



HAL
open science

A characterization of strictly unimodal distribution functions by their concentration functions

Walter Hengartner, Radu Theodorescu, Paul Deheuvels

► **To cite this version:**

Walter Hengartner, Radu Theodorescu, Paul Deheuvels. A characterization of strictly unimodal distribution functions by their concentration functions: Unimodal distribution functions. *Annales de l'ISUP*, 1978, XXIV (1-2), pp.1-12. hal-04075517

HAL Id: hal-04075517

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04075517>

Submitted on 20 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A CHARACTERIZATION OF STRICTLY UNIMODAL DISTRIBUTION
FUNCTIONS BY THEIR CONCENTRATION FUNCTIONS¹

Unimodal distribution functions

by Walter Hengartner and Radu Theodorescu

Laval University

The purpose of this article is to prove that a distribution function is strictly unimodal if and only if its concentration function is strictly unimodal.

¹ Work supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Canada grants A-7339 and A-7223.

AMS 1970 subject classification: Primary 60E05; Secondary 26A15.

Keywords and phrases: Unimodal distribution function, mode, concentration function, modulus of continuity.

1. Introduction and main result

Let F be a (right continuous) distribution function on $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and set $x' = \inf \{x: F(x) > 0\}$ and $x'' = \sup \{x: F(x) < 1\}$. F is said to be unimodal if and only if there exists at least one value $x = x^*$ such that F is convex on $(-\infty, x^*)$ and concave on $(x^*, +\infty)$; x^* is called a mode of F . In this case $F = \alpha H_{x^*} + (1-\alpha)A$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is the saltus of F at $x = x^*$, $H_{x^*}(x) = 0$ for $x < x^*$, $H_{x^*}(x) = 1$ for $x \geq x^*$, and A is an absolutely continuous unimodal distribution function with the same mode $x = x^*$; clearly A' has at most one relative strict maximum which is, if it exists, at $x = x^*$. A characterization of unimodal distribution functions by their characteristic functions was given by A. Ja. Hinčin (see, e.g., [2, p.92], Theorem 4.5.1): F is unimodal with mode $x^* = 0$ if and only if its characteristic function f has the form $f(t) = (1/t) \int_0^t g(u) du$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where g is a characteristic function.

Further let $Q_F(\ell) = \sup \{F(x+\ell) - F^-(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $\ell \geq 0$ and $Q_F(\ell) = 0$ otherwise, where F^- denotes the left limit of F , be the (Lévy) concentration function of F . Clearly Q_F is a distribution function. If there is no ambiguity we shall simply write Q instead of Q_F . It is known (see, e.g., [1, p.4-9] that Q is subadditive, $Q(0) = \sup \{F(x) - F^-(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and that for every $\ell \geq 0$ there exists $x_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Q(\ell) = F(x_\ell + \ell) - F^-(x_\ell)$. If $L = \sup \{\ell : Q(\ell) < 1\}$, then x_L is unique and $x' = x_L$, $x'' = x_L + L$.

Our purpose is to characterize unimodal distribution functions by their concentration functions. If F is unimodal, it is easily seen that Q is unimodal with unique mode $\ell^* = 0$. The converse of this assertion is unfortunately not true. Take, e.g.,

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ x/4 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1, \\ 1/4 & \text{for } 1 \leq x < 2, \\ (x-1)/4 & \text{for } 2 \leq x < 4, \\ (x+2)/8 & \text{for } 4 \leq x < 6, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$Q(\ell) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \ell < 0, \\ \ell/4 & \text{for } 0 \leq \ell < 2, \\ (\ell+2)/8 & \text{for } 2 \leq \ell < 6, \\ 1 & \text{for } \ell \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

This situation leads us to strengthen the definition of a unimodal distribution function. We shall say that F is strictly unimodal if and only if F is unimodal with a mode at $x = x^*$, F is strictly convex on (x', x^*) , and F is strictly concave on (x^*, x'') . It follows immediately that $x = x^*$ is the unique mode of F . Let us remark that one or both of the sets (x', x^*) and (x^*, x'') may be empty. Since $F = \alpha H_{x^*} + (1-\alpha)A$, it follows that in this case A has exactly one relative strict maximum at $x = x^*$. Moreover F is strictly unimodal with mode $x^* = 0$ if and only if its characteristic function f has the form $f(t) = (1/t) \int_0^t g(u) du$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where g is the characteristic function of a distribution function G which is strictly increasing on the interval $\{x: 0 < G(x) < 1\}$.

The remainder of this paper is devoted to the proof of the following:

THEOREM. F is strictly unimodal if and only if Q is strictly unimodal.

2. Auxiliary results

Let ϕ be a real-valued function defined on \mathbb{R} and consider its four derived numbers at $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$D^+ \phi(x) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)]/h ,$$

$$D_+ \phi(x) = \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} [\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)]/h ,$$

$$D^- \phi(x) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [\phi(x) - \phi(x-h)]/h ,$$

$$D_- \phi(x) = \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} [\phi(x) - \phi(x-h)]/h .$$

If $D^+ \phi(x) = D_+ \phi(x)$ we shall write $\Delta^+ \phi(x)$ for this common value which represents the right derivative of ϕ at $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and similarly $\Delta^- \phi(x)$ for its left derivative at $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

LEMMA 1. For all $\ell > 0$ we have

$$\max(D_- F^-(x_\ell) , D_+ F(x_\ell + \ell)) \leq D_+ Q(\ell) ,$$

$$\min(D_+ F^-(x_\ell) , D_- F(x_\ell + \ell)) \geq D_- Q(\ell) .$$

The above inequalities hold also if D_- and D_+ are replaced by D^- and D^+ respectively.

PROOF. For $0 < a < b$ we have for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{F(x+b) - F^-(x) - F(x_a+a) + F^-(x_a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{Q(b) - Q(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{F(x_b+b) - F^-(x_b) - F(y+a) + F^-(y)}{b-a} .$$

Take first $x = x_a$, $y = x_b$, and then $x = x_a + a - b$, $y = x_b + b - a$. Lemma 1 follows after passage to the limit.

Let us remark that if $F'(x_\ell)$, $F'(x_\ell + \ell)$, and $Q'(\ell)$ exist, then Lemma 1 states that $Q'(\ell) = F'(x_\ell) = F'(x_\ell + \ell)$. Moreover if $F'(x_\ell)$ exists and

Q is concave on $(0, +\infty)$, then, by Lemma 1, $Q'(\ell)$ exists.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that Q is strictly unimodal. Then

$$(1) \quad F(x_\ell + \ell + h) - F^-(x_\ell + h) < Q(\ell)$$

for all h , $0 < |h| \leq \ell$, and for all ℓ such that $Q(\ell) < 1$.

PROOF. Since Q is strictly unimodal, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}[F(x + \ell + h) - F^-(x)] + \frac{1}{2}[F(y + \ell - h) - F^-(y)] \leq [Q(\ell - h) - Q(\ell + h)]/2 < Q(\ell)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |h| \leq \ell$. Take $x = x_\ell$ and $y = x_\ell + h$. We get

$$\frac{1}{2}[F(x_\ell + \ell + h) - F^-(x_\ell + h)] + \frac{1}{2}[F(x_\ell + \ell) - F^-(x_\ell)] < Q(\ell),$$

and consequently we obtain (1).

LEMMA 3. Suppose that Q is strictly unimodal and let $\ell_1 \geq 0$ be such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq Q(\ell_1) < 1$. Then x_ℓ is unique for all $\ell \in (\ell_1, L)$.

PROOF. Suppose by contraposition that $Q(\ell) = F(x_\ell^1 + \ell) - F^-(x_\ell^1) = F(x_\ell^2 + \ell) - F^-(x_\ell^2)$ and $x_\ell^1 < x_\ell^2$. Then by Lemma 2 it follows that $x_\ell^2 > x_\ell^1 + \ell$ and hence $F(x_\ell^2 + \ell) \geq 2Q(\ell)$. Since $\ell > \ell_1$ and since Q is strictly unimodal we get $F(x_\ell^2 + \ell) > 1$ and we are led to a contradiction.

Let us remark that the proof of Lemma 3 also shows that x_{ℓ_1} is unique.

Moreover if $Q(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then x_ℓ is unique for all $0 < \ell < L$.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that Q is strictly unimodal and let $\ell_1 \geq 0$ be such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq Q(\ell_1) < 1$. Then x_ℓ is continuous on (ℓ_1, L) .

PROOF. Let $\ell \in (\ell_1, L)$ and take $\lambda_n \in (\ell_1, L)$ for all $n \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \ell$. Then $Q(\lambda_n) = F(x_{\lambda_n} + \lambda_n) - F^-(x_{\lambda_n}) \rightarrow Q(\ell)$. Since $\lambda_n \rightarrow \ell$, there is $0 < M_1 < +\infty$ such that $\lambda_n \leq M_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let us now take $M_2 > 0$

such that $1 - F(M_2) + F^-(-M_2) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $x_{\lambda_n} \in K = [-M_1 - M_2 - 1, M_1 + M_2 + 1]$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Further, take a subsequence $x_{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow \xi \in K$ such that either $F^-(x_{\lambda_{n_k}}) \rightarrow F^-(\xi)$ or $F^-(x_{\lambda_{n_k}}) \rightarrow F(\xi)$, and either $F(x_{\lambda_{n_k}} + \lambda_{n_k}) \rightarrow F^-(\xi + \ell)$ or $F(x_{\lambda_{n_k}} + \lambda_{n_k}) \rightarrow F(\xi + \ell)$. Therefore $F(x_{\lambda_{n_k}} + \lambda_{n_k}) - F^-(x_{\lambda_{n_k}}) \rightarrow Q(\ell)$, and we get $\frac{1}{2} \leq Q(\ell) = F(\xi + \ell) - F^-(\xi)$. By Lemma 3, we conclude that $\xi = x_\ell$.

Let us remark that the proof of Lemma 4 also shows that x_ℓ is right continuous at $\ell = \ell_1$. Moreover it is possible that $x_L = x_\ell = x_{\ell_1}$ for all $\ell \in (\ell_1, L)$. As an example take $F(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$, $F(x) = \sqrt{x}$ for $0 \leq x < 1$, and $F(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$.

LEMMA 5. Suppose that Q is strictly unimodal and let $\ell_1 \geq 0$ be such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq Q(\ell_1) < 1$. Then F is convex on $(-\infty, x_{\ell_1})$, strictly convex on (x_L, x_{ℓ_1}) , concave on $(x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1, +\infty)$, and strictly concave on $(x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1, x_L + L)$.

PROOF. By Lemma 4 there is for $x \in (x_L, x_{\ell_1})$ an $\ell \in (\ell_1, L)$ such that $x = x_\ell$. Now since Q is strictly unimodal we have for $0 \leq a < \ell < c$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\ell - a}{c - a} [F(u+c) - F^-(u)] + \frac{c - \ell}{c - a} [F(v+a) - F^-(v)] < Q(\ell)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us note that $0 < (\ell - a)/(c - a) = \alpha < 1$, $(c - \ell)/(c - a) = 1 - \alpha$, $\ell = \alpha c + (1 - \alpha)a$, $x_\ell = \alpha(x_\ell + \ell - c) + (1 - \alpha)(x_\ell + \ell - a)$, and $x_\ell + \ell = \alpha(x_\ell + c) + (1 - \alpha)(x_\ell + a)$. Let us now take in (2) $u = x_\ell + \ell - c$ and $v = x_\ell + \ell - a$. Then we get $\alpha F^-(x_\ell + \ell - c) + (1 - \alpha)F^-(x_\ell + \ell - a) > F^-(x_\ell)$. Since $x_{\ell_1} \leq x_\ell + \ell$, F^- and therefore F is strictly convex on (x_L, x_{ℓ_1}) . By a similar argument we get the convexity of F on a neighborhood of x_L provided

that x_L is finite. Therefore F is convex on $(-\infty, x_{\ell_1})$ and strictly convex on (x_{ℓ_1}, x_{ℓ_2}) . Next let us take in (2) $u = v = x_{\ell}$; then analogously we get that F is concave on $(x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1, +\infty)$ and strictly concave on $(x_{\ell_1} + \ell, x_L + L)$.

As a straightforward consequence of Lemma 5 we get

LEMMA 6. Let $Q(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. If Q is strictly unimodal, then F is strictly unimodal.

LEMMA 7. Suppose that Q is strictly unimodal and let $\ell_1 \geq 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq Q(\ell_1) < 1$. Then x_{ℓ} is nonincreasing and $x_{\ell} + \ell$ is nondecreasing on (ℓ_1, L) .

PROOF. Let $\ell_1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < L$. Since Q is strictly unimodal we have by Lemma 1 $\Delta^- F^-(x_{\lambda_2}) \leq \Delta^+ Q(\lambda_2) < \Delta^- Q(\lambda_1) \leq \Delta^+ F^-(x_{\lambda_1})$ and therefore by Lemma 5 $F(x_{\lambda_2}) \leq F(x_{\lambda_1})$ which implies $x_{\lambda_2} \leq x_{\lambda_1}$. Analogously from $\Delta^+ F(x_{\lambda_2} + \lambda_2) \leq \Delta^+ Q(\lambda_2) < \Delta^- Q(\lambda_1) \leq \Delta^- F(x_{\lambda_1} + \lambda_1)$ we get $x_{\lambda_1} + \lambda_1 \leq x_{\lambda_2} + \lambda_2$.

3. Proof of the main result

We can now prove the Theorem of Section 1 by making use of the auxiliary results given in Section 2.

Suppose that F is strictly unimodal with unique mode $x = x^*$. Then for any $\ell > 0$ we have $x_{\ell} \leq x^*$ and $x_{\ell} + \ell \geq x^*$. Let us take now $0 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 \leq L$ for $L < +\infty$ or $0 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < +\infty$ for $L = +\infty$; then we can write for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha Q(\ell_1) + (1-\alpha)Q(\ell_2) &= \alpha [F(x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1) - F^-(x_{\ell_1})] + \\ &+ (1-\alpha) [F(x_{\ell_2} + \ell_2) - F^-(x_{\ell_2})] < \\ &< F(\alpha(x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1) + (1-\alpha)(x_{\ell_2} + \ell_2)) - F^-(\alpha x_{\ell_1} + (1-\alpha)x_{\ell_2}) \leq \\ &\leq Q(\alpha \ell_1 + (1-\alpha)\ell_2). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the converse assertion, we begin by extending Lemma 5 using induction on r . Take $r = 1$ and let us call P_1 the property of F which was proven in Lemma 5. We go to $r = 2$; by Lemma 6 we can assume that $Q(0) < \frac{1}{2}$. In order to prove property P_2 of F we have to extend first Lemmas 3 and 4. Hence we begin by showing that if Q is strictly unimodal, $Q(0) < \frac{1}{2}$, and if $\ell_2 \geq 0$ is such that $1/3 \leq Q(\ell_2) < 2/3$, then x_ℓ is unique for all $\ell \in (\ell_2, L)$ and continuous on (ℓ_2, L) .

Indeed, take $m_2 > \ell_2$ such that $Q(m_2) = 2/3$. (Note that in Lemmas 3 and 4 $m_1 = L$.) By Lemma 3, x_{m_2} is unique and consider the distribution function $G(x) = 0$ for $x < x_{m_2}$, $G(x) = 3F(x)/2$ for $x_{m_2} \leq x < x_{m_2} + m_2$, and $G(x) = 1$ for $x \geq x_{m_2} + m_2$. We show first that $Q_G(\ell) = 3Q(\ell)/2$ for all $\ell \leq m_2$, i.e., x_ℓ and $x_\ell + \ell$ lie in $(x_{m_2}, x_{m_2} + m_2)$ and are therefore for both F and G the same. Suppose by contraposition that $x_\ell < x_{m_2}$. Since Q is strictly unimodal we have $\Delta^-Q(\ell) \geq \Delta^+Q(\ell) > \Delta^+Q(m_2)$. On the other hand we have, by Lemmas 1 and 5, $\Delta^-Q(\ell) \leq \Delta^+F^-(x_\ell) \leq \Delta^-F^-(x_{m_2}) \leq \Delta^+Q(m_2)$ and we are led to a contradiction. By an analogous argument we have $x_\ell + \ell \leq x_{m_2} + m_2$. Next, by applying Lemmas 3 and 4 to G , we get our assertion.

Before continuing let us remark that $\ell_2 \leq \ell_1$, $x_L \leq x_{\ell_1} \leq x_{\ell_2}$, and $x_{\ell_2} + \ell_2 \leq x_{\ell_1} + \ell_1 \leq x_L + L$. Moreover $m_2 \leq m_1$.

Further, to get P_2 we have to show that F is convex on $(-\infty, x_{\ell_2})$, strictly convex on (x_L, x_{ℓ_2}) , concave on $(x_{\ell_2} + \ell_2, +\infty)$, and strictly concave on $(x_{\ell_2} + \ell_2, x_L + L)$. Indeed, we get this assertion by proceeding in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 5 for G . As a straightforward consequence of P_2 we get that if $Q(0) \geq 1/3$ and if Q is strictly unimodal, then F is

strictly unimodal. This is the extension of Lemma 6. Moreover Lemma 7 extends to the interval (ℓ_2, L) .

Property P_{r+1} of F can be obtained from property P_r in the same way as we get P_2 from P_1 . This means that, by induction on r , we have shown that if Q is strictly unimodal and if $\ell_r \geq 0$ is such that $1/(r+1) \leq Q(\ell_r) < 2/(r+1)$, then F is convex on $(-\infty, x_{\ell_r})$, strictly convex on (x_L, x_{ℓ_r}) , concave on $(x_{\ell_r} + \ell_r, +\infty)$, and strictly concave on $(x_{\ell_r} + \ell_r, x_L + L)$. This is the extension of Lemma 5.

From P_r we conclude that if $Q(0) \geq 1/r$ and if Q is strictly unimodal, then F is strictly unimodal. This is the extension of Lemma 6. Therefore our theorem is proven for $Q(0) > 0$. Moreover Lemma 7 extends to the interval (ℓ_r, L) .

It remains to show that our theorem holds for $Q(0) = 0$, i.e., if and only if F is continuous. Take the nonincreasing sequence $\{m_r : r \geq 1\}$ such that $m_r \rightarrow 0$ and $Q(m_r) = 2/(r+1) + 0$. Clearly $\ell_r \rightarrow 0$ and since by the extension of Lemma 7 $x_{\ell_s} \leq x_{\ell_t} < x_{\ell_v} + \ell_v \leq x_{\ell_u} + \ell_u$ for arbitrary natural numbers s, t, u, v , such that $s < t$, $u < v$, we conclude that there is a value $x = x^*$ such that $x_{\ell_r} \rightarrow x^*$ and $(x_{\ell_r} + \ell_r) \rightarrow x^*$. By P_r , for any $r \geq 1$, we conclude that F is strictly unimodal with unique mode $x = x^*$.

REFERENCES

- [1] HENGARTNER, W. and THEODORESCU, R. (1973). Concentration Functions, Academic Press, New York.
- [2] LUKACS, E. (1970). Characteristic Functions, 2nd ed. Hafner Publishing Company, New York.

Reçu en Février 1979

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 LAVAL UNIVERSITY
 QUEBEC, QUE.
 CANADA.
 G1K 7P4