

Autochthonous Trichophyton rubrum terbinafine resistance in France: Assessment of antifungal susceptibility tests

Alicia Moreno-Sabater, Camille Cordier, Anne Cécile Normand, Anne Laure Bidaud, Geneviève Cremer, Jean Philippe Bouchara, Antoine Huguenin, Sébastien Imbert, Isabelle Challende, Cécile Brin, et al.

► To cite this version:

Alicia Moreno-Sabater, Camille Cordier, Anne Cécile Normand, Anne Laure Bidaud, Geneviève Cremer, et al.. Autochthonous Trichophyton rubrum terbinafine resistance in France: Assessment of antifungal susceptibility tests. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2024, 10.1016/j.cmi.2024.08.004. hal-04681770

HAL Id: hal-04681770 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-04681770v1

Submitted on 30 Aug2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Letter

2 **Title:** Autochthonous *Trichophyton rubrum* terbinafine resistance in France: Assessment of

3 antifungal susceptibility tests

Alicia Moreno-Sabater¹, Camille Cordier^{2,3*}, Anne Cécile Normand^{4*}, Anne Laure Bidaud⁵,
Geneviève Cremer⁶, Jean Philippe Bouchara⁷, Antoine Huguenin^{8,9}, Sébastien Imbert¹⁰,
Isabelle challende¹¹, Cécile Brin¹², Françoise Foulet¹³, Boualem Sendid^{2,3}, Illan Laloum⁵, Denis
Magne¹⁴, Christophe Hennequin¹⁵, Michel Monod¹⁶, Guillaume Desoubeaux¹⁷, Éric
Dannaoui^{5,18}.

9 *Authors equally contributed.

¹ Sorbonne Université, Inserm, Centre d'Immunologie et Maladies infectieuses, CIMI-PARIS,

11 AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie, F-75012 Paris, France.

² Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille, Lille,
 France.

³ INSERM U1285, Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle (CNRS UMR 8576),
 Université de Lille, Lille, France.

⁴ Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Hôpital La Pitié-Salpêtrière, AP-HP, Paris 75013, France.

17 ⁵ Unité de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Service de Microbiologie Hôpital Necker Enfants

18 Malades, France.

⁶ Laboratoire Bioclinic Madeleine. Groupe Inovie. Paris, France.

20 ⁷ IRF (Infections Respiratoires Fongiques), SFR ICAT 4208, Université Angers, Université Brest,

21 Angers, France.

⁸ Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Pôle de Biologie et de Pathologie, CHU de Reims,

23 Reims, France.

⁹ Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, ESCAPE EA7510, Reims, France.

- 25 ¹⁰ Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux,
- 26 33000 Bordeaux, France.
- 27 ¹¹Cabinet liberal, Chambéry, France.
- ¹² Service de Dermatologie, Centre Hospitalier Métropole Savoie, 73000 Chambéry, France.
- 29 ¹³ Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, AP-HP, Créteil
- 30 94000, France.
- ¹⁴ Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Hôpital Saint Antoine, AP-HP, Paris, 7012, France.
- 32 ¹⁵ Sorbonne Université, Inserm, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine, CRSA, AP-HP, Hôpital
- 33 Saint-Antoine, Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie, F-75012 Paris, France.
- ¹⁶Department of Dermatology, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland;
- 35 Faculty of Biology and Medicine (FBM), University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 36 ¹⁷ Service de Parasitologie Mycologie Médecine tropicale, Hôpital Bretonneau, Tours
- 37 37044, France.
- ¹⁸ Université Paris Cité, Paris, France.
- 39 Corresponding author: Alicia Moreno-Sabater. Service de Parasitologie-Mycologie. 184 Rue
- 40 du Faubourg Saint Antoine, 75012, Paris, France. <u>Alicia.morenoysabater@aphp.fr</u>.
- 41 Word counts: 800

42 To the editor,

Terbinafine resistance is now a serious issue in dermatophytosis treatment due to the worldwide expansion of *Trichophyton indotineae*. Incidence of terbinafine treatment failure is increasingly reported in patients with *T. rubrum* dermatophytosis [1, 2]. This trend has raised concerns among healthcare professionals, emphasizing the importance of performing antifungal susceptibility tests (AFST) to identify *T. rubrum* terbinafine resistant isolates (TRBi).

Over a two-year period, eleven isolates from patients with *T. rubrum* dermatophytosis failing terbinafine treatment (250 mg/d; >6 months) were referred to our institution (Table 1). Patients were mainly men (82%) and mean age was 43.4 years. Onychomycosis was mainly observed (72.2%), with lesions affecting feet (87.5%) and hands (25%). Three patients were diagnosed with *Tinea corporis* (27.3%). *T. pedis* and foot intertrigo were detected in one patient each (9.1%). Only 1 out of 11 patients reported a travel history in India, suggesting that terbinafine resistance development likely originated in France.

56

1traconazole, griseofulvin, and fluconazole were prescribed as a second line treatment. 1traconazole (100-200 mg/day) successfully cleared the infection in 6 out of 7 patients. One patient experienced recurrence of clinical lesions after two months of treatment and was successfully treated with voriconazole cream (1%) for two and a half months. Griseofulvin treatment (500 mg/day) was ineffective in one patient, who was subsequently successfully treated with itraconazole (200 mg/day). Fluconazole treatment (150 mg/once weekly) cleared the infection in one patient but only prevented the progression of the lesions in two patients.

64

65 Molecular identification confirmed that all isolates belonged to the *T. rubrum* species. 66 Squalene epoxidase SQLE gene Sanger sequencing revealed mutations implicated in 67 terbinafine resistance in all isolates [3]. F397L substitution was found in four isolates 68 individually (36.4%) or in combination with the F415S substitution (9.1%). A double mutation 69 L393S/F397L was observed in two isolates (18.2%), while the L393F and H440Y mutations 70 were each observed in one patient. One isolate each carried the F397I substitution alone 71 (9.1%) or associated to F415S (9.1%). Terbinafine containing agar method (TCAM) [3], also 72 confirmed terbinafine resistance as all isolates grew at a terbinafine concentration of 0.125 73 mg/L.

74

75 Standardized inoculums were prepared using culture conditions previously described with 76 minors modifications [3]. Using the EUCAST method, terbinafine Minimum Inhibitory 77 Concentration (MIC) values ranged from 0.25 to >8 mg/L. As there are currently no clinical 78 breakpoints for T. rubrum, ECOFF determined by EUCAST (http://www.eucast.org) were used 79 for isolate categorization. All TBRi were susceptible to itraconazole (range: 0.016-0.25 mg/L), 80 voriconazole (range: 0.008-0.125 mg/L) and amorolfine (range: 0.008-0.25 mg/L). The ability 81 of the GT to determine *T. rubrum* susceptibility to terbinafine (HiMedia[®]), itraconazole and 82 voriconazole (BioMerieux®) was also evaluated and MIC values were compared with those 83 determined using the EUCAST method (Table 1). MIC values from both methods were similar 84 for itraconazole and voriconazole whereas MIC values for terbinafine differed between 85 methods for two isolates.

86

We document here the occurrence in France of terbinafine resistant dermatophytosis due to *T. rubrum*. Emergence of these autochthonous TBRi is likely related to terbinafine pressure for

several years since it is the first line treatment when topical treatments fail. Itraconazole is often proposed after terbinafine treatment failure. Although itraconazole resistance is rare in *T. rubrum* [4], failures to itraconazole treatment have been described, likely due to inappropriate serum levels. Successful voriconazole cream treatment presented in this study suggests that this formulation holds promise for recalcitrant dermatophytosis [5]. Griseofulvin and fluconazole treatments have shown a lower efficiency and must probably be proposed when comorbidities restrict the use of itraconazole.

96

97 The present study reinforces the importance of prioritizing T. rubrum TBRi detection in a context of treatment failure. Identification of substitutions allows the detection of resistant 98 99 isolates but remains a method restricted to specialized laboratories. SQLE substitutions 100 detected have been previously described (Supplementary references) but to our knowledge, 101 this is the first study that detects the double substitution L393S/F397L. TCAM can also be 102 proposed to confirm T. rubrum terbinafine resistance in non-expert routine diagnostic 103 laboratories. However, both methods fail to consider susceptibility to other effective 104 antifungal alternatives. EUCAST method can confirm the *in vitro* terbinafine resistance of 105 isolates and provide the isolate susceptibility profile to itraconazole, voriconazole and 106 amorolfine. Nevertheless, the lack of commercialization of the EUCAST method restricts its 107 use to specialized laboratories. The availability of GT offers the opportunity to carry out 108 antifungal susceptibility using a more simple and accessible method to medical biology 109 laboratories than the EUCAST method. Our study reveals a good concordance between results 110 obtained with EUCAST method and the GT for itraconazole and voriconazole. For terbinafine, 111 discrepancies between MIC values from EUCAST method and GT were observed, suggesting 112 that *in vitro* results must be compared with patient treatment available information.

113

Autochthonous *T. rubrum* resistant to terbinafine occurs in France. We recommend different AFST methods to evaluate the antifungal susceptibility profile of TBRi, guiding clinicians to propose an antifungal susceptibility-based treatment.

117

118 Conflict of interest: Authors declare no conflict of interest related to this study. 119 Funding: No external funding was received accomplish this study. to 120 Acknowledgments: We thank the technical personal from the different laboratories 121 implicated in this study.

Access to data: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

124 **Contribution:** CC, GC, JPB, AH, SI, IC, CB, FF, BS, GD, provided clinical isolates and the 125 associated medical records. AMS, ACN, ALB, IL, DM, CH, MM, ED, participated to the 126 conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, and methodology. AMS, ACN, 127 GD, ED reviewed and edited the first version of the manuscript. Authors approved the final 128 version before submission.

129 **Statement on research ethics:** The study was conducted using patient's information and 130 isolates collected during routine clinical practice. All data analyzed in this study (patient 131 treatment and fungal identifiable information) were collected by medical personnel and 132 anonymized.

	Gender	Age (yr)	Clinical Lesions	2 ^{en} Treatment (Fail;Pp;Suc)		ТСАМ	TER MIC		ITR MIC		VOR MIC		AMO MIC
ID					SQLEs		EUCAST	GT	EUCAST	GT	EUCAST	GT	EUCAST
01	Male	71	тс	ITR & VORc (Fail & Suc)	F397L	>0.125	8	>32	0.06	0.002	0.008	0.002	0.016
02	Male	72	тс	ITR (Suc)	F397I	>0.125	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
03	Male	17	TP & ONY (Hand/foot)	FLU (Suc)	F397L	>0.125	2	1.5	0.06	0.03	0.016	0.002	0.016
04	Male	29	ll (foot)	ITR (Suc)	F397L F415S	>0.125	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS	LS
05	Male	51	ONY (foot)	NA	F397I F415S	>0.125	<u>0.25</u>	<u>0.03</u>	0.016	0.016	0.008	0.002	0.06
06	Female	42	ONY (foot)	FLU (Pp)	L393S F397L	>0.125	<u>0.25</u>	<u>0.03</u>	0.016	0.03	0.016	0.002	0.016
07	Male	45	ONY (foot)	FLU (Pp)	L393S F397L	>0.125	0.5	0.5	0.125	0.03	0.03	0.002	0.016
08	Female	45	ONY (hand)	ITR (Suc)	F397L	>0.125	>8	>32	0.06	0.016	0.03	0.002	0.016
09	Male	25	ONY (foot)	ITR (Suc)	F397L	>0.125	4	0.5	0.06	0.03	0.125	0.002	0.03
10	Male	44	TC & ONY (foot)	ITR (Suc)	L393F	>0.125	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	Male	37	ONY (foot)	GRI & ITR (Fail & Suc)	H440Y	>0.125	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

133 Table 1. Clinical characteristics and antifungal susceptibility profile of autochthonous *T. rubrum* terbinafine resistant isolates

AMO: Amorolfine; Fail: treatment failure; FLU: Fluconazole; GRI: Griseofulvin; GT: Gradient trips; II: Interdigital intertrigo; ITR: Itraconazole; MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (mg/L); ONY: Onychomycosis; Pp: Treatment preventing disease progression; *SQLEs*: Squalene epoxidase amino acid substitution; Suc: treatment success; TC: Tinea corporis; TCAM: Terbinafine containing agar method (mg/L); TER: Terbinafine; TP: Tinea pedis; VOR: Voriconazole; VORc: Voriconazole cream; LS: Lack of isolate sporulation; NA: Data not available; Underlined values: MIC values are inconsistent between methods.

139 **References:**

140 [1] G. Blanchard, B. Amarov, M. Fratti, K. Salamin, O. Bontems, Y.T. Chang, A.M. Sabou, N.

141 Kunzle, M. Monod, E. Guenova, Reliable and rapid identification of terbinafine resistance in 142 dermatophytic nail and skin infections, J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2023;37:2080-143 2089.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37319111

- 144 [2] A.K. Gupta, E.A. Cooper, T. Wang, S. Polla Ravi, S.A. Lincoln, V. Piguet, L.R. McCarthy, W.L. 145 Bakotic, Detection of Squalene Epoxidase Mutations in United States Patients with 146 Onychomycosis: Implications for Management, J Invest Dermatol 2023;143:2476-2483 147 e7.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37236595
- 148 [3] A. Moreno-Sabater, A.C. Normand, A.L. Bidaud, G. Cremer, F. Foulet, S. Brun, C. Bonnal, N.
- 149 Ait-Ammar, A. Jabet, A. Ayachi, R. Piarroux, F. Botterel, S. Houze, G. Desoubeaux, C. Hennequin, 150 E. Dannaoui, Terbinafine Resistance in Dermatophytes: A French Multicenter Prospective
- 151 Study, J Fungi (Basel) 2022;8:220.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35330222
- 152 [4] T. Yamada, T. Yaguchi, T. Tamura, C. Pich, K. Salamin, M. Feuermann, M. Monod, 153 Itraconazole resistance of Trichophyton rubrum mediated by the ABC transporter TruMDR2, 154 Mycoses 2021;64:936-946.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33896045
- 155 [5] R. Gueneau, B. Joannard, N. Haddad, F. Alby, V. Jullien, J. Schlatter, C. Cotteret, M.E. Bougnoux, F. Lanternier, L. Laroche, S. Delliere, S. Cisternino, O. Lortholary, Extensive 156 157 dermatophytosis caused by terbinafine-resistant Trichophyton indotineae, successfully
- 158 with topical voriconazole, Antimicrob treated Int Agents
- 160
- - 159 2022;60:106677.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36184016