsaka, S.; Giannatale, A.D.; Ceder, S.; et al. Tumour Exosome Integrins Determine Organotropic Metastasis. *Nature* **2015**, *527*, 329–335. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/nature15756)
- 148. Chen, Y.; Xie, Y.; Xu, L.; Zhan, S.; Xiao, Y.; Gao, Y.; Wu, B.; Ge, W. Protein Content and Functional Characteristics of Serum-purified Exosomes from Patients with Colorectal Cancer Revealed by Quantitative Proteomics. *Int. J. Cancer* **2017**, *140*, 900–913. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30496)
- 149. Ji, H.; Greening, D.W.; Barnes, T.W.; Lim, J.W.; Tauro, B.J.; Rai, A.; Xu, R.; Adda, C.; Mathivanan, S.; Zhao, W.; et al. Proteome Profiling of Exosomes Derived from Human Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Cells Reveal Differential Expression of Key Metastatic Factors and Signal Transduction Components. *Proteomics* **2013**, *13*, 1672–1686. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201200562)
- 150. Li, R.; Zhou, J.; Wu, X.; Li, H.; Pu, Y.; Liu, N.; Han, Z.; Zhou, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, H.; et al. Jianpi Jiedu Recipe Inhibits Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastasis via Regulating ITGBL1-Rich Extracellular Vesicles Mediated Activation of Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts. *Phytomedicine* **2022**, *100*, 154082. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2022.154082)
- 151. Kotelevets, L.; Scott, M.G.H.; Chastre, E. Targeted Therapy of Colorectal Cancer Subtypes. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2019**, *1110*, 55–73. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02771-1_5)
- 152. Kotelevets, L.; Trifault, B.; Chastre, E.; Scott, M.G.H. Posttranslational Regulation and Conformational Plasticity of PTEN. *Csh. Perspect. Med.* **2020**, *10*, a036095. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a036095) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31932468)
- 153. di-Renzo, M.F.; Olivero, M.; Giacomini, A.; Porte, H.; Chastre, E.; Mirossay, L.; Nordlinger, B.; Bretti, S.; Bottardi, S.; Giordano, S. Overexpression and Amplification of the Met/HGF Receptor Gene during the Progression of Colorectal Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **1995**, *1*, 147–154.
- 154. Dhondt, B.; Deun, J.V.; Vermaerke, S.; Marco, A.D.; Lumen, N.; Wever, O.D.; Hendrix, A. Urinary Extracellular Vesicle Biomarkers in Urological Cancers: From Discovery towards Clinical Implementation. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *99*, 236–256. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2018.04.009)
- 155. Hofmann, L.; Kors, T.A.; Ezić, J.; Niesler, B.; Röth, R.; Ludwig, S.; Laban, S.; Schuler, P.J.; Hoffmann, T.K.; Brunner, C.; et al. Comparison of Plasma- and Saliva-Derived Exosomal MiRNA Profiles Reveals Diagnostic Potential in Head and Neck Cancer. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2022**, *10*, 971596. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.971596) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36072342)
- 156. Li, K.; Lin, Y.; Luo, Y.; Xiong, X.; Wang, L.; Durante, K.; Li, J.; Zhou, F.; Guo, Y.; Chen, S.; et al. A Signature of Saliva-Derived Exosomal Small RNAs as Predicting Biomarker for Esophageal Carcinoma: A Multicenter Prospective Study. *Mol. Cancer* **2022**, *21*, 21. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-022-01499-8)
- 157. Baldasici, O.; Pileczki, V.; Cruceriu, D.; Gavrilas, L.I.; Tudoran, O.; Balacescu, L.; Vlase, L.; Balacescu, O. Breast Cancer-Delivered Exosomal MiRNA as Liquid Biopsy Biomarkers for Metastasis Prediction: A Focus on Translational Research with Clinical Applicability. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 9371. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23169371)
- 158. Kato, T.; Vykoukal, J.V.; Fahrmann, J.F.; Hanash, S. Extracellular Vesicles in Lung Cancer: Prospects for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Applications. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 4604. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13184604)
- 159. Labgaa, I.; Villanueva, A.; Dormond, O.; Demartines, N.; Melloul, E. The Role of Liquid Biopsy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Prognostication. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 659. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13040659)
- 160. Huang, T.; Song, C.; Zheng, L.; Xia, L.; Li, Y.; Zhou, Y. The Roles of Extracellular Vesicles in Gastric Cancer Development, Microenvironment, Anti-Cancer Drug Resistance, and Therapy. *Mol. Cancer* **2019**, *18*, 62. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-0967-5)
- 161. Bunduc, S.; Gede, N.; Váncsa, S.; Lillik, V.; Kiss, S.; Juhász, M.F.; Er˝oss, B.; Szakács, Z.; Gheorghe, C.; Mikó, A.; et al. Exosomes as Prognostic Biomarkers in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Transl. Res.* **2022**, *244*, 126–136. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2022.01.001)
- 162. Ronvaux, L.; Riva, M.; Coosemans, A.; Herzog, M.; Rommelaere, G.; Donis, N.; D'Hondt, L.; Douxfils, J. Liquid Biopsy in Glioblastoma. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3394. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14143394) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35884454)
- 163. Ran, Z.; Wu, S.; Ma, Z.; Chen, X.; Liu, J.; Yang, J. Advances in Exosome Biomarkers for Cervical Cancer. *Cancer Med.* **2022**, *11*, 4966–4978. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.4828) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35578572)
- 164. Zheng, X.; Li, X.; Wang, X. Extracellular Vesicle-Based Liquid Biopsy Holds Great Promise for the Management of Ovarian Cancer. *Biochimica. Et Biophysica. Acta. Bba. Rev. Cancer* **2020**, *1874*, 188395. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188395)
- 165. Bestard-Escalas, J.; Reigada, R.; Reyes, J.; Torre, P.D.; Liebisch, G.; Barceló-Coblijn, G. Fatty Acid Unsaturation Degree of Plasma Exosomes in Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Promising Biomarker. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 5060. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22105060) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34064646)
- 166. Elmallah, M.I.Y.; Ortega-Deballon, P.; Hermite, L.; Pais-De-Barros, J.; Gobbo, J.; Garrido, C. Lipidomic Profiling of Exosomes from Colorectal Cancer Cells and Patients Reveals Potential Biomarkers. *Mol. Oncol.* **2022**, *16*, 2710–2718. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.13223)
- 167. Hoshino, A.; Kim, H.S.; Bojmar, L.; Gyan, K.E.; Cioffi, M.; Hernandez, J.; Zambirinis, C.P.; Rodrigues, G.; Molina, H.; Heissel, S.; et al. Extracellular Vesicle and Particle Biomarkers Define Multiple Human Cancers. *Cell* **2020**, *182*, 1044–1061.e18. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.009)
- 168. Chang, L.-C.; Hsu, Y.-C.; Chiu, H.-M.; Ueda, K.; Wu, M.-S.; Kao, C.-H.; Shen, T.-L. Exploration of the Proteomic Landscape of Small Extracellular Vesicles in Serum as Biomarkers for Early Detection of Colorectal Neoplasia. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 732743. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.732743)
- 169. Sun, Z.; Ji, S.; Wu, J.; Tian, J.; Quan, W.; Shang, A.; Ji, P.; Xiao, W.; Liu, D.; Wang, X.; et al. Proteomics-Based Identification of Candidate Exosomal Glycoprotein Biomarkers and Their Value for Diagnosing Colorectal Cancer. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 725211. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.725211)
- 170. Shiromizu, T.; Kume, H.; Ishida, M.; Adachi, J.; Kano, M.; Matsubara, H.; Tomonaga, T. Quantitation of Putative Colorectal Cancer Biomarker Candidates in Serum Extracellular Vesicles by Targeted Proteomics. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 12782. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13092-x)
- 171. Zhong, M.-E.; Chen, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Xu, L.; Zhang, G.; Lu, J.; Qiu, H.; Ge, W.; Wu, B. Serum Extracellular Vesicles Contain SPARC and LRG1 as Biomarkers of Colon Cancer and Differ by Tumour Primary Location. *Ebiomedicine* **2019**, *50*, 211–223. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.003)
- 172. Porte, H.; Chastre, E.; Prevot, S.; Nordlinger, B.; Empereur, S.; Basset, P.; Chambon, P.; Gespach, C. Neoplastic Progression of Human Colorectal Cancer Is Associated with Overexpression of the Stromelysin-3 and BM-40/SPARC Genes. *Int. J. Cancer* **1995**, *64*, 70–75. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910640114) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7665251)
- 173. Porte, H.; Triboulet, J.P.; Kotelevets, L.; Carrat, F.; Prévot, S.; Nordlinger, B.; DiGioia, Y.; Wurtz, A.; Comoglio, P.; Gespach, C.; et al. Overexpression of Stromelysin-3, BM-40/SPARC, and MET Genes in Human Esophageal Carcinoma: Implications for Prognosis. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* **1998**, *4*, 1375–1382.
- 174. Ganig, N.; Baenke, F.; Thepkaysone, M.-L.; Lin, K.; Rao, V.S.; Wong, F.C.; Polster, H.; Schneider, M.; Helm, D.; Pecqueux, M.; et al. Proteomic Analyses of Fibroblast- and Serum-Derived Exosomes Identify QSOX1 as a Marker for Non-Invasive Detection of Colorectal Cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 1351. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13061351) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33802764)
- 175. Zheng, X.; Xu, K.; Zhou, B.; Chen, T.; Huang, Y.; Li, Q.; Wen, F.; Ge, W.; Wang, J.; Yu, S.; et al. A Circulating Extracellular Vesicles-Based Novel Screening Tool for Colorectal Cancer Revealed by Shotgun and Data-Independent Acquisition Mass Spectrometry. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **2020**, *9*, 1750202. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2020.1750202) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32363013)
- 176. Ji, L.; Fu, J.; Hao, J.; Ji, Y.; Wang, H.; Wang, Z.; Wang, P.; Xiao, H. Proteomics Analysis of Tissue Small Extracellular Vesicles Reveals Protein Panels for the Reoccurrence Prediction of Colorectal Cancer. *J. Proteom.* **2021**, *249*, 104347. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2021.104347)
- 177. Brocco, D.; Simeone, P.; Buca, D.; Marino, P.D.; Tursi, M.D.; Grassadonia, A.; Lellis, L.D.; Martino, M.T.; Veschi, S.; Iezzi, M.; et al. Blood Circulating CD133+ Extracellular Vesicles Predict Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 1357. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14051357) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35267665)
- 178. Lin, K.; Baenke, F.; Lai, X.; Schneider, M.; Helm, D.; Polster, H.; Rao, V.S.; Ganig, N.; Wong, F.C.; Seifert, L.; et al. Comprehensive Proteomic Profiling of Serum Extracellular Vesicles in Patients with Colorectal Liver Metastases Identifies a Signature for Non-Invasive Risk Stratification and Early-Response Evaluation. *Mol. Cancer* **2022**, *21*, 91. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-022-01562-4)
- 179. Rupert, D.L.M.; Claudio, V.; Lässer, C.; Bally, M. Methods for the Physical Characterization and Quantification of Extracellular Vesicles in Biological Samples. *Biochimica. Et Biophysica. Acta. Bba. Gen. Subj.* **2017**, *1861*, 3164–3179. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.07.028)
- 180. Santo, R.D.; Romanò, S.; Mazzini, A.; Jovanović, S.; Nocca, G.; Campi, G.; Papi, M.; Spirito, M.D.; Giacinto, F.D.; Ciasca, G. Recent Advances in the Label-Free Characterization of Exosomes for Cancer Liquid Biopsy: From Scattering and Spectroscopy to Nanoindentation and Nanodevices. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 1476. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/nano11061476)
- 181. Thakur, A.; Parra, D.C.; Motallebnejad, P.; Brocchi, M.; Chen, H.J. Exosomes: Small Vesicles with Big Roles in Cancer, Vaccine Development, and Therapeutics. *Bioact. Mater.* **2022**, *10*, 281–294. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.08.029)
- 182. Thakur, A.; Qiu, G.; Xu, C.; Han, X.; Yang, T.; NG, S.P.; Chan, K.W.Y.; Wu, C.M.L.; Lee, Y. Label-Free Sensing of Exosomal MCT1 and CD147 for Tracking Metabolic Reprogramming and Malignant Progression in Glioma. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, eaaz6119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz6119) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32637597)
- 183. Kotelevets, L.; Chastre, E. A New Story of the Three Magi: Scaffolding Proteins and LncRNA Suppressors of Cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 4264. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13174264)
- 184. Dou, Y.; Cha, D.J.; Franklin, J.L.; Higginbotham, J.N.; Jeppesen, D.K.; Weaver, A.M.; Prasad, N.; Levy, S.; Coffey, R.J.; Patton, J.G.; et al. Circular RNAs Are Down-Regulated in KRAS Mutant Colon Cancer Cells and Can Be Transferred to Exosomes. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 37982. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/srep37982) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27892494)
- 185. Lucchetti, D.; Zurlo, I.V.; Colella, F.; Ricciardi-Tenore, C.; Salvatore, M.D.; Tortora, G.; Maria, R.D.; Giuliante, F.; Cassano, A.; Basso, M.; et al. Mutational Status of Plasma Exosomal KRAS Predicts Outcome in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 22686. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01668-7)
- 186. Hsu, H.-H.; Kuo, W.-W.; Shih, H.-N.; Cheng, S.-F.; Yang, C.-K.; Chen, M.-C.; Tu, C.-C.; Viswanadha, V.P.; Liao, P.-H.; Huang, C.-Y. FOXC1 Regulation of MiR-31-5p Confers Oxaliplatin Resistance by Targeting LATS2 in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancers* **2019**, *11*, 1576. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11101576)
- 187. Xu, Y.; Zhu, M. Novel Exosomal MiR-46146 Transfer Oxaliplatin Chemoresistance in Colorectal Cancer. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* **2020**, *22*, 1105–1116. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s12094-019-02237-1)
- 188. Sun, F.; Liang, W.; Qian, J. The Identification of CRNDE, H19, UCA1 and HOTAIR as the Key LncRNAs Involved in Oxaliplatin or Irinotecan Resistance in the Chemotherapy of Colorectal Cancer Based on Integrative Bioinformatics Analysis. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2019**, *20*, 3583–3596. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2019.10588)
- 189. Zhang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Qu, J.; Che, X.; Fan, Y.; Hou, K.; Guo, T.; Deng, G.; Song, N.; Li, C.; et al. Exosomes Promote Cetuximab Resistance via the PTEN/Akt Pathway in Colon Cancer Cells. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* **2017**, *51*, e6472. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431x20176472) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29160412)
- 190. Kotelevets, L.; Chastre, E.; Caron, J.; Mougin, J.; Bastian, G.; Pineau, A.; Walker, F.; Lehy, T.; Desmaële, D.; Couvreur, P. A Squalene-Based Nanomedicine for Oral Treatment of Colon Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2017**, *77*, 2964–2975. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.can-16-1741) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28416486)
- 191. Colao, I.L.; Corteling, R.; Bracewell, D.; Wall, I. Manufacturing Exosomes: A Promising Therapeutic Platform. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2018**, *24*, 242–256. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2018.01.006)
- 192. Ahn, S.-H.; Ryu, S.-W.; Choi, H.; You, S.; Park, J.; Choi, C. Manufacturing Therapeutic Exosomes: From Bench to Industry. *Mol. Cells* **2022**, *45*, 284–290. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2022.2033) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35534190)
- 193. Srivastava, A.; Rathore, S.; Munshi, A.; Ramesh, R. Organically Derived Exosomes as Carriers of Anticancer Drugs and Imaging Agents for Cancer Treatment. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2022**, *86*, 80–100. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.02.020) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35192929)
- 194. Liu, C.; Su, C. Design Strategies and Application Progress of Therapeutic Exosomes. *Theranostics* **2019**, *9*, 1015–1028. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.7150/thno.30853)
- 195. Panigrahi, A.R.; Srinivas, L.; Panda, J. Exosomes: Insights and Therapeutic Applications in Cancer. *Transl. Oncol.* **2022**, *21*, 101439. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2022.101439) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35551002)
- 196. Nan, W.; Zhang, C.; Wang, H.; Chen, H.; Ji, S. Direct Modification of Extracellular Vesicles and Its Applications for Cancer Therapy: A Mini-Review. *Front. Chem.* **2022**, *10*, 910341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2022.910341) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35646829)
- 197. Tsuchiya, A.; Terai, S.; Horiguchi, I.; Homma, Y.; Saito, A.; Nakamura, N.; Sato, Y.; Ochiya, T.; Kino-oka, M.; Medicine, W.G. Basic Points to Consider Regarding the Preparation of Extracellular Vesicles and Their Clinical Applications in Japan. *Regen. Ther.* **2022**, *21*, 19–24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2022.05.003)
- 198. Zhenhuan, X.; Yun, L.; Qun, L.; Qinyuan, L.; Yong, L.; Yan, L.; Songzhi, W. EVs Delivery of MiR-1915-3p Improves the Chemotherapeutic Efficacy of Oxaliplatin in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Chemother. Pharm.* **2021**, *88*, 1021–1031. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-021-04348-5)
- 199. Bagheri, E.; Abnous, K.; Farzad, S.A.; Taghdisi, S.M.; Ramezani, M.; Alibolandi, M. Targeted Doxorubicin-Loaded Mesenchymal Stem Cells-Derived Exosomes as a Versatile Platform for Fighting against Colorectal Cancer. *Life Sci.* **2020**, *261*, 118369. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118369)
- 200. Hosseini, N.F.; Amini, R.; Ramezani, M.; Saidijam, M.; Hashemi, S.M.; Najafi, R. AS1411 Aptamer-Functionalized Exosomes in the Targeted Delivery of Doxorubicin in Fighting Colorectal Cancer. *Biomed. Pharm.* **2022**, *155*, 113690. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113690)
- 201. Li, Y.; Gao, Y.; Gong, C.; Wang, Z.; Xia, Q.; Gu, F.; Hu, C.; Zhang, L.; Guo, H.; Gao, S. A33 Antibody-Functionalized Exosomes for Targeted Delivery of Doxorubicin against Colorectal Cancer. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* **2018**, *14*, 1973–1985. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2018.05.020)
- 202. Go, G.; Park, H.J.; Lee, J.H.; Yun, C.W.; Lee, S.H. Inhibitory Effect of Oxaliplatin-Loaded Engineered Milk Extracellular Vesicles on Tumor Progression. *Anticancer. Res.* **2022**, *42*, 857–866. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.15543) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35093883)
- 203. Jing, B.; Gai, Y.; Qian, R.; Liu, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Gao, Y.; Lan, X.; An, R. Hydrophobic Insertion-Based Engineering of Tumor Cell-Derived Exosomes for SPECT/NIRF Imaging of Colon Cancer. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2021**, *19*, 7. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-020-00746-8) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33407513)
- 204. Huis in 't Veld, R.V.; Lara, P.; Jager, M.J.; Koning, R.I.; Ossendorp, F.; Cruz, L.J. M1-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Enhance Photodynamic Therapy and Promote Immunological Memory in Preclinical Models of Colon Cancer. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2022**, *20*, 252. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-022-01448-z)
- 205. Liang, G.; Zhu, Y.; Ali, D.J.; Tian, T.; Xu, H.; Si, K.; Sun, B.; Chen, B.; Xiao, Z. Engineered Exosomes for Targeted Co-Delivery of MiR-21 Inhibitor and Chemotherapeutics to Reverse Drug Resistance in Colon Cancer. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2020**, *18*, 10. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-019-0563-2) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31918721)
- 206. Kwon, S.-H.; Faruque, H.A.; Kee, H.; Kim, E.; Park, S. Exosome-Based Hybrid Nanostructures for Enhanced Tumor Targeting and Hyperthermia Therapy. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2021**, *205*, 111915. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2021.111915)
- 207. Ruijie, Q.; Boping, J.; Dawei, J.; Yongkang, G.; Ziyang, Z.; Xiaojuan, H.; Yu, G.; Xiaoli, L.; Rui, A. Multi-Antitumor Therapy and Synchronous Imaging Monitoring Based on Exosome. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. I* **2022**, *49*, 2668–2681. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-022-05696-x)
- 208. Weng, W.; Goel, A. Curcumin and Colorectal Cancer: An Update and Current Perspective on This Natural Medicine. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2022**, *80*, 73–86. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.02.011)
- 209. Kamerkar, S.; Leng, C.; Burenkova, O.; Jang, S.C.; McCoy, C.; Zhang, K.; Dooley, K.; Kasera, S.; Zi, T.; Sisó, S.; et al. Exosome-Mediated Genetic Reprogramming of Tumor-Associated Macrophages by ExoASO-STAT6 Leads to Potent Monotherapy Antitumor Activity. *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, eabj7002. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abj7002)
- 210. Gade, A.; Sharma, A.; Srivastava, N.; Flora, S.J.S. Surface Plasmon Resonance: A Promising Approach for Label-Free Early Cancer Diagnosis. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2022**, *527*, 79–88. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2022.01.023)
- 211. Haldavnekar, R.; Venkatakrishnan, K.; Tan, B. Cancer Stem Cell Derived Extracellular Vesicles with Self-Functionalized 3D Nanosensor for Real-Time Cancer Diagnosis: Eliminating the Roadblocks in Liquid Biopsy. *ACS Nano* **2022**, *16*, 12226–12243. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c02971)
- 212. Lin, C.; Liang, S.; Li, Y.; Peng, Y.; Huang, Z.; Li, Z.; Yang, Y.; Luo, X. Localized Plasmonic Sensor for Direct Identifying Lung and Colon Cancer from the Blood. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2021**, *211*, 114372. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2022.114372)
- 213. Zhuang, J.; Xia, L.; Zou, Z.; Yin, J.; Lin, N.; Mu, Y. Recent Advances in Integrated Microfluidics for Liquid Biopsies and Future Directions. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2022**, *217*, 114715. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2022.114715) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36174359)
- 214. Iqbal, M.J.; Javed, Z.; Herrera-Bravo, J.; Sadia, H.; Anum, F.; Raza, S.; Tahir, A.; Shahwani, M.N.; Sharifi-Rad, J.; Calina, D.; et al. Biosensing Chips for Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment: A New Wave towards Clinical Innovation. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2022**, *22*, 354. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-022-02777-7) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36376956)
- 215. Gharib, G.; Bütün, İ.; Muganlı, Z.; Kozalak, G.; Namlı, İ.; Sarraf, S.S.; Ahmadi, V.E.; Toyran, E.; van Wijnen, A.J.; Koşar, A. Biomedical Applications of Microfluidic Devices: A Review. *Biosensors* **2022**, *12*, 1023. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/bios12111023)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.