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#### Abstract

: Two series of NSAIDs-EBS derivatives ( $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- j}$ and $\mathbf{9 a - i}$ ) based on the hybridization of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) skeleton and Ebselen moiety were synthesized. Their cytotoxicity was evaluated against five types of human cancer cell lines, BGC-823 (human gastric cancer cell line), SW480 (human colon adenocarcinoma cells), MCF-7 (human breast adenocarcinoma cells), HeLa (human cervical cancer cells), A549 (human lung carcinoma cells). Moreover, the most active compound $\mathbf{5 j}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in all cancer cell lines and with remarkable anticancer activity against MCF-7 $(1.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{HeLa}(1.7 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The redox properties of the NSAIDs-EBS derivatives prepared herein were conducted by 2, 2-didiphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), bleomycin dependent DNA damage and glutathione peroxidase (GPx)-like assays. Finally, TrxR1 inhibition activity assay and molecular docking study revealed NSAIDs-EBS derivatives could serve as potential TrxR1 inhibitor.
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## Introduction

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are a class of medications commonly used to treat symptoms of inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, and are routinely been used across the world [1, 2]. Moreover, numerous evidence from epidemiological and preclinical studies have shown that NSAIDs used in combination with different therapies, such as chemotherapy and immunotherapy or even radiation, represented an attractive strategy to increase anticancer efficacy and reduce toxicity [3-6]. Based on the fact that NSAIDs display their anticancer activities, the chemical modifications of their structures have demonstrated stronger cytotoxicity and chemo-preventive than corresponding NSAID alone [7, 8]. NSAIDs framework modification has become a structure-based medicinal chemistry strategy to design novel anticancer agents in the past decades [912].

Selenium is an essential trace mineral nutrient with multiple roles in the growth and function of living animal cells, and it effectively inhibits tumorigenesis in both animal models and epidemiological studies. Twenty-five selenoproteins in the human body exert specific biological functions. Selenium compounds have attracted huge interest in the past decades as chemotherapeutic and chemo-preventive agents. Several epidemiological studies have reported an inverse association between the nutritional selenium status and cancer risk. Specifically, selenazo compounds have received great attention owing to their chemical properties, pharmaceutical applications, and low toxicity [13-15]. Ebselen (EBS, Fig 1) is the most potential compound in cancer prevention, some EBS-related compounds have been reported to exhibit anticancer activity (Fig 1) [16-21].

In previous study, we have reported the synthesis of a series of novel NSAIDsSelenium derivatives and screened their anticancer activity by in vitro study, the modification of NSAIDs scaffolds with Se functionalities (-SeCN, -Se-Se-, $-\mathrm{SeCF}_{3}$ ) demonstrated potent inhibition of human tumor cell [22-25]. Because the pharmacological effects of Ebselen, including antioxidant and anticancer activities, twenty new NSAIDs-EBS derivatives were designed by the fusion of NSAIDs
fragment and Ebselen in a new molecule [Fig 2]. The hybrid compounds were evaluated for anticancer activities in vitro. Furthermore, the antioxidant potential of the compounds was investigated by employing DPPH, bleomycin-dependent DNA damage and GPx-like assays. Finally, Thioredoxin Reductase (TrxR1) inhibition activity assay and molecular docking study (TrxR1 as docking protein) were performed, in order to predict the target and anticancer activity of the prepared NSAIDs-EBS hybrid compounds.
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Fig. 2. NSAIDs-EBS derivatives

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1 Chemistry

The synthesis strategies of compounds $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- j}$ are outlined in Scheme 1. First, 4-aminobenzylamine reacted with di-tert-butyl dicarbonate to give intermediate 1. The reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with o-iodobenzoyl chloride in the present of TEM provided compound 2. The reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with KSeCN and following deprotection produced the EBS intermediate 4. Finally, the target products 5a-j were obtained by reacting compound 4 with commercially available NSAIDs in the present of EDCI and HOBt as condensation agent (Scheme 1).

The synthesis of target compounds 9a-i is shown in Scheme 2. The reaction of o-iodobenzoyl chloride with tert-butyl (2-aminoethyl) carbamate afforded the intermediate 6. Compound 6 was reacted with KSeCN and following deprotection produced the EBS intermediate 8. Finally, the target products $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i}$ were obtained by reacting compound $\mathbf{8}$ with commercially available NSAIDs in the present of EDCI and HOBt as condensation agent (Scheme 2).

The purity of all final compounds was $95 \%$ or higher and their chemical structures were characterized using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and HRMS (ESI).
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Scheme 2. i) N -Boc-ethylenediamine, TEA, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KSeCN}$, 1,10-phenanthroline, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; iii) TFA, DCM, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; iv) NSAIDs, EDCI, HOBT, TEA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2. Cytotoxicity

MTT (3-(4,5- dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide ) assay was conducted to evaluate the potential antiproliferative activities against human tumor cell lines derived from various human cancer types: BGC-823 (human gastric cancer cell line), SW480 (human colon adenocarcinoma cells), MCF-7 (human breast
adenocarcinoma cells), HeLa (human cervical cancer cells), A549 (human lung carcinoma cells) of target compounds $\mathbf{5 a}$-j and $\mathbf{9 a - i}$, doxorubicin was selected as reference standard (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, most of the NSAIDs-EBS derivatives exhibited good antiproliferative activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values at micromolar level, while the selected patent NSAIDs (Aspirin, Ibuprofen and Naproxen) and Ebselen are inactive against all cells even in the maximum dose of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained for the NSAIDs-EBS derivatives showed that the fusion of NSAIDs scaffold and ebselen moiety in a new molecule result in the significant effect on cancer cell line.

The results in table 1 showed that the cytotoxic activity of NSAIDs-EBS derivatives containing a phenyl moiety between two nitrogen atom (5a-i) is better than corresponding NSAIDs-EBS derivatives containing ethyl moiety (9a-i), considering the lipophilicity and electron withdrawing effect.

Furthermore, the cytotoxic activity of compounds $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{5 d}, \mathbf{5 e}, \mathbf{5 g}, \mathbf{5 h}, \mathbf{5 i}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ displayed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against BGC-823 cells. The most active compounds of these two series are $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$. These two compounds show $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in all of tested cancer cell lines. Compound $\mathbf{5 j}$ emerges the most potent agent with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in all cancer cell lines and with remarkable anticancer activity against MCF-7 $(1.5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{HeLa}(1.7 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

| Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BGC-823 | SW-480 | MCF-7 | HeLa | A549 |
| Aspirin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | >50 | >50 | >50 | >50 | >50 |
| Ibuprofen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| Naproxen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | >50 | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| Ebselen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | >50 | $>50$ | $>50$ | >50 | >50 |
| 5a | $7.5 \pm 0.7$ | $10.4 \pm 1.1$ | $12.4 \pm 1.2$ | $9.3 \pm 0.8$ | $8.1 \pm 0.8$ |
| 5b | $6.5 \pm 0.4$ | $8.3 \pm 0.8$ | $11.3 \pm 1.1$ | $9.5 \pm 0.9$ | $12.3 \pm 1.2$ |
| 5c | $3.4 \pm 0.2$ | $4.5 \pm 0.4$ | $4.2 \pm 0.3$ | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| 5d | $11.2 \pm 1.2$ | $12.5 \pm 1.2$ | $8.4 \pm 0.8$ | $9.2 \pm 0.9$ | $13.2 \pm 1.3$ |
| 5e | $9.5 \pm 0.9$ | $9.8 \pm 0.9$ | $7.4 \pm 0.7$ | $8.4 \pm 0.8$ | $8.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| 5 f | $13.5 \pm 1.3$ | $7.4 \pm 0.7$ | $13.8 \pm 1.3$ | $9.7 \pm 0.9$ | $11.4 \pm 1.1$ |
| 5g | $8.4 \pm 0.8$ | $13.7 \pm 1.2$ | $14.2 \pm 1.1$ | $7.5 \pm 1.3$ | $12.7 \pm 1.2$ |
| 5h | $9.2 \pm 0.9$ | $7.5 \pm 0.7$ | $11.3 \pm 1.1$ | $14.3 \pm 1.4$ | $10.3 \pm 1.0$ |
| 5 i | $9.8 \pm 1.5$ | $12.3 \pm 1.2$ | $8.8 \pm 0.7$ | $12.6 \pm 1.3$ | $9.8 \pm 0.9$ |
| 5j | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| 9 a | $12.5 \pm 1.2$ | $11.4 \pm 1.1$ | $15.7 \pm 1.5$ | $10.6 \pm 1.1$ | $9.4 \pm 0.8$ |
| 9b | $9.5 \pm 0.6$ | $11.3 \pm 1.1$ | $14.9 \pm 1.4$ | $10.4 \pm 1.0$ | $13.6 \pm 1.3$ |
| 9c | $6.5 \pm 0.7$ | $7.2 \pm 0.7$ | $5.3 \pm 0.5$ | $8.8 \pm 0.8$ | $5.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| 9d | $13.6 \pm 1.4$ | $14.6 \pm 1.5$ | $10.3 \pm 1.0$ | $11.3 \pm 1.1$ | $15.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| 9 e | $11.4 \pm 1.1$ | $14.2 \pm 1.4$ | $14.5 \pm 1.4$ | $12.7 \pm 1.2$ | $10.6 \pm 1.0$ |
| 9 f | $16.6 \pm 1.6$ | $10.4 \pm 1.0$ | $14.4 \pm 1.4$ | $11.3 \pm 1.1$ | $13.3 \pm 1.3$ |
| 9g | $13.5 \pm 1.3$ | $15.7 \pm 1.5$ | $16.5 \pm 1.6$ | $8.8 \pm 0.8$ | $14.7 \pm 1.4$ |
| 9h | $11.4 \pm 1.1$ | $9.4 \pm 0.9$ | $12.6 \pm 1.2$ | $15.2 \pm 1.5$ | $12.2 \pm 1.2$ |
| 9 i | $17.4 \pm 1.7$ | $14.3 \pm 1.4$ | $10.3 \pm 1.0$ | $13.6 \pm 1.3$ | $11.2 \pm 1.1$ |
| Doxorubicin ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $9.8 \pm 0.9$ | $12.4 \pm 1.2$ | $12.8 \pm 1.2$ | $11.5 \pm 1.1$ | $9.4 \pm 0.9$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are indicated as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ (standard error) of at least three independent experiments.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Patent NSAIDs and Ebselen.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Standard benchmark compound.

### 2.3. Antioxidant activity

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) is actually a collective term that is used to describe oxygen-derived small and highly reactive molecules, such as superoxide anion (O2-), hydroxyl radical ( $\mathrm{OH} \cdot$ ), peroxyl radical ( $\mathrm{ROO} \cdot$ ) and alkoxyl radical ( $\mathrm{RO} \cdot$ ) [26]. ROS play essential roles in altering protein structure, thereby changing its function and participate in many pathological processes [27]. Various human diseases, including different types of cancer, are associated with a disturbed intracellular redox balance and oxidative stress (OS) [28].

Owing to the fact that a number of synthetic organoselenium compounds have been synthesized for their use as redox-modulators in the last few years [29], the antioxidant activity of compounds ( $\mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{5 j}$ ) are further estimated employing different biochemical assays such as DPPH, bleomycin-dependent DNA damage and Gpx-like assays [30].

### 2.3.1. Radical scavenging capacity (DPPH) assay.

The DPPH chemical assay is considered to be the rapid tools to evaluate the radical-scavenging capability of organic selenides [31]. The antioxidant activity of a compound is checked by its ability to decolorize DPPH radical (purple color in methanol) to DPPHH (colorless) and the corresponding radical-scavenging activity is estimated by the decrease in the absorbance at 517 nm [32]. Vitamin C was used as a positive control (Table 2). Antioxidant activity was calculated as follows:
\% Antioxidant activity $=[($ control absorbance - sample absorbance $) /$ control absorbance] $\times 100 \%$

As shown in Table 2, NSAIDs-EBS derivatives $\mathbf{5 f}$ and $\mathbf{5 h}$ were the most active compounds in this assay, demonstrating a good free-radical scavenging activity compared to Vitamin C.

### 2.3.2. Bleomycin DNA damage assay.

Bleomycin (BLM) is a radiomimetic antitumor antibiotic first isolated from Streptomyces verticillus [33]. BLM is widely used in clinical chemotherapy for the treatment of different types of cancer, namely testicular cancer, lymphoma, lung cancer, cervical cancer and cancers of the head and neck [34-35]. The bleomycin-iron DNA damage assay has been routinely used as a preliminary method to test potential of drugs and organic selenium compound [36]. As shown in Table 2, compounds 5a, $\mathbf{5 h}, \mathbf{5 j}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$ induced DNA degradation significantly more than other tested compounds.

1 Table 2 Redox modulation activity of NSAID-EBS derivatives.

| Compd. | DPPH |  | Bleomycin-dependent DNA damage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | assay |  | assay |
|  | Inhibition \% | Fold | Absorbance |
| Vitamin C | $97.2 \pm 1.3$ | 1 | $292 \pm 2.73$ |
| 5a | $42.6 \pm 2.3$ | 0.4 | $106.3 \pm 0.43$ |
| 5b | $58.2 \pm 3.6$ | 0.6 | $57.3 \pm 0.33$ |
| 5 c | $54.3 \pm 4.6$ | 0.5 | $72.4 \pm 0.33$ |
| 5d | $31.3 \pm 2.9$ | 0.3 | $85.3 \pm 1.67$ |
| 5 e | $42.9 \pm 2.1^{-}$ | 0.4 | $72.4 \pm 0.52$ |
| 5 f | $68.6 \pm 2.7$ | 0.7 | $82.8 \pm 0.84$ |
| 5 g | $51.5 \pm 1.2$ | 0.5 | $56.1 \pm 0.41$ |
| 5h | $78.7 \pm 3.3$ | 0.8 | $101.3 \pm 1.51$ |
| 5 i | $52.1 \pm 4.3$ | 0.5 | $97.4 \pm 1.45$ |
| 5j | $57.3 \pm 3.1$ | 0.5 | $108.3 \pm 0.39$ |
| 9a | $33.5 \pm 2.1$ | 0.3 | $68.4 \pm 1.32$ |
| 9b | $42.5 \pm 2.4$ | 0.4 | $85.7 \pm 2.12$ |
| 9 c | $37.4 \pm 2.1$ | 0.4 | $72.4 \pm 1.33$ |
| 9d | $33.3 \pm 1.6$ | 0.3 | $87.6 \pm 1.20$ |
| 9 e | $41.4 \pm 2.2$ | 0.4 | $91.4 \pm 1.27$ |
| 9 f | $29.0 \pm 1.0$ | 0.3 | $68.4 \pm 1.33$ |
| 9g | $28.6 \pm 2.6$ | 0.3 | $123.1 \pm 2.47$ |
| 9 h | $41.7 \pm 2.0$ | 0.4 | $77.7 \pm 1.32$ |
| 9 i | $27.3 \pm 2.3$ | 0.3 | $92.4 \pm 1.26$ |

2.3.3. Glutathione peroxidase-like activity.

Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) is a selenoprotein that protects cells by catalyzing the reduction of peroxides with the stoichiometric reductant glutathione (GSH) [37]. The GPx activity of NSAIDs-EBS derivatives was estimated by the decrease in absorbance $(340 \mathrm{~nm})$ due to the oxidation of NADPH to NADP ${ }^{+}$. Ebselen was used as the positive control.

The results shown in Fig. $\mathbf{3}$ indicated that compounds 5a, 5b, 5c, 5f, 5h, 5j and 9f displayed a GPx-like activity better than other derivatives. Compounds 9a-i, for which the linker is ethyl group between NSAIDs fragment and Ebselen moiety, exhibited weaker GPx-like activity than that of phenyl group as linker except compound $\mathbf{9 f}$. Compound $\mathbf{5 j}$ was the most active derivatives in this assay, up to 3 fold to the GPx mimetic ebselen.


Fig. 3. GPx-like activity assay of NSAID-EBS hybrid compounds in $\mu \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{Min}^{-1}$.

### 2.4. TrxR1 inhibition activity.

The principle of enzyme inhibition experiment is that DTNB [5,5 '-dithiobis - (2nitrobenzoic acid)] is one of the substrates of TrxR1. In vitro experiments, DTNB will be decomposed into TNB (2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid) by TrxR1 in the presence of NADPH. TNB has specific UV absorption at 412 nm . Therefore, the reaction rate of enzymatic decomposition reaction can be reflected by measuring the UV absorption at 412 nm per unit time of the reaction system, and then measuring enzyme activity [38]. Auranofin was used as the positive control.

As shown in Table 3, all NSAIDs-EBS derivatives exhibited strong inhibition against $\operatorname{TrxR} 1$, compounds $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{5 d}, \mathbf{5 e}, \mathbf{5 f}, \mathbf{5 g}, \mathbf{5 h}, \mathbf{5 i}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ shown $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values below 20 nm , which is better than Auranofin. The result showed compound 5a-j have potential candidate as inhibitor of TrxR1.

1 Table 3 TrxR1 inhibion activity of NASIDs-EBS derivatives

| Compd. | TrxR1 EC 50 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. | $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $17.2 \pm 1.38$ |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $11.2 \pm 1.87$ |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $14.3 \pm 0.85$ |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | $19.6 \pm 1.72$ |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | $10.4 \pm 1.42$ |
| $\mathbf{5 f}$ | $14.6 \pm 1.34$ |
| $\mathbf{5 g}$ | $11.5 \pm 1.21$ |
| $\mathbf{5 h}$ | $15.7 \pm 1.33$ |
| $\mathbf{5 i}$ | $17.1 \pm 2.31$ |
| $\mathbf{5 j}$ | $8.8 \pm 0.43$ |
| 9a | $23.5 \pm 3.11$ |
| 9b | $28.5 \pm 2.82$ |
| 9c | $26.6 \pm 2.23$ |
| 9d | $23.6 \pm 1.25$ |
| 9e | $21.4 \pm 2.21$ |
| 9f | $27.0 \pm 1.34$ |
| 9g | $28.4 \pm 2.61$ |
| 9h | $24.8 \pm 2.53$ |
| 9i | $24.5 \pm 2.42$ |
| Auranofin | $22.4 \pm 1.62$ |
|  |  |

### 2.5. Docking Studies

The binding mode between organoselenium compounds and Mammalian TrxR1 protein was described by docking studies. TrxR1 consists of several functional domains, including FAD and NAD binding domains at the N-terminal, and the dimerization interface domain at the flexible C-terminal side [39-41]. It has been reported that flexible docking can simulate the interaction between small molecules and $\operatorname{TrxR} 1^{[4]}$. Therefore, compounds $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ were docked into the TrxR1 protein (PDB id: 1H6V) using Flexible Docking Protocol as reported in the literature [42].

The distances between the selenium atom of all two compounds and Cys497/Cys498 of TrxR1 were measured and focused on because it is closely related to the accessibility of cysteine thiol attack selenides. These compounds showed acceptable docking results (Table 4-5).

For compound $\mathbf{5 j}$, pose 4 showed a good docking conformation with the relatively high value of -CDOCKER energy ( $12.562 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and a relatively close distance between the selenium atom and Cys498 ( $9.773 \AA$ ) (Table 5, Pose 4). This good conformation may be related to the key hydrogen bond interaction between the oxygen of acetyl group and SER483 (2.29 $\AA$ ). In addition, hydrogen bonding between the oxygen of 3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl and TRP407 (2.00 $\AA$ ) is also important (Figure 5). Although the pose 5 of compound 5c showed no hydrogen bond, it had an acceptable value of -CDOCKER energy ( $7.350 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and distance between the selenium atom and Cys 498 ( $6.614 \AA$ ). There were many hydrophobic interactions, including hydrophobic (Pi-Alkyl) between two different benzene rings and CYS498 ( $5.141 \AA, 5.137 \AA$ ), hydrophobic (Alkyl) between methyl groups on benzene ring and CYS498 ( $4.190 \AA$ ) , and hydrophobic (Pi-Alkyl) between phenyl of 3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl and LEU409 (5.469 Å) (Table 4, Pose 5; Figure 4).

Table 4 Ligand-protein poses for compound 5c

| Pose <br> Index | CDOCKER_E <br> NERGY | CDOCKER_INTERRATI <br> ON_ENERGY | LibDock <br> Score | LibDock <br> Pose | Distance <br> Cys497Se | Distance <br> Cys498Se |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.2384 | 45.4329 | 61.7485 | 7 | 22.446 | 17.008 |
| 2 | 10.4149 | 43.5291 | 66.0141 | 6 | 20.851 | 22.024 |
| 3 | 9.7976 | 42.6044 | 62.2043 | 8 | 12.735 | 5.175 |
| 4 | 8.60531 | 40.4135 | 69.1193 | 2 | 22.848 | 20.817 |
| 5 | 7.34978 | 39.2558 | 87.5773 | 4 | 8.561 | 6.614 |
| 6 | 7.09795 | 41.2406 | 72.2109 | 5 | 11.294 | 8.087 |
| 7 | 7.06524 | 40.4968 | 83.4649 | 5 | 11.488 | 8.983 |
| 8 | 6.99063 | 38.2927 | 53.7714 | 7 | 11.280 | 14.775 |
| 9 | 6.84262 | 41.0232 | 69.3691 | 7 | 11.386 | 7.247 |
| 10 | 6.65588 | 39.1307 | 96.9686 | 1 | 14.168 | 8.893 |
| 11 | 6.35112 | 38.4162 | 71.5831 | 1 | 12.521 | 4.748 |
| 12 | 6.35059 | 38.618 | 81.4432 | 6 | 12.000 | 7.920 |
| 13 | 5.98894 | 39.1252 | 63.7073 | 3 | 21.559 | 19.587 |
| 14 | 5.54376 | 38.0319 | 53.3036 | 10 | 11.086 | 7.030 |
| 15 | 4.873 | 39.0683 | 57.8956 | 4 | 8.054 | 10.010 |
| 16 | 4.61831 | 36.9053 | 102.476 | 1 | 7.777 | 5.214 |
| 17 | 4.43294 | 38.7698 | 61.2802 | 4 | 15.480 | 8.839 |
| 18 | 4.25345 | 36.326 | 78.3978 | 1 | 12.174 | 6.446 |
| 19 | 3.82841 | 35.5458 | 64.8576 | 2 | 5.041 | 7.519 |


| 20 | 3.42857 | 38.0731 | 99.8759 | 2 | 7.867 | 4.961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 3.34678 | 36.3131 | 62.9525 | 10 | 6.237 | 8.013 |
| 22 | 2.84919 | 37.2215 | 67.7144 | 4 | 14.199 | 11.248 |
| 23 | 2.75952 | 34.6775 | 56.7099 | 8 | 22.439 | 19.095 |
| 24 | 2.39014 | 34.6317 | 63.1427 | 10 | 12.714 | 8.074 |
| 25 | 1.69794 | 35.5682 | 65.1989 | 8 | 8.839 | 9.121 |
| 26 | 1.65597 | 34.7061 | 68.534 | 3 | 19.010 | 21.709 |
| 27 | 0.761431 | 33.8651 | 59.5824 | 9 | 14.171 | 9.310 |
| 28 | 0.457764 | 32.3594 | 54.8453 | 10 | 12.305 | 7.603 |
| 29 | 0.102792 | 31.8219 | 65.1714 | 9 | 10.777 | 5.253 |
| 30 | -4.37105 | 26.9452 | 55.4452 | 10 | 9.992 | 6.198 |
| 31 | -4.9625 | 30.776 | 59.7369 | 3 | 3.255 | 8.833 |
| 32 | -6.46586 | 28.6328 | 71.1944 | 8 | 10.521 | 7.237 |
| Table 5 Ligand-protein poses for compound 5j |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pose Index | CDOCKER EN ERGY | CDOCKER_INTERRATIO <br> N_ENERGY | LibDockS core | LibDock Pose | Distance Cys497Se | Distance Cys 498 Se |
| 1 | 19.8943 | 44.2099 | 64.1592 | 6 | 9.110 | 6.912 |
| 2 | 19.1219 | 46.7132 | 70.4112 | 6 | 20.387 | 32.317 |
| 3 | 18.4552 | 43.1668 | 78.5763 | 5 | 11.084 | 11.073 |
| 4 | 17.5838 | 42.5621 | 63.3701 | 8 | 7.990 | 6.253 |
| 5 | 17.4763 | 41.6662 | 54.3429 | 8 | 13.337 | 7.076 |
| 6 | 17.4124 | 43.2487 | 75.6808 | 4 | 9.017 | 8.893 |
| 7 | 16.1704 | 39.5507 | 56.622 | 9 | 9.765 | 6.149 |
| 8 | 15.7242 | 40.3922 | 83.1296 | 2 | 8.880 | 8.007 |
| 9 | 15.2206 | 40.1879 | 76.0685 | 3 | 15.860 | 12.024 |
| 10 | 15.2121 | 40.9488 | 86.7815 | 1 | 10.155 | 7.855 |
| 11 | 14.0462 | 39.2595 | 77.2953 | 6 | 12.905 | 6.510 |
| 12 | 13.9291 | 38.1134 | 73.0708 | 5 | 10.329 | 9.048 |
| 13 | 13.3664 | 38.6014 | 76.3795 | 7 | 10.564 | 3.642 |
| 14 | 13.1608 | 39.1776 | 73.2676 | 8 | 13.721 | 12.239 |
| 15 | 12.7202 | 38.1457 | 98.5742 | 1 | 13.135 | 6.068 |
| 16 | 12.7057 | 37.448 | 87.1604 | 1 | 11.449 | 9.654 |
| 17 | 12.5957 | 37.812 | 61.7665 | 7 | 13.710 | 6.822 |
| 18 | 12.0462 | 37.1745 | 79.0099 | 4 | 9.027 | 7.055 |
| 19 | 11.8174 | 37.5311 | 102.361 | 1 | 9.922 | 9.167 |
| 20 | 11.7229 | 37.8333 | 79.1876 | 3 | 11.555 | 8.871 |
| 21 | 11.5495 | 36.7249 | 59.7242 | 9 | 12.927 | 9.550 |
| 22 | 11.46 | 36.1866 | 73.0299 | 6 | 20.179 | 18.749 |
| 23 | 11.0925 | 34.6597 | 67.1314 | 10 | 10.872 | 8.150 |
| 24 | 9.93392 | 33.6135 | 91.6464 | 3 | 9.116 | 7.502 |
| 25 | 9.76676 | 38.4745 | 58.9347 | 8 | 9.822 | 10.982 |
| 26 | 7.13759 | 35.5936 | 71.6435 | 9 | 11.223 | 8.436 |
| 27 | 5.71796 | 32.2846 | 69.6629 | 10 | 8.831 | 7.709 |
| 28 | 5.05737 | 30.4126 | 65.6731 | 8 | 12.929 | 7.701 |
| 29 | 2.94544 | 30.5268 | 54.4481 | 10 | 10.113 | 6.789 |
| 30 | 1.81129 | 26.1195 | 51.8166 | 9 | 20.884 | 21.979 |



Fig. 4. The pose 5 of 5c. Four interactions were shown: Hydrophobic (Pi-Alkyl) between two different benzene rings and CYS498 ( $5.141 \AA, 5.137 \AA$ ), hydrophobic (Alkyl) between methyl groups on benzene ring and CYS498 (4.190 A), and hydrophobic (Pi-Alkyl) between phenyl of 3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)benzyl and LEU409 (5.469 Å).


Fig. 5. The pose 4 of $\mathbf{5 j}$. Two interactions were shown: hydrogen bonding between the oxygen of acetyl group and SER483 (2.29 Å)and hydrogen bonding between the oxygen of 3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl and TRP407 (2.00 Å).

## 3. Conclusions

In summary, two series of NSAIDs-EBS derivatives were synthesized and characterized. Five human cell lines (BGC-823, SW480, MCF-7, HeLa and A549) were selected to test cytotoxicity of the compounds. Compound $\mathbf{5 j}$ showed most potent cytotoxicity activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ against five cancer cell lines. Moreover, most of the NSAIDs-EBS derivatives exhibited moderate to good CPx-like activity compared to ebselen. Finally, TrxR1 inhibition activity assay and in flexible docking study performed into TrxR1 enzyme, compound 5j showed a moderate binding energies and binding mode that the distance between the selenium atom and Cys497/Cys498.

Overall, considering the potency of these NSAIDs-EBS derivatives on cancer cell viability, antioxidant activity and docking assay, the further study will focus on design of this new type of potential NSAIDs-EBS anticancer agents.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. General methods

All chemical reagents for the synthesis of the compounds were purchased from Macklin (Shanghai, China) or TCI (Shanghai, China) and used without further purification unless stated otherwise. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminium pre-coated sheets (E. Merck Silica gel 60 F254). Melting points were recorded on an Electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz (for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) and 100 MHz (for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) spectrometer with 5 mm PABBO probe. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, and $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from TMS and the coupling constants $(J)$ are expressed in Hertz (Hz). High-resolution MS were performed on a SCIEX, TripleTOF 5600+, operating in ionization mode.

### 4.2. Experimental procedures

### 4.2.1. Procedure for the synthesis of compound 1

To a solution of 2-(4-aminophenyl)ethylamine ( 2.0 g ) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.3 \mathrm{~g})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hrs . TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EA}=50: 1$ to $5: 1)$ to afford compound 2 as white solid ( 2 g ) in $55 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 1.45 (s, 9H, 3-CH3), $3.66(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.73(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}), 6.66-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 28.6, 44.5, 79.4, 115.3, 128.9, 129.0, 145.8.

### 4.2.2. procedure for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{2}$

To a solution of compound $\mathbf{1}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$ in DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TEA $(1.36 \mathrm{~g})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $3(1.36 \mathrm{~g})$ was added slowly into the mixture. The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 hour. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, the combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by beating ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}=4: 1$ ) to afford compound $2(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid in $69 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.90 (brs, 1H, -NH), 7.14 (t, 1H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 7.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.41 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.68 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $28.4,44.7,79.8,92.7,115.3,127.7,128.9,129.0,130.9,131.3,141.7,142.5,145.8$, 164.9.

### 4.2.3. procedure for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3}$

To a solution of compound $2(1.9 \mathrm{~g})$ in DMF ( 18 mL ) was added CuI ( 799 mg ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.43 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{KSeCN}(726 \mathrm{mg})$ and 1,10-phenanthroline ( 757 mg ). Then the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 hour. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate (EA) ( 20 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted with EA ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), the combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the
filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by beating ( $\mathrm{MeOH}, 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to afford compound $3(0.83 \mathrm{~g})$ as a yellow solid in $49 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO): 1.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 7.31 (d, 1H, J $=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.68(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO): 28.7, 44.9, 79.6, 121.4, 127.1, 127.5, 128.8, 131.1, 131.4, 133.8, 136.2, 137.4, 143.2, 167.6.

### 4.2.4. procedure for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{4}$

To a solution of compound $3(430 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude compound 4. The pH of crude was adjusted to about value 9 by TEA. The mixture was used for the next step without purification.

### 4.2.5. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- 5 j}$

To a solution of patent NSAIDs ( 1.0 eq ) in DCM ( 5 mL ) was added EDCI ( 1.2 eq.), HOBT ( 1.2 eq.) and TEA ( 3.0 eq.) and compound 4 ( 1.0 eq ). The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs . TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by beating to afford the desired product.

### 4.2.5.1. 2-(4-isobutylphenyl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)

## Propenamide (5a).

Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 0.85$ (d, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.77-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH})$, $2.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 7.66-7.70 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.89-7.91 (m, 1H, ArH), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH ), 8.47-8.50 (m, 1H, -NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta$ 18.9, 22.6, 30.1, 42.1, 44.7, 45.3, 125.0, 126.3, 126.7, 127.5, 128.2, 128.4, 129.0, 129.3, 132.7, 137.7, 138.7, 139.4, 139.8, 140.0, 165.4, 174.0. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 493.1316, found $493.1389[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.2.2-(2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)benzyl)propenamide (5b).
Yield: $82 \%$. White solid. Mp: $97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 1.43$ (d, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}), 4.24-4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 7.27-7.30 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.38-7.41 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.46-7.51 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.54-7.58 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.67-7.71 (m, 1H, ArH), $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.63 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-6d): $\delta 18.9,42.3$, 45.1, 115.3 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=23 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $124.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 125.1, 126.3, 126.7, $126.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 13 Hz ), 128.2, 128.4, 128.9, 129.1, 129.2, 130.5 (d, J = 4 Hz ), 132.7, 135.,5, 137.6, 138.8, 139.4, 144.5 (d, J = 8 Hz ), 158.1, 160.5, 165.5, 173.3. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 531.0987$, found $531.0962[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.3. 2-((2,3-dimethylphenyl)amino)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)benzyl)benzamide (5c).

Yield: $78 \%$. White solid. Mp: $110-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32\left(\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.64-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.75-6.77(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.05-7.09$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.63-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.0,20.7,43.3$, $114.9,116.4,116.8,121.1,123.8,125.7,125.8,126.6,127.4,127.5,128.7,129.4$, 131.1, 132.5, 132.6, 137.0, 137.7, 138.1, 138.3, 139.5, 147.4, 165.9, 169.7. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 528.119$, found $528.1172[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.4. 2-(3-benzoylphenyl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)benzyl)propanamide (5d).
Yield: $80 \%$. White solid. Mp: $90-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.68(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}), 4.35-4.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.42-7.49 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.56-7.61 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.64-7.66 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.75-7.77 (m, 3H, ArH), $8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.7,43.1,46.9,123.9,125.7,126.6,127.4,128.4,128.5,128.8,129.1$, 129.2, 129.3, 130.1, 131.6, 132.6, 137.0, 137.8, 138.1, 138.2, 141.8, 165.8, 173.6, 196.6. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 541.103$, found $541.1001[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.5. (Z)-2-(5-fluoro-2-methyl-1-(4-(methylsulfinyl)benzylidene)-1H-inden-3-yl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)acetamide (5e).

Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $130-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH})$, 6.56-6.61 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.87-6.89 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.16-7.21 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.44-7.52 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.64-7.67 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.70-7.72 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.08 (d, 1H, J = 4.00 $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.7,33.8,43.1,43.9,106.1$ (d, J = 23 Hz ), 111.3 (d, J = 23 Hz ), 123.8, 124.0. 125.7, 126.6, 127.4, 128.5, 128.9, 129.4, 129.6, $130.3,132.3,132.7,136.7,137.7,138.3,138.9,139.4,141.4,145.6,146.2,162.2$, 164.6, 165.8, 169.2. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SSe}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 643.097, found $643.0956[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.6. 2-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)benzyl)propanamide (5f).
Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 1.45$ (d, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.13-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.46-7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.66-7.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.76-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 8.09 (d, 1H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.56 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-6d):
$\delta 19.0,42.2,45.5,55.6,160.2,119.1,125.0,125.8,126.3,126.7,127.0,127.1,128.4$, $128.8,128.8,128.9,129.6,132.7,133.6,137.7,137.8,138.7$, 139.4, 157.5, 165.4, 173.9. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 517.103, found $517.1019[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.7. $N$-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)-2-((3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)benzamide (5g)

Yield: $77 \%$. White solid. Mp: $121-123^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.93$ (s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.43$ (m, 6H, ArH), 7.47-7.50 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.58 (d, 2H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, ~ A r H), 7.68(t, 1 H, J$ $=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.09$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 9.20 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 9.71 (s, 1H, -NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 42.6,114.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.4,117.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $120.4,121.6,122.1,124.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=271 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 125.1, 126.3, 126.7, 128.4, 128.5, $128.9,129.5,130.8\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0132 .5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.5,138.8,139.4$, 143.1, 143.6, 165.4, 168.9. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 568.0751$, found $568.0739[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.8.

2-(1-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-N-(4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)acetamide (5h)
Yield: $82 \%$. White solid. Mp: $91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 2.26$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.63-$ $7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.61 (s, brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-6d): $\delta$ $13.9,31.7,42.4,55.9,102.3,111.9,114.8,115.1,125.0,126.3,126.7,128.4,128.5$, $128.9,129.5,130.8,131.3,131.6,132.7$, 134.7, 135.7, 137.7, 138.0, 138.8, 139.3, 156.1, 165.5, 168.4, 170.0. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 644.0855$, found $644.0841[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 4.2.5.9.2-(1,8-diethyl-1,3,4,9-tetrahydropyrano[3,4-b]indol-1-yl)-N-(4-(3-

 oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)acetamide (5i).Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 0.68$ (t, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.60-2.71 (m, 2H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.74-2.78 (m, 1H -CH-), $2.83(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.91-2.95 (m, 1H-CH-), 3.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.26-4.35 (m, 2H, - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.88-6.95 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.19 (d, 2H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.17$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), $10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-6d): $\delta 8.3,14.9,22.4,24.2,31.1$, 42.1, 44.4, 60.4, 76.0. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 574.1609$, found $574.1571[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.5.10. 2-((4-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)benzyl)carbamoyl)phenyl acetate (5j).
Yield: $82 \%$. White solid. Mp: $112-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.14(3,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{HZ},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), 7.29 (t, 1H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.60 (d, 2H, J = $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, ~ \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.64-7.69 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.77 (d, 1H, J $=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $20.9,43.4,123.3,123.8,125.7,126.4,126.6,127.4,128.2,128.9,129.4,129.8,132.0$, 132.7, 136.7, 137.6, 138.6, 148.0, 165.6, 165.8, 169.3. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 466.0432$, found $467.0534[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 4.2.6. procedure for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{6}$

To a solution of N-Boc-Ethylenediamine ( 9.0 g ) and TEA ( 6.83 g ) in DCM (200 mL ) was added o-iodobenzoyl chloride ( 15.0 g ) in portions at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 hour. TLC showed the reaction was complete. Then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the mixture. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (20 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ), the combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1$ ), dried over
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was slurried by MeOH to afford the compound $6(18.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $84 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.36-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.51-3.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 5.09 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.58 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.05-7.07 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.337.35 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.81-7.83 (m, 2H, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 27.4, 36.5, $52.2,89.6,92.8,127.5,130.7,131.2,141.8,142.7,167.8$.

### 4.2.7. procedure for the synthesis of compound 7

To a solution of compound $6(18.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in DMF ( 180 mL ) was added CuI ( 9.0 g ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(38.66 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{KSeCN}(8.19 \mathrm{~g})$ and $1,10-$ phenanthroline $(8.54 \mathrm{~g})$. Then the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 400 mL ) and EA (200 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted with EA ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1$ ), the combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was slurried by EA to afford compound $7(7.0 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellow solid in $43.5 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.44-3.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.95-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.03$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.41-7.45 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.58-7.65 (m, 2H, ArH), 8.03-8.06 (m, 1H, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 27.6, 39.5, 54.2, 89.4, 127.3, 128.9, 131.4, 132.3, 133.6, 143.4, 170.5.

### 4.2.8. procedure for the synthesis of compound 8

To a solution of compound $7(300 \mathrm{mg})$ in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude product 6 . The pH of crude product was adjusted to about 9 by TEA. The mixture was used for the next step without purification.
4.2.9. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds $\boldsymbol{9} \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{9} \boldsymbol{j}$

To a solution of compound $\mathbf{8}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in DCM ( 20 mL ) was added EDCI (1.2 eq.), HOBt ( 1.2 eq ), TEA ( 3.0 eq ) and NSAIDs ( 1.2 eq ). The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, the combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired product.
4.2.9.1. 2-(4-isobutylphenyl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)ethyl)propenamide (9a)

Yield: $80 \%$. White solid. Mp: $113-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH})$, $2.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.50-3.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}), 6.20-6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.60-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.00(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.3,22.4,30.2,40.2,44.0,45.0,46.7,124.0,126.3$, 126.7, 127.3, 128.8, 129.5, 132.2, 138.3, 140.6, 168.0, 175.1. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 431.1237$, found $431.1209[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.2. 2-(2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)ethyl)propanamide (9b)

Yield: $82 \%$. Yellow solid. Mp: $102-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.51$ (d, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.54-3.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86-4.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.62$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.08-7.12 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.25-7.28 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.34-7.38 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.40-7.48 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.53-7.60 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.97 (d, 1H, J = 8.00 Hz , ArH) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.3,40.5,44.1,46.5,115.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=23 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 123.6, 124.1, 126.4, 126.6, 127.7, 128.4, 128.7, 128.9, 130.9, 132.3, 135.5, 138.2, 142.6 158.5, 161.0, 168.2, 174.2. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 469.083, found $469.0800[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.3. 2-((2,3-dimethylphenyl)amino)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)ethyl)benzamide (9c). Yield: $80 \%$. White solid. Mp: $131-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.11\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.68(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.13-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.56-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.9,20.7$, $41.0,44.4,114.9,116.2,116.9,120.9,124.1,125.6,125.7,126.4,126.6,127.8,128.8$, $130.9,132.3,138.0,138.3,139.6,147.3,168.4,170.2$. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 466.1033$, found $466.0999[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.4. 2-(3-benzoylphenyl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)ethyl)propenamide (9d).
Yield: $82 \%$. White solid. Mp: $116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.46-3.60\left(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{2H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH})$, 3.793.86 (m, 1H, -CH-), 3.96-4.02 (m, 1H, -CH-), 6.58 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.32-7.39 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (t, 2H, J = 8.00 Hz, ArH), 7.54-7.60 (m, 4H, ArH), $7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.77-7.79 (m, 3H, ArH), $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.3,40.6,44.0,46.9,124.2,126.3,126.6,128.4,128.6,129.0,130.2$, 131.6, 132.2, 132.7, 137.3, 137.9, 138.4, 141.6, 168.0, 174.2, 196.8. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 479.0874$, found $479.0831[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.5. (Z)-2-(5-fluoro-2-methyl-1-(4-(methylsulfinyl)benzylidene)-1H-inden-3-yl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)ethyl)acetamide (9e).
Yield: $82 \%$. White solid. Mp: $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.52-3.54 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.87-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.62 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}$ ), $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), 7.56-7.60 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.75-7.78 (m, 3H, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 100.8,112.1,112.5,115.1,124.1,126.3,126.4,128.7,129.2,130.4,131.0,131.4$,
132.3, 133.8, 136.6, 138.0, 139.4, 156.1, 168.0, 168.5, 170.8. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SSe}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 581.0813$, found $581.0796[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.6. 2-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)yl)ethyl)propanamide (9f).

Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $125-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.32-3.38 (m, 2H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.70-3.83 (m, 3H, -CH, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.85 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), $7.60-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, ~ \mathrm{ArH}), 8.20$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ): $\delta 18.9,43.2,45.7,55.6,106.1,119.0$, $125.8,126.2,126.3,127.0,127.8,128.2$, 128.8, 129.6, 132.0, 133.6, 137.6, 140.0, 157.4, 167.0, 174.3. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 445.0874$, found $445.0989[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.7.

N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)ethyl)-2-((3(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)benzamide (9g).

Yield: $80 \%$. White solid. Mp: $99-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.28-7.41 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.55-7.62 (m, 4H, ArH), $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 9.61$ (s, 1H, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 41.1,44.4,115.9,116.1\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 118.2\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 118.9,119.3,122.8,124.0\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=271 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, 124.1, 126.4, 126.5, 128.2, 128.8, 129.8, $131.6\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 138.3, 142.5, 144.3, 168.6, 169.8. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 506.0594, found $506.0560[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.8. 2-(1-(4-chlorobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)ethyl)acetamide (9h).

Yield: $80 \%$. White solid. Mp: $116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2.33 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.52-3.61 (m, 2H, - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.87-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.58-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.62(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.34-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.57-7.60 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.75-7.79 (m, 3H, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.3,32.1,41.0,43.8,55.7,100.8,112.1,112.5,115.1,124.1,126.3,126.4$, 128.7, 129.2, 130.4, 131.0, 131.4, 132.3, 133.8, 136.6, 138.0, 139.4, 156.1, 168.0, 168.5, 170.8. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 582.0699$, found $582.0655[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
4.2.9.9. 2-(3,6-diethyl-1,3,4,5-tetrahydropyrano[4,3-b]indol-3-yl)-N-(2-(3-oxobenzo[d][1,2]selenazol-2(3H)-yl)ethyl)acetamide(9i).
Yield: $85 \%$. White solid. Mp: $87-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.74(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.85-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04-2.09$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.81-2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.56(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.90-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.00-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.03-7.06 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.17 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.83-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH ), $9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.7,13.9,22.4,24.2,30.9$, 40.2, 44.0, 44.6, 60.6, 75.5. 107.9, 115.8, 119.5, 120.2, 124.1, 126.3, 126.4, 126.6, $126.9,128.8,132.3,134.7,136.1,138.2,168.1,171.9$. HRMS calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 512.1452$, found $512.1413[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 4.3. cell viability assay

Five human cancer cell lines BGC-823, SW480, MCF-7, HeLa and A549 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ of penicillin and streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, shanghai, China) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified atmosphere. Cells were passaged at preconfluent densities, using a solution containing $0.05 \%$ trypsin and 0.5 mM EDTA.

All the tested NSAIDs-EBS derivatives were evaluated in vitro for their cytotoxicity activity against five cancer cell lines by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay according to the method as described
before [43]. Exponentially growing cells were harvested and plated in 96-well plates at a concentration of $1 \times 104$ cells / well. After 24 h incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to allow cell attachment, the cells in the wells were respectively treated with target compounds at various concentrations for $24 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ and 72 h . The concentration of DMSO was always kept below $1.25 \%$, which was found to be nontoxic to the cells. Three hours prior to experiment termination, MTT solution ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution) was added to each well and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the termination time point, the medium/MTT mixtures were removed, and the formazan crystals formed by the mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity of vital cells were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO per well. The optical densities were measured at 570 nm using a 96-well multiscanner (Dynex Technologies, MRX Revelation; Chantilly, VA, USA).

### 4.4. DPPH free radical scavenging activity

DPPH free radical scavenging activity of corresponding compounds was measured according to the method as previous reported with little optimization [44]. Briefly, 20 mL of test samples at different concentrations was mixed with 180 mL of or DPPH solution for 30 min in the dark. Then, the change in absorbance at 517 nm for DPPH was measured on a microplate reader. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and ebselen were used as a positive control, DMSO was used as a negative control.

### 4.5. Bleomycin-dependent DNA damage

The reaction mixture contained DNA $(0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, bleomycin sulfate $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{mM}), \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(50 \mathrm{mM})$, and tested compound in a conc. of $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. L-ascorbic acid was used as positive control. The mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was terminated by addition of 0.05 mL EDTA ( 0.1 M ). The color was developed by adding 0.5 mL TBA ( $1 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{HCl}(25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, followed by heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. After cooling in ice water, the extent of DNA damage was measured by increase in absorbance at 532 nm [45].

### 4.6. Glutathione peroxidase-like activity

GPx kit (Biodiagnostic, Egypt) was used for the determination of GPx according to Paglia et al [46]. The reaction mixture contained 1 ml assay buffer ( 50 mM phosphate buffer containing $0.1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ ) and 0.1 ml NADPH reagent (24 mmol Glutathione, 12 unit Glutathione reductase and 4.8 mmol NADPH) and 0.01 ml $(41 \mathrm{mM})$ tested compounds and the reaction was started by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.8$ $\mathrm{mM})$. The contents were mixed well and the absorbances were recorded at 340 nm over a period of 3 min against deionized water. The change of absorbance per minute (A340 nm/min) was estimated using ebselen ( 41 mM ) as positive control. The values represented in Fig 3 are expressed after background correction for the reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and GSH. In case of colored compounds, their activities were estimated after subtracting their own absorbances at the used wave length.

### 4.7. Colorimetric detection of rat TrxR1 activity

Activity of TrxR1 (Abcam) was assayed using DTNB as substrate. The reactions on 96 well plate were ran in final volume of $100 \mathrm{ml}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ potassium phosphate, pH 7.0 , containing 1 mM EDTA, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, 5 nM of TrxR1 and 0.2 mM NADPH. Concentration range of compounds for $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ determination was $0.25-25 \mathrm{mM}$. Reaction mixture was incubated for 15 min on plate shaker at room temperature, after which DTNB was added to final concentration of 5 mM . Enzyme kinetics was monitored on TECAN Infinite M1000 PRO microplate reader, by measuring increase in absorbance at 412 nm for 20 min .

### 4.8. Molecular Modeling

### 4.8.1 Protein and Ligand Preparation

The mammalian TrxR1 protein (PDB ID: 1H6V) was obtained from Protein Data Bank. The other subunits of TrxR1 were deleted and only one monomer F was prepared by Protein Preparation Wizard in Maestro 11.5 (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2019.). Specifically, subunits F was assigned in sequence, hydrogen was added, ionization and tautomerism were adjusted, hydrogen bond distribution was
optimized, water was removed, and structure was minimized. The LigPrep utility in Maestro 11.5 was used to perform ligand preparation applying OPLS2005 force field. The Epik utility is used to generate tautomers and possibly ionized states, and then minimize the resulting 3D conformation.

### 4.8.2 Ligand Docking

The docking task was completed on Discovery Studio Client 2018. The binding site of TrxR1 was defined as a docking sphere with dimensions X: 27.757, Y: 6.510, Z: 33.698 and R: $15 \AA .10$ protein conformations of TrxR1 protein were generated with a maximum alteration of 8 residues, which were typed in CHARMm field force. Under the conformation method FAST, every ligand was generated several conformations. With all other parameters as default, compound $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 j}$ were docked into protein structure in the Flexible Docking Protocol. For each pose, the distance between the compound's selenium atom and the sulfur atom of either Cys 497 or Cys498 was calculated by the distance monitor in the Discovery Studio. For each ligand, average -CDocker energy and average selenium-sulfur distance were calculated.

## Statistical analysis

Data were given as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ of three independent experiments, graphs and curve fitting were using origin Version 8.0 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA). P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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