

Tumour-based mutational profiles predict visceral metastasis outcome and early death in prostate cancers

Olivier Cussenot, Kirsten M Timms, Emmanuel Perrot, Pascal Blanchet, Laurent Brureau, Cara Solimeno, Gaelle Fromont, Eva Comperat, Geraldine Cancel-Tassin

► To cite this version:

Olivier Cussenot, Kirsten M Timms, Emmanuel Perrot, Pascal Blanchet, Laurent Brureau, et al.. Tumour-based mutational profiles predict visceral metastasis outcome and early death in prostate cancers. European Urology Oncology, 2024, 10.1016/j.euo.2023.12.003. hal-04385702

HAL Id: hal-04385702 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04385702

Submitted on 10 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Tumour-based mutational profiles predict visceral metastasis outcome and early death in
2	prostate cancers
3	
4	Olivier Cussenot ^{1,2} , Kirsten M. Timms ³ , Emmanuel Perrot ^{4,5} , Pascal Blanchet ^{4,5} , Laurent
5	Brureau ^{4,5} , Cara Solimeno ³ , Gaelle Fromont ^{1,6,7} , Eva Comperat ^{1,8} , Geraldine Cancel-Tassin ^{1,9*}
6	
7	¹ CeRePP, Paris, France
8	² Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford UK
9	³ Myriad Genetics, Salt Lake City, UT; USA
10	⁴ Department of Urology, CHU Pointe-a-Pitre/Abymes, Pointe a Pitre, Guadeloupe, France
11	⁵ Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S
12	1085, Pointe-à-Pitre, Guadeloupe
13	⁶ Inserm UMR1069 "Nutrition, Croissance et Cancer" Université François Rabelais, Faculté de
14	Médecine, Tours, France
15	⁷ CHRU Bretonneau, Departments of Pathology and Urology, Tours, France
16	⁸ Department of Pathology, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria
17	⁹ GRC n°5 Predictive Onco-Urology, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
18	
19	*Corresponding author
20	Geraldine Cancel-Tassin, PhD.
21	CeRePP/ GRC n°5, Hopital Tenon, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 PARIS, FRANCE
22	Phone: +331 56 01 76 46 ; Fax : +331 56 01 76 47
23	Email: g.cancel@cerepp.org

25 Keywords

26 DNA repair; genes; germline; somatic; mutation; metastasis; prostate cancer

27

- 28 **Word count of text:** 2578
- 29 Word count of the abstract: 300

31 Abstract

Background: Visceral metastases are known to occur in advanced prostate cancer, usually
 when the tumour is resistant to androgen deprivation and, have worse outcomes regardless
 of therapies.

Objective: To analyse genomic alterations in tumour samples according to their lymphatic,
 bone and visceral metastatic stages and overall survival.

Design, Setting, and Participants: We selected 200 patients with metastatic prostate cancer.
 Genomic profiling of 111 genes and molecular signatures (Homologous recombination
 deficiency: HRD; Microsatellite instability: MSI and Tumour burden mutation: TMB) was
 performed with the MyChoice[™] test (Myriads Genetics, Inc).

Outcome Measurements and Statistical Analysis: Association between genomic profiles and
 visceral metastatic evolution was evaluated using logistic regression. Kaplan-Meier and Cox
 proportional hazards analyses were used for analyses of early death.

44 **Results and limitations:** 173 (87%) genomic profiles were obtained. Eighty-four (49%)

45 patients died during the follow-up period (median duration = 76 months). *TP53* was the

46 most frequently mutated gene, followed by FANC genes, including *BRCA2*, and those of the

47 Wnt-pathway (APC/CTNNB1). TP53 gene mutations were more frequent in patients of

48 European (42%) than African (16%) ancestry. An HRD score >25 was predictive of FANC

49 genes mutations. The mutational status of *TP53* (p<0.001) and *APC* (p=0.002) genes were

50 significantly associated with the risk of visceral metastases. The mutational status of CTNNB1

51 (p=0.001), *TP53* (p=0.015), BRCA2 (p=0.027), and FANC (p=0.005) genes were significantly

52 associated with an earlier age at death. The limitations are the retrospective study design

53 based on a selection of genes and, the low frequency of certain molecular events.

54	Conclusion: Mutations in the <i>TP53</i> gene and genes (<i>APC/CTNNB1</i>) related to the Wnt-
55	pathway are associated with metastatic visceral dissemination and early death. These
56	genomic alterations could be considered as markers to identify prostate cancer patients at
57	high risk of life-threatening disease who might benefit from more intensified treatment or
58	new targeted therapies.
59	Patient summary: In this report, we evaluated relationships between genomic profiles (gene
60	mutations and molecular signatures) of tumour samples from patients with metastatic prostate
61	cancer and early death. We found that mutations of specific genes, notably TP53 and
62	APC/CTNNB1 related to the Wnt-pathway, are associated with visceral metastatic
63	progression and an earlier age at death.

65 Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the fifth leading cause of cancer death in men worldwide, with an 66 67 estimated 1.4 million cases diagnosed and an estimated 375,000 PCa deaths in 2020 [1]. 68 However, important disparities in its incidence and mortality are seen among different populations around the world [1]. Due to the lack of recommendation for PCa screening, and 69 70 the increased sensitivity of imaging that identifies metastases in a higher number of men 71 affected with PCa, leading to stage migration phenomenon, the incidence of PCa diagnosed 72 at a metastatic stage has increased for the 20 last years [2]. If the most common site of PCa 73 metastasis is the bone (>80%), the visceral metastases, which used to be infrequently 74 observed, have become more common. Their most frequent locations are in the lung and 75 liver, each representing approximately 40% of visceral metastases. Their incidence in men 76 who died from metastatic PCa significantly increased from 26% in 2009 to 40% in 2016 [3]. 77 Thanks to multiple systemic therapies approved since 2010 with proven survival benefits, 78 men with metastatic PCa are living longer than ever [4], but thus may later develop visceral 79 metastases. The increase in the number of lines of therapy may also be behind the increase 80 in visceral disease. After progression under androgen deprivation therapy, visceral metastases confer worse median survival duration [5]. 81 The lethality of PCa is related to the evolution of metastatic castrate-resistant clones [6]. The 82 83 landscape of genomic alterations reported in metastatic PCa was established from analyses of germline [7] and tumour [6, 8-10] samples. The most frequent germline mutations carried by 84 85 patients with metastatic PCa are in the BRCA2 and ATM genes, with a prevalence estimated across 86 different reports to be approximately 5% and 2%, respectively [11]. In tumours from patients with metastatic castration-resistant PCa (mCRPC), the most common genomic alterations are 87

an interastatic castration-resistant rica (mentre), the most common genomic atterations a

observed in the AR and TP53 genes, with frequencies above 40% [12]. The characterization of

some of these genomic alterations or their molecular signatures is used as new companion
diagnostic tests for targeted therapies such as PARP-1 inhibitors [13] and immune checkpoint inhibitors [14], or for suggested new targeted therapies such as PI3K/AKT [15] and Wnt
[16] pathway inhibitors. Here we report the prevalence of specific mutational profiles and
functional signatures (Homologous Recombination Deficiency: HRD, Tumor Mutational
Burden: TMB, Microsatellite Instability: MSI) in PCa evolving with visceral metastasis, and
their impact on early death.

96

97 Patients and Methods

98 Patients

The 200 patients included in this study were selected based on metastatic status among the 99 100 patients from the PROGENE study. They all provided written informed consent to participate 101 in this study that complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the CCP Ile 102 de France IV (IRB: 00003835). Ancestry was based on self-report and on skin phenotype 103 selected by the clinician in the medical questionnaire. Patients of Asian ancestry, from North 104 African origin, born in India, or too complex to be classified were annotated as "other" and 105 were excluded from comparison between patients from African and European ancestries. 106 Genomic analyses 107 Archival tumour tissues were provided from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks of primary samples: 158 prostate biopsies, 28 transurethral resections of the prostate, 8 radical 108 109 prostatectomies, and of metastatic tissues: 2 lymphadenectomies and 4 metastatic biopsies. 110 After histopathological review, tumour tissues were submitted to Myriad Genetics, Inc. Tumour DNA was extracted from areas containing >30% of cancerous cells as described in 111

112 [17]. Germline DNA was extracted from saliva or blood using standard protocol. From 200 ng

113	of tumor DNA (or all available DNA if total yield was <200 ng) or 100 ng of germline DNA,
114	gene mutation detection and SNP whole genome analysis were performed using a custom
115	hybridization capture method described in [17], with a panel targeting approximately 27,000
116	genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms and the coding regions of 111 genes. Next
117	Generation Sequencing (NGS) was performed on an Illumina HiSeq2500 using a 200 cycle
118	HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2 and a HiSeq Rapid PE Cluster Kit v2. The method used to calculate the
119	HRD score was previously described [17]. MSI status and TMB score (number of
120	mutations/Megabase) were also determined [18].
121	Statistical analyses
122	Khi ² Fisher test was used to compare qualitative variables. Correlations between
123	quantitative variables were assessed with Pearson correlation. Comparisons of quantitative
124	data in different subpopulations were performed using the Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-
125	Wallis tests. Logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios. Receiver Operating
126	Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to define the better threshold to predict genes
127	alterations. Kaplan-Meier and Cox proportional hazards analyses were used for analyses. Of
128	early death All tests were two-sided, and p<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical
129	significance. These statistical analyses were carried out with the softwares R++ (Toulouse,
130	France) and XLSTAT v2022.3.2 (Addinsoft, Paris, France).

Results

Of the samples collected from the 200 patients, twenty-seven (14%) did not provide
genomic results, all were biopsy samples. Absence of genomic results was significantly
associated with an older sample age (p<0.001) (Supplementary Figure 1). The median age of
the samples was 44 months (IQR=73.25), with 38 months (IQR=52.0) for the samples with

137 sequencing results, compared to 145 months (IQR=15.75) for samples without result. The 138 characteristics of the 173 patients with genomic results are presented in Table 1. The median age at metastatic stage was 71.0 years old (interguartile range [IQR]=14.0), with 139 no difference between patients of European and African ancestry (68.5 vs 71.0, p=0.5). The 140 141 majority of patients (80%) were metastatic at the time of diagnosis. Typology of metastases 142 was ranged using the 8th edition Tumor-Node-Metastasis classification system [19], as (M1a) 143 only in extra pelvic lymph nodes (21%), (M1b) bone metastasis (56%) and (M1c) visceral 144 metastasis (23%). 90 (52%) of patients were castration-resistant at the end of their followup, but only 16 (9%) of the samples used for the genomic analysis were collected from tissue 145 146 exposed to androgen deprivation therapy. Fifteen (9%) patients had a positive family history 147 of PCa, and 22 (13%) had a personal history of cancer, of which 17 (10%) ones had another 148 cancer and five (2.9%) two other cancers (Table 1, Supplementary Table S1). The risk of 149 visceral metastasis or early death (LogRank) was not significantly different between patients 150 with other cancers and those with only PCa. The median duration of patient follow-up was 76 months (IQR= 14.0). Eighty-four (49%) patients died during this follow-up period, their 151 152 median age at death was 76.0 years (IQR=14.0). Age at death was correlated with age at 153 diagnosis (Spearman correlation; rho=0.94; p<0.001). Germline mutations were observed in 19 of 111 analysed genes (Supplementary table S2). 154

155 The most frequently mutated genes at the germline level were BRCA2, POLN, and ERAP2,

with five (2.9%), three (1.7%) and two (1.2%) patients carrying a mutation, respectively. Each
mutation was observed in only one patient. Somatic mutations were observed in 64 of the
111 sequenced genes (Supplementary Table S3). No association was found between the
mutational status and the origin of the sample (primary prostate tissue or metastasis).

160 Eighteen genes had a mutation frequency higher than 2% (Figure 1). *TP53* was the most

161 frequently mutated one, with 56 patients (32%) carrying a somatic mutation in this gene. The mutation frequency of the TP53 gene was significantly different according to ancestry 162 163 (43% and 16% in patients of European and African ancestry, respectively; p=0.002). The alterations in the Wnt-pathway genes (APC and CTNNB1) and PTEN/AKT1 counted for 9% 164 165 and 7% of mutations, respectively, none of these mutations was found at the germline level. 166 Mutations in the genes belonging to the Fanconi Anemia complementation group (FANCA, 167 BRCA2, FANCE, FANCI, BRIP1, FANCM, PALB2, BRCA1, and UBE2T) were found in 18 patients 168 (10%), including 10 (56%) which were present in germline. The mutations in the APC and 169 CTNNB1 genes, on one hand, and, those in the BRCA2, ATM and CDK12 genes, on the other 170 hand, were mutually exclusive.

Using univariate analysis, castrate resistant status (Odds ratio [OR]: 2.935; 95% Confidence 171 172 Interval [95% CI] 1.289-6.685; p=0.010), TP53 (OR: 4.734; 95% CI 2.243-9.995; p<0.001) and 173 APC mutational status (OR: 9.192; 95% CI 2.254-3748; p=0.002) were significantly associated 174 with the risk of visceral metastasis. In univariate analysis, using the Kaplan-Meier (Log-rank), visceral metastasis status (p<0.001), CTNNB1 (p=0.001), TP53 (p=0.015), BRCA2 (p=0.027), 175 176 and FANC genes (p=0.005) mutational status were significantly associated with an earlier age 177 at death (Figures 2 & 3). In Cox proportional hazards, visceral metastasis (HR: 3.777; 95% CI 1.709-8.345; p=0.001) and, for molecular events, mutations in genes CTNNB1 (HR: 10.95; 178 179 95% CI 3.133-38.25; p=0.003), and TP53 (HR: 1.833; 95% CI 1.011-3.322; p=0.047) were significantly related to early death. 180 181 Regarding the molecular signatures, median HRD score increased significantly with the

182 metastatic clinical status (p=0.010): from M1a (15.0; IQR=10.3), M1b (21.0; IQR=14.0) to M1c

183 (24.0; IQR=13.5) (Figure 4). The median value of the HRD score was higher in the group of

tumours sharing FANC genes mutations than other ones (29.0; IQR=23.0 vs 20.0; IQR=14.0;

p=0.011) (Figure 5). Using HRD score, the Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC) to predict *BRCA2*or FANC genes mutation were 0.69 and 0.71, respectively. The threshold with the best
predictive performance (71% sensitivity) was HRD score >25. 33% (46/139) of the tumours
have a HRD score > 25 (Supplementary Table S4). HRD score >25 was significantly associated
with bone metastasis (OR: 5.825; 95% CI 1.654-20.52; p=0.006), but not with early death.
Only seven tumours (4.0%) had a TMB score ≥10 (range: 13.34- 229.40, Supplementary Table
\$5), including all MSI positive ones (3.0%).

192

193 **Discussion**

Prostate cancer is a heterogeneous disease with different molecular drivers involved in 194 195 worse outcomes [5]. New companion diagnostic tests based on these genomic alterations or 196 their molecular signatures have been suggested to be used for targeted therapies [13-14]. 197 The PROFOUND study, one of the first studies to analyse the response to PARP inhibitors according to these alterations, showed some limitations in the molecular screening of 198 tumours in clinical practice [20]. In our study, the failure rate of NGS from archival samples 199 200 was 14%, lower than that observed in the PROFOUND one [20]. A sample age above 10 years 201 was the main cause of failure.

In our study, *TP53* was the most frequently mutated gene (32%), with a higher mutation
frequency in metastatic PCa patients of European ancestry compared to those of African
origin (42% versus 16%). This disparity is consistent with the results reported by Mahal et al.
[9]. Together, mutations in *BRCA2* or *ATM* genes accounted for 10% of cases, as did those in
the FANC group of genes. Alterations in Wnt-pathway genes (*APC* or *CTNNB1*) were also
frequently observed (9%). No difference in mutation frequency was found between patients
of different ancestry for genes other than *TP53*.

209 It is now widely recognized that inactivation of TP53 is associated with genetic instability 210 with inverted rearrangements and a complex catastrophic disorganization of the genome 211 named chromotrypsis [8]. Defective TP53 was initially described as a late event during the natural history of PCa [21]. Germline TP53 mutations were notably reported to be very rare 212 213 in PCa cohorts [22], which is consistent with the absence of germline TP53 mutations that 214 we observed in our study. Recent extensive sequencing showed that tumors from patients 215 with metastatic PCa had the highest rates of TP53 mutations, but a relatively high frequency 216 of TP53 mutations was also found in aggressive primary prostate cancers [23]. Concordantly, we previously reported a higher frequency of TP53 alterations in tumor samples from 25 217 218 cases of aggressive localized PCa, compared to those from 132 patients with localized PCa 219 (52% vs. 12%) [24-25]. Moreover, 33% of tumours with biallelic alterations and 32% with a 220 single copy loss or pathogenic mutation of this gene were observed in samples from 410 221 mCRPC patients [26]. We found that TP53 mutations in PCa tissues were associated with the 222 risk of visceral metastases and early age at death, confirming that these alterations are predictive of worse metastatic and lethal outcome [26, 27]. 223 224 On its side, *BRCA2* is known to be the gene with the highest rate of germline mutations in 225 PCa, with a frequency reaching 2-6% in metastatic PCa patients [11]. Somatic mutations in 226 this gene only occur in approximately 5-10% of metastatic PCa, of which 80% correspond to 227 biallelic inactivation, and more than 50% are linked to germline mutations [28]. Concordantly, we found 5% of BRCA2 mutations in our study, of whom 56% were germline 228 229 ones. Our results therefore supported that BRCA2 is the most frequently mutated gene at 230 the germline level in metastatic PCa and, that mutations in BRCA2, ATM and CDK12 genes 231 are mutually exclusive [8]. Germline mutations in the BRCA2 gene were reported to be 232 associated with distant metastasis at diagnosis and poor survival [28]. In our study including

only metastatic PCa patients, we did not find significant association between *BRCA2* or FANC
genes mutations and a specific site of metastasis. However, in univariate analyses, they were

236 Molecular signatures such as HRD score [17], TMB score [14] and MSI status [18] allow

both associated with an earlier age at death.

235

237 functional assessment of the DNA repair pathway. They already are companion theragnostic

238 markers of PARP or immune checkpoint inhibitors. From the experience of ovarian cancer,

HRD score >42 and TMB score ≥10 or MSI status are supposed to be relevant for therapeutic

240 choices [18]. However, recent reports suggested that the appropriate threshold for prostate

tumours will be around 20 [29]. We indeed showed that HRD score >25 has the best

sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) values to predict *BRCA2* (Se=71%, Sp=69%) and FANC

243 (Se=71%, Sp=71%) genes mutations. In our study, high HRD and TMB scores were not

completely mutually exclusive, with one patient carrying a germline mutation in the MSH2

gene whose tumor was MSI positive, had a TMB and HRD score of 19.33 and 28,

respectively. Furthermore, we observed an increase in the HRD score with the typology of

247 metastases and, that an HRD score >25 was associated with the risk of bone metastases, but
248 not with early death.

249 Although mutations in Wnt-pathway genes (APC and CTNNB1) are generally rare in primary 250 PCa (<10%), they are relatively more common in metastatic PCa [30]. Additionally, APC 251 hypermethylation, observed in at least 30% of PCa cases, was associated with worse 252 outcomes in CRPC patients [31]. Dysregulation of the Wnt-pathway was shown to promote 253 metastatic dissemination and treatment resistance, and notably, somatic Wnt-activating 254 mutations were associated with first-line resistance to abiraterone/enzatulamide [31]. Our 255 study confirmed, in one hand, that APC mutations were associated with the risk of visceral 256 metastases, and on the other hand, that CTNNB1 mutations are associated, after

257 multivariable adjustments for concurrent alterations in other mutated genes, with an earlier258 death.

Our study has certain limitations, it is a retrospective study with real data, based on a selection of 111 genes which did not include some genes important for PCa, such as *AR* [9, 12, 21], *RB1* [9] or *ZNRF3* of the Wnt-pathway [32], and due to the number of tumors analysed, certain molecular events are rare. However, the results are consistent with previous reports and reinforce the role of genes involved in the Wnt-pathway in determining worse outcomes.

265

266 **Conclusions**

267 Recent developments of targeted therapies for advanced PCa have focused attention on

268 genes involved in homologous recombination deficiency and microsatellite instability.

However, our results confirmed that mutations in the *TP53* gene and genes (*APC/CTNNB1*)

270 related to the Wnt-pathway are associated with unfavourable outcome with metastatic

visceral dissemination and early death. These genomic alterations could be considered as

272 markers to identify PCa patients at high risk of life-threatening disease who might benefit

273 from more intensified treatment or new targeted therapies.

274 **References**

- 275 [1] Hinata N, Fujisawa M. Racial Differences in Prostate Cancer Characteristics and Cancer-
- 276 Specific Mortality: An Overview. World J Mens Health 2022;40:217-27.
- 277 [2] Desai MM, Cacciamani GE, Gill K, et al. Trends in Incidence of Metastatic Prostate Cancer
- in the US. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e222246.
- [3] Nafissi NN, Kosiorek HE, Butterfield RJ, et al. Evolving Natural History of Metastatic
 Prostate Cancer. Cureus 2020;12:e11484.
- [4] Drake CG. Visceral metastases and prostate cancer treatment: 'die hard,' 'tough
- neighborhoods,' or 'evil humors'? Oncology (Williston Park) 2014;28:974-80.
- [5] Halabi S, Kelly WK, Ma H, et al. Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Impact of Site of Metastasis
- 284 on Overall Survival in Men With Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. J Clin Oncol
- 285 2016;34:1652-9.
- [6] Woodcock DJ, Riabchenko E, Taavitsainen S, et al. Prostate cancer evolution from
- 287 multilineage primary to single lineage metastases with implications for liquid biopsy. Nat
- 288 Commun 2020;11:5070.
- [7] Plym A, Dióssy M, Szallasi Z, Sartor O, et al. DNA Repair Pathways and Their Association
- 290 With Lethal Prostate Cancer in African American and European American Men. JNCI
- 291 Cancer Spectr 2021;6:pkab097.
- [8] Quigley DA, Dang HX, Zhao SG, et al. Genomic Hallmarks and Structural Variation in
 Metastatic Prostate Cancer Cell 2018;175:889.
- [9] Mahal BA, Alshalalfa M, Kensler KH, et al. Racial Differences in Genomic Profiling of
 Prostate Cancer. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1083-5. PMID: 32905685.
- [10] Mateo J, Seed G, Bertan C, Rescigno P, et al. Genomics of lethal prostate cancer at
- diagnosis and castration resistance. J Clin Invest 2020;130:1743-51.

298	[11]	Abdi B, Basset N, Perrot E, et al. DNA damage repair gene germline profiling for			
299	m	etastatic prostate cancer patients of different ancestries. Prostate 2022;82:1196-201.			
300	[12]	Abida W, Armenia J, Gopalan A, et al. Prospective Genomic Profiling of Prostate			
301	Ca	ancer Across Disease States Reveals Germline and Somatic Alterations That May Affect			
302	Clinical Decision Making. JCO Precis Oncol 2017;2017:PO.17.00029.				
303	[13]	Castro E, Mateo J, Olmos D, de Bono JS. Targeting DNA Repair: The Role of PARP			
304	In	hibition in the Treatment of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. Cancer J			
305	20	016;22:353-6.			
306	[14]	Graf RP, Fisher V, Weberpals J, Gjoerup O, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of			
307	Im	nmune Checkpoint Inhibitors vs Chemotherapy by Tumor Mutational Burden in			
308	М	etastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e225394.			
309	[15]	Crabb SJ, Griffiths G, Dunkley D, et al. Overall Survival Update for Patients with			
310	Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer Treated with Capivasertib and Docetaxel				
311	in	the Phase 2 ProCAID Clinical Trial. Eur Urol 2022;82:512-5.			
312	[16]	Ji Y, Huang PH, Woolfenden S, Myers A. Model-based dose selection to inform			
313	tra	anslational clinical oncology development of WNT974, a first-in-class Porcupine			
314	in	hibitor. Clin Transl Sci 2022;15:1713-22.			
315	[17]	Patel JN, Braicu I, Timms KM, et al. Characterisation of homologous recombination			
316	de	eficiency in paired primary and recurrent high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Br J Cancer			
317	20	018;119:1060-6.			
318	[18]	Budczies J, Kluck K, Beck S, et al. Homologous recombination deficiency is inversely			
319	со	prrelated with microsatellite instability and identifies immunologically cold tumors in			
320	m	ost cancer types. J Pathol Clin Res 2022;8:371-82.			

- 321 [19] Paner GP, Stadler WM, Hansel DE, Montironi R, Lin DW, Amin MB. Updates in the
- Eighth Edition of the Tumor-Node-Metastasis Staging Classification for Urologic Cancers.
 Eur Urol 2018;73:560-569.
- 324 [20] Hussain M, Corcoran C, Sibilla C, et al. Tumor Genomic Testing for >4,000 Men with
- 325 Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer in the Phase III Trial PROfound
- 326 (Olaparib). Clin Cancer Res 2022;28:1518-30.
- Bookstein R, MacGrogan D, Hilsenbeck SG, Sharkey F, Allred DC. p53 is mutated in a
 subset of advanced-stage prostate cancers. Cancer Res 1993;53:3369-73.
- Maxwell KN, Cheng HH, Powers J, et al. Inherited TP53 Variants and Risk of Prostate
 Cancer. Eur Urol 2022;81:243-50.
- 331 [23] Nientiedt C, Budczies J, Endris V, et al. Mutations in TP53 or DNA damage repair
- 332 genes define poor prognostic subgroups in primary prostate cancer. Urol Oncol333 2022;40:8.e11-8.
- 334 [24] Kamoun A, Cancel-Tassin G, Fromont G, et al. Comprehensive molecular classification
- of localized prostate adenocarcinoma reveals a tumour subtype predictive of non-
- aggressive disease. Ann Oncol 2018;29:1814-21.
- 337 [25] Tonon L, Fromont G, Boyault S, et al. Mutational Profile of Aggressive, Localised
- Prostate Cancer from African Caribbean Men Versus European Ancestry Men. Eur Urol
 2019;75:11-5.
- 340 [26] Nyquist MD, Corella A, Coleman I, et al. Combined TP53 and RB1 Loss Promotes
- 341 Prostate Cancer Resistance to a Spectrum of Therapeutics and Confers Vulnerability to
- 342 Replication Stress. Cell Rep 2020;31:107669.
- 343 [27] Alshalalfa M, Goglia AG, Swami N, Nguyen B, Hougen HY, Khan A, Kishan AU, Punnen
- 344 S, Nguyen PL, Mahal BA, Dee EC. Determinants of widespread metastases and of

- metastatic tropism in patients with prostate cancer: A genomic analysis of primary and
 metastatic tumors. Urol Oncol. 2023;41:253.e21-6.
- 347 [28] De Sarkar N, Dasgupta S, Chatterjee P, et al. Genomic attributes of homology-
- directed DNA repair deficiency in metastatic prostate cancer. JCI Insight 2021;6:e152789.
- 349 [29] Leibowitz BD, Dougherty BV, Bell JSK, Kapilivsky J, Michuda J, Sedgewick AJ, Munson
- 350 WA, Chandra TA, Dry JR, Beaubier N, Igartua C, Taxter T. Validation of genomic and
- 351 transcriptomic models of homologous recombination deficiency in a real-world pan-
- 352 cancer cohort. BMC Cancer. 2022;22:587.
- 353 [30] Isaacsson Velho P, Fu W, et al. Wnt-pathway Activating Mutations Are Associated
- 354 with Resistance to First-line Abiraterone and Enzalutamide in Castration-resistant
- 355 Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2020;77:14-21.
- 356 [31] Hendriks RJ, Dijkstra S, Smit FP, et al. Epigenetic markers in circulating cell-free DNA
- 357 as prognostic markers for survival of castration-resistant prostate cancer patients.
- 358 Prostate 2018;78:336-42.

359 [32] Fraser M, Livingstone J, Wrana JL, Finelli A, et al. Somatic driver mutation prevalence
360 in 1844 prostate cancers identifies ZNRF3 loss as a predictor of metastatic relapse. Nat
361 Commun 2021;12:6248.

362 **Declaration of Interest statement:** Kirstens M Timms and Cara Solimeno are employed in Myriad 363 Genetics, Inc. Pr Olivier Cussenot reports receiving speaker honoraria from Myriad Genetics, Inc. The 364 other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this 365 article.

366 **Data Access and Responsibility:** Olivier Cussenot had full access to all the data in the study

367 and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

368 Data Sharing Policy: Data are available for bona fide researchers who request it from the
 369 authors

370 Funding/Support and Role of the Sponsor: This work was supported by grant from the

371 French National Cancer Institute (INCa) [grant number: 2017-016] and from the Fonds de

372 Dotation pour l'Innovation dans la prise en charge du Cancer de Prostate (FDCP). The study

373 funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, or

writing of the report, and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Acknowledgements: We thank the patients for their participation in this study, and Cecile
Gaffory and Valerie Ondet for their technical assistance.

377 Table 1: Characteristics of the patients

Age at diagnosis (years)					
Mean ± SD	70.1 ± 10.3				
Median (IQR)	71.0 (14.8)				
Age at metastatic stage (years)					
Mean ± SD	70.9 ± 10.0				
Median (IQR)	71.0 (14.0)				
PSA level at diagnosis (ng/ml)					
Mean ± SD	271.1 ± 609.0				
Median (IQR)	67.5 (229.3)				
PSA level at metastatic stage (ng/ml)					
Mean ± SD	262.5 ± 605.7				
Median (IQR)	57.4 (229.2)				
ISUP at diagnosis					
1	7 (4.0%)				
2	11 (6.3%)				
3	24 (13.9%)				
4	22 (12.7%)				
5	106 (61.3%)				
Unknown	3 (1.7%)				
Castrate resistant prostate cancers					
Νο	83 (48.0%)				
Yes	90 (52.0%)				

Typology Metastasis	
Extra pelvic lymph node (M1a)	37 (21.4%)
Bone (M1b)	96 (55.5%)
Visceral (M1c)	40 (23.1%)
Ancestry	
European	80 (46.2%)
African	49 (28.3%)
Other	44 (25.4%)
Familial History Cancer	
No	158 (91.3%)
Yes	15 (8.7%)
Personal History of Other Cancer	
0	151 (87.3%)
1	17 (9.8%)
2	5 (2.9%)
Death	
No	89 (51.4%)
Yes	84 (48.6%)
Follow-up duration from diagnosis to death or last news	
(months)	54.7 ± 47.8
Mean ± SD	37.0 (56.0)
Median (IQR)	

SD: standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range

382	Figure legend:
383	Figure 1: Distribution of the genes with a mutation frequency higher than 2%
384 385	Figure 2: Age at death or last news (years) according to the metastatic clinical status. M1a
386	(blue): lymph node metastasis; M1b (green): bone metastasis, M1c (red): visceral metastasis.
387	
388	Figure 3: Age at death or last news (years) according to the mutationnal status (0 not
389	mutated; 1 mutated).
390	
391	Figure 4: Correlation between HRD score and the metastatic clinical status. M1a: lymph node
392	metastasis; M1b: bone metastasis, M1c: visceral metastasis.
393	
394	Figure 5: Correlation between HRD score and FANC genes mutational status
395	
396	Supplementary figure 1. Distribution of the age of samples according to organ sources
397	
398	Supplementary figure 2. Distribution of the age of samples according to the result of DNA
399	sequencing



Figure 2







 417

 418

 419
 Supplementary figure 2.

 420

 421

422 Supplementary Table S1: Patients with other cancers

First cancer	Second	Third	Germline mutation	Ancestry
	cancer	cancer		
Breast	Prostate	Lung	FANCE c.1096del (p.Ser366Alafs*10)	European
Breast	Prostate			Other
Colon	Prostate	Thyroid	MSH2 c.1165C>T (p.Arg389*)	European
Colon	Prostate			European
Colon	Prostate			Other
Colon	Prostate			Other
Kidney	Prostate			European
Kidney	Prostate			European
Leukemia	Prostate			Other
Lung	Prostate		POLG c.2924del (p.Gln975Argfs*31)	European
Melanoma	Prostate	Bladder		European
Melanoma	Prostate			African
Oesophagus	Prostate			European
Prostate	Bladder			European
Prostate	Colon		POLN c.1609C>T (p.GIn537*)	African
Prostate	Colon			Other
Prostate	Colon		BARD1 c.176_177del (p.Glu59Alafs*8)	European
Prostate	Colon			Other
Prostate	Lung	Colon		European
Prostate	Lung		POLN c.2586G>A (p.Trp862*)	African
Prostate	Pancreas			African
Upper Urinary Tract	Bladder	Prostate	POLG c.1586-2dupA	African

424

425 Supplementary Table S2: List of germline mutations identified in the 173 patients with 426 metastatic prostate cancer.

Gene	HGVS_Name
BARD1	c.176_177del (p.Glu59Alafs*8)
BRCA1	c.815_824dup (p.Thr276Alafs*14)
BRCA2	c.2283T>G (p.Tyr761*)
BRCA2	c.1626dupA (p.His543Thrfs*17)
BRCA2	c.4022del (p.Ser1341*)
BRCA2	c.3075_3076delinsTT (p.Lys1026*)
BRCA2	c.6486_6489del (p.Lys2162Asnfs*5)
BRIP1	del exon 15
CHEK2	c.349A>G (p.Arg117Gly)
ERAP1	c.1320+4A>G
ERAP2	c.788_789del (p.Leu263Argfs*7)
ERAP2	c.371C>A (p.Ser124*)
FANCA	c.1115 1118del (p.Val372Alafs*42)

FANCE	c.1096del (p.Ser366Alafs*10)
FANCI	c.1993-17C>G
MSH2	c.1165C>T (p.Arg389*)
NBN	c.1903A>T (p.Lys635*)
PDCD1LG2	c.661del (p.Trp221Glyfs*17)
POLE	c.5811+5G>A
POLG	c.2924del (p.Gln975Argfs*31)
CHEK2	c.444+1G>A
POLN	c.1609C>T (p.Gln537*)
POLN	c.2586G>A (p.Trp862*)
POLQ	c.3200del (p.Leu1067Tyrfs*18)
RAD54B	c.1678C>T (p.Arg560*)
XRCC3	c.194-14T>G

429 Supplementary Table S3: Correlation between germline and somatic mutations

Germline mutated gene in a patient	Somatic mutated genes in the same patient
BARD1	AKT1
BRCA1	
BRCA2	KRAS
BRCA2	TP53 + CTNNB1
BRCA2	TP53
BRCA2	APC
BRCA2	
BRIP1	TP53
CHEK2	
ERAP1	
ERAP2	
ERAP2 + POLN	
FANCA	AKT1
FANCE	KRAS
FANCI	
MSH2	APC* + ATM + BLM + MSH3 + CHEK1 + FANCI +
	POLQ + ERBB2 + MLH3 + MSH6 + RAD50
NBN	
PDCD1LG2	
POLE	CDK12*
POLG	
POLG	
POLN	
POLN	ERAP2 + TP53
POLQ	TP53
RAD54B	
XRCC3	
	NBN

TP53
TP53
PTEN
MSH3 + TP53
MSH2 + MSH3 + PMS2 + POLE + PTEN
APC + TP53
ATM
TP53
TP53
TP53
TP53
PPP2R2A + CTNNB1 + TP53
 ATM + ATR + CTNNB1 + MSH3 + PRKDC + MSH6 +
POID1 + TP53RP1 + UBF2T + MIH1 + PIK3CA +
TP53
TP53
B2M
MSH2 + BAD50 + PRKDC + MVH + NIRC5 + BAD52+
FGER
TP53 + CTNNB1
PTEN
PTEN
CDK12*
ATM* + CHEK2
KRAS
CTNNB1
TP53
CDK12* + ALK
TP53
POLQ + TP53
PIK3CA
PPP2R2A + PALB2*
ATM + BRAF + CDH1
PTEN + TP53
APC + RAD54L
ТР53
KRAS
TP53
UIMC1
POLN + AKT1
ATM + PRKDC + POLE + MSH2 + MSH3 + TP53BP1 +
TAP2
ТР53
PMS2 + TP53
ТР53

TP53
MAP2K1
TP53
APC + TP53
TP53
CDK12*
ATR + BRCA2 + RAD50 + PRKDC*+CDH1*
APC + AKT1
BRCA2
APC + TP53
TP53 + CDH1
TP53
TP53
CHEK1 + MSH2 + MSH3 + POLE + POLD1 + PRKDC +
RAD50 + TAP2 + TP53 + FPCAM + FRCC5 + FANCM
+ FOXI 2
TP53
TP53BP1
PTEN + TP53* + BMPR1Δ
TP53
 TP53
TP53
POLE
ATR
BRCA2
ATR + ATM
APC
PIK3CA + TP53
BRCA2
ТР53
СНЕК2
CTNNB1
TP53 + POLQ
ATM
PRKDC
CDK12
TP53
CDK12* + BRAF
CDK12 + APC + POLH
ТР53
POLQ + TP53
BLM + BAP1 + PTEN + TP53
PDCD1LG2 + TP53
ТР53
ТР53
BMPR1A + TP53

PTEN + APC* + CHEK2 + TP53
ATM
TP53
CDK12
TP53
TP53

431 *Biallelic alterations

432

433 Supplementary Table S4: Genomic characteristics of the tumours with HRD score > 25

Germline	Somatic mutated genes	HRD Score	MSI Status	ТМВ
mutated genes				
	TP53	26	Negative	1.39
	BRCA2	26	Negative	1.63
	TP53	27	Negative	3.29
	PTEN	27	Negative	0.92
		27	Negative	2.2
BRCA2	TP53 + CTNNB1	27	ND	4.94
	TP53	27	Negative	0.83
	BMPR1A + TP53	27	Negative	0.64
	PTEN + APC* + CHEK2 + TP53	27	Negative	0
		27	Negative	1.92
MSH2	APC* + ATM + BLM + MSH3 +			
	CHEK1 + FANCI + POLQ +	28	Desitive	10.22
	ERBB2 + MLH3 + MSH6 +		Positive	19.32
	RAD50			
	TP53	28	Negative	0.68
		28	Negative	4.97
	CDK12* + BRAF	28	Negative	2.26
	ATM	28	Negative	1.27
		29	Negative	ND
	TP53	30	Negative	1.27
POLN	ERAP2 + TP53	30	Negative	3.31
FANCI		30 Negativ		0
	TP53	30	Negative	2.55
	PTEN	30	Negative	2.02
	TP53	31	Negative	0
	TP53	31	Negative	1.29
ERAP2		31	Negative	1.35
	ATM	33	Negative	3.24
	ATR + ATM	33	Negative	1.90
		33	Negative	1.88
	TP53	33	Negative	4.48
	TP53	33	Negative	1.28
		34	Negative	2.20

	APC + TP53	34	Negative	0.69
FANCE	KRAS	36	Negative	2.50
	TP53	36	Negative	0
	TP53BP1	37	Negative	4.73
	PPP2R2A + PALB2*	38	Negative	1.55
	CDK12	38	Negative	3.78
		38	Negative	4.46
	TP53	40	Negative	1.35
	TP53 + CDH1	42	ND	ND
BRIP1	TP53	42	Negative	0.66
	B2M	42	Negative	5.37
BRCA2	APC	45	Negative	3.18
	BRCA2	50	Negative	2.53
POLQ	TP53	50	Negative	1.58
		51	Negative	3.89
BRCA2	ТР53	67	Negative	3.54

435 *Biallelic alterations

436 HRD: Homologous Recombination Deficiency; MSI: Microsatellite Instability; TMB: Tumor

437 Mutational Burden

438

439

440 Supplementary Table S5: Genomic characteristics of the tumours with TMB score > 10

A A	1
44	
	_

Germline	Sometic mutated gapps	MSI	TMB	HRD
mutated genes	Somatic mutated genes	status	score	score
	MSH2 + MSH3 + PMS2 + POLE + PTEN	Positive	13.34	6
	MSH2+RAD50+PRKDC+MYH+NLRC5+	Negative	13.61	4
	RAD52+EGFR			
	ATM + ATR + CTNNB1 + MSH3 +	Negative	14.48	10
	PRKDC + MSH6 + POLD1 + TP53BP1 +			
	UBE2T + MLH1 + PIK3CA + TP53			
	ATR + BRCA2 + RAD50 + PRKDC* +	Positive	14.93	15
	CDH1*			
MSH2	APC * + ATM + BLM + MSH3 + CHEK1	Positive	19.32	28
	+ FANCI + POLQ + ERBB2 + MLH3 +			
	MSH6 + RAD50			
	ATM + PRKDC + POLE + MSH2 +	Positive	19.42	1
	MSH3 + TP53BP1 + TAP2			
	CHEK1 + MSH2 + MSH3 + POLE +	Positive	229.40	2
	POLD1 + PRKDC + RAD50 + TAP2 +			
	TP53 + EPCAM + ERCC5 + FANCM +			
	FOXL2			

442 *Biallelic alterations

443 HRD: Homologous Recombination Deficiency; MSI: Microsatellite Instability; TMB: Tumor

444 Mutational Burden