

# **Insight on Non-Coding RNAs from Biofluids in Ovarian Tumors**

Yohann Dabi, Amélia Favier, Léo Razakamanantsoa, Léa Delbos, Mathieu Poilblanc, Philippe Descamps, Francois Golfier, Cyril Touboul, Sofiane Bendifallah, Emile Daraï

## **To cite this version:**

Yohann Dabi, Amélia Favier, Léo Razakamanantsoa, Léa Delbos, Mathieu Poilblanc, et al.. Insight on Non-Coding RNAs from Biofluids in Ovarian Tumors. Cancers, 2023, 15 (5), pp.1539. 10.3390/can $cers15051539$ . hal-04042047

## **HAL Id: hal-04042047 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04042047v1>**

Submitted on 22 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### *Review*

## **Insight on non-coding RNAs from biofluids in ovarian tumors**

## **Yohann Dabi <sup>1</sup> , Amélia Favier <sup>1</sup> , Léo Razakamanantsoa <sup>2</sup> , Léa Delbos <sup>3</sup> , Mathieu Poilblanc <sup>4</sup> , Philippe Descamps <sup>3</sup> , Francois Golfier <sup>4</sup> Cyril Touboul <sup>1</sup> , Sofiane Bendifallah <sup>1</sup> , Emile Daraï <sup>1</sup>**

1. Department of Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Hôpital Tenon, Sorbonne University, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France.



 la Chine, 75020 Paris, France. <sup>3..</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine - CHU d'Angers.

4. Department of Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Lyon South University Hospital, Lyon Civil Hospices

2. Department of radiology imaging and Interventional Radiology, Hôpital Tenon, Sorbonne University, 4 rue de

 Corresponding author: Yohann DABI (MD); Sorbonne University – Tenon Hospital, Paris, France. Mail: yohann.dabi@aphp.frTel: +33.1.56.01.70.00

 **Simple summary:** Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecologic cancer since often diagnosed at advances stages. Current tools for diagnosis are currently insufficient and include physical exami- nation, ultrasound and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging as well as algorithm combining thoraco-abdomino-pelvic scans and blood markers. In this context, there is a need for new tools not only to assess the diagnosis but also to predict response to chemotherapy and detect recur- rences. Previous studies have highlighted the potential value of non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) in tis- sue samples, but rarely in biofluids. In this review, we aimed to summarize the literature existing 21 on ncRNAs and ovarian tumors in biofluids. Most studies focused on serum and blood with no 22 data in other biofluids and with few ncRNAs investigated using qRT-PCR or microarray, not per-mitting reflecting the heterogeneity of ovarian cancers.

 **Abstract:** Ovarian tumors are the most frequent raising diagnostic and therapeutic issues linked to a large spectrum of tumors with a continuum from benign to malignant tumors. So far, none of the available diagnostic tools have proven efficient to decide strategy and no consensus exists on the 27 best strategy between 'single test', 'dual testing', 'sequential testing', 'multiple testing options' and 'no testing'. In addition, there is a need of prognostic tool such as biological markers of recurrence and theragnostic tools to detect non-responding women to chemotherapy to adapt therapies. Non- coding non-coding RNAs are classified in small or long based on their nucleotides count. Non- coding RNAs have multiple biological functions such as a role in tumorigenesis, gene regulation and genome protection. These ncRNAs emerge as new potential tools to differentiate benign from malignant tumours and to evaluate prognostic and theragnostic factors. In the specific setting of ovarian tumors, the goal of the present work is to offer an insight on the contribution of biofluid non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) expression.

**Keywords:** Ovarian tumor; non-coding RNA; borderline ovarian tumor; ovarian cancer

#### **1. Introduction**

 Adnexal masses represent a wide spectrum of tumors of various origins (ovary, fal- lopian tube, and pelvic organs), among them ovarian tumors are the most frequent rais- ing diagnostic and therapeutic issues linked to a large spectrum of tumors with a con- tinuum from benign to malignant tumors. The true incidence of ovarian tumors in the general population is unknown as most of them are asymptomatic hence undiagnosed [1]. It is estimated that 10% of women will undergo surgery for an ovarian mass in their lifetime [2].

 Ovarian tumors are generally detected at physical examination or at pelvic imaging for various reasons in asymptomatic patients. Less frequently, an ovarian tumor can be

 source of symptoms, acute pain (torsion of the adnexa), or chronic pelvic pain related to compression of neighboring organs [1]. Sometimes, the diagnosis can be made in the context of ovarian cancer (OC) often diagnosed at an advanced stage faced to ascites, bloating, weight loss with peritoneal carcinomatosis [3,4].

 OC is the fifth cancer and the most lethal gynecologic malignancy with 313,959 new cases per year and 207,252 death per year in 2020 [5]. Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) represents more than 95% of all OC [6]. During their lifetime, approximately one in sev- enty women will develop the disease. The median age at diagnosis is 68 years with a maximum incidence in women in their 70s. The disease behaves as a chronic condition with relapses and therefore iterative chemotherapies which lengthen survival [7]. The disease survival remains poor of 40% at 5 years and 32% at 10 years as more than 75% of patients are diagnosed at advanced stage disease while the 5-year survival rate for women diagnosed at an early stage reaches 90% underlining the potential benefit of bi- omarkers of early stages as well as to detect the transition of borderline tumor into inva-sive cancer [5].

 Except from patients with deleterious mutation for whom a risk reducing surgery is recommended, no screening of OC in the general population has proved its relevance [8]. In routine practice, first line transvaginal ultrasound is used to differentiate benign, borderline or malignant ovarian tumors [9]. Van Calster et al, evaluating the clinical util-67 ity of six prediction models for ovarian malignancy [10], found that the ADNEX models with and without cancer antigen 125 (CA125) determination and SRRisk were the best calibrated. However, previous studies have underlined that 18% to 31% of ovarian tu- mors remain indeterminate after ultrasonography using International Ovarian Tumor Analysis (IOTA) Simple Rules or other ultrasonography scoring systems [9,11,12]. MRI is the second line imaging technique to characterize ovarian tumors. Ovarian-Adnexal Reporting Data System Magnetic Resonance Imaging (O-RADS MRI) score consists of 5 categories according to the positive likelihood ratio for a malignant neoplasm [13] with a sensitivity of 0.93 and a specificity of 0.91. However, these results were based on an ob- servational study without randomization, and the score was not integrated into clinical decision-making limiting its utility.

 CA125 is the most used biomarker to determine the nature of ovarian tumors [14,15] although normal levels has been reported in as high as 50% of early stages ovari- an cancers [16] [17,18]. A recent Cochrane review has evaluated several algorithms to as- sess the risk of malignancy of ovarian tumors, including biological markers and imaging 82 [19]. However, none of these scoring systems had a sufficient relevance to characterize ovarian tumors [19].

 Finally, a recent review of Funston et al analyzing 18 documents from 11 countries showed that transabdominal/transvaginal ultrasound and the CA125 were the most widely advocated as initial tests [20]. However, no consensus exists on the best strategy 87 to improve diagnostic performance: 'single test', 'dual testing', 'sequential testing', 'mul- tiple testing options' and 'no testing'. This further underlines the need for new biological tools to diagnose ovarian cancer in the general population as early as possible. In addi- tion, there is a need of prognostic tool such as biological markers of recurrence and theragnostic tools to detect non-responding women to chemotherapy to adapt therapies.

 Among ncRNAs, those with less than 50 nucleotides are defined as small RNAs (sncRNAs) and those with more than 200 nucleotides are defined as long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). SncRNAs are further classified into microRNAs (miRNAs), Piwi in- teracting RNAs (piRNAs), transfer RNAs (tRNAs), small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) [21,22]. LncRNAs are classified into intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs), some circular RNAs (circRNAs), and ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) [23,24]. Numerous studies indicate that ncRNAs, representing 98% of the transcriptome, are es- sential for tumorigenesis by regulating the expression of tumour-related genes [25–32]. These ncRNAs emerge as new potential tools to differentiate benign from malignant tu-mours and to evaluate prognostic and theragnostic factors. In the specific setting of

- 
- 
- 

 

 ovarian tumors, the goal of the present work was to offer an insight on the contribution of biofluid non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) expression.

## **2. miRNAs**

 miRNAs are small intracellular RNAs, 22 nucleotides long, capable of inducing the silencing of gene expression by post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms [33] or al- ternatively by binding miRNAs to the 5'UTR regions inducing either activation or re-pression of translation. The miRNAs synthesis is presented in Figure 1.



 **Figure 1.** : miRNAs synthesis. Biogenesis of miRNAs is a multistep process, beginning with the transcrip-114 tion of primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) by RNA polymerase II. The pri-miRNAs are transformed into pre- cursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs, 70 nucleotides long) by the RNase III Drosha-DGCR8-DDX5 microproces-116 sor complex, and are then exported to the cytoplasm by Exportin (a Ran-GFP-dependent transporter). In the<br>117 cytoplasm, pre-miRNAs are cleaved by the RNase Dicer-TAR RNA-binding protein (TRBP) complex, pro-117 cytoplasm, pre-miRNAs are cleaved by the RNase Dicer-TAR RNA-binding protein (TRBP) complex, pro-<br>ducing mature miRNA Not all miRNAs pass through the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway. Special ducing mature miRNA. Not all miRNAs pass through the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway. Special miRNAs called mirtrons are produced from spliced introns with structural features like pre-miRNAs and undergo a miRNA processing pathway that bypasses the Drosha-mediated cleavage step.

 Numerous studies focusing on tissue samples have demonstrated the role of miRNA in OC characterized by a wide-scale deregulation of miRNAs, and aberrant ex-

 pression of miRNAs correlated with histotype, histological grade, lymphovascular space involvement, lymph node and distant metastasis as well as FIGO stages [34–37]. Dhar Dwivedi et al reported 53 miRNAs upregulated, and 68 miRNAs downregulated in OC. 126 In the upregulated miRNAs group, a total of 7605 gene target were found. Among them, miRNA-20a-5p and miRNA 106a-5p regulate 14.1% and 9.4% of target genes, respective- ly. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis enrichment was performed for these upregulated miRNAs targets genes identifying 67 and 24 pathways as enriched. Similarly for downregulated miRNAs, a total of 9287 gene targets were identified. miR-26b-5p, miR-519d, miR-15a, and miR-15b regulated respectively 20.8%, 11.3%, 8.6%, and 8.9% of the target genes with 41, 95, and 38 enriched pathways 133 [38].

 In contrast to miRNAs expression extensively analyzed in tissue samples, relatively little data are available on their expression in biofluids. This point is particularly im- portant as it could allow preoperative tumor assessment, improving therapeutic strategy and share making decisions. There are published evidences that serum miR-221 [39], mir-205 [40], mir-375 [41], mir-210 [42], mir-34a-5p [43], mir-92 [44], mir-93 [45], mir-141 [46] mir-7 [47] and mir-429 [48] are upregulated in biofluids of patients with different types of ovarian cancers. On the other hand expression of microRNAs let-7f [49], mir-93 [46], mir-199a [50] and mir-148a [51] is downregulated in biofluids of patients with ovar-142 ian tumours.

 From the diagnostic point of view, Oliveira et al [52] evaluated the profile of plasma miRNAs on a panel of 46 candidates finding 4 upregulated miRNAs (miR-200c-3p, miR- 221-3p, miR-21- 5p and miR-484) and two downregulated (miRNA-195-5p and miRNA- 451a). However, only 2 miRNAs (miRNA-200c-3p and miRNA-221-3p) were confirmed in a validation cohort. Savolainen et al [53], on a short series of 9 patients, found that miRNA-200a, miRNA-200b and miRNA-200c both in tumor tissue and plasma allowed discrimination between malignant and benign samples. In addition, a correlation was found between the expression of miRNA-200 in urine and plasma with the malignant status of tumors. Another study reported a higher level of miR-590-3p in OC plasma compared to a control group [54], Chang et al [55] observed for germ cell tumors of the ovary (OGCT) and sex cord (SCST) specific expression profiles of miRNAs in 9 OGCTs (2 malignant and 7 benign) and 3 SCST. Overexpression of miRNA-373-3p, miRNA-372- 3p and miRNA-302c-3p and underexpression of miRNA-199a-5p, miRNA-214-5p and miRNA-202-3p were reproducibly observed in malignant OGCT versus benign OGCT or SCST. Yokoi et al reported a plasma signature composed of 6 miRNAs selected after RT- qPCR (miRNA-200a-3p, miRNA-766-3p, miRNA-26a-5p, miRNA-142-3p, let-7d-5p and miRNA-328 -3p) able to successfully distinguish patients with ovarian cancer from healthy controls (AUC: 0.97; sensitivity, 0.92; and specificity, 0.91) paving the way for screening ovarian cancer [56]. Among five miRNAs, Zhu et al observed that only serum miRNA-125b could distinguish benign controls and EOC patients [57]. Moreover, among miR-200 family, Meng et al [58] identified that serum levels of miRNA-200a (p=0.0001), miRNA-200b (p=0.0001), and miRNA-200c (p=0.019) could distinguish be- nign from malignant ovarian tumors. Resnick et al [59], confirmed that miRNAs in se- rum could be used as a marker for ovarian cancer in a series of 28 patients based on over-expression of miRNA-21, miRNA-92, miRNA -29a, miRNA-93 and miRNA-126, and underexpression of miRNA-99b, miRNA-127 and miRNA-155. In a meta-analysis on the diagnostic value of serum miRNA-21 expression, including 6 studies with limited 170 sample size, Qiu & Weng reported a pooled respective sensitivity, specificity, and AUC of 0.81 (95%CI: 0.73–0.88), 0.82 (95%CI: 0.75–0.87), and 0.89 (95%CI: 0.85–0.91) imposing further validation [60]. Recently, Wenyu Wang developed a plasma signature for malig- nant tumors using extracellular vesicle and identified a panel of eight miRNAs (miR- 1246, miR-1290, miR-483, miR-429, miR-34b-3p, miR-34c-5p, miR-145–5p, miR-449a). Their model had a respective AUC of 0.9762 and 0.9375 in the training and the valida-tion set [61]. In contrast to several studies focusing on serum miRNAs, Kai Berner et al  focused on urinary expression of twelve microRNAs. In their experience, miR-15a was upregulated whereas let-7a was down-regulated in ovarian cancer patients [62].

 From the prognostic point of view, Zhu et al [57] found that elevated serum miRNA-125b levels were higher in patients with early OC stages (FIGO stages I-II), and with no residual tumor after surgery. In addition, elevated serum miR-125b were corre-182 lated with progression-free survival (p = 0.035). Meng et al reported high levels of 183 miRNA-200b and miR-200c were associated with poorer overall survival (p=0.007, p=0.017, respectively) [58]. Gao et al. [63] including 74 serum samples of OC patients, 19 of borderline tumors and 50 of healthy controls, found that elevated serum miRNA-200c were correlated with improved two-year survival while decreased serum miR-145 levels 187 was associated with disease progression [64]. Finally, Zuberi et al. observed in OC an as- sociation between high expression of serum miR-125b and lymph node and distant me-189 tastases[65].

 From the theragnostic point of view, most studies are based on cell cultures [66,67]. Yang et al [68] found that protein kinase B (AKT) pathway activation was regulated by miRNA-214 and miRNA-150. Moreover, Echevarria-Vargas et al reported a cisplatin re- sistance associated with miR-21 expression [69]. Lu et al [70] observed that let-7a expres- sion was significantly lower in OC patients sensitive to platinum and paclitaxel com- pared to those resistant to these agents. Langhe et al reported a panel of 4 miRNAs (let- 7i-5p, miRNA-122, miRNA-152-5p and miRNA-25-3p) significantly down-regulated in OC with potential contribution to drug resistance [71]. Finally, in a recent review, Saburi et al [72] noted a relation between miRNA-30a-5p, miRNA-34a, miRNA-34a-5p, miRNA- 98-5p, miRNA-142-5p, miRNA-338-3p, miRNA-708 and cisplatin resistance. Similarly, a relation was noted between miRNA -136, miRNA-338-5p, miRNA-503-5p, miRNA-1246, miRNA-1307 and paclitaxel resistance. Finally, a relation was noted between miRNA509-3p and platinum resistance and between miRNA-744-5p and carboplatin re- sistance. However, it is important to note that none of these miRNAs have been evaluat-ed in biofluids while this could be a major contributor to adapt chemotherapy.

 From the analysis of the literature on miRNAs in biofluids, it appears that there are arguments to suggest their role in physiopathology, in the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant tumors and to a lesser degree with borderline tumors as well as to support their diagnostic, prognostic and theragnostic values. However, these analyses were mainly performed by microarray with validation by RT-qPCR with potential biases linked to the methodology as proven in the context of endometriosis [73]. Moreover, the small number of studies with limited sample size focusing on the specific evaluation of miRNAs expression in biofluids of patients with ovarian tumors limit their potential clinical utility. Indeed, Langhe et al [71] pointed out that miRNAs are abundant in tis- sues but often rare in plasma and serum. For the quantification of miRNAs in plasma, the authors stressed that it was essential to use a high-sensitivity platform such as Next Generation Sequencing (NGS). However, no study using NGS and bioinformatic tools to analyze miRNA content in large blood, serum, urine or saliva series are available to de-termine their role in routine practice.

#### **3. PiRNAs**

 piRNAs are small ncRNAs of 24 - 32 nucleotides [74]. piRNA biosynthesis is sum- marized in Figure 2 [74,75]. Dysregulation of piRNAs and proteins (e.g. PIWI family proteins) has been observed in various cancers including OC [75,76]. The main function of piRNAs is to protect the genome from transposons. Giulio Ferrero et al analyzing piRNA expression in urine, plasma exosomes, and stool observed that urine samples ex- hibited the highest piRNAs expression [77]. The piRNAs production and function are presented in Figure 2.



 **Figure 2** : piRNAs synthesis and function. Mature piRNAs are generated from the processing of single-stranded RNAs transcribed from piRNA clusters in the genome representing the largest class of non-coding RNAs found in most species. piRNAs can be also generated in the cytoplasm by a mechanism called the "ping-pong" cycle involving piRNA-directed antisense primary cleav- age of transposon transcripts by Aubergine and PIWI proteins. piRNAs can modulate histone modifications and DNA methylation in a sequence-specific manner, leading to alterations in chromosomal conformation and gene expression regulation.

 Singh et al. [78] found that piRNAs distinguish endometrioid from serous OC with 159 and 143 piRNAs differentially expressed, respectively. Among these piRNAs, 74 were upregulated and 77 down-regulated in endometrioid OC, and 56 upregulated and 81 downregulated in serous OC. piR-52,207 was found to be upregulated in endometrioid OC, and both piR-52,207 and piR-33,733 in serous. Interestingly, among 20 biofluids evaluated by Hulstaert et al [79], saliva has the highest fraction of piRNAs. So far, in the specific setting of OC, little data are available on piRNA expression in blood sample allowing to evaluate their potential diagnostic and prognostic value and no study has evaluated saliva piRNA expression.

### **4. Transfer-RNAs (tRNAs)**

 Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) are a source of small regulatory RNAs (tsRNAs) acting on protein translation [38,80]. Based on the cleavage site, tsRNAs are divided into transfer RNA-derived RNA fragments (tRFs) and tiRNAs [81] with tumorigenesis functions [82– 86] [87,88]. tRFs are also involved in gene expression, oncogene activation and ovarian cancer progression through association with Ago and PIWI proteins [89].

 Dhar Dwivedi et al. [38] observed that tsRNAs can predict abnormal cell prolifera-tion with high accuracy in serum samples from a cohort of patients healthy controls and

 benign and malignant tumors [90]. Eric Y. Peng observed that four tsncRNAs differen- tially expressed in serum samples had a high diagnostic accuracy for malignancy with an AUC of 0.95. Similarly, serum tRF-03357 and tRF- 03358 levels are increased in pa- tients with high-grade OC **[91,92]**. In addition, i-tRF-GlyGCC is linked to advanced FIGO stages, suboptimal debulking and most importantly with early progression and poor overall survival in EOC patients [92].

 Despite, a relative abundant literature on tRNA, no study has focused on their di-agnostic, prognostic and theragnostic relevance in the specific setting of OC

### **5. Circular RNAs (CircRNAs)**

 CircRNAs are a large class of ncRNA with more than 70,000 specimen identified in human tissues [93–99] and in many cancerous cell types including OC [95,100–102]. circRNAs have a high prevalence, specificity [103,104], stability [105] and conservation [106] conferring a particular value as biomarkers. Moreover, using 20 biofluids, Hulstaert et al demonstrated that circRNAs are enriched in biofluids compared to tis- sues [79].Indeed, the median circRNA read fraction in biofluids was 84.4% vs 17.5% in tissues. circRNAs act as specific miRNA reservoirs or sponges, as protein or peptide translators, as regulators of gene transcription and expression and interact with RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) impacting on transcription and translation of genes.



- Figure 3: CircRNAs Biosynthesis. Biosynthesis of circular RNA (circ-RNA) are expressed from mostly protein-coding genes. It is a multistep
- process, first the transcription of pre messagerRNA (mRNA), second splicing into mature mRNA then back-splicing into circ-RNA.
- Circ-RNA are then exported to the cytoplasm to A. act as micro RNA (miRNA) reservoir or transport miRNA; B. act as protein or peptide trans-
- lators; C. Interact with RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) for transcription or translation of genes.
- 

 From the diagnostic point of view, in serum of OC patients, Wang et al. identified 5 circRNAs (circ-0002711; Chr5:170610175-170632616+; circ-0001756; Chr4:147227078- 147230127-; and Chr16:53,175091-53191453+) with diagnostic value [107].

 From the prognostic point of view, a previous study demonstrated that serum circ- 0049116 released from a cell surface protein (mucin 16) could have a value [108]. In- creased expression of the circ-MUC16/miR-199a-5p axis positively correlates with ag- gressiveness of OC. Expressions of circ-FAM35b, circ-051239, circ-ABCB10, circ-0072995, circ-EEF2, circ-RAB11FIP1, circ-FGFR3, circ-NOLC1 and circ-PGAM1 were correlated with metastasis of EOC [109–116]. Moreover, circ-0015756, circ-0002711, hsa-circ- 0015326, circ-0001068, circ-0025033 and circ-KIF4A exhibited prognostic value in OC [117–120]. Serum circ-SETDB1 is positively correlated with lymph node metastasis and advanced stages of serous OC [121].

 From the theragnostic point of view, circ-0002711/miR-1244/ ROCK1 and has-circ- 0015326/miR-127-3p/MYB pathways could be potential therapeutic targets [118]. CircRNAs, has-circ-0000714, circ-TNPO3 and circ-NRIP1 are expressed in OC with Paclitaxel resistance [122–124]. You et al also reported that Circ-0063804 promoted OC cells proliferation and resistance to cisplatin by enhancing CLU expression via sponging miR-1276 [125]. In a recent review, Min Liu et al [126] reported the different implications of circ-RNAs in the pathogenesis of OC and underlined that circRNA-Cdr1as inhibited OC cell proliferation and promoted cisplatin-induced cell apoptosis while circRNA- TNPO3 enhanced paclitaxel resistance. Despite the potential contribution of these circ- RNAs to the diagnostic, prognostic and theragnostic value in patients with OC, no study has focused on these biomarkers in biofluids in this specific setting.

#### **6. Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs)**

 Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are a class of non-coding RNAs with 60–300 nu- cleotides, and mainly divided into two classes: C/D box SnoRNAs and H/ACA box SnoRNAs [127]. Most snoRNAs act as guide RNAs for the post-transcriptional modifica- tion of ribosomal RNAs by modifying 2′-O-ribose methylation and pseudo-uridylation of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) [126]. Cumulative evidence demonstrated that snoRNAs play a role in tumorigenesis of various cancer [128–131]. Using microarray on 197 EOC (162 serous, 15 endometrioid, 11 mucinous, and 9 clear cell), Oliveira et al found that SNORA68 and SNORD74 were associated with decreased overall survival (OS) and poor clinicopathological features [132]. In an *in vitro* study [Huilong](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=Lin+H&cauthor_id=31884343) Lin et al. observed that SNHG5 enhanced the sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel by sponging miR- 23a [133]. Wenjing Zhu et al developed a signature based on nine snoRNAs (SNORD126, SNORA70J, SNORD3C, SNORA75B, SNORA58, SNORA11B, SNORA36C, SNORD105B, SNORD89,) to predict prognosis of OC patients [134]. Finally, Peng-Fei Zhang et al re- ported that SNHG22 overexpression was associated with poor prognosis and induces chemotherapy resistance to cisplatin and paclitaxel via the miR-2467/Gal-1 signaling pathway in EOC [135]. Although the number of dysregulated snoRNAs in ovarian can- cer is up to 462 [128], one preliminary study investigated the role of snoRNA RNU2-1f in ovarian cancer. In this study, snoRNA abundance was investigated in serum (n = 10) by microarray analysis and validated in a serum set (n = 119) by reverse-transcription quan-321 titative PCR. They reported abundance of U2-1 snoRNA fragment (RNU2-1f) was signifi- cantly increased in sera of ovarian cancer patients (P < 0.0001) and paralleled Interna- tional Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage as well as residual tumor burden after surgery (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.011, respectively).

#### **7. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs)**

 lncRNAs act via various pathways to regulate gene expression at different levels [136] with a biogenesis similar to mRNAs (Figure 3) . Arbitrarily, lncRNAs are defined as composed of more than 200 nucleotides mainly between 1,000 and 10,000.

 Four different archetypes of lncRNA functions have been described (Figure 3) [137]. Since the discovery of lncRNAs, more than a thousand publications have been listed in  PubMed in the specific setting of OC but only about one percent focused on their ex- pression in biofluids. Numerous studies about lncRNAs, mainly based on OC tissue samples, have demonstrated an association between clinicopathological characteristics such as histological type and grade, FIGO stages, lymph node and distant metastasis and some lncRNAs [138]. In a review including 34 studies involving more than 4,000 women with OC, Hosseini and al observed an association between lncRNAs expression and PFS (HR: 1.88, 95% CI: (1.35–2.62)) and DFS (HR: 6.07, 95% CI: 1.28–28.78)). Howev- er, among the 34 studies only one evaluating lncRNA in plasma [139] was included. They concluded that their work support the robust prognostic significance of altered lncRNAs in ovarian cancer but more extensive studies are required.



 **Figure 3.** : lncRNAs synthesis and functions. Four different archetypes of lncRNAs functions have been described; (i) lncRNAs can act as molecular signals occurring at a specific time and location to integrate developmental signals, interpret the cellular context, or respond to various stimuli, (ii) lncRNAs act as a molecular decoy by binding to their target proteins following transcription with mainly negative regulation, (iii) lncRNAs act as gene expression guides under a cis-form in the immediate vicinity of genes, and under trans-form for distant genes, and (iv) lncRNAs act as scaf-folds.

 From the diagnostic point of view, numerous studies have reported a relation between some lncRNAs and clinicopathological characteristics using OC samples ana- lyzed by RT-qPCR, microarray and hybridization and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) from cancer tissue compared with adjacent normal tissue or samples from healthy patients (Salamini-Montemurri). In a recent review, Salamini-Montemurri et al have listed the various lncRNAs with clinicopathological value [138]. Among them, only E2F4AS [140], FLVCR1-AS1[141], LINK-A [142], MLK7-AS1 [143] and aHIF [144] were evaluated in blood serum but none of them exhibited a sufficient diagnostic value. Chun-Na Liu et al in 185 EOC patients and 43 healthy volunteers evaluated by RT-qPCR the expression of LOXL1-AS1 showing a higher expression in EOC patients with an AUC of 0.843 but a sensitivity and specificity of only 65.3% and 68.2%, respectively [145]. Using RT-qPCR, Jiezhi Ma & Min Xue investigated the expression of LINK-A in the plasma of 68 patients with OC and 34 healthy females showing a higher level in OC patients. Recently, for the diagnosis of OC, Barwal and al found that blood lncRNA RP5-

 837J1.2 had a sensitivity, specificity and AUC of 97.3%, 94.6% and 0.99, respectively but without external validation [146].

 From the prognostic point of view, some studies have evaluated the value of lncRNAs in blood or serum to predict survival. In the meta-analysis using RT-qPCR, Chen et al evaluated plasma levels of MALAT1 in 47 patients with EOC with metastasis (EOC/DM), 47 patients without metastasis (EOC/NDM), and 47 healthy controls (HC) [147]. Plasma MALAT1 allowed to distinguish EOC/DM and HC with an AUC of 0.884 (95% CI, 0.820‐0.949; P<0.001) with respective sensitivity and specificity of 89.4% and 72.3%. Jianming Gong et al, analyzing plasma samples from 66 patients with OC and 54 healthy controls, showed that lncRNA MIR4435-2HG was higher in patients with stage I-II FIGO stages OC but a high overlap of the value between the groups [148].

 From theragnostic point of view, Weiwei Xie et al have reviewed the contribution of lncRNAs in response to chemotherapy [149]. The main lncRNAs involved in the cisplatin resistance were HOTAIR, H19, MALAT1, MEG3, XIST, DNM3OS and ANRIL. Other lncRNAs have been proved to be associated to drug resistance such as LSINCT5, NEAT1 for paclitaxel resistance, UCA1 for paclitaxel-cisplatin resistance, GAS5 for plat- inum resistance. So far, most of these lncRNA have been merely evaluated on very small series of plasma [150,151] not allowing to draw conclusions on their relevance.

#### **8. Perspectives et conclusions**

 Despite an abundant literature on ncRNAs in OC mainly based on cell culture and tissue samples, relatively few data are yet available in biofluid while previous studies [79,152,153] have demonstrated the possibility to quantify ncRNAs in various biofluids such as plasma, serum, urine and saliva. Moreover, it is important to note some limits of 386 the previous published studies such as the small sample size, the absence of external val-387 idation, and the use in most studies of RT-qPCR and microarrays allowing ncRNA quan- tification of a predefined set of target sequences while NGS and bioinformatics, repre- senting an unbiased biomarker discovery method, is rarely used. To improve the pre- operative diagnosis of ovarian cancer, studies evaluating the expression of ncRNAs in easily accessible biofluids should be promoted, imposing the use of new sequencing technologies. So far, to our knowledge, only two studies, the clinical trial NCT03738319 focusing on ncRNA profile in exosomes of OC patients, [154] and the clinical trial NCT [155] evaluating the saliva expression of ncRNA in ovarian tumors including, benign, borderline and ovarian cancer, are ongoing.

### **References**

 1. Jung, A.; Reheis, L.; Host, A.; Hummel, M.; Billing, M.; Garbin, O. [Retrospective evaluation of relevance of care in the management of presumed benign ovarian tumors]. *Gynecol. Obstet. Fertil. Senol.* **2020**, *48*, 491–499, doi:10.1016/j.gofs.2020.03.020.

 2. Bullock, W.K.; Houts, R.E.; Gilrane, J.J. Ovarian Tumors; a Survey of All Surgically Treated Ovarian Tumors in a Large General Hospital over a Ten-Year Period. *AMA Arch. Surg.* **1955**, *71*, 153–166.

 3. Guidelines Detail Available online: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail (accessed on 1 October 2022).

4. SFOG.fr – Société Française d'Oncologie Gynécologie – Un site utilisant WordPress.

 5. Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA. Cancer J. Clin.* **2021**, *71*, 209–249, doi:10.3322/caac.21660.

 6. Stewart, C.; Ralyea, C.; Lockwood, S. Ovarian Cancer: An Integrated Review. *Semin. Oncol. Nurs.* **2019**, *35*, 151–156, doi:10.1016/j.soncn.2019.02.001.

 7. Lavoué, V.; Huchon, C.; Daraï, E. [Management of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer : French joint recommendations of FRANCOGYN, CNGOF, SFOG, GINECO-ARCAGY and endorsed by INCa. Introduction]. *Gynecol. Obstet. Fertil. Senol.* **2019**, *47*, 93–94, doi:10.1016/j.gofs.2019.01.006.

 8. Reiser, E.; Pils, D.; Grimm, C.; Hoffmann, I.; Polterauer, S.; Kranawetter, M.; Aust, S. Defining Models to Clas- sify between Benign and Malignant Adnexal Masses Using Routine Laboratory Parameters. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3210, doi:10.3390/cancers14133210.

 9. Froyman, W.; Landolfo, C.; De Cock, B.; Wynants, L.; Sladkevicius, P.; Testa, A.C.; Van Holsbeke, C.; Domali, E.; Fruscio, R.; Epstein, E.; et al. Risk of Complications in Patients with Conservatively Managed Ovarian Tumours (IOTA5): A 2-Year Interim Analysis of a Multicentre, Prospective, Cohort Study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2019**, *20*, 448–458, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30837-4.

 10. Van Calster, B.; Valentin, L.; Froyman, W.; Landolfo, C.; Ceusters, J.; Testa, A.C.; Wynants, L.; Sladkevicius, P.; Van Holsbeke, C.; Domali, E.; et al. Validation of Models to Diagnose Ovarian Cancer in Patients Managed Surgically or Conservatively: Multicentre Cohort Study. *The BMJ* **2020**, *370*, doi:10.1136/bmj.m2614.

 11. Meys, E.M.J.; Kaijser, J.; Kruitwagen, R.F.P.M.; Slangen, B.F.M.; Van Calster, B.; Aertgeerts, B.; Verbakel, J.Y.; Timmerman, D.; Van Gorp, T. Subjective Assessment versus Ultrasound Models to Diagnose Ovarian Cancer: A Sys-tematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Eur. J. Cancer Oxf. Engl. 1990* **2016**, *58*, 17–29, doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2016.01.007.

 12. Thomassin-Naggara, I.; Poncelet, E.; Jalaguier-Coudray, A.; Guerra, A.; Fournier, L.S.; Stojanovic, S.; Millet, I.; Bharwani, N.; Juhan, V.; Cunha, T.M.; et al. Ovarian-Adnexal Reporting Data System Magnetic Resonance Imaging (O-RADS MRI) Score for Risk Stratification of Sonographically Indeterminate Adnexal Masses. *JAMA Netw. Open* **2020**, *3*, e1919896, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.19896.

 13. Thomassin-Naggara, I.; Aubert, E.; Rockall, A.; Jalaguier-Coudray, A.; Rouzier, R.; Daraï, E.; Bazot, M. Adnex- al Masses: Development and Preliminary Validation of an MR Imaging Scoring System. *Radiology* **2013**, *267*, 432–443, doi:10.1148/radiol.13121161.

 14. Maggino, T.; Gadducci, A.; D'Addario, V.; Pecorelli, S.; Lissoni, A.; Stella, M.; Romagnolo, C.; Federghini, M.; Zucca, S.; Trio, D. Prospective Multicenter Study on CA 125 in Postmenopausal Pelvic Masses. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **1994**, *54*, 117–123, doi:10.1006/gyno.1994.1179.

 15. Choi, H.-J.; Lee, Y.-Y.; Sohn, I.; Kim, Y.-M.; Kim, J.-W.; Kang, S.; Kim, B.-G. Comparison of CA 125 Alone and Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA) in Patients with Adnexal Mass: A Multicenter Study. *Curr. Probl. Cancer* **2020**, *44*, 100508, doi:10.1016/j.currproblcancer.2019.100508.

 16. Sevinc, A.; Adli, M.; Kalender, M.E.; Camci, C. Benign Causes of Increased Serum CA-125 Concentration. *Lan-cet Oncol.* **2007**, *8*, 1054–1055, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(07)70357-1.

 17. Høgdall, E.V.S.; Christensen, L.; Kjaer, S.K.; Blaakaer, J.; Kjaerbye-Thygesen, A.; Gayther, S.; Jacobs, I.J.; Høgdall, C.K. CA125 Expression Pattern, Prognosis and Correlation with Serum CA125 in Ovarian Tumor Patients. From The Danish "MALOVA" Ovarian Cancer Study. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2007**, *104*, 508–515,

doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2006.09.028.

 18. Dunton, C.J.; Hutchcraft, M.L.; Bullock, R.G.; Northrop, L.E.; Ueland, F.R. Salvaging Detection of Early-Stage Ovarian Malignancies When CA125 Is Not Informative. *Diagn. Basel Switz.* **2021**, *11*, 1440,

doi:10.3390/diagnostics11081440.

 19. Davenport, C.; Rai, N.; Sharma, P.; Deeks, J.J.; Berhane, S.; Mallett, S.; Saha, P.; Champaneria, R.; Bayliss, S.E.; Snell, K.I.; et al. Menopausal Status, Ultrasound and Biomarker Tests in Combination for the Diagnosis of Ovarian Cancer in Symptomatic Women. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2022**, *7*, CD011964, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011964.pub2. 20. Funston, G.; Van Melle, M.; Baun, M.-L.L.; Jensen, H.; Helsper, C.; Emery, J.; Crosbie, E.J.; Thompson, M.; Hamilton, W.; Walter, F.M. Variation in the Initial Assessment and Investigation for Ovarian Cancer in Symptomatic Women: A Systematic Review of International Guidelines. *BMC Cancer* **2019**, *19*, 1028, doi:10.1186/s12885-019-6211-2. 21. Shahrouki, P.; Larsson, E. The Non-Coding Oncogene: A Case of Missing DNA Evidence? *Front. Genet.* **2012**, *3*, 170, doi:10.3389/fgene.2012.00170. 22. Green, D.; Fraser, W.D.; Dalmay, T. Transfer RNA-Derived Small RNAs in the Cancer Transcriptome. *Pflugers Arch.* **2016**, *468*, 1041–1047, doi:10.1007/s00424-016-1822-9. 23. Cech, T.R.; Steitz, J.A. The Noncoding RNA Revolution-Trashing Old Rules to Forge New Ones. *Cell* **2014**, *157*, 77–94, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.008. 24. Gibb, E.A.; Brown, C.J.; Lam, W.L. The Functional Role of Long Non-Coding RNA in Human Carcinomas. *Mol. Cancer* **2011**, *10*, 38, doi:10.1186/1476-4598-10-38. 25. Fu, L.; Jiang, Z.; Li, T.; Hu, Y.; Guo, J. Circular RNAs in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Functions and Implica- tions. *Cancer Med.* **2018**, doi:10.1002/cam4.1574. 26. Yang, L.; Froberg, J.E.; Lee, J.T. Long Noncoding RNAs: Fresh Perspectives into the RNA World. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2014**, *39*, 35–43, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2013.10.002. 27. Shen, Y.; Yu, X.; Zhu, L.; Li, T.; Yan, Z.; Guo, J. Transfer RNA-Derived Fragments and TRNA Halves: Biogene- sis, Biological Functions and Their Roles in Diseases. *J. Mol. Med. Berl. Ger.* **2018**, *96*, 1167–1176, doi:10.1007/s00109- 018-1693-y. 28. Ambros, V. The Functions of Animal MicroRNAs. *Nature* **2004**, *431*, 350–355, doi:10.1038/nature02871. 29. Huang, S.; Wu, S.; Ding, J.; Lin, J.; Wei, L.; Gu, J.; He, X. MicroRNA-181a Modulates Gene Expression of Zinc Finger Family Members by Directly Targeting Their Coding Regions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, 7211–7218, doi:10.1093/nar/gkq564. 30. Ørom, U.A.; Nielsen, F.C.; Lund, A.H. MicroRNA-10a Binds the 5'UTR of Ribosomal Protein MRNAs and Enhances Their Translation. *Mol. Cell* **2008**, *30*, 460–471, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.05.001. 31. Doyle, L.M.; Wang, M.Z. Overview of Extracellular Vesicles, Their Origin, Composition, Purpose, and Meth- ods for Exosome Isolation and Analysis. *Cells* **2019**, *8*, E727, doi:10.3390/cells8070727. 32. Yuan, T.; Huang, X.; Woodcock, M.; Du, M.; Dittmar, R.; Wang, Y.; Tsai, S.; Kohli, M.; Boardman, L.; Patel, T.; et al. Plasma Extracellular RNA Profiles in Healthy and Cancer Patients. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 19413, doi:10.1038/srep19413. 33. Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. *Cell* **2009**, *136*, 215–233, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002. 34. Iorio, M.V.; Visone, R.; Di Leva, G.; Donati, V.; Petrocca, F.; Casalini, P.; Taccioli, C.; Volinia, S.; Liu, C.-G.; Alder, H.; et al. MicroRNA Signatures in Human Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2007**, *67*, 8699–8707, doi:10.1158/0008- 5472.CAN-07-1936. 35. Dwivedi, S.K.D.; Mustafi, S.B.; Mangala, L.S.; Jiang, D.; Pradeep, S.; Rodriguez-Aguayo, C.; Ling, H.; Ivan, C.; Mukherjee, P.; Calin, G.A.; et al. Therapeutic Evaluation of MicroRNA-15a and MicroRNA-16 in Ovarian Cancer. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 15093–15104, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7618. 36. Bhattacharya, R.; Nicoloso, M.; Arvizo, R.; Wang, E.; Cortez, A.; Rossi, S.; Calin, G.A.; Mukherjee, P. MiR-15a and MiR-16 Control Bmi-1 Expression in Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **2009**, *69*, 9090–9095, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN- 09-2552. 37. Vang, S.; Wu, H.-T.; Fischer, A.; Miller, D.H.; MacLaughlan, S.; Douglass, E.; Comisar, L.; Steinhoff, M.; Col- lins, C.; Smith, P.J.S.; et al. Identification of Ovarian Cancer Metastatic MiRNAs. *PloS One* **2013**, *8*, e58226, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058226. 38. Dwivedi, S.K.D.; Rao, G.; Dey, A.; Mukherjee, P.; Wren, J.D.; Bhattacharya, R. Small Non-Coding-RNA in Gy- necological Malignancies. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 1085, doi:10.3390/cancers13051085. 39. Shu, C.; Wang, W.; Wu, L.; Qi, C.; Yan, W.; Lu, W.; Tian, J.; Shang, A. LINC00936/MicroRNA-221-3p Regulates Tumor Progression in Ovarian Cancer by Interacting with LAMA3. *Recent Patents Anticancer Drug Discov.* **2023**, *18*, 66– 79, doi:10.2174/1574892817666220316152201. 40. Zhu, Z.; Chen, Z.; Wang, M.; Zhang, M.; Chen, Y.; Yang, X.; Zhou, C.; Liu, Y.; Hong, L.; Zhang, L. Detection of Plasma Exosomal MiRNA-205 as a Biomarker for Early Diagnosis and an Adjuvant Indicator of Ovarian Cancer Stag-ing. *J. Ovarian Res.* **2022**, *15*, 27, doi:10.1186/s13048-022-00961-x.

 41. Su, Y.Y.; Sun, L.; Guo, Z.R.; Li, J.C.; Bai, T.T.; Cai, X.X.; Li, W.H.; Zhu, Y.F. Upregulated Expression of Serum Exosomal MiR-375 and MiR-1307 Enhance the Diagnostic Power of CA125 for Ovarian Cancer. *J. Ovarian Res.* **2019**, *12*, 6, doi:10.1186/s13048-018-0477-x. 42. Shah, J.S.; Gard, G.B.; Yang, J.; Maidens, J.; Valmadre, S.; Soon, P.S.; Marsh, D.J. Combining Serum MicroRNA and CA-125 as Prognostic Indicators of Preoperative Surgical Outcome in Women with High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2018**, *148*, 181–188, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.11.005. 43. Robelin, P.; Tod, M.; Colomban, O.; Lachuer, J.; Ray-Coquard, I.; Rauglaudre, G.D.; Joly, F.; Chevalier-Place, A.; Combe, P.; Lortholary, A.; et al. Comparative Analysis of Predictive Values of the Kinetics of 11 Circulating MiRNAs and of CA125 in Ovarian Cancer during First Line Treatment (a GINECO Study). *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2020**, *159*, 256–263, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.07.021. 44. Guo, F.; Tian, J.; Lin, Y.; Jin, Y.; Wang, L.; Cui, M. Serum MicroRNA-92 Expression in Patients with Ovarian Epithelial Carcinoma. *J. Int. Med. Res.* **2013**, *41*, 1456–1461, doi:10.1177/0300060513487652. 45. Robelin, P.; Tod, M.; Colomban, O.; Lachuer, J.; Ray-Coquard, I.; Rauglaudre, G.D.; Joly, F.; Chevalier-Place, A.; Combe, P.; Lortholary, A.; et al. Comparative Analysis of Predictive Values of the Kinetics of 11 Circulating MiRNAs and of CA125 in Ovarian Cancer during First Line Treatment (a GINECO Study). *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2020**, *159*, 256–263, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.07.021. 46. Cirillo, P.D.R.; Margiotti, K.; Fabiani, M.; Barros-Filho, M.C.; Sparacino, D.; Cima, A.; Longo, S.A.; Cupellaro, M.; Mesoraca, A.; Giorlandino, C. Multi-Analytical Test Based on Serum MiRNAs and Proteins Quantification for Ovarian Cancer Early Detection. *PloS One* **2021**, *16*, e0255804, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255804. 47. Meng, X.; Joosse, S.A.; Müller, V.; Trillsch, F.; Milde-Langosch, K.; Mahner, S.; Geffken, M.; Pantel, K.; Schwarzenbach, H. Diagnostic and Prognostic Potential of Serum MiR-7, MiR-16, MiR-25, MiR-93, MiR-182, MiR-376a and MiR-429 in Ovarian Cancer Patients. *Br. J. Cancer* **2015**, *113*, 1358–1366, doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.340. 48. Cui, Y.; Hong, S.; Zhu, X. The Accuracy of Single MicroRNAs in Peripheral Blood to Diagnose Ovarian Can- cer: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Dis. Markers* **2020**, *2020*, 1075942, doi:10.1155/2020/1075942. 49. Zheng, H.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, D.; Song, F.; Wen, Y.; Hao, Q.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, W.; Chen, K. Plasma MiRNAs as Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers for Ovarian Cancer. *PloS One* **2013**, *8*, e77853, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077853. 50. Jiang, Y.; Shi, Y.; Lyu, T.; Liu, H.; Shen, L.; Zhou, T.; Feng, W. Identification and Functional Validation of Dif- ferentially Expressed MicroRNAs in Ascites-Derived Ovarian Cancer Cells Compared with Primary Tumour Tissue. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2021**, *13*, 6585–6597, doi:10.2147/CMAR.S320834. 51. Gong, L.; Wang, C.; Gao, Y.; Wang, J. Decreased Expression of MicroRNA-148a Predicts Poor Prognosis in Ovarian Cancer and Associates with Tumor Growth and Metastasis. *Biomed. Pharmacother. Biomedecine Pharmacother.* **2016**, *83*, 58–63, doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2016.05.049. 52. Oliveira, D.N.P.; Carlsen, A.L.; Heegaard, N.H.H.; Prahm, K.P.; Christensen, I.J.; Høgdall, C.K.; Høgdall, E.V. Diagnostic Plasma MiRNA-Profiles for Ovarian Cancer in Patients with Pelvic Mass. *PloS One* **2019**, *14*, e0225249, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225249. 53. Savolainen, K.; Scaravilli, M.; Ilvesmäki, A.; Staff, S.; Tolonen, T.; Mäenpää, J.U.; Visakorpi, T.; Auranen, A. Expression of the MiR-200 Family in Tumor Tissue, Plasma and Urine of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Patients in Com- parison to Benign Counterparts. *BMC Res. Notes* **2020**, *13*, 311, doi:10.1186/s13104-020-05155-6. 54. Salem, M.; O'Brien, J.A.; Bernaudo, S.; Shawer, H.; Ye, G.; Brkić, J.; Amleh, A.; Vanderhyden, B.C.; Refky, B.; Yang, B.B.; et al. MiR-590-3p Promotes Ovarian Cancer Growth and Metastasis via a Novel FOXA2-Versican Pathway. *Cancer Res.* **2018**, *78*, 4175–4190, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3014. 55. Chang, R.K.; Li, X.; Mu, N.; Hrydziuszko, O.; Garcia-Majano, B.; Larsson, C.; Lui, W.-O. MicroRNA Expres- sion Profiles in Non‐epithelial Ovarian Tumors. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2018**, *52*, 55–66, doi:10.3892/ijo.2017.4200. 56. Yokoi, A.; Yoshioka, Y.; Hirakawa, A.; Yamamoto, Y.; Ishikawa, M.; Ikeda, S.-I.; Kato, T.; Niimi, K.; Kajiyama, H.; Kikkawa, F.; et al. A Combination of Circulating MiRNAs for the Early Detection of Ovarian Cancer. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 89811–89823, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.20688. 57. Zhu, T.; Gao, W.; Chen, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, M.; Zhang, P.; Wang, S. A Pilot Study of Circulating MicroRNA- 125b as a Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarker for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer Off. J. Int. Gynecol. Cancer Soc.* **2017**, *27*, 3–10, doi:10.1097/IGC.0000000000000846. 58. Meng, X.; Müller, V.; Milde-Langosch, K.; Trillsch, F.; Pantel, K.; Schwarzenbach, H. Diagnostic and Prognos-

 tic Relevance of Circulating Exosomal MiR-373, MiR-200a, MiR-200b and MiR-200c in Patients with Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 16923–16935, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7850.

- 59. Resnick, K.E.; Alder, H.; Hagan, J.P.; Richardson, D.L.; Croce, C.M.; Cohn, D.E. The Detection of Differentially Expressed MicroRNAs from the Serum of Ovarian Cancer Patients Using a Novel Real-Time PCR Platform. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2009**, *112*, 55–59, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2008.08.036.
- 60. Qiu, L.; Weng, G. The Diagnostic Value of Serum MiR-21 in Patients with Ovarian Cancer: A Systematic Re-view and Meta-Analysis. *J. Ovarian Res.* **2022**, *15*, 51, doi:10.1186/s13048-022-00985-3.
- 61. Wang, W.; Jo, H.; Park, S.; Kim, H.; Kim, S.I.; Han, Y.; Lee, J.; Seol, A.; Kim, J.; Lee, M.; et al. Integrated Analy- sis of Ascites and Plasma Extracellular Vesicles Identifies a MiRNA-Based Diagnostic Signature in Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Lett.* **2022**, *542*, 215735, doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2022.215735.
- 62. Berner, K.; Hirschfeld, M.; Weiß, D.; Rücker, G.; Asberger, J.; Ritter, A.; Nöthling, C.; Jäger, M.; Juhasz-Böss, I.; Erbes, T. Evaluation of Circulating MicroRNAs as Non-Invasive Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of Ovarian Cancer: A Case-Control Study. *Arch. Gynecol. Obstet.* **2022**, *306*, 151–163, doi:10.1007/s00404-021-06287-1.
- 63. Gao, Y.-C.; Wu, J. MicroRNA-200c and MicroRNA-141 as Potential Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers for Ovarian Cancer. *Tumour Biol. J. Int. Soc. Oncodevelopmental Biol. Med.* **2015**, *36*, 4843–4850, doi:10.1007/s13277-015-3138- 3.
- 64. Zuberi, M.; Mir, R.; Khan, I.; Javid, J.; Guru, S.A.; Bhat, M.; Sumi, M.P.; Ahmad, I.; Masroor, M.; Yadav, P.; et al. The Promising Signatures of Circulating MicroRNA-145 in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Patients. *MicroRNA Shariqah United Arab Emir.* **2020**, *9*, 49–57, doi:10.2174/2211536608666190225111234.
- 65. Zuberi, M.; Khan, I.; Mir, R.; Gandhi, G.; Ray, P.C.; Saxena, A. Utility of Serum MiR-125b as a Diagnostic and Prognostic Indicator and Its Alliance with a Panel of Tumor Suppressor Genes in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *PloS One* **2016**, *11*, e0153902, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153902.
- 66. Kazmierczak, D.; Jopek, K.; Sterzynska, K.; Nowicki, M.; Rucinski, M.; Januchowski, R. The Profile of MicroRNA Expression and Potential Role in the Regulation of Drug-Resistant Genes in Cisplatin- and Paclitaxel-Resistant Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 526, doi:10.3390/ijms23010526.
- 67. Stasiak, P.; Kaźmierczak, D.; Jopek, K.; Nowicki, M.; Rucinski, M.; Januchowski, R. The Profile of MicroRNA Expression and Potential Role in the Regulation of Drug-Resistant Genes in Doxorubicin and Topotecan Resistant Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 5846, doi:10.3390/ijms23105846.
- 68. Yang, H.; Kong, W.; He, L.; Zhao, J.-J.; O'Donnell, J.D.; Wang, J.; Wenham, R.M.; Coppola, D.; Kruk, P.A.; Nic- osia, S.V.; et al. MicroRNA Expression Profiling in Human Ovarian Cancer: MiR-214 Induces Cell Survival and Cisplatin Resistance by Targeting PTEN. *Cancer Res.* **2008**, *68*, 425–433, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2488.
- 69. Echevarría-Vargas, I.M.; Valiyeva, F.; Vivas-Mejía, P.E. Upregulation of MiR-21 in Cisplatin Resistant Ovarian Cancer via JNK-1/c-Jun Pathway. *PloS One* **2014**, *9*, e97094, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097094.
- 70. Lu, L.; Schwartz, P.; Scarampi, L.; Rutherford, T.; Canuto, E.M.; Yu, H.; Katsaros, D. MicroRNA Let-7a: A Po- tential Marker for Selection of Paclitaxel in Ovarian Cancer Management. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2011**, *122*, 366–371, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.04.033.
- 71. R, L.; L, N.; Fa, S.; G, B.; R, V.; A, H.; N, G.; C, S.; C, M.; Dm, O.; et al. A Novel Serum MicroRNA Panel to Dis-criminate Benign from Malignant Ovarian Disease. *Cancer Lett.* **2015**, *356*, doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2014.10.010.
- 72. Saburi, A.; Kahrizi, M.S.; Naghsh, N.; Etemadi, H.; İlhan, A.; Adili, A.; Ghoreishizadeh, S.; Tamjidifar, R.;
- Akbari, M.; Ercan, G. A Comprehensive Survey into the Role of MicroRNAs in Ovarian Cancer Chemoresistance; an Updated Overview. *J. Ovarian Res.* **2022**, *15*, 81, doi:10.1186/s13048-022-01012-1.
- 73. Bendifallah, S.; Dabi, Y.; Suisse, S.; Jornea, L.; Bouteiller, D.; Touboul, C.; Puchar, A.; Daraï, E. A Bioinformat- ics Approach to MicroRNA-Sequencing Analysis Based on Human Saliva Samples of Patients with Endometriosis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 8045, doi:10.3390/ijms23148045.
- 74. Ishizu, H.; Siomi, H.; Siomi, M.C. Biology of PIWI-Interacting RNAs: New Insights into Biogenesis and Func-tion inside and Outside of Germlines. *Genes Dev.* **2012**, *26*, 2361–2373, doi:10.1101/gad.203786.112.
- 75. Siddiqi, S.; Matushansky, I. Piwis and Piwi-Interacting RNAs in the Epigenetics of Cancer. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2012**, *113*, 373–380, doi:10.1002/jcb.23363.
- 76. Cheng, J.; Deng, H.; Xiao, B.; Zhou, H.; Zhou, F.; Shen, Z.; Guo, J. PiR-823, a Novel Non-Coding Small RNA, Demonstrates in Vitro and in Vivo Tumor Suppressive Activity in Human Gastric Cancer Cells. *Cancer Lett.* **2012**, *315*, 12–17, doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2011.10.004.
- 77. G, F.; F, C.; S, T.; M, A.; F, R.; G, G.; G, R.; M, A.; N, K.; G, M.; et al. Small Non-Coding RNA Profiling in Hu- man Biofluids and Surrogate Tissues from Healthy Individuals: Description of the Diverse and Most Represented Species. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *9*, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.23203.
- 78. Singh, G.; Roy, J.; Rout, P.; Mallick, B. Genome-Wide Profiling of the PIWI-Interacting RNA-MRNA Regulato-

 ry Networks in Epithelial Ovarian Cancers. *PloS One* **2018**, *13*, e0190485, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190485. 79. Hulstaert, E.; Morlion, A.; Levanon, K.; Vandesompele, J.; Mestdagh, P. Candidate RNA Biomarkers in Biofluids for Early Diagnosis of Ovarian Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **2021**, *160*, 633–642, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.11.018. 80. Li, S.; Xu, Z.; Sheng, J. TRNA-Derived Small RNA: A Novel Regulatory Small Non-Coding RNA. *Genes* **2018**, *9*, E246, doi:10.3390/genes9050246. 81. Balatti, V.; Pekarsky, Y.; Croce, C.M. Role of the TRNA-Derived Small RNAs in Cancer: New Potential Bi- omarkers and Target for Therapy. *Adv. Cancer Res.* **2017**, *135*, 173–187, doi:10.1016/bs.acr.2017.06.007. 82. Telonis, A.G.; Loher, P.; Honda, S.; Jing, Y.; Palazzo, J.; Kirino, Y.; Rigoutsos, I. Dissecting TRNA-Derived Fragment Complexities Using Personalized Transcriptomes Reveals Novel Fragment Classes and Unexpected De- pendencies. *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6*, 24797–24822, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4695. 83. Haussecker, D.; Huang, Y.; Lau, A.; Parameswaran, P.; Fire, A.Z.; Kay, M.A. Human TRNA-Derived Small RNAs in the Global Regulation of RNA Silencing. *RNA N. Y. N* **2010**, *16*, 673–695, doi:10.1261/rna.2000810. 84. Goodarzi, H.; Liu, X.; Nguyen, H.C.B.; Zhang, S.; Fish, L.; Tavazoie, S.F. Endogenous TRNA-Derived Frag- ments Suppress Breast Cancer Progression via YBX1 Displacement. *Cell* **2015**, *161*, 790–802, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.053. 85. Rounge, T.B.; Furu, K.; Skotheim, R.I.; Haugen, T.B.; Grotmol, T.; Enerly, E. Profiling of the Small RNA Popu- lations in Human Testicular Germ Cell Tumors Shows Global Loss of PiRNAs. *Mol. Cancer* **2015**, *14*, 153, doi:10.1186/s12943-015-0411-4. 86. Sobala, A.; Hutvagner, G. Small RNAs Derived from the 5' End of TRNA Can Inhibit Protein Translation in Human Cells. *RNA Biol.* **2013**, *10*, 553–563, doi:10.4161/rna.24285. 87. Telonis, A.G.; Loher, P.; Magee, R.; Pliatsika, V.; Londin, E.; Kirino, Y.; Rigoutsos, I. TRNA Fragments Show Intertwining with MRNAs of Specific Repeat Content and Have Links to Disparities. *Cancer Res.* **2019**, *79*, 3034–3049, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0789. 88. Gebetsberger, J.; Wyss, L.; Mleczko, A.M.; Reuther, J.; Polacek, N. A TRNA-Derived Fragment Competes with MRNA for Ribosome Binding and Regulates Translation during Stress. *RNA Biol.* **2017**, *14*, 1364–1373, doi:10.1080/15476286.2016.1257470. 89. Balatti, V.; Nigita, G.; Veneziano, D.; Drusco, A.; Stein, G.S.; Messier, T.L.; Farina, N.H.; Lian, J.B.; Tomasello, L.; Liu, C.-G.; et al. TsRNA Signatures in Cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114*, 8071–8076, doi:10.1073/pnas.1706908114. 90. Peng, E.Y.; Shu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Zeng, F.; Tan, S.; Deng, Y.; Deng, Y.; Chen, H.; Zhu, L.; Xu, H. Presence and Diag- nostic Value of Circulating TsncRNA for Ovarian Tumor. *Mol. Cancer* **2018**, *17*, 163, doi:10.1186/s12943-018-0910-1. 91. Zhang, M.; Li, F.; Wang, J.; He, W.; Li, Y.; Li, H.; Wei, Z.; Cao, Y. TRNA-Derived Fragment TRF-03357 Pro- motes Cell Proliferation, Migration and Invasion in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer. *OncoTargets Ther.* **2019**, *12*, 6371–6383, doi:10.2147/OTT.S206861. 92. Jia, Y.; Tan, W.; Zhou, Y. Transfer RNA-Derived Small RNAs: Potential Applications as Novel Biomarkers for Disease Diagnosis and Prognosis. *Ann. Transl. Med.* **2020**, *8*, 1092, doi:10.21037/atm-20-2797. 93. Sanger, H.L.; Klotz, G.; Riesner, D.; Gross, H.J.; Kleinschmidt, A.K. Viroids Are Single-Stranded Covalently Closed Circular RNA Molecules Existing as Highly Base-Paired Rod-like Structures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1976**, *73*, 3852–3856, doi:10.1073/pnas.73.11.3852. 94. Hsu, M.T.; Coca-Prados, M. Electron Microscopic Evidence for the Circular Form of RNA in the Cytoplasm of Eukaryotic Cells. *Nature* **1979**, *280*, 339–340, doi:10.1038/280339a0. 95. Chen, X.; Han, P.; Zhou, T.; Guo, X.; Song, X.; Li, Y. CircRNADb: A Comprehensive Database for Human Cir- cular RNAs with Protein-Coding Annotations. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 34985, doi:10.1038/srep34985. 96. Lai, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, H.; Hu, J.; Liao, J.; Su, Y.; Li, Q.; Chen, B.; Li, C.; Wang, Z.; et al. ExoRBase 2.0: An Atlas of MRNA, LncRNA and CircRNA in Extracellular Vesicles from Human Biofluids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *50*, D118– D128, doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1085. 97. Guo, J.U.; Agarwal, V.; Guo, H.; Bartel, D.P. Expanded Identification and Characterization of Mammalian Circular RNAs. *Genome Biol.* **2014**, *15*, 409, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0409-z. 98. Ivanov, A.; Memczak, S.; Wyler, E.; Torti, F.; Porath, H.T.; Orejuela, M.R.; Piechotta, M.; Levanon, E.Y.; Landthaler, M.; Dieterich, C.; et al. Analysis of Intron Sequences Reveals Hallmarks of Circular RNA Biogenesis in Animals. *Cell Rep.* **2015**, *10*, 170–177, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.019. 99. Kelly, S.; Greenman, C.; Cook, P.R.; Papantonis, A. Exon Skipping Is Correlated with Exon Circularization. *J.* 

- *Mol. Biol.* **2015**, *427*, 2414–2417, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2015.02.018.
- 100. Wang, R.; Zhang, S.; Chen, X.; Li, N.; Li, J.; Jia, R.; Pan, Y.; Liang, H. EIF4A3-Induced Circular RNA MMP9 (CircMMP9) Acts as a Sponge of MiR-124 and Promotes Glioblastoma Multiforme Cell Tumorigenesis. *Mol. Cancer* **2018**, *17*, 166, doi:10.1186/s12943-018-0911-0.
- 101. Feng, Y.; Zhang, L.; Wu, J.; Khadka, B.; Fang, Z.; Gu, J.; Tang, B.; Xiao, R.; Pan, G.; Liu, J. CircRNA Circ\_0000190 Inhibits the Progression of Multiple Myeloma through Modulating MiR-767-5p/MAPK4 Pathway. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. CR* **2019**, *38*, 54, doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1071-9.
- 102. Lu, Q.; Liu, T.; Feng, H.; Yang, R.; Zhao, X.; Chen, W.; Jiang, B.; Qin, H.; Guo, X.; Liu, M.; et al. Circular RNA CircSLC8A1 Acts as a Sponge of MiR-130b/MiR-494 in Suppressing Bladder Cancer Progression via Regulating PTEN.
- *Mol. Cancer* **2019**, *18*, 111, doi:10.1186/s12943-019-1040-0.
- 103. Hansen, T.B.; Jensen, T.I.; Clausen, B.H.; Bramsen, J.B.; Finsen, B.; Damgaard, C.K.; Kjems, J. Natural RNA Circles Function as Efficient MicroRNA Sponges. *Nature* **2013**, *495*, 384–388, doi:10.1038/nature11993.
- 104. Ahmed, I.; Karedath, T.; Al-Dasim, F.M.; Malek, J.A. Identification of Human Genetic Variants Controlling Circular RNA Expression. *RNA N. Y. N* **2019**, *25*, 1765–1778, doi:10.1261/rna.071654.119.
- 105. Jeck, W.R.; Sorrentino, J.A.; Wang, K.; Slevin, M.K.; Burd, C.E.; Liu, J.; Marzluff, W.F.; Sharpless, N.E. Circular RNAs Are Abundant, Conserved, and Associated with ALU Repeats. *RNA N. Y. N* **2013**, *19*, 141–157,
- doi:10.1261/rna.035667.112.
- 106. Wang, P.L.; Bao, Y.; Yee, M.-C.; Barrett, S.P.; Hogan, G.J.; Olsen, M.N.; Dinneny, J.R.; Brown, P.O.; Salzman, J. Circular RNA Is Expressed across the Eukaryotic Tree of Life. *PloS One* **2014**, *9*, e90859,
- doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090859.
- 107. Wang, J.; Wu, A.; Yang, B.; Zhu, X.; Teng, Y.; Ai, Z. Profiling and Bioinformatics Analyses Reveal Differential Circular RNA Expression in Ovarian Cancer. *Gene* **2020**, *724*, 144150, doi:10.1016/j.gene.2019.144150.
- 108. Gan, X.; Zhu, H.; Jiang, X.; Obiegbusi, S.C.; Yong, M.; Long, X.; Hu, J. CircMUC16 Promotes Autophagy of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer via Interaction with ATG13 and MiR-199a. *Mol. Cancer* **2020**, *19*, 45, doi:10.1186/s12943-020- 01163-z.
- 109. Zhang, C.; Li, Y.; Zhao, W.; Liu, G.; Yang, Q. Circ-PGAM1 Promotes Malignant Progression of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer through Regulation of the MiR-542-3p/CDC5L/PEAK1 Pathway. *Cancer Med.* **2020**, *9*, 3500–3521, doi:10.1002/cam4.2929.
- 110. Chen, S.; Wu, W.; Li, Q.-H.; Xie, B.-M.; Shen, F.; Du, Y.-P.; Zong, Z.-H.; Wang, L.-L.; Wei, X.-Q.; Zhao, Y. Circ- NOLC1 Promotes Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Tumorigenesis and Progression by Binding ESRP1 and Modulating CDK1 and RhoA Expression. *Cell Death Discov.* **2021**, *7*, 22, doi:10.1038/s41420-020-00381-0.
- 111. Sun, D.; Liu, J.; Zhou, L. Upregulation of Circular RNA Circ‐FAM53B Predicts Adverse Prognosis and Accel- erates the Progression of Ovarian Cancer via the MiR‐646/VAMP2 and MiR‐647/MDM2 Signaling Pathways. *Oncol. Rep.* **2019**, *42*, 2728–2737, doi:10.3892/or.2019.7366.
- 112. Ma, R.; Ye, X.; Cheng, H.; Cui, H.; Chang, X. Tumor-Derived Exosomal CircRNA051239 Promotes Prolifera-tion and Migration of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **2021**, *13*, 1125–1139.
- 113. Ding, J.; Wang, Q.; Guo, N.; Wang, H.; Chen, H.; Ni, G.; Li, P. CircRNA Circ\_0072995 Promotes the Progres- sion of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer by Modulating MiR-147a/CDK6 Axis. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 17209–17223, doi:10.18632/aging.103668.
- 114. Yong, M.; Hu, J.; Zhu, H.; Jiang, X.; Gan, X.; Hu, L. Erratum: Circ-EEF2 Facilitated Autophagy via Interaction with Mir-6881-3p and ANXA2 in EOC. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **2021**, *11*, 1795–1799.
- 115. Zhang, Z.; Zhu, H.; Hu, J. CircRAB11FIP1 Promoted Autophagy Flux of Ovarian Cancer through DSC1 and MiR-129. *Cell Death Dis.* **2021**, *12*, 219, doi:10.1038/s41419-021-03486-1.
- 116. Zhou, J.; Dong, Z.-N.; Qiu, B.-Q.; Hu, M.; Liang, X.-Q.; Dai, X.; Hong, D.; Sun, Y.-F. CircRNA FGFR3 Induces Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition of Ovarian Cancer by Regulating MiR-29a-3p/E2F1 Axis. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 14080– 14091, doi:10.18632/aging.103388.
- 117. Du, Z.; Wang, L.; Xia, Y. Circ\_0015756 Promotes the Progression of Ovarian Cancer by Regulating MiR-942- 5p/CUL4B Pathway. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2020**, *20*, 572, doi:10.1186/s12935-020-01666-1.
- 118. Xie, W.; Liu, L.U.; He, C.; Zhao, M.; Ni, R.; Zhang, Z.; Shui, C. Circ\_0002711 Knockdown Suppresses Cell Growth and Aerobic Glycolysis by Modulating MiR-1244/ROCK1 Axis in Ovarian Cancer. *J. Biosci.* **2021**, *46*, 21.
- 119. Wang, X.; Yao, Y.; Jin, M. Circ-0001068 Is a Novel Biomarker for Ovarian Cancer and Inducer of PD1 Expres-sion in T Cells. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 19095–19106, doi:10.18632/aging.103706.
- 120. Hou, W.; Zhang, Y. Circ\_0025033 Promotes the Progression of Ovarian Cancer by Activating the Expression of

LSM4 via Targeting MiR-184. *Pathol. Res. Pract.* **2021**, *217*, 153275, doi:10.1016/j.prp.2020.153275.

 121. Wang, W.; Wang, J.; Zhang, X.; Liu, G. Serum CircSETDB1 Is a Promising Biomarker for Predicting Response to Platinum-Taxane-Combined Chemotherapy and Relapse in High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer. *OncoTargets Ther.* **2019**, *12*, 7451–7457, doi:10.2147/OTT.S220700.

 122. Guo, M.; Li, S.; Zhao, X.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, B.; Guan, Y. Knockdown of Circular RNA Hsa\_circ\_0000714 Can Regulate RAB17 by Sponging MiR-370-3p to Reduce Paclitaxel Resistance of Ovarian Cancer Through CDK6/RB Pathway. *OncoTargets Ther.* **2020**, *13*, 13211–13224, doi:10.2147/OTT.S285153.

123. Xia, B.; Zhao, Z.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, J. Circular RNA CircTNPO3 Regulates Paclitaxel Re-

 sistance of Ovarian Cancer Cells by MiR-1299/NEK2 Signaling Pathway. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* **2020**, *21*, 780–791, doi:10.1016/j.omtn.2020.06.002.

 124. Li, M.; Cai, J.; Han, X.; Ren, Y. Downregulation of CircNRIP1 Suppresses the Paclitaxel Resistance of Ovarian Cancer via Regulating the MiR-211-5p/HOXC8 Axis. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **2020**, *12*, 9159–9171, doi:10.2147/CMAR.S268872.

 125. You, J.; Han, Y.; Qiao, H.; Han, Y.; Lu, X.; Lu, Y.; Wang, X.; Kai, H.; Zheng, Y. Hsa\_circ\_0063804 Enhances Ovarian Cancer Cells Proliferation and Resistance to Cisplatin by Targeting MiR-1276/CLU Axis. *Aging* **2022**, *14*, 4699– 4713, doi:10.18632/aging.203474.

 126. Liu, M.; Zhang, H.; Li, Y.; Wang, S. Noncoding RNAs Interplay in Ovarian Cancer Therapy and Drug Re-sistance. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* **2022**, *37*, 186–198, doi:10.1089/cbr.2021.0339.

 127. Liang, J.; Wen, J.; Huang, Z.; Chen, X.-P.; Zhang, B.-X.; Chu, L. Small Nucleolar RNAs: Insight Into Their Function in Cancer. *Front. Oncol.* **2019**, *9*, 587, doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.00587.

 128. Liu, Y.; Ruan, H.; Li, S.; Ye, Y.; Hong, W.; Gong, J.; Zhang, Z.; Jing, Y.; Zhang, X.; Diao, L.; et al. The Genetic and Pharmacogenomic Landscape of SnoRNAs in Human Cancer. *Mol. Cancer* **2020**, *19*, 108, doi:10.1186/s12943-020- 01228-z.

 129. Lemus-Diaz, N.; Ferreira, R.R.; Bohnsack, K.E.; Gruber, J.; Bohnsack, M.T. The Human Box C/D SnoRNA U3 Is a MiRNA Source and MiR-U3 Regulates Expression of Sortin Nexin 27. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2020**, *48*, 8074–8089, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa549.

 130. Zhou, F.; Liu, Y.; Rohde, C.; Pauli, C.; Gerloff, D.; Köhn, M.; Misiak, D.; Bäumer, N.; Cui, C.; Göllner, S.; et al. AML1-ETO Requires Enhanced C/D Box SnoRNA/RNP Formation to Induce Self-Renewal and Leukaemia. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2017**, *19*, 844–855, doi:10.1038/ncb3563.

 131. McCann, K.L.; Kavari, S.L.; Burkholder, A.B.; Phillips, B.T.; Hall, T.M.T. H/ACA SnoRNA Levels Are Regulat-ed during Stem Cell Differentiation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2020**, *48*, 8686–8703, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa612.

 132. Oliveira, D.V.N.P.; Prahm, K.P.; Christensen, I.J.; Hansen, A.; Høgdall, C.K.; Høgdall, E.V. Noncoding RNA (NcRNA) Profile Association with Patient Outcome in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Cases. *Reprod. Sci. Thousand Oaks Calif* **2021**, *28*, 757–765, doi:10.1007/s43032-020-00372-7.

 133. Lin, H.; Shen, L.; Lin, Q.; Dong, C.; Maswela, B.; Illahi, G.S.; Wu, X. SNHG5 Enhances Paclitaxel Sensitivity of Ovarian Cancer Cells through Sponging MiR-23a. *Biomed. Pharmacother. Biomedecine Pharmacother.* **2020**, *123*, 109711, doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109711.

134. Zhu, W.; Zhang, T.; Luan, S.; Kong, Q.; Hu, W.; Zou, X.; Zheng, F.; Han, W. Identification of a Novel Nine-

 SnoRNA Signature with Potential Prognostic and Therapeutic Value in Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Med.* **2022**, *11*, 2159– 2170, doi:10.1002/cam4.4598.

 135. Zhang, P.-F.; Wu, J.; Luo, J.-H.; Li, K.-S.; Wang, F.; Huang, W.; Wu, Y.; Gao, S.-P.; Zhang, X.-M.; Zhang, P.-N. SNHG22 Overexpression Indicates Poor Prognosis and Induces Chemotherapy Resistance via the MiR-2467/Gal-1 Signaling Pathway in Epithelial Ovarian Carcinoma. *Aging* **2019**, *11*, 8204–8216, doi:10.18632/aging.102313.

 136. Chi, Y.; Wang, D.; Wang, J.; Yu, W.; Yang, J. Long Non-Coding RNA in the Pathogenesis of Cancers. *Cells* **2019**, *8*, E1015, doi:10.3390/cells8091015.

 137. Rutenberg-Schoenberg, M.; Sexton, A.N.; Simon, M.D. The Properties of Long Noncoding RNAs That Regu-late Chromatin. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **2016**, *17*, 69–94, doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-090314-024939.

138. Salamini-Montemurri, M.; Lamas-Maceiras, M.; Barreiro-Alonso, A.; Vizoso-Vázquez, Á.; Rodríguez-

 Belmonte, E.; Quindós-Varela, M.; Cerdán, M.E. The Challenges and Opportunities of LncRNAs in Ovarian Cancer Research and Clinical Use. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, E1020, doi:10.3390/cancers12041020.

 139. Seyed Hosseini, E.; Alizadeh Zarei, M.; Haddad Kashani, H.; Milajerdi, A.; Zare Dehghanani, Z.; Hassani Bafrani, H.; Nikzad, H. The Role of Altered Long Noncoding RNAs in Overall Survival of Ovarian Cancer: A System-atic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pathol. Res. Pract.* **2021**, *219*, 153363, doi:10.1016/j.prp.2021.153363.

- 140. Park, S.-A.; Kim, L.K.; Kim, Y.T.; Heo, T.-H.; Kim, H.J. Long Noncoding RNA E2F4as Promotes Progression and Predicts Patient Prognosis in Human Ovarian Cancer. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, E3626, doi:10.3390/cancers12123626.
- 141. Chu, Z.-P.; Dai, J.; Jia, L.-G.; Li, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Z.-Y.; Yan, P. Increased Expression of Long Noncoding RNA HMMR-AS1 in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: An Independent Prognostic Factor. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **2018**, *22*, 8145–8150, doi:10.26355/eurrev\_201812\_16506.
- 142. Dou, Q.; Xu, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Hu, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yan, H. LncRNA FAM83H-AS1 Contributes to the Radioresistance, Proliferation, and Metastasis in Ovarian Cancer through Stabilizing HuR Protein. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *852*, 134–141, doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.03.002.
- 143. Pan, L.; Meng, Q.; Li, H.; Liang, K.; Li, B. LINC00339 Promotes Cell Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion of Ovarian Cancer Cells via MiR-148a-3p/ROCK1 Axes. *Biomed. Pharmacother. Biomedecine Pharmacother.* **2019**, *120*, 109423, doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109423.
- 144. Yu, H.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, D.; Liu, G. Long Noncoding RNA LUCAT1 Promotes Malignancy of Ovarian Cancer through Regulation of MiR-612/HOXA13 Pathway. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2018**, *503*, 2095–2100, doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.07.165.
- 145. Liu, C.-N.; Zhang, H.-Y. Serum LncRNA LOXL1-AS1 Is a Diagnostic and Prognostic Marker for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *J. Gene Med.* **2020**, *22*, e3233, doi:10.1002/jgm.3233.
- 146. Barwal, T.S.; Sharma, U.; Rana, M.K.; Bazala, S.; Singh, I.; Murmu, M.; Kapoor, H.S.; Thakur, S.; Jain, M.; Jain,
- A. A Diagnostic and Prognostic Value of Blood-Based Circulating Long Non-Coding RNAs in Thyroid, Pancreatic and Ovarian Cancer. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* **2022**, *171*, 103598, doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2022.103598.
- 147. Chen, Q.; Su, Y.; He, X.; Zhao, W.; Wu, C.; Zhang, W.; Si, X.; Dong, B.; Zhao, L.; Gao, Y.; et al. Plasma Long Non-Coding RNA MALAT1 Is Associated with Distant Metastasis in Patients with Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *Oncol. Lett.* **2016**, *12*, 1361–1366, doi:10.3892/ol.2016.4800.
- 148. Gong, J.; Xu, X.; Zhang, X.; Zhou, Y. LncRNA MIR4435-2HG Is a Potential Early Diagnostic Marker for Ovari-an Carcinoma. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* **2019**, *51*, 953–959, doi:10.1093/abbs/gmz085.
- 149. Xie, W.; Sun, H.; Li, X.; Lin, F.; Wang, Z.; Wang, X. Ovarian Cancer: Epigenetics, Drug Resistance, and Pro-gression. *Cancer Cell Int.* **2021**, *21*, 434, doi:10.1186/s12935-021-02136-y.
- 150. Soda, N.; Umer, M.; Kashaninejad, N.; Kasetsirikul, S.; Kline, R.; Salomon, C.; Nguyen, N.-T.; Shiddiky, M.J.A. PCR-Free Detection of Long Non-Coding HOTAIR RNA in Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines and Plasma Samples. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, E2233, doi:10.3390/cancers12082233.
- 151. Clark, K.A.; Paquette, A.; Tao, K.; Bell, R.; Boyle, J.L.; Rosenthal, J.; Snow, A.K.; Stark, A.W.; Thompson, B.A.; Unger, J.; et al. Comprehensive Evaluation and Efficient Classification of BRCA1 RING Domain Missense Substitu-tions. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2022**, *109*, 1153–1174, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2022.05.004.
- 152. Godoy, P.M.; Barczak, A.J.; DeHoff, P.; Srinivasan, S.; Etheridge, A.; Galas, D.; Das, S.; Erle, D.J.; Laurent, L.C. Comparison of Reproducibility, Accuracy, Sensitivity, and Specificity of MiRNA Quantification Platforms. *Cell Rep.* **2019**, *29*, 4212-4222.e5, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.078.
- 153. El-Mogy, M.; Lam, B.; Haj-Ahmad, T.A.; McGowan, S.; Yu, D.; Nosal, L.; Rghei, N.; Roberts, P.; Haj-Ahmad, Y. Diversity and Signature of Small RNA in Different Bodily Fluids Using next Generation Sequencing. *BMC Genomics* **2018**, *19*, 408, doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4785-8.
- 154. Li, L. *Non-Coding RNA in the Exosome of the Epithelia Ovarian Cancer*; clinicaltrials.gov, 2018;
- 155. ZIWIG *Evaluation of Salivary MiRNAs in the Presence of an Adnexal Mass of Ovarian Origin - OVAmiARN Study*; clinicaltrials.gov, 2022;
-