

Phylogenetic comparative approach reveals evolutionary conservatism, ancestral composition, and integration of vertebrate gut microbiota

Benoît Perez-Lamarque, Guilhem Sommeria-Klein, Loréna Duret, Hélène

Morlon

▶ To cite this version:

Benoît Perez-Lamarque, Guilhem Sommeria-Klein, Loréna Duret, Hélène Morlon. Phylogenetic comparative approach reveals evolutionary conservatism, ancestral composition, and integration of vertebrate gut microbiota. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2023, 10.1093/molbev/msad144. hal-04133633

HAL Id: hal-04133633 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04133633v1

Submitted on 20 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Phylogenetic comparative approach reveals evolutionary
2	conservatism, ancestral composition, and integration of vertebrate
3	gut microbiota
4	
5	
6	Benoît Perez-Lamarque ^{1,2 *} (ORCID: 0000-0001-7112-7197)
7	Guilhem Sommeria-Klein ³ (ORCID: 0000-0002-5331-3639)
8	Loréna Duret ¹ (ORCID: 0000-0001-7031-4900)
9	Hélène Morlon ¹ (ORCID: 0000-0002-3195-7521)
10	
11	
12	¹ Institut de biologie de l'École normale supérieure (IBENS), École normale supérieure,
13	CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, 46 rue d'Ulm, 75 005 Paris, France
14	
15	² Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'histoire
16	naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, UA, CP39, 57 rue Cuvier 75 005 Paris,
17	France
18	
19	³ Department of Computing, University of Turku, Yliopistonmäki, 20014 Turku, Finland
20	
21	*Correspondence: Benoît Perez-Lamarque (benoit.perez@ens.psl.eu)

22 Abstract:

23

24 How host-associated microbial communities evolve as their hosts diversify remains 25 equivocal: How conserved is their composition? What was the composition of ancestral microbiota? Do microbial taxa covary in abundance over millions of years? Multivariate 26 phylogenetic models of trait evolution are key to answering similar questions for 27 complex host phenotypes, yet they are not directly applicable to relative abundances, 28 29 which usually characterize microbiota. Here, we extend these models in this context, thereby providing a powerful approach for estimating phylosymbiosis (the extent to 30 31 which closely related host species harbor similar microbiota), ancestral microbiota 32 composition, and integration (evolutionary covariations in bacterial abundances). We apply our model to the gut microbiota of mammals and birds. We find significant 33 phylosymbiosis that is not entirely explained by diet and geographic location, indicating 34 that other evolutionary-conserved traits shape microbiota composition. We identify 35 main shifts in microbiota composition during the evolution of the two groups and infer 36 37 an ancestral mammalian microbiota consistent with an insectivorous diet. We also find 38 remarkably consistent evolutionary covariations among bacterial orders in mammals and birds. Surprisingly, despite the substantial variability of present-day gut microbiota, 39 40 some aspects of their composition are conserved over millions of years of host evolutionary history. 41

42

43

44 Keywords:

- 45
- 46 gut microbiome, interactome, phylosymbiosis, holobiont evolution, phylogenetic signal,
- 47 comparative methods.

48 Introduction:

49

Host-associated microbial communities, referred to as the *microbiota*, often play 50 51 central roles in the biology of the hosts and their interactions with the environment. As host clades diversify, the microbiota can furthermore play a key role in the adaptation 52 of their hosts to different ecological conditions. This raises important questions on the 53 evolution of the microbiota as hosts diversify. First, how much is microbiota 54 55 composition conserved over host evolutionary timescales? While the microbiota can be guite labile within and between host species (Lev et al. 2008; David et al. 2014; 56 57 Hacquard et al. 2015; Hird et al. 2015; Amato et al. 2019), more closely related host species often tend to have more similar microbiota, a pattern referred to as 58 phylosymbiosis (Brooks et al. 2016; Lim and Bordenstein 2020). In animals, levels of 59 phylosymbiosis appear to be heterogeneous across tissues (e.g. gut or skin 60 microbiota) and lineages (Mazel et al. 2018; Lim and Bordenstein 2020; Song et al. 61 2020; Perez-Lamargue, Krehenwinkel, et al. 2022). 62

63

64 The presence of a phylogenetic signal in microbiota composition across hosts could potentially be used to reconstruct ancestral microbiota composition. Ancestral 65 reconstructions could be particularly useful to detect events during host diversification 66 associated with major shifts in microbiota composition or to verify hypotheses on 67 ancestral diets. A phylogenetic signal in microbiota composition may also inform on 68 potential long-term evolutionary covariations in abundances between microbial taxa. 69 Positive or negative covariations may arise from direct interactions between microbial 70 71 taxa, such as cross-feeding, trophic relationships, or competition (Faust et al. 2012; 72 Foster et al. 2017; Kohl 2020), or from (anti)correlated responses to variations in the 73 environment (e.g. similar or opposite responses to decreased pH). We refer to these covariations as microbiota integration by analogy with the often observed phenotypic 74 75 integration between traits in complex phenotypes (Pigliucci 2003). Such covariations 76 would indicate constraints in the evolution of microbiota composition. 77

78 Phylogenetic comparative methods offer a rich toolbox for quantifying phylogenetic signal, reconstructing ancestral states, and detecting integration in 79 multidimensional phenotypes (Clavel et al. 2015). These methods rely on modeling the 80 evolution of a set of phenotypic traits across evolutionarily related species through a 81 multivariate stochastic process, such as the Brownian motion process, running along 82 the species' phylogenetic tree (Revell et al. 2008; Harmon 2017). The multivariate 83 84 Brownian process models the gradual evolution of traits through the accumulation of 85 stochastic changes drawn from a multivariate normal distribution with a variancecovariance matrix that reflects the magnitude of the changes for each trait (the variance 86 terms) and the covariation in the changes between trait pairs (the covariance terms). 87 88 This process is relevant to represent long-term variations in the abundances of the 89 different microbial taxa that constitute the microbiota, as such variations are an emerging outcome of: (i) the stochastic accumulation of changes in the numerous host 90 traits that can influence the microbiota, including both extrinsic (e.g. geographic 91

3

location, habitat) and intrinsic (e.g. diet, antimicrobial excretions) traits (Moran et al. 92 2019; Kohl 2020; Lim and Bordenstein 2020) and (ii) interactions between microbial 93 94 taxa (Foster et al. 2017). Indeed, the Brownian motion process has already been used 95 to model variations in microbial abundances over host evolutionary time (Capunitan et al. 2020; Labrador et al. 2021). However, the process is not directly applicable to 96 97 compositional data made of relative microbial abundances as it does not constrain its components to sum to 1, and absolute abundances are unfortunately typically not 98 99 provided by mainstream metabarcoding technics used to characterize microbiota composition. Thus, current phylogenetic comparative methods cannot directly be used 100 in the context of microbiota evolution without transgressing several model assumptions 101 102 (Hird 2019).

103

104 Here, we develop an approach to apply the multivariate Brownian motion process to compositional data. We also include a widely-used tree transformation 105 106 (Pagel 1999) that quantifies phylosymbiosis by evaluating how much host phylogeny 107 contributes to explaining interspecific variation in present-day microbiota composition. Phylosymbiosis is typically assessed using correlative approaches such as Mantel 108 tests (Lim and Bordenstein 2020), which are known to suffer from frequent false 109 110 negatives, while process-based approaches such as ours tend to be more powerful 111 (Harmon and Glor 2010; Hird 2019; Perez-Lamarque, Maliet, et al. 2022). We apply our new approach to the gut bacterial microbiota of mammals and birds. The gut 112 microbiota is key to the functioning of their hosts, contributing to their nutrition, their 113 protection, and their development (McFall-Ngai et al. 2013). Strong phylosymbiosis in 114 115 gut bacterial microbiota has been reported for mammals, including primates and rodents (Ochman et al. 2010; Groussin et al. 2017; Kohl et al. 2018), while it is thought 116 to be absent for birds, with some exceptions in a few young clades (Song et al. 2020; 117 Trevelline et al. 2020; Bodawatta et al. 2022). We revisit this dichotomy here, on the 118 119 premise that previous analyses may have not been powerful enough to detect phylosymbiosis in birds (Hird 2019). We analyze potential drivers of phylosymbiotic 120 patterns, including diet, geographic location, and flying ability, we estimate the 121 ancestral microbiota composition of mammals and birds, and we investigate patterns 122 123 of microbiota integration.

124 **Results & Discussion:**

125

126 We developed a method to infer the dynamics of microbiota composition during host diversification from host-microbiota data (*i.e.* a fixed, bifurcating host phylogeny 127 128 and microbiota relative abundances for each extant host species) using the multivariate Brownian motion process (Figure 1 and Methods). We assume that all 129 microbial taxa are present in all hosts, potentially in very low (undetectable) 130 131 abundances and that they were already present in the most recent common ancestor 132 of all host species. These assumptions are met if we consider a taxonomic level in the definition of microbial taxa that is high enough given the host clade, such as bacterial 133 134 orders in the vertebrate gut microbiota. We assume that, from ancestral values at the root X_0 , the log-absolute abundances of the different microbial taxa change on the host 135 136 phylogeny following a multivariate Brownian motion model with variance-covariance matrix R (Figure 1a). Under this model, the log-absolute abundances fluctuate around 137 their ancestral values $(\log X_0)$ without directional change. In addition, we account for 138 139 variation linked to present-day factors by including in the model the widely-used Pagel's λ transformation of the host phylogenetic tree (Pagel 1999). This 140 transformation extends the terminal branches of the tree by $(1-\lambda)$ of the total tree depth 141 while compressing the internal branches to keep the total tree depth constant, with λ 142 ranging between 0 and 1 (see Figure 1b and Methods). λ estimates close to 1 indicate 143 144 that an untransformed tree explains the data quite well, reflecting strong phylosymbiosis, whereas λ estimates close to 0 indicate that the tree has little 145 explanatory power, reflecting weak or absent phylosymbiosis. Unlike the traditional 146 147 case of the multivariate Brownian motion process applied to phenotypic data, where the phenotype is directly measured at present, in the case of the microbiota, relative 148 rather than absolute abundances are measured. To handle this difficulty, we treat total 149 150 microbial abundances in each host as latent variables, and sample from the joint posterior distribution of these latent variables and our parameters of interest: Pagel's 151 λ , which provides us with an estimate of phylosymbiosis, the *R* matrix which reflects 152 microbiota integration, and Z_0 , which indicates the relative microbial abundances in the 153 154 ancestral microbiota.

155

156 We tested this inference method on data simulated from our model and found that we can accurately estimate the ancestral bacterial relative abundances Z_0 (with a 157 158 tendency for homogenization) and the variance-covariance matrix R between microbial 159 taxa, provided that the number of host species (n) and bacterial taxa (p) are large 160 enough $(n \ge 50$ and $p \ge 5$, see Supplementary Results 1). Similarly, the level of phylosymbiosis λ is accurately estimated for $p \ge 5$, and its significance is correctly 161 162 inferred for $n \ge 50$ (see Supplementary Results 1). This approach provides a more powerful way to detect phylosymbiosis than Mantel tests, which often failed at 163 detecting low levels of phylosymbiosis (0< λ <0.5; Table S1). This was expected, as 164 Mantel tests are correlative and are known to suffer from frequent false negatives in 165

166 comparison with more process-based approaches such as ours (Harmon and Glor2010; Hird 2019; Perez-Lamarque, Maliet, et al. 2022).

- 168
- 169



- 170
- 171

172 Figure 1: A comparative phylogenetic model for the dynamics of microbiota composition during host diversification: (a) We model fluctuations in the abundances of 173 microbial taxa along a host phylogeny with a multivariate Brownian motion parametrized by 174 the ancestral abundances (X_0) and the variance-covariance matrix (R). The variance terms (on 175 176 the diagonal) reflect the magnitude of the changes, while the covariance terms reflect positive or negative covariations in abundances between pairs of microbial taxa. The relative ancestral 177 178 abundances (Z_0) and the variance-covariance matrix R are estimated by adjusting the model 179 to the host-microbiota data (host phylogeny and microbiota relative abundances for each host). 180 (b) Following the widely-used Pagel's λ transformation, we extend the terminal branches of 181 the host phylogenetic tree by 1- λ of the total tree depth while compressing the internal 182 branches to keep the total tree depth constant. λ is comprised between 0 and 1 and is co-183 estimated during inference. λ close to 1 indicates that closely related hosts tend to have similar 184 microbiota due to shared evolutionary history (strong phylosymbiosis), while λ close to 0 185 indicates that microbiota composition is determined by present-day processes with little 186 influence of host evolutionary history (weak or absent phylosymbiosis). The significance of 187 phylosymbiosis is assessed with permutations.

We applied our model to the gut bacterial microbiota of 215 mammal species 188 and 323 bird species from (Song et al. 2020) and found a pervasive signal of 189 190 phylosymbiosis. We focused on the 14 most abundant bacterial orders, corresponding 191 in abundance to 84% and 82% of the total gut bacterial microbiota of mammals and birds, respectively. We found a markedly higher level of phylosymbiosis in mammals 192 193 $(\lambda \simeq 0.65)$ than in birds $(\lambda \simeq 0.31)$; Table S2, Figure S1), consistent with previous 194 literature and our finding that microbiota composition is more species-specific in mammals than in birds (Table S3). Indeed, bird microbiota is generally more sensitive 195 to short-term environmental changes such as anthropogenic perturbations or parasite 196 197 infections (Bodawatta et al. 2022). By explicitly modeling the non-phylogenetic 198 component of microbiota composition using a Pagel's λ transformation, we detected a 199 low but significant level of phylosymbiosis in the gut microbiota of birds (Table S2), 200 contrary to previous conclusions (Song et al. 2020; Bodawatta et al. 2022) that relied on Mantel tests. λ values are higher at the level of bacterial phyla (Table S2; Figure 201 S1), suggesting that microbiota composition is more evolutionarily conserved at higher 202 203 taxonomic levels. Testing model performance on data simulated directly on the 204 mammal and bird phylogenetic trees, we found a low type-I error rate and a high statistical power, suggesting that the phylosymbiosis we detected in birds is not due to 205 206 false detection by our method, but rather to a higher power than previously used 207 methods (Table S4). Phylosymbiosis is not linked to an effect of captivity nor the 208 spurious concatenation of different studies either (Supplementary Results 2). Phylosymbiosis is particularly strong in Primates, Passeriformes, and Cetartiodactyla, 209 lower but significant in Columbiformes, Chiroptera, and Carnivora, and non-significant 210 in Rodentia, Charadriiformes, and Anseriformes (Table S2). Non-significant 211 phylosymbiosis in these orders is likely due to an insufficient number of sampled 212 213 species (n < 25, see Supplementary Results 1). It appears that vertebrate orders with mainly herbivorous diets have stronger phylosymbiosis, although this would need to 214 215 be tested more robustly with a better species coverage (Table S2).

216

217 Our results suggest that phylosymbiosis is only partially explained by evolutionary conservatism in flying ability, diet, or geographic location. First, excluding 218 flying mammals (Chiroptera) or non-flying birds did not impact our estimates of 219 phylosymbiosis (Table S2). Second, permutation tests shuffling the microbiota of host 220 221 species having the same diet, geographic location, flying ability, or combination of these traits resulted in much lower λ values (Figures 2 & S2). In mammals, λ values 222 resulting from such shuffling are still significant (Figure 2), suggesting that the 223 224 evolutionary conservatism of flying ability, diet, and geographic location contributes to 225 phylosymbiosis without fully explaining it (Moran et al. 2019). In birds, shuffling often resulted in non-significant λ values (Figure 2), indicating a weak or absent contribution 226 227 of diet or geographic location in the observed phylosymbiosis. Similarly, the 228 conservatism of these traits is not sufficient to explain the phylosymbiosis measured in 229 some of the larger mammal and bird clades, such as Primates, Cetartiodactyla, and 230 Passeriformes (Figure S3). Thus, we suspect that other evolutionary-conserved

physiological, immunological, or ecological traits act as host filters (Foster et al. 2017;
Moran et al. 2019) and contribute to phylosymbiosis in the gut microbiota of mammals
and birds (Goodrich et al. 2016; Mazel et al. 2018).

234

Our ancestral reconstructions of the microbiota of early mammals and birds 235 236 suggest that Proteobacteria and Firmicutes were much more abundant in the ancestral 237 gut microbiota of birds than mammals (Figures 3, 4, S4 & S5). As common in phylogenetic ancestral reconstruction, the uncertainty is quite high (Figure S6); it is 238 239 larger in mammals than in birds because of the long branches that separate marsupials and eutherians at the origin of all mammals. In the absence of fossil constraints, 240 241 ancestral reconstructions are a phylogenetically-weighted average of extant characteristics. Estimated ancestral compositions are thus expectedly close from the 242 average microbiota compositions of extant bird and mammal species, yet they are 243 distinct (Figure S7). Comparing the ancestral microbiota composition of mammals to 244 245 that of the extant wild mammal species, we found the highest similarity with invertebrate feeders (distance to the centroid: d=1.46), such as the insectivorous 246 armadillos (Zaedyus pichiy), and frugivores (d=1.24; Figures 5 & S8; see Methods), 247 and the lowest similarity with specialist consumers feeding on plants (d=2.50) or meat 248 (d=2.82). This result is robust to uncertainty in our estimate of ancestral microbiota 249 250 composition (Figure S6b) and when including species sampled in captivity (Figure S7). Given that mammals originated before fleshy fruit plants (Eriksson 2016), this suggests 251 that ancestral mammals were generalist invertebrate feeders, which is consistent with 252 253 the current hypothesis, based on the fossil record and ancestral diet reconstruction, of a generalist insectivorous diet in early mammals (Gill et al. 2014; Grossnickle et al. 254 2019). We found the gut microbiota composition of modern birds to be only weakly 255 256 structured by diet compared to that of mammals, making the inferred ancestral 257 microbiota composition of birds less informative in this respect (no strong clustering in 258 the PCA plots; PermANOVA testing the effect of diet: R²~0.03, p<0.001 in birds versus 259 R²~0.22, p<0.001 in mammals; Figures 5, S7 & S8; Table S5). In addition, the fact that, under the assumptions of our model, most extant microbiota compositions in both 260 261 mammals and birds remain centered around the estimated ancestral microbiota composition suggests that only a minority of the extant species experienced major 262 shifts in their microbiota composition during their evolution. 263



Figure 2: Phylogenetically-conserved diets, geographic locations, or flying abilities 266 partially contribute to phylosymbiosis in the gut microbiota of mammals, but not birds. 267 268 For both mammals and birds, we compared the estimated level of phylosymbiosis (mean λ 269 value in orange) to levels of phylosymbiosis (λ values) estimated when shuffling the species 270 that have the same diet (green boxplot), geographic location (blue boxplot), flying ability (flying 271 or non-flying; purple boxplot), or combination of the latter traits (in red). For each shuffling 272 strategy, we performed 100 randomizations. Combining all traits strongly constrains the 273 possible permutations, which may consequently retain a phylogenetic signal in the shuffling 274 and lead to high λ values although the traits are actually not strongly contributing to 275 phylosymbiosis.



277

Figure 3: Ancestral reconstruction of mammalian gut microbiota: Phylogenetic tree of the sampled mammal species and associated relative abundances of the 14 most abundant bacterial orders (bar charts on the right). Pie charts at the root and nodes of the tree represent estimated ancestral microbiota compositions (mean of the posterior distribution of Z_0 at the root and generalized least squares estimates at other internal nodes). Compositions are not represented at the most recent nodes for the sake of clarity.



285

Figure 4: Ancestral reconstruction of avian gut microbiota: Phylogenetic tree of the sampled birds, and associated relative abundances of the 14 most abundant bacterial orders (bar charts on the right). Pie charts at the root and nodes of the tree represent estimated ancestral microbiota compositions (mean of the posterior distribution of Z_0 at the root and generalized least squares estimates at other internal nodes). Compositions are not represented at the most recent nodes for the sake of clarity. 292 We detected significant changes in microbiota composition in the ancestors of some mammal and bird orders (Figures 3, 4, & S9). In mammals, the largest shift in 293 294 microbiota composition occurred in the ancestor of Chiroptera, with an increased 295 proportion of Enterobacteriales (Proteobacteria), Mycoplasmatales (Tenericutes), and to a lesser extent Actinomycetales (Actinobacteria), as well as a decreased proportion 296 of Bacteroidales (Bacteroidetes), and in Firmicutes, Clostridiales were replaced by 297 298 Bacillales and Lactobacillales (Figure 3; Table S6). Other shifts occurred in the 299 ancestor of Carnivora, with an increased proportion of Fusobacteriales (Fusobacteria), and in the ancestors of Primates and Cingulata, with an increased proportion of some 300 Firmicutes orders (e.g. Erysipelotrichales; Figures 3 & S9). In addition, Proteobacteria 301 302 (especially Enterobacteriales and Pseudomonadales) almost disappeared in the 303 ancestral microbiota of Ungulata and Similformes (New and Old World monkeys; Table 304 S6). In birds, we found a shift in microbiota composition in the ancestor of Passeriformes, with more Bacillales and Enterobacteriales, and to a lesser extent 305 306 Pseudomonadales, and a quasi-disappearance of Bacteroidales (Figures 4 & S5; 307 Table S6). The ancestors of Anseriformes and Charadriiforms were characterized by 308 a larger proportion of Bacteroidales, as well as a large proportion of Fusobacteriales, often absent or present in low abundances in other bird gut microbiota. Finally, the 309 310 relative abundance of Actinomycetales increased in Columbiformes (Table S6). We 311 found similar estimates of ancestral gut microbiota composition when running separate inferences for the different mammal and bird orders (Figure S9). Some of these 312 313 compositional shifts might be linked to the ecological changes that these lineages experienced, such as the acquisition of flight for bats or carnivorous diets for Carnivora 314 315 and Charadriiforms (Nishida and Ochman 2018; Song et al. 2020).

316



317 318

319 Figure 5: Projection of the estimated ancestral gut microbiota of mammals and birds 320 onto the space of present-day gut microbiota. Top panels: projection of bacterial orders 321 contributing to the two principal components (PC). Colors represent the contribution of the taxa 322 to the principal components. Percentages indicate the explained variance of each PC. Only 323 the 9 most abundant orders are represented for the sake of clarity. Bottom panels: Projection 324 of the extant and ancestral microbiota compositions. Extant microbiota of species sampled in 325 the wild are colored according to the species' diet. For each diet, the ellipse contains on average 95% of the distribution approximated by a multivariate t-distribution and the centroid 326 327 is indicated by a diagonal cross. Ancestral microbiota compositions of mammals and birds are 328 represented in blue. On each PCA plot, we indicated the three extant species with microbiota 329 compositions closest to the ancestral microbiota composition. The ancestral gut microbiota of 330 mammals is closest to the gut microbiota of present-day invertebrate feeders; the gut 331 microbiota of birds does not strongly reflect diet.

332 Far from varying as uncorrelated units during the evolutionary history of mammals and birds, we found significant covariances between many microbial taxa, 333 334 both positive and negative (Figure 6a), suggesting strong constraints in the evolution 335 of the microbiota. These patterns of microbiota integration are strikingly similar in mammals and birds (Figure 6b), indicating that they are conserved over long 336 337 evolutionary times. Our simulation analyses on the mammal and bird trees suggest 338 that these results are not artefactual, since we recover significant covariances only 339 when we include them in the simulations (Table S7, Supplementary Results 1). Similar 340 covariances were obtained when performing separate inferences on the different mammal and bird orders (Figure S10), which both confirms our results and suggests 341 342 that the model assumption of a constant variance-covariance matrix across the host 343 phylogenetic tree is reasonable. Combined with the high bacterial variability in time, across individuals, and across host species at low taxonomic levels, these consistent 344 patterns at the level of bacterial orders on large time scales suggest that there is a 345 346 certain level of functional redundancy among bacteria taxa within orders in the 347 vertebrate gut microbiota.

348

349 Both visual inspection and integration analyses of the covariances revealed that 350 bacterial orders cluster into two main subsets within which taxa tend to covary in a 351 concerted way, while taxa from different subsets tend to be anti-correlated (Figure 6; see Methods). The first subset ("subset 1") is formed in particular by the orders 352 353 Clostridiales, Bacteroidales, and Fusobacteriales, and the second subset ("subset 2") is mainly composed of the orders Enterobacteriales, Lactobacillales, Pseudomonades, 354 355 Actinomycetales, and Bacillales. Although some host species have a microbiota 356 composed of an even mixture of these two bacterial subsets, one subset generally prevails, leading to the existence of two main gut microbiota profiles. The first subset 357 358 is dominant in the microbiota of most mammals (excluding Chiroptera), the ancestors 359 of birds, and some extant bird lineages (e.g. Anseriformes, Columbiformes, or Accipitriformes); the second subset predominates in the microbiota of Chiroptera and 360 361 other bird lineages, including Passeriformes (Figure S11). This result suggests the existence of two main gut microbiota profiles conserved over millions of years across 362 363 vertebrates.



364 365

Figure 6: Estimated variances and covariances between the main bacterial taxa tend to 366 367 be similar in the gut microbiota of mammals and birds. (a) For each variance-covariance 368 matrix between bacterial taxa estimated using our model of host microbiota evolution, we 369 represented negative covariances in red and positive covariances in blue, while variances are 370 represented in shades of green. Non-significant covariances are represented in white. Grey 371 rectangles correspond to subsets of bacterial orders that tend to covary positively. (b) 372 Correlation between covariances between the main bacterial taxa estimated in the gut 373 microbiota of mammals or birds. The red line indicates the corresponding linear model, while 374 the grey line corresponds to y=x.

We can only speculate on the processes underlying positive or negative 375 covariances between bacterial orders: we cannot distinguish from our analyses 376 377 whether they indicate direct interactions between bacterial taxa (e.g. cross-feeding or 378 competition) or indirect interactions mediated by similar/opposed microbial responses to changes in the gut environment. For instance, the frequent and strong negative 379 380 covariations observed between the abundant Enterobacteriales (Proteobacteria) and 381 the major bacterial orders Clostridiales (Firmicutes) and Bacteroidales (Bacteroidetes) 382 may result from direct competitions (Shealy et al. 2021), host immunological controls 383 over Proteobacteria (Mirpuri et al. 2013), and/or be mediated by the oxygen concentration in the gut, as Proteobacteria are facultative anaerobes, while other phyla 384 385 are obligate anaerobes (Shin et al. 2015). The strongest positive covariations we inferred between Actinomycetales, Pseudomonadales, and Rhizobiales, which are the 386 387 most abundant bacterial orders in plant tissues (Wagner et al. 2016), may reflect a plant-based diet, which would lead to a concomitant increase of plant-associated 388 bacteria in the gut microbiota of herbivorous vertebrates (Dion-Phénix et al. 2021). 389 390 Some of the covariations we detected (e.g. the negative covariation between 391 Lactobacillales and Bacteroidales) have also been observed in human microbiome data using co-occurrence network analyses (Faust et al. 2012), suggesting that at least 392 393 some covariations between microbial taxa that occur over short timescales within host 394 species are conserved over macroevolutionary timescales.

395

To test the adequacy of our model to the data, we simulated microbiota under 396 397 our model using the parameters estimated on mammal and bird data. We found that 398 simulated microbiota have compositions similar to those observed in extant mammals and birds (Figure S12), which indicates that, despite its simple assumptions, our 399 multivariate Brownian motion model generates realistic gut microbiota (Hird 2019; 400 401 Labrador et al. 2021). Nevertheless, the gut microbiota composition of mammals and 402 birds appears more constrained than the sets of compositions we can simulate using 403 multivariate Brownian motions (Figure S12). This is particularly true for mammals and 404 may be linked to constraints that are not accounted for by our model, such as selective pressures toward particular microbiota compositions, the potential existence of 405 406 carrying capacities for some bacterial orders, or non-constant or non-homogeneous variance-covariance matrices (e.g., more frequent shifts in microbiota composition 407 early in clades history, effects of host traits such as diet or gut pH on covariation). 408 409 Extensions of our multivariate Brownian motion approach could accommodate such constraints, but this may complexify inferences. We hope that this work will foster the 410 development of more complex models that may better represent microbiota evolution 411 in systems that present non-Brownian behaviors. As a first step, extensions that relax 412 the constant variance assumption (e.g., the early-burst model; Harmon et al. 2010) 413 414 would be relatively straightforward to implement and could be particularly relevant to 415 account for the major shifts in microbiota composition that took place at the origin of some mammalian orders (*e.g.*, in bats). Meanwhile, by relying on a simple and flexible 416 417 Brownian motion process, our phylogenetic comparative model for microbiota

418 evolution is general enough to be broadly applied across other host-microbiota419 systems and reveal the global trends of microbiota evolution.

420

421 Besides modeling assumptions, our results may be influenced by the inherent biases of metabarcoding data. Bacterial relative abundances characterized using 422 423 metabarcoding techniques are a distortion of the actual relative abundances (Knight et 424 al. 2018; Lavrinienko et al. 2021), since metabarcoding is sensitive to the number of 425 rRNA copies in the bacterial genomes, primer biases, and the quality and completeness of the reference database for taxonomic assignation (at the bacterial 426 order/phylum level in our case). These issues are unlikely to artefactually generate 427 428 phylosymbiosis or covariations across bacterial taxa because we expect such biases 429 to be homogeneous across host species; nevertheless, they are likely to affect our 430 ancestral reconstructions of microbiota compositions.

431

432 Our approach to quantifying phylosymbiosis characterizes microbiota 433 composition in terms of the relative abundances of higher bacterial taxa (orders or 434 phyla). This characterization hides variations in the presence/absence of bacterial taxa at lower taxonomic levels (e.g. genus or species). Indeed, distinct mammal or bird 435 436 species are known to host different bacterial species (Song et al. 2020), and this may 437 not translate into abundance variations at higher taxonomic levels if the different bacterial species belong to the same higher taxa. Besides the widely-used Mantel 438 439 tests, such variations could be accounted for by stochastic processes modeling the evolution of presence/absence on host phylogenies (Braga et al. 2020), although we 440 441 are not aware that these approaches have been used to detect bacterial 442 phylosymbiosis. Yet another level of variation in microbiota composition that can contribute to phylosymbiosis arises through genetic differentiation below the bacterial 443 444 species level: if a bacterial species is vertically transmitted during host diversification, 445 we expect bacterial strains from closely related host species to be more genetically similar (Sanders et al. 2014; Groussin et al. 2017; Perez-Lamarque and Morlon 2019). 446 447 This latter process can be specifically tested thanks to cophylogenetic methods that 448 consider the evolution of each microbial species separately (Dismukes et al. 2022; 449 Perez-Lamarque and Morlon 2022). The above-mentioned methods are 450 complementary, as they focus on different levels of variations in microbiota composition, and on the distinct processes that simultaneously generate 451 452 phylosymbiosis (Moran et al. 2019; Lim and Bordenstein 2020).

453

454 Phylosymbiosis is a widespread pattern that has fascinated microbial ecologists 455 and evolutionary biologists since its discovery, spurring debates on the main processes 456 underlying the pattern. Drawing upon phylogenetic comparative methods, we have 457 developed a new approach to studying phylosymbiosis. Our results on simulations and 458 birds suggest that phylosymbiosis may be even more prevalent than currently 459 recognized, but sometimes undetected with correlative approaches. We have shown 460 that conservatisms in diet, geographic location, and flying ability are not enough to 461 explain phylosymbiosis, calling for an investigation of the role of other host ecological

traits, as well as physiological and immunological traits. One of our most striking 462 463 results, in the face of the well-known high variability of the gut microbiota, is its high 464 level of integration, with conserved covariations between bacterial orders over millions 465 of years. The same two subsets of bacterial orders tend to covary in a concerted way in both mammals and birds, leading to the existence of two main gut microbiota profiles 466 in vertebrates. Hence, microbial interactions combined with phylogenetically-467 468 conserved host traits shape microbiota composition over millions of years, supporting 469 the view of vertebrate gut microbiota as 'ecosystems on a leash' (Foster et al. 2017).

470 **Methods:**

471

472 A multivariate Brownian motion model for variations in microbiota composition 473 over host evolutionary time:

474

475 We denote by p the total number of microbial taxa detected across the 476 microbiota of the *n* sampled host species. Standard metabarcoding techniques only measure the relative abundance of each microbial taxon *j* in each extant host species 477 *i*, which we denote by $Z_{ij} = X_{ij}/Y_i$, where X_{ij} is the unmeasured absolute abundance 478 of microbial taxon j in host i and $Y_i = \sum_i X_{ij}$ is the unmeasured total microbial 479 480 abundance in the microbiota of host *i*. We assume that the logarithms of microbial 481 absolute abundances $\log X_{ii}$ vary along the host phylogenetic tree according to a 482 multivariate Brownian motion starting from the ancestral abundances at the root, denoted by X_{0i} (Figure 1). Indeed, taking the logarithm of the abundances yields values 483 484 on the real axis that are amenable to be modeled with a Brownian motion, similar to 485 continuous phenotypic traits. This model implies a log-normal distribution of abundances, as is commonly observed in microbial communities (Quince et al. 2008), 486 and it can easily accommodate undetected microbial taxa in some hosts by assigning 487 488 them very low unobserved relative abundances. To make the model identifiable, we 489 express the total abundances Y_i relative to the unknown total abundance at the root Y_0 , and we only infer $\tilde{Y}_i = Y_i/Y_0$. Each microbial taxon *i* is characterized by a certain 490 491 variance and pairs of microbial taxa can affect each other through a covariance term, 492 so that their changes in abundance over time can be positively or negatively correlated. 493 All variance and covariance values are assumed to be constant along the host 494 phylogeny and are summarized by the invertible variance-covariance matrix R (Figure 495 1a).

497 Model inference:

498

496

499 To infer the model parameters, we sampled from their joint posterior distribution 500 $P(\log Z_0, R, \lambda, \log \tilde{Y}_1, ..., \log \tilde{Y}_n | Z_{11}, ..., Z_{ij}, ..., Z_{np}, C)$ using a No U-turn Hamiltonian Monte Carlo sampler, a computationally efficient Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm 501 502 for continuous variables (Supplementary Methods 1). We implemented it in the 503 probabilistic programming language Stan and we ran and compiled it through the 504 RStan interface (R Core Team 2022; Stan Development Team 2022). Inferences were performed with 4 independent chains and a minimum of 4,000 iterations per chain 505 506 including a warmup of 2,000 iterations. We checked the convergence of the chains 507 using the Gelman statistics and effective sample sizes (ESS). We extracted the mean posterior value of each parameter and its associated 95% credible interval across 508 509 posterior samples.

510

511 We considered a covariance to be significant if 0 was not included in its 95% 512 credible interval. We could not use the same approach for λ , because it only takes

positive values. Furthermore, model selection using Bayes factors led to many false 513 514 negatives on simulated data (Supplementary Methods 2 & Results 1). Therefore, we assessed the significance of λ using permutations. We shuffled at random the extant 515 host species to break the phylogenetic structure and ran again model inference of the 516 517 randomized dataset. We performed 100 replications and compared the distribution of λ values thus obtained to the original λ estimate: if the original λ was greater than at 518 519 least 95% of the λ values obtained through permutations, we considered that there 520 was a significant impact of host evolution on microbiota evolution.

522 Simulations:

523

521

We evaluated our approach using simulations. We simulated the evolution of a 524 525 microbiota along a host phylogeny using Multivariate Brownian motions for logabundances. We simulated phylogenies with n = 20, 50, 100, or 250 extant host 526 527 species using a pure birth model (*pbtree* function in the phytools R-package (Revell 528 2012)). We considered microbiota with p = 3, 5, 10, or 15 microbial taxa and uniformly 529 sampled the logarithms of their ancestral abundances at the root of the host phylogeny between -4 and 0 before normalizing them so that $\sum_i Z_{0i} = 1$. We generated random 530 531 positive definite variance-covariance matrices R following (Uyeda et al. 2015) and 532 (Clavel et al. 2019) with eigenvalues of 1/4. Finally, we applied Pagel's λ 533 transformations with λ = 1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, or 0. For each combination of *n*, *p*, and λ values, we performed 100 independent simulations, leading to a total of 8,000 534 535 simulations. We verified that our approach correctly estimates the parameters λ , Z_0 , and R, and detects phylosymbiosis (significant λ) and covariations (significant R 536 537 components) when they are simulated. We compared the performances of our 538 approach for detecting phylosymbiosis to that of Mantel tests (Perez-Lamarque, Maliet, 539 et al. 2022).

540

We also evaluated our inference approach using data simulated on the 541 542 phylogenetic tree of mammals or birds, and using conditions and parameters matching 543 the empirical data. We performed simulations with 7 taxa (corresponding to the 7 bacterial phyla in the data, see below) and 14 taxa (corresponding to the 14 bacterial 544 545 orders in the data). We used values of $\lambda = 1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25$, or 0, and values for the 546 other model parameters similar to those estimated from the empirical data (Figure S13). We performed 100 simulations per condition (thus reaching a total of 2,000 547 548 simulations).

549

550 **Empirical application:**

551

552 We downloaded the dataset of (Song et al. 2020) that gathered the gut microbiota of 553 2,677 mammal individuals from >200 species and 1,630 bird individuals from >300 554 species, characterized by metabarcoding using the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. 555 Only studies using the standard protocol of the Earth Microbiome Project (Thompson

et al. 2017) were included (see (Song et al. 2020) for details), making samples 556 comparable across different studies (Knight et al. 2018). Song et al. converted bacterial 557 reads into amplicon sequence variants (ASV), assigned each ASV taxonomically using 558 559 the Greengenes database (DeSantis et al. 2006; Song et al. 2020), and rarefied ASV tables at 10,000 reads per sample. We complemented their dataset with the consensus 560 phylogenetic trees of (Upham et al. 2019) and (Jetz et al. 2012) for mammals and 561 562 birds, respectively. We only kept the species having their microbiota compositions characterized by at least 2 microbiota samples (Table S2). We checked that gut 563 microbiota from the same host species were more similar than gut microbiota from 564 different species using PermANOVA (Oksanen et al. 2016). Then, we obtained the 565 566 microbiota composition of each host species by averaging the samples per species 567 and extracted the relative abundances of the main bacterial orders and phyla per host species. We verified that similar results were obtained when repeating our analyses by 568 randomly sampling one individual per host species (Figure S14). We only considered 569 570 the 14 most abundant bacterial orders, *i.e.* those that each represented more than 1% of the total bacterial abundance (which correspond in abundance to 84% and 82% of 571 the total gut bacterial microbiota of mammals and birds, respectively) and the 7 most 572 abundant bacterial phyla (95% and 96% of the gut microbiota of mammals and birds 573 574 respectively; Figure S15). We also repeated all analyses using only the 9 (resp. 5) 575 most abundant orders (resp. phyla). We did not apply our model at lower taxonomic levels mainly because the assumptions of our model (all microbial taxa are present in 576 577 all hosts, potentially in undetectable abundances and they were already present in the most recent common ancestor of all host species) are more likely to be met at high 578 579 taxonomic levels. At lower taxonomic levels, the microbiota evolution of mammals and 580 birds may be better represented using models of colonization and extinction (Song et al. 2020) than models of fluctuations in bacterial abundances such as ours. In addition, 581 582 running the model with several hundreds of taxa would be computationally intensive. 583 Finally, the quality of the taxonomic assignation and the number of taxa representing more than 1% of the gut microbiota decreased sharply at low taxonomic levels: only 584 81% and 45% of the gut microbiota of mammals and birds are assigned at the family 585 and genus levels, respectively, and among them, only 60% and 18% of the bacterial 586 587 taxa represent more than 1% of the gut microbiota.

588

Our multivariate Brownian motion model of microbiota does not explicitly 589 590 consider losses of bacterial taxa from the microbiota through time. Yet, some bacterial taxa can be absent or undetected in the gut microbiota of mammals and birds. We 591 assumed that the absence of a particular taxon came from a very low abundance, 592 below the detection threshold: we thus arbitrarily set the relative abundances of absent 593 taxa to 0.001%. Setting the minimal relative abundances of absent taxa to 0.01% 594 595 reduced the estimated variance of the rare taxa but did not affect other estimates 596 (Figure S16).

597

We applied the model separately on all mammals and all birds, getting estimates 598 599 of Pagel's λ , the ancestral microbiota composition Z_0 , and the variance-covariance 600 matrix R for each vertebrate class.

601

602 Effect of host traits on phylosymbiosis:

603

604 We gathered data on host species traits from (Song et al. 2020) for diet, 605 geographic location, and flying ability. We assigned a dominant diet to each host species as either "plants", "fruits", "invertebrates" or "meat" following the EltonTraits 606 607 database (Wilman et al. 2014). We assigned a geographic location to each species by 608 picking the biogeographic realm (Afrotropical, Antarctic, Australasian, Nearctic, 609 Neotropic, Oriental, or Palearctic) where the highest number of wild individuals were sampled, or if not available, where the highest number of captive individuals were 610 sampled (this was the case for 48% of the mammalian species and 18% of the avian 611 612 ones). We treated flying ability as binary (yes/no). First, we assessed the influence of flight on the gut microbiota by performing inferences on non-flying mammal species 613 only (*i.e.* excluding bats) and on flying bird species only. Similarly, we investigated the 614 615 effect of captivity on our inferences by replicating them using only the gut microbiota of wild or captive individuals. Second, we tested whether the evolutionary conservatism 616 617 of diet, geographic location, or flying ability may explain phylosymbiosis in mammals 618 and birds by performing permutations. We shuffled host species having the same diet, 619 geographic location, and/or flying ability and re-ran the inferences on these randomized datasets. For each tested trait, we performed 100 independent 620 randomizations. Finally, we verified that phylosymbiosis did not artefactually arise from 621 622 the concatenation of the separate studies composing this dataset by randomizing the species that came from the same study. 623

624

625

Comparison between ancestral and present-day microbiota composition:

626

627 We compared the estimated ancestral microbiota composition Z_0 of all mammals or birds to that of extant species using principal component analysis (PCA) 628 629 after applying a centered log-ratio transform to the abundances (Aitchison 1983). Given Z_0 , we also jointly estimated the ancestral abundances at each node of the host 630 phylogenetic tree using generalized least squares following (Martins and Hansen 1997; 631 Cunningham et al. 1998; Clavel et al. 2019). As a first attempt to infer past diet based 632 on the estimated ancestral microbiota composition Z_0 , we computed the centroid of 633 each of the four diet categories and computed the distance d_i between Z_0 and each 634 635 centroid on the first five PC axes. We additionally performed separate model inference for all orders of mammals (Carnivora, Cetartiodactyla, Chiroptera, Primates, and 636 Rodentia; Table S2) and birds (Anseriformes, Charadriiformes, Columbiformes, and 637 Passeriformes) represented by at least 15 species, and compared the ancestral 638 microbiota composition obtained with separate and joint inferences. 639 640

- 641 Integration analyses:
- 642

We identified the significantly positive or negative covariances between bacterial orders. In addition, to characterize potential subsets of bacterial taxa that tend to vary in a concerted way, we clustered taxa using the *cluster_fast_greedy* function in the R-package igraph (Csardi and Nepusz 2006), based on the estimated variancecovariance matrix *R*, modified to retain information of only positive covariances (negative ones were set to 0).

649

650 Model adequacy:

651

To assess whether our model for the evolution of the gut microbiota of mammals and birds yields realistic microbiota compositions, we simulated the process of microbiota evolution on the mammal or bird phylogenies using the parameters estimated for mammals and birds ($\log Z_0$, R, and λ). Next, we compared the simulated microbiota compositions to the empirical microbiota compositions of the extant mammal or bird species using principal component analysis (PCA). We performed 20 independent simulations for each of our model inferences.

659

660 **Data availability:**

661

Raw data and processed data from (Song et al. 2020) used to perform the empirical
applications are available in Qiita (https://qiita.ucsd.edu/study/description/11166).

- 664 Our phylogenetic comparative method, referred to as ABDOMEN (A Brownian moDel 665 Of Microbiota EvolutioN), is available on GitHub with a tutorial: 666 https://github.com/BPerezLamarque/ABDOMEN.
- 667

668 Acknowledgments:

669

670 The authors acknowledge Julien Clavel, Jonathan Drury, Félix Foutel--Rodier, and 671 members of the BioDiv team at IBENS for helpful discussions, as well as the Editor Aurélien Tellier and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments. They 672 673 also acknowledge the Hubert Curien Alliance program for funding workshops that 674 initiated the project. GSK acknowledges support from the Academy of Finland (decision 340314) and the Sakari Alhopuro foundation (grant 20210172). This work 675 676 was performed using HPC resources from GENCI-IDRIS (Grants 2021-A0100312405 677 and 2022- AD010313735).

678

679 **Author Contributions:**

680

BPL, GSK, LD, and HM designed the study. BPL and GSK implemented the model.
BPL performed the simulations and the empirical applications. BPL, GSK, and HM
wrote the manuscript.

685 **Declaration of interests:**

686

687 The authors declare no competing interests.

688

689 **References:**

690

Aitchison J. 1983. Principal component analysis of compositional data. Biometrika70:57.

- Amato KR, G. Sanders J, Song SJ, Nute M, Metcalf JL, Thompson LR, Morton JT,
 Amir A, J. McKenzie V, Humphrey G, et al. 2019. Evolutionary trends in host
 physiology outweigh dietary niche in structuring primate gut microbiomes. ISME
 J. 13:576–587.
- Bodawatta KH, Hird SM, Grond K, Poulsen M, Jønsson KA. 2022. Avian gut
 microbiomes taking flight. Trends Microbiol. 30:268–280.
- Braga MP, Landis MJ, Nylin S, Janz N, Ronquist F. 2020. Bayesian inference of
 ancestral host-parasite interactions under a phylogenetic model of host
 repertoire evolution. Syst. Biol. 69:1149–1162.
- Brooks AW, Kohl KD, Brucker RM, van Opstal EJ, Bordenstein SR. 2016.
 Phylosymbiosis: relationships and functional effects of microbial communities across host evolutionary history.Relman D, editor. PLOS Biol. 14:e2000225.
- Capunitan DC, Johnson O, Terrill RS, Hird SM. 2020. Evolutionary signal in the gut
 microbiomes of 74 bird species from Equatorial Guinea. Mol. Ecol. 29:829–847.
- Clavel J, Aristide L, Morlon H. 2019. A penalized likelihood framework for high dimensional phylogenetic comparative methods and an application to New World monkeys brain evolution. Syst. Biol. 68:93–116.
- Clavel J, Escarguel G, Merceron G. 2015. mvMORPH: An R package for fitting
 multivariate evolutionary models to morphometric data.Poisot T, editor. Methods
 Ecol. Evol. 6:1311–1319.
- Csardi G, Nepusz T. 2006. The igraph software package for complex network
 research. InterJournal Complex Syst. Complex Sy:1695.
- Cunningham CW, Omland KE, Oakley TH. 1998. Reconstructing ancestral character
 states: a critical reappraisal. Trends Ecol. Evol. 13:361–366.
- David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling A
 V., Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA, et al. 2014. Diet rapidly and reproducibly

alters the human gut microbiome. Nature 505:559–563.

- DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi
 D, Hu P, Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene
 database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
 723 72:5069–5072.
- Dion-Phénix H, Charmantier A, de Franceschi C, Bourret G, Kembel SW, Réale D.
 2021. Bacterial microbiota similarity between predators and prey in a blue tit
 trophic network. ISME J. 15:1098–1107.
- Dismukes W, Braga MP, Hembry DH, Heath TA, Landis MJ. 2022. Cophylogenetic
 methods to untangle the evolutionary history of ecological interactions. Annu.
 Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 53:1–24.
- Friksson O. 2016. Evolution of angiosperm seed disperser mutualisms: The timing of
 origins and their consequences for coevolutionary interactions between

- angiosperms and frugivores. Biol. Rev. 91:168–186.
- Faust K, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Izard J, Segata N, Gevers D, Raes J, Huttenhower C.
 2012. Microbial co-occurrence relationships in the human microbiome.Ouzounis
 CA, editor. PLoS Comput. Biol. 8:e1002606.
- Foster KR, Schluter J, Coyte KZ, Rakoff-Nahoum S. 2017. The evolution of the host
 microbiome as an ecosystem on a leash. Nature 548:43–51.
- Gill PG, Purnell MA, Crumpton N, Brown KR, Gostling NJ, Stampanoni M, Rayfield
 EJ. 2014. Dietary specializations and diversity in feeding ecology of the earliest
 stem mammals. Nature 512:303–305.
- Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Waters JL, Clark AG, Ley RE. 2016. Cross-species
 comparisons of host genetic associations with the microbiome. Science (80-.).
 352:532–535.
- Grossnickle DM, Smith SM, Wilson GP. 2019. Untangling the multiple ecological
 radiations of early mammals. Trends Ecol. Evol. 34:936–949.
- Groussin M, Mazel F, Sanders JG, Smillie CS, Lavergne S, Thuiller W, Alm EJ. 2017.
 Unraveling the processes shaping mammalian gut microbiomes over
 evolutionary time. Nat. Commun. 8:14319.
- Hacquard S, Garrido-Oter R, González A, Spaepen S, Ackermann G, Lebeis S,
 McHardy AC, Dangl JL, Knight R, Ley R, et al. 2015. Microbiota and host
 nutrition across plant and animal kingdoms. Cell Host Microbe 17:603–616.
- 752 Harmon LJ. 2017. Phylogenetic Comparative Methods.
- Harmon LJ, Glor RE. 2010. Poor statistical performance of the Mantel test in
 phylogenetic comparative analyses. Evolution (N. Y). 64:2173–2178.
- Harmon LJ, Losos JB, Jonathan Davies T, Gillespie RG, Gittleman JL, Bryan
 Jennings W, Kozak KH, McPeek MA, Moreno-Roark F, Near TJ, et al. 2010.
 Early bursts of body size and shape evolution are rare in comparative data.
 Evolution (N. Y). 64:2385–2396.
- Hird SM. 2019. Microbiomes, community ecology, and the comparative method.
 mSystems 4:1–5.
- Hird SM, Sánchez C, Carstens BC, Brumfield RT. 2015. Comparative Gut Microbiota
 of 59 Neotropical Bird Species. Front. Microbiol. 6:1403.
- Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K, Mooers AO. 2012. The global diversity of
 birds in space and time. Nature 491:444–448.
- Knight R, Vrbanac A, Taylor BC, Aksenov A, Callewaert C, Debelius J, Gonzalez A,
 Kosciolek T, McCall LI, McDonald D, et al. 2018. Best practices for analysing
 microbiomes. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 16:410–422.
- Kohl KD. 2020. Ecological and evolutionary mechanisms underlying patterns of
 phylosymbiosis in host-associated microbial communities. Philos. Trans. R. Soc.
 B Biol. Sci. 375:20190251.
- Kohl KD, Dearing MD, Bordenstein SR. 2018. Microbial communities exhibit host
 species distinguishability and phylosymbiosis along the length of the
 gastrointestinal tract. Mol. Ecol. 27:1874–1883.
- Labrador M del M, Doña J, Serrano D, Jovani R. 2021. Quantitative interspecific
 approach to the stylosphere: Patterns of bacteria and fungi abundance on
 passerine bird feathers. Microb. Ecol. 81:1088–1097.
- Lavrinienko A, Jernfors T, Koskimäki JJ, Pirttilä AM, Watts PC. 2021. Does
 intraspecific variation in rDNA copy number affect analysis of microbial
 communities? Trends Microbiol. 29:19–27.
- Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2008. Worlds within worlds:
 evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6:776–788.

- Lim SJ, Bordenstein SR. 2020. An introduction to phylosymbiosis. Proc. R. Soc. B
 Biol. Sci. 287:20192900.
- Martins EP, Hansen TF. 1997. Phylogenies and the comparative method: A general
 approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of
 interspecific data. Am. Nat. 149:646–667.
- Mazel F, Davis KM, Loudon A, Kwong WK, Groussin M, Parfrey LW. 2018. Is host
 filtering the main driver of phylosymbiosis across the Tree of Life?Bik H, editor.
 mSystems 3:1–15.
- McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey H V., Domazet-Lošo T, Douglas
 AE, Dubilier N, Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF, et al. 2013. Animals in a bacterial
 world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110:3229–
 3236.
- Mirpuri J, Raetz M, Sturge CR, Wilhelm CL, Benson A, Savani RC, Hooper L V,
 Yarovinsky F. 2013. Proteobacteria-specific IgA regulates maturation of the
 intestinal microbiota. Gut Microbes 5:28–39.
- Moran NA, Ochman H, Hammer TJ. 2019. Evolutionary and ecological
 consequences of gut microbial communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.
 50:451–475.
- Nishida AH, Ochman H. 2018. Rates of gut microbiome divergence in mammals.
 Mol. Ecol. 27:1884–1897.
- Ochman H, Worobey M, Kuo CH, Ndjango JBN, Peeters M, Hahn BH, Hugenholtz P.
 2010. Evolutionary relationships of wild hominids recapitulated by gut microbial
 communities. PLoS Biol. 8:3–10.
- Oksanen J, Kindt R, Pierre L, O'Hara B, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MH. HH,
 Wagner H, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, et al. 2016. vegan: Community Ecology
 Package. R package version 2.4-0. R Packag. version 2.2-1.
- Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature
 401:877–884.
- Perez-Lamarque B, Krehenwinkel H, Gillespie RG, Morlon H. 2022. Limited evidence
 for microbial transmission in the phylosymbiosis between Hawaiian spiders and
 their microbiota.Hird SM, editor. mSystems 7:e01104-21.
- Perez-Lamarque B, Maliet O, Pichon B, Selosse M-A, Martos F, Morlon H. 2022. Do
 closely related species interact with similar partners? Testing for phylogenetic
 signal in bipartite interaction networks. Peer Community J. 2:e59.
- Perez-Lamarque B, Morlon H. 2019. Characterizing symbiont inheritance during
 host–microbiota evolution: Application to the great apes gut microbiota. Mol.
 Ecol. Resour. 19:1659–1671.
- Perez-Lamarque B, Morlon H. 2022. Comparing different computational approaches
 for detecting long-term vertical transmission in host-associated microbiota. Mol.
 Ecol.
- Pigliucci M. 2003. Phenotypic integration: Studying the ecology and evolution of
 complex phenotypes. Ecol. Lett. 6:265–272.
- Quince C, Curtis TP, Sloan WT. 2008. The rational exploration of microbial diversity.
 ISME J. 2:997–1006.
- 826 R Core Team. 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods Ecol. Evol. 3:217–223.
- Revell LJ, Harmon LJ, Collar DC. 2008. Phylogenetic signal, evolutionary process,
 and rate. Syst. Biol. 57:591–601.
- 831 Sanders JG, Powell S, Kronauer DJC, Vasconcelos HL, Frederickson ME, Pierce

- NE. 2014. Stability and phylogenetic correlation in gut microbiota: lessons from ants and apes. Mol. Ecol. 23:1268–1283.
- Shealy NG, Yoo W, Byndloss MX. 2021. Colonization resistance: metabolic warfare
 as a strategy against pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae. Curr. Opin. Microbiol.
 64:82–90.
- Shin NR, Whon TW, Bae JW. 2015. Proteobacteria: Microbial signature of dysbiosis
 in gut microbiota. Trends Biotechnol. 33:496–503.
- Song SJ, Sanders JG, Delsuc F, Metcalf J, Amato K, Taylor MW, Mazel F, Lutz HL,
 Winker K, Graves GR, et al. 2020. Comparative analyses of vertebrate gut
- 841 microbiomes reveal convergence between birds and bats. MBio 11:1–14.
- 842 Stan Development Team. 2022. RStan: the R interface to Stan.
- Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ,
 Tripathi A, Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, et al. 2017. A communal catalogue
 reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463.
- Trevelline BK, Sosa J, Hartup BK, Kohl KD. 2020. A bird's-eye view of
 phylosymbiosis: weak signatures of phylosymbiosis among all 15 species of
 cranes. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 287:20192988.
- Upham NS, Esselstyn JA, Jetz W. 2019. Inferring the mammal tree: Species-level
- sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and
- conservation.Tanentzap AJ, editor. PLoS Biol. 17:e3000494.
- Uyeda JC, Caetano DS, Pennell MW. 2015. Comparative analysis of principal components can be misleading. Syst. Biol. 64:677–689.
- Wagner MR, Lundberg DS, Del Rio TG, Tringe SG, Dangl JL, Mitchell-Olds T. 2016.
 Host genotype and age shape the leaf and root microbiomes of a wild perennial plant. Nat. Commun. 7:12151.
- Wilman H, Belmaker J, Simpson J, de la Rosa C, Rivadeneira MM, Jetz W. 2014.
 EltonTraits 1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and
- 859 mammals. Ecology 95:2027–2027.
- 860