



**HAL**  
open science

# Evidence Before Action: The Essential Search for Evidence-Based Principles in France's Assisted Dying Legislation

Pierre-François Pradat, Sara Piazza, Claire Fourcade

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre-François Pradat, Sara Piazza, Claire Fourcade. Evidence Before Action: The Essential Search for Evidence-Based Principles in France's Assisted Dying Legislation. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 2024, 27 (4), pp.445-446. 10.1089/jpm.2024.0004 . hal-04534590

**HAL Id: hal-04534590**

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04534590v1>

Submitted on 5 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Evidence Before Action: The Essential Search for Evidence-Based Principles in France's Assisted Dying Legislation**

Pierre-Francois Pradat, MD, PhD (1), Sara Piazza, PhD (2), Claire Fourcade, MD (3),

- 1) APHP, Département de Neurologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre Référent SLA, 47 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.
- 2) Équipe mobile soins palliatifs (EMSP), centre Aion, CH de Saint-Denis, hôpital Delafontaine, 2, rue du Docteur-Delafontaine, 93200 Saint-Denis, France.
- 3) Hôpital Privé du Grand Narbonne, Unité de Soins Palliatifs, 1 Rue du Professeur Christian Barnard, 11100, Montredon-des-Corbières, France.

### **Corresponding author**

Docteur Pierre-François Pradat

Département de Neurologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre Référent SLA, 47 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.

Phone : +33142161691

E-mail : pierre-francois.pradat@aphp.fr

**Keywords:** Assisted Dying, Evidence-based, Law

We read the article titled 'Medical Assistance in Dying, Palliative Care, Safety, and Structural Vulnerability' with keen interest, delving into the associated comments (1, 2, 3). Beyond their varying conclusions, these articles underscore the pivotal role played by the palliative medical community in providing the necessary evidence for meaningful public discourse on the topic of assisted dying (AD).

Various interpretations represent an indispensable component of scientific dialogue, contributing significantly to its enrichment. The relevance of this debate expands beyond borders, finding resonance in the ongoing deliberations within France concerning a proposed AD bill slated for presentation to the national assembly in 2024. The proposed law originates from a new form of direct democracy introduced in France, founded upon a 'citizens' convention.' This assembly brought together 184 randomly selected citizens from the French

population, who, after conducting hearings and discussions, issued a favorable opinion on the use of AD.

The debate in France has also been markedly influenced by public surveys, albeit ones fraught with methodological flaws and potentially biased questions, as highlighted by Alain Garrigou, a professor of political science at the University Paris Ouest Nanterre (4). We markedly observe that the legal procedure has not established an official body involving experts in relevant medical fields, notably palliative care or medical ethics, to ensure a balanced public debate and conduct a thorough examination of the existing evidence, especially on the critical issue of structural vulnerability.

Academic research conducted in countries with established AD laws, as well as studies in France regarding healthcare professionals' perceptions of AD (5, 6), have not received the rigorous and balanced consideration they warrant. This oversight is particularly surprising given the existence of evaluation bodies in France, such as the Health Authority (*Haute Autorité de Santé*), which, through interdisciplinary efforts and methodological guidelines, is tasked with guiding public health policies.

While the decision to legalize AD must be democratic, relying solely on opinions and expert testimonies is fundamentally flawed (7). Therefore, we emphasize the pressing requirement for a methodologically sound and rigorous evaluation of the AD issue, involving medical specialties, notably palliative medicine, before any legislation is enacted in France. This step is crucial, as it has the potential to significantly influence medical practice, policies, and public health. We should concern ourselves with making changes in a thoughtful, scientifically rigorous way, as reversing laws hastily conceived or incompletely considered will be challenging for lawmakers and the public.

## REFERENCES

- 1) Downar J, MacDonald S, Buchman S. Medical Assistance in Dying, Palliative Care, Safety, and Structural Vulnerability. *J Palliat Med.* 2023 Sep;26(9):1175-1179. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2023.0210.
- 2) Gallagher R, Coelho R, Violette PD et al. Response to Medical Assistance in Dying, Palliative Care, Safety, and Structural Vulnerability. *J Palliat Med.* 2023 Dec;26(12):1610-1617. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2023.0581.
- 3) Downar J, MacDonald S, Buchman S. Response to Downar J et al., Medical Assistance in Dying, Palliative Care, Safety, and Structural Vulnerability (DOI: 10.1089/jpm.2023.0210). *J Palliat Med.* 2023. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2023.0607.

- 4) Devecchio A. 96% des Français pour l'euthanasie : les sondages, reflet de l'opinion ou arme politique ?. Le Figaro. Available from <https://www.lefigaro.fr/vox/societe/2014/10/30/31003-20141030ARTFIG00180-96-des-francais-pour-l-euthanasie-les-sondages-reflet-de-l-opinion-ou-arme-politique.php>. 30/10/2014.
- 5) Economos G, Moulin P, Perceau-Chambard E et al. Société Française d'accompagnement et de Soins Palliatifs (SFAP). Legalised active assistance in dying: palliative care stakeholders' national e-consultation. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2023:spcare-2022-004081. doi: 10.1136/spcare-2022-004081.
- 6) Auffray L, Mora P, Giabicani M et al. Tension between continuous and deep sedation and assistance in dying: a national survey of intensive care professionals' perceptions. 2023. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med*. doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2023.101317. In press
- 7) White BP, Willmott L. Evidence-based law making on voluntary assisted dying. *Aust Health Rev*. 2020. 44544-546. doi: 10.1071/AH19201.

**All co-authors equally contributed to the conceptualization, drafting, and finalization of the paper**

**Conflicts of Interest:** None

**Funding:** None