

Quasi-classical simulations of resonance Raman spectra based on path integral linearization

Hugo Bessone, Rodolphe Vuilleumier, Riccardo Spezia

▶ To cite this version:

Hugo Bessone, Rodolphe Vuilleumier, Riccardo Spezia. Quasi-classical simulations of resonance Raman spectra based on path integral linearization. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 2023, 159, pp.024112. 10.1063/5.0143862 . hal-04158994

HAL Id: hal-04158994 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04158994v1

Submitted on 11 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quasi-classical simulations of resonance Raman spectra based on path integral linearization

Hugo Bessone,^{1, a)} Rodolphe Vuilleumier,^{1, b)} and Riccardo Spezia^{2, c)} ¹⁾PASTEUR, Département de chimie, École normale supérieure, PSL University, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France ²⁾Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, UMR 7616 CNRS, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris (France)

(Dated: 14 June 2023)

Based on a linearization approximation coupled with path integral formalism, we propose a method derived from the propagation of quasi-classical trajectories to simulate resonance Raman spectra. This method is based on a ground state sampling followed by an ensemble of trajectories on the mean surface between the ground and excited states. The method was tested on three models and compared to quantum mechanics solution based on a sum-over-states approach: harmonic and anharmonic oscillators and the HOCl molecule (hypochlorous acid). The method proposed is able to correctly characterize resonance Raman scattering and enhancement, including the description of overtones and combination bands. The absorption spectrum is obtained at the same time and the vibrational fine structure can be reproduced for long excited state relaxation times. The method can be applied also to dissociating excited states (as is the case for HOCl).

Keywords: Resonant Raman Spectrum — Molecular Dynamics — Path Integral — Linearization

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

AIP Publishing

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

^{a)}Electronic mail: hugo.bessone@sorbonne-paris-nord.fr

^{b)}Electronic mail: rodolphe.vuilleumier@ens.fr

^{c)}Electronic mail: riccardo.spezia@sorbonne-universite.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

Resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopy is a well-established tool to investigate molecular structures in different environments. For example, in biochemistry it is possible to identify vibrational signatures of specific chromophores removing the contribution of the environment on the spectra¹. In fact, RR spectroscopy consists in irradiating the sample with an incident laser pulse whose energy corresponds (or is close) to an electronic transition and then record the Raman spectra. The resulting Raman intensity of the absorbing molecules is tremendously enhanced and this makes the RR technique a powerful tool in analytical, physical and biological chemistry². Moreover, for the purpose of species identification in complex media, RR not only offers selectivity through tuning of the incident light but it also enhances overtones and combination bands, leading to complex fingerprints even for simple species. This has been the case, for example, for the radical S_3^{--} , the species at the origin of the deep blue colour of ultramarine and lapis lazuli³. While it has only three vibrational bands, up to six overtones and combination bands are visible using RR^{4,5}. Recently, S_3^{--} has been identified in high pressure hydrothermal systems through the detection of an overtone using RR^{6–8}. The same principles of RR are at the basis of its use in material science and of surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)⁹.

The general theory of RR was given by Albrecht as a special case of Raman scattering¹⁰ based on the time-independent Kramers-Heisenberg-Dirac (KHD) formula^{11,12}. This approach is at the basis of calculation of RR spectra of molecules given their equilibrium geometry¹³. It can be combined with time-dependent formulation for the excited-state and both solvation and anharmonicity can be included^{14,15}.

An alternative approach, based on a time-dependent reformulation of KHD expression, was developed by Heller and co-workers^{16–18}. This development provides an expression of RR spectrum in terms of wave-packet nuclear dynamics in the short-time limit and it was at the basis, for example, of the method of Ben-Nun and Martinez, who used the ab-initio multiple spawning method from which RR spectrum of ethylene was successfully obtained¹⁹. Another semi-classical approach, based on Herman-Kluk propagator, was proposed by Voth and co-workers, obtaining the RR spectrum of I₂ in Xe at 230 K in agreement with experiments²⁰.

When computing RR to study chromophores in complex environments, like, e.g. carotenoids in different solvents, into an isolated protein and in the full biological photo-system^{21–27}, the time-independent KHD formalism faces a challenge: one has to define a minimum energy structure and

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP Publishing

AIP Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

then obtain the associated normal modes. This can be problematic for the many flexible molecules, who are particularly interesting to investigate through vibrational spectroscopy. In proteins (and more in general in biological media), where geometries with minimum energy topology can be hard (albeit impossible) to be located. For example, it is known that an environment will allow distortion from planarity of carotenoids (a typical chromophore where RR studies provide much information) but the minimum energy searches fail for such configurations and theoretical spectra are often calculated only for planar minimum energy structures^{22,23,28}. The time-dependent formalism offers a tempting possibility. However, the semi-classical methods developed so far can hardly be extended to complex systems mainly due to high computational cost. Further developments and approximations are thus needed to apply this approach to extended molecular systems.

In general, a practical and successful way of performing theoretical vibrational spectroscopy of complex molecular systems is using molecular dynamics and spectral density methods. Examples can be found in biophysics²⁹, ion chemistry³⁰ or crystallography³¹. Based on linear response theory, the Fourier transform of the appropriate time-correlation function can provide several spectroscopic signals. This approach can be used in the framework of molecular dynamics simulations, obtaining different spectroscopic signals, like e.g. IR³², Raman³³, vibration circular dichroism³⁴, vibrational sum frequency generation^{35,36}. While nuclei generally evolve classically, the resulting intensities can be corrected using appropriate pre-factors to include quantum effects³⁷. Recently, Mennucci and co-workers obtained approximated RR signals from biomolecular simulations^{38,39} by combining spectral density (obtained from autocorrelation function of excitation energies) with Huang-Rhys factors, giving a first order approximation of the RR intensity of a discrete set of vibrational modes^{40,41}.

Additionally, the RR signal could be calculated as the auto-correlation of polarizability along a molecular trajectory through the so-called Placzek approximation, consisting in neglecting the vibrational state dependence of the transition energy from a vibrational state *i* of the electronic ground state to a vibrational state k of an electronic excited state: $\omega_{ki} \approx \frac{\Delta E_{el}}{\hbar}$, where ΔE_{el} is the electronic transition energy⁴². Equivalently, the same result can be obtained from a classical, or short time, approximation to the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator^{43,44}. The polarizability operator then depends only on the atomic positions and taking the derivative of the Placzek polarizability with respect to the position can provide the Raman intensity of fundamental transitions^{42,44,45}. Recently, Resonance Raman spectra were thus obtained from DFT-based molecular dynamics simulations by calculating for each step the polarizability tensor using real

time time-dependent DFT (RT-TDDFT)^{46,47}. This is a further development of a previous work from which the Raman spectrum was obtained⁴⁸ from the Placzek's polarizability theory⁴⁹. RT-TDDFT was also used by other authors to obtain the Placzek's polarizability tensor and then RR spectra^{44,50}.

Here we present an extension of these works and we develop a correlation-function based approach that goes beyond the short time Placzek approximation, to obtain the signal from molecular dynamics simulations while still accounting for vibronic effects and describing overtones and combination bands. We start from the time-dependent formulation of KDH expression in the path-integral formalism and apply a forward-backward linearization of the path integral^{51–56}. Linearization has been shown to be a powerful framework to build semiclassical approximations to quantum correlation functions, mostly the linearized semi-classical initial value representation (LSC-IVR)^{57–61}. Our approach uses an extra linearization procedure for approximating the frequency dependent polarizability, which improves over the typical Placzek type approximation, in particular for the intensity of overtones and combination bands. This second linearization is closely related to linearization for non-adiabatic processes^{62–65}. It leads to a molecular dynamics scheme to compute RR spectra and also absorption spectra including vibronic effects.

The algorithm derived and proposed in the present work is applied to simple model systems for which it can be compared with sum-over-states exact results. We first consider two-dimensional independent mode displaced harmonic oscillators (IMDHO)⁴² and then introduce non-harmonicity using a model due to Heller¹⁸. Finally, we consider the case of a dissociative electronic excited state through an analytical model of HOCl, proposed some years ago and used to model RR spectrum with a quantum dynamical treatment^{66,67}.

II. THEORY

A. Raman scattering and Absorption

The Raman diffusion cross-section can be expressed from second-order perturbation theory and using the Born-Oppenheimer approximation as

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\omega_s) \propto \omega_I \omega_s^3 \sum_i \rho(i) \sum_f \delta(\omega_I - \omega_s - \omega_{fi}) \left| \alpha_{fi}(\omega_I) \right|^2$$
(1)

where *i* and *f* denote the initial and final vibrational states, respectively, $\rho(i)$ is the probability for the system to be in *i* state, ω_I is the incident frequency, ω_s the scattered frequency, ω_{fi} is the

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

energy difference between the initial and final states and α_{fi} is the polarizability tensor.

The polarizability tensor, corresponding to a transition between vibrational states f and i of the electronic ground state a, can be expressed with the Kramers-Heisenberg-Dirac (KHD) equation^{11,12}:

$$\alpha_{fi}(\omega_{I}) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{n} \left(\frac{\left\langle f \left| \widehat{M_{s}} \right| n \right\rangle \left\langle n \left| \widehat{M_{I}} \right| i \right\rangle}{(E_{n} - E_{i}) / \hbar - \omega_{I} - j\Gamma} + \frac{\left\langle f \left| \widehat{M_{I}} \right| n \right\rangle \left\langle n \left| \widehat{M_{s}} \right| i \right\rangle}{(E_{n} - E_{f}) / \hbar + \omega_{I} + j\Gamma} \right)$$

$$(2)$$

where *n* are the vibrational states of the excited state *b* (in general the KHD equation can be expressed on multiple excited states, here for simplicity we consider only one). \widehat{M}_s and \widehat{M}_I are the transition dipole operators between electronic states *a* and *b*, for scattered (*s*) and incident (*I*) polarizations, respectively. Finally, Γ is a phenomenological damping factor, which is related to the lifetime of state *b* (note that here and hereafter we denote the imaginary unit as *j* to avoid confusion with initial vibrational state, $|i\rangle$).

The second term in Eq. 2 is the non resonant term (*NRT*), conjugate to the first term with the opposite sign for ω_I . Since in resonance Raman it is much smaller than the resonant term it is often omitted.

We first follow Jensen and Lee⁴⁴ to construct an approximation to the RR signal suitable to MD simulations by expressing Eq. 1 in the time-domain, by using the spectral representation of the Dirac distribution:

$$\delta(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j\omega t} dt.$$
(3)

Then, the RR intensity can be written as

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\omega_s) \propto \omega_I \omega_s^3 \sum_i \rho(i) \sum_f \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j(\omega_I - \omega_s)t} \alpha_{fi}^*(\omega_I) e^{j\frac{E_f}{\hbar}t} \alpha_{fi}(\omega_I) e^{-j\frac{E_i}{\hbar}t} dt.$$
(4)

This can be transformed in a quantum correlation function by introducing a polarizability operator, $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_I)$, through

$$\alpha_{fi} = \left\langle f \left| \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) + \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{NRT}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) \right| i \right\rangle, \tag{5}$$

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

Accepted to J. Chem. Phys. 10.1063/5.0143862

with each element given by the KHD expression

$$\langle f | \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_I) | i \rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_n \frac{\left\langle f | \widehat{M_s} | n \right\rangle \left\langle n | \widehat{M_I} | i \right\rangle}{(E_n - E_i) / \hbar - \omega_I - j\Gamma}$$
(6)

$$\langle f | \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{NRT}(\omega_I) | i \rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_n \frac{\left\langle f \left| \widehat{M}_I \right| n \right\rangle \left\langle n \left| \widehat{M}_s \right| i \right\rangle}{\left(E_n - E_f \right) / \hbar + \omega_I + j\Gamma},\tag{7}$$

 $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{NRT}(\omega_I)$ denoting the non-resonant term. The RR signal is then expressed in the time domain by

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}) \propto \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s})t} \left\langle \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) + \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{NRT^{\dagger}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right) e^{j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) + \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{NRT}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right) e^{-j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \right\rangle_{\rho} dt,$$
(8)

where $\widehat{H_a}$ is the Hamiltonian operator for the system on state a, $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rho}$ denotes an average over the density operator $\widehat{\rho}$ and \widehat{A}^{\dagger} the adjoint of operator \widehat{A} . Neglecting the non-resonant term leads to the simpler expression:

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\omega_{s}) \propto \omega_{I} \omega_{s}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j(\omega_{I}-\omega_{s})t} \left\langle \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_{I}) e^{j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I}) e^{-j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \right\rangle_{\rho} dt$$

$$\propto \omega_{I} \omega_{s}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j(\omega_{I}-\omega_{s})t} \text{Tr} \left(\widehat{\rho} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_{I}) e^{j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I}) e^{-j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t} \right) dt,$$
(9)

The RR signal is now expressed as the Fourier transform of a quantum correlation function of the frequency dependent polarizability operator $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_I)$ with dynamics on the electronic state *a*.

Semiclassical approaches to the calculations of such correlation functions start by expressing it in terms of Wigner transforms of operators. For a generic operator \hat{O} , we can write the Wigner transform as:

$$O_W[x_0, p_0] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\langle x_0 - \frac{\Delta x_0}{2} \middle| \widehat{O} \middle| x_0 + \frac{\Delta x_0}{2} \right\rangle e^{+\frac{j}{\hbar} p_0 \Delta x_0} d\Delta x_0$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\langle x_0 + \frac{\Delta x_0}{2} \middle| \widehat{O} \middle| x_0 - \frac{\Delta x_0}{2} \right\rangle e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} p_0 \Delta x_0} d\Delta x_0.$$
 (10)

We quickly review such semiclassical approach for the specific case of RR. The quantum correlation function can be rewritten exactly as

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\omega_s) \propto \omega_I \omega_s^3 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-j(\omega_I - \omega_s)t} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_0 dp_0 \left(\widehat{\rho} \,\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_I)\right)_W [x_0, p_0] \left(\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_I)\right)(t)\right)_W [x_0, p_0] dt,$$
(11)

The Journal of Chemical Physics

> AIP Publishing

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

r, the online version of re CITE THIS ARTICLE A

by using the following property of Wigner transforms:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\widehat{A}\widehat{B}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int A_W[x,p] B_W[x,p] \, dxdp.$$
(12)

In Eq. (11), we have the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator at time t which is:

$$\left(\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)(t)\right)_{W}[x_{0},p_{0}] = \left(e^{j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t}\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})e^{-j\frac{\widehat{H}_{a}}{\hbar}t}\right)_{W}[x_{0},p_{0}]$$
(13)

Several approaches, like initial value representation, have employed the linearization of the forward and backward time propagation to justify the semiclassical approximation^{51–54,57–62,68,69}:

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\omega_s) \propto \omega_I \omega_s^3 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{-j(\omega_I - \omega_s)t} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_0 dp_0 \left(\widehat{\rho} \,\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_I)\right)_W [x_0, p_0] \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_I)\right)_W [x_t, p_t]$$
(14)

where (x_t, p_t) are the position and momenta at time *t* along a classical trajectory initiated at time t = 0 at (x_0, p_0) .

The Wigner transform of $\hat{\rho} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_l)$ represents a challenge. Several works have tackled in which one has a product of density operator with position or momentum operators^{56,57,70}, however here the polarizability operator is highly non-linear in \hat{x} and \hat{p} , which gives rise to enhanced overtones and combination bands in RR spectra. Using the properties of Wigner transforms detailed in Appendix C, it is possible to obtain a simpler formulation of the Raman intensity:

$$I_{\text{Raman}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}) \propto \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\beta \boldsymbol{\omega}}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \, e^{-j\boldsymbol{\omega}t} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{0} dp_{0}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\right)_{W} [x_{0}, p_{0}] \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)_{W} [x_{0}, p_{0}] \times \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)_{W} [x_{t}, p_{t}]$$
(15)

Note that this expression coincides, at high temperature, with the classical approximation to the polarizability-polarizability correlation while the prefactor ensures that Stokes and anti-Stokes lines have the expected ratio of intensities at all temperatures.

B. Linearization approach for the frequency dependent polarizability

To proceed further we need to express the Wigner transform of the frequency dependent polarization and here we propose a second linearization step.

As suggested by Heller¹⁸, the polarizability operator can be written in the time domain instead as a sum over vibrational states $|n\rangle$ in the electronic excited state *b*. In fact, using the well-known relation

$$\frac{1}{\Omega} = j \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-j\Omega\tau} d\tau \quad ; \quad \operatorname{Im}\{\Omega\} < 0 \tag{16}$$

we obtain

$$\langle f | \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) | i \rangle = \frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{n} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma \tau} e^{j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \tau} \left\langle f \left| \widehat{M_{s}} \right| n \right\rangle e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} E_{n} \tau} \left\langle n \left| \widehat{M_{I}} \right| i \right\rangle e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} E_{i} \tau} d\tau.$$
(17)

The resonant contribution to the polarizability can then be written as the element of the operator:

$$\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I}) = \frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{b} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{j\omega_{I}\tau} d\tau \widehat{M}_{s} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_{b}\tau} \widehat{M}_{I} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_{a}\tau} d\tau$$
(18)

where we discard again the non-resonant term.

The main difficulty for a semi-classical treatment of the polarizability operator is the propagation over a time τ backward on the ground state a, $e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_a\tau}$, and forward on the excited state b, $e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_b\tau}$. Here, we apply linearization to a path integral formulation of these propagators and we simplify the discussion for the case of only one excited state b. Note that it can be generalized to several excited states such that the following discussion does not lose in generality. Final results generalized for more than one excited state are reported in Appendix B.

We can first write the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator as:

$$\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)_{W}[x,p] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\Delta x \, e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}p\Delta x} \frac{j}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}\tau} \\
\times \left\langle x - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left| \widehat{M}_{s} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_{b}\tau} \widehat{M}_{I} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\widehat{H}_{a}\tau} \right| x + \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right\rangle$$
(19)

By applying the linearization procedure detailed in Appendix A to the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator introduced above, and using the compact notation

$$\exp\left[-\frac{j}{2\hbar}\frac{\tau}{P+1}\sum_{k=0}^{P}\left(V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right)-V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right)\right) + V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right)-V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right)\right)\right] \approx \underbrace{\exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{\tau}du\left(V_{b}(x_{u})-V_{a}(x_{u})\right)\right]}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau,fwd}^{b-a}\left(x,p\right)}$$
(20)

which is equivalent to:

$$\exp\left[-\frac{j}{2\hbar}\frac{\tau}{P+1}\sum_{k=0}^{P}\left(V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right)-V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right)\right) + V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right)-V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right)\right)\right] \approx \underbrace{\exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar}\int_{-\tau}^{0}\mathrm{d}u\left(V_{b}(x_{u})-V_{a}(x_{u})\right)\right]}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau,bwd}^{b-a}(x,p)}$$
(21)

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

8

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

where a phase is accumulated over a backward trajectory propagated over the average surface, V_m , starting from (x, p), we obtain:

$$\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I})\right)_{W}[x,p] = \frac{j}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{j\omega_{I}\tau} M_{s}(x) M_{I}(x_{-\tau}) \, \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{b-a}(x,p)$$
(22)

and for the Wigner transform of the adjoint of the polarizability operator:

$$\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\omega_{I})\right)_{W}[x,p] = -\frac{j}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{-j\omega_{I}\tau} M_{s}(x) M_{I}(x_{-\tau}) \, \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{a-b}(x,p) = \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I})\right)_{W}^{\star}[x,p]$$
(23)

Such trajectory on the mean surface of the ground and excited states is typical in non-adiabatic dynamics,^{63,64} leading to an Ehrenfest-like description. It is also found when deriving surface-hopping from mixed quantum-classical Liouville dynamics^{71,72}, consistent with the fact that mixed quantum-classical Liouville dynamics can be itself derived through linearization⁶⁵.

Introducing this result for the polarizability operator into the RR signal intensity, we get the final expression:

$$\begin{split} I_{Raman}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}) &= K \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\beta \omega}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{3} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s} t} \iint \mathrm{d}x_{0} \, \mathrm{d}p_{0} \, \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{W}\left[x_{0}, p_{0}\right]}{2\pi \hbar} \\ &\times M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma \tau} e^{-j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \tau} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau, bwd}^{a-b}(x_{0}, p_{0}) \\ &\times M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau' \, e^{-\Gamma \tau'} e^{j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} \tau'} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\tau, bwd}^{b-a}(x_{t}, p_{t}) \end{split}$$
(24)

C. Absorption

The absorption spectrum is obtained simply from the equilibrium average of the polarizability operator

$$\left\langle \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) \right\rangle = \frac{j}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-\Gamma t} e^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, \left\langle x \middle| \widehat{\rho} \widehat{M}_{s} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{b}} \widehat{M}_{I} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{a}} \middle| x \right\rangle \tag{25}$$

Introducing the linearized path-integral (LPI) result as in the previous section for the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator we obtain the LPI expression for absorption:

$$\left\langle \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) \right\rangle = \frac{j}{\hbar} M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-\Gamma t} e^{j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} t} \iint \mathrm{d}x_{0} \, \mathrm{d}p_{0} \\ \times \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{W} \left[x_{0}, p_{0} \right]}{2\pi\hbar} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{t, bwd}^{b-a} (x_{0}, p_{0})$$
(26)

This amounts to sampling initial conditions (x_0, p_0) according to the Wigner transform of the density operator at finite temperature and for each initial condition performing a short molecular

dynamics trajectory on the mean potential $\frac{1}{2}(V_a + V_b)$ to obtain the oscillating phase that is then Fourier transformed.

The linearization of the potentials around the average path is exact if both the ground state and excited states are harmonic potentials with the same curvature, that is for the case of displaced harmonic potentials. In that case, Eq. (23) is exact and so is then the LPI result for the Wigner transform of the polarizability operator. As no further approximation is needed to express the absorption from it, in this harmonic limit the absorption in the LPI approach is also exact. This will be illustrated below where we will show that LPI reproduces a virtually exact sum-over-states approach and thus the vibronic structure of the absorption spectrum.

III. NUMERICAL DETAILS

A. LPI-MD implementation

From the expression of the signal, to compute the RR spectrum we first generate a set of initial conditions x_0 , p_0 from the Wigner distribution. To do so we have employed the Local Gaussian Approximation from Liu and Miller⁵⁸. It consists in a path-integral Monte-Carlo simulation to generate the initial positions, while momenta are generated randomly from a Gaussian distribution derived from the local curvature of the potential energy surface. The path-integral calculations were performed with 128 beads and at each step 16 consecutive beads were resampled using staging⁷³. 500 steps were used for equilibration and then initial conditions were extracted every 50 steps.

From each initial configuration x_0 , p_0 , a trajectory x_t , p_t is generated on the electronic ground state with a Velocity-Verlet algorithm. Then at regular intervals, the frequency dependent polarizability is computed by running backward short trajectories on the mean ground and excited state surfaces, with initial configuration x_t , p_t , also using a Velocity-Verlet algorithm. The Fourier transform of the phase factor, including the damping factor, along this backward trajectory gives the frequency dependent polarizability as a function of the incident frequency. The auto-correlation function of the frequency dependent polarizability is then computed by correlating that quantity at time *t* along the ground state trajectory with that quantity at time t = 0. Note that we do not use a "sliding" average over the ground state trajectory, since the sampling density is not conserved by the classical dynamics.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

The Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function of the frequency dependent polarizability is then calculated for each incident frequency to obtain the RR spectrum as a function of both the incident and scattered frequencies. As for the absorption spectra, one trajectory on the mean surface, starting from the configuration x_0 , p_0 , is enough to average the frequency dependent polarizability over the initial configurations.

The calculation of a RR spectrum is thus characterized by: (i) the number of initial configurations considered, (ii) the length ΔT of the trajectories on the ground state, (iii) the sampling interval δT on this trajectory and (iv) the length T_{aux} of the auxiliary trajectories on the mean surface. In the following, we will test the convergence over the number of initial configurations while the other parameters are dictated by the desired precision in the incident and scattered frequency. More specifically, the length of the trajectories on the ground state is related to the lowest accessible scattered frequency while the sampling interval to the highest desired frequency. Similarly, the length of the auxiliary trajectories conditions the accuracy of the RR signal as a function of the incident frequency. These parameters are given below for all simulations using the three models.

Finally, to make the figures easier to read, the Raman spectra peaks plotted with respect to the scattered frequency have all been widened. This has been done thanks to a convolution by a Cauchy–Lorentz distribution of 30 cm^{-1} width. Furthermore, when showing RR spectrum as a function of the scattered frequency, an integral over the incident frequency is performed and vice versa. In each case the integral of the incident and scattered frequencies correspond to integrating over a vibronic peak or over a vibrational band, respectively.

B. Sum-over-states (SoS) implementation

The reference SoS calculations were performed using a product discrete variable representation (DVR) of the 2-dimensional wavefunctions⁷⁴. We have employed a Gauss-Hermite quadrature, expanding the wavefunctions with Hermite polynomials as eigenstates of an harmonic potential centered around a mid-point between the equilibrium positions in the ground and excited states. The curvature of that harmonic potential was adjusted to converge the vibrational wavefunction of both ground and excited states. The weights and quadrature positions were obtained by diagonalization of the position operator matrix, which was constructed recursively^{75,76}. Typically, we have used $N_{\text{dim}} = 40$ basis functions in each direction, thus a total of $N_{\text{basis}} = 1600$ basis functions (convergence were tested with $N_{\text{dim}} = 50$ basis functions in each direction). To ensure convergence of

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

the potential energy using Gauss-Hermite quadrature, we have used $N_{\text{points}} = 2N_{\text{dim}} - 1$ quadrature points in each direction.

IV. IMDHO MODEL

A. Description

We first apply the linearization approach to a 2-dimensional system for which both the ground and the excited state potential energy surfaces are modeled by harmonic oscillators (independentmode displaced harmonic oscillators, IMDHO, model). We consider here the simplest case where the harmonic frequencies and modes are identical in both states, which corresponds to neglecting the Duschinsky rotation. In that case, the linearization step is exact. Non-harmonic models will be considered in the next sections.

The two potential energy surfaces are given by

$$V_{\rm gs}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_x^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_y^2 y^2$$
(27)

$$V_{\rm es}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_x^2(x-x_e)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_y^2(y-y_e)^2 + V_{01},$$
(28)

where V_{gs} and V_{es} are the ground-state and excited state energies as functions of the two degrees of freedom, x and y, that have the same mass m, ω_x and ω_y are the pulsations of x and y, respectively, being the same at ground and excited state, V_{01} is the energy shift between ground and excited state and x_e and y_e are the shifts of the equilibrium position at the excited state for x and y, respectively. The values used for the different parameters are listed in Table I. Unless otherwise stated, we have used a damping factor $\Gamma = 0.02$ fs⁻¹, a reasonable value according to Jensen et al.⁴⁴.

B. Absorption

We have argued that LPI should be exact to describe the absorption spectrum for the IMDHO model. Figure 1 displays a comparison between converged LPI results and SoS reference showing that LPI is in excellent agreement with the SoS result.

We will now briefly discuss how the LPI absorption spectrum depends on the different parameters of the semi-classical dynamics. First, we have varied the length of the trajectory on the mean state, using either 30 fs or 300 fs long mean trajectories. Figure 2.a shows that when the mean state

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

1				
Î	٥	ć	2	
(Ċ,	1	>	
1	U	1)	
ŝ			2	
ł	2	2	2	
ļ	\leq	1		
1	2	2		
1	C	1	١	
Į	u		l	
ļ				
ł			ī	
i	ć	1	5	
1	č	1	5	
1	2	1	1	

⊢

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

TABLE I. Parameters used the IMDHO model as in Eqs. 27 and 28.

Parameter	Value	Units
x_e	8.0	pm
Уe	12.0	pm
ω_x	2123	cm^{-1}
ω_y	1592	cm^{-1}
V_{01}	10	eV
т	6.75	$g \text{ mol}^{-1}$

trajectories are too short, the vibronic structure disappears in agreement with a lower resolution of the spectra according to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem.

Another important parameter is the number of initial configurations used to sample the equilibrium Wigner density, which is then the number of mean surface trajectories. The absorption spectrum for different numbers of initial conditions is thus shown in Figure 2.b. While the spectrum at low wavelengths converges quickly with the number of initial conditions, this is not so at larger wavelengths where about 20 000 trajectories are needed. It appears that above 125 nm (see Figure 1), where the absorption spectrum is very small, the LPI signal results from a compensation of positive and negative random numbers. It is remarkable however that a positive signal is recovered only when enough statistics is acquired. This explains the small discrepancies between LPI-MD and SoS signals for $\omega_I > 125$ nm, which will disappear in the limit of an infinit number of initial configurations.

When increasing the temperature, from 300 K to 3000 K, the number of ground state trajectories should also increase to obtain agreement with reference SoS results, as reported in Figure 3. This is probably due to the broader range of accessible configurations at this higher temperature.

In the following we will use for the resonance Raman spectra of the IMDHO model the simulation parameters listed in Table II. By selecting the incident wavelength below 120 nm, we are able to use a lower number of mean surface trajectories, equal to 2000.

Finally, we compare in Figure 4 our LPI-MD results with those using the Placzek approximation. LPI appears a clear improvement with respect to the Placzek approximation to reproduce the vibronic structure. Placzek approximation however correctly captures the overall shape of the spectrum, as if the damping factor was effectively larger.



FIG. 1. Absorption spectra obtained from LPI-MD simulations at 300 K for IMDHO model with $\Gamma = 0.02$ fs⁻¹, 20 000 initial configurations and mean state trajectories each 300 fs long (black curve), compared with SoS results (green curve)

TABLE II. Parameters used for the propagating the ground state and mean surface trajectories for IMDHO model.

Parameter	Value
Timestep	0.1 fs
# Ground state trajectories	2000
# Mean state trajectories	10 000
Length of ground state trajectories	3000 fs
Length of mean state trajectories	300 fs
Damping factor (Γ)	$0.02 \ {\rm fs}^{-1}$
Scattered resolution	$0.01 \text{ rad.} \text{fs}^{-1}$

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

a)

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

Chemical Physi

The Journal of

AIP Publishing



FIG. 2. Absorption spectra for IMDHO model obtained from LPI-MD simulations for different simulation parameters at 300 K. Panel a): the length for the mean surface tranectories was varied from 30 fs (red) to 300 fs (black). Panel b): the number of initial configurations was varied: 100 (yellow), 500 (orange), 2000 (red) and 20 000 (black).



Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing



FIG. 3. Absorption spectra at 3000 K for IMDHO model with 2000 (red curve) or 20 000 (black curve) initial configurations compared with a "sum-over-states" approach (green curve)

C. Resonance Raman

We now focus on the RR spectrum of the IMDHO system. While in this ideal situation absorption is exact within the linearization approximation, indicating that the correct expectation value of the frequency dependent polarizability operator is correctly obtained, further approximations are involved for the determination of the RR spectrum, since the time-dependent correlation function of the polarizability operator is obtained through classical trajectories with initial conditions sampled from the equilibrium Wigner density. Formally, for the calculation of the RR spetrum of the IMDHO model the only approximation is considering the Wigner transform of a product of operators as a product of their Wigner transforms. Note that for the absorption there is no approximation. In this sub-section, we will thus investigate the effect of this approximation on the RR spectrum.

Two incident frequencies are used for investigating RR spectra: one at about 116 nm, corresponding to a "resonance" condition (it covers the highest intensity peak) and one at about 108 nm,



Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862



FIG. 4. Absorption spectra obtained from LPI-MD simulations at 300 K for IMDHO model with $\Gamma = 0.02$ fs⁻¹, (black curve), compared with SoS results (green curve) and Placzek type polarizability (cyan curve) approaches.

which gives an example of "near-resonance" spectrum.

Figure 5.a shows the resonance Raman spectrum of the IMDHO system for an incident frequency in the "resonance" region using the LPI-MD approach and compared to the sum-overstates reference results. Both spectra are normalized to the Rayleigh peak and only the Stokes region is shown. The anti-Stokes peaks can be obtained from the well-known relation:

$$\frac{I_{\text{Stokes}}}{I_{\text{anti-Stokes}}} = e^{2\beta\Delta E}$$
(29)

where $\Delta E = \hbar(\omega_s - \omega_I)$.

Many peaks are observed, not only at the fundamental frequencies of the two modes, ω_x and ω_y , but also for overtones and combination bands. These two frequencies are commensurate with and all peaks are thus multiples of $\Delta \omega = \omega_x - \omega_y = 531 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The presence of these bands while the dynamics is harmonic is due to the highly non-linear character of the frequency dependent polarizability operator. The respective intensities of the multiple peaks are well reproduced up to

about 5000 cm⁻¹. LPI-MD however seems to give rise to spurious peaks at 531 cm⁻¹, 1062 cm⁻¹ and 2655 cm⁻¹. These combination bands have in comparison very low intensities in the SoS reference. They may arise from coherence not captured by the product of Wigner transforms although they are small and the global agreement between LPI-MD and SoS reference is satisfactory. This agreement extends to an incident frequency in the low wavelength region of the absorption spectrum, as shown in Figure 5.b.

A more stringent test is to examine the intensity of RR peaks $I(\omega_s - \omega_I)$ as a function of the incident frequency, ω_I . To this end, we have integrated the intensity of the scattered peaks around both fundamental frequencies $\omega_s - \omega_I = \omega_x$ and $\omega_s - \omega_I = \omega_y$, as well as around the Rayleigh peak $(\omega_s - \omega_I = 0)$ for reference. The integration range around each peak is ± 100 cm⁻¹. The intensity of these two fundamental peaks normalized to the Rayleigh peak is then shown in Figure 6. Similarly to the absorption spectrum, the peak intensities are well reproduced in a broad region, including vibronic effect. However, a discrepancy appears at higher wavelengths. This is a region with small absorbance where we have found that the signal arises from destructive interferences from different initial positions. We have thus checked if those peaks disappear when using 20 000 initial conditions, which improved the absorption spectrum, as shown in the cyan line shown in Figure 6. Unfortunately this is not the case. The peaks present in the LPI-MD but not in the SoS results correspond to transitions from hot vibrational states of the ground electronic state to low vibrational states of the excited electronic state. These hot vibrational states of the ground electronic state are unphysically populated at room temperature, reflecting errors in classical description of nuclear dynamics. In fact, when we increase the temperature and the dynamics becomes more classical, this discrepancy decreases as it can be seen in Figure 7. Note that the larger range of initial conditions at high temperatures necessitates a higher number of sampled initial configurations (set to 20 000 in Figure 7).

Finally, we investigate the difference between the LPI approach and a Placzek type approximation. To this end, we have calculated the RR spectrum approximating the frequency dependent polarizability by using solely the instantaneous excited state energy. The resulting Placzek type spectra are reported in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 8 shows that the overtones' intensities are too strong compared to the intensity of the fundamental modes. Similarly, the vibronic structure is completely lost in Palczek-type spectra, see Figure 9. LPI-MD thus appears as a clear improvement over this short-time approach.

We should remind that for the IMDHO model the two linearization procedures that lead to the

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

The Journal of Chemical Physics

> AIP Publishing



 $^{-1}$

ò

1000



FIG. 5. Resonance Raman spectra of the IMDHO system at 300 K: (a) "resonance" and (b) "near resonance" scattered frequencies. In both cases the signal is integrated over the whole frequency region highlighted in Figure 6 (see text for the discussion of the integration width). In black we report the LPI-MD results and in green the SoS ones. The intensities are normalized at the Rayleigh peak.

20'00

 $\omega_s - \omega_l$ (cm⁻¹)

30'00

40'00

5000

FIG. 6. Resonance Raman intensities of the two fundamental vibrational transitions of the IMDHO model at 300 K (top: transition along x, ω_x ; bottom: transition along y, ω_y) as a function of the incident frequency (ω_I). The intensities are normalized with respect to the Releigh intensity, $I_{Raman}(0)$. Results with LPI-MD are shown in black (2 000 initial conditions) and cyan (20 000 initial conditions) and compared with sumover-states approach shown in green curves. The blue vertical line indicates the incident frequency for the resonance condition corresponding to Figure 5.a, while the red vertical line indicates the near resonance condition corresponding to Figure 5.b. The black vertical line indicates the Franck-Condon transition.

dynamics on the mean state for the Wigner transform of the polarizability on one hand, and the classical trajectory on the ground state, are exact. The only remaining approximation is the Wigner transform of product of operators written as product of Wigner transforms. Here we found that this approximation is not too drastic as the rich RR spectrum of this simple model is remarkably well reproduced by the LPI-MD method. We will now turn to anharmonic systems.

FIG. 7. Intensity of the Rayleigh peak for the IMDHO model at 3000 K with respect to the incident frequency for the Rayleigh peak, using 2000 (red curve) or 20 000 (black curve) ground state trajectories, compared with the sum-over-states result (green curve).

V. HELLER'S MODEL

A. Description

Here we will investigate how the linearization approach performs on a typical model used to study resonance Raman spectroscopy proposed by by Heller, Sundberg and Tannor¹⁸ some years ago and here called simply "Heller's model". As for the IMDHO model, it is a two-dimensional model, now with an anharmonic excited state potential energy surface. More specifically, the ground and excited state potential energy surfaces are given by

$$V_{gs}(x, y) = m\omega^2 x^2 / 2 + m\omega^2 y^2 / 2$$

$$V_{es}(x, y) = m\omega^2 (x - x_0)^2 / 2 + m\omega^2 (y - y_0)^2 / 2$$

$$- K(x - x_0)^2 (y - y_0) + V_0$$
(30)

130

135

All parameters of the potential energy surfaces and used in the simulations are reported in

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

FIG. 8. Resonance Raman spectra of the IMDHO model at 300 K with respect a "near resonance" scattered frequency (the signal is integrated over the whole region highlighted in Figure 6), compared with results from the Placzek type polarizability (cyan curve). The intensities are scaled to have the same values for the Rayleigh peak.

Tables III and IV, respectively.

In this model, the two vibrational modes along the two degrees of freedom are degenerate. The vibrational spectrum will then be composed of this fundamental frequency and their overtones. In particular we will be interested here, following Heller, in the intensities of the first overtone compared to the fundamental peak.

B. Absorption and resonance Raman

For this anharmonic model, the LPI-MD approach still reproduces well the overall shape and the vibronic structure of the absorption spectrum as can be seen in Figure 10. However, the spacing of vibronic structure appears slightly different with respect to the sum-over-states reference.

For the calculation of the resonance Raman spectrum, we have chosen an incident frequency

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT Chemical Physics The Journal of

> AIP Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

frequency (ω_l). Results with LPI-MD are shown in black curves and compared with the Placzek type polarizability shown in cyan curves. The blue vertical line indicates the incident frequency for the resonance condition corresponding to Figure 5.a, while the red vertical line indicates the near resonance condition corresponding to Figure 5.b. The black vertical line indicates the Franck-Condon transition. This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

TABLE III. Parameters used the Heller's model.

Parameter	Value	Units
<i>x</i> ₀	13.996	pm
уо	8.3978	pm
ω	1592.7	cm^{-1}
V_0	3.9493	eV
т	6.7540	$g.mol^{-1}$
K	$1.2378 \cdot 10^{-4}$	4 eV.pm ⁻³

TABLE IV. Parameters used in the LPI-MD simulations with the Heller's model.

Parameter	Value
Timestep	0.1 fs
# Ground state trajectories	2000
# Mean state trajectories	4500
Length of the ground state trajectories	1000 fs
Length of the mean state trajectories	667 fs
Damping factor	$0.06 \ {\rm fs}^{-1}$
Scattered resolution	$0.03 \text{ rad } \text{fs}^{-1}$

corresponding to a vibronic peak which is in common between LPI-MD and sum-over-states absorption spectra. Thus, the resonance Raman signals were obtained by integrating over the incident frequency around 275 nm (see Figure 10). The resulting signals are shown in Figure 11. Since the two oscillators have the same frequency, only one progression of overtones of this fundamental frequency is obtained. The peak intensities along this progression for the LPI-MD approach are very similar to the sum-over-state ones, showing that the proposed LPI-MD approach is able to correctly catch the non-linear character of the frequency dependent polarizablity.

We now focus on the intensity of the fundamental peak and first overtone as a function of the incident frequency, as shown in Figure 12. As for the absorption, the spacing of the vibronic bands is slightly larger than that given by the SoS reference. Nevertheless, the vibronic structure is well reproduced. It is interesting to see that at the frequency that corresponds to the highest intensity for the fundamental band, which had been chosen for plotting Figure 11, the overtone peak is quenched and this phenomenon observed in the SoS reference is well reproduced with

FIG. 10. Absorption spectra obtained from LPI-MD on Heller's model at 300 K with $\Gamma = 0.02 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (black curve), compared with a "sum-over-states" approach (green curve). The blue vertical line indicates the incident frequency for the near resonance condition used then to obtain the resonance Raman spectrum. The black vertical line indicates the Franck-Condon transition.

the LPI approach. However, just like for the independent displaced modes, the LPI approach overestimates the scattered intensity at higher wavelengths of the incident light.

VI. HYPOCHLOROUS ACID

A. Description

Finally, we investigate a model of a simple tri-atomic molecule, the hypochlorous acid (HOCl), for which resonance Raman spectroscopy has proved to be a useful tool to study also the excited state potential energy surface^{77,78}. In fact, the system has a dissociating channel in the \tilde{X}^1A' excited state, corresponding to the formation of OH (²Π) and Cl (²P)^{77–79}. This system was previously studied theoretically by using time-dependent approaches^{66,67}, based on simple analytical

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

AIP Publishing

FIG. 11. Resonance Raman spectra for Heller's model at 300 K with respect to the scattered frequency for the near-resonance incident frequency, compared with a sum-over-states approach (green curve). They are normalized with respect to the Rayleigh peak.

Hamiltonian. In our study, we have used the model proposed by Nambu and Iwata⁶⁶ modified on the ground state bending vibration to better reproduce the known vibrational frequency⁷⁷.

The ground state potential is thus described by a Morse potential for the stretching (R) and a harmonic oscillator for the bending (θ) :

$$V^{g}(R,\theta) = D_{e} \times (1 - e^{-a(R-R_{e})})^{2} + \frac{k_{\theta}}{2}(\theta - \theta_{e})^{2}$$

$$(31)$$

The system has a dissociating channel in the excited state, which is described by a generalized anti-Morse potential^{80,81} to describe the diffuse state of the O–Cl stretching:

$$V^{ex}(R,\theta) = P_1 + (P_4 - P_1)[1 + \exp\{-P_3(R - P_2)\}]^2$$
(32a)

$$P_{i\neq 2} = \sum_{i=0}^{3} C_{ij} \theta^{2j} \tag{32b}$$

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP AIP

FIG. 12. Resonance Raman intensities of the Rayleigh peak, of the fundamental vibrational transitions and of the first overtone of the Heller model at 300 K (top: Rayleigh; middle: fundamental transition, ω ; bottom: first overtone, $2\omega_y$) as a function of the incident frequency (ω_I). Results with LPI-MD are shown in black curves and compared with the sum-over-states approach shown in green curve. The blue vertical line indicates the incident frequency for the near resonance condition corresponding to Figure 11. The black vertical line indicates the Franck-Condon transition.

Chemical Physics

The Journal of

AIP Publishing TABLE V. Parameters used to define the ground state potential of HOCl (see Eq. (31)) and kinetic energy (see Eq. (33)).

Parameter	Value
R_e	168.91 pm
$ heta_e$	102.45°
μ	11.49 Da
Ι	8524 Da.pm ²
D_e	1.92 eV
a	0.02444 pm^{-1}
$k_{ heta}$	$4.977 \mathrm{eV.rad}^{-2}$
ω_R	$738 { m ~cm^{-1}}$
$\omega_{ heta}$	1272 cm^{-1}

with P_2 is fixed at 120 pm and where *R* represents the O–Cl distance (we disregard the small offset of the OH center of mass from O atom as in the original work of Nanbu and Iwata⁶⁶) and θ the HOCl angle. The O–H distance is kept fixed in the model to the equilibrium experimental value of 0.9643 Å and thus it is not considered in the dynamics⁶⁶. All the parameters used to describe the ground and excited potential energy surfaces are reported in Table V and in the original work of Nanbu and Iwata⁶⁶.

Since the present aim is not to obtain the exact HOCl spectrum, but to test our LPI-MD approach on a realistic mode, we made two approximations to simplify the description: (i) we ignored the rotation of the molecule and considered only J = 0 states; (ii) the Herzberg-Teller and polarization effects have being neglected, assuming equal and constant transition moments along the two modes.

The Hamiltonian used in the simulations is thus:

$$H_{HOCl} = \frac{P^2}{2\mu} + \frac{J_{\theta}^2}{2I} + V(R,\theta)$$
(33)

where I is the moment of inertia associated to the angle θ . The parameters used in LPI-MD simulations are summarized in Table VI.

Parameter	Value
Timestep	0.1 fs
# Ground state trajectories	2000
# Mean state trajectories	10 000
Length of the ground state trajectories	3000 fs
Length of the mean state trajectories	150 fs
Damping factors Γ	$0.09 - 0.5 \ {\rm fs}^{-1}$
Scattered resolution	0.04 fs^{-1}

TABLE VI. Constants used for the propagation of HOCl LPI-MD simulations.

B. Absorption and damping factor

Figure 13 shows the calculated absorption spectrum for different values of the phenomenological damping factor in the 0.09–0.5 fs⁻¹ range. In the sum-over-states calculations, we have used a confinement potential to obtain excited-states vibrational eigenstates, due to the dissociative character of the potential energy surface. This results in an artificial quantization of these vibrational eigenstates while a continuum of states should be present. The corresponding absorption spectrum then shows a vibronic structure at low Γ that disappears when Γ is increased, mimicking a broadening of the energy eigenvalue similar to the expected continuum spectrum.

On the other hand, the LPI-MD simulations provide an absorption spectrum nearly independent of the damping factor (see black curves in the same Figure 13). This is due to the dissociative trajectories on the mean potential energy surface, occurring on a time-scale of about 6 fs – as observed by monitoring the distribution in R as a function of time.

Coming back to the absorption spectrum, we find that a good agreement between the sum-overstates approach and the LPI-MD method is found for $\Gamma = 0.25 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ which would correspond to a characteristic time of 4 fs, which is on the same order of magnitude of the lifetime observed from the dynamics on the mean PES. Lower values of Γ lead to an artificial vibronic structure in the sum-over-states approach, while large values of Γ tend naturally to wash away any structure in the spectrum.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

FIG. 13. Absorption spectra for HOCl model at 300 K with $\Gamma = 0.09 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (dashed curves), $\Gamma = 0.25 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (full curves), $\Gamma = 0.5 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (half-dashed curves) – black curves, compared with a "sum-over-states" approach (green curves).

C. Resonance Raman

In Figure 14 we show the RR spectrum using an incident frequency at resonant conditions at 200 nm obtained from LPI-MD simulations and compared with SoS results. The Raman crosssection, normalized with respect to the Rayleigh peak, is shown for three values of the damping factor, Γ . The overall agreement is very good in all three cases, although the overtones' intensities appear to decrease more quickly in the LPI-MD simulations than in the SoS ones, similar to what is observed in the Heller model.

Finally, we investigated the intensity of the first resonance Raman peak as a function of the incident frequency. The result is shown in Figure 15 for different damping factors. Similar to the absorption, $\Gamma = 0.25$ fs⁻¹ leads to a good agreement between LPI-MD and sum-over-states results, with only a constant scaled intensity. The agreement is still good for the larger value of Γ while for the lower value we obtain a too strong vibronic structure in the SoS calculations. As discussed

The Journal of Chemical Physics

AIP Publishing

The Journal of Chemical Physics

> AIP Publishing

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

FIG. 14. Raman spectra for HOCl model at 300 K with respect to the scattered frequency for the incident frequencies highlighted in Figure 15 with $\Gamma = 0.09 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (top), $\Gamma = 0.25 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (middle), $\Gamma = 0.5 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (bottom) – black curves, compared with a "sum-over-states" approach (green curves), scaled to have the same intensity for the Rayleigh pick.

The Journal of

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

previously, this is due to the unphysical bounding potential added in the SoS calculations.

FIG. 15. Raman cross-section as a function of the incident wavelength obtained from the HOCl model at 300 K for the Rayleigh peak for $\Gamma = 0.09 \text{ fs}^{-1}$ (dashed lines), 0.25 fs⁻¹ (full lines), 0.5 fs⁻¹ (dot-dashed lines). LPI-MD results are in black, while SoS ones are in green. The wavelength near-resonance region used for scattered resonance Raman spectra is marked in grey.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose a new method to obtain resonance Raman spectra based on pathintegral linearization and amenable to molecular dynamics simulations. The full derivation leads to a relatively simple algorithm which in principle can be applied to atomistic simulations. The basis of our method is the time-dependent reformulation of the Kramers-Heisenberg-Dirac formula of Raman scattering as given by Heller and co-workers some years ago,^{16–18}. While the spirit is similar, using a time-dependent expression for the Raman scattering instead of a sum of states the final formulation is different. In the Heller formulation then the dynamics is described (and done) in terms of wave-packet nuclear dynamics. In one approximate formulation, a semi-classical

Accepted to J. Chem. Phys. 10.1063/5.0143862

approach is used¹⁸ by means of "frozen Gaussian approximation" (FGA), and explicit equations are obtained for fundamental and overtone based on the overlap between the time-evolving wavefunction and the final wave-function (these bands are calculated separately using a specific equation for each band). This needs an approximate quantum dynamics on the excited state and a quantization of the nuclei dynamics on the ground state. For the overtone intensities the second derivative of the potential is needed as well as for initial conditions in FGA approach (while in the general wave-packet propagation the second derivatives must be calculated at each step). One important aspect of the FGA method is that an accurate representation of the wave-function as a linear superposition of Gaussians at t = 0 is needed, thus involving an expansion which is analytical only in the case of a harmonic ground state potential. In our approach, we use as starting point the works of Heller and co-workers and then, by means of two path-integral linearizations, we end up to a formulation in which the initial conditions are obtained via a path-integral Monte Carlo procedure and the propagation of each trajectory is classical on the ground state surface and on the average surface. Then Raman scattering signals are obtained by Fourier transforms similar to Heller but without the need of calculating explicitly the overlaps. We should also note that in our method we do not have to calculate any second derivative.

The central quantity of our method, which is probably the most important difference with respect to the semi-classical method developed by Heller and co-workers, is the time evolution of the ground-to-excited state energy difference during dynamics on the mean potential energy surface from which the full spectrum (fundamental, overtone and combination bands) is obtained directly. Such dynamics is typical of linearization techniques,^{63,64} resulting in a dynamics on a mean potential which recalls the Ehrenfest non-adiabatic approximation. However, in its standard form, Ehrenfest dynamics consists in propagating the quantum degree of freedom, here the electrons, using the time-dependent Hamiltonian generated by the dynamics of the classical degree of freedom, here the nuclei. Here, the electronic state is not propagated resulting in an average potential felt by the nuclei that has a constant weight, 0.5, on each eigenstate of the time-dependent Hamiltonian. As mentioned previously, this is closer to mixed quantum-classical Liouville equations. This evolution on the mean potential energy surface is used to compute a time-dependent polarizability whose auto-correlation function leads to the RR signal. This extends upon previous work based on Placzek type polarizability and includes vibronic effects and enhancement of overtones and combination bands intensities in the RR spectrum. In the present work we have tested the method on three models: harmonic and anharmonic potentials plus a model of HOCl with a dissociating

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

excited state. The comparison with sum-over-states results show that the method globally catch the most important features. For independent mode displaced harmonic oscillators, the model is by construction nearly exact and this is shown by comparison to SoS results.

With the advent of efficient methods to compute excited states energies and gradients using density functional theory⁴⁶ it is possible to envision the simulation of RR spectra beyond the short-time Placzek approximation for realistic systems. Also, to this aim, one could make use of recent developments, based on quantum thermal bath^{82–86}, to generate dynamics that preserve the Wigner distribution thus allowing to perform one single long simulation instead of sampling initial conditions while retaining the quantum description of vibrational modes.⁸⁷ However, subsequent molecular dynamics simulations seem to overestimate the population of hot vibrational states of the ground electronic state, thus overestimating the peaks at incident photon energies lower than the electronic transition.

Notably, the present method scales as any molecular dynamics method with respect to the system size. The only parameters that must converge are the trajectory lengths (which is probably independent on the size of the system) and the number of trajectories. Clearly the choice of initial conditions is important and for larger systems one can use approximated methods to sample the Wigner distribution like the quantum thermal bath as shown, for example, by Basire et al.⁸⁸

Concluding, this work gives the basis to proceed further and be able to get accurate resonance Raman spectra (with fundamentals, overtones and combination bands) for real systems, since the present approach can be extended to molecular dynamics simulations in a relatively simple way. Our research is going in this direction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Alberto Mezzetti for useful discussions in particular in raising our interest for resonance Raman in biophysical chemistry. We are also grateful to Dr. Sara Bonella for her invaluable insight on the linearization approach for the computation of quantum time-correlation functions.

Appendix A: Linearization of the polarizability operator

Here we show how we can apply the linearization path integral (LPI) procedure to the Wigner transform of polarizability operator of Eq. 19. In particular we can re-write the second term in

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

The Journal of Chemical Physics

> AIP Publishing

Eq. 19 as:

 $\left\langle x - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left| \widehat{M}_{s} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{b}} \widehat{M}_{I} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x + \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{P+1}^{+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{0}^{+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{0}^{-} \\ \times \left\langle x - \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left| \widehat{M}_{s} \right| x_{P+1}^{+} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{b}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle \right\rangle$ $\left. \times \left\langle x_{0}^{+} \left| \widehat{M}_{I} \right| x_{0}^{-} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle \right\rangle$ (A1)

where we can identify the term x_{P+1}^- with $x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}$. We can now re-write using the path-integral formalism where $e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}t\hat{H}} = \left(e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\varepsilon\hat{H}}\right)$, with $\varepsilon = \frac{t}{P+1}$, such that the two terms in Eq. A1 which contain the propagators on surfaces *a* and *b* are:

$$\left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H}_{b}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle = \int \cdots \int dx_{1}^{+} \cdots dx_{P}^{+} \int \cdots \int dx_{1}^{-} \cdots dx_{P}^{-} \\ \times \left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}\varepsilon\widehat{H}_{b}} \right| x_{P}^{+} \right\rangle \cdots \left\langle x_{1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}\varepsilon\widehat{H}_{b}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle$$

$$\times \left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\varepsilon\widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{1}^{-} \right\rangle \cdots \left\langle x_{P}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}\varepsilon\widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle$$

$$(A2)$$

We can now apply the Trotter's theorem, such that

$$\left\langle x_{k+1}^{+} \middle| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{H_{b}}} \middle| x_{k}^{+} \right\rangle = \left\langle x_{k+1}^{+} \middle| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{V_{b}}} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{P_{2m}}^{2}} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{V_{b}}} \middle| x_{k}^{+} \right\rangle$$

$$= e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k+1}^{+})} \left\langle x_{k+1}^{+} \middle| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{P_{2m}}^{2}} \middle| x_{k}^{+} \right\rangle e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k}^{+})}$$

$$= e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k+1}^{+})} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_{k+1}^{+} \left\langle x_{k+1}^{+} \middle| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{P_{2m}}^{2}} \middle| p_{k+1}^{+} \right\rangle \left\langle p_{k+1}^{+} \middle| x_{k}^{+} \right\rangle e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k}^{+})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_{k+1}^{+} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} p_{k+1}^{+}(x_{k+1}^{+} - x_{k}^{+})} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{(p_{k+1}^{+})^{2}}{2m}} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k+1}^{+})} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{b}(x_{k}^{+})}$$
(A3)

and similarly on surface a

$$\left\langle x_{k}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{k+1}^{-} \right\rangle = \left(\left\langle x_{k+1}^{-} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{k}^{-} \right\rangle \right)^{*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_{k+1}^{-} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} p_{k+1}^{-} \left(x_{k+1}^{-} - x_{k}^{-} \right)} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\left(p_{k+1}^{-} \right)^{2}}{2m}} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{a}(x_{k+1}^{-})} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} V_{a}(x_{k}^{-})}$$
(A4)

Note that for *n* dimensional coordinates the prefactor is then to be put to the power *n*. We thus get:

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

The Journal of Chemical Physics

AIP AIP

 $\left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H_{a}}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H_{b}}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2(P+1)}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{1}^{+} \dots dx_{P}^{+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{1}^{-} \dots dx_{P}^{-} \right. \\ \left. \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_{1}^{+} \dots dp_{P}^{+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_{1}^{-} \dots dp_{P}^{-} \right. \\ \left. \exp\left[\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{k=0}^{P} \left(p_{k+1}^{+} (x_{k+1}^{+} - x_{k}^{+}) - p_{k+1}^{-} (x_{k+1}^{-} - x_{k}^{-}) \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} \left(\frac{(p_{k+1}^{+})^{2}}{2m} - \frac{(p_{k+1}^{-})^{2}}{2m} \right) \right] \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{P} \left(V_{a}(x_{k}^{+}) - V_{b}(x_{k}^{-}) \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left(V_{a}(x_{k}^{+}) - V_{b}(x_{k}^{-}) \right) \right] \right]$ (A5)

In view of performing linearization with respect to the difference between backward and forward paths, we first make a change of variables:

$$\overline{y} = \frac{y^+ + y^-}{2}$$
; $\Delta y = y^+ - y^-$ (det $(J_F) = -1$), (A6)

where $y \equiv x$ or p. We then have the following relations

$$(p_{k+1}^+)^2 - (p_{k+1}^-)^2 = 2\overline{p_{k+1}}\Delta p_{k+1}$$
(A7a)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{P} \left(p_{k+1}^{+} (x_{k+1}^{+} - x_{k}^{+}) - p_{k+1}^{-} (x_{k+1}^{-} - x_{k}^{-}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{P} \Delta p_{k+1} \left(\overline{x_{k+1}} - \overline{x_{k}} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{P} \Delta x_{k} \left(\overline{p_{k+1}} - \overline{p_{k}} \right)$$

$$- \overline{p_{1}} \Delta x_{0} + \overline{p_{P+1}} \Delta x_{P+1}.$$
(A7b)

Thus, we can re-write Eq. (A5) as:

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

$$\left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H_{a}}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H_{b}}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2(P+1)}}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\overline{x_{1}} \dots d\overline{x_{P}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\overline{p_{1}} \dots d\overline{p_{P+1}} \exp\left[\frac{j}{\hbar} (\overline{p_{P+1}} \Delta x_{P+1} - \overline{p_{1}} \Delta x_{0})\right]$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta x_{1} \dots d\Delta x_{P}$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \Delta x_{k} (\overline{p_{k+1}} - \overline{p_{k}})\right] \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} V_{b} \left(\overline{x_{k}} + \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right) - V_{a} \left(\overline{x_{k}} - \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta p_{1} \dots d\Delta p_{P+1} \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} \frac{\overline{p_{k+1}} \Delta p_{k+1}}{m}\right] \exp\left[\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{k=0}^{P} \Delta p_{k+1} (\overline{x_{k+1}} - \overline{x_{k}})\right]$$

$$(A8)$$

Integrating over the variables Δp_k , we obtain Dirac distributions such that the propagation of the mean positions is driven by the momentum in the mean path:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta p_{1} \dots d\Delta p_{P+1} \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} \overline{\frac{p_{k+1}}{m}} \Delta p_{k+1}\right] \\ \times \exp\left[\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{k=0}^{P} \Delta p_{k+1} \left(\overline{x_{k+1}} - \overline{x_{k}}\right)\right]$$

$$= (2\pi\hbar)^{P} \prod_{k=0}^{P} \delta\left(\varepsilon \frac{\overline{p_{k+1}}}{m} - \overline{x_{k+1}} + \overline{x_{k}}\right)$$
(A9)

To obtain the evolution of the momentum in the mean path from a force, we now apply linearization by assuming that δx_k is small:

$$V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}} + \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right) - V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}} - \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right)$$

$$\approx V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right) - V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right) + \Delta x_{k}\nabla V_{m}(\overline{x_{k}})$$
(A10a)

where we intruduce the mean potential energy surface V_m :

$$V_m = \frac{V_a + V_a}{2} \tag{A10b}$$

By integrating over Δx_k in this linearized expression, we again obtain a series of Dirac distri-

butions:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Delta x_{1} \dots d\Delta x_{P+1} \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \Delta x_{k} \left(\overline{p_{k+1}} - \overline{p_{k}}\right)\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} V_{b} \left(\overline{x_{k}} + \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right) - V_{a} \left(\overline{x_{k}} - \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$= (2\pi\hbar)^{P} \prod_{k=1}^{P} \delta \left(\overline{p_{k+1}} - \overline{p_{k}} + \varepsilon \nabla V_{m}(\overline{x_{k}})\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{j}{\hbar} \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{P} V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right) - V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right)\right]$$
(A11)

Now Eq. (A5) can be written in a compact form

$$\left\langle x_{P+1}^{+} \left| e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H}_{b}} \right| x_{0}^{+} \right\rangle \left\langle x_{0}^{-} \left| e^{\frac{j}{\hbar}t\widehat{H}_{a}} \right| x_{P+1}^{-} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ d\overline{p_{1}}\delta\left(\varepsilon\frac{\overline{p_{P+1}}}{m} - \overline{x_{P+1}} + \overline{x_{P}}\right) d\overline{p_{P+1}}\delta\left(\varepsilon\frac{\overline{p_{1}}}{m} - \overline{x_{1}} + \overline{x_{0}}\right) \right\} \\ \times \exp\left[\frac{j}{\hbar}\left(\overline{p_{P+1}}\Delta x_{P+1} - \overline{p_{1}}\Delta x_{0}\right)\right]$$
(A12)
$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{j}{2\hbar}\varepsilon\sum_{k=0}^{P} \begin{pmatrix} V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right) - V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k}}\right) \\ +V_{b}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right) - V_{a}\left(\overline{x_{k+1}}\right) \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

where the trajectory on a mean surface $V_m = \frac{V_a + V_b}{2}$ can start either from $(\overline{x_0}, \overline{p_1})$ or from $(\overline{x_{P+1}}, \overline{p_{P+1}})$ but backward in time.

Appendix B: Generalization to N excited states

Here we report the equations to calculate Resonance Raman and absorption spectra for a general system composed by N excited states that in the manuscript are reported only for one excited state b.

Wigner transform of the polarizability operator and its adjoint:

$$\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I})\right)_{W}[x,p] = \frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{b} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{j\omega_{I}\tau} M_{s}(x) M_{I}(x_{-\tau}) \, \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{b-a}(x,p) \tag{B1}$$

$$\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)_{W}[x,p] = -\frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{b} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{-j\omega_{I}\tau} M_{s}(x) M_{I}\left(x_{-\tau}\right) \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{b-a}\left(x,p\right)$$

$$= \left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I})\right)_{W}^{\star}[x,p]$$
(B2)

The Journal of Chemical Physics This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP Publishing

Resonance Raman signal intensity:

$$I_{Raman}(\omega_{s}) = K \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\beta\omega}} \omega_{I} \omega_{s}^{3} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \, e^{-j\omega_{s}t} \iint dx_{0} \, dp_{0} \, \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{W}\left[x_{0}, p_{0}\right]}{2\pi\hbar} \\ \times \sum_{b} M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\tau \, e^{-\Gamma\tau} e^{-j\omega_{I}\tau} \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{a-b}(x_{0}, p_{0}) \\ \times \sum_{b} M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\tau' \, e^{-\Gamma\tau'} e^{j\omega_{I}\tau'} \varphi_{\tau,bwd}^{b-a}(x_{\tau'}, p_{\tau'})$$
(B3)

The absorption spectrum is obtained from the polarizability operator

$$\left\langle \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\omega_{I}) \right\rangle = \frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{b} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-\Gamma t} e^{j\omega_{I}t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, \left\langle x \middle| \widehat{\rho} \widehat{M_{s}} e^{-\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H_{b}}} \widehat{M_{I}} e^{\frac{j}{\hbar} t \widehat{H_{a}}} \middle| x \right\rangle \tag{B4}$$

which after LPI reads in general as:

$$\left\langle \widehat{\mathscr{P}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{I}) \right\rangle = \frac{j}{\hbar} \sum_{b} M_{s} M_{I} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-\Gamma t} e^{j \boldsymbol{\omega}_{I} t} \iint \mathrm{d}x_{0} \, \mathrm{d}p_{0} \\ \times \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{W} \left[x_{0}, p_{0} \right]}{2\pi \hbar} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{t, bwd}^{b-a} (x_{0}, p_{0})$$
(B5)

Appendix C: Wigner transform of a product and symmetrized correlation function

It has been shown by Imre et al.⁸⁹ that, being $\Lambda = \overleftarrow{\nabla p} \overrightarrow{\nabla r} - \overleftarrow{\nabla r} \overrightarrow{\nabla p}$, the Wigner transform of a product of operators \widehat{A} and \widehat{B} can be expanded as

$$\left(\widehat{A}\widehat{B} \right)_{W} = A_{W}[r,p]e^{\frac{\hbar\Lambda}{2j}}B_{W}[r,p]$$

$$= A_{W}[r,p]B_{W}[r,p] + A_{W}[r,p]\frac{\hbar}{2j}\Lambda B_{W}[r,p], + \mathscr{O}(\hbar^{2})$$
(C1)

where in the expansion we left out terms in \hbar^2 . By using symmetrized correlation functions, we will cancel the term proportional to \hbar . Indeed, for a symmetrized correlation function we have

$$C_{s}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A}\widehat{B}(t) + \widehat{\rho}\widehat{B}(t)\widehat{A}\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A}\widehat{B}(t)\right] + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{\rho}\widehat{B}(t)\widehat{A}\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A}\widehat{B}(t)\right] + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}\widehat{B}(t)\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A} + \widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}\right)\widehat{B}(t)\right].$$
(C2)

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP Publishing

AIP Publishing By making use of the expression of the trace and employing Wigner transforms, we obtain

$$C_{s}(t) = \iint dxdp \left(\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A} + \widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}\right)_{W}[r,p]\widehat{B}(t)_{W}[r,p]$$
(C3)

and $\left(\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A} + \widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}\right)_{W}[r,p]$ can be expanded as

$$\left(\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A} + \widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}\right)_{W}[r, p] = \rho_{W}[r, p]A_{W}[r, p] + \mathscr{O}(\hbar^{2}), \tag{C4}$$

using the fact that $A_W[r,p]\frac{\hbar}{2j}\Lambda B_W[r,p] = -B_W[r,p]\frac{\hbar}{2j}\Lambda A_W[r,p].$

One has to make the average:

$$C_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \iint dxdp \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}\widehat{A} + \widehat{A}\widehat{\rho}}{2}\right)_W [x, p] \left(\widehat{B}(t)\right)_W [x, p]$$
(C5)

and finally the Fourier transforms of the symmetrized and the standard correlation functions are related by^{63,90}

$$I(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}} I_r(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \tag{C6}$$

which in our case ensures the correct ratio between Stokes and anti-Stokes intensities.

We should note that by calculating the product of the Wigner transforms instead of the Wigner transform of the product is actually a better approximation of the symmetrized correlation function (here up to second order in \hbar) than of the initially desired normal one (at first order in \hbar). It could also be noted that these two functions have the same symmetry under time reversal.

REFERENCES

¹P. R. Carey, Q. Rev. Biophy. **11**, 309 (1978).

²S. A. Asher, Anal. Chem. **65**, 201A (1993).

³T. Chivers and P. J. W. Elder, Chem. Soc. Rev. **42**, 5996 (2013).

⁴T. Chivers and I. Drummond, Inorg. Chem. **11**, 2525 (1972).

⁵B. Ledé, A. Demortier, N. Gobeltz-Hautecœur, J.-P. Lelieur, E. Picquenard, and C. Duhayon, J. Raman Spectr. **38**, 1461 (2007).

⁶G. S. Pokrovski and L. S. Dubrovinsky, Science **331**, 1052 (2011).

⁷N. Jacquemet, D. Guillaume, A. Zwick, and G. S. Pokrovski, American Mineralogist **99**, 1109 (2014).

⁸G. S. Pokrovski and J. Dubessy, Earth Plan. Sci. Lett. **411**, 298 (2015).

The Journal of Chemical Physics This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

AIP Publishing ⁹A. Campion and P. Kambhampati, Chem. Soc. Rev. **27**, 241 (1998).

¹¹H. A. Kramers and W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **31**, 681 (1925).

¹²P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. London **114**, 710 (1927).

- ¹³B. Mennucci, C. Cappelli, R. Cammi, and J. Tomasi, Theor. Chem. Acc. **117**, 1029 (2007).
- ¹⁴F. Egidi, J. Bloino, C. Cappelli, and V. Barone, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. **10**, 346 (2014).
- ¹⁵A. Baiardi, J. Bloino, and V. Barone, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. 14, 6370 (2018).
- ¹⁶S.-Y. Lee and E. J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. **71**, 4777 (1979).
- ¹⁷D. J. Tannor and E. J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. **77**, 202 (1982).
- ¹⁸E. J. Heller, R. L. Sundberg, and D. Tannor, J. Phys. Chem. **86**, 1822 (1982).
- ¹⁹M. Ben-Nun and T. J. Martinez, J. Phys. Chem. A **103**, 10517 (1999).
- ²⁰M. Ovchinnikov, V. A. Apkarian, and G. A. Voth, J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 7130 (2001).
- ²¹A. V. Ruban, P. Horton, and B. Robert, Biochemistry **34**, 2333 (1995).
- ²²E. Kish, M. M. Mendes Pinto, D. Kirilovsky, R. Spezia, and B. Robert, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenergetics **1847**, 1044 (2015).
- ²³M. Macernis, D. Galzerano, J. Sulskus, E. Kish, Y.-H. Kim, S. Koo, L. Valkunas, and B. Robert, J. Phys. Chem. A **119**, 56 (2015).
- ²⁴M. M. Mendes-Pinto, E. Sansiaume, H. Hashimoto, A. A. Pascal, A. Gall, and B. Robert, J. Phys. Chem. B 117, 11015 (2013).
- ²⁵M. M. Mendes-Pinto, D. Galzerano, A. Telfer, A. A. Pascal, B. Robert, and C. Ilioaia, J. Biol. Chem. 288, 18758 (2013).
- ²⁶M. Lutz, W. Szponarski, G. Berger, B. Robert, and J.-M. Neumann, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg. 894, 423 (1987).
- ²⁷Y. Koyama, T. Takii, K. Saiki, and K. Tsukida, Photobiochem. Photobiophys. 5, 139 (1983).
- ²⁸E. Kish, M. M. Mendes Pinto, D. Bovi, M. Basire, L. Guidoni, R. Vuilleumier, B. Robert, R. Spezia, and A. Mezzetti, J. Phys. Chem. B 118, 5873 (2014).
- ²⁹C. Curutchet and B. Mennucci, Chem. Rev. **117**, 294 (2017).
- ³⁰D. R. Galimberti, S. Bougueroua, J. Mahé, M. Tommasini, A. M. Rijs, and M.-P. Gaigeot, Faraday Discuss. **217**, 67 (2019).
- ³¹S. Jähnigen, A. Scherrer, R. Vuilleumier, and D. Sebastiani, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **57**, 13344 (2018).
- ³²M.-P. Gaigeot and R. Spezia, Top. Curr. Chem. **364**, 99 (2015).

¹⁰A. C. Albrecht, J. Chem. Phys. **34**, 1476 (1961).

Chemical Physics he Journal of

AIP Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

- ³³S. Kaminski, M. Gaus, P. Phatak, D. von Stetten, M. Elstner, and M. A. Mroginski, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. 6, 1240 (2010).
- ³⁴A. Scherrer, R. Vuilleumier, and D. Sebastiani, J. Chem. Phys. **145**, 084101 (2016).
- ³⁵A. Morita and J. T. Hynes, J. Phys. Chem. B **106**, 673 (2002).
- ³⁶M. Sulpizi, M. Salanne, M. Sprik, and M.-P. Gaigeot, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **4**, 83 (2013).
- ³⁷S. S. Valleau, A. Eisfeld, and A. A. Aspuru-Guzik, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 224103 (2012).
- ³⁸M. Bondanza, L. Cupellini, and F. L. B. Mennucci, Chem. **6**, 187–203 (2020).
- ³⁹V. Macaluso, L. Cupellini, G. Salvadori, F. Lipparini, and B. Mennucci, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22, 8585 (2020).
- ⁴⁰J. B. Page and D. L. Tonks, J. Chem. Phys. **75**, 5694 (1981).
- ⁴¹R. Scholz, L. Gisslen, B.-E. Schuster, M. B. Casu, T. Chassé, U. Heinemever, and F. Schreiber, J. Chem. Phys. 134, 014504 (2011).
- ⁴²M. Walter and M. Moseler, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. **16**, 576 (2020).
- ⁴³S. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 723 (1983).
- ⁴⁴L. Jensen, L. L. Zhao, J. Autschbach, and G. C. Schatz, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 174110 (2005).
- ⁴⁵K. A. Kane and L. Jensen, J. Phys. Chem. C **114**, 5540 (2010).
- ⁴⁶J. Mattiat and S. Luber, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. **17**, 344 (2021).
- ⁴⁷M. Brehm and M. Thomas, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. **15**, 3901 (2020).
- ⁴⁸S. Luber, M. Iannuzzi, and J. Hutter, J. Chem. Phys. **141**, 094503 (2014).
- ⁴⁹S.-Y. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 723 (1983).
- ⁵⁰M. Thomas, F. Latorre, and P. Marquetand, J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 044101 (2013).
- ⁵¹X. Sun and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 6635 (1999).
- ⁵²J. A. Poulsen, G. Nyman, and P. J. Rossky, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 12179 (2003).
- ⁵³O. Shi and E. Geva, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 9070 (2003).
- ⁵⁴O. Shi and E. Geva, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 9059 (2003).
- ⁵⁵O. Shi and E. Geva, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 8173 (2003).
- ⁵⁶J. Beutier, D. Borgis, R. Vuilleumier, and S. Bonella, J. Chem. Phys. **141**, 084102 (2014).
- ⁵⁷J. Liu and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 224104 (2006).
- ⁵⁸J. Liu and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 114506 (2007).
- ⁵⁹J. Liu and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 144511 (2008).
- ⁶⁰J. Liu and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **134**, 104102 (2011).
- ⁶¹J. Liu, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **115**, 657 (2015).

42

The Journal of Chemical Physics This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

AIP Publishing

⁶²X. Sun, H. Wang, and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 7064 (1998).

⁶³S. Bonella and D. F. Coker, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 194102 (2005).

⁶⁴S. Bonella, D. Montemayor, and D. F. Coker, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **102**, 6715 (2005).

⁶⁵S. Bonella, G. Ciccotti, and R. Kapral, Chem. Phys. Lett. **484**, 399 (2010).

⁶⁶S. Nambu and S. Iwata, J. Phys. Chem. **96**, 2103 (1992).

⁶⁷A. R. Offer and G. G. Balint-Kurti, J. Chem. Phys. **105**, 8615 (1996).

⁶⁸N. Makri and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **116**, 9207 (2002).

⁶⁹J. Liu and W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 234110 (2007).

⁷⁰A. Bose and N. Makri, J. Chem. Inf. Model. **59**, 2165 (2019).

⁷¹R. Kapral and G. Ciccotti, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 8919 (1999).

⁷²S. Nielsen, R. Kapral, and G. Ciccotti, J. Stat. Phys. **101**, 225 (2000).

⁷³M. Sprik, M. L. Klein, and D. Chandler, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 4234 (1985).

⁷⁴J. V. Lill, G. A. Parker, and J. C. Light, Chem. Phys. Lett. **89**, 483 (1982).

⁷⁵G. H. Golub and J. H. Welsch, Mathematics of Computation **23**, 221 (1969).

⁷⁶B. I. Schneider and N. Nygaard, J. Phys. Chem. A **106**, 10773 (2002).

⁷⁷L. Molina and M. Molina, J. Phys. Chem. **82**, 2410 (1978).

⁷⁸C. Hickman, N. Shaw, M. Crawford, A. Bell, and J. Frey, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **89**, 1623 (1993).

⁷⁹S. Nambu, K. Nakata, and S. Iwata, Chem. Phys. **135**, 75 (1989).

⁸⁰S. Sato, J. Chem. Phys. **23**, 2465 (1955).

⁸¹S. Sato, J. Chem. Phys. **23**, 592 (1955).

⁸²H. Dammak, Y. Chalopin, M. Laroche, M. Hayoun, and J.-J. Greffet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 190601 (2009).

⁸³J.-L. Barrat and D. Rodney, J. Stat. Phys. **144**, 679 (2011).

⁸⁴F. Brieuc, H. Dammak, and M. Hayoun, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. **12**, 1351 (2016).

⁸⁵E. Mangaud, S. Huppert, T. Plé, P. Depondt, S. Bonella, and F. Finocchi, J. Chem. Theory. Comp. 15, 2863 (2019).

⁸⁶N. Mauger, T. Plé, L. Lagardère, S. Bonella, E. Mangaud, J.-P. Piquemal, and S. Huppert, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **12**, 8285 (2021).

⁸⁷T. Plé, S. Huppert, F. Finocchi, P. Depondt, and S. Bonella, J. Chem. Phys. **151**, 114114 (2019).
⁸⁸M. Basire, D. Borgis, and R. Vuilleumier, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **15**, 12591 (2013).
⁸⁹K. Imret and E. Özizmir, J. Math. Phys. **8**, 1097 (1967).

AIP Publishing

The Journal of Chemical Physics

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0143862

Accepted to J. Chem. Phys. 10.1063/5.0143862

⁹⁰R. Ramírez, T. López-Ciudad, P. Kumar P, and D. Marx, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 3973 (2004).