

Unexpected biotic homogenization masks the effect of a pollution gradient on local variability of community structure in a marine urban environment

Robin P.M. Gauff, Dominique Davoult, Olivier Bohner, Jérôme Coudret, Stéphanie Jacquet, Stéphane Loisel, Simon Rondeau, Emmanuel Wafo, Christophe D Lejeusne

▶ To cite this version:

Robin P.M. Gauff, Dominique Davoult, Olivier Bohner, Jérôme Coudret, Stéphanie Jacquet, et al.. Unexpected biotic homogenization masks the effect of a pollution gradient on local variability of community structure in a marine urban environment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2023, 562, pp.151882. 10.1016/j.jembe.2023.151882. hal-04038070

HAL Id: hal-04038070 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04038070

Submitted on 20 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Unexpected Biotic Homogenization Masks the Effect of a Pollution Gradient on

2 Local Variability of Community Structure in a Marine Urban Environment.

Robin Gauff^{1*}, Dominique Davoult¹, Olivier Bohner¹, Jérôme Coudret¹, Stéphanie Jacquet², Stéphane Loisel¹, Simon Rondeau¹, Emmanuel Wafo³, Christophe Lejeusne⁴

- 1 Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7144, Adaptation et Diversité en Milieu Marin, Station Biologique Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France
- 2 Aix Marseille Univ, Université Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110, Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography, Marseille, France
- 3 Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, SSA, MCT, 13385 Marseille, France
- 4 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, Avignon Université, IMBE, UMR 7263, Station Marine d'Endoume, Rue de la Batterie des Lions, 13007 Marseille, France
- * Corresponding author: gauff.robin@yahoo.de; +33658431366; Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Abstract. Urbanization of coastal habitats, often exemplified by harbors and marinas, has led to various ecological paradigms, questioning the functioning of these new ecosystems. In the present study we investigated, in a large Mediterranean harbor, whether spatial variation of pollution is present and if this variability drives the structure of the sessile community. We hypothesized locations to have significantly different communities, based on the assumption on the occurrence of environmental gradients of pollution that would constitute selective filters. Three distinct community types were identified in June, coinciding with spatially variable contaminants in sediments. We observed then an unexpected shift of the community between June and August associated to a sharp decrease in biodiversity and a decline of most species, masking the effects of local variation and thus leading to the homogenization of the biodiversity within the harbor. This shift coincided with successive heatwaves (the longest lasting 13 days over 25°C, with a thermal peak at 28°C) which might potentially be associated with a die-off in the harbor communities, regardless of location.

Keywords: fouling communities, harbor, Marina, local variation, pollution, heat-wave, introduced

17

18

species

19

Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Urbanization of coastal habitats has led to various ecological paradigms, questioning the functioning of these new ecosystems (Bulleri, 2006; Bulleri and Chapman, 2010). At the forefront, harbors and marinas have been used as models, representative of marine urbanization. A particular focus has been accorded to the role of introduced species, established and abundant in these environments (Mineur et al., 2012). The number of species introductions rose exponentially since the beginning of marine trade traffic, a trend which will continue in the coming decades (Carrasco et al., 2017; Levine and D'Antonio, 2003; Sardain et al., 2019; Seebens et al., 2016). Non Indigenous Species (NIS), if they become invasive, can have high ecological impacts, completely restructuring the ecosystems which can lead to the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services (Johnston et al., 2015; Pejchar and Mooney, 2009; Walsh et al., 2016). This loss of services and the investment to combat these species can also cause severe economic impacts, ranging from hundreds of millions to tens of billions dollars for individual countries (Diagne et al., 2021; Jardine and Sanchirico, 2018). Artificial structures constitute a new substratum to be colonized by sessile fauna, but diverging from natural habitats with distinct communities and altered diversity (Chan and Briski, 2017; Glasby et al., 2007; Mineur et al., 2012; Oricchio et al., 2016). Diversity at all scales is generally regarded as a contributor to biotic resistance against introduced species (Arenas et al., 2006; Elton, 1958; Gestoso et al., 2018; Megina et al., 2016). Associated with the proximity to the primary vector of species introductions (ships' hull and ballast waters) it makes these substrates highly susceptible to marine introduced species (Ferrario et al., 2017; Glasby et al., 2007; Megina et al., 2016; Oricchio et al., 2016). NIS, especially in urban habitats, are regarded as a major actor of global homogenization of biodiversity (Alberti, 2015; Mckinney and Lockwood, 1999; Mineur et al., 2012; Tsirintanis et al., 2022) and are among the major causes of species extinctions (Blackburn et al., 2019; Clavero and García-Berthou, 2005; Mckinney and Lockwood, 1999). High ambient pollution in Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and Elemental Trace Metals (ETMs) constitutes strong selective filters which profoundly affect local communities, potentially favoring introduced species and leading to strong environmental patchiness (Gauff et al., 2022a; Je et al., 2004; Kenworthy et al., 2018b; Oricchio et al., 2016). Copper, which is used as active component in many antifouling coatings of ships, has been shown to be a major selective agent in harbor environments and seems to favor introduced species, due to their higher tolerance (Canning-Clode et al., 2011; Osborne and Poynton, 2019; Piola et al., 2009). This selective pressure can lead to differential resistance among populations of the same introduced species (Piola and Johnston, 2006) and has been observed to have an effect on populations separated by short

- distances (~ 100 m; Gauff et al., 2022a). Local adaptation as a response to such selective pressure, can however be impaired by larger scale pulse disturbances exerting identical pressure on the studied environments, favoring genotypes not linked to the original pressure which can homogenize the local populations (Colautti and Lau, 2015; Kawecki and Ebert, 2004). Anthropic pulse-disturbances in urban habitats like pulse-pollution (Johnston et al., 2002; Johnston and Keough, 2002, 2000) or marine heat waves (Castro et al., 2021; Smale et al., 2019, 2015) have been demonstrated to have drastic impacts on settled communities and together with introduced species are regarded as contributors to global homogenization of biodiversity (Firth et al., 2016; Mckinney and Lockwood, 1999; Waldock et al., 2018).

 Previous studies showed that, in some harbors, pollution may be organized as gradient with maximal
 - pollution in the inner parts of the harbor and less strong pollution at the entrance (Gauff et al., 2022a; Je et al., 2004; Kenworthy et al., 2018b; Ryu et al., 2011). Communities are organized along these gradients and display thus high intra-harbor variability, that can be greater than inter-harbor variability when comparing the same functional areas (Je et al., 2004; Kenworthy et al., 2018b; Ryu et al., 2011). In the present study we investigated, in a large Mediterranean harbor, how spatial variation of pollutants may drive the structure of the sessile community. In accordance with previous studies, we expected 1) a spatial variability of pollution with higher pollution at the inner part of the harbor associated to 2) a variability of community structure with 3) lower biodiversity at the inner location. Our experiment however coincided with unexpected extreme heat events, which could, as larger scale disturbance, reduce this observed variability.

Material and Methods

- 22 Study site
 - We focused on the Vieux Port de Marseille, a recreational marina with approximately 3200 boat moorings and zones dedicated to careening activities, artisanal fisher boats and short distance ferry transports. The high number of boats and the multiplicity of maritime activities renders this site a key example of human disturbance in anthropized habitats. Within this harbor three locations were chosen to show intra-harbor variability of the environment and the associated community. The locations were oriented on a hypothetic gradient of anthropic disturbance from the entrance to the inner part of the harbor (Fig. 1; Je et al. 2004; Kenworthy et al. 2018b).




Fig. 1: Site of the Vieux Port de Marseille. The three study locations are indicated.

Environmental variables

Per location, three surface sediment samples (depth ranged from 3 - 7 m) of approximately 0.4 kg were taken for Persistent Organic Pollutant analysis, including PolyChlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and the most frequent pesticides (see Table 1). Five more samples were sampled for quantifying Metallic Trace Elements (MTEs). Samples were frozen and lyophilized before analysis.

The PCBs and pesticides assessment method has been described in detail in the supplementary material of Gauff et al. (2022b) and in Wafo et al. (2006). We quantified 33 individual PCB congeners which include molecules targeted by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). The targeted pesticides can be seen in Table 1. PAH quantification of all 16 PAH targeted by the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) priority list (Table 1, US EPA 2014), was conducted following Sarrazin et al. (2006), Ratier et al. (2018) and Dron et al. (2019) and is described in detail in the supplementary material of Gauff et al. (2022b).

Samples for Metallic Trace Elements (MTEs) quantification were dissolved in a three-acid solution (HCl, HNO₃, HF; all Suprapur grade). The analysis was conducted with a Sector Field Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (SF-ICP-MS; ELEMENT XR, ThermoElement) as described in Jacquet et al. (2021). The spectrometer was calibrated with standard solutions and an external calibration method using Indium as internal standard. Dissolution procedure efficiency and SF-ICP-MS measurement

- 1 quality were verified by the determination of concentrations of target elements in Certified Reference
- 2 Materials (MESS-4, SLRS-5, SLEW-3 and CASS-5).
- Water temperature at 1 m depth was logged at each location with a HOBO® (Onset®) TidbiT v2 Water
- 4 Temperature Data Logger. We used a 15-minute measure interval for the whole duration of the
- 5 experiment (135 days). We used the temperature data from the SOMLIT (Service d'Observation en
- 6 Milieu Littoral; www.somlit.fr; consulted 30th Nov 2021) surveillance station at Marseille: Frioul (11) at
- 7 surface level from mid-June to end of August for the years 1990 to 2021 as reference to compare our
- 8 measurements. An extreme heat event would constitute any situation where temperatures exceed the
- 9 90th percentile of these 30 years of historic data ($T > 24.7^{\circ}$ C), potentially constituting a heat wave if the
- event lasts for at least 5 days (Hobday et al., 2016). We allowed for a slightly higher temperature in
- the marina and fixed the threshold at 25°C.

12

13

Experimental design

- 14 At each location, 10 Correx® Polyethylene panels (0.2 x 0.2 m) were placed horizontally at a constant
- depth of 1 m on the entirely shaded underside of floating pontoons in April 2019. The distance of the
- panels to the seafloor varied among locations, between 3 and 7 m. After two months (65 days, June
- 17 2019), allowing sessile communities to settle, 5 panels per location were randomly sampled. The stress
- on communities during handling was minimized as much as possible and all manipulations were done
- 19 underwater and in shaded conditions. After two additional months (70 days more, August) the
- 20 remaining 5 panels per location were sampled.
- 21 For both dates, the destructively sampled panels were analyzed in the laboratory. A 144-point grid was
- 22 superimposed on the panels (stratified point design) and at each point all species were counted. This
- allowed for an optimal resolution for species contributing to at least 5% cover (~ 0.4 points cm⁻²;
- 24 Taormina et al., 2020). If one species overlapped with another, both were noted to account for
- 25 epibionts as well as the different strata of the community. Species were identified to the lowest
- taxonomic level possible (Brunetti and Mastrototaro, 2017; Hayward and Ryland, 1995; Riedl, 1983;
- Zabala and Maluquer, 1988).

28

29

Statistical analyses

- 30 Contaminant data were compiled in a table with the individual contaminant name, its family, and its
- 31 mean concentration for all three locations along with standard deviation. We used uniformly a Kruskal-

1 Wallis test (R version 4.0.3; R Core Team 2020) to test for significant differences between locations as 2 conditions for parametric tests were not respected for all contaminants. As numerous contaminants 3 were tested for differences between sites we adjusted the p-value for each contaminant category 4 (MTEs, PAHs, PCBs, Pesticides) with a Benjaminji-Hochberg correction to avoid false positives. 5 Reference values given by the Canadian sediment quality guidelines and by the US Environmental Protection Agency are indicated for all contaminants (CCME, 1999; US EPA, 2005). For MTEs an 6 7 additional sediment quality category by Guerra-García et al. 2021 is indicated. To avoid problems 8 arising from high multicollinearity, further analysis were conducted using latent variables (Dormann et 9 al., 2013). The contaminant matrix was submitted to a CCA from the 'vegan' R package (version 2.4-6; 10 Oksanen et al. 2018) and centroid coordinates were extracted. The centroid CCA1 value (Axis 1 11 explained 78% of variability) was computed into a 2-degree orthogonal polynomial for use as 12 contamination weight (Cont.wt1, Cont.wt2). 13 All community data were analyzed in 'vegan'. The community matrix derived from the destructive 14 sampling containing the multi strata cover of all present species for each panel was transformed into 15 a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix used in a nMDS comparing panels from the 3 locations in June and 16 August. Multivariate homogeneity of groups (Date: Location) was verified via the 'betadisper' function 17 of the R package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al., 2018). A PERMANOVA (10⁵ permutations) tested for the effect of date, location as well as their interaction on community structure. A second PERMANOVA (105 18 19 permutations) was conducted by considering the previously computed contamination weight 20 (Cont.wt) as quantitative variable replacing the categorical location. The model tested the effect of 21 date, contamination weight, as well as their interaction for both polynomial degrees (see Table 3). A 22 subsequent pairwise PERMANOVA (105 permutations) from the 'pairwiseAdonis' R package (version 23 0.3; Martinez Arbizu 2019) including a Benjamini-Hochberg correction was conducted to spatially and temporally compare individual locations (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). For each panel, species 24 25 richness, the Shannon-Weaver index as well as the Pielou evenness were calculated. They were 26 compared among locations at each date using a Dunn test from the 'FSA' R package (version 0.8.32; 27 Ogle et al. 2021) accounting for the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure and they were compared between 28 dates using a Kruskal-Wallis test. SIMPER analysis was conducted to determine the species' 29 contribution to the observed temporal difference (Clarke, 1993). The percent cover of the species 30 contributing more than 5% to contrasts between treatments in the SIMPER analysis was compared

Results

31

32

33

Contaminants

between June and August with a Kruskal-Wallis test.

16

1 All contaminants, for which reference values were present, largely exceeded the Canadian sediment 2 quality guidelines as well as concentrations at which 20% and 50% of sediments tested by the US 3 Environmental Protection Agency would become toxic to model amphipods (Table 1; CCME 1999; US 4 EPA 2005). PAHs in the sediments of the Vieux Port de Marseille were highly concentrated. Most 5 notably, Benzo[a]pyrene, Benzo[k]fluoranthene and Phenanthrene were exceeding three to four times 6 the concentration at which a 50% probability of toxicity is reached (Table 1, US EPA 2005). However, 7 the only POP varying significantly between locations were total PCBs (K-W, p < 0.05), which exceeded 8 quality thresholds by orders of magnitude. 9 Most tested MTEs had significant higher concentrations at the inner location compared to the entrance 10 location (K-W, p < 0.05), however concentrations in the middle location were close to the inner 11 concentrations. MTE concentrations were all above the Canadian sediment quality guideline and above 12 the concentration of 20% probability of toxicity and fell within a sediment quality category of moderate for As and Cr and bad for Cu and Pb and Zn (Table 2; CCME 1999; US EPA 2005; Guerra-García et al. 13 2021). The Cu concentration in sediments even exceeded a 80% probability of toxicity at all locations 14 15 (US EPA, 2005).

Table 1: Concentration of POP contaminants in the sediments of the three study locations. Mean values ($\mu g.kg^{-1} = ppb$) with standard deviation. Contaminants with significant differences between locations (Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 0.05) indicated by *. Reference values given by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME 1999; ISQG: Interim Sediment Quality Guideline; PEL: Probable Effect Level); and the United Stated Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA 2005, Table 11; T20: probability of 20% of toxicity among samples; T50: probability of 50% of toxicity among samples). Bold font for reference values: threshold exceeded.

	Ī		
		7	
4			

Polycyclic Aromatic	S	ite mean ± SD		K-W	CCM	E	US E	PA
Hydrocarbon (PAH) μg.kg ⁻¹	Inner	Middle	Entrace	test	ISQG	PEL	T20	T50
Acenaphthene	192 ± 64.3	230 ± 33.5	70 ± 21.4	ns	6.71	88.9	19	120
Acenaphthylene	96.8 ± 14.4	84.3 ± 13.2	37.1 ± 18.4	ns	5.87	128	14	140
Anthracene	660 ± 75.7	677 ± 21.3	389 ± 21.4	ns	46.9	245	34	290
Benzo[<i>a</i>]pyrene	1185 ± 170	1687 ± 230	590 ± 106	ns	88.8	763	69	520
Benzo[a]anthracene	1210 ± 115	1571 ± 221	838 ± 127	ns	74.8	693	61	470
Benzo[<i>g,h,i</i>]perylene	665 ± 35.3	509 ± 160	369 ± 48.8	ns			67	500
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1184 ± 180	1184 ± 120	702 ± 4.6	ns			130	1110
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1523 ± 275	1971 ± 242	953 ± 106	ns			70	540
Chrysene	103 ± 16	174 ± 77.1	62.9 ± 14.6	ns	108	846	82	650
Dibenzo[<i>a,h</i>]anthracene	1652 ± 272	2112 ± 313	1112 ± 98.6	ns	6.22	135	19	110
Fluorene	580 ± 31.5	1099 ± 716	290 ± 30.3	ns	21.2	144	19	110
Fluoranthene	486 ± 117	575 ± 128	207 ± 23.2	ns	113	1494	120	1030
Indeno[1,2,3- <i>c,d</i>]pyrene	570 ± 20.6	581 ± 142	494 ± 80.1	ns			68	490
Naphthalene	57.4 ± 15.3	78 ± 13	41.2 ± 12.4	ns	34.6	391	30	220
Phenanthrene	1233 ± 169	1691 ± 293	621 ± 42.9	ns	86.7	544	68	460
Pyrene	767 ± 46.3	962 ± 144	389 ± 65.2	ns	153	1398	120	930
Polychlorinated Biphenyl (tPCB) µg.kg ⁻¹								
tPCBs	1252 ± 6.27	1395 ± 15.9	455 ± 7.61	*	21.5	189	35	370
Pesticides μg.kg ⁻¹								
aldrin	2.74 ± 0.22	2.53 ± 0.14	5.32 ± 0.36	ns				
Trans_chlordane	1.57 ± 0.28	1.18 ± 0.05	2.42 ± 0.03	ns				
cis_chlordane	0.73 ± 0.22	0.11 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.21	ns				
tChlordane	2.3 ± 0.2	1.29 ± 0.08	2.81 ± 0.19	ns	2.26	4.79		
diazinon	4.3 ± 0.38	2.92 ± 0.65	2.09 ± 0.55	ns				
dieldrin	7.11 ± 0.09	11.3 ± 1.44	2.4 ± 0.26	ns	0.71	4.3	0.83	2.9
pp'-DDD	5.33 ± 0.55	12.3 ± 1.59	13.1 ± 1.46	ns	1.22	7.81	2.2	19
pp'-DDE	8.58 ± 1.39	15 ± 1.61	17.8 ± 1.96	ns	2.07	374	3.1	100
pp'-DDT	0.66 ± 0.19	1.82 ± 0.1	2.55 ± 0.16	ns	1.19	4.77	1.7	11
endosulfan-1	1.83 ± 0.44	3.74 ± 0.53	2.26 ± 0.1	ns				
endosulfan- 2	21.2 ± 0.94	13.8 ± 1.26	2.57 ± 0.17	ns				
heptachlor	6.09 ± 0.54	6.02 ± 0.43	3.89 ± 0.28	ns	0.6	2.74		
heptachlor_epoxide_a	23.9 ± 0.95	15.3 ± 2.67	5.8 ± 0.57	ns				
heptachlor_epoxide_b	1.6 ± 0.4	0.84 ± 0.14	0.47 ± 0.11	ns				
isodrin	5.68 ± 0.38	5.52 ± 0.43	8.45 ± 0.14	ns				
lindane	3.89 ± 0.34	3.83 ± 0.28	2.07 ± 0.4	ns	0.32	0.99		
methoxychlor	5.95 ± 0.48	15.7 ± 0.83	16 ± 1.03	ns				

Table 2: Concentrations of MTE contaminants in the sediments of the three study locations. Mean values (mg.kg⁻¹ = ppm) with standard deviation. Contaminants with significant differences between locations (Kruskal-Wallis test) indicated by * p < 0.05. Reference values given by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME 1999; ISQG: Interim Sediment Quality Guideline; PEL: Probable Effect Level); and the United Stated Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA 2005, Table 11; T20: probability of 20% of toxicity among samples; T50: probability of 50% of toxicity among samples). Quality category after Guerra-García et al. (2021) indicated. Bold font for reference values: threshold exceeded.

Metallic Trace Elements	Loc	cation mean ± SD		K-W	CCM	ΙE	US EP	'A	Quality
(MTE) mg.kg ⁻¹	Inner	Middle	Entrace	test	ISQG	PEL	T20	T50	category ¹
Al27(MR)	12927 ± 3151	8400 ± 3829	5501 ± 1881	*					
As75(HR)	29.2 ± 5.95	31.5 ± 3.62	18.6 ± 2.78	*	7.24	41.6	7.4	20	Moderate
Co59(MR)	4.55 ± 1.65	4.82 ± 2.13	2.07 ± 0.2	*					Good
Cr52(MR)	68.6 ± 14.6	66.4 ± 9.42	27.8 ± 4.54	*	52.3	160	49	140	Moderate
Cu63(MR)	611 ± 111	545 ± 73.4	279 ± 103	*	18.7	108	32	94	Bad
Fe56(MR)	12881 ± 3158	12867 ± 1357	6859 ± 2341	*					
Mn55(MR)	164 ± 33.3	158.2 ± 13.2	126 ± 9.19	*					
Ni60(MR)	17.7 ± 2.76	17.3 ± 2.36	7.89 ± 1.49	*			15	47	Good
Pb208(LR)	299 ± 63.7	262 ± 26.2	136 ± 27.5	*	30.2	112	30	94	Bad
Ti47(MR)	1373 ± 301	1414 ± 251	723 ± 129	*					
V51(MR)	68.5 ± 16.7	70.8 ± 5.28	28.7 ± 1.65	*					
Zn66(MR)	665 ± 151	531 ± 85.8	198 ± 18.5	*	124	271	94	240	Bad

1 Temperature

The temperature curve of all three locations revealed a similar trend among locations with no striking temperature differences among locations. Taking into account the framework proposed by Hobday et al. (2016), between June and August two major heatwaves can be noted with temperatures exceeding 25°C during 13 and 7 days respectively, and a maximum temperature of 28°C, followed by a smaller heat spike (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2: Temperature curve for each location. Start and sampling dates as well as notable values are indicated.

Community

The analysis of community data derived from the laboratory analysis of panels in June and August showed a significant variability both in space and time (Fig. 3). Homogeneity of group dispersion was verified (Betadisper, F = 1.97, p = 0.12) allowing the application of a PERMANOVA showing a significant effect of location, date as well as their interaction (Table 3). This interaction indicated that the effect of location on community structure was unequal between both dates and may be attributed to the overall more similar communities in August compared to June, when higher differences between locations can be observed (Fig. 3). This was also observable when replacing location with contamination weight derived from the CCA centroids of the contaminant matrix. The PERMANOVA identified a significant effect of date, contamination weight and their interaction, for both polynomial degrees of contamination weight (Table 3). In both cases, interpretation of interaction terms required a subsequent pairwise comparison. The pairwise-PERMANOVA revealed a systematic difference for each of the three locations between June and August ($P_{adj.} < 0.05$, $R^2 > 0.75$; Table 3). All locations differed significantly from each other in June ($P_{adj.} < 0.05$; $R^2 > 0.54$; Table 3), while they were only significantly different between the inner and the middle location in August ($P_{adj.} = 0.02$, $P_a = 0.44$; Table 3).




Fig. 3: Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (nMDS) of analyzed communities. Communities from a location are systematically different between June and August (PERMANOVA, p adj. < 0.05, $R^2 > 0.75$). Communities differ between locations in June (PERMANOVA, p adj. < 0.05, $R^2 > 0.54$). Inner and Middle different in August (PERMANOVA p adj = 0.02, $R^2 = 0.44$)

Community structure ~ Date * Location Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001 **** Location 2 8.34 0.09 < 0.001 **** Date: Location 2 10.85 0.12 < 0.001 **** Residuals 24 0.13 **** Coverall Test with Contaction Image: Structure * Date * Cont.wtl + Date * Cont.wtl** **** Community structure * Date * Cont.wtl + Date * Cont.wtl** Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001 **** Cont.wtl 1 17.74 0.04 0.003 *** Date: Cont.wtl 1 7.38 0.04 0.001 *** Pairwise PERMANOVA *** *** *** Residuals 24 ** Pr (>F) JE vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 ** Within date June 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 <th>PERMANOVA results</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	PERMANOVA results					
Date Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001	Overall Test with Location					
Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001 **** Location 2 8.34 0.09 < 0.001	(Community structur	e ~ Da	ate * Locatio			
Location 2 8.34 0.09 < 0.001 *** Date : Location 2 10.85 0.12 < 0.001 *** Residuals 24 0.13		Df	F.Model	R ²	Pr (>F)	
Date : Location 2 8.34 0.09 < 0.001 **** Residuals 24 0.13 **** Overall Test with Contamination [Community structure ~ Date * Cont.wt1 + Date * Cont.wt2) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001	Date	1	117.55	0.65	< 0.001	***
Residuals 24 0.13 Overall Test with Contamination (Community structure ~ Date * Cont.wt1 + Date * Cont.wt2) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001 *** Cont.wt1 1 7.74 0.04 0.003 ** Cont.wt2 1 8.95 0.05 0.001 *** Date : Cont.wt1 1 7.38 0.04 0.003 ** Date : Cont.wt2 1 14.31 0.08 < 0.001	Location	2	8.34	0.09	< 0.001	***
Overall Test with Contamination (Community structure ~ Date * Cont.wt1 + Date * Cont.wt2) Date Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001	Date : Location	2	10.85	0.12	< 0.001	***
Cont.wt1 + Date * Cont.wt2 between June and August* Community structure ~ Date ** Cont.wt1 R² Pr (>F) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001				0.13		
Date Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001						
Date 1 117.55 0.65 < 0.001	(Community structur	e ~ Da	ate * Cont.w	t1 + Date	* Cont.wt	:2)
Cont.wt1 1 7.74 0.04 0.003 ** Cont.wt2 1 8.95 0.05 0.001 ** Date : Cont.wt1 1 7.38 0.04 0.003 ** Date : Cont.wt2 1 14.31 0.08 < 0.001 *** Pairwise PERMANOVA (Community structure ~ Date : Location) Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * J M vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 5.63 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 *		Df	F.Model	R²	Pr (>F)	
Cont.wt2 1 8.95 0.05 0.001 ** Date : Cont.wt1 1 7.38 0.04 0.003 ** Date : Cont.wt2 1 14.31 0.08 < 0.001 *** Residuals 24 0.13 Pairwise PERMANOVA (Community structure ~ Date : Location) Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A E J 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J E vs A E J 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 *	Date	1	117.55	0.65	< 0.001	***
Date : Cont.wt2 1 8.93 0.05 0.001 Date : Cont.wt2 1 14.31 0.08 < 0.001	Cont.wt1	1	7.74	0.04	0.003	**
Date : Cont.wt1 1 7.36 0.04 0.003 Pairwise PERMANOVA (Community structure ~ Date : Location) Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * J M vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Cont.wt2	1	8.95	0.05	0.001	**
Bate : Cont.wt2 1 14.51 0.08 ₹ 0.001 Residuals 24 0.13 Pairwise PERMANOVA (Community structure ~ Date : Location) Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Date : Cont.wt1	1	7.38	0.04	0.003	
Pairwise PERMANOVA (Community structure ~ Date : Location) Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * J M vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Date : Cont.wt2	1	14.31	0.08	< 0.001	***
Community structure ~ Date : Location	Residuals	24		0.13		
Within date June Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * J M vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Pairwise PERMANOV	Ά				
Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	(Community structur	e ~ Da	ate : Locatio	n)		
J E vs J M 1 9.22 0.54 0.012 * J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Within date June					
J E vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 * J M vs J I 1 16.7 0.68 0.012 * Within date August Df F.Model R ² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R ² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *		Df	F.Model	R ²	Pr (>F)	
J M vs J I 1 19.01 0.7 0.012 Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	J E vs J M	1	9.22	0.54		
Within date August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 0.44 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	J E vs J I	1	19.01	0.7	0.012	*
Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	J M vs J I	1	16.7	0.68	0.012	*
A E vs A I 1 1.56 0.16 0.26 A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R ² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Within date August					
A E vs A M 1 2.04 0.2 0.16 A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R ² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *		Df	F.Model	R ²	Pr (>F)	
A M vs A I 1 6.36 0.44 0.018 * Between June and August Df F.Model R ² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	A E vs A I	1	1.56	0.16	0.26	
Between June and August Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	A E vs A M	1	2.04	0.2	0.16	
Df F.Model R² Pr (>F) J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	A M vs A I	1	6.36	0.44	0.018	*
J E vs A E 1 24.06 0.75 0.012 * J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *	Between June and Au	ugust				
J M vs A M 1 45.74 0.85 0.012 *		Df	F.Model	R ²	Pr (>F)	
J 101 V3 A 101 1 43.74 0.03 0.012	JE vs AE	1	24.06	0.75	0.012	*
1 110 00 0 04 0 04 4	J M vs A M	1	45.74	0.85	0.012	*
J 1 V S A I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	JI vs A I	1	118.88	0.94	0.012	*

Table 3: Results from the PERMANOVA and the pairwise PERMANOVA on community structure. Cont.wt1: Contamination weight polynomial degree 1; Cont.wt2: Contamination weight polynomial degree 2; J: June; A: August; E: Entrance location; M: Middle location; I: Inner location. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

While a total of 28 species were identified during this study (Table 4), species richness per panel varied from 5 to 12 species. The average number of species per panel was 9.1 and remained constant between both studied periods (8.8 in June, 9.4 in August). Shannon index ranged from 1.09 to 2.58, and Pielou evenness ranged between 0.39 and 0.85. Significantly higher values of indices were detected in June (Dunn test, p < 0.001, Fig. 4), but not for species richness. For each date, no significant differences of diversity indices were found among locations (Dunn test). The polychaete annelids Serpulinae (Rioja, 1923), the serpulid worm *Simplaria pseudomilitaris* (Thiriot-Quievreux, 1965), the

1	bryozoan Bugula neritina (Linnaeus, 1758) and the colonial ascidians Botryllus schlosseri (Pallas, 1766)
2	and $\it Diplosoma$ listerianum (Milne Edwards, 1841) accounted to over 85% of the contrast between June
3	and August (Fig. 5). These species are also the five most abundant species with a mean cover of more
4	than 5% at both dates. Most of these species suffered a significant reduction of their percent cover
5	between June and August (p < 0.01, Fig. 6A) except the sub-family of the Serpulinae which significantly
6	increased (p < 0.001, Fig. 6A). The latter is linked to a significantly increased total cover from June to
7	August (p = 0.002 , Fig. 6B). Significant differences of total cover between locations could only be
8	identified in June between the middle and the entrance location ($P_{adj.} = 0.04$).
9	
10	
11	
12 13	Table 4: Species list for the Marina. Non-Indigenous Species (NIS) and cryptogenic species (crypto.) are indicated with a respective source.
14	

Annelida		Status	Source
Hydroides elegans	(Haswell, 1883)	NIS	(Langeneck et al., 2020)
Hydroides norvegica	Gunnerus, 1768		
Janua heterostropha	(Montagu, 1803)		
Jugaria granulata	(Linnaeus, 1767)		
Neodexiospira brasiliensis	(Grube, 1872)	NIS	(Hayward and Ryland, 1995)
Pileolaria berkeleyana	(Rioja, 1942)	NIS	(Langeneck et al., 2020)
Pileolaria militaris	(Claparède, 1870)		
Simplaria pseudomilitaris	(Thiriot-Quievreux, 1965)		
Serpula concharum	(Langerhans, 1880)		
Serpula vermicularis	(Linnaeus, 1767)		
Spirorbis marioni	(Caullery & Mesnil, 1897)	NIS	(Langeneck et al., 2020)
Spirorbis sp.	(Daudin, 1800)		
Arthropoda			
Perforatus perforatus	(Bruguière, 1789)		
Urochordata			
Ascidiella aspersa	(Müller, 1776)	NIS	(Zenetos et al., 2017)
Bortylloides sp.	(Milne-Edwards, 1841)		
Botryllus schlosseri	(Pallas, 1766)	Crypto.	(Zenetos et al., 2017)
Ciona intestinalis	(Linnaeus, 1767)		
Diplosoma listerianum	(Milne Edwards, 1841)	NIS	(Ulman et al., 2017)
Bryozoa			
Bugula neritina	(Linnaeus, 1758)	NIS	(Harmelin et al., 2016)
Bugulina simplex	(Hincks, 1886)		
Bugulina stolonifera	(Ryland, 1960)		
Cryptosula pallasiana	(Moll, 1803)		
Watersipora subatra	(d'Orbigny, 1852)	NIS	Gauff et al. in prep.
Cnidaria			
Laomedea flexuosa	(Alder, 1857)		
Obelia geniculata	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
Mollusca			
Crassostrea gigas	(Thunberg, 1793)	NIS	(Hayward and Ryland, 1995)
Parvicardium pinnulatum	(Conrad, 1831)		
Porifera			
Sycon ciliatum	(Fabricius, 1780)		




Fig. 4: Spatial and temporal variation of the Shannon-Weaver diversity index (A) and Pielou evenness (B). Significant differences between dates are indicated with big stars (Kruskal-Wallis test). No significant differences between locations within dates. *** p < 0.001




Fig. 5: SIMPER analysis showing the species that contribute the most to the temporal contrast among communities between June and August (percentage, SIMPER analysis)




Fig. 6: Percent cover exerted by the A) five most abundant species (which are also the species, most contributing to the contrasts between June and August) between June (dark grey) and August (light grey) and the B) total community for each location and period Significant differences between dates are indicated with stars (Kruskal-Wallis test). Significant differences between locations are indicated by brackets (Dunn test). * p < 0.05; *** p < 0.01; **** p < 0.001.

Discussion

The present study aimed to describe how the sessile sciaphilic communities of the Vieux Port de Marseille are composed and how they vary in space. We hypothesized that different locations in the harbor have significantly different communities, associated to a local variation of pollution, as described in previous studies (Je et al., 2004; Kenworthy et al., 2018b; Rondeau et al., 2022; Ryu et al., 2011). In accordance with these studies, most contaminant concentrations and especially Metallic Trace Elements (MTEs) were minimal at the entrance of the harbor, where more exchange with the outer water masses occurs (Schiff et al., 2007). Conversely, we did not observe a clear pollution gradient, as concentrations in the middle and the inner parts of the harbor were similar which might be explained by local hydrodynamic conditions. Community structure was still significantly affected by location and by overall contamination.

While an expected community heterogeneity was observed among locations in June, it was in contrast strongly reduced in August with overall similar communities. In addition to this loss of spatial variability between locations in August, it was possible to observe a strong temporal shift of the communities between June and August. *Simplaria pseudomilitaris*, *Bugula neritina*, *Botryllus schlosseri* and *Diplosoma listerianum* had their cover significantly reduced. Conversely, calcareous worms of the

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Serpulinae sub-family are the only group increasing their cover between both periods. We were not able to identify most Serpulinae species on the settlement plates, due to their small size making dissection impossible. Some species of this sub-family could however be identified: *Salmacina incrustans* Claparède, 1870, *Hydroides elegans* (Haswell, 1883), followed by *Serpula vermicularis* Linnaeus, 1767 were the most abundant identified Serpulinae while we noted the anecdotal presence of *Hydroides norvegica* Gunnerus, 1768 and *Serpula concharum* Langerhans, 1880.

The observed temporal decrease of the cover of most species (including the dominant ascidians and bryozoan) might be explained by the species' life cycles, biotic interactions among the organisms of the fouling community or by an abiotic factor leading to homogenization among the studied communities. Focusing on biotic interactions, ecological succession could explain our observations (Gardner and Wear, 2006; Loke et al., 2016; Raja, 1959) as it highly impacts community structure and biodiversity on settlement plates (Marraffini et al., 2017). The present case might reflect competitive exclusion due to the strong increase of the cover of Serpulinae (Hardin, 1960; Mayfield and Levine, 2010). However, while the total species cover increased between June and August, it reached 65%-90% in August with Serpulinae covering 39-68%, which would still leave enough space for other species to grow and thus limit competition for space. Furthermore, Serpulinae are mostly prone species forming calcareous tubes which should vastly limit spatial competition they could exert towards B. neriting an erect bryozogn. B. neriting could also simply overgrow the calcareous tubes. Equally, encrusting colonial ascidians B. schlosseri and D. listerianum are unlikely to be competitively excluded by Serpulinae. Both colonial ascidians can overgrow solitary animals such as serpulid worms due to their high competitive ability (Grosberg, 1981). The Spirorbinae serpulid Simplaria pseudomilitaris is the only major species that occupies a very similar ecological niche as Serpulinae and would be more likely to be competitively excluded by them. Yet, despite the reduction of its cover between June and August, this species seems to be the least affected one since it still covers 7-44% in August. Similar experiments with settlement plates conducted in another marina have shown to have constant community differences between the entrance and the inner part of a marina over a span of more than 4 years (Gauff et al., 2022a; Rondeau et al., 2022). These differences remained constant regardless of timing of panel deployment, or length between individual assessments (Rondeau et al., 2022), i.e., regardless of successional state of the community. Thus, the observed differences between June and August might not be attributed to biotic interaction among fouling species or to succession, but rather to external abiotic factors impacting the communities at all three locations.

A possible explanation could be a larger scale environmental disturbance, leading to environmental homogenization of all locations of the harbor between June and August. The present Serpulinae could

1 have a quicker recolonization rate due to their opportunistic nature and/or be more resistant to this 2 disturbance than the other species (Diaz-Castaneda, 2000; Nishi and Nishihira, 1994; Pernet, 2001). 3 Large scale climatic variation and extreme climatic events can affect local communities (Astudillo et 4 al., 2016; Chang et al., 2018; Cascade J. B. Sorte et al., 2010). Water temperature has been subject to high variations between June and August with three successive phases of increased heat, the longest 5 lasting 13 days over 25°C, and a thermal maximum of over 28°C. Two of this phases can be considered 6 7 as heat waves as the 90th percentile threshold of historic temperature data at surface level in summer 8 (24.7°C; SOMLIT) was exceeded for more than 5 days (Hobday et al., 2016). Research on the potential impacts of climate change shows a drastic impact of rising temperature on communities (Lejeusne et 9 10 al., 2010; Smale et al., 2017, 2011; Sorte et al., 2010). Heat waves have particularly been shown to 11 have severe consequences on marine communities, their physiology, and their ecosystem services 12 (Britton et al., 2020; Garrabou et al., 2009; Lonhart et al., 2019; Pansch et al., 2018; Smale et al., 2019), 13 with impacts potentially lasting long beyond the punctual time-scale (Gómez-Gras et al., 2021). High 14 temperature events with surface temperatures reaching over 26.5°C in 1997, 1999 and 2003 have led 15 to mass mortalities of multiple sessile species in the studied region (Garrabou et al., 2009; Lejeusne et al., 2010; Perez et al., 2000) and the concerned communities did not recover from this event (Gómez-16 17 Gras et al., 2021). Heat waves, as short term events, highly impact community composition in natural 18 and artificial environments (Lonhart et al., 2019; Pansch et al., 2018; Sorte et al., 2010). Climate change 19 and heat waves may also favor introduced species which might be more resistant to heat (Kelley, 2014; 20 Kenworthy et al., 2018a; Lord, 2017). Hydroides elegans, one of the most abundant Serpulinae in our 21 samples, has been shown to be highly resistant to temperatures up to 30°C and seems even to profit 22 from higher temperatures due to faster development if this is not negated by other associated 23 variables (Qiu and Qian, 1998, 1997). 24 Given the magnitude of the observed temperature anomaly, it constitutes a candidate for explaining 25 the observed community shift and homogenization. We are here, however, not able to exclude all 26 potential other factors and stochastic variation, or a simple correlational effect could still exist. It is 27 important to note that contaminant concentrations measured in sediments integrate long-term 28 tendencies of pollution and do not account for temporal variation which has been shown to strongly 29 influence fouling community structure (Johnston et al., 2002; Johnston and Keough, 2002, 2000). A 30 pollution spike could thus constitute an alternate explanation for our observations. However, it seems 31 likely that such pollution spike may also be organized as a gradient of concentration. Increased vessel and tourist activity in the summer months might also constitute a potential explanation, it remains 32 33 however difficult to quantify.

Here we showed a spatially organized community structure in the Vieux port de Marseille similar to previous studies (Gauff et al., 2022a; Kenworthy et al., 2018b; Rondeau et al., 2022), followed by an unexpected change in the community associated to a sharp decrease in biodiversity and a decline of most species except Serpulinae, eclipsing the effects of local variation of environmental factors and thus leading to the homogenization of the biodiversity within the harbor. Urbanization and climate change have led to the worldwide homogenization of ecosystems (Clavel et al., 2011; Magurran et al., 2015; Mckinney and Lockwood, 1999; Qian and Ricklefs, 2006). Heat waves are predicted to increase with climate change, both in frequency and intensity (Holl, 2009; Meehl et al., 2000; Stott, 2016), a trend which is particularly intense in Europe (Rousi et al., 2022). Regular heat waves might favor altered communities with highly opportunistic and/or species with high thermal tolerance, characteristics often described in introduced species (Kelley, 2014; Kenworthy et al., 2018a; Lejeusne et al., 2014; Sorte et al., 2010) and heat-stressed communities have been shown to have an increased invasibility (Castro et al., 2021). Here heat waves might constitute a potential explanation for the observed biodiversity homogenization. However, our experiment was originally not designed to study the effect of heat waves on marina communities and clear conclusions on the reason for the present homogenization are not possible. The temporal concomitance of the homogenization with the heat events remains, however, intriguing and illustrates that more research in this subject is needed. Further experiments with in situ heating structures that could simulate heat waves directly on the field (Gauff et al., 2022; Smale et al., 2017, 2011) would help to investigate the effect such events have on local communities and biodiversity to effectively understand them.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

Acknowledgements

We would like to warmly thank Aix-Marseille-Provence Metropole, the Cercle Nautique et Touristique du Lacydon (CNTL) and the Société Nautique de Marseille (especially Danielle Gabrielli) for providing access to the marina pontoons. Special thanks to Aurélie Dufour (MIO - UM 110) for participating in the analysis of MTE contaminants in sediment samples, and to Helmut Zibrowius, Jean-Georges Harmelin and Jean Vacelet for their help in species identification. We want to kindly thank SOMLIT (Service d'Observation en Milieu Littoral) for providing us historic data on water surface temperatures. We are very grateful for the contributions of the reviewers of this article and for the valuable statistical insights provided by Prof. Petratis. Funding for this project has been provided through a PhD grant from the Sorbonne Université - Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Ecole Doctorale 227). Financial support was also provided by the program 'InPor' of the INEE-CNRS' PEPS EcoMob (PIs: C. Lejeusne and D. Davoult).

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	References
20	
21 22	Alberti, M., 2015. Eco-evolutionary dynamics in an urbanizing planet. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.11.007
23 24 25	Arenas, F., Sánchez, I., Hawkins, S.J., Jenkins, S.R., 2006. The invasibility of marine algal assemblages: Role of functional diversity and identity. Ecology 87, 2851–2861. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2851:TIOMAA]2.0.CO;2
26 27 28	Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling The False Discovery Rate - A Practical And Powerful Approach To Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 57, 289–300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101
29 30	Blackburn, T.M., Bellard, C., Ricciardi, A., 2019. Alien versus native species as drivers of recent extinctions. Front. Ecol. Environ. 17. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2020
31 32	Brunetti, R., Mastrototaro, F., 2017. Ascidiacea of the European waters. Edagricole - New Business Media, 447 pp.
33 34	Bulleri, F., 2006. Is it time for urban ecology to include the marine realm? Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 658–659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.10.006

- Bulleri, F., Chapman, M.G., 2010. The introduction of coastal infrastructure as a driver of change in
- 2 marine environments. J. Appl. Ecol. 47, 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 3 2664.2009.01751.x
- 4 Canning-Clode, J., Fofonoff, P., Riedel, G.F., Torchin, M., Ruiz, G.M., 2011. The Effects of Copper
- 5 Pollution on Fouling Assemblage Diversity: A Tropical-Temperate Comparison. PLoS One 6,
- 6 e18026. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018026
- 7 Carrasco, L.R., Chan, J., McGrath, F.L., Nghiem, L.T.P., 2017. Biodiversity conservation in a 8 telecoupled world. Ecol. Soc. 22, 24. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09448-220324
- Castro, N., Ramalhosa, P., Cacabelos, E., Costa, J.L., Canning-clode, J., Gestoso, I., 2021. Winners and losers: prevalence of non-indigenous species under simulated marine heatwaves and high propagule pressure. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 668, 21–38. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13710
- 12 CCME, 1999. Protocol for the derivation of canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. CCME EPC-98E.
- 14 Chan, F.T., Briski, E., 2017. An overview of recent research in marine biological invasions. Mar. Biol. 164, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3155-4
- Clarke, K.R., 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Aust. J. Ecol. 18, 117–143. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1993.tb00438.x
- Clavel, J., Julliard, R., Devictor, V., 2011. Worldwide decline of specialist species: Toward a global functional homogenization? Front. Ecol. Environ. 9, 222–228. https://doi.org/10.1890/080216
- Clavero, M., García-Berthou, E., 2005. Invasive species are a leading cause of animal extinctions.
 Trends Ecol. Evol. 20, 110. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TREE.2005.01.003
- Colautti, R.I., Lau, J.A., 2015. Contemporary evolution during invasion: evidence for differentiation,
 natural selection, and local adaptation. Mol. Ecol. 49, 1999–2017.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13162
- Diagne, C., Leroy, B., Vaissière, A.-C., Gozlan, R.E., Roiz, D., Jarić, I., Salles, J.-M., A Bradshaw, C.J.,
 Courchamp, F., 2021. High and rising economic costs of biological invasions worldwide. Nature
 592, 571–585. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03405-6
- Diaz-Castaneda, V., 2000. The early establishment and development of a polychaete community settled on artificial substrata at Todos Santos Bay, Baja California, Mexico. Bull. Mar. Sci. 67, 321–335.
- Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J.R.G., Gruber, B.,
- Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P.J., Münkemüller, T., Mcclean, C., Osborne, P.E., Reineking, B., Schröder,
- B., Skidmore, A.K., Zurell, D., Lautenbach, S., 2013. Collinearity: A review of methods to deal
- with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography (Cop.). 36, 27–46.
- 35 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x
- Dron, J., Revenko, G., Chamaret, P., Chaspoul, F., Wafo, E., Harmelin-Vivien, M., 2019. Contaminant
- 37 signatures and stable isotope values qualify European conger (Conger conger) as a pertinent
- bioindicator to identify marine contaminant sources and pathways. Ecol. Indic. 107, 105562.
- 39 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105562
- Elton, C.S., 1958. The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants, The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants. Springer US, 175 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7214-9
- 42 Ferrario, J., Caronni, S., Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., Marchini, A., 2017. Role of commercial harbours and

44

1 2	recreational marinas in the spread of non-indigenous fouling species. Biofouling 33, 651–660. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2017.1351958
3 4 5 6	Firth, L.B., Knights, A.M., Bridger, D., Evans, A.J., Mieszkowska, N., Moore, P.J., O'connor, N.E., Sheehan, E. V., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., 2016. Ocean sprawl: Challenges and opportunities for biodiversity management in a changing world. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. An Annu. Rev. 54, 193–269. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315368597
7 8 9	Gardner, J.P.A., Wear, R.G., 2006. Changes in subtidal macroinvertebrate community structure in Wellington Harbour (New Zealand) following a large-scale natural die-off. New Zeal. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 40, 29–42. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2006.9517401
10 11 12 13 14	Garrabou, J., Coma, R., Bensoussan, N., Bally, M., Chevaldonné, P., Cigliano, M., Diaz, D., Harmelin, J.G., Gambi, M.C., Kersting, D.K., Ledoux, J.B., Lejeusne, C., Linares, C., Marschal, C., Pérez, T., Ribes, M., Romano, J.C., Serrano, E., Teixido, N., Torrents, O., Zabala, M., Zuberer, F., Cerrano, C., 2009. Mass mortality in Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: Effects of the 2003 heat wave. Glob. Chang. Biol. 15, 1090–1103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01823.x
16 17 18 19	Gauff, Robin P. M., Davoult, D., Greff, S., Bohner, O., Coudret, J., Jacquet, S., Loisel, S., Rondeau, S., Wafo, E., Lejeusne, C., 2022a. Pollution gradient leads to local adaptation and small-scale spatial variability of communities and functions in an urban marine environment. Sci. Total Environ. 838, 15pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155911
20 21 22 23	Gauff, Robin P. M., Lejeusne, C., Arsenieff, L., Bohner, O., Coudret, J., Desbordes, F., Jandard, A., Loisel, S., Schires, G., Wafo, E., Davoult, D., 2022b. Alien vs. predator: influence of environmental variability and predation on the survival of ascidian recruits of a native and alien species. Biol. Invasions 24, 1327–1344. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10530-021-02720-3
24 25 26	Gauff, Robin P.M., Lejeusne, C., Greff, S., Loisel, S., Bohner, O., Davoult, D., 2022. Impact of in Situ Simulated Climate Change on Communities and Non-Indigenous Species: Two Climates, Two Responses. J. Chem. Ecol. 48, 761–771. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-022-01380-4
27 28 29	Gestoso, I., Ramalhosa, P., Canning-Clode, J., 2018. Biotic effects during the settlement process of non-indigenous species in marine benthic communities. Aquat. Invasions 13, 247–259. https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2018.13.2.06
30 31 32	Glasby, T.M., Connell, S.D., Holloway, M.G., Hewitt, C.L., 2007. Nonindigenous biota on artifcial structures: could habitat creation facilitate biological invasions? Mar. Biol. 151, 887–895. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0552-5
33 34 35 36 37 38	Gómez-Gras, D., Linares, C., López-Sanz, A., Amate, R., Ledoux, J.B., Bensoussan, N., Drap, P., Bianchimani, O., Marschal, C., Torrents, O., Zuberer, F., Cebrian, E., Teixidó, N., Zabala, M., Kipson, S., Kersting, D.K., Montero-Serra, I., Pagès-Escolà, M., Medrano, A., Frleta-Valić, M., Dimarchopoulou, D., López-Sendino, P., Garrabou, J., 2021. Population collapse of habitat-forming species in the Mediterranean: a long-term study of gorgonian populations affected by recurrent marine heatwaves. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 288, 20212384. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2384
10 11	Grosberg, R.K., 1981. Competitive ability influences habitat choice in marine invertebrates. Nature 290, 700–702.
12	Guerra-García I.M. Navarro-Barranco C. Martínez-Laiz G. Moreira I. Giráldez I. Morales F.

Fernández-Romero, A., Florido, M., Ros, M., 2021. Assessing environmental pollution levels in

marinas. Sci. Total Environ. 762, 144169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144169

42

1 2	Hardin, G., 1960. The competitive exclusion principle. Science (80). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.131.3409.1292
3 4 5	Harmelin, J.G., Bitar, G., Zibrowius, H., 2016. High xenodiversity versus low native diversity in the south-eastern Mediterranean: Bryozoans from the coastal zone of Lebanon. Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 17, 417–439. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.1429
6 7	Hayward, P.J., Ryland, J.S., 1995. Handbook of the Marine Fauna of North-West Europe. Oxford university press, 800 pp, Oxford, New York and Tokyo, 800 pp.
8 9 10 11	Hobday, A.J., Alexander, L. V., Perkins, S.E., Smale, D.A., Straub, S.C., Oliver, E.C.J., Benthuysen, J.A., Burrows, M.T., Donat, M.G., Feng, M., Holbrook, N.J., Moore, P.J., Scannell, H.A., Sen Gupta, A., Wernberg, T., 2016. A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. Prog. Oceanogr. 141, 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.12.014
12 13	Holl, G., 2009. Climate change and extreme weather, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1307/6/9/092007
14 15 16 17 18	Jacquet, S., Monnin, C., Herlory, O., Mille, D., Dufour, A., Oursel, B., Heimbürger-Boavida, L.E., D'onofrio, S., Layglon, N., Garnier, C., 2021. Characterization of the submarine disposal of a Bayer effluent (Gardanne alumina plant, southern France): I. Size distribution, chemical composition and settling rate of particles forming at the outfall. Chemosphere 263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127695
19 20	Jardine, S.L., Sanchirico, J.N., 2018. Estimating the cost of invasive species control. J. Environ. Econ. Manage. 87, 242–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2017.07.004
21 22 23	Je, J.G., Belan, T., Levings, C., Koo, B.J., 2004. Changes in benthic communities along a presumed pollution gradient in Vancouver Harbour, in: Marine Environmental Research. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 121–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-1136(03)00064-3
24 25 26	Johnston, E.L., Keough, M.J., 2002. Direct and indirect effects of repeated pollution events on marine hard-substrate assemblages. Ecol. Appl. 12, 1212–1228. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2002)012[1212:DAIEOR]2.0.CO;2
27 28 29	Johnston, E.L., Keough, M.J., 2000. Field assessment of effects of timing and frequency of copper pulses on settlement of sessile marine invertebrates. Mar. Biol. 137, 1017–1029. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270000420
30 31 32	Johnston, E.L., Keough, M.J., Qian, P.Y., 2002. Maintenance of species dominance through pulse disturbances to a sessile marine invertebrate assemblage in Port Shelter, Hong Kong. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 226, 103–114. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps226103
33 34 35	Johnston, M.W., Purkis, S.J., Dodge, R.E., 2015. Measuring Bahamian lionfish impacts to marine ecological services using habitat equivalency analysis. Mar. Biol. 162, 2501–2512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-015-2745-2
36 37	Kawecki, T.J., Ebert, D., 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecol. Lett. 7, 1225–1241. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00684.x
38 39	Kelley, A.L., 2014. The role thermal physiology plays in species invasion. Conserv. Physiol. 2, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cou045.Introduction
40 41	Kenworthy, J.M., Davoult, D., Lejeusne, C., 2018a. Compared stress tolerance to short-term exposure in native and invasive tunicates from the NE Atlantic: when the invader performs better. Mar.

Biol. 165, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3420-1

- Kenworthy, J.M., Rolland, G., Samadi, S., Lejeusne, C., 2018b. Local variation within marinas: Effects of pollutants and implications for invasive species. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 133, 96–106.
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.05.001
- 4 Langeneck, J., Lezzi, M., Pasqua, M. Del, Musco, L., Gambi, M.C., Castelli, A., Giangrande, A., 2020.
- Non-indigenous polychaetes along the coasts of Italy: a critical review. Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 21,
- 6 238–275. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.21860
- Lejeusne, C., Chevaldonné, P., Pergent-Martini, C., Boudouresque, C.F., Pérez, T., 2010. Climate change effects on a miniature ocean: the highly diverse, highly impacted Mediterranean Sea.
- 9 Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 250–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.10.009
- 10 Lejeusne, C., Latchere, O., Petit, N., Rico, C., Green, A.J., 2014. Do invaders always perform better?
- 11 Comparing the response of native and invasive shrimps to temperature and salinity gradients in
- south-west Spain. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 136, 102–111.
- 13 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.11.014
- Levine, J.M., D'Antonio, C.M., 2003. Forecasting Biological Invasions with Increasing International Trade. Conserv. Biol. 17, 322–326. https://doi.org/10.1109/CEIT.2015.7233181
- Loke, L.H.L., Liao, L.M., Bouma, T.J., Todd, P.A., 2016. Succession of seawall algal communities on artificial substrates. Raffles Bull. Zool. 1–10.
- Lonhart, S.I., Jeppesen, R., Beas-Luna, R., Crooks, J.A., Lorda, J., 2019. Shifts in the distribution and abundance of coastal marine species along the eastern Pacific Ocean during marine heatwaves from 2013 to 2018. Mar. Biodivers. Rec. 12, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41200-019-0171-8
- Lord, J.P., 2017. Impact of seawater temperature on growth and recruitment of invasive fouling
 species at the global scale. Mar. Ecol. 38, e12404. https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12404
- Magurran, A.E., Dornelas, M., Moyes, F., Gotelli, N.J., McGill, B., 2015. Rapid biotic homogenization of marine fish assemblages. Nat. Commun. 6, 2–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9405
- Marraffini, M.L., Ashton, G. V, Brown, C.W., Chang, A.L., Ruiz, G.M., 2017. Settlement plates as monitoring devices for non-indigenous species in marine fouling communities 8, 559–566.
- 27 Martinez Arbizu, P., 2019. pairwiseAdonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. R package version 0.3.
- Mayfield, M.M., Levine, J.M., 2010. Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic structure of communities. Ecol. Lett. 13, 1085–1093. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-
- 31 0248.2010.01509.x
- Mckinney, M.L., Lockwood, J.L., 1999. Biotic homogenization: a few winners replacing many losers in the next mass extinction. Tree 5347, 450–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01679-1
- 34 Meehl, G.A., Zwiers, F., Evans, J., Knutson, T., Mearns, L., Whetton, P., 2000. Trends in extreme
- weather and climate events: Issues related to modeling extremes in projections of future
- 36 climate change. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 81, 427–436. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 37 0477(2000)081<0427:TIEWAC>2.3.CO;2
- Megina, C., González-Duarte, M.M., López-González, P.J., 2016. Benthic assemblages, biodiversity and invasiveness in marinas and commercial harbours: an investigation using a bioindicator
- 40 group. Biofouling 32, 465–475. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2016.1151500
- 41 Mineur, F., Cook, Elizabeth, J., Minchin, D., Bohn, K., Macleod, A., Maggs, C.A., 2012. Changing
- 42 coasts: Marine Aliens and Artificial Structures. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. An Annu. Rev. 50, 189–234.

- Nishi, E., Nishihira, M., 1994. Colony Formation via Sexual and Asexual Reproduction in Salmacina dysteri (Huxley) (Polychaeta, Serpulidae). Zoolog. Sci. 11, 589–595.
- 3 Ogle, D.H., Doll, J., Wheeler, P., Dinno, A., 2021. FSA: Fisheries Stock Analysis.
- 4 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L.,
- 5 Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., Oksanen, M.J., 2018. Vegan: community ecology
- 6 package. R Packag. Version 2. 4-6. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv334
- 7 Oricchio, F.T., Pastro, G., Vieira, E.A., Flores, A.A. V, Gibran, F.Z., Dias, G.M., 2016. Distinct community
- 8 dynamics at two artificial habitats in a recreational marina. Mar. Environ. Res. 122, 85–92.
- 9 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.09.010
- Osborne, K.L., Poynton, H.C., 2019. Copper pollution enhances the competitive advantage of invasive ascidians. Manag. Biol. Invasions 10, 641–656. https://doi.org/10.3391/mbi.2019.10.4.05
- Pansch, C., Scotti, M., Barboza, F.R., Al-Janabi, B., Brakel, J., Briski, E., Bucholz, B., Franz, M., Ito, M.,
- Paiva, F., Saha, M., Sawall, Y., Weinberger, F., Wahl, M., 2018. Heat waves and their significance
- for a temperate benthic community: A near-natural experimental approach. Glob. Chang. Biol.
- 15 24, 4357–4367. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14282
- Pejchar, L., Mooney, H.A., 2009. Invasive species, ecosystem services and human well-being. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24, 497–504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.016
- 18 Perez, T., Garrabou, J., Sartoretto, S., Harmelin, J.-G., Francour, P., Vacelet, J., 2000. Mortalité
- 19 massive d'invertébrés marins : un événement sans précédent en Méditerranée nord-
- 20 occidentale Présenté par Lucien Laubier. Biol. Anim. / Anim. Biol. 323, 853–865.
- Pernet, B., 2001. Escape hatches for the clonal offspring of serpulid polychaetes. Biol. Bull. 200, 107–117. https://doi.org/10.2307/1543304
- Piola, R.F., Dafforn, K.A., Johnston, E.L., 2009. The influence of antifouling practices on marine invasions. Biofouling 25, 633–644. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010903063065
- 25 Piola, R.F., Johnston, E.L., 2006. Differential tolerance to metals among populations of the introduced
- 26 bryozoan Bugula neritina. Mar. Biol. 148, 997–1010. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0156-
- 27 5
- Qian, H., Ricklefs, R.E., 2006. The role of exotic species in homogenizing the north American flora.
- 29 Ecol. Lett. 9, 1293–1298. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00982.x
- Qiu, J., Qian, P., 1998. Combined effects of salinity and temperature on juvenile survival, growth and maturation in the polychaete. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 168, 127–134.
- Qiu, P.Y., Qian, J.W., 1997. Combined effects of salinity, temperature and food on early development of the polychaete Hydroides elegans. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 152, 79–88.
- 34 R Core Team, 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Raja, B.T.A., 1959. Studies on the distribution and sucession of sedentary organisms of the madras harbour. Mar. biol. Ass. India 1, 180–197.
- Ratier, A., Dron, J., Revenko, G., Austruy, A., Dauphin, C.E., Chaspoul, F., Wafo, E., 2018.
- 38 Characterization of atmospheric emission sources in lichen from metal and organic contaminant
- 39 patterns. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 8364–8376. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-1173-x
- 40 Riedl, R., 1983. Fauna und Flora des Mittelmeeres: Ein systematischer Meeresführer für Biologen und

- Naturfreunde (German Edition), 3rd ed. Parey, P., 836 pp, Hamburg/Berlin, 836 pp.
- 2 Rondeau, S., Davoult, D., Lejeusne, C., Kenworthy, J.J.M., Bohner, O., Loisel, S., Gauff, R.R.P.M., 2022.
- 3 Persistent dominance of non-indigenous species in the inner part of a marina highlighted by
- 4 multi-year photographic monitoring. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 690, 15–30.
- 5 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps14052
- 6 Rousi, E., Kornhuber, K., Beobide-Arsuaga, G., Fei, L., Coumou, D., 2022. Accelerated western
- 7 European heatwave trends linked to more-persistent double jets over Eurasia. Nat. Commun.
- 8 13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31432-y
- 9 Ryu, J., Khim, J.S., Kang, S.G., Kang, D., Lee, C.H., Koh, C.H., 2011. The impact of heavy metal pollution
- gradients in sediments on benthic macrofauna at population and community levels. Environ.
- 11 Pollut. 159, 2622–2629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.05.034
- Sardain, A., Sardain, E., Leung, B., 2019. Global forecasts of shipping traffic and biological invasions to
- 2050. Nat. Sustain. 2, 274–282. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0245-y
- Sarrazin, L., Diana, C., Wafo, E., Pichard-Lagadec, V., Schembri, T., Monod, J.L., 2006. Determination
- 15 of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in marine, brackish, and river sediments by HPLC,
- following ultrasonic extraction. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol. 29, 69–85.
- 17 https://doi.org/10.1080/10826070500362987
- Schiff, K., Brown, J., Diehl, D., Greenstein, D., 2007. Extent and magnitude of copper contamination in
- marinas of the San Diego region, California, USA. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54, 322–328.
- 20 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.10.013
- 21 Seebens, H., Schwartz, N., Schupp, P.J., Blasius, B., 2016. Predicting the spread of marine species
- introduced by global shipping. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 5646–5651.
- 23 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524427113
- 24 Smale, D.A., Taylor, J.D., Coombs, S.H., Moore, G., Cunliffe, M., 2017. Community responses to
- 25 seawater warming are conserved across diverse biological groupings and taxonomic
- 26 resolutions. Proc. R. Soc. B 284, 20170534. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0534
- Smale, D.A., Wernberg, T., Oliver, E.C.J., Thomsen, M., Harvey, B.P., Straub, S.C., Burrows, M.T.,
- 28 Alexander, L. V., Benthuysen, J.A., Donat, M.G., Feng, M., Hobday, A.J., Holbrook, N.J., Perkins-
- 29 Kirkpatrick, S.E., Scannell, H.A., Sen Gupta, A., Payne, B.L., Moore, P.J., 2019. Marine heatwaves
- 30 threaten global biodiversity and the provision of ecosystem services. Nat. Clim. Chang. 9, 306–
- 31 312. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0412-1
- 32 Smale, D.A., Wernberg, T., Peck, L.S., Barnes, D.K.A., 2011. Turning on the Heat: Ecological Response
- to Simulated Warming in the Sea. PLoS One 6, e16050.
- 34 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016050
- 35 Smale, D.A., Yunnie, A.L.E., Vance, T., Stephen, W., 2015. Disentangling the impacts of heat wave
- magnitude, duration and timing on the structure and diversity of sessile marine assemblages.
- 37 PeerJ. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.863
- Sorte, C.J.B., Williams, S.L., Zerebecki, R.A., 2010. Ocean warming increases threat of invasive species
- 39 in a marine fouling community. Ecology 91, 2198–2204. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0238.1
- 40 Stott, P., 2016. How climate change affects extreme weather events. Science (80-.).
- 41 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7271
- 42 Taormina, B., Marzloff, M.P., Desroy, N., Caisey, X., Dugornay, O., Metral Thiesse, E., Tancray, A.,

1 2 3	Carlier, A., 2020. Optimizing image-based protocol to monitor macroepibenthic communities colonizing artificial structures. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 77, 835–845. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz249
4 5 6	Tsirintanis, K., Azzurro, E., Crocetta, F., Dimiza, M., Froglia, C., Gerovasileiou, V., Langeneck, J., Mancinelli, G., Rosso, A., Stern, N., Triantaphyllou, M., 2022. Bioinvasion impacts on biodiversity , ecosystem services , and human health in the Mediterranean Sea 17, 308–352.
7 8 9 10 11	Ulman, A., Ferrario, J., Occhpinti-Ambrogi, A., Arvanitidis, C., Bandi, A., Bertolino, M., Bogi, C., Chatzigeorgiou, G., Çiçek, B.A., Deidun, A., Ramos-Esplá, A., Koçak, C., Lorenti, M., Martinez-Laiz, G., Merlo, G., Princisgh, E., Scribano, G., Marchini, A., 2017. A massive update of non-indigenous species records in Mediterranean marinas. PeerJ 5, e3954. https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.3954
12	US EPA, 2014. EPA priority pollutant list, Clean Water Act, AIChE Symposium.
13 14	US EPA, 2005. Predicting toxicity to amphipods from sediment chemistry. Natl. Cent. Environ. Assessment, Washington, DC Epa/600/R
15 16 17	Wafo, E., Sarrazin, L., Diana, C., Schembri, T., Lagadec, V., Monod, J.L., 2006. Polychlorinated biphenyls and DDT residues distribution in sediments of Cortiou (Marseille, France). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 52, 104–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.09.041
18 19	Waldock, C., Dornelas, M., Bates, A.E., 2018. Temperature-Driven Biodiversity Change: Disentangling Space and Time. Bioscience 68. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy096
20 21 22	Walsh, J.R., Carpenter, S.R., Van Der Zanden, M.J., 2016. Invasive species triggers a massive loss of ecosystem services through a trophic cascade. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 4081–4085. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600366113
23 24	Webb, J.A., Keough, M.J., 2000. Effects of Two Marinas on the Composition of Fouling Assemblages 16, 345–360.
25 26	Zabala, M., Maluquer, P., 1988. Illustrated keys for the classification of Mediterranean Bryozoa, 4th ed. Museu de Zoologia Ajuntament de Barcelona, Barcelona.
27 28 29 30	Zenetos, A., Çinar, M.E., Crocetta, F., Golani, D., Rosso, A., Servello, G., Shenkar, N., Turon, X., Verlaque, M., 2017. Uncertainties and validation of alien species catalogues: The Mediterranean as an example. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 191, 171–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.03.031
31	