

# Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in SARS-CoV-2 patients with acute neurological syndromes

H. Chaumont, F. Kaczorowski, A. San-Galli, P.P. Michel, B. Tressières, E.

Roze, I. Quadrio, A. Lannuzel

# ▶ To cite this version:

H. Chaumont, F. Kaczorowski, A. San-Galli, P.P. Michel, B. Tressières, et al.. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in SARS-CoV-2 patients with acute neurological syndromes. Revue Neurologique, 2023, 179 (3), pp.208-217. 10.1016/j.neurol.2022.11.002 . hal-04531399

# HAL Id: hal-04531399 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04531399v1

Submitted on 3 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in SARS-CoV-2 patients

# with acute neurological syndromes

H. Chaumont<sup>a,b,c</sup>, F. Kaczorowski<sup>d,e</sup>, A. San-Galli<sup>a</sup>, P. P. Michel<sup>c</sup>, B. Tressières<sup>g</sup>, E. Roze<sup>c,f</sup>, I. Quadrio<sup>d,e</sup>, A. Lannuzel<sup>a,b,c,g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de la Guadeloupe, Service de Neurologie, Pointe-à-Pitre/Abymes, French West Indies, France

<sup>b</sup>Faculté de Médecine de l'université des Antilles, French West Indies, Pointe-à-Pitre, France <sup>c</sup>Faculté de Médecine de Sorbonne Université, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U 1127, CNRS, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 7225, Institut du Cerveau, ICM, Paris, France

<sup>d</sup>Laboratory of Neurobiology and Neurogenetics, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Lyon University Hospital, Bron, France

<sup>e</sup>BIORAN Team, Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, CNRS UMR 5292, INSERM U1028,

Lyon 1 University, Bron, France

<sup>t</sup>AP-HP, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Département de Neurologie, Paris, France

<sup>g</sup>Centre d'investigation Clinique Antilles Guyane, Inserm CIC 1424, CHU de la Guadeloupe, Pointe-à-Pitre, France

**Corresponding author**: Hugo Chaumont, M.D. ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1585-7512. Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Guadeloupe, 97139, Pointe-à-Pitre/Abymes, French West Indies, France. Tel.: +590 590 891 430; fax: +590 590 891 431; E-mail: hugo.chaumont@chu-guadeloupe.fr

### Declaration of interest: None

**Author's contributions:** Hugo Chaumont: conceptualization, investigation, project administration, validation, writing – original draft preparation; Flora Kaczorowski: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, writing – original draft preparation; Aurore San-Galli: investigation, resources, writing – original draft preparation; Patrick Pierre Michel: validation, writing – review and editing ; Benoit Tressières: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, software, validation; Emmanuel Roze: validation, writing – review and editing; Isabelle Quadrio: validation, writing – review and editing – review and editing – review and editing.

1

2

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in SARS-CoV-2 patients with acute neurological syndromes

3

#### 4 Abstract

5 **Background and purpose:** Mechanisms underlying acute brain injury in SARS-CoV-2 6 patients remain poorly understood. A better characterization of such mechanisms remains 7 essential to preventing long-term neurological sequelae. Our present aim was to study a 8 panel of biomarkers of neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in the cerebrospinal fluid 9 (CSF) of NeuroCOVID patients.

Methods: We retrospectively collected clinical and CSF biomarkers data from 24
NeuroCOVID adults seen at the University Hospital of Guadeloupe between March and June
2021.

13 Results: Among 24 NeuroCOVID patients, 71% had encephalopathy and 29% 14 meningoencephalitis. A number of these patients also experienced de novo movement 15 disorder (33%) or stroke (21%). The CSF analysis revealed intrathecal immunoglobulin 16 synthesis in 54% of NeuroCOVID patients (two with a type 2 pattern and 11 with a type 3) 17 and elevated neopterin levels in 75% of them (median 9.1 nM, IQR 5.6-22.1). CSF neurofilament light chain (NfL) was also increased compared to a control group of non-18 19 COVID-19 patients with psychiatric illnesses (2905 ng/l, IQR 1428-7124 versus 1222 ng/l, 20 IQR 1049-1566). Total-tau was elevated in the CSF of 24% of patients, whereas protein 14-21 3-3, generally undetectable, reached intermediate levels in two patients. Finally, CSF AB1-42 22 was reduced in 52.4% of patients (median 536 ng/l, IQR 432-904) with no change in the AB1-42/AB1-40 ratio (0.082, IQR 0.060-0.096). 23

24 Conclusions: We showed an elevation of CSF biomarkers of neuroinflammation in 25 NeuroCOVID patients and a rise of CSF NfL, evocative of neuronal damage. However, 26 longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether NeuroCOVID could evolve into a 27 chronic neurodegenerative condition.

28	Keywords: Encephalitis,	Encephalopathy,	COVID-19,	Neuroinflammation,	Neuronal injury,
29	CSF biomarker.				
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					
49					
50					
51					
52					
53					
54					
55					

#### 56 1. Introduction

57 The pathogenesis of nervous system damage associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection (NeuroCOVID) remains poorly known. Putative non-exclusive mechanisms at the origin of 58 NeuroCOVID comprise *i*) brain invasion by retrograde progression of the virus along cranial 59 60 nerve pathways [1.2] or through brain-blood barrier (BBB) disruption and *ii*) deleterious 61 systemic immune response or compartmentalized immune response within the central 62 nervous system (CNS) [3-5]. Unraveling these mechanisms is critical to identifying optimal 63 therapeutic targets and guiding our strategy to prevent long-term neurological sequelae in 64 NeuroCOVID patients [6,7].

65 NeuroCOVID might be associated with an increased risk of developing a 66 neurodegenerative disorder or might hasten its progression [8,9]. Triggering a 67 neurodegenerative cascade in NeuroCOVID patients might involve the combination of several factors, including i) a specific vulnerability of some brain regions such as the 68 69 hippocampus or the midbrain to SARS-CoV-2 infection [10], *ii*) gut microbiome dysregulation 70 induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection and its possible consequences on the brain through the 71 gut-brain axis [11-13], iii) and SARS-CoV-2-induced dysregulation of genes critical for neuronal survival [14]. Some undefined factors associated with an extended stay in an 72 intensive care unit (ICU) [15], a depressive state, post-traumatic distress [10], or severe 73 74 sepsis [16,17] could also influence the risk of cognitive decline after severe SARS-CoV-2 75 infection.

76 Here, the consequences of CNS SARS-CoV-2 infection were monitored by measuring different cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) parameters. Previous CSF studies performed in adults 77 78 with NeuroCOVID – Virhammar et al. (n=19) [18]; Edén et al. (n=6) [19]; Espindola et al. 79 (n=58) [20]; Garcia et al. (n=18) [21]; Paterson et al. (n=21) [22]; Alexopoulos et al. (n=8) 80 [23]; Ziff et al. (n=21) [24]); Guasp et al. (n=60) [25]; Edén et al. (n=23) [26] - reported 81 changes in biomarkers of neuroinflammation [18-21,23-26], astrocytic injury although this 82 point remains debated [18,22,24,26], neuronal injury [18-26], as well as alterations in amyloid processing [22,24]. Most of the previous studies focused on either 83

neuroinflammation, acute neuronal injury, or neurodegeneration, but only a small number analyzed together biomarkers characterizing these different mechanisms. Thus, additional CSF studies in large samples of well-characterized NeuroCOVID patients are needed to further delineate the pathogenesis of CNS damage and prevent its occurrence. Here, we studied a variety of biomarkers associated with neuroinflammation, neuronal injury, and neurodegeneration in the CSF of 24 NeuroCOVID adults with a CNS syndrome during the acute phase of the infection.

91

#### 92 2. Methods

#### 93 2.1. Patients and study design

We enrolled patients with neurological manifestations of a confirmed COVID-19 94 infection between March 2020 and June 2021 at the University Hospital of Guadeloupe 95 96 (French West Indies). Patients were considered to have confirmed COVID-19 when real-time 97 protein chain reaction (RT-PCR) for SARS-CoV-2 was positive, either in a nasopharyngeal 98 swab or bronchoalveolar lavage. During the hospital stay, we collected data on medical history and performed clinical (including a detailed neurological examination), biological 99 100 (including detailed CSF analysis) and neuroradiological (brain and spinal magnetic 101 resonance imaging (MRI), brain computed tomography (CT)) investigations, as well as 102 neurophysiological (electroencephalogram (EEG) and electromyogram (EMG)) recordings. 103 Two types of brain injuries were reported: 1) Encephalopathy defined as an altered mental 104 status lasting ≥24 hours (impaired awareness, confusion, delirium with or without 105 hallucinations, cognitive and behavioral disorder) that could be associated with seizure and 106 focal neurologic symptoms, or with electroencephalographic criteria, in the absence of 107 criteria for encephalitis (confer below) [27] and that could not be accounted for by another 108 cause, such as toxic or metabolic factors; 2) Encephalitis/meningitis defined as an altered 109 mental status lasting ≥24 hours (encephalopathy) with one of the following criteria: white blood cell count in CSF ≥5/mm3, or detection of SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR in CSF, or 110 presence of a compatible acute lesion on brain MRI. As previously defined by the United 111

112 States National Institutes of Health [28], the severity of the illness was classified as mild, 113 moderate, severe, or critical. Patients classified as having encephalopathy or 114 meningoencephalitis could additionally have developed a stroke episode or a movement 115 disorder.

116

2.2. Standard plasma and CSF investigations to explore the infectious status of patients
 A large panel of infections was systematically screened in plasma (serological tests
 for dengue virus, chikungunya virus, zika virus, human immunodeficiency virus, human T lymphotropic virus, cytomegalovirus, Epstein Barr virus, leptospirosis, hepatitis B and C
 viruses) and in CSF (RT-PCR for varicella-zoster virus, herpes simplex virus, enterovirus,
 *Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella*) to search for possible co infections. Any acute co-infection was a criterion of exclusion.

CSF protein concentrations were analyzed with a Cobas®-Roche automated analyzer. 124 Abnormal protein levels in CSF were considered if >0.4 g/l. CSF/serum albumin ratios were 125 analyzed and evaluated as abnormal when ≥0.0075. CSF white and red cell countings were 126 127 performed using Kova Slides®. CSF immunoglobulin G (IgG) index was determined and considered increased when >0.7. Isoelectric focusing was performed on CSF and serum 128 129 samples using the Sebia Capillarys® system. Five patterns have been previously described 130 [29]: Type 1: no specific band in CSF and serum (normal); Type 2: specific oligoclonal IgG 131 bands in the CSF and no corresponding band in serum (intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 3: 132 IgG oligoclonal bands in CSF and additional identical bands in the CSF and serum 133 (intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 4: similar oligoclonal bands in the CSF and serum (systemic, 134 no intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 5: monoclonal bands in CSF and serum (no IgG 135 synthesis in CNS). CSF COVID-19 serology (IgG and IgM) was assessed using a Standard 136 Q COVID-19 IgM/IgG Combo Test (SD Biosensor via Orgentec) and RT-PCR with a 137 EurobioPlex SARS-CoV-2 Multiplex kit (Eurobio Scientific). The presence of onconeural 138 antibodies was also analyzed in blood and CSF by immunohistochemistry and a cell-based 139 assay (French reference center).

140

141 2.3. CSF neopterin as a marker of neuroinflammation

142 CSF neopterin was quantified by ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) 143 with fluorometric detection and Empower software for calculation and quantification 144 (Waters®). The upper average reference value for neopterin was previously determined to 145 be 5 nmol/l by Perret Liaudet et al. [30].

146

147 2.4. CSF biomarkers of neuronal injury and neurodegeneration

148 CSF collection, sampling, and storage were performed in a single laboratory using 149 standard procedures prescribed in a consensus paper [31]. According to preanalytical 150 recommendations, CSF samples were collected and aliquoted in polypropylene test tubes 151 (Sarstedt, reference 62.610.201 and 62.558.201).

152 CSF neurofilament light chain (NfL) measurements were performed using an Nflight® ELISA kit from Uman Diagnostics. Non-COVID patients with psychiatric illnesses 153 (n=20) – patients suffering from depressive syndrome associated with a cognitive complaint 154 without progression during a two-year follow-up, and a normal CSF biomarker profile) [32] -155 156 were taken as a control group for NeuroCOVID patients in this assay. For detecting 14-3-3 157 protein, a Peggy Sue® automated Western blot system (Protein Simple, San Jose, CA, USA) 158 was used. According to Fourier et al. [33], qualitative results interpreted were expressed as 159 negative, positive, or intermediate.

Core Alzheimer's disease (AD) CSF biomarker assays (T-tau, P-tau 181, AB1-42, and
AB1-40) were performed using a Lumipulse G600II automated analyzer (Fujirebio®). Typical
cut-off values for parameters associated with AD risk were based on international criteria [34].
These values were determined locally and are as follows: T-tau >400 ng/l, P-tau >60 ng/l,
AB1-42 <550 ng/l and/or AB1-42/AB1-40 ratio <0.055.</li>

165

166 2.5. Statistical analyses

167 All results are expressed in median and interquartile range (IQR). Non-parametric 168 statistical analyses (Mann-Whitney, Spearman's rho correlation) were performed due to the 169 small sample size. The significance level was defined as p < 0.05. Statistical analysis was 170 performed using version 19.1 of the MedCalc Statistical Software (MedCalc Software bv, 171 Ostend, Belgium) and the R Statistical Software (v4.1.1; R Core Team 2021).

172

173 2.6. Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consent

The study was classified as an observational study according to French health regulations. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (number A17200704), and oral informed consent was obtained from all participants after providing them with written explanations. The study was performed according to the approved protocol.

The control group of non-COVID patients with psychiatric illnesses came from a study
(NCT-04001270) published and approved by the institutional review board of the Université
Claude Bernard Lyon 1 and Hospices Civils de Lyon [32].

181

182 2.7. Data availability

Data will be made available by the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The data are not publicly available because they contain information that could compromise our patients' privacy.

186

187 2.8. Literature summary

To facilitate the discussion through a global overview of CSF findings in patients with NeuroCOVID, we presented our results in a table together with a summary of data from previous studies (Table 1).

191

#### 192 **3. Results**

193 3.1. Clinical findings and management

194 We analyzed data from 24 NeuroCOVID patients. The median age was 62 years 195 (IQR: 56-70), and males were more represented (62.5%) (Table 2). No patient had a 196 previous medical history of neurological disease. Among these patients, encephalopathy was 197 the main neurological syndrome (70.8%, n=17) compared to meningoencephalitis (29.2%, n=7). Eight of these patients (33%, six with encephalopathy and two with 198 199 meningoencephalitis) also developed movement disorders, and five of them (21%, four with 200 encephalopathy and one with meningoencephalitis) experienced a stroke. Disease severity 201 in these patients was estimated to be either moderate (16.7%), severe (25%), or critical 202 (58.3%). All patients hospitalized in ICU received mechanical ventilation (Table 2).

203

#### 3.2. CSF immune reaction and neuroinflammation

All patients had a lumbar puncture (LP) a median five days (IQR 3-12) after the onset of neurological symptoms. CSF findings are shown in Table 3. Except for CSF pleocytosis, protein levels, and albumin ratios, which were higher in patients with meningoencephalitis, no significant difference was observed in biomarkers of the immune response and neuroinflammation between the two clinical subgroups.

210 Isoelectric focusing patterns 2 and 3, which indicate intrathecal IgG synthesis, were 211 identified in two (8.7%) and 11 (47.8%) of the patients, respectively. Type 2 or 3 patterns 212 were observed in 25% of patients with moderate forms, 50% of patients with severe forms, 213 and 70% of those with critical forms, without a statistical relationship between disease 214 severity and band pattern (*p*=0.275). CSF neopterin was increased in 75% (n=18) of patients 215 (median 9.1 nmol/I, IQR 5.6-22.1). No correlation was found between CSF neopterin level 216 and the delay of LP (rho=0.25, p=0.25), nor between CSF neopterin levels and duration of 217 ICU stay (rho=0.152, p=0.62).

218

3.3. CSF biomarkers of neuronal injury and neurodegeneration

220 CSF NfL levels were significantly higher than in the control group of non-COVID-19 221 patients with psychiatric illnesses (2905 ng/l, IQR 1428-7124 versus 1222 ng/l, IQR 1049-

1566) (Fig. 1, Table 3). There was no correlation between CSF NfL level and age (rho=0.337, p=0.135), CSF NfL level and the delay of LP (rho=0.09, p=0.71), and CSF NfL level and duration of ICU stay (rho=-0.442, p=0.17).

Total-tau protein levels were increased in 5/21 patients (24%, four with encephalopathy, one with meningoencephalitis). One patient with a concomitant elevation of T-tau (2577 ng/l) and P-tau (64 ng/l) also demonstrated high NfL levels (66,560 ng/l) and intermediate levels of 14-3-3 protein, while amyloid markers (AB1-42 level and AB1-42/AB1-40 ratio) were not significantly modified. There was a positive correlation between T-tau and NfL CSF levels (rho=0.510 and p=0.036) in the whole cohort.

CSF 14-3-3 protein was negative in 21/23 patients and intermediate in 2/23 (one
encephalopathy with additional stroke and one meningoencephalitis).

233 AB1-42 peptide was lowered in 11/21 (52.4%) patients (median 536 ng/l, IQR 432-904). The AB1-42/AB1-40 amyloid ratio was, however, normal in the 21 patients analyzed 234 (0.082, IQR 0.060-0.096). Among the 11 patients with low levels of AB1-42, nine had 235 236 encephalopathy, and two had meningoencephalitis. Three of the patients with reduced CSF 237 AB1-42 levels had a concomitant increase in CSF/serum albumin ratio. The median CSF NfL 238 concentration was increased in these patients (2852 ng/l, IQR 1948-5626), but T-tau or P-tau levels remained normal. Overall, none of the patients had a typical CSF pattern evocative of 239 240 Alzheimer's disease pathophysiology.

241

#### 242 **4. Discussion**

We analyzed CSF biomarkers in a group of 24 well-characterized SARS-CoV-2 infected patients developing acute CNS injury. We found features consistent with active neuronal damage and immune reaction restricted to the CNS in most patients. Consistent with previous studies, our findings provide evidence that CNS immune activation occurs in NeuroCOVID patients together with neuronal injury and impaired amyloid processing. This, further sheds light on disease pathogenesis and mechanisms of neurological sequelae

secondary to SARS-CoV-2 infection while raising concerns about the long-term impact ofNeuroCOVID on brain function.

251 A large proportion of the SARS-CoV-2 patients developing CNS abnormalities 252 demonstrated CNS immune activation. In particular, agarose gel isoelectric focusing allowed 253 us to show that CSF oligoclonal bands of IgG were present in 57% of our patients. By contrast, in previous studies, intrathecal IgG synthesis was inconstantly reported and 254 255 observed in only 2 to 8% of patients [18,20,35]. In the present study, the median delay 256 between the onset of neurological symptoms and LP was much shorter (median of five days) 257 compared to 14 days [21] and 11 days [19] in the two studies in which intrathecal IgG 258 synthesis was not detected (Table 1), suggesting that the delay between neurological 259 manifestations and LP might account for such differences.

260 CSF neopterin is a well-established immune activation marker with elevated concentrations seen in many inflammatory states, including infections, autoimmune 261 262 disorders, and primary CNS lymphoma [36-38]. Our study found that CSF neopterin was 263 increased in 75% of all NeuroCOVID cases. Our finding agrees with the data reported by 264 Eden et al. [19], in which 6/6 NeuroCOVID patients (four with encephalopathy and two with 265 altered mental status) exhibited high levels of CSF neopterin. Under inflammatory conditions, neopterin in the brain is produced by microglia and astrocytes in response to stimulation by 266 267 interferon gamma [39]. An elevation of CSF neopterin was reported when brain 268 neuroinflammation results from viral infections, especially in herpes virus encephalitis, 269 enterovirus meningoencephalitis [40], and in HIV-1-associated neurocognitive disorders [36]. 270 Overall, biomarkers of focal immune reaction and neuroinflammation could provide valuable 271 tools for diagnosing NeuroCOVID, for example, to distinguish between stroke due to SARS-272 CoV-2 infection versus non-inflammatory/infectious etiologies.

A substantial proportion of our patients had abnormal CSF levels of biomarkers that reflect neuronal injury, including NfL, T-tau, and to a lesser extent, protein 14-3-3. NfL is a cytoskeletal protein mainly expressed in large myelinated axons [41]. The positive correlation that normally exists between elevated CSF NfL and age in healthy individuals [42] was

277 absent in NeuroCOVID patients suggesting that ongoing neuronal insults may cover up the 278 age effect. Accordingly, this correlation is also absent in inflammatory, neurodegenerative, 279 traumatic, and cerebrovascular diseases [43-45] where NfL is thought to be passively 280 released into the CSF. CSF T-tau is also a well-studied biomarker that can be taken as a tool 281 not only for prediction but also for diagnosing AD [46]. Of twenty-one patients, five had 282 elevated levels of CSF T-tau. Among them, one patient with encephalopathy associated with 283 stroke demonstrated high T-tau levels (2577 ng/l, i.e. five times higher than the cut-off value) 284 and an intermediate level of protein 14-3-3, suggesting more extensive neuronal damage in 285 this individual. Changes in CSF biomarkers of neuronal injury have been reported during 286 various CNS infections. In a large retrospective study of 281 patients with CNS infections 287 [40], T-tau and protein 14-3-3 were reported abnormally high in the CSF of patients 288 developing herpes simplex virus (HSV) encephalitis. High levels of T-tau were also observed 289 in patients with HSV encephalitis undergoing LP within seven days after the onset of 290 symptoms suggesting that a sharp increase in T-tau occurs in the first days following HSV 291 infection [40]. In NeuroCOVID patients with CNS injury, previous studies reported increased 292 levels of NfL [18,19,21,22], whereas T-Tau was found inconstantly increased [18,22] (Table 293 1). Elevated CSF 14-3-3 protein was reported in four of eight critical COVID-19 patients with 294 encephalopathy in the study from [23] (Table 1). Overall, our results confirm that neuronal 295 damage is significant during the acute phase of NeuroCOVID and suggest that CSF NfL is 296 the most reliable biomarker of neuronal injury in this context.

297 In our group of patients, 52.4% (nine with encephalopathy, two with encephalitis) 298 developed changes in CSF amyloid biomarkers, but none presented abnormalities 299 suggestive of Alzheimer's disease amyloidosis. AB1-42 levels were significantly reduced in 300 these patients but with no concomitant reduction of AB1-42/AB1-40 ratios as expected in 301 amyloidosis [47]. Besides, no concomitant increase of T-tau and P-tau was observed in 302 these particular patients. Changes in CSF amyloid biomarkers were also previously reported 303 in two other studies describing NeuroCOVID patients with CNS and peripheral nervous 304 system (PNS) damages. Paterson et al. [22] reported a decrease in the AB1-42/AB1-40 ratio

305 but normal T-tau or P-tau levels in three patients with Guillain-Barre syndrome and two with 306 encephalopathy (Table 1). CSF amyloid AB1-40, AB1-42, and AB1-42/AB1-40 ratio, as well 307 as soluble amyloid precursor protein metabolites (sAPPa and sAPPB), were significantly 308 reduced in another study describing 21 COVID-19 patients with PNS and CNS injuries [24]. 309 Overall, these results suggest that SARS-CoV-2 infection may possibly induce a down-310 regulation of amyloid precursor protein processing, possibly resulting in a global reduction in 311 B-amyloid peptide production [24] that is not found in the pathophysiology of AD. This is 312 consistent with previous reports on neuroinflammatory conditions and CNS infections where 313 decreases in both AB1-40 and AB1-42 were reported [40,48,49]. One may also assume that 314 the clearance and elimination of amyloid metabolites might be enhanced in patients with 315 higher CSF/serum albumin ratios traducing increased BBB permeability. Note, however, that 316 CSF/serum albumin ratios were elevated in only one-third of patients with reduced CSF AB1-317 42. From a more general point of view, the amyloid precursor protein being considered as 318 an innate antiviral defense factor [50-52], alterations in its metabolism are not totally 319 unexpected in NeuroCOVID patients.

Beyond acute infection, there is still a challenge to distinguish neurological sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection from early neurodegenerative processes [53]. Post-infectious immune response generated by anti-neuronal autoantibodies [54] or persistent viral replication in tissue reservoirs such as the olfactory mucosa [2] could mediate residual and chronic neuroinflammation. Therefore, longitudinal clinical, biological, and neuropathological studies are needed to better understand long-term consequences of these processes.

Our study has some limitations, such as the small sample size and the lack of a prospective control group of COVID-19 patients without neurological symptoms. Also, the variable delay between the onset of neurological symptoms and LP could be a possible confounding factor. Nevertheless, one of the strengths of our study was that participants were well-characterized and formed a relatively homogeneous group. Another strength is the harmonization of sample collection and handling, assuring robust and comparable results between patients.

Overall, our study showed that CSF biomarkers of neuroinflammation and neuronal 333 334 injury are elevated in acute NeuroCOVID patients. We speculate that neuroinflammation, 335 demonstrated by elevation of CSF neopterin and intrathecal synthesis of IgG as well as BBB 336 disruption, could trigger neuronal damage and compromise amyloid precursor peptide processing. Our results confirm the idea that anti-inflammatory drugs are essential at an 337 early phase of the disease [55]. While their effects appear to improve outcomes in the acute 338 339 phase of the disease [56], the impact on residual neurological disability is still poorly 340 understood and requires better understanding.

- 341
- 342

### References

343 [1] Meinhardt J, Radke J, Dittmayer C, Franz J, Thomas C, Mothes R, et al. Olfactory

344 transmucosal SARS-CoV-2 invasion as a port of central nervous system entry in individuals

345 with COVID-19. Nat Neurosci 2021;24:168–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00758-5.

de Melo GD, Lazarini F, Levallois S, Hautefort C, Michel V, Larrous F, et al.
COVID-19–related anosmia is associated with viral persistence and inflammation in human
olfactory epithelium and brain infection in hamsters. Sci Transl Med 2021;13:eabf8396.

349 https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abf8396.

Francistiová L, Klepe A, Curley G, Gulya K, Dinnyés A, Filkor K. Cellular and
Molecular Effects of SARS-CoV-2 Linking Lung Infection to the Brain. Front Immunol
2021;12:730088. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.730088.

353 [4] Song E, Bartley CM, Chow RD, Ngo TT, Jiang R, Zamecnik CR, et al. Divergent and
354 self-reactive immune responses in the CNS of COVID-19 patients with neurological
355 symptoms. Cell Reports Medicine 2021;2:100288.

356 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100288.

357 [5] Mohammadi S, Moosaie F, Aarabi MH. Understanding the Immunologic

358 Characteristics of Neurologic Manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 and Potential Immunological

- 359 Mechanisms. Mol Neurobiol 2020;57:5263–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-020-02094-y.
- Chaumont H, Meppiel E, Roze E, Tressières B, de Broucker T, Lannuzel A. Longterm outcomes after NeuroCOVID: A 6-month follow-up study on 60 patients. Revue
  Neurologique 2022;178:137–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurol.2021.12.008.
- 363 [7] Frontera JA, Yang D, Lewis A, Patel P, Medicherla C, Arena V, et al. A prospective

364 study of long-term outcomes among hospitalized COVID-19 patients with and without

neurological complications. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2021;426:117486.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2021.117486.

- 367 [8] Krey L, Huber MK, Höglinger GU, Wegner F. Can SARS-CoV-2 Infection Lead to
- 368 Neurodegeneration and Parkinson's Disease? Brain Sciences 2021;11:1654.
- 369 https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11121654.
- 370 [9] Bouali-Benazzouz R, Benazzouz A. Covid-19 Infection and Parkinsonism: Is There a
- 371Link? Mov Disord 2021;36:1737–43. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28680.
- 372 [10] Ritchie K, Chan D, Watermeyer T. The cognitive consequences of the COVID-19
- epidemic: collateral damage? Brain Communications 2020;2:fcaa069.
- 374 https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcaa069.

- 375 [11] Sencio V, Machelart A, Robil C, Benech N, Hoffmann E, Galbert C, et al. Alteration
  376 of the gut microbiota following SARS-CoV-2 infection correlates with disease severity in
- article gat interference interf
- 378 [12] Venegas-Borsellino C, Sankararaman S, Roche K, Burns Jb, Landis RM. Impact of
- 379 COVID-19 on the Intestinal Microbiome. Curr Nutr Rep 2021;10:300–6.
- 380 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-021-00375-z.
- 381 [13] Sun M, Ma K, Wen J, Wang G, Zhang C, Li Q, et al. A Review of the Brain-Gut-
- Microbiome Axis and the Potential Role of Microbiota in Alzheimer's Disease. JAD
  2020;73:849–65. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190872.
- 384 [14] Vavougios GD. SARS-CoV-2 dysregulation of PTBP1 and YWHAE/Z gene
- expression: A primer of neurodegeneration. Medical Hypotheses 2020;144:110212.
- 386 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110212.
- 387 [15] Lindlau A, Widmann CN, Putensen C, Jessen F, Semmler A, Heneka MT. Predictors
- of hippocampal atrophy in critically ill patients. Eur J Neurol 2015;22:410–5.
- 389 https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.12443.
- 390 [16] Iwashyna TJ, Ely EW, Smith DM, Langa KM. Long-term Cognitive Impairment and
- Functional Disability Among Survivors of Severe Sepsis. JAMA 2010;304:1787.
- 392 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1553.
- 393 [17] Widmann CN, Heneka MT. Long-term cerebral consequences of sepsis. The Lancet
  394 Neurology 2014;13:630–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70017-1.
- 395 [18] Virhammar J, Nääs A, Fällmar D, Cunningham JL, Klang A, Ashton NJ, et al.
- Biomarkers for central nervous system injury in cerebrospinal fluid are elevated in COVID-19 and associated with neurological symptoms and disease severity. Eur J Neurol 2021;28:3324– thttps://doi.org/10.1111/opa.14703
- **398** 31. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14703.
- 399 [19] Edén A, Kanberg N, Gostner J, Fuchs D, Hagberg L, Andersson L-M, et al. CSF
- 400 biomarkers in patients with COVID-19 and neurological symptoms: A case series. Neurology
- 401 2020:10.1212/WNL.000000000010977. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000010977.
- 402 [20] Espíndola OM, Brandão CO, Gomes YCP, Siqueira M, Soares CN, Lima MASD, et al.
- 403 Cerebrospinal fluid findings in neurological diseases associated with COVID-19 and insights
- 404 into mechanisms of disease development. International Journal of Infectious Diseases
   405 2021;102:155–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.044.
- 406 [21] Garcia MA, Barreras PV, Lewis A, Pinilla G, Sokoll LJ, Kickler T, et al.
- 407 Cerebrospinal fluid in COVID-19 neurological complications: Neuroaxonal damage, anti-
- 408 SARS-Cov2 antibodies but no evidence of cytokine storm. Journal of the Neurological
- 409 Sciences 2021;427:117517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2021.117517.
- 410 [22] Paterson RW, Benjamin LA, Mehta PR, Brown RL, Athauda D, Ashton NJ, et al.
- 411 Serum and cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profiles in acute SARS-CoV-2-associated
- 412 neurological syndromes. Brain Communications 2021;3:fcab099.
- 413 https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcab099.
- 414 [23] Alexopoulos H, Magira E, Bitzogli K, Kafasi N, Vlachoyiannopoulos P, Tzioufas A,
- et al. Anti–SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the CSF, blood-brain barrier dysfunction, and
- 416 neurological outcome: Studies in 8 stuporous and comatose patients. Neurol Neuroimmunol
  417 Neuroinflamm 2020;7:e893. https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000893.
- 418 [24] Ziff OJ, Ashton NJ, Mehta PR, Brown R, Athauda D, Heaney J, et al. Amyloid
- 419 processing in COVID -19-associated neurological syndromes. Journal of Neurochemistry
  420 2022;jnc.15585. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.15585.
- 421 [25] Guasp M, Muñoz-Sánchez G, Martínez-Hernández E, Santana D, Carbayo Á, Naranjo
- 422 L, et al. CSF Biomarkers in COVID-19 Associated Encephalopathy and Encephalitis Predict
- 423 Long-Term Outcome. Front Immunol 2022;13:866153.
- 424 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.866153.

- 425 [26] Edén A, Grahn A, Bremell D, Aghvanyan A, Bathala P, Fuchs D, et al. Viral Antigen
- 426 and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Cerebrospinal Fluid in Patients With COVID-19 Infection
- 427 and Neurologic Symptoms Compared With Control Participants Without Infection or
- 428 Neurologic Symptoms. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2213253.
- 429 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.13253.
- 430 [27] Meppiel E, Peiffer-Smadja N, Maury A, Bekri I, Delorme C, Desestret V, et al.
- 431 Neurologic manifestations associated with COVID-19: a multicentre registry. Clinical
- 432 Microbiology and Infection 2020:S1198743X20306984.
- 433 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.11.005.
- 434 [28] COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
- 435 Treatment Guidelines. National Institutes of Health. Available at
- 436 https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. Accessed [insert date]. n.d.
- 437 [29] Deisenhammer F, Bartos A, Egg R, Gilhus NE, Giovannoni G, Rauer S, et al.
- 438 Guidelines on routine cerebrospinal fluid analysis. Report from an EFNS task force. Eur J
- 439 Neurol 2006;13:913–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2006.01493.x.
- [30] Perret-Liaudet A, Boibieux A, Gabet J, Biron F, Haond P, Peyramond D, et al. CSF
  neopterin in neurological disease in HIV-1 infection. PoB 3497, VIII International
- 42 Conference on AIDS / III STD World Congress, Amsterdam, The Netherlands 1992.
- 443 [31] Vanderstichele H, Bibl M, Engelborghs S, Le Bastard N, Lewczuk P, Molinuevo JL,
- 444 et al. Standardization of preanalytical aspects of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing for
- 445 Alzheimer's disease diagnosis: A consensus paper from the Alzheimer's Biomarkers
- 446 Standardization Initiative. Alzheimer's & amp; Dementia 2012;8:65–73.
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.07.004.
- Lardeux P, Fourier A, Peter E, Dorey A, Muñiz-Castrillo S, Vogrig A, et al. Core
  cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profile in anti-LGI1 encephalitis. J Neurol 2022;269:377–88.
- 450 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021-10642-2.
- 451 [33] Fourier A, Dorey A, Perret-Liaudet A, Quadrio I. Detection of CSF 14-3-3 Protein in
- 452 Sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease Patients Using a New Automated Capillary Western
- 453 Assay. Mol Neurobiol 2018;55:3537–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-017-0607-2.
- 454 [34] Molinuevo JL, Blennow K, Dubois B, Engelborghs S, Lewczuk P, Perret-Liaudet A,
- 455 et al. The clinical use of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker testing for Alzheimer's disease
- diagnosis: A consensus paper from the Alzheimer's Biomarkers Standardization Initiative.
- 457 Alzheimer's & amp; Dementia 2014;10:808–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2014.03.003.
- 458 [35] Lewis A, Frontera J, Placantonakis DG, Lighter J, Galetta S, Balcer L, et al.
  459 Cerebrospinal fluid in COVID-19: A systematic review of the literature. Journal of the
- 459 Cerebrospinal fittid in COVID-19: A systematic review of the interature. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2021;421:117316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2021.117316.
- 461 [36] Hagberg L, Cinque P, Gisslen M, Brew BJ, Spudich S, Bestetti A, et al. Cerebrospinal
- 462 fluid neopterin: an informative biomarker of central nervous system immune activation in
- 463 HIV-1 infection. AIDS Res Ther 2010;7:15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-6405-7-15.
- 464 [37] Viaccoz A, Ducray F, Tholance Y, Barcelos GK, Thomas-Maisonneuve L,
- 465 Ghesquières H, et al. CSF neopterin level as a diagnostic marker in primary central nervous
- 466 system lymphoma. Neuro Oncol 2015;17:1497–503. https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nov092.
- 467 [38] Furukawa Y, Nishi K, Kondo T, Tanabe K, Mizuno Y. Significance of CSF total
- 468 neopterin and biopterin in inflammatory neurological diseases. Journal of the Neurological
  469 Sciences 1992;111:65–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510X(92)90113-Y.
- 469 Sciences 1992;111:05–72. https://doi.org/10.1010/0022-510X(92)90115-1. 470 [39] Ghisoni K, Martins R de P, Barbeito L, Latini A. Neopterin as a potential
- 470 [39] Ohisoni K, Martins K de F, Barbeno L, Launi A. Neopterin as a potential 471 cytoprotective brain molecule. Journal of Psychiatric Research 2015;71:134–9.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.10.003.
- 473 [40] Di Stefano A, Alcantarini C, Atzori C, Lipani F, Imperiale D, Burdino E, et al.
- 474 Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in patients with central nervous system infections: a

- 475 retrospective study. CNS Spectr 2020;25:402–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852919000981.
- 476 [41] Gafson AR, Barthélemy NR, Bomont P, Carare RO, Durham HD, Julien J-P, et al.
- 477 Neurofilaments: neurobiological foundations for biomarker applications. Brain
- 478 2020;143:1975–98. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa098.
- 479 [42] Vågberg M, Norgren N, Dring A, Lindqvist T, Birgander R, Zetterberg H, et al. Levels
- 480 and Age Dependency of Neurofilament Light and Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein in Healthy
- 481 Individuals and Their Relation to the Brain Parenchymal Fraction. PLoS ONE
- 482 2015;10:e0135886. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135886.
- 483 [43] Khalil M, Enzinger C, Langkammer C, Ropele S, Mader A, Trentini A, et al. CSF
- 484 neurofilament and N-acetylaspartate related brain changes in clinically isolated syndrome.
- 485 Mult Scler 2013;19:436–42. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458512458010.
- 486 [44] Khalil M, Teunissen CE, Otto M, Piehl F, Sormani MP, Gattringer T, et al.
- 487 Neurofilaments as biomarkers in neurological disorders. Nat Rev Neurol 2018;14:577–89.
  488 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-018-0058-z.
- 489 [45] Kuhle J, Plattner K, Bestwick JP, Lindberg RL, Ramagopalan SV, Norgren N, et al. A
- 490 comparative study of CSF neurofilament light and heavy chain protein in MS. Mult Scler
- 491 2013;19:1597–603. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458513482374.
- 492 [46] Blennow K, de Leon MJ, Zetterberg H. Alzheimer's disease. The Lancet
- 493 2006;368:387–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69113-7.
- 494 [47] Biscetti L, Salvadori N, Farotti L, Cataldi S, Eusebi P, Paciotti S, et al. The added
- 495 value of  $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$  in the CSF signature for routine diagnostics of Alzheimer's disease.
- 496 Clinica Chimica Acta 2019;494:71–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2019.03.001.
- 497 [48] Zetterberg H, Blennow K. Fluid biomarkers for mild traumatic brain injury and related
- 498 conditions. Nat Rev Neurol 2016;12:563–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2016.127.
- 499 [49] Krut JJ, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Cinque P, Hagberg L, Price RW, et al.
- 500 Cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer's biomarker profiles in CNS infections. J Neurol
- 501 2013;260:620–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6688-y.
- 502 [50] Chai Q, Jovasevic V, Malikov V, Sabo Y, Morham S, Walsh D, et al. HIV-1
- 503 counteracts an innate restriction by amyloid precursor protein resulting in neurodegeneration.
- 504 Nat Commun 2017;8:1522. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01795-8.
- 505 [51] Gisslén M, Krut J, Andreasson U, Blennow K, Cinque P, Brew BJ, et al. Amyloid and
- tau cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in HIV infection. BMC Neurol 2009;9:63.
- 507 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-9-63.
- 508 [52] Eimer WA, Vijaya Kumar DK, Navalpur Shanmugam NK, Rodriguez AS, Mitchell T,
- 509 Washicosky KJ, et al. Alzheimer's Disease-Associated β-Amyloid Is Rapidly Seeded by
- 510 Herpesviridae to Protect against Brain Infection. Neuron 2018;99:56-63.e3.
- 511 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.030.
- 512 [53] Spudich S, Nath A. Nervous system consequences of COVID-19. Science
- 513 2022;375:267–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm2052.
- 514 [54] Franke C, Ferse C, Kreye J, Reincke SM, Sanchez-Sendin E, Rocco A, et al. High
- 515 frequency of cerebrospinal fluid autoantibodies in COVID-19 patients with neurological
- 516 symptoms. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 2021;93:415–9.
- 517 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.12.022.
- 518 [55] Chaumont H, San-Galli A, Martino F, Couratier C, Joguet G, Carles M, et al. Mixed
- 519 central and peripheral nervous system disorders in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Neurol
- 520 2020;267:3121–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-09986-y.
- 521 [56] Merad M, Blish CA, Sallusto F, Iwasaki A. The immunology and immunopathology
- 522 of COVID-19. Science 2022;375:1122–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm8108.

# Table 1 - Literature summary of NeuroCOVID studies with CSF analysis.

NeuroCOVID Studies	Delay (in days) between neuro. symptoms and LP med. [IQR]	Patients with neurological manifestations (n)	Neuroinflammation (CSF)				Neuronal injury (CSF)			Amyloid markers (CSF)		Astrocyte		
			OCB (n)	Neopterin (n)	lgG index (n)	Albumin ratio (n)	Inflammatory cytokines (n)	NfL (ng/l) med. [IQR]	T-tau (ng/l) med. [IQR]	P-tau	14-3-3 protein	Aß1-42	Ratio Aß1-42/Aß1-40	reactivity (CSF GFAP)
Espindola et al.	NA	58	↑ (3/38)	NA	NA	NA	NA	1694 [1091–3358]**	318 [173–457]**	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Paterson et al.	NA	34 21 CNS 10 PNS 3 others	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	↑ CNS 1510 [857-14800] vs controls 872 [654-1200]	→ CNS 585 [220-1788] vs controls 289 [243-356]	→*	NA	NA	↓ (5/32)	↓* CNS and PNS vs controls
Garcia et al.	<pre>«early CSF collection group» n=8 4 [1-6] «late CSF collection group» n=10 20 [13-27]</pre>	18	→ (5/18)	NA	→ (7/18)	→ (7/18)	↑ IL-6, TNFα, IL-10, IL-12p70 Stroke group (n=7) vs controls ↑ IL-10, IL-12p70 critical illness group (n=8) vs controls	个* 8657 [1400–18333]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Edén et al. (2020)	11 [7-12]	6	→ (6/6)	↑ (6/6)	→ (6/6)	→ (6/6)	NA	↑ (2/6) 974 [669–1998]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Virhammar et al.	NA	19	↑ (1/18)	NA	↑ (4/17)	↑ (1/19)	↑*	个 (12/18) 1900 [773–3763]	↑ (7/17)	NA	NA	NA	NA	↑ (3/18)
Alexopoulos et al.	NA	8	NA	NA	↑ (1/8)	↑ (3/8)	NA	NA	NA	NA	Positive (4/8)	NA	NA	NA
Ziff et al.	NA	21	NA	NA	NA	NA	↑* TNFα, IL6, IL1β, IL8 vs controls	个* vs controls	NA	NA	NA	↓* vs controls	↓* vs controls	↓* vs controls
Guasp et al.	NA	60	→ (27/27)	NA	NA	NA	个 MCP-1, G-CSF, IL18, IL6, IL8, MIG (n=27) vs controls	↑ Encephalopathy (n=16) 1543 [740-2083] vs controls (n=24) 764.5 [472.5-896.5]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Edén et al. (2022)	NA	23	NA	↑ vs controls	÷	÷	↑ TNFa, IL6, IL2 vs controls	个* vs controls	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$\rightarrow$ vs controls
Chaumont et al.	5 [3-12]	24	↑ (13/24)	↑ (18/24)	↑ (6/23)	↑ (7/23)	NA	个* 2905 [1428-7124]	↑ (5/21)	↑ (1/21)	Intermediate (2/23)	↓ (11/21)	→ (20/20)	NA

Abbreviations: CNS: patients with "central nervous system" injury; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; GFAP: glial fibrillary acid protein; lgG: immunoglobulin G; IL: interleukin; IQR: interquartile range; LP: lumbar puncture; NA: not available; NfL: neurofilament light chain; OCB: oligoclonal bands; PNS: patients with "peripheral nervous system" injury; P-tau: phosphorylated-tau; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; T-tau: total-tau; Vs: versus;  $\rightarrow$ : normal;  $\uparrow$ : increased;  $\downarrow$ : decreased; \*: the total number of patients is not available; \*\*: NeuroCOVID patients not compared to healthy controls.

	- 241
	n=24±
Age (in years)	62 [56-70]
Sex	
Female	9 (37.5%)
Male	15 (62.5%)
Comorbidities	
Hypertension	13 (54.2%)
Diabetes mellitus	10 (41.7%)
Obesity	7 (29.2%)
Cancer	4 (16.7%)
Chronic alcoholism	2 (8.3%)
Chronic kidney disease	0 (0.0%)
Chronic cardiac disease	1 (4.2%)
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	1 (4.2%)
NIH severity	
Mild	0 (0.0%)
Moderate	4 (16.7%)
Severe	6 (25.0%)
Critical	14 (58.3%)
Neurological syndromes	
Encephalopathy	17 (70.8%)
Meningoencephalitis	7 (29.2%)
Additional movement disorders	8 (33.3%)
Additional stroke	5 (20.8%)
Time between first infectious symptoms and neurological manifestation (in days)	8 [1-17]
ICI L hospitalization	13 (54 2%)
Duration of ICU stay (in days)	28 [17-33]
Machanical vontilation	12 (E4 20/)
	⊥3 (34.2%) 22 [16_20]
Duration (in uays)	22 [10-29]

**Table 2** - General characteristics of 24 COVID-19 patients with neurological manifestations.

<sup>1</sup>Median [IQR]; n (%).

Abbreviations: NIH: National Institutes of Health; ICU: intensive care unit.

	Total	Encephalopathy	Meningoencephalitis	p
	n=24 <sup>1</sup>	n=17 <sup>1</sup>	n=7 <sup>1</sup>	0 1 2 1
and LP (in days) (n=23)	5 [3-12]	5 [3-13]	4 [2-4]	0.121
WCC (cell/μl)	2 [0-5]	1 [0-2]	8 [6-28]	<0.001
Abnormal WCC (>4/µl)	7 (29%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	<0.001
Protein (g/L)	0.42 [0.29-0.60]	0.34 [0.22-0.44]	0.62 [0.52-0.97]	0.003
Abnormal protein (>0.4 g/l)	12 (50%)	5 (29%)	7 (100%)	0.005
Isoelectric focusing of CSF* (n=23)				0.199
Type 1 pattern	10 (43%)	6 (35%)	4 (67%)	
Type 2 pattern	2 (8.7%)	1 (5.9%)	1 (17%)	
Type 3 pattern	11 (48%)	10 (59%)	1 (17%)	
IgG index (n=23)	0.62 [0.47-0.71]	0.62 [0.49-0.65]	0.60 [0.42-0.74]	0.972
Abnormal IgG index (>0.7)	6 (26%)	3 (18%)	3 (50%)	0.279
Albumin ratio (CSF/serum) (n=23)	0.006 [0.004-0.010]	0.005 [0.004-0.007]	0.012 [0.008-0.015]	0.020
Abnormal albumin ratio (≥0.0075)	7 (30%)	3 (18%)	4 (67%)	0.045
Neopterin (nmol/l) (n=24)	9.1 [5.6-22.1]	9.1 [6.6-22.9]	6.4 [5.3-20.4]	0.525
Abnormal neopterin (>5 nmol/l)	18 (75%)	13 (76%)	5 (71%)	1.000
Positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM (n=15)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0.057
Positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG (n=17)	6 (35%)	4 (33%)	2 (40%)	1.000
NfL (ng/l) (n=19)	2 905 [1 428-7 124]	3 177 [2 017-5 846]	1 428 [918-7 766]	0.368
Total-tau (ng/l) (n=21)	256 [199-394]	265 [206-417]	199 [170-294]	0.407
Abnormal T-tau (>400)	5 (24%)	4 (25%)	1 (20%)	1.000
Phosphorylated-tau 181 (ng/L) (n=21)	32 [20-37]	33 [21-43]	22 [20-32]	0.363
Abnormal P-tau (>60)	1 (4.8%)	1 (6.2%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Aß1-42 peptide (ng/l) (n=21)	536 [432-904]	516 [423-838]	808 [475-904]	0.660
Abnormal Aß1-42 (<550)	11 (52%)	9 (56%)	2 (40%)	0.635
Aß1-42 / Aß1-40 ratio (n=21)	0.082 [0.060-0.096]	0.082 [0.059-0.091]	0.097 [0.073-0.101]	0.130
Abnormal Aß1-42 / Aß1-40 (<0.055)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
14-3-3 protein (n=23)				0.526
Intermediate	2 (8.7%)	1 (6.2%)	1 (14%)	
Negative	21 (91%)	15 (94%)	6 (86%)	

# **Table 3** - CSF findings in 24 COVID-19 patients with neurological manifestations.

Abbreviations: CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; IgG: immunoglobulin G; IgM: immunoglobulin M; NfL: neurofilament light chain; P-tau: phosphorylated-tau; T-tau: total-tau; WCC: white cell count.

<sup>1</sup>Median [IQR]; n (%).

\* Type 1: no specific band in CSF and serum (normal); Type 2: specific oligoclonal IgG bands in the CSF and no corresponding band in serum (intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 3: IgG oligoclonal bands in CSF and additional identical bands in the CSF and serum (intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 4: similar oligoclonal bands in the CSF and serum (systemic, no intrathecal IgG synthesis); Type 5: monoclonal bands in CSF and serum (no IgG synthesis in CNS).

# Legend of the figure

Fig. 1 - Level of CSF NfL in NeuroCOVID group and controls.

Boxplots of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) neurofilament light chain (NfL) in NeuroCOVID (n=19, 13 encephalopathies in blue and six meningoencephalitis in red) and controls of non-COVID patients with psychiatric illnesses (n=20, in black)

