

Gut microbiome diversity and composition in individuals with and without extended-spectrum β -lactamase-Producing enterobacterales carriage: a matched case-control study in infectious diseases department

Anders Boyd, Mariam El Dani, Roula Ajrouche, Vanessa Demontant, Justine Cheval, Karine Lacombe, Guillaume Cosson, Christophe Rodriguez, Jean-Michel Pawlotsky, Paul-Louis Woerther, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Anders Boyd, Mariam El Dani, Roula Ajrouche, Vanessa Demontant, Justine Cheval, et al.. Gut microbiome diversity and composition in individuals with and without extended-spectrum β -lactamase-Producing enterobacterales carriage: a matched case-control study in infectious diseases department. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, In press, 10.1016/j.cmi.2024.03.016. hal-04539691

HAL Id: hal-04539691

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04539691v1

Submitted on 5 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Gut Microbiome Diversity and Composition in Individuals With and Without Extended-
- 2 Spectrum β-Lactamase-Producing Enterobacterales Carriage: a Matched Case-Control Study in
- 3 infectious diseases department

4

- 5 Anders Boyd*^{1,2,3}, Mariam El Dani*^{1,4}, Roula Ajrouche^{4,5}, Vanessa Demontant⁶, Justine Cheval⁶,
- 6 Karine Lacombe^{1,7}, Guillaume Cosson⁷, Christophe Rodriguez^{8,9}, Jean-Michel Pawlotsky^{8,9}, Paul-
- 7 Louis Woerther^{6,8,10}, Laure Surgers^{1,7}
- 8 *These authors contributed equally.

9

10 **Institutional affiliations**

- 11 Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris,
- 12 France.
- 13 ² Stichting HIV Monitoring, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- ³ Public Health Service of Amsterdam, Infectious Diseases, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- ⁴ Clinical and Epidemiological Research Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, Lebanese University,
- 16 Hadat, Lebanon.
- ⁵ Institut National de Santé Publique, d'Épidémiologie Clinique et de Toxicologie-Liban (INSPECT-
- 18 LB), Beirut, Lebanon.
- ⁶ NGS Platform, Henri Mondor Hospital, AP-HP, and IMRB Institute, University of Paris-Est-Créteil,
- 20 Créteil, France.
- 21 ⁷ GHU APHP. Sorbonne Université, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, Hôpital Saint-
- 22 Antoine, Paris, France.
- 23 ⁸ Département de Microbiologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Assistance Publique
- 24 Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Université Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France.
- ⁹ INSERM U955, Team «Viruses, Hepatology, Cancer», Créteil, France.
- 26 ¹⁰ Université Paris-Est-Créteil (UPEC), EA 7380 Dynamic, Ecole nationale vétérinaire d'Alfort, USC
- 27 Anses, Créteil, France.

28

29	Corres	sponding Author
30	•	Full name: Laure Surgers
31	•	Primary Affiliation: Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie
32		et de Santé Publique, Paris, France, Service des maladies infectieuses et tropicales, AP-HP,
33		GHU Sorbonne Université
34		Email address: laure.surgers@aphp.fr
35	Autho	rs
36	•	Full name: Anders Boyd
37		Primary Affiliation: Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie
38		et de Santé Publique, Paris, France
39		Email address: a.c.boyd@amsterdamumc.nl
40	•	Full name: Roula Ajrouche
41		Primary Affiliation: Clinical and Epidemiological Research Laboratory, Faculty of
42		Pharmacy, Lebanese University, Hadat, Lebanon
43		Email address: roula.ajrouche@ul.edu.lb
44	•	Full name: Vanessa Demontant
45		Primary Affiliation: NGS Platform, Henri Mondor Hospital, AP-HP, and IMRB Institute,
46		University of Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France.
47		Email address: vanessa.demontant@aphp.fr
48	•	Full name: Justine Cheval
49		Primary Affiliation: NGS Platform, Henri Mondor Hospital, AP-HP, and IMRB Institute,
50		University of Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France
51		Email address: justine.cheval@aphp.fr
52	•	Full name: Karine Lacombe
53		Primary Affiliation: Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et
54		de Santé Publique, Paris, France
55		Email address: karine.lacombe2@aphp.fr

56	•	Full name: Guillaume Cosson
57		Primary Affiliation: GHU APHP. Sorbonne Université, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et
58		Tropicales, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Paris, France.
59		Email address: guillaume.cosson@aphp.fr
60	•	Full name: Christophe Rodriguez
61		Primary Affiliation: Département de Microbiologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor,
62		Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Université Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France
63		Email address: christophe.rodriguez@aphp.fr
64	•	Full name: Jean-Michel Pawlotsky
65		Primary Affiliation: Département de Microbiologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor,
66		Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Université Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France
67		Email address: jean-michel.pawlotsky@aphp.fr
68	•	Full name: Paul-Louis Woerther
69		Primary Affiliation: Département de Microbiologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor,
70		Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Université Paris-Est-Créteil, Créteil, France
71		Email address: paul-louis.woerther@aphp.fr
72	•	Full name: Laure Surgers
73		Primary Affiliation: Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et
74		de Santé Publique, Paris, France
75		Email address: laure surgers@anhn fr

ABSTRACT

$\boldsymbol{\tau}$	7
1	/

76

Background: Little is known on the effect of gut microbial and Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase-78 79 Producing Enterobacterales (ESBL-E) carriage, particularly in the general population. The aim of this study was to identify microbiota signatures uniquely correlated with ESBL-E carriage. 80 81 Methods: We conducted a case-control study among individuals seeking care at the Sexual Health 82 Clinic or Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases at Saint-Antoine Hospital, Paris, France. 83 Using coarsened exact matching, 176 participants with ESBL-carriage (i.e., cases) were matched 1:1 84 to those without ESBL-carriage (i.e., controls) based on sexual group, ESBL-E prevalence of countries traveled in <12 months, number of sexual partners in <6 months, geographic origin, and any antibiotic 85 use in <6 months. 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was used to generate differential abundances 86 87 at the genus level and measures of α - and β -diversity. 88 Results: Participants were mostly men (83.2%, n=293/352) and had a median age of 33 years 89 (IQR=27-44). Nine genera were found associated with ESBL-E carriage (Figure 2C): Proteus 90 (p<0.0001), Carnobacterium (p<0.0001), Enterorhabdus (p=0.0079), Catonella (p=0.017), 91 Dermacoccus (p=0.017), Escherichia/Shigella (p=0.021), Kocuria (p=0.023), Bacillus (p=0.040), and 92 Filifactor (p=0.043); however, differences were no longer significant after Benjamini-Hochberg 93 correction (q>0.05). There were no differences between those with versus without ESBL-E carriage in 94 measures of α -diversity (Shannon Diversity Index, p=0.49; Simpson Diversity Index, p=0.54; and 95 Chao1 Richness Estimator, p=0.16) or β-diversity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index, p=0.42). 96 Conclusion: In this large carefully controlled study, there is lacking evidence that gut microbial 97 composition and diversity is any different between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage. 98 99 **Keywords:** ESBL- producing *Escherichia coli*, gut microbiome, colonization resistance, 16S 100 sequencing, antibiotic resistance

BACKGROUND

The intestinal microbiota is a complex community of microorganisms, which is believed to play a broad range of physiological functions essential for human health. Among the most explored functions, colonization resistance (CR) represents the capacity of the intestinal microbiota to prevent colonization by exogenous aerotolerant or aerobic bacteria, including *Enterobacterales* [1]. While some of these organisms encompass beneficial commensal microbiota [2] others have a pathogenic potential [3]. Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase-Producing *Enterobacteracerales* (ESBL-E), particularly *E. coli*, are considered by the World Health Organization among the 12 types of bacteria that pose the greatest threat to human health [4]. In this context, there is an increasing interest in understanding the role of the gut microbiome in ESBL-E carriage.

CR is the consequence of complex interactions that involve hundreds of species composing the intestinal microbiota [5]. CR results from many mechanisms that include competition for carbon and energy sources, antagonism mediated by small molecules, such as antimicrobial peptides between normal microbiota and competing species, inflammation of the microenvironment, with possible effects from yeasts, viruses or Archaea. As a consequence, any factor that could affect the species equilibrium could result in dysbiosis, and therefore influence the microbiota's function in CR [6,7,8]. The most common factor responsible for this dysbiosis is exposure to antibiotics [9,10,11,12].

Studies aiming to link intestinal composition with the risk of ESBL-E colonization in the community setting are scarce [13,14,15,16,17] and have yielded heterogeneous results. In a previous cross-sectional study conducted at a sexually transmitted infection (STI) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) clinic in Paris, France, it was observed that 10.5% of 2157 participants carried ESBL-E [18]. The prevalence of ESBL-E carriage in this study was notably higher as the number of sexual partners increased, suggesting that sexually active individuals are an important key population for harboring ESBL-E. Nevertheless, reasons other than sexual contact could explain the higher prevalence of ESBL-E carriage in this population and given the previous evidence, gut microbiota diversity and

composition could be a major driver of carriage. To this end, we aimed to identify microbiota signatures that may be associated with the presence of ESBL-E.

METHODS

Study design and participants

Participants were selected from the *Bactéries MultiRésistantes-Infections sexuellement transmissibles* (BMR-IST) study. The study design and procedures were described previously [18]. In brief, we included individuals who were ≥18 years old, were HIV negative (seeking care at the Sexual Health Clinic) or HIV positive (seeking care at the Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases), and signed informed consent. This study was approved by the *Comité de protection des personnes Ouest II* ethics committee (2017-73), in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

After obtaining written informed consent, we asked participants to fill out a questionnaire on demographic characteristics, ESBL-E risk factors, and sexual behavior with the assistance of a study investigator. The choice of STI tests was at the physician's discretion. Participants provided a self-swab (Copan ESwab), which were later processed at the Microbiology Department of Saint-Antoine Hospital. We gave participants a self-swab (Copan ESwabTM) and asked them to collect a sample in the lavatory of the clinic by inserting the swab into the rectal canal. Only swabs with visible fecal material were included in bacteriological analysis.

Heart-brain broths supplemented with 10 µg/mL cefotaxime were inoculated with rectal swabs, incubated for 18 hours at 37°C and plated on ESBL bioMérieux media, as previously described [18]. Grown colonies were identified (MALDI-TOF, Bruker, Germany). In the BMR-IST study, to ensure that *Enterobacteracerales* exhibiting antibiotic resistance via indirect pathways (e.g., overproduction of AmpC) were not selected, all *Enterobacteracerales* isolates were subjected to a standard antibiogram using Mueller-Hinton agar (Biorad), according to recommendations from the European

Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (https://www.eucast.org/). Then, briefly, whole genomes were sequenced on these isolates using Illumina NextSeq and the NextEra XT sequence library (Illumina, San Diego, CA), and were then used to perform ESBL-E Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) [18].

In this case-control study, we matched the individuals with ESBL-E carriage (i.e., cases) 1:1 to individuals without ESBL-E carriage (i.e., controls) using coarsened exact matching (details provided in the Supplementary Methods). The following matching criteria were used (Supplementary Methods): sexual group, ESBL-E prevalence of countries traveled in the previous 12 months, number of sexual partners in the previous 6 months $[\log(n+1)$ -transformed], geographic origin, and any antibiotic use in the previous 6 months. These characteristics were selected *a priori* for their putative confounding role in the association between microbiota and ESBL-E carriage. This procedure was carried out using the 'cem' ado command in Stata.

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Extraction and controls

Only swabs with visible fecal material were included in the bacteriological analysis. Stool swabs (Copan ESwab) obtained by rectal self-swabbing were placed in transport medium and vortexed for 15 seconds. DNA-RNA extraction was performed using an unbiased procedure before performing targeted sequencing [19]. Briefly, pre-extraction combining bead beating, chemical cell disruption with detergent, and proteinase K lysis was followed by extraction using the DSP virus-pathogen kit on QiaSymphony (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). In each run, a negative control and a positive control represented by a microbial community standard (Zymobiomics®, Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) were tested to evaluate the performance of the sequencing techniques for the detection of bacteria.

16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing included the study of four amplicon libraries domain V3-V4 (16S-V3V4) [20] of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. As described previously, each amplicon was prepared from 5 μ L extract following the "16S Sequencing Library Preparation Protocol" provided by the manufacturer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) [21]. For each library, the quality was evaluated using a D1000 ScreenTape on a TapeStation (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the quantity using the Quant-it dsDNA Assay kit (ThermoFischer, Waltham, MA, USA) on a Varioskan LUX (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). All libraries were normalized to 4 nM, pooled, and denatured before pair-end sequencing (2*250bp) using MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (500-cycles) on a MiSeq device (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions [22].

Bioinformatic analysis

The analysis of bacterial 16S rRNA amplicon data was performed using the USEARCH v11.0.667 software pipeline with default parameters and the rdp16s database v16 [23]. Details of this analysis are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

Statistical analysis

As sample size depended on the availability of ESBL-E-positive samples from a parent study (i.e., convenience sample), we did not perform any sample size calculation before analysis.

We calculated the mean \log_{10} difference in relative abundances at the phylum, family, and genus level between cases and controls using targeted maximum likelihood estimation with the 'tmle' package in R, while accounting for matched variables (Supplementary Methods) [24]. Variance of the difference was corrected for matched strata and estimated using machine learning techniques with the "SuperLearner" package. We tested whether differences were below or above the null and corrected p-values using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with the 'p.adjust' function.

212 We calculated the α -diversity metrics Shannon diversity index, Simpson diversity index, and Chao1 213 richness estimator. We calculated the median and interquartile range (IQR) of the 3 indices and 214 compared them between cases and controls using the Kruskall-Wallis test. 215 216 We measured β -diversity using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index from the relative abundance data. 217 We visualized patterns of compositional similarity or dissimilarity between cases and controls using 218 the non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination and Principal Coordinates Analysis 219 (PCoA) methods [25]. The difference between cases and controls was tested using the PERMANOVA 220 (Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance) test under a reduced model with terms added sequentially (first to last) and 1000 free permutations. We carried out these analyses using the "vegan" 221 222 package in R. In sensitivity analysis, we ran these analyses using the Canberra and robust Aitchison 223 methods to calculate dissimilarity. 224 225 Statistical analyses were conducted using R statistical software (v4.3.1, Vienna, Austria) and Stata 226 (v15.0, College Station, TX). 227 228 229 **RESULTS** 230 231 **Description of the study population** 232 233 In the parent study, 226 and 1931 participants were identified with and without intestinal carriage of 234 ESBL-E, respectively. Participant flow for this study is outlined in Figure 1. In total, 176 cases and 235 176 controls were included in analysis. 236 237 Participant characteristics are described in Table 1. Covariate patterns were similar between cases and controls (Supplementary Methods). Participants were mostly from Europe (86.1%, n=303/352) and 238 239 had a median age of 33 years (IQR=27-44). 78.4% of participants traveled outside France within the

240	past year (n =276/352), and 22.7% reported using antibiotics within the past 6 months (n =80/352). Two
241	participants carried Klebsiella pneumoniae, one participant Enterobacter cloacae and all others
242	Escherichia coli.
243	
244	Composition of the gut microbiome between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage
245	
246	The total number of paired-end reads obtained was 43,321,028 with an average of 98,622
247	(SD=22,773) per sample. Results of the negative and positive controls are presented in Supplementary
248	Figure 1.
249	
250	The phyla Firmicutes (72.85%) was the most abundant, followed by Actinobacteria (10.10%),
251	Bacteroidetes (10.5%) and Proteobacteria (0.70%). There were no differences between individuals
252	with and without ESBL-E carriage in relative abundances of phyla (Figure 2A). Three families were
253	found associated with ESBL-E carriage (Figure 2B): Intrasporaniaceae (p=0.0098),
254	Flavobacteriaceae (p=0.039), and Enterobacteriaceae (p=0.048). These differences were non-
255	significant following the Benjamini Hochberg correction (q=0.69, 0.99 and 0.99, respectively). Nine
256	genera were found associated with ESBL-E carriage (Figure 2C): Proteus (p<0.0001),
257	Carnobacterium~(p<0.0001),~Enterorhabdus~(p=0.0079),~Catonella~(p=0.017),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Enterorhabdus~(p=0.0079),~Catonella~(p=0.017),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacterium~(p<0.0001),~Dermacoccus~and~bacteri
258	(p=0.017), Escherichia/Shigella $(p=0.021)$, Kocuria $(p=0.023)$, Bacillus $(p=0.040)$, and Filifactor
259	(p=0.043). All differences were no longer significant after using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction
260	(q>0.05).
261	
262	Comparison of the gut microbiome diversity between individuals with and without ESBL-E
263	carriage
264	
265	Results from analysis on α -diversity are shown in Figure 3. The median Shannon diversity index
266	(Figure 3A), Simpson diversity index (Figure 3B) and Chao1 richness index (Figure 3C) were no
267	different between individuals with and without ESBL-E.

Results from analysis on β -diversity are shown in Figure 4. One participant with outlying values was removed from this analysis. Both the NMDS ordination (Figure 4A) and PCoA plots (Figure 4B) demonstrated no difference in Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage (PERMANOVA, p=0.25). Results were comparable when using the Canberra and robust Aitchison methods to calculate dissimilarity (Supplementary Figures 2 and 3, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the gut microbial diversity and composition was similar between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to explore the gut microbiome, namely in terms of diversity and composition, in a specific population comprising predominately younger, healthy individuals.

Previous studies have clearly demonstrated higher prevalence of ESBL-E carriage in older individuals, those coming from or traveling to regions with high ESBL-E prevalence, and those with recent antibiotic use or increased sexual contacts [26, 27]. These factors must then be appropriately considered when examining differences in gut microbiota between those with and without ESBL-E carriage. Indeed, our matched case-control study design provides rigorous matching for factors potentially modifying microbiota composition as well as risk factors for ESBL-E carriage, which was lacking in previous studies [13,14,15]. A recently published study found that ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* carriage in dogs is associated with a distinct microbiome and resistome composition without adjustment for potential microbiota-modulating confounding factors (i.e., age, breed, diet, hygienic measures, antibiotic use) [28]. One study conducted in an Amerindian community was matched for antibiotic exposure within the year preceding sampling, and revealed an increased abundance of members of two anaerobic taxa, belonging to the genera *Desulfovibrio* (*Desulfovibrionaceae*) and *Oscillospira* (*Ruminococcaceae*) in the microbiota of non-carrier controls

[16]. These differences were not corroborated in our study or another recent, more well-designed, case-control study from the Netherlands, which also found similarity in diversity parameters and in relative abundance between ESBL-positive and ESBL-negative groups at the bacterial species-level [17].

Historically, ESBL-E dissemination was more common in hospital settings. Over-time, emergence of ESBL-E infections has been more frequently reported in community settings. In the United States, an increase of 53% in the incidence of ESBL-E infections, between 2012 and 2017, has been largely attributed to community-onset cases [29]. Growing evidence suggests that the successful spread of ESBL encoding genes in the community setting owes to the ability of plasmids, notably IncF, to adapt to *E. coli* species [30]. Many of these strains also contained ESBL-encoding genes on pre-existing plasmids before newly acquiring other ESBL-containing plasmids [31]. Our results, together with those obtained by Ducarmon et al. [17] clearly indicate that ESBL-E circulating in the community are well adapted to the human intestinal microbiota and seem unaffected by the CR. Instead, ESBL-E dissemination may be driven by specific lineages, as demonstrated by Connor et al. in a gnotobiotic mouse model where a multidrug-resistant (MDR) ST131 E. coli was found capable of out-competing and displacing non-MDR E. coli from the gut, in absence of antibiotic exposure [32]. According to these authors, these high capacities to colonize human gut could be associated with increased diversity in carbohydrate metabolism genes of the MDR strains, as suggested by functional pangenomic analysis they performed.

The role of SCFAs in conferring colonization resistance against ESBL-E has been examined extensively. In a study conducted in mice, the total level of SCFAs production was found to be correlated to a decrease in *Enterobacterales* colonization in the intestinal lumen [33]. Another study conducted in 144 residents living in two different nursing homes found that the gut microbiome of individuals with ESBL-E carriage was depleted in butyrate-producing species compared to individuals without ESBL-E carriage, yet this comparison was not adjusted for microbiota-modulating factors [13]. In our study, the abundance of anaerobic bacteria identified as producers of SCFAs

(Lachnospiraceae, Ruminococcaceae families and Butyrivibrio, Roseburia, Veillonella, Coprococcus, Megasphaera, Eubacterium, Faecalibacterium, Ruminococcus, Blautia genera) was similar between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage [34,35].

The findings need to be interpreted within the context of our study population. Individuals were seeking care for diverse reasons, possible because of increased sexual activity and risk of STIs for those without HIV, or routine care for those with HIV. The study population also mostly comprised men, mainly because of the low prevalence of women with ESBL-E carriage and the fact that women consult sexual health clinics less frequently than men in France [18,36]. Few participants were also from regions outside Europe. Although these characteristics were part of the matching criteria, the limited numbers of women and those born in a region outside of Europe do not allow us to determine whether the lack of association holds in these populations.

There are certain limitations of our study. First, since we used rectal swabs, there might have been some individuals without ESBL-E carriage who were in fact with carriage (i.e., false-negative). The extent of this misclassification depends on bacterial loads, which were unknown in this study. However, this limitation is shared across all studies employing this commonly-used method. Second, there were no data on the use of proton pump inhibitors and antidiabetics, which could have additionally confounded the association between gut microbiota and ESBL-E prevalence [37,38]. Nevertheless, given that our study's population is comprised of mostly young participants, these medications would have been unlikely prescribed. Second, longitudinal data on gut microbiota were not collected, which could provide information on the possible changes of microbiota when ESBL-E carriage either develops or clears. Furthermore, our study was not designed to describe the functional pathways involved in colonization resistance. The use of advanced techniques in microbiological analysis, such as metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, metaproteomics and metabolomics, could have provided a more comprehensive understanding of functional profiling of microbial communities [39].

352	CONCLUSION
353	
354	In conclusion, our results showed that the gut microbiome diversity and composition did not differ
355	between individuals with and without ESBL-E carriage. Future longitudinal studies should examine
356	the duration of ESBL-E carriage in community settings and factors from the intestinal microbiome that
357	could influence the persistence or clearance of ESBL-E.
358	
359	
360	SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
361	
362	Additional file 1: Supplementary materials.
363	
364	
365	ABBREVIATIONS
366	
367	ESBL-E: Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase-Producing <i>Enterobacterales</i> ; CR: Colonization
368	resistance; SCFAs: Short Chain Fatty Acids; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; STI: Sexually
369	Transmitted Infection; BMR-IST: Bactéries MultiRésistantes-Infections Sexuellement
370	Transmissibles; MSM: Men who have Sex with Men; MSW: Men who exclusively have Sex with
371	Women; WSM: Women who have Sex with Men; PrEP: Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV; DOM:
372	Département Outre-Mer; TOM: Territoire Outre-Mer; OTU: Operational Taxonomic Unit; IQR:
373	Interquartile Range; NMDS: Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling; PCoA: Principal Coordinates
374	Analysis; PERMANOVA: Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance; MDR: multidrug-
375	resistant.
376	
377	DECLARATIONS
378	
379	Ethics approval and consent to participate

380	
381	The "Comité de protection des personnes Ouest II" ethics committee (2017-73) approved the study.
382	The study was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. All patients signed informed
383	consent for participation.
384	
385	Consent for publication
386	
387	Not applicable.
388	
389	Availability of data and material
390	
391	The microbiome dataset comprising of raw 16S rRNA sequences supporting the conclusions of this
392	article were deposited under the National Center for Biotechnology Information BioProject
393	PRJNA1024378 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA1024378). Due to the
394	sensitive nature of participant data, metadata cannot be made publically available. Nevertheless, these
395	data are available upon reasonable request to the author with approval from the scientific committee of
396	the study and appropriate data transfer agreements.
397	
398	Competing interests
399	
400	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
401	
402	Funding
403	
404	This work was supported by Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le Sida et les Hépatites Virales
405	(ANRS) (grant ECTZ62340).
406	
107	Authors' contributions

L.S. coordinated the study and oversaw data analysis, and data interpretation and performed critical editing and review of the manuscript. A.B. participated to study design, oversaw data analysis and performed critical editing and review of the manuscript. P.L.W. and R.A. contributed to data interpretation and performed critical editing and review of the manuscript. M.E.D performed statistical analysis, created figures, interpreted data and drafted the initial version of the manuscript. J.C. and V.D. performed microbiological analyses. J.M.P. and K.L. contributed to study design and performed critical review of the manuscript. C.R. contributed to data interpretation and drafted parts of the manuscript. G.C. contributed to data interpretation. All authors contributed significantly to the manuscript and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We would like to deeply thank all participants to BMR-IST study and Thibault Chiarabini, Nadia

Valin and Valérie Lalande for their help in this study.

423 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Le Guern R, Stabler S, Gosset P, Pichavant M, Grandjean T, Faure E, et al. Colonization resistance
- against multi-drug-resistant bacteria: a narrative review. J Hosp Infect. 2021 Dec;118:48–58.
- 426 2. Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, Denamur E. The population genetics of commensal Escherichia
- 427 coli. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010 Mar;8(3):207–17.
- 428 3. Donnenberg MS. Enterobacteriaceae. In: Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice
- of Infectious Diseases [Internet]. Elsevier; 2015 [cited 2023 May 17]. p. 2503-2517.e5. Available
- 430 from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9781455748013002204
- 4. Willyard C. The drug-resistant bacteria that pose the greatest health threats. Nature. 2017
- 432 Mar;543(7643):15–15.
- 433 5. Sorbara MT, Pamer EG. Interbacterial mechanisms of colonization resistance and the strategies
- pathogens use to overcome them. Mucosal Immunol. 2019 Jan;12(1):1–9.
- 435 6. Vollaard EJ, Clasener HA. Colonization resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1994
- 436 Mar;38(3):409–14.
- 7. Khan I, Bai Y, Zha L, Ullah N, Ullah H, Shah SRH, et al. Mechanism of the Gut Microbiota
- 438 Colonization Resistance and Enteric Pathogen Infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:716299.
- 8. Ducarmon QR, Zwittink RD, Hornung BVH, Van Schaik W, Young VB, Kuijper EJ. Gut
- 440 Microbiota and Colonization Resistance against Bacterial Enteric Infection. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.
- 441 2019 Aug 21;83(3):e00007-19.
- 9. Woerther PL, Lepeule R, Burdet C, Decousser JW, Ruppé É, Barbier F. Carbapenems and
- alternative β -lactams for the treatment of infections due to extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing
- 444 Enterobacteriaceae: What impact on intestinal colonisation resistance? International Journal of
- 445 Antimicrobial Agents. 2018 Dec;52(6):762–70.
- 10. Chopyk J, Cobián Güemes AG, Ramirez-Sanchez C, Attai H, Ly M, Jones MB, et al.
- 447 Common antibiotics, azithromycin and amoxicillin, affect gut metagenomics within a household.
- 448 BMC Microbiol. 2023 Aug 2;23(1):206.

- 449 11. Abeles SR, Jones MB, Santiago-Rodriguez TM, Ly M, Klitgord N, Yooseph S, et al.
- 450 Microbial diversity in individuals and their household contacts following typical antibiotic courses.
- 451 Microbiome. 2016 Dec;4(1):39.
- 452 12. Elvers KT, Wilson VJ, Hammond A, Duncan L, Huntley AL, Hay AD, et al. Antibiotic-
- induced changes in the human gut microbiota for the most commonly prescribed antibiotics in primary
- care in the UK: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2020 Sep;10(9):e035677.
- 455 13. Le Bastard Q, Chapelet G, Birgand G, Hillmann BM, Javaudin F, Hayatgheib N, et al. Gut
- 456 microbiome signatures of nursing home residents carrying Enterobacteria producing extended-
- 457 spectrum β-lactamases. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2020 Dec;9(1):107.
- 458 14. Prevel R, Enaud R, Orieux A, Camino A, Sioniac P, M'Zali F, et al. Bridging gut microbiota
- composition with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase Enterobacteriales faecal carriage in critically ill
- patients (microbe cohort study). Ann Intensive Care. 2023 Apr 4;13(1):25.
- 461 15. Piewngam P, Quiñones M, Thirakittiwatthana W, Yungyuen T, Otto M, Kiratisin P.
- 462 Composition of the intestinal microbiota in extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing
- 463 Enterobacteriaceae carriers and non-carriers in Thailand. International Journal of Antimicrobial
- 464 Agents. 2019 Apr;53(4):435–41.
- 465 16. Gosalbes MJ, Vázquez-Castellanos JF, Angebault C, Woerther PL, Ruppé E, Ferrús ML, et al.
- 466 Carriage of Enterobacteria Producing Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamases and Composition of the Gut
- 467 Microbiota in an Amerindian Community. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016 Jan;60(1):507–14.
- 468 17. Ducarmon QR, Zwittink RD, Willems RPJ, Verhoeven A, Nooij S, Van Der Klis FRM, et al.
- 469 Gut colonisation by extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and its association
- with the gut microbiome and metabolome in Dutch adults: a matched case-control study. The Lancet
- 471 Microbe. 2022 Jun;3(6):e443–51.
- 472 18. Surgers L, Chiarabini T, Royer G, Rougier H, Mercier-Darty M, Decré D, et al. Evidence of
- 473 Sexual Transmission of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase–Producing *Enterobacterales*: A Cross-
- sectional and Prospective Study. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2022 Oct 29;75(9):1556–64.

- 475 19. Angebault C, Payen M, Woerther PL, Rodriguez C, Botterel F. Combined bacterial and fungal
- 476 targeted amplicon sequencing of respiratory samples: Does the DNA extraction method matter? PLoS
- 477 One. 2020;15(4):e0232215.
- 478 20. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general
- 479 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity
- studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan 7;41(1):e1.
- 481 21. Mounier R, Kapandji N, Gricourt G, Lobo D, Rodriguez C, Pons S, et al. Assessment of
- 482 Bacterial Colonization of Intracranial Pressure Transducers: A Prospective Study. Neurocrit Care.
- 483 2021 Jun;34(3):814–24.
- 484 22. 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation 2015. Available from:
- https://web.uri.edu/gsc/files/16s-metagenomic-library-prep-guide-15044223-b.pdf
- 486 23. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics.
- 487 2010 Oct 1;26(19):2460–1.
- 488 24. Van der Laan MJ, Rose S. Targeted Learning in Data Science: Causal Inference for Complex
- 489 Longitudinal Studies. 2018. Switzerland: Springer.
- 490 25. Oksanen, Jari. (2008). VEGAN: AN INTRODUCTION TO ORDINATION.
- 491 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238101961_VEGAN_AN_INTRODUCTION_TO_ORDIN
- 492 ATION
- 493 26. van den Bunt G, van Pelt W, Hidalgo L, Scharringa J, de Greeff SC, Schürch AC, et al.
- 494 Prevalence, risk factors and genetic characterisation of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase and
- carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E and CPE): a community-based cross-sectional
- 496 study, the Netherlands, 2014 to 2016. Euro Surveill. 2019 Oct;24(41):1800594.
- 497 27. Stabler S, Paccoud O, Duchesne L, Piot MA, Valin N, Decré D, et al. Prevalence of
- 498 Antimicrobial Resistance and Infectious Diseases in a Hospitalised Migrant Population in Paris,
- 499 France, a Retrospective Study. Int J Public Health. 2022 Dec 15;67:1604792.
- 500 28. Stege PB, Hordijk J, Sandholt AKS, Zomer AL, Viveen MC, Rogers MRC, et al. Gut
- 501 Colonization by ESBL-Producing Escherichia coli in Dogs Is Associated with a Distinct Microbiome
- and Resistome Composition. Manning SD, editor. Microbiol Spectr. 2023 Aug 17;11(4):e00063-23.

- 503 29. Jernigan JA, Hatfield KM, Wolford H, Nelson RE, Olubajo B, Reddy SC, et al. Multidrug-
- Resistant Bacterial Infections in U.S. Hospitalized Patients, 2012–2017. N Engl J Med. 2020 Apr
- 505 2;382(14):1309–19.
- 506 30. Li JJ, Spychala CN, Hu F, Sheng JF, Doi Y. Complete Nucleotide Sequences of bla CTX-M -
- 507 Harboring IncF Plasmids from Community-Associated Escherichia coli Strains in the United States.
- Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015 Jun;59(6):3002–7.
- 509 31. Branger C, Ledda A, Billard-Pomares T, Doublet B, Fouteau S, Barbe V, et al. Extended-
- 510 spectrum β-lactamase-encoding genes are spreading on a wide range of Escherichia coli plasmids
- existing prior to the use of third-generation cephalosporins. Microb Genom. 2018 Sep;4(9):e000203.
- 512 32. Connor CH, Zucoloto AZ, Munnoch JT, Yu IL, Corander J, Hoskisson PA, et al. Multidrug-
- resistant E. coli encoding high genetic diversity in carbohydrate metabolism genes displace
- 514 commensal E. coli from the intestinal tract. PLoS Biol. 2023 Oct 17;21(10):e3002329.
- 515 33. Sorbara MT, Dubin K, Littmann ER, Moody TU, Fontana E, Seok R, et al. Inhibiting
- antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae by microbiota-mediated intracellular acidification. Journal of
- 517 Experimental Medicine. 2019 Jan 7;216(1):84–98.
- 518 34. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. From Dietary Fiber to Host
- Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. Cell. 2016 Jun;165(6):1332–45.
- 520 35. Martin-Gallausiaux C, Marinelli L, Blottière HM, Larraufie P, Lapaque N. SCFA:
- 521 mechanisms and functional importance in the gut. Proc Nutr Soc. 2021 Feb;80(1):37–49.
- 522 36. Pioche C, Ndeikoundam N, Sarr A, Cazein F, Bruyand M, Viriot D, et al. Activité de
- 523 dépistage et diagnostic du VIH, des hépatites B et C, et des autres IST en CeGIDD, France, 2018. Bull
- 524 Epidémiol Hebd. 2019;(31-32):625-33.
- 525 37. Jackson MA, Goodrich JK, Maxan ME, Freedberg DE, Abrams JA, Poole AC, et al. Proton
- 526 pump inhibitors alter the composition of the gut microbiota. Gut. 2016 May;65(5):749–56.
- 527 38. de la Cuesta-Zuluaga J, Mueller NT, Corrales-Agudelo V, Velásquez-Mejía EP, Carmona JA,
- 528 Abad JM, et al. Metformin Is Associated With Higher Relative Abundance of Mucin-Degrading
- Akkermansia muciniphila and Several Short-Chain Fatty Acid-Producing Microbiota in the Gut.
- 530 Diabetes Care. 2017 Jan;40(1):54–62.

- 531 39. Knight R, Vrbanac A, Taylor BC, Aksenov A, Callewaert C, Debelius J, et al. Best practices
- for analysing microbiomes. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018 Jul;16(7):410–22.