



**HAL**  
open science

## **Source of Radio Emissions Induced by the Galilean Moons Io, Europa and Ganymede: In Situ Measurements by Juno**

C K Louis, P. Louarn, B. Collet, S. Al Saati, J R Szalay, V. Hue, L. Lamy, S. Kotsiaros, W S Kurth, C M Jackman, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

C K Louis, P. Louarn, B. Collet, S. Al Saati, J R Szalay, et al.. Source of Radio Emissions Induced by the Galilean Moons Io, Europa and Ganymede: In Situ Measurements by Juno. *Journal of Geophysical Research Space Physics*, 2023, <10.1029/2023JA031985>. <hal-04350609>

**HAL Id: hal-04350609**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04350609v1>**

Submitted on 18 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY-ND 4.0 - Attribution - No Derivative Works - International License

1 **Source of radio emissions induced by the Galilean**  
2 **moons Io, Europa and Ganymede: *in situ***  
3 **measurements by Juno**

4 **C. K. Louis<sup>1,2,3</sup>, P. Louarn<sup>2</sup>, B. Collet<sup>4</sup>, N. Clément<sup>2,5</sup>, S. Al Saati<sup>2,6</sup>,**  
5 **J. R. Szalay<sup>7</sup>, V. Hue<sup>8</sup>, L. Lamy<sup>3,4</sup>, S. Kotsiaros<sup>9</sup>, W. S. Kurth<sup>10</sup>,**  
6 **C. M. Jackman<sup>1</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>2,11,12</sup>, M. Blanc<sup>2,5</sup>, F. Allegrini<sup>13,14</sup>,**  
7 **J. E. P. Connerney<sup>15</sup>, D. Gershman<sup>16</sup>**

8 <sup>1</sup>School of Cosmic Physics, DIAS Dunsink Observatory, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Dublin 15,  
9 Ireland

10 <sup>2</sup>IRAP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, CNES, UPS, Toulouse, France

11 <sup>3</sup>LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris,  
12 Meudon, France

13 <sup>4</sup>Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

14 <sup>5</sup>Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, Allée Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire,  
15 33615 Pessac, France

16 <sup>6</sup>CPHT, CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Route de Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau, France

17 <sup>7</sup>Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

18 <sup>8</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CNES, Institut Origines, LAM, Marseille, France

19 <sup>9</sup>Technical University of Denmark: Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

20 <sup>10</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

21 <sup>11</sup>State Key Laboratory of Space Weather, National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
22 Beijing, China.

23 <sup>12</sup>College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

24 <sup>13</sup>Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA

25 <sup>14</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

26 <sup>15</sup>Space Research Corporation, Annapolis, MD, USA 21403

27 <sup>16</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

28 **Key Points:**

- 29
- All Jupiter–moon radio emissions are shown to be similarly triggered by the CMI.
  - The crossed radio sources are colocated with either MAW, RAW or TEB footprints.
  - The crossed radio sources coincide with downward field–aligned currents and Alfvén perturbations.
- 31
- 32

---

Corresponding author: C. K. Louis, [corentin.louis@obspm.fr](mailto:corentin.louis@obspm.fr)

**Abstract**

At Jupiter, part of the auroral radio emissions are induced by the Galilean moons Io, Europa and Ganymede. Until now, except for Ganymede, they have been only remotely detected, using ground-based radio-telescopes or electric antennas aboard spacecraft. The polar trajectory of the Juno orbiter allows the spacecraft to cross the range of magnetic flux tubes which sustain the various Jupiter-satellite interactions, and in turn to sample *in situ* the associated radio emission regions. In this study, we focus on the detection and the characterization of radio sources associated with Io, Europa and Ganymede. Using electric wave measurements or radio observations (Juno/Waves), *in situ* electron measurements (Juno/JADE-E), and magnetic field measurements (Juno/MAG) we demonstrate that the Cyclotron Maser Instability (CMI) driven by a loss-cone electron distribution function is responsible for the encountered radio sources. We confirmed that radio emissions are associated with Main (MAW) or Reflected Alfvén Wing (RAW), but also show that for Europa and Ganymede, induced radio emissions are associated with Transhemispheric Electron Beam (TEB). For each traversed radio source, we determine the latitudinal extension, the CMI-resonant electron energy, and the bandwidth of the emission. We show that the presence of Alfvén perturbations and downward field-aligned currents are necessary for the radio emissions to be amplified.

**Plain Language Summary**

At Jupiter, the auroras are much more intense and long-lasting than on Earth, and some are influenced by Jupiter's three largest moons: Io, Europa, and Ganymede. We're particularly interested in the radio signals from these auroras. Until recently, these signals were mainly studied from a distance, using Earth-based telescopes or spacecraft passing by Jupiter. However, since 2016, the Juno spacecraft has been orbiting Jupiter, flying through the auroral zone. Our study investigates the creation of these radio auroras using Juno's instruments to measure radio waves, particles, and magnetic fields. Our research strongly suggests that a phenomenon called the Cyclotron Maser Instability is the cause of these radio signals. This instability happens because some electrons are not coming back from Jupiter after causing Ultraviolet aurora on top of Jupiter's atmosphere. These radio signals are connected to the moons' ultraviolet auroras. Additionally, our research highlights the importance of specific perturbations in Jupiter's magnetic field, known as Alfvén perturbations, and currents that link Jupiter to these moons. This study deepens our understanding of Jupiter-moon interactions and sheds light on Jupiter's fascinating auroras.

**1 Introduction**

One of the main objectives of the Juno mission is to probe Jupiter's auroral regions *in situ* (Bagenal et al., 2017) and, in particular, to search for the sources of auroral radio emission. This is made possible by a suite of instruments capable of acquiring high-quality plasma and wave measurements, such as Waves (Kurth et al., 2017), JADE-E (Jovian Auroral Distributions Experiment-Electrons, McComas et al., 2017) and MAG (Connerney et al., 2017). Imagers on-board Juno are also really useful to compare with auroral emissions in other wavelengths, such as in ultraviolet with the UVS instrument (Ultraviolet Spectrograph Gladstone et al., 2017).

These instruments provide measurements to study the radio wave amplification process, and have already been able to locate the position of the sources (Imai et al., 2017, 2019; Louis et al., 2019a) and to confirm the Cyclotron Maser Instability (CMI) as their underlying generation mechanism (Louarn et al., 2017, 2018; Louis et al., 2017a, 2020; Collet et al., 2023, and see below for more details).

81 The Galilean moons Io, Europa and Ganymede are known to induce auroral emis-  
 82 sions, at radio (Bigg, 1964; Louis et al., 2017b; Zarka et al., 2017, 2018; Jácome et al.,  
 83 2022), ultraviolet (UV, Prangé et al., 1996; Clarke, 1998; Clarke et al., 2002) and infrared  
 84 (Connerney et al., 1993; Mura et al., 2017, 2018) wavelengths. The motion of the moons  
 85 across Jupiter’s magnetosphere in the plasma torus surrounding them (Szalay et al., 2022)  
 86 generates an electric field, inducing electric currents and/or Alfvén waves (Goldreich &  
 87 Lynden-Bell, 1969; Neubauer, 1980; Saur, 2004) which both accelerate electrons along  
 88 the magnetic field lines in the moons’ flux tubes to kilo–electron–volts (keV) energy. Note  
 89 that the case of Callisto is not studied here, even if a tentative detection of the Callisto  
 90 UV footprint has been reported (Bhattacharyya et al., 2018), and hints of radio emis-  
 91 sions have been observed to this day using Galileo and Voyager data (Menietti et al., 2001;  
 92 Higgins, 2007). To date, Juno has not observed any UV or radio emissions likely to be  
 93 induced by Callisto, nor any intensification of the electron energy flux while crossing Cal-  
 94 listo’s flux tubes..

95 The Io, Europa, and Ganymede induced UV emissions are known to be produced  
 96 by downgoing electrons interacting with the Jovian neutral atmosphere. These signa-  
 97 tures are observed at the moons’ magnetic footprint and along their tails, i.e the longi-  
 98 tudinal extension of these spots in the downstream direction relative to the plasma flow  
 99 encountering the moon (Bonfond et al., 2017a, 2017b). Recent *in situ* studies probed the  
 100 magnetic field lines connected to these UV footprints, and found that they are consis-  
 101 tent with production by Alfvénic interaction (Szalay et al., 2018, 2020a, 2020b; Allegrini  
 102 et al., 2020). On the radio side, the moons’ induced emissions are believed to be produced  
 103 by the CMI and have already been simulated and well match the observations (Hess et  
 104 al., 2008; Louis et al., 2017a, 2019b). This mechanism is also responsible for the auro-  
 105 ral radio emission (independent of the moons) and has been verified *in situ* by Louarn  
 106 et al. (2017, involving loss–cone electron distribution functions, or EDF), Louarn et al.  
 107 (2018, conics–type EDF) and Collet et al. (2023, shell–type EDF). Recently, Louis et al.  
 108 (2020) showed with *in situ* Juno measurements that the radio emission induced by the  
 109 Jupiter–Ganymede interaction is indeed produced by the CMI, from a loss cone–type EDF,  
 110 i.e., a lack in the up–going electron population, with a characteristic energy of 4–15 keV.

111 Since Jupiter–satellite radio and UV emissions are expected/assumed to be colo-  
 112 cated (Hess et al., 2010), the question of the link between these emissions at two differ-  
 113 ent wavelengths naturally arises. In the Io case, we know that UV and radio auroral emis-  
 114 sions are produced by Alfvénic interactions, and that the main and secondary radio emis-  
 115 sions are respectively produced on the magnetic field lines connected to the main Alfvén  
 116 wing (MAW) and reflected Alfvén wing (RAW) spots, and highly suspected for the Tran-  
 117 shemispheric Electron Beam (TEB) spots (Hess et al., 2010; Lamy et al., 2022). But no  
 118 simultaneous *in situ* measurements have yet been analyzed. In the Ganymede case, Louis  
 119 et al. (2020) showed, extending the work of Szalay et al. (2020a), that radio emission is  
 120 produced above a magnetic flux tube mapping to a UV RAW spot. Hue et al. (2022) showed  
 121 that radio emission seems to be produced above the TEB spot. Finally in the Europa  
 122 case, UV emissions have been observed at the moon’s footprint and along the moon’s  
 123 footprint tail (Bonfond et al., 2017a, 2017b; Allegrini et al., 2020; Hue et al., 2023; Ra-  
 124 bbia et al., 2023), but no simultaneous observation of UV and radio emissions has yet been  
 125 analyzed.

126 This study is a follow–up of the Louis et al. (2020) analysis, focusing on the three  
 127 known types of Jupiter–satellite radio emissions. In Section 2, we briefly recall the the-  
 128 ory of the Cyclotron Maser Instability. In Section 3, we present the observations of Jupiter–  
 129 Io (J–I), –Europa (J–E) and –Ganymede (J–G) radio emission source crossings and cal-  
 130 culate the CMI growth rate (whenever possible) and determine the emission parameters.  
 131 Finally, in Section 4, we summarize and discuss the results.

## 2 The Cyclotron Maser Instability

The CMI is known to be responsible for the production of auroral radio emission of Earth, Saturn and Jupiter (Wu & Lee, 1979; Le Queau et al., 1984a, 1984b; Wu, 1985; Pritchett, 1986; Treumann, 2006; Mutel et al., 2010; Lamy et al., 2010; Kurth et al., 2011; Louarn et al., 2017, 2018).

In a tenuous and sufficiently magnetized plasma, i.e., wherever the electron plasma frequency  $f_{pe}$  is much lower than the electron cyclotron frequency  $f_{ce}$ , and with weakly out-of-equilibrium/non-maxwellian relativistic electrons, the CMI can directly amplify X-mode waves at a frequency close to the electron cyclotron frequency  $f_{ce}$ . The radio waves are then emitted at a certain beaming angle  $\theta$  from the local magnetic field  $B$  ( $k \cos \theta = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{B} = k_{\parallel}$  the parallel component of the wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ ), which by symmetry around the local magnetic field line forms a hollow cone of emission. The CMI is a wave–electron instability for which the resonance condition is reached when the Doppler–shifted angular frequency of the wave in the frame of the electrons ( $\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{r\parallel}$ ) is equal to the relativistic gyration frequency of resonant electrons ( $\omega_{ce} \Gamma_r^{-1}$ ):

$$\omega = \omega_{ce} \Gamma_r^{-1} + k_{\parallel} v_{r\parallel} \quad , \quad (1)$$

with  $\mathbf{k}$  the wave vector and  $v_r$  the velocity of the resonant particle, and  $\Gamma_r^{-1} = \sqrt{1 - v_r^2/c^2}$  the relativistic Lorentz factor. The  $\perp$  and  $\parallel$  indices represent the perpendicular and parallel components of the wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  or the velocity  $v_r$  with respect to the magnetic field  $B$ .

In the weakly relativistic case ( $v_r \ll c$ ), the above resonance condition can be rewritten as the equation for a resonant circle in the  $[v_{\perp}, v_{\parallel}]$  velocity space:

$$v_{\perp}^2 + (v_{\parallel} - v_0)^2 = v_r^2 \quad , \quad (2)$$

defined by its center:

$$v_0 = \frac{k_{\parallel} c^2}{\omega_{ce}} \quad , \quad (3)$$

and its radius

$$v_r = \sqrt{v_0^2 - 2c^2 \Delta\omega} \quad , \quad (4)$$

with

$$\Delta\omega = (\omega - \omega_{ce})/\omega_{ce} \quad (5)$$

the frequency shift between the emission frequency and the cyclotron electron frequency.

For the CMI to amplify radio emissions, the wave growth rate calculated along this resonance circle must be positive. The simplified version of the growth rate expression used by Louarn et al. (2017, 2018) and Louis et al. (2020) is well adapted to the amplification of X-mode waves propagating at frequencies close to  $f_{ce}$ , for a refraction index  $N = 1$  and a moderately energetic ( $E \ll 511$  keV) and low-density ( $f_{pe} \ll f_{ce}$ ) plasma. But this expression contains an approximation at low pitch angle, and therefore applies only to growth rate calculation in the loss cone. Therefore to generalize the calculation of growth rate in the whole electron distribution function, we use the expression of Collet et al. (2023), derived from the dispersion relation in X mode from Le Queau et al. (1984b) (see Annexe A of Collet et al., 2023, for the full demonstration of the growth rate expression). They assumed that the plasma is composed of one cold population at thermodynamic equilibrium that support wave propagation and one non-thermal energetic (or hot) population that feeds the instability.

$$\gamma = \frac{(\frac{\pi}{2}\epsilon_h)^2}{1 + (\frac{\epsilon_c}{2\Delta\omega})^2} c^2 \int_0^\pi d\phi v_r^2 \sin^2(\phi) \frac{\partial f_h}{\partial v_\perp}(v_0 + v_r \cos(\phi), v_r \sin(\phi)) \quad (6)$$

170 In this equation  $\epsilon_\alpha = \omega_{p\alpha}/\omega_{ce}$ , where  $\omega_{p\alpha}$  is the plasma frequency of the hot ( $\alpha =$   
 171 h) or cold ( $\alpha = c$ ) electrons.  $f_h$  is the normalized electron distribution function of hot  
 172 electrons ( $\int f_h dv^3 = 1$ ). In practice, the factor to normalize the distribution function  
 173 is  $c^3 10^{-18}/n_e$ , where  $n_e$  is the electron density (in  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ). In this study, the hot electron  
 174 density is the one measured by JADE-E for electrons above 1 keV energy (as in Collet  
 175 et al., 2023). Note that, in the examples presented in Section 3, the mean ratio between  
 176 hot and cold population is  $n_{\text{hot}}/n_{\text{total}} = 0.3$ .

177 Equation 6 means that the growth rate is obtained by integrating  $\partial f_h/\partial v_\perp$  along  
 178 a resonant circle in the normalized velocity space  $[v_\parallel, v_\perp]$ , defined by its center  $v_0$  (Equa-  
 179 tion 3), its radius  $v_r$  (Equation 4) and the angle  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$  along this circle.

180 By calculating and maximizing the growth rate, we are able to assess the most CMI-  
 181 unstable electron population and characterize the resulting amplified waves, and then  
 182 obtain the characteristics of the emission (e.g., the energy of the resonant electrons and  
 183 the aperture of the beaming angle).

184 One of the 3 anodes of JADE-E is unfortunately not functional. As a result, due  
 185 to Juno's spin and its orientation with respect to the magnetic field lines, some pitch an-  
 186 gles may not be sampled (up to one third of the electron distribution function). In or-  
 187 der to calculate the growth rate of the wave along the different resonance circles in ve-  
 188 locity space, JADE-E needs to sample sufficient pitch angles. If too much of the EDF  
 189 measurement is missing (60% along a resonant circle), we cannot calculate the growth  
 190 rate and determine the characteristics.

191 However, assuming that Juno is located within the radio source region (if the ra-  
 192 dio emission is observed very close to, or even below,  $f_{ce}$ ), we are still able to obtain some  
 193 information about the source size and the characteristics of the emission, by measuring  
 194 the emission frequency observed by Juno/Waves data. If the EDF is of shell type, i.e.  
 195 if  $f \leq f_{ce}$ , then the resonant circle is centered on  $v_0 = 0$ , therefore  $k_\parallel = 0$  (see Equa-  
 196 tion 3), and Equation 1 can then be rewritten as:

$$\omega_{shell} = \omega_{ce} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_r^2}{c^2}} \quad . \quad (7)$$

197 Thus, from the measurements of the local electron cyclotron frequency ( $\omega_{ce} = 2\pi f_{ce}$ )  
 198 and the emission frequency  $f_{shell}$ , and using  $E = 0.5 \times m_e v^2$  (with  $m_e = 511 \text{ keV}/c^2$   
 199 the electron mass), the electron energy in keV in the shell-driven CMI case can be writ-  
 200 ten as:

$$E = 255.5 \times \left( 1 - \left( \frac{f_{shell}}{f_{ce}} \right)^2 \right) \quad . \quad (8)$$

201 In the case of a loss-cone (lc) type EDF, i.e. if  $f > f_{ce}$ , the resonant equation can  
 202 be rewritten as (for more details see Equations 2–12 of Louis et al., 2019b):

$$\omega_{lc} = \frac{\omega_{ce}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_r^2}{c^2}}} \quad , \quad (9)$$

203 and therefore the electron energy in keV in the loss cone-driven CMI case can be writ-  
 204 ten as:

$$E = 255.5 \times \left( 1 - \left( \frac{f_{ce}}{f_{lc}} \right)^2 \right) \quad (10)$$

### 3 Observations and Analysis of Radio Emission Sources Crossings

Due to the large extension of Io's tail (Szalay et al., 2020b) and to Juno's polar orbit, the spacecraft crossed Io's magnetic flux tubes at least twice every orbit (North, then South). However, electron fluxes connected to Io's UV aurora are not observed in appreciable or detectable amounts by Juno/JADE-E every transit of these flux tubes. Therefore, during the first 26 Juno perijoves, 18 cases of electron fluxes connected to Io's magnetic flux tube have been reported using the JADE-E measurements (Szalay et al., 2020b). By studying the data from perijoves (PJ) #27 to #31, we report five more cases of Io's tail flux tube crossing where electron fluxes were measured. In the Europa case, electron fluxes connected to Europa's UV aurora were measured ten times (Allegrini et al., 2020; Rabia et al., 2023). Finally, electron flux connected to Ganymede's UV aurora were measured only two times. The first one during PJ #20 (reported by Szalay et al., 2020a; Louis et al., 2020) and the second one during PJ #30 (studied in details by Hue et al., 2022).

For all moon's flux tube crossings detected by JADE-E, we investigate Waves observations to look for radio emission located below 1 % the local electron cyclotron frequency  $f_{ce}$  (determined from the local magnetic field amplitude measured by the MAG instrument). We therefore considered these cases as a potential crossing of a radio source. We then study the EDF obtained from JADE-E. Szalay et al. (2020a, 2020b); Allegrini et al. (2020); Rabia et al. (2023) studied the downward electrons and the production of UV emissions linked to these downward electron currents, as well as the presence of Alfvénic current systems capable of accelerating these electrons. We study here the CMI-unstability of measured EDF, in the continuity of Louis et al. (2020). To go further than Louis et al. (2020), we study instability in the whole EDF, to search not only for loss-cone type instabilities, but also shell type. We also study the upward and downward electrons, as well as the magnetic field perturbation, to determine the presence of field-aligned currents (FAC) using Wang et al. (2021) and Al Saati et al. (2022) method and Alfvén perturbations capable of accelerating electrons.

Downtail distances with respect to the main spot were recently revised using Juno/UVS data. Over 1,600 spectral images of the Io, Europa, and Ganymede UV footprint were analyzed to provide statistical positions of the main Alfvén wing spots. This allowed Hue et al. (2023) to estimate the distance from Juno to the main spot at the time of the source crossings that will be described in this Section, as well as derived observationally an estimation of the Alfvén travel time for each three moons. Note that the actual position of the main Alfvén wing spots is affected by the background magnetospheric conditions (density of the plasma sheet along the field line connected to the satellite footprint and/or magnetic field strength), and therefore shifts of the main Alfvén wing spots mapped to the equatorial region up to  $\pm 2^\circ$  (Io),  $\pm 4^\circ$  (Europa) and  $\pm 5^\circ$  (Ganymede) are not unusual (See Hue et al., 2023, Figures 4, 5, 7). A negative distance to the main spot therefore translate either (i) a source crossing associated with a transhemispheric electron beam located much upstream of the Alfvén wing spots, or (ii) a change in the plasma condition (e.g., lower plasmashet density and/or higher magnetic field magnitude).

#### 3.1 Jupiter-Io radio emission source crossings

Out of the 23 cases where electron fluxes connected to Io's tail flux tube were measured, simultaneous radio emissions below  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$  were observed in only 4 cases. Figure 1 displays Juno measurements around an Io flux tube encounter, during PJ#5 on 2017-03-27 (2017 March 27th) in the Southern hemisphere (already reported by Louis et al., 2019a). Panel (A) presents the Juno/Waves measurements (in low-resolution mode,

252 Kurth et al., 2017) around the perijove (from  $\sim -1.5$  h before to  $\sim 2.5$  h after). Panel  
 253 (B) is a 5 min zoom-in of panel (A) using Juno/Waves high-resolution mode. The de-  
 254 creasing solid-black and dashed-black lines in panels (A,B) represent respectively the  
 255 electron cyclotron frequency  $f_{ce}$  and  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$ . Panels (C)–(E) show the Juno/JADE-  
 256 E measurements of (C) the electron differential number flux (or intensity), (D) the elec-  
 257 tron distribution function of upgoing electrons and (E) the partial electron density (where  
 258 all the energy population  $< 0.1$  keV is not accounted for). Figure 1F displays the FAC  
 259 calculated based on Al Saati et al. (2022)’s method (see sections 1.3 and 2 of their SI  
 260 for more details). This method used the residual magnetic field perturbation  $\delta B$ , defined  
 261 as the difference between the Juno/MAG magnetic field measurements and the magnetic  
 262 field values obtain from the combination of the Connerney et al. (2018) magnetic field  
 263 and Connerney et al. (1981) current sheet models. The FAC are then calculated from  
 264 the residual magnetic field perturbation in the azimuthal direction ( $\delta B_\phi$ ).

265 Figure 1B displays an emission very close to  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$ , while we observe an en-  
 266 hancement in the electron energy flux (panel C) at a few keV, a strong intensification  
 267 in the distribution function (panel D), an increase of the electron density (panel F) and  
 268 a clear upward current surrounded by downward FAC (panel G). Figure S1 in Support-  
 269 ing Information displays the magnetic field fluctuations for all the magnetic field com-  
 270 ponents in spherical coordinates. The magnetic field perturbation associated to the FAC  
 271 shows clear fluctuations in the transverse component (perpendicular to B, correspond-  
 272 ing to  $\delta B_\phi$  and  $\delta B_\theta$ ) while no fluctuations are observed in the radial component ( $\delta B_r$ ).  
 273 The fluctuations are therefore confined to the transverse components, which is indica-  
 274 tive of a lack of horizontal current, and therefore indicative of FAC (displayed Figure 1G).  
 275 Moreover, no fluctuations are seen in the total magnetic field magnitude  $\delta|B|$ , indicat-  
 276 ing that these variations are Alfvénic in nature (Gershman et al., 2019; Kotsiaros et al.,  
 277 2019).

278 Figures 2A–B display the electron distribution function in the velocity space mea-  
 279 sured by Juno/JADE-E at (A) 09:30:52 and (B) 09:31:00. From these data and Equa-  
 280 tion 6 we can calculate the normalized growth rate of the emission along different res-  
 281 onant circles to determine the unstable electron population. Figures 2C–D show the es-  
 282 timated normalized growth rate  $\gamma/\omega_{ce}$  along resonant circles in the whole EDF, calcu-  
 283 lated for different centers (x-axis) and different radii (y-axis). Figures 2E–F displays the  
 284 growth rate  $\gamma$  as a function  $\Delta\omega$  for each resonant circle displaying a positive growth rate.

285 Positive growth rates are obtained for the EDF of Figure 2A, and not for the EDF  
 286 of Figure 2B. Only resonant circles inside the theoretical loss cone show positive growth  
 287 rate (blue circles and orange stars in Figure 2E), while no positive growth rate are found  
 288 for shell-type resonant circles (green diamonds). By doing this calculation before, dur-  
 289 ing and after the crossing of the Io’s tail flux tube (see Figure 1E), we are able to de-  
 290 termine when Juno is in the source, and thus determine its size and the characteristics  
 291 of the emission. In this case, positive growth rate are obtained along loss-cone type re-  
 292 sonant circles from 09:30:51 to 09:30:59 ( $\pm 1$  sec). This time interval is indicated in Fig-  
 293 ure 1 by the two vertical dashed red lines. Juno’s velocity being  $\sim 45$  km/s during this  
 294 time, we determined that the source size is  $360 \pm 45$  km. From the growth rate calcu-  
 295 lation, we can determine that the energy of the resonant electrons responsible for this  
 296 emission is in the range [1–15] keV, with an opening angle  $\theta$  of the beaming cone in the  
 297 range  $[74^\circ\text{--}85^\circ]$ . To determine this value, we used Equation 7 of Louis et al. (2020):

$$\theta = \text{acos}(\beta_0/(1 + \Delta\omega)) \quad , \quad (11)$$

298 based on the assumptions of Section 2.

299 It is interesting to note that enhanced electron fluxes are observed for the period  
 300 of 09:30:51 to 09:31:02 (determined as the flux tube crossing, Szalay et al., 2020b), while  
 301 the J–I radio source is only crossed from 09:30:51 to 09:30:59 (determined from the growth




Figure 1: Caption on next page

Figure 1: Juno data during Perijove 5, on 27 March 2017. Panels (A,B) display Juno/Waves data (A) in low-resolution mode and (B) in high-resolution mode. The solid-black lines represent the electron cyclotron frequency derived from the magnetic field measurements of Juno/MAG, and the dashed-black line is  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$ . Panels (C–D) display Juno/JADE–E measurements: (C) the electron differential number flux (or intensity) of all electrons; (D) the electron distribution function for energy in range [2–21] keV as a function of pitch angles; Panels (E) displays the normalized growth rate  $\gamma/\omega_{ce}$  maximal value calculated using Equation 6. Panel (F) shows the partial electron density calculated from the JADE–E flux. Panel (G) shows the field-aligned currents calculated based on Al Saati et al. (2022)’s method, using magnetic field fluctuations in the azimuthal direction ( $\delta B_\phi$ ) deduced from the Juno/MAG measurements. The vertical dashed black lines represent the flux tube crossing as inferred from JADE data, while vertical dashed red lines represent the time interval where positive growth rate are calculated from JADE–E measurements. The vertical solid and dashed green lines indicate where the electron distribution functions displayed in Figure 2 are taken. Panel (H) displays a UV map of the southern hemisphere, using Juno/UVS measurements from 09:35:49 to 09:55:20. The red line indicates Juno’s trajectory, with the red dots its position at the start and end time of the measurements used for this image. Io UV footprint is highlighted by the red ellipse.

302 rate calculation), which corresponds to the time where Juno is inside a downward cur-  
 303 rent (corresponding to upward electrons). When Juno is located inside an upward cur-  
 304 rent (i.e., downward electrons), no positive growth rate are obtained.

305 The same method is applied to the data from PJ#6 (North) on 2017–05–19, dur-  
 306 ing which a J–I radio source is crossed between 05:39:31 and 05:39:39 (see Figure S2),  
 307 and to PJ#29 (North) on 2020–09–16 during which a J–I radio source is crossed between  
 308 02:00:34 and 02:00:36 (see Figure S3). For the crossing of the J–I radio source that oc-  
 309 curs in the northern hemisphere during PJ#5 on 2017–03–26 around 08:34:40 (see Fig-  
 310 ure S4), we do not have JADE–E measurements of the upgoing electrons, and we there-  
 311 fore can not calculate the growth rate. Since no radio emission is observed below  $f_{ce}$ ,  
 312 we therefore assume that loss-cone EDF remain the prominent source of free energy for  
 313 the CMI, and we therefore use Equation 10 to determine the electron energy. The re-  
 314 sults for these three crossings, i.e., radio source size, resonant electron energy,  $f_{\text{emission}}$   
 315 and opening angle of the beaming cone, are summarized Table 1.

316 As for PJ#5 (South), FAC and Alfvénic perturbations are observed during PJ#5  
 317 (North) and PJ#29 (North) Io’s flux tube crossing (see Figures S4 and S3, respectively),  
 318 with perturbations in the transverse components of the magnetic field ( $\delta B_\phi$  and  $\delta B_\theta$ )  
 319 while no perturbation is observed in the radial ( $\delta B_r$ ) and compressive ( $\delta|B|$ ) components.  
 320 Furthermore, as for PJ#5 (South), the radio source is not crossed anywhere inside Io’s  
 321 flux tube, but only when Juno is located inside a downward current (i.e., upward elec-  
 322 trons). During PJ#6 (North), nothing is observed in the magnetic field perturbations,  
 323 which could be due to the fact that the electron density is very low ( $< 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), which  
 324 could induce a perturbation too weak for the MAG instrument to detect.

325 Based on the recalculation of the downtail distance to the main spot of Io  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$   
 326 (Hue et al., 2023), the J–I radio emission source crossings of PJ#5 North, PJ#5 South,  
 327 PJ#6 South are all associated with a Reflected Alfvén Wing (RAW) spot downtail of  
 328 Io. The intensity of the radio emission seems to be quite similar for crossings occurring  
 329 close to the main spot, with an intensity of  $2\text{--}3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ V}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Hz}^{-1}$  when  $3.3^\circ < \Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} <$   
 330  $10.8^\circ$ . The intensity seems to be lower when  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$  is large, with an intensity of  $8 \times$   
 331  $10^{-8} \text{ V}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{Hz}^{-1}$  for  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} = 87.4^\circ$ .




Figure 2: Caption on next page

Figure 2: Panels (A,B): electron distribution function in the velocity space  $[v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}]$  measured by JADE-E on 2017-03-27 at (A) 09:30:52 (inside the Io tail radio source, see vertical solid green line Figure 1) and (B) 09:31:00 (outside the Io tail radio source, but inside Io's flux tube, see vertical dashed green line Figure 1). In that case, the  $v_{\parallel} < 0$  part of the EDF represents upgoing electrons, while  $v_{\parallel} > 0$  represents downward electrons. The colorbar and the isocontours are shown using a logarithmic scale in units of  $s^3.km^{-6}$ . The radial red thick line indicates the theoretical loss cone value. The blue circular half-circle in panel (A) display the resonant circle with the highest growth rate. Panels (C,D): Normalized growth rate ( $\gamma/\omega_{ce}$ ) estimates for different resonant circles at different centers  $v_0$  and radii  $v_r$ . Panels (E,F): Normalized growth rate as a function of the frequency shift  $\Delta\omega$  between the emission frequency and the cyclotron electron frequency (see Equation 5 for all resonant circle with positive growth rate  $\gamma$ ). Blue circles represent growth rate for resonant circles tangential to the theoretical value of the loss cone. Orange stars represent growth rate for resonant circle inside the theoretical loss cone. Both are considered as loss-cone type instabilities. Green diamonds represent growth rate for resonant circles of shell-type.

332

### 3.2 Jupiter-Europa radio emission

333

334

335

During the 26 first perijoves, enhanced electron fluxes connected to Europa's UV aurora were measured ten times (Allegrini et al., 2020; Rabia et al., 2023). A radio source was crossed only during PJ#12 on the Northern hemisphere, on 2018-04-01 around 08:15:44.

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

Figure 3 displays Juno/Waves (panels A-B), Juno/JADE-E measurements (panels C-E) and Juno/UVS (panel F) during the crossing of flux tube connected to a Europa's downtail UV footprint (Allegrini et al., 2020). During the time of the flux tube crossing, determined by the enhancement of the electron flux in JADE-E measurements (Figures 3C-D), a radio emission is observed below  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$  (Figure 3B). However, the JADE-E instruments did not record data of upgoing electrons in the loss cone during this time. We therefore cannot calculate the loss-cone or shell CMI growth rate for this EDF. Since no radio emission is observed below  $f_{ce}$ , we therefore assume that loss-cone EDF remain the prominent source of free energy for the CMI. We then apply Equation 10 to estimate the energy of the resonant electron. Looking at the Juno/Waves measurements (Figure 3B), we determine that the radio emission observed during Europa's flux tube crossing is emitted at a frequency between 0.7 and 1.5% above the electron cyclotron frequency  $f_{ce}$  (solid dark line). This frequency measurement leads to an energy of the resonant electrons in the range [3-8] keV, and an opening angle of the beaming cone in the range  $[79^{\circ}-84^{\circ}]$ .

351

352

353

354

The MAG measurements of the magnetic field perturbation show no strong variation of the different components. Once again, as in the case of PJ#6N for Io, the electron density is very low ( $\sim 2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) which could induce perturbations too weak and/or too short for the MAG instrument to detect.

355

356

357

358

Based on the latest work of Rabia et al. (2023) and the recalculation of  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}}$  (Hue et al., 2023), we can conclude that the J-E radio source crossed during PJ#12 is associated with a Transhemispheric Electron Beam (TEB) spot uptail of the main Europa UV spot.

359

### 3.3 Jupiter-Ganymede radio emission

360

361

So far, flux tubes connected to Ganymede footprint tail aurora have been crossed twice: the first one during PJ #20 on 2019-05-19 between 07:37:14 and 07:37:32 (reported




Figure 3: Panels (A,B) display Juno/Waves data (A) in low-resolution mode and (B) in high-resolution mode. The solid-black lines represent the electron cyclotron frequency derived from the magnetic field measurements of Juno/MAG, and the dashed-black line is  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$ . Panels (C-E) display Juno/JADE-E measurements: (C) the electron differential number flux (or intensity) of all electrons; (D) the electron distribution function for energy in range [2–21] keV only for pitch angles [0°–60°] corresponding to up-going electrons; (E) partial electron density calculated from the JADE-E flux. Panel (F) displays a UV map of the northern hemisphere, using Juno/UVS measurements from 08:50:24 to 09:10:20. The red line indicates Juno’s trajectory, with the red dots its position at the start and end time of the measurements used for this image. Europa UV footprint is highlighted by the red ellipse.

362 by Szalay et al., 2020a; Louis et al., 2020) and the second one during PJ #30, on 2020–  
363 11–08 around 02:55:02 (Hue et al., 2022).

364 We already reported the PJ#20N crossing in Louis et al. (2020), but at that time,  
365 we did not look at the Juno/MAG measurements, which was done by Szalay et al. (2020a)  
366 (and plotted in Figure S5). During this Ganymede footprint tail aurora flux tube cross-  
367 ing, fluctuations in the transverse components ( $\delta B_\phi$  and  $\delta B_\theta$ ) were observed, while no  
368 fluctuations were measured in the radial ( $\delta B_r$ ) and compressive ( $\delta|B|$ ) components, which  
369 indicates the presence of field-aligned currents and Alfvénic perturbations. As for the  
370 J–I radio emission sources, it should be noted that the radio source is only crossed when  
371 Juno is in a downward current (i.e., upward electrons). Based on Szalay et al. (2020a);  
372 Louis et al. (2020), this radio emissions is associated with a RAW UV spot (with a  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} =$   
373  $8^\circ$ ). However, based on the recent work of Hue et al. (2023), for this J–G radio source,  
374  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} = -1.8^\circ$ . Therefore, due to the error of  $\pm 5^\circ$  on the position of the MAW for  
375 Ganymede (due to possible change in the *in situ* plasma condition, see penultimate para-  
376 graph of Section 1), it appears that the J–G radio source crossed during PJ#20, is con-  
377 nected not to the RAW spot, but to the MAW spot.

378 Concerning the second case, during PJ#30 on 2020–11–08 (northern hemisphere),  
379 Ganymede footprint tail aurora flux tube was crossed around 02:55:02, with radio emis-  
380 sion tangent to  $1.01 \times f_{ce}$  at the same time (see Hue et al., 2022). Unfortunately, the  
381 field-of-view of JADE–E was unable to measure the upward electrons during Ganymede  
382 flux tube crossing. Therefore, we can only estimate the electron energy using Equation  
383 10 (as no radio emission is observed below  $f_{ce}$ ). Around 02:55:02, Waves measured a ra-  
384 dio emission between 1.804 MHz and 1.894 MHz. Based on the Juno/MAG measurements  
385 of the magnetic field amplitude,  $f_{ce} = 1.7857$  MHz. These values of the emission fre-  
386 quency lead to an estimation of the resonant electron energy in the range [5.1–28.5] keV,  
387 and an aperture angle of the beaming cone in the range [70°–81°], provided that Juno  
388 actually flew through the radio source. During the crossing, MAG measurements show  
389 a  $\sim 10$  nT perturbation in  $\delta B_\phi$ , while no perturbation is observed in the radial  $\delta B_r$  and  
390 compressive  $\delta|B|$  components, which indicates again the presence of Alfvénic perturba-  
391 tions and field-aligned currents, with an upward current equatorward of a downward cur-  
392 rent.

393 By using UVS, JADE and MAG measurements, Hue et al. (2022) demonstrate that  
394 the UV spot connected to the crossed magnetic field lines is fully consistent with a Tran-  
395 shemispheric Electron Beam (TEB), which is reinforced by the  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} = -7^\circ$  calcu-  
396 lated using Hue et al. (2023) model. Therefore, we can also conclude that the J–G ra-  
397 dio emission source crossed during PJ#30 is associated with a Transhemispheric Elec-  
398 tron Beam (TEB) spot uptail of the main Ganymede UV spot.

399 The results for these two J–G radio source crossings are summarized in Table 1.

## 400 4 Summary and Discussion

401 Concerning the characteristics of the radio emission, the results are similar for the  
402 three Galilean moons Io, Europa and Ganymede, in terms of driving mechanism (CMI),  
403 electron energy, and beaming. The *in situ* measurements by JADE–E show that the ra-  
404 dio emission is triggered by the Cyclotron Maser Instability, driven by a loss-cone elec-  
405 tron distribution function. No unstable shell-type electron distribution function are de-  
406 tected in JADE–E measurements. The energy of the resonant electrons is in the range  
407 [1–20] keV, and the half-opening cone angle is in the range [74°–86°].

408 These values are in agreement with those recently obtained using ground-based radio  
409 observation, such as the Nançay Decameter Array or NenuFAR, such as the recent  
410 work of Lamy et al. (2022) who determined for Io an opening angle  $\theta(f)$  in the range [70°–  
411 80°] and electron energies in the range [3–16] keV. For Europa, Lamy et al. (2023) mea-

Table 1: Results for the Jupiter–Io, Jupiter–Europa and Jupiter–Ganymede radio emissions source crossings. Is given for each crossing: the name of the moon; the hemisphere of the emission; the associated perijoves; the date and time interval of the radio source crossing as inferred from growth rate calculation when JADE data were available; the JADE data availability; the minimal frequency reached by the radio emission (in MHz); the frequency bandwidth of the emission (in percentage above  $f_{ce}$ ); the maximum intensity (in  $V^2.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$ ) of the emission; the maximum estimated flux (in  $W.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$ ) of the emission (based on Louis et al., 2021a, 2023); the electron energy (in keV); the opening half-angle of the beaming cone (in  $^\circ$ ); the radio source size (in km); the downtail distance to the Main Alfvén Wing spot  $\Delta\lambda_{Alfvén}$  (Hue et al., 2023); the associated UV emission at the footprint of the magnetic field line associated to the source (MAW: Main Alfvén Wing; RAW: Reflected Alfvén Wing; TEB: Transhemispheric Electron Beam).

Moon	Io	Io	Io	Io	Europa	Ganymede	Ganymede
Hemisphere	South	North	North	North	North	North	South
Perijove	PJ5	PJ5	PJ6	PJ29	PJ12	PJ20	PJ30
Date (Year–Month–Day)	2017–03–27	2017–03–27	2017–05–19	2020–09–16	2018–04–01	2019–05–29	2020–11–08
Time interval (HH:MM:SS)	09:30:51–59	around 08:34:40	05:39:31–39	02:00:34–36	around 09:15:44	07:37:25–30	around 02:55:02
JADE data	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
$f_{min}$ (MHz)	4.7	20.8	12.8	27.7	6.7	6.5	1.8
$f_{emission}$ ( $\% > f_{ce}$ )	$3–18 \times 10^{-3}$	$3–29 \times 10^{-3}$	$2–14 \times 10^{-3}$	$5–40 \times 10^{-3}$	$7–15 \times 10^{-3}$	$5–21 \times 10^{-3}$	$5–40 \times 10^{-3}$
Intensity max. ( $V^2.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$ )	$3 \times 10^{-6}$	$3 \times 10^{-6}$	$8 \times 10^{-8}$	$2 \times 10^{-6}$	$1 \times 10^{-7}$	$1 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-9}$
Estimated flux max. ( $W.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$ )	$4.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.08 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.7 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.2 \times 10^{-9}$
Electron energy (keV)	1–15	2–20	1–5	3–10	3–8	4–15	2–20
Opening angle	$74–85^\circ$	$74–85^\circ$	$77–86^\circ$	$73–84^\circ$	$79–84^\circ$	$76–83^\circ$	$74–85^\circ$
Radio source size (km)	$360 \pm 45$	$500 \pm 100$	$415 \pm 50$	$250 \pm 50$	$200 \pm 49$	$250 \pm 50$	$75 \pm 50$
$\Delta\lambda_{Alfvén}$ ( $^\circ$ )	$3.3^\circ$	$10.8^\circ$	$87.4^\circ$	$7.8^\circ$	$-10.5^\circ$	$-1.8^\circ$	$-7^\circ$
Associated UV emission	RAW	RAW	RAW	RAW	TEB	MAW	TEB

412 sured on an unique detection an opening angle in the range  $\theta = [80^\circ–86^\circ]$  and an elec-  
 413 tron energy in the range  $[0.5–3]$  keV. For Ganymede, the observations of three emissions  
 414 lead them to a determination of a beaming angle in the range  $\theta = [71^\circ–87^\circ]$  and an elec-  
 415 tron energy in the range  $[0.5–15]$  keV

416 The radio sources have a latitudinal extent of a few hundreds of kilometers. In the  
 417 cases where we are able to constrain the radio source location (provided that we have  
 418 JADE–E measurement of the up–going electrons), the sources were not crossed anywhere  
 419 in the flux tube, but only in the downward field–aligned currents.

420 Based on the previous works of Szalay et al. (2020a, 2020b); Louis et al. (2020);  
 421 Hue et al. (2022); Rabia et al. (2023) and the recalculated downtail distances from the  
 422 UV moon main spot using Hue et al. (2023), we also concluded that in the case of Io,  
 423 all radio source crossed were associated with a RAW UV spot. These crossed radio sources  
 424 are therefore associated with the secondary radio emissions observed in the usual dynamic  
 425 spectrum.

426 In the case of Europa, the only case of radio emission source so far is associated  
 427 with a TEB spot. Finally, for Ganymede, one radio source is associated with a MAW  
 428 spot, while the second one is associated with a TEB spot. Even if we didn’t detect any  
 429 radio emission above TEB for Io, these results are in agreement with the interpretation  
 430 of the first identification of some Io–DAM linked to the TEB spot analyzed in Lamy et  
 431 al. (2022).

432 For each source crossed, we estimate the flux density (see Table 1) based on Louis  
 433 et al. (2021a) and Louis et al. (2023). The maximum values of the estimated flux den-  
 434 sity in the sources are quite similar between all cases close to the main UV spot, with  
 435 values in the range  $[1–8] \times 10^{-6} W.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$  in the interval  $-1.8^\circ < \Delta\lambda_{Alfvén} < 10.8^\circ$ ,  
 436 with a decrease of the intensity with long distance downtail ( $2.5 \times 10^{-7} W.m^{-2}.Hz^{-1}$   
 437 for the case at  $\Delta\lambda_{Alfvén} = 87.4^\circ$ ). But with only one case very far downtail, we can’t  
 438 produce a fit of this decrease as a function of  $\Delta\lambda_{Alfvén}$ . However, the maximal intensity  
 439 of the emission is quite smaller for the radio source crossed above a TEB spot ( $7.2 \times 10^{-9}$

440 and  $2.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ W.m}^{-2}.\text{Hz}^{-1}$ ). This could be related to the type of electron distribu-  
 441 tion, which seems different near tail (non-monotonic) than far tail (broadband), at least  
 442 for Europa with a separation at  $\Delta\lambda_{\text{Alfvén}} \simeq 4^\circ$  downtail to the Main spot (Rabia et al.,  
 443 2023).

444 From these latest observations, it therefore appears that the cyclotron maser in-  
 445 stability driven by a loss-cone electron distribution function is a common way of ampli-  
 446 fying radio emission at Jupiter. This is the case both for auroral radio emission (Louarn  
 447 et al., 2017, 2018) and for moon-induced radio emission (Louis et al., 2020; Hue et al.,  
 448 2022, and this present study). We have also shown here that the presence of Alfvénic  
 449 perturbation as well as field-aligned current are necessary for the radio emissions to be  
 450 amplified. The radio sources are located only in the downward part of the FAC, i.e. when  
 451 the current is carried by upgoing electrons. This supports the results obtained from very  
 452 high resolution observations (Zarka et al., 1996; Zarka, 2004; Hess et al., 2007a, 2007b;  
 453 Louis et al., 2022; Mauduit et al., 2023), which show that the millisecond bursts observed  
 454 in the J-I and J-G emissions present only negative drifts, i.e. upward-moving electrons.  
 455 Finally, radio emission are found to be associated with TEB, MAW and RAW spot at  
 456 the footprint of the flux tube connected to the moons.

457 However, the Cyclotron Maser Instability does not trigger radio emission at a de-  
 458 tectable level for Waves every time Juno is in the flux tube of the moons, even if UV emis-  
 459 sion is observed at the footprint of the flux tube in each case. Radio sources are crossed  
 460 in the two cases of Ganymede flux tube crossings. In contrast, a radio source is crossed  
 461 only once over ten Europa flux tube crossings, while for Io, only four radio sources are  
 462 crossed over 23 Io flux tube crossings. Therefore, it is clear that several criteria are nec-  
 463 essary to amplify a radio wave through the CMI to an observable intensity.

464 First, we knew that for the CMI to occur, a low energetic plasma is needed ( $f_{pe}/f_{ce} \ll$   
 465 0.1). But in this study, we also found that the CMI needs to have a sufficiently dense,  
 466 hot and energetic plasma to occur. If the ratio between  $f_{pe}$  and  $f_{ce}$  is too low, the in-  
 467 tegration of the  $\delta f/\delta v_\perp$  gradient along the resonant circles gives an insufficiently high  
 468 growth rate to amplify the wave to an observable intensity. For example, in the case where  
 469 UV emissions are observed at the footprint of the magnetic field lines, the too low elec-  
 470 tron density in the up-going electron population could be due to an enhanced loss of pre-  
 471 cipitating electrons in the Jovian ionosphere.

472 A second necessary condition seems to be the presence of an Alfvénic acceleration  
 473 process and field-aligned current. As suggested by Crary (1997), accelerated electron beams  
 474 –up to a few 10s of keV– could be created by repeated Fermi acceleration by field-aligned  
 475 electric fields produced by the Alfvén waves. If a fraction of this electron population pro-  
 476 duces UV aurorae at the footprint of the magnetic field lines and another fraction, keep-  
 477 ing the  $f_{pe}/f_{ce} \ll 0.1$  condition, is accelerated or reflected back upward, this creates  
 478 a partially empty upward loss cone in the electron distribution function. There are thus  
 479  $\partial f/\partial v_\perp$  gradients within the upward loss cone in the electron distribution function. This  
 480 therefore creates the instability needed to obtain positive growth rates (see Equation 6),  
 481 and thus amplify radio emissions via the loss cone-driven CMI.

482 To resolve the question of the conditions required to amplify a radio wave through  
 483 the CMI, more crossings of Jupiter-Moon radio emissions will be necessary, and future  
 484 Juno observations could further illuminate these important processes.

## 485 Data Availability Statement

486 The Juno data used in this manuscript are found at the Planetary Data System  
 487 at <https://doi.org/10.17189/1522461> for Waves data (Kurth & Piker, 2022), at <https://doi.org/10.17189/1519715> for JADE-E data (Allegrini et al., 2022) and at <https://doi.org/10.17189/1519711> for MAG data (Connerney, 2017).  
 489

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Juno mission team, especially the staff of the Waves, JADE and MAG instruments. C. K. L.'s work at IRAP was supported by CNES. CKL's and CMJ's work at DIAS was supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 18/FRL/6199. C. K. L. thanks E. Penou, for the help with the particle analysis through the CLWeb software. V. H. acknowledges support from the French government under the France 2030 investment plan, as part of the Initiative d'Excellence d'Aix-Marseille Université – A\*MIDEX AMX-22-CPJ-04. The research at the University of Iowa is supported by NASA through Contract 699041X with the Southwest Research Institute. The research at the Southwest Research Institute is supported by NASA New Frontiers Program for Juno through contract NNM06AA75C. The french authors acknowledge support from CNES and CNRS/INSU national programs of planetology (PNP) and heliophysics (PNST). The authors thank the anonymous referees for their thorough review.

## References

- Al Saati, S., Clément, N., Louis, C., Blanc, M., Wang, Y., André, N., ... Mauk, B. (2022, October). Magnetosphere-Ionosphere-Thermosphere Coupling Study at Jupiter Based on Juno's First 30 Orbits and Modeling Tools. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *127*(10), e2022JA030586. doi: 10.1029/2022JA030586
- Allegrini, F., Gladstone, G. R., Hue, V., Clark, G., Szalay, J. R., Kurth, W. S., ... Wilson, R. J. (2020, September). First Report of Electron Measurements During a Europa Footprint Tail Crossing by Juno. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(18), e89732. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089732
- Allegrini, F., Wilson, R. J., Ebert, R. W., & Loeffler, C. (2022). *JJUNO J/SW JOVIAN AURORAL DISTRIBUTION CALIBRATED V1.0, JNO-J/SW-JAD-3-CALIBRATED-V1.0 [dataset]*. doi: 10.17189/1519715
- Bagenal, F., Adriani, A., Allegrini, F., Bolton, S. J., Bonfond, B., Bunce, E. J., ... Zarka, P. (2017, November). Magnetospheric Science Objectives of the Juno Mission. *Space Science Reviews*, *213*, 219-287. doi: 10.1007/s11214-014-0036-8
- Bhattacharyya, D., Clarke, J. T., Montgomery, J., Bonfond, B., Gérard, J.-C., & Grodent, D. (2018, January). Evidence for Auroral Emissions From Callisto's Footprint in HST UV Images. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *123*, 364-373. doi: 10.1002/2017JA024791
- Bigg, E. K. (1964, September). Influence of the Satellite Io on Jupiter's Decametric Emission. *Nature*, *203*, 1008-1010. doi: 10.1038/2031008a0
- Bonfond, B., Grodent, D., Badman, S. V., Saur, J., Gérard, J.-C., & Radioti, A. (2017a, August). Similarity of the Jovian satellite footprints: Spots multiplicity and dynamics. *Icarus*, *292*, 208-217. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.01.009
- Bonfond, B., Saur, J., Grodent, D., Badman, S. V., Bisikalo, D., Shematovich, V., ... Radioti, A. (2017b, August). The tails of the satellite auroral footprints at Jupiter. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *122*(8), 7985-7996. doi: 10.1002/2017JA024370
- Clarke, J. T. (1998). Hubble space telescope imaging of jupiter's uv aurora during the galileo orbiter mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *103*(E9), 20217-20236. doi: 10.1029/98JE01130
- Clarke, J. T., Ajello, J., Ballester, G., Ben Jaffel, L., Connerney, J., Gérard, J.-C., ... Waite, J. H. (2002, February). Ultraviolet emissions from the magnetic footprints of Io, Ganymede and Europa on Jupiter. *Nature*, *415*, 997-1000.
- Collet, B., Lamy, L., Louis, C. K., Zarka, P., Prangé, R., Louarn, P., ... Kurth, W. S. (2023). Characterization of Jovian hectometric sources with Juno: statistical position and generation by shell-type electrons. In C. K. Louis, Jackman, C. M., G. Fischer, A. H. Sulaiman, & P. Zucca (Eds.), *Planetary*,

- 543 *solar and helispheric radio emissions ix.* Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. doi:  
544 10.25546/103095
- 545 Connerney, J. E. P. (2017). *Juno MAG CALIBRATED DATA V1.0, JNO-J-3-*  
546 *FGM-CAL-V1.0 [dataset]*. doi: 10.17189/1519711
- 547 Connerney, J. E. P., Acuna, M. H., & Ness, N. F. (1981, September). Modeling  
548 the Jovian current sheet and inner magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysics Re-*  
549 *search*, *86*, 8370-8384. doi: 10.1029/JA086iA10p08370
- 550 Connerney, J. E. P., Baron, R., Satoh, T., & Owen, T. (1993, November). Images of  
551 Excited H<sup>3+</sup> at the Foot of the Io Flux Tube in Jupiter's Atmosphere. *Sci-*  
552 *ence*, *262*, 1035-1038. doi: 10.1126/science.262.5136.1035
- 553 Connerney, J. E. P., Benn, M., Bjarno, J. B., Denver, T., Espley, J., Jorgensen,  
554 J. L., ... Smith, E. J. (2017, November). The Juno Magnetic Field Investiga-  
555 tion. *Space Science Reviews*, *213*, 39-138. doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0334-z
- 556 Connerney, J. E. P., Kotsiaros, S., Oliverson, R. J., Espley, J. R., Joergensen, J. L.,  
557 Joergensen, P. S., ... Levin, S. M. (2018, March). A New Model of Jupiter's  
558 Magnetic Field From Juno's First Nine Orbits. *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
559 *45*, 2590-2596. doi: 10.1002/2018GL077312
- 560 Crary, F. J. (1997, January). On the generation of an electron beam by Io. *Journal*  
561 *of Geophysical Research*, *102*(A1), 37-50. doi: 10.1029/96JA02409
- 562 Gershman, D. J., Connerney, J. E. P., Kotsiaros, S., DiBraccio, G. A., Martos,  
563 Y. M., -Viñas, A. F., ... Bolton, S. J. (2019, July). Alfvénic Fluctuations  
564 Associated With Jupiter's Auroral Emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
565 *46*(13), 7157-7165. doi: 10.1029/2019GL082951
- 566 Gladstone, G. R., Persyn, S. C., Eterno, J. S., Walther, B. C., Slater, D. C.,  
567 Davis, M. W., ... Denis, F. (2017, November). The Ultraviolet Spectro-  
568 graph on NASA's Juno Mission. *Space Science Reviews*, *213*, 447-473. doi:  
569 10.1007/s11214-014-0040-z
- 570 Goldreich, P., & Lynden-Bell, D. (1969, April). Io, a jovian unipolar inductor. *Astro-*  
571 *physical Journal*, *156*, 59-78. doi: 10.1086/149947
- 572 Hess, S. L. G., Cecconi, B., & Zarka, P. (2008, jul). Modeling of Io-Jupiter decame-  
573 ter arcs, emission beaming and energy source. *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
574 *35*, L13107. doi: 10.1029/2008GL033656
- 575 Hess, S. L. G., Delamere, P., Dols, V., Bonfond, B., & Swift, D. (2010, jun). Power  
576 transmission and particle acceleration along the Io flux tube. *Journal of Geo-*  
577 *physical Research (Space Physics)*, *115*, A06205. doi: 10.1029/2009JA014928
- 578 Hess, S. L. G., Mottez, F., & Zarka, P. (2007b, November). Jovian S burst gen-  
579 eration by Alfvén waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*,  
580 *112*(A11), A11212. doi: 10.1029/2006JA012191
- 581 Hess, S. L. G., Zarka, P., & Mottez, F. (2007a, January). Io Jupiter interaction, mil-  
582 lisecond bursts and field-aligned potentials. *Planetary Space Science*, *55*(1-2),  
583 89-99. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2006.05.016
- 584 Higgins, C. A. (2007, May). Satellite control of Jovian 2-6 MHz radio emission using  
585 Voyager data. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *112*, A05213.  
586 doi: 10.1029/2006JA012100
- 587 Hue, V., Gladstone, G. R., Louis, C. K., Greathouse, T. K., Bonfond, B., Szalay,  
588 J. R., ... Connerney, J. E. P. (2023, May). The Io, Europa, and Ganymede  
589 Auroral Footprints at Jupiter in the Ultraviolet: Positions and Equatorial  
590 Lead Angles. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *128*(5),  
591 e2023JA031363. doi: 10.1029/2023JA031363
- 592 Hue, V., Szalay, J. R., Greathouse, T. K., Bonfond, B., Kotsiaros, S., Louis, C. K.,  
593 ... Mauk, B. H. (2022, April). A Comprehensive Set of Juno In Situ and  
594 Remote Sensing Observations of the Ganymede Auroral Footprint. *Geophysical*  
595 *Research Letters*, *49*(7), e96994. doi: 10.1029/2021GL096994
- 596 Imai, M., Greathouse, T. K., Kurth, W. S., Gladstone, G. R., Louis, C. K., Zarka,  
597 P., ... Connerney, J. E. P. (2019, Jan). Probing Jovian Broadband Kilo-

- metric Radio Sources Tied to the Ultraviolet Main Auroral Oval With Juno. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(2), 571-579. doi: 10.1029/2018GL081227
- Imai, M., Kurth, W. S., Hospodarsky, G. B., Bolton, S. J., Connerney, J. E. P., & Levin, S. M. (2017, July). Direction-finding measurements of Jovian low-frequency radio components by Juno near Perijove 1. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 6508-6516. doi: 10.1002/2017GL072850
- Jácome, H. R. P., Marques, M. S., Zarka, P., Echer, E., Lamy, L., & Louis, C. K. (2022, September). Search for Jovian decametric emission induced by Europa on the extensive Nançay Decameter Array catalog. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 665, A67. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244246
- Kotsiaros, S., Connerney, J. E. P., Clark, G., Allegrini, F., Gladstone, G. R., Kurth, W. S., ... Levin, S. M. (2019, July). Birkeland currents in Jupiter's magnetosphere observed by the polar-orbiting Juno spacecraft. *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 904-909. doi: 10.1038/s41550-019-0819-7
- Kurth, W. S., Gurnett, D. A., Menietti, J. D., Mutel, R. L., Kivelson, M. G., Bunce, E. J., ... Morooka, M. (2011, January). A Close Encounter with a Saturn Kilometric Radiation Source Region. In H. O. Rucker, W. S. Kurth, P. Louarn, & G. Fischer (Eds.), *Planetary, solar and heliospheric radio emissions (pre vii)* (p. 75-85).
- Kurth, W. S., Hospodarsky, G. B., Kirchner, D. L., Mokrzycki, B. T., Averkamp, T. F., Robison, W. T., ... Zarka, P. (2017, November). The Juno Waves Investigation. *Space Science Reviews*, 213, 347-392. doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0396-y
- Kurth, W. S., & Piker, C. W. (2022). *JUNO E/J/S/SS WAVES CALIBRATED BURST FULL RESOLUTION V2.0, JNO-E/J/SS-WAV-3-CDR-BSTFULL-V2.0 [dataset]*. doi: 10.17189/1522461
- Lamy, L., Colombari, L., Zarka, P., Prangé, R., Marques, M. S., Louis, C. K., ... Yerin, S. (2022, April). Determining the Beaming of Io Decametric Emissions: A Remote Diagnostic to Probe the Io-Jupiter Interaction. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 127(4), e30160. doi: 10.1029/2021JA030160
- Lamy, L., Duchêne, A., Mauduit, E., Zarka, P., Yerin, S., Louis, C., ... Theureau, G. (2023). Probing Jupiter-satellite interactions from the beaming of their decametric emissions: the case of Europa and Ganymede. In C. K. Louis, Jackman, C. M., G. Fischer, A. H. Sulaiman, & P. Zucca (Eds.), *Planetary, solar and heliospheric radio emissions IX*. Trinity Dublin College, Ireland. doi: 10.25546/103097
- Lamy, L., Schippers, P., Zarka, P., Cecconi, B., Arridge, C. S., Dougherty, M. K., ... Coates, A. J. (2010, June). Properties of Saturn kilometric radiation measured within its source region. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(12), L12104. doi: 10.1029/2010GL043415
- Le Queau, D., Pellat, R., & Roux, A. (1984a, January). Direct generation of the auroral kilometric radiation by the maser synchrotron instability: An analytical approach. *Physics of Fluids*, 27(1), 247-265. doi: 10.1063/1.864520
- Le Queau, D., Pellat, R., & Roux, A. (1984b, May). Direct generation of the auroral kilometric radiation by the maser synchrotron instability: Physical mechanism and parametric study. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 89(A5), 2831-2841. doi: 10.1029/JA089iA05p02831
- Louarn, P., Allegrini, F., McComas, D. J., Valek, P. W., Kurth, W. S., André, N., ... Zink, J. L. (2017, May). Generation of the Jovian hectometric radiation: First lessons from Juno. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 4439-4446. doi: 10.1002/2017GL072923
- Louarn, P., Allegrini, F., McComas, D. J., Valek, P. W., Kurth, W. S., André, N., ... Wilson, R. J. (2018, September). Observation of Electron Conics by Juno: Implications for Radio Generation and Acceleration Processes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(18), 9408-9416. doi: 10.1029/2018GL078973

- 653 Louis, C. K., Hess, S. L. G., Cecconi, B., Zarka, P., Lamy, L., Aicardi, S., &  
654 Loh, A. (2019b, Jul). ExPRES: an Exoplanetary and Planetary Ra-  
655 dio Emissions Simulator. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *627*, A30. doi:  
656 10.1051/0004-6361/201935161
- 657 Louis, C. K., Jackman, C. M., Griebmeier, J. M., Wucknitz, O., McKenna, D. J.,  
658 Murphy, P. C., . . . Vocks, C. (2022, April). Method to observe Jupiter’s ra-  
659 dio emissions at high resolution using multiple LOFAR stations: a first case  
660 study of the Io-decametric emission using the Irish IE613, French FR606, and  
661 German DE604 stations. *RAS Techniques and Instruments*, *1*(1), 48-57. doi:  
662 10.1093/rasti/rzac005
- 663 Louis, C. K., Lamy, L., Zarka, P., Cecconi, B., & Hess, S. L. G. (2017b, September).  
664 Detection of Jupiter decametric emissions controlled by Europa and Ganymede  
665 with Voyager/PRA and Cassini/RPWS. *Journal of Geophysical Research*  
666 (*Space Physics*), *122*, 9228-9247. doi: 10.1002/2016JA023779
- 667 Louis, C. K., Lamy, L., Zarka, P., Cecconi, B., Imai, M., Kurth, W. S., . . . Levin,  
668 S. M. (2017a, September). Io-Jupiter decametric arcs observed by Juno/Waves  
669 compared to ExPRES simulations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *44*, 9225-  
670 9232. doi: 10.1002/2017GL073036
- 671 Louis, C. K., Louarn, P., Allegrini, F., Kurth, W. S., & Szalay, J. R. (2020, Octo-  
672 ber). Ganymede-Induced Decametric Radio Emission: In Situ Observations  
673 and Measurements by Juno. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(20), e90021. doi:  
674 10.1029/2020GL090021
- 675 Louis, C. K., Prangé, R., Lamy, L., Zarka, P., Imai, M., Kurth, W. S., & Connerney,  
676 J. E. P. (2019a, November). Jovian Auroral Radio Sources Detected In Situ  
677 by Juno/Waves: Comparisons With Model Auroral Ovals and Simultaneous  
678 HST FUV Images. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(21), 11,606-11,614. doi:  
679 10.1029/2019GL084799
- 680 Louis, C. K., Zarka, P., Cecconi, B., & Boudouma, A. (2023). *Juno/Waves esti-*  
681 *imated flux density Collection (Version 02)*. PADC/MASER. doi: 10.25935/  
682 fwtq-v202
- 683 Louis, C. K., Zarka, P., Dabidin, K., Lampson, P. A., Magalhães, F. P., Boudouma,  
684 A., . . . Cecconi, B. (2021a, October). Latitudinal Beaming of Jupiter’s Radio  
685 Emissions From Juno/Waves Flux Density Measurements. *Journal of Geophys-*  
686 *ical Research (Space Physics)*, *126*(10), e29435. doi: 10.1029/2021JA029435
- 687 Mauduit, E., Zarka, P., Lamy, L., & Hess, S. L. G. (2023, October). Drift-  
688 ing discrete Jovian radio bursts reveal acceleration processes related to  
689 Ganymede and the main aurora. *Nature Communications*, *14*, 5981. doi:  
690 10.1038/s41467-023-41617-8
- 691 McComas, D. J., Alexander, N., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Beebe, C., Clark, G., . . .  
692 White, D. (2017, November). The Jovian Auroral Distributions Experiment  
693 (JADE) on the Juno Mission to Jupiter. *Space Science Reviews*, *213*, 547-643.  
694 doi: 10.1007/s11214-013-9990-9
- 695 Menietti, J. D., Gurnett, D. A., & Christopher, I. (2001). Control of Jovian radio  
696 emission by Callisto. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *28*, 3047-3050. doi: 10  
697 .1029/2001GL012965
- 698 Mura, A., Adriani, A., Altieri, F., Connerney, J. E. P., Bolton, S. J., Moriconi,  
699 M. L., . . . Olivieri, A. (2017, June). Infrared observations of Jovian aurora  
700 from Juno’s first orbits: Main oval and satellite footprints. *Geophysical Re-*  
701 *search Letters*, *44*(11), 5308-5316. doi: 10.1002/2017GL072954
- 702 Mura, A., Adriani, A., Connerney, J. E. P., Bolton, S., Altieri, F., Bagenal, F.,  
703 . . . Turrini, D. (2018, August). Juno observations of spot structures and a  
704 split tail in Io-induced aurorae on Jupiter. *Science*, *361*(6404), 774-777. doi:  
705 10.1126/science.aat1450
- 706 Mutel, R. L., Menietti, J. D., Gurnett, D. A., Kurth, W., Schippers, P., Lynch,  
707 C., . . . Cecconi, B. (2010, October). CMI growth rates for Saturnian

- 708       kilometric radiation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(19), L19105. doi:  
709       10.1029/2010GL044940
- 710       Neubauer, F. M. (1980, March). Nonlinear standing Alfvén wave current system  
711       at Io - Theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *85*, 1171-1178. doi: 10.1029/  
712       JA085iA03p01171
- 713       Prangé, R., Rego, D., Southwood, D., Zarka, P., Miller, S., & Ip, W. (1996, Jan-  
714       uary). Rapid energy dissipation and variability of the Io-Jupiter electrody-  
715       namic circuit. *Nature*, *379*, 323-325. doi: 10.1038/379323a0
- 716       Pritchett, P. L. (1986, December). Cyclotron maser radiation from a source struc-  
717       ture localized perpendicular to the ambient magnetic field. *Journal of Geo-  
718       physical Research*, *91*(A12), 13569-13582. doi: 10.1029/JA091iA12p13569
- 719       Rabia, J., Hue, V., Szalay, J. R., André, N., Nénon, Q., Blanc, M., ... Sulaiman,  
720       A. H. (2023). Evidence for non-monotonic and broadband electron distribu-  
721       tions in the Europa footprint tail revealed by Juno in situ measurements. *Geo-  
722       physical Research Letters*, *50*(12), e2023GL103131. Retrieved from [https://  
723       agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2023GL103131](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2023GL103131)  
724       (e2023GL103131 2023GL103131) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL103131>
- 725       Saur, J. (2004, January). A model of Io's local electric field for a combined Alfvénic  
726       and unipolar inductor far-field coupling. *Journal of Geophysical Research*  
727       (*Space Physics*), *109*, A01210. doi: 10.1029/2002JA009354
- 728       Szalay, J. R., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S. J., Bonfond, B., Clark, G., ...  
729       Wilson, R. J. (2020a, February). Alfvénic Acceleration Sustains Ganymede's  
730       Footprint Tail Aurora. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(3), e86527. doi:  
731       10.1029/2019GL086527
- 732       Szalay, J. R., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S. J., Bonfond, B., Clark, G., ...  
733       Wilson, R. J. (2020b, September). A New Framework to Explain Changes  
734       in Io's Footprint Tail Electron Fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*(18),  
735       e89267. doi: 10.1029/2020GL089267
- 736       Szalay, J. R., Bonfond, B., Allegrini, F., Bagenal, F., Bolton, S., Clark, G., ...  
737       Wilson, R. J. (2018, November). In Situ Observations Connected to the Io  
738       Footprint Tail Aurora. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, *123*(11),  
739       3061-3077. doi: 10.1029/2018JE005752
- 740       Szalay, J. R., Smith, H. T., Zirnstein, E. J., McComas, D. J., Begley, L. J., Bagenal,  
741       F., ... Bolton, S. J. (2022, May). Water-Group Pickup Ions From Europa-  
742       Genic Neutrals Orbiting Jupiter. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *49*(9), e98111.  
743       doi: 10.1029/2022GL098111
- 744       Treumann, R. A. (2006, August). The electron-cyclotron maser for astrophysical ap-  
745       plication. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *13*, 229-315. doi: 10.1007/s00159-006  
746       -0001-y
- 747       Wang, Y., Blanc, M., Louis, C., Wang, C., André, N., Adriani, A., ... Tao, C.  
748       (2021, September). A Preliminary Study of Magnetosphere-Ionosphere-  
749       Thermosphere Coupling at Jupiter: Juno Multi-Instrument Measurements  
750       and Modeling Tools. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *126*(9),  
751       e29469. doi: 10.1029/2021JA029469
- 752       Wu, C. S. (1985, August). Kinetic cyclotron and synchrotron maser instabilities  
753       - Radio emission processes by direct amplification of radiation. *Space Science*  
754       *Reviews*, *41*, 215-298. doi: 10.1007/BF00190653
- 755       Wu, C. S., & Lee, L. C. (1979, June). A theory of the terrestrial kilometric radia-  
756       tion. *Astrophysical Journal*, *230*, 621-626. doi: 10.1086/157120
- 757       Zarka, P. (2004, December). Fast radio imaging of Jupiter's magnetosphere at low-  
758       frequencies with LOFAR. *Planetary Space Science*, *52*(15), 1455-1467. doi: 10  
759       .1016/j.pss.2004.09.017
- 760       Zarka, P., Farges, T., Ryabov, B. P., Abada-Simon, M., & Denis, L. (1996, January).  
761       A scenario for Jovian S-bursts. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *23*(2), 125-128.  
762       doi: 10.1029/95GL03780

- 763 Zarka, P., Marques, M. S., Louis, C., Ryabov, V. B., Lamy, L., Echer, E., & Cec-  
764 coni, B. (2017, January). Radio emission from satellite-Jupiter interactions  
765 (especially Ganymede). In G. Fischer, G. Mann, M. Panchenko, & P. Zarka  
766 (Eds.), *Planetary radio emissions viii* (p. 45-58). doi: 10.1553/PRE8s45  
767 Zarka, P., Marques, M. S., Louis, C., Ryabov, V. B., Lamy, L., Echer, E., & Cec-  
768 coni, B. (2018, October). Jupiter radio emission induced by Ganymede and  
769 consequences for the radio detection of exoplanets. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*,  
770 *618*, A84. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201833586