

SARA captures disparate progression and responsiveness in spinocerebellar ataxias

Emilien Petit, Tanja Schmitz-Hübsch, Giulia Coarelli, Heike Jacobi, Anna Heinzmann, Karla Figueroa, Susan Perlman, Christopher Gomez, George Wilmot, Jeremy Schmahmann, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Emilien Petit, Tanja Schmitz-Hübsch, Giulia Coarelli, Heike Jacobi, Anna Heinzmann, et al.: SARA captures disparate progression and responsiveness in spinocerebellar ataxias. Journal of Neurology, 2024, 10.1007/s00415-024-12475-1. hal-04607900

HAL Id: hal-04607900 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04607900v1

Submitted on 11 Jun2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

- 1 SARA captures disparate progression and responsiveness in spinocerebellar ataxias
- 2
- 3 Emilien Petit¹, MS, Tanja Schmitz-Hübsch⁴, MD, Giulia Coarelli¹, MD, PhD, Heike Jacobi³, MD, Anna
- Heinzmann¹, MD, Karla P Figueroa⁶, MD, Susan L Perlman⁷, MD, Christopher M Gomez⁸, MD, PhD, George R 4
- Wilmot⁹, MD, PhD, Jeremy D Schmahmann¹⁰, MD, Sarah H Ying¹¹, MD, Theresa A Zesiewicz¹², MD, Henry L Paulson¹³, MD, PhD, Vikram G Shakkottai¹³, MD, PhD, Khalaf O Bushara¹⁴, MD, Sheng-Han Kuo¹⁵, MD, 5
- 6
- Michael D Geschwind¹⁶, MD, PhD, Guangbin Xia¹⁷, MD, Stefan M Pulst⁶, MD, S H Subramony¹⁷, MD, Claire 7
- Ewenczyk¹, MD, Alexis Brice¹, MD, PhD, Alexandra Durr¹, MD, PhD, Thomas Klockgether², MD, PhD, Tetsuo 8
- 9 Ashizawa⁵, MD, Sophie Tezenas du Montcel, MD, PhD,¹
- 10 Corresponding author: Emilien Petit, emilien.petit@icm-institute.org
- ¹ Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute ICM, Inserm, CNRS, Inria, AP-HP, Paris, France 11
- ²German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany 12
- 13 ³Department of Neurology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- 14 ⁴Experimental and Clinical Research Center, a cooperation of Max-Delbrueck Center of Molecular Medicine and
- 15 Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany.
- 16 ⁵ Weill Cornell Medicine at Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA
- 17 ⁶Department of Neurology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA.
- 18 ⁷Department of Neurology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- 19 ⁸Department of Neurology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.
- 20 ⁹Department of Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA.
- ¹⁰Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA 21
- ¹¹Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. 22
- ¹²Department of Neurology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA. 23
- ¹³Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. 24
- 25 ¹⁴Department of Neurology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.
- 26 ¹⁵Department of Neurology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.
- ¹⁶Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. 27
- ¹⁷Department of Neurology and McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA. 28

30 ABSTRACT

29

- 31 Background: The Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA) is a widely used clinical scale to assess
- 32 cerebellar ataxia but faces some criticisms about the relevancy of all its items.

33 **Objectives:** To prepare for future clinical trials, we analyzed the progression of SARA and its items in several 34 polyQ spinocerebellar ataxias (SCA) from various cohorts.

- 35 Methods: We included data from patients with SCA1, SCA2, SCA3, and SCA6 from four cohorts (EUROSCA,
- 36 RISCA, CRC-SCA, and SPATAX) for a total of 850 carriers and 3431 observations. Longitudinal progression of
- 37 the SARA and its items was measured. Cohort, stage and genetic effects were tested. We looked at the respective
- 38 contribution of each item to the total scale. Sensitivity to change of the scale and the impact of item removal was
- 39 evaluated by calculating sample sizes needed in various scenarios.
- 40 Results: Longitudinal progression was significantly different between cohorts in SCA1, SCA2 and SCA3, the
- 41 EUROSCA cohort having the fastest progression. Advanced stage patient were progressing slower in SCA2 and
- 42 SCA6. Items were not contributing equally to the full scale through ataxia severity: gait, stance, hand-movement,
- 43 and heel-shin contributed the most in early stage, and finger-chase, nose-finger, and sitting in later stages. Few
- 44 items drove the sensitivity to change of SARA, but changes in the scale structure could not improve its
- 45 sensitivity in all populations.
- 46 Conclusion: SARA and its items progression pace showed high heterogeneity across cohorts and SCAs. 47 However, no combinations of items improved the responsiveness in all SCAs or populations taken separately.
- 48 3794 words

49 **INTRODUCTION**

- 50 Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias of type 1, 2, 3, and 6, also known as spinocerebellar ataxias (SCA1, 2, 3,
- and 6), are clinically heterogeneous neurodegenerative diseases. They are caused by (CAG)n repeat expansions
- 52 in the *ATXN1*, *ATXN2*, *ATXN3*, and *CACNA1A* genes respectively, resulting in the expansion of a polyglutamine
- tract in the corresponding proteins (Coarelli et al., 2023; Durr, 2010). SCAs are rare diseases, with a global
- 54 prevalence of 0.0 to 5.6 per 100,000 (Ruano et al., 2014). The number of CAG repeats determines disease carrier
- status, the threshold between what is considered a pathological or a normal size varies for each SCA, and the
- 56 longer the repeats in the expansion the earlier the age at onset (Tezenas du Montcel et al., 2014), and the faster
- the disease in SCA1 and SCA2 (Jacobi et al., 2011).
- 58 In the context of readiness for clinical trials in SCAs, there is a need to understand the natural history over the 59 individual course of disease to refine the inclusion criteria for potential treatments. Cerebellar ataxia (including 60 signs and symptoms) is clinically progressive over the disease course, considered to reflect the progression of the 61 underlying pathology of SCA and it can be assessed using the Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia 62 (SARA) (Schmitz-Hubsch et al., 2006). A previous meta-analysis already studied the progression of the SARA 63 for these 4 SCA subtypes in different cohorts to estimate the annual progression of the SARA score (Diallo et 64 al., 2020). In addition, the responsiveness to change of the SARA has already been studied in several ataxias 65 (Traschütz et al., 2023) where some modifications of the scale by removing items seemed to increase its overall 66 responsiveness. However, the course of individual items and their contribution to the overall scale in terms of
- 67 responsiveness to change has not been investigated in SCA1, 2, 3, and 6.
- 68 In this study, we aim to (1) assess the progression of the total score and of each item and their differences
- 69 between cohorts and SCAs, (2) describe the contribution of each item to the total scale across all SARA ranges,
- (3) analyze the responsiveness to change of the total scale by sample size estimates, and find potentially better
- 71 items combinations to create a more responsive scale.
- 72 For the purpose of our study, we pooled data of SCA1, 2, 3, and 6 patients from four cohorts (EUROSCA, CRC-
- 73 SCA, SPATAX, and RISCA cohorts). The first three are composed of ataxic patients and RISCA only included
- 74 pre-ataxic participants, allowing us to have patients at all stages of the disease.
- 75

76 MATERIALS AND METHODS

77 Study population

- 78 Data from the four cohorts of SCA carriers were pooled together. Three cohorts included affected subjects with 2
- Furopean cohorts: EUROSCA (Jacobi et al., 2015) and SPATAX (Monin et al., 2015)) and one from the USA:
- 80 CRC-SCA (Ashizawa et al., 2013). The fourth cohort (RISCA) included European pre-symptomatic expansion
- 81 carriers (Jacobi et al., 2020). From these cohorts, which included participants with different SCA types, we have
- 82 selected the subjects with a positive genetic test for ATXN1, ATXN2, ATXN3, or CANCA1A gene. Only patients
- 83 with at least 2 visits with available information on SARA and SARA items were kept for analysis. The
- 84 characteristics of the four cohorts are given below and in Table 1.
- 85 EUROSCA: The study was conducted in 17 European centers. Patients were eligible if they had progressive,
- 86 otherwise unexplained ataxia and a positive molecular genetic test for SCA1, SCA2, SCA3, or SCA6. Patients
- 87 were consecutively recruited within a predetermined time frame between July 2005 and August 2006. Patients
- 88 were seen at a baseline visit, followed by annual visits for 3 years. After the initial 3-year observation period,

89 study participants entered an extension phase in which study assessments were performed in conjunction with

- 90 routine visits resulting in irregular intervals between visits.
- 91 CRC-SCA: The study was conducted at 12 U.S. centers. Patients were eligible if they had a positive molecular

92 genetic test for SCA1, SCA2, SCA3, and SCA6 and if they were at least 6 years of age. Subjects with

93 comorbidities affecting SARA and other ataxia measures used in the study were excluded. The study began in

94 April 2010. Clinical assessments were performed at the baseline visit and every six months until two years from

- 95 the baseline visit or until the end of August 2012. The study continued after August 2012, but we only had data
- from the first 2 years.
- 97 *RISCA*: Between September 13, 2008, and December 1, 2011, offspring or siblings of patients with SCA1,
 98 SCA2, SCA3, or SCA6 were enrolled in a prospective, longitudinal observational study at 14 European centers.
- To be eligible for inclusion in this study, individuals had to have no ataxia and between the ages of 18–50 years
- if directly related to individuals with SCA1, SCA2, or SCA3, or between the ages of 35–70 years if directly
- related to individuals with SCA6. Non-converters, i.e. patients without a visit with a SARA > 3 were removed from the database. These patients have irregular visits but some of them are seen by the clinician on an annual
- 103 basis.
- SPATAX: Since 2005 (first visit with SARA assessed), all individuals with spinocerebellar degenerations were
 referred to the National (French) reference center for neurogenetic diseases and were entered into the SPATAX
 database (created in 2000, using the REDCap electronic data capture tools for data collection and management),
 which was hosted and insured by the Paris Brain Institute (Institut du Cerveau, ICM). We included patients with
 SCA 1, 2, 3, and 6. We removed the overlapping individuals from EUROSCA and RISCA because SPATAX
- 109 participated in these studies.
- 110

111 Outcomes

- To assess the cerebellar ataxia, we used the SARA score (Schmitz-Hubsch et al., 2006). In addition, we analyzed the SARA items, grouped as follows: the four axial SARA items (*gait* (0-8), *stance* (0-6), *sitting* (0-4) and *speech disturbance* (0-6)) and the four appendicular SARA items (*finger-chase* (0-4), *nose-finger* (0-4), *fastalternating hand movements* (0-4), and *heel-shin slide* (0-4)). Appendicular items are calculated as the average of both sides, resulting in half-point values. Participants were stratified into three groups according to their SARA at baseline: Early-stage patients (<10), intermediate-stage stage (10-25), and advanced stage (>25). These thresholds are arbitrary but were chosen to detect potential non-linear effects of the baseline SARA.
- unesholds are arbitrary but were chosen to detect potential non-inteal effects of the baseline SARA.
- 119 Age of onset is defined as the patient-reported time of disease onset. For RISCA participants who converted
- during the study, the age at onset was not reported and was taken to be the age at the first visit with a SARA
- 121 greater than 3. The estimated age at onset was calculated using the CAG repeats as proposed for SCA1, 2, and 6
- 122 (Tezenas du Montcel et al., 2014) and for SCA3 (Peng et al., 2021). The Time to Onset (TTO) was defined as
- 123 the time to the estimated age at onset at a given time.

124

125 Statistical analysis

126 <u>Descriptive statistics</u>

127 Baseline data are described using frequencies and percentages for qualitative data and means and standard 128 deviations (SD) for quantitative variables. To compare the sample selected for analysis (at least two visits) with 129 participants not selected for analysis (no follow-up) and to compare the characteristics of the patients in each

- 130 cohort we used a chi-squared test for qualitative variables and ANOVA for quantitative variables.
- 131

132 Modeling of the SARA score progression

We modeled the change from baseline in the full SARA score and the eight SARA items. We used linear mixed models estimated by maximum likelihood. We first tested cubic, quadratic, and linear progressions to detect potential floor or ceiling effects. The significance of the cubic and quadratic effects was assessed using the likelihood ratio test. Because we were examining the change from baseline, no fixed intercepts were included in the model, only fixed slopes. The random effect included the individual's intercept and slope.

For full SARA models, the effect of the cohort, stage group (early, intermediate, and advanced stage), and normalized expanded CAG repeat length was assessed by adding interaction with the slope for these covariables.

140 Non-significant covariables with the lowest mean sum of squares were iteratively removed from the model.

141 CAG repeat length was standardized within all SCAs and the effect of CAG on the slope was expressed as the

142 annual point of SARA per standard deviation of CAG repeat length. For item-level models, we adjusted all

- 143 models for cohort, stage group, and CAG repeat length.
- 144

145 <u>Transversal Item contribution to the full-scale</u>

Each SARA item was divided by its maximum score, normalizing them between 0 and 1 to make them comparable. For this analysis, the SARA score was divided into increments of 5 between 0 and 40 and, within each division, the mean and the 95% CI interval for each item were calculated, allowing us to compare the relative contribution of each item to the full scale. The mean value was also compared to a theoretical x=ystraight line representing what an equal contribution of the items to the scale would look like.

151

152 <u>Sample size calculation</u>

To estimate the sensitivity of SARA and items combination, we simulated data at 1 year after inclusion and calculated the mean and SD of the variation. Data were simulated after determining whether the progression included quadratic and cubic effects. We then calculated the sample size needed to detect a 50% reduction in progression with 80% power. In each subset by SCA or by cohort, we iteratively added items that most increased (or less decreased) the sample size to build a *stepwise-optimized SARA* (SO-SARA), inspired by (Traschütz et

- 158 al., 2023).
- Statistical tests were performed at the conventional two-tailed type I error of 0.05. Data were analyzed using Rversion 4.2.0 (R Core Team, 2018).
- 161
- 162 RESULTS
- 163 Data description

- 164 Patients with only 1 visit, who were not included in the further analysis, had higher SARA at baseline and longer
- disease duration (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting a lower probability of follow-up when at more advanced
- disease stages. A total of 850 mutation carriers were included in the analysis: 186 SCA1 (107 EUROSCA, 37 in
- 167 CRC-SCA, 16 in SPATAX, and 26 in RISCA), 248 SCA2 (146 EUROSCA, 51 CRC-SCA, 29 SPATAX, and 22
- 168 RISCA), 272 SCA3 (120 EUROSCA, 91 CRC-SCA, 50 SPATAX, and 11 RISCA) and 144 SCA6 (86
 169 EUROSCA, 52 CRC-SCA, 4 SPATAX, and 2 RISCA), for a total of 3431 observations. The median number of
- 170 visits per patient was 4 (3, 5) and the mean time between two visits was 14.1 ± 8.7 months. These figures were
- heterogeneous between the cohorts: SPATAX participants had the lowest mean number of visits (2.9 ± 1.1) and
- 172 EUROSCA ones the highest (4.8 ± 1.7) . CRC-SCA had the shortest time between two visits $(6.6\pm1.6 \text{ months})$ and
- 173 RISCA had the longest (25.8±8.5 months).
- The cohorts differed in the following characteristics (Supplementary Table 2, 3, 4, and 5): RISCA subjects were younger than the other three cohorts due to their inclusion criteria (SARA <3 at the baseline visit). In SCA1 and SCA3, there were significant differences in CAG repeat length between the cohorts. In SCA1, CRC-SCA participants had significantly fewer CAG repeats than SPATAX and EUROSCA participants (46.0 \pm 3.6 vs 47.7 \pm 5.7 and 50.3 \pm 6.8, p=0.021 and p=0.028 respectively) and later age of onset. In SCA3, CRC-SCA
- participants had longer CAG repeat lengths than EUROSCA ones (70.4 ± 3.6 vs 68.8 ± 4.2 , p=0.0037), but this was
- 180 not associated with a difference in ages of onset.
- Among the cohorts with ataxic patients at baseline, CRC-SCA participants had lower SARA at baseline than
 SPATAX participants in SCA2 and SCA3 (p=0.017 and p=0.038 respectively).
- 183

184 Modeling of the SARA score progression from baseline

- 185 The models of progression from baseline are described in Table 2. All models included quadratic or cubic 186 effects. The cohort interaction with slope was significant for SCA1 (p=0.001), SCA2 (p=0.0007), and SCA3 187 (p<0.0001). EUROSCA participants always had the higher linear component with 2.19±0.13, 1.69±0.11, and 188 1.52±0.12 in SCA1, SCA2, and SCA3, respectively. RISCA subjects had the slowest progression in SCA1 189 (1.32±0.21), SPATAX subjects in SCA2 (0.84±0.23), and CRC subjects in SCA3 (0.68±0.18). The effect of 190 CAG repeat length on the slope was significant for SCA1 (0.36 ± 0.09 , p < 0.0001) and SCA2 (0.27 ± 0.07 , p = 0.0002), after adjustment for the cohort. Patients in the advanced stage had a slower progression in SCA2 and 191 192 SCA6, with a reduction in the linear progression of -1.05 ± 0.24 and -0.90 ± 0.33 , respectively, compared to 193 patients at an intermediate stage.
- 194

195 Items contribution to the total score

196 Throughout the progression of the total SARA, the contribution of the items was variable depending on the 197 disease stage and the SCA (Figure 1). The sitting item had a low contribution in the early and intermediate stages 198 in each SCA as its mean normalized value was lower than any other item in each group with SARA < 20. In 199 SCA1 and SCA2, items gait, stance, hand-movement, and heel-shin had higher mean normalized scores than 200 finger-chase and nose-finger for groups with SARA between 15 and 30. In SCA2 specifically, heel-shin had a 201 significantly higher value than any other item in the 0-5 SARA visit group. In SCA3, the differences in dynamics 202 between items were larger: gait was significantly higher than any other item in visit groups with SARA from 15 203 to 30.

204

205 Modeling of the item progression from baseline

The effect of cohort, CAG repeat length, and SARA at baseline on item progressions were very heterogeneous among SCAs (Figure 2). The cohort effect was significant for *gait* in SCA1, SCA2, and SCA3, with EUROSCA having the fastest progression, followed by CRC-SCA. SCA3 had the strongest cohort effect, significant for all items except *sitting* and *speech*, and CRC-SCA patients had the slowest progression, for all appendicular items with a significant improvement for the *nose-finger* item). CAG repeat length had a significant effect only in SCA1 and SCA2, for all items but *speech* and *sitting*. For all SCAs, advanced stage patients had slower

- 212 progression in *gait* and *stance* compared to early and intermediate stages.
- 213

214 Stepwise Optimized SARA by SARA at baseline

For intermediate and early-stage patients, the sample size required to assess a 50% reduction in total SARA progression over one year was 120 and 126, respectively (Figure 3A). The best sensitivity of the SO-SARA was achieved with five items representing a sample size reduction of 4% and 10% in early and intermediate-stage patients, respectively, compared to the full SARA (n=115 and n=113). In the advanced-stage patients, the best sample size was also achieved with five items, but increased significantly with additional items, resulting in a sample size reduction of 47% compared to full SARA. In the next analysis, we decided to remove advanced patients due to their differences in progression compared to early and intermediate.

222 Stepwise Optimized-SARA by SCA

The sample size required to detect a 50% reduction in SARA progression over 1 year in the pooled cohort was the lowest in SCA1 (n=81) due to faster progression (Figure 3B). The total SARA was never the most sensitive scale in any SCA. The best sensitivity was achieved with three, six, four, and two items for SCA1, SCA2, SCA3, and SCA6, respectively. Compared to total SARA, it reduces the sample size by 24 (30%), 3(3%), 39 (26%) and 265 (55%) respectively. *Gait* was the best item overall, ranked 1st in all SCAs except SCA1. *Speech* and *hand*-

- 228 *movements* were well ranked, and always improved the sensitivity of the SO-SARA (except *Hand-movements* in
- SCA1). In the total dataset, i.e. with all SCAs pooled, the best sensitivity was achieved with 4 items (Gait,
- stance, Speech and Hand-movements included), but this reduced the sample size by only 8 (6%) patients
- compared to the full scale.

232 Stepwise Optimized SARA by cohort

The sample size required to detect a 50% reduction in full SARA progression over 1 year was the highest with the progression estimation from the CRC-SCA cohort (n=273) and the lowest with the progression estimation from the EUROSCA cohort (n=108) (Figure 3C). The most sensitive items were heterogeneous between cohorts, but *gait* was the most sensitive in all cohorts except SPATAX (ranked 3^{rd}), where the best item was *speech*.

- 237
- 238

239 DISCUSSION

In this retrospective multi-cohort analysis, we have shown that there are large discrepancies in SARA progression between cohorts and SCAs at both the full scale and the item level. Analysis of sensitivity to change of various combination of items highlighted that no item removal can reliably increase the sensitivity of the scale

in every SCAs or population.

244 A total of 850 patients with ataxia or close to ataxia onset with pathologic repeats in ATXN1, ATXN2, ATXN3, 245 and CACNA1A were analyzed for ataxia progression over 3431 visits with a mean follow-up of 4.15±2.98 years. 246 At baseline, apart from the obvious differences in the RISCA participants compared to the ataxia cohorts, there 247 were only slight differences in CAG repeat length in the SCA1 and SCA3 cohorts, with CRC-SCA patients 248 having shorter repeats than EUROSCA patients. Ataxia progression from baseline was assessed as the change in 249 SARA score. We found that the progression from baseline had a slight ceiling effect (except for SCA6, where 250 the quadratic effect was positive), but was almost linear in the early stages. This ceiling effect could be 251 explained by the slower progression observed in the advanced stage patients, but also by slower progression in 252 patients with longer follow-up (Jacobi et al., 2015). The early and intermediate stage patients showed no 253 differences in progression, suggesting that SARA increases steadily after it exceeds 3. In ataxic patients and 254 converters, the pace of SARA progression since enrollment was consistent with previous findings, with linear 255 component progression in cohorts ranging from 1.32-2.19, 0.84-1.69, 0.68-1.52, and 0.8 for SCA1, 2, 3, and 6, 256 respectively (Jacobi et al., 2011, p. 6). Large differences in the rate of SARA progression between cohorts were 257 noted for SCA1, SCA2 and SCA3 patients, with EUROSCA participants progressing faster than any other 258 cohort. These differences were also present at the item level and cannot be explained by geographic or ethnic 259 reasons, as SPATAX participants (French cohort) had a progression more similar to CRC-SCA participants (US 260 cohort) than EUROSCA participants (European cohort). These differences cannot be explained by disease stage 261 or genetic factors as they were similar between cohorts. One bias could be that SPATAX contributed to 262 EUROSCA and RISCA, and thus the remaining SCA patients in SPATAX have a different profile than the 263 inclusion criteria of EUROSCA/RISCA. Also, there were no SARA training tool available at the time of the data 264 collection, but EUROSCA raters were the ones involved in development of the scale, resulting in higher 265 experience in the use of the scale compared to other cohorts. In addition, the follow-up time of the SPATAX or 266 CRC-SCA cohort was shorter than that of the EUROSCA cohort, which may lead to a higher misestimating of 267 SARA progression.

268 In the analysis of the contribution of each item to the SARA scale, the contribution of the items to the total scale 269 was heterogeneous throughout disease progression, with gait, stance, and heel-shin having higher contribution in 270 the early stage and sitting, finger-chase, and nose-finger in the later stage. In addition, the progression of the 271 items was heterogeneous; gait and stance (respectively ranging from 0-8 and 0-6) items were progressing faster, 272 as would be expected with their wider range. The speech item showed moderate progression despite ranging 273 from 0-6. Similar to previous report (Jacobi et al., 2011), the expanded CAG repeat length was associated with 274 faster SARA progression in SCA1 and SCA2, and even at the item level. 275 In the context of a clinical trial using SARA as the primary endpoint, SCA1 patients would require a smaller

sample size due to faster progression, with 81 patients required for a 1-year trial with a 50% efficacy of the intervention tested. The SO-SARA analysis showed that a few items mainly drive the sensitivity to change of SARA, and the addition of less sensitive items leads to a very small decrease (or even increase) of the sample size. However, even though the axial items were often the most sensitive ones, the sensitivity ranking of items was heterogeneous among SCAs and cohorts. This shows that even if total SARA was never the best combination of items in terms of sensitivity, there is no combination of items that would improve the responsiveness in all SCAs or populations taken separately. In addition, the differences between cohorts

- highlight that these conclusions are highly dependent on the data used and that the absolute value of sample sizeestimate must be taken with caution.
- 285 The estimated sample sizes remain very large for such a rare disease as our estimations are based on a 1-year
- trial and with a 50% reduction on the slope, namely a relatively strong treatment effect. Moreover, one limitationin our analysis is that we did not consider any placebo effect, assuming that the placebo arm would progress
- similarly to the natural history, which is unlikely (Choi et al., 2022; Coarelli et al., 2022).
- 289 Although SARA remains a good tool for assessing the ataxia severity, the relatively slow progression of the 290 patients with SCA diseases limits the relevance of using a clinical scale to show moderate treatment effects in 291 short-term clinical trials. This is very clear in SCA6, the slowest progressing SCA, where the best combination 292 of items reduced by 55% the sample size required compared to full SARA. Clinical trials should focus on 293 detecting changes in biomarkers levels, especially in the pre-ataxic stage which has been shown to be a relevant 294 time window for treating patients. Modification of biomarkers modifications (NFLs (Faber et al., 2023; Tezenas 295 Du Montcel et al., 2023), MRI (Chandrasekaran et al., 2022)) already occurs at this stage while the SARA 296 remains at very low levels. The READISCA project (for which longitudinal data will soon be available), which 297 includes both pre-ataxic and ataxic SCA1 and SCA3 participants in a multi-continental study, will help to clarify
- these early changes.

300 DECLARATIONS

301 Funding and conflicts of interests

Dr. Tezenas du Montcel receives research support from Biogen. Dr Subramony receives research support from
 National Ataxia Foundation, Biohaven, NIH, FDA, MDA, Wyck Foundation, FARA, Reata, PTC therapeutics,
 Retrotope, Avidity Biosciences, Fulcrum therapeutics, Reneo Pharma and AAVANTIBio, and serves on the
 Scientific Advisory Board for Reata, Avidity and Dyne therapeutics.B.V., serves on the Scientific Advisory
 Board of BrainSpec Inc. and receives research support from Biogen. Dr Ashizawa received grants from NAF and
 Biogen and participates in Biohaven clinical trials NCT03952806 and NCT03701399. Dr. Schmitz-Hübsch

- 308 received unrestricted research grants from Celgene/bms, speakers honoraria from Bayer, Biogen and AbbVie.
- 309 This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) grant U01
- 310 NS104326 to T.A., A.D., and T.K. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily
- 311 represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The CRC-SCA data were obtained using NIH
- 312 RC1 NS068897 grant to TA.
- 313

299

314 References315

- Ashizawa, T., Figueroa, K.P., Perlman, S.L., Gomez, C.M., Wilmot, G.R., Schmahmann, J.D., Ying,
 S.H., Zesiewicz, T.A., Paulson, H.L., Shakkottai, V.G., Bushara, K.O., Kuo, S.-H., Geschwind, M.D.,
 Xia, G., Mazzoni, P., Krischer, J.P., Cuthbertson, D., Holbert, A., Ferguson, J.H., Pulst, S.M.,
 Subramony, S., 2013. Clinical characteristics of patients with spinocerebellar ataxias 1, 2, 3 and 6 in the
 US; a prospective observational study. Orphanet J. Rare Dis. 8, 177. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172 8-177
- Chandrasekaran, J., Petit, E., Park, Y.-W., Tezenas du Montcel, S., Joers, J.M., Povazan, M., Banan, G.,
 Valabregue, R., 2022. Clinically meaningful MR endpoints sensitive to preataxic and early ataxic stages
 of SCA1 and SCA3.

- 325
 3. Choi, J.-H., Shin, C., Kim, H.-J., Jeon, B., 2022. Placebo response in degenerative cerebellar ataxias: a descriptive review of randomized, placebo-controlled trials. J. Neurol. 269, 62–71.
 327 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-10306-7
 - Coarelli, G., Coutelier, M., Durr, A., 2023. Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias: new genes and progress towards treatments. Lancet Neurol. 22, 735–749. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(23)00068-6
- 5. Coarelli, G., Heinzmann, A., Ewenczyk, C., Fischer, C., Chupin, M., Monin, M.-L., Hurmic, H.,
 Calvas, F., Calvas, P., Goizet, C., Thobois, S., Anheim, M., Nguyen, K., Devos, D., Verny, C.,
 Ricigliano, V.A.G., Mangin, J.-F., Brice, A., Tezenas du Montcel, S., Durr, A., 2022. Safety and
 efficacy of riluzole in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 in France (ATRIL): a multicentre, randomised,
 double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 21, 225–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/S14744422(21)00457-9
 Diallo, A., Jacobi, H., Tezenas du Montcel, S., Klockgether, T., 2020. Natural history of most communication.
 - Diallo, A., Jacobi, H., Tezenas du Montcel, S., Klockgether, T., 2020. Natural history of most common spinocerebellar ataxia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-09815-2
 - 7. Durr, A., 2010. Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias: polyglutamine expansions and beyond. Lancet Neurol 9, 885–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70183-6
 - Faber, J., Berger, M., Carlo, W., Hübener-Schmid, J., Schaprian, T., Santana, M.M., Grobe-Einsler, M., Onder, D., Koyak, B., Giunti, P., Garcia-Moreno, H., Gonzalez-Robles, C., Lima, M., Raposo, M., Vieira Melo, A.R., De Almeida, L.P., Silva, P., Pinto, M.M., Van De Warrenburg, B.P., Van Gaalen, J., Jeroen De Vries, J., Oz, G., Joers, J.M., Synofzik, M., Schöls, L., Riess, O., Infante, J., Manrique, L., Timmann, D., Thieme, A., Jacobi, H., Reetz, K., Dogan, I., Onyike, C., Povazan, M., Schmahmann, J., Ratai, E.-M., Schmid, M., Klockgether, T., 2023. Stage-dependent biomarker changes in spinocerebellar ataxia type 3 (preprint). Neurology. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.21.23287817
 - Jacobi, H., Bauer, P., Giunti, P., Labrum, R., Sweeney, M.G., Charles, P., Dürr, A., Marelli, C., Globas, C., Linnemann, C., 2011. The natural history of spinocerebellar ataxia type 1, 2, 3, and 6 A 2-year follow-up study. Neurology 77, 1035–1041.
 - Jacobi, H., du Montcel, S.T., Bauer, P., Giunti, P., Cook, A., Labrum, R., Parkinson, M.H., Durr, A., Brice, A., Charles, P., Marelli, C., Mariotti, C., Nanetti, L., Panzeri, M., Rakowicz, M., Sulek, A., Sobanska, A., Schmitz-Hübsch, T., Schöls, L., Hengel, H., Baliko, L., Melegh, B., Filla, A., Antenora, A., Infante, J., Berciano, J., van de Warrenburg, B.P., Timmann, D., Szymanski, S., Boesch, S., Kang, J.-S., Pandolfo, M., Schulz, J.B., Molho, S., Diallo, A., Klockgether, T., 2015. Long-term disease progression in spinocerebellar ataxia types 1, 2, 3, and 6: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 14, 1101–1108. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00202-1
 - 11. Jacobi, H., du Montcel, S.T., Romanzetti, S., Harmuth, F., Mariotti, C., Nanetti, L., Rakowicz, M., Makowicz, G., Durr, A., Monin, M.-L., Filla, A., Roca, A., Schöls, L., Hengel, H., Infante, J., Kang, J.-S., Timmann, D., Casali, C., Masciullo, M., Baliko, L., Melegh, B., Nachbauer, W., Bürk-Gergs, K., Schulz, J.B., Riess, O., Reetz, K., Klockgether, T., 2020. Conversion of individuals at risk for spinocerebellar ataxia types 1, 2, 3, and 6 to manifest ataxia (RISCA): a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 19, 738–747. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30235-0
 - Monin, M., Tezenas Du Montcel, S., Marelli, C., Cazeneuve, C., Charles, P., Tallaksen, C., Forlani, S., Stevanin, G., Brice, A., Durr, A., 2015. Survival and severity in dominant cerebellar ataxias. Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol. 2, 202–207. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.156
 - Peng, L., Chen, Z., Long, Z., Liu, M., Lei, L., Wang, C., Peng, H., Shi, Y., Peng, Y., Deng, Q., Wang, S., Zou, G., Wan, L., Yuan, H., He, L., Xie, Y., Tang, Z., Wan, N., Gong, Y., Hou, X., Shen, L., Xia, K., Li, J., Chen, C., Qiu, R., Klockgether, T., Tang, B., Jiang, H., 2021. New Model for Estimation of the Age at Onset in Spinocerebellar Ataxia Type 3. Neurology 96, e2885–e2895. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000012068
 - 14. R Core Team, 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
 - Ruano, L., Melo, C., Silva, M.C., Coutinho, P., 2014. The Global Epidemiology of Hereditary Ataxia and Spastic Paraplegia: A Systematic Review of Prevalence Studies. Neuroepidemiology 42, 174–183. https://doi.org/10.1159/000358801
- Schmitz-Hubsch, T., du Montcel, S.T., Baliko, L., Berciano, J., Boesch, S., Depondt, C., Giunti, P.,
 Globas, C., Infante, J., Kang, J.-S., Kremer, B., Mariotti, C., Melegh, B., Pandolfo, M., Rakowicz, M.,
 Ribai, P., Rola, R., Schols, L., Szymanski, S., van de Warrenburg, B.P., Durr, A., Klockgether, T.,
 2006. Scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia: Development of a new clinical scale. Neurology 66,
 1717–1720. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000219042.60538.92
- 383
 17. Tezenas du Montcel, S., Durr, A., Bauer, P., Figueroa, K.P., Ichikawa, Y., Brussino, A., Forlani, S.,
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 385
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 384
 <l

328

329 330

338

339 340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350 351

352 353

354

355

356

357

358

359 360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370 371

372 373

374

375

376

377

385		Szymanski, S., Klockgether, T., Berciano, J., Pandolfo, M., Boesch, S., Melegh, B., Timmann, D.,
386		Mandich, P., Camuzat, A., Clinical Research Consortium for Spinocerebellar Ataxia (CRC-SCA),
387		EUROSCA network, Goto, J., Ashizawa, T., Cazeneuve, C., Tsuji, S., Pulst, SM., Brusco, A., Riess,
388		O., Brice, A., Stevanin, G., 2014. Modulation of the age at onset in spinocerebellar ataxia by CAG
389		tracts in various genes. Brain J. Neurol. 137, 2444-2455. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu174
390	18.	Tezenas Du Montcel, S., Petit, E., Olubajo, T., Faber, J., Lallemant-Dudek, P., Bushara, K., Perlman,
391		S., Subramony, S.H., Morgan, D., Jackman, B., Paulson, H.L., Öz, G., Klockgether, T., Durr, A.,
392		Ashizawa, T., for the READISCA Consortium Collaborators, 2023. Baseline Clinical and Blood
393		Biomarkers in Patients With Preataxic and Early-Stage Disease Spinocerebellar Ataxia 1 and 3.
394		Neurology 100, e1836-e1848. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000207088
395	19.	Traschütz, A., Adarmes- Gómez, A.D., Anheim, M., Baets, J., Brais, B., Gagnon, C.,
396		Gburek- Augustat, J., Doss, S., Hanağası, H.A., Kamm, C., Klivenyi, P., Klockgether, T., Klopstock,
397		T., Minnerop, M., Münchau, A., Renaud, M., Santorelli, F.M., Schöls, L., Thieme, A., Vielhaber, S.,
398		Van De Warrenburg, B.P., Zanni, G., Hilgers, R., PREPARE Consortium, Synofzik, M., 2023.
399		Responsiveness of the Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia and Natural History in 884
400		Recessive and Early Onset Ataxia Patients. Ann. Neurol. ana.26712. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26712
401		

Figure 1: Contribution to the SARA scale of each individual item of the scale

Each point represents the average (with 95% CI) of a normalized item score for a group of visits in increments of 5 SARA points. For each class, the point is displayed in the middle of the class: for instance, the point referring to the 0-5 SARA group is displayed at SARA = 2.5. Non-overlapping CI are considered significant differences. The black line represents how the curve would be if all items were contributing equally throughout the disease, namely an x=y curve.



Figure 2: Cohort, stage and CAG effect on item progression

The estimations (with 95% CI) of the interaction between the covariables and time in the linear mixed effect model are displayed. Quadratic and cubic effects were tested for all items, but not shown in this graph if significant as their effects are negligible in early years. Significance of effects are represented as *** if p-value < 0.001, ** if p-value < 0.01 and * if p-value < 0.05. For cohort effects, the p-value indicates that it exists a significant difference of the linear progression between cohorts. For CAG, the p-value indicates that the CAG effect is significantly different from 0. For stage groups, the p-value indicates that at least one group is progressing faster or slower than the reference group (Intermediate group).



Figure 3: Stepwise-optimized SARA by stage, SCA and cohort

The left panels show the sample size estimation for each SO-SARA created. The right panels show in which order the items were added to the SO-SARAS to ensure maximum increase (or minimum decrease) of sensitivity to change.



Table 1: Cohort's Inclusion criteria and follow-up characteristics

	CRC-SCA	EUROSCA	RISCA	SPATAX	
Study Population	Patients with SCA 1, 2, 3 and 6 of all races/ethnicities and both genders	Patients with SCA 1, 2, 3 and 6	Unaffected adults' individuals that descend from SCA1, SCA2, SCA3 and SCA6 patients	Patients with SCA 1, 2, 3 and 6	
Ataxia	Presence of symptomatic ataxic disease	Progressive, otherwise unexplained ataxia	Absence of ataxia (SARA < 3)		
Molecular diagnosis	Pathogenic expansions in ATXN1, 2, 3 and CACNA1A either in the subject or another affected family member	Pathogenic expansions in ATXN1, 2, 3 and CACNA1A	Offspring and sibs of an individual with a pathogenic expansion in ATXN1, 2, 3 and CACNA1A	Pathogenic expansions in ATXN1, 2, 3 and CACNA1A	
Age	6 years and above.	_	 - 18 - 50 years for descendants of SCA1, SCA2 or SC3 patients - 35 - 70 years for descendants of SCA6 patients 	>18 years	

Consent	Willingness to participate in the study and ability to give informed consent	Written informed consent by the patient or his legal agent	Written, informed consent	Written, informed consent
Number of visits	3.0±1.2	4.8±1.7	4.0±1.0	2.9±1.1
Time between 2 visits (months)	6.6± 1.6	14.3 ± 6.8	25.9 ± 8.5	19.5 ± 15.4
Follow-up time (years)	1.1±0.5	4.5±2.4	6.5±2.0	3.1±2.0

Table 2: Models of SARA progression since inclusion

		SCA1			SCA2			SCA3			SCA6	
Predictors	Estimates	SE	p-value									
Cohort effect			0.001			0.0007			<0.0001			
[CRC] * TIME	1.75	0.33	<0.0001	1.08	0.22	<0.0001	0.68	0.18	0.0001			
[EUROSCA]* TIME	2.19	0.13	<0.0001	1.69	0.11	<0.0001	1.52	0.12	0.0001			
[RISCA] * TIME	1.32	0.21	<0.0001	1.31	0.27	<0.0001	1.11	0.30	0.0002			
[SPATAX] * TIME	1.60	0.30	<0.0001	0.84	0.23	0.0004	0.81	0.18	<0.0001			
TIME										0.80	0.14	<0.0001
TIME ²	-0.026	0.016	0.10	-0.037	0.007	<0.0001	0.028	0.030	0.35	0.039	0.014	0.0056
TIME^3							-0.004	0.002	0.03			
Stage group effect						<0.0001						0.028
[EARLY] * TIME				-0.09	0.17	0.60				-0.19	0.22	0.39
[ADVANCED] * TIME				-1.05	0.24	<0.0001				-0.90	0.33	0.008
[CAG] * TIME	0.36	0.09	<0.0001	0.27	0.07	0.0002						

Models were selected by first determining the presence of a quadratic or cubic effect. Covariate effects were then tested, and nonsignificant covariates with the smallest sum of squares were iteratively removed. For the stage group effect, intermediate participants were used as the reference. *: If there is a significant cohort effect, the linear term is reported for each of the respective cohorts ([cohort]*TIME).